Tissue-specific circadian clocks in plants
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Abstract
Circadian clocks affect a large proportion of differentially expressed genes in many organisms. Tissue-specific hierarchies in circadian networks in mammals have been contentiously debated, whereas little attention has been devoted to the concept in plants, owing to technical difficulties. Recently, several studies have demonstrated tissue-specific circadian clocks and their coupling in plants, suggesting that plants possess a hierarchical network of circadian clocks. The following review summarizes recent studies describing the tissue-specific functions and properties of these circadian clocks and discusses the network structure and potential messengers that might share temporal information on such a network.

Introduction
The rotation and orbit of the earth bring daily light/dark rhythms and seasonal rhythms. To anticipate and cope with recurring environmental changes, many organisms have developed a circadian clock, which increases their fitness by regulating behavior and gene expression. In many multicellular organisms, more than a dozen circadian clock genes have been identified, and most of them are expressed universally (Table 1), suggesting that circadian clocks generate self-sustaining and cell-autonomous oscillations in each cell [1,2]. However, these cellular circadian rhythms need to be integrated into a tissue or organismal level to achieve coordinated physiological responses. This has been extensively documented in mammals as hierarchical and tissue-specific functions of networked circadian clocks. The circadian clock in the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) in the brain is known as a central oscillator, whereas clocks in peripheral tissues, such as liver, are termed peripheral oscillators [3]. In plants, many studies have clarified the molecular functions of circadian clock genes, such as
CIRCADIAN CLOCK ASSOCIATED 1 (CCA1), TIMING OF CAB EXPRESSION 1 (TOC1), GIGANTEA (GI), PSEUDO RESPONSE REGULATOR (PRR) genes, and EARLY FLOWERING (ELF) genes. A circadian clock system comprised of these genes is tightly interlocked and has multi-feedback loops. Despite the important advances at the cellular level, however, only a few studies have investigated the tissue-specific functions of the circadian clock, and it is unclear whether a hierarchical and tissue-specific function of the plant clock exists.

Recently, several reports demonstrated tissue-specific dynamics and hierarchical coupling of circadian clocks in plants (Figure 1). This review focuses on the current state of knowledge regarding the properties of clocks in a specific cell type, and discusses prospective inter-tissue signaling mechanisms that synchronize clock information at the organismal level.

Main text

Tissue-specific regulation of the circadian clock

The first report that hypothesized tissue-specific regulation of the circadian clock came from an observation of stomatal opening, photosynthesis, and leaflet movement in bean plants [4]. The longer periodic timing of leaflet movements relative to the stomatal opening or photosynthesis period under free-running conditions suggested there are multiple circadian clocks in different tissues. Later, cellular observations were performed using transgenic tobacco cells expressing the cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\)-sensitive luminescent protein aequorin and LHCB::LUC [5], and the respective rhythms were shown to free-run with different periods. After that, more direct observations were reported in tobacco. The Ca\(^{2+}\) rhythmicity of cells and tissues was shown to oscillate with distinct differences in phase [6]. Similar tissue-specific oscillations of cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) were also seen in Arabidopsis. The circadian rhythms of \textit{CAB} gene expression are uncoupled from those of cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) in some situations, suggesting that distinct circadian clocks are located in different cell types [7].

Other clock-regulated genes have also displayed tissue-specific rhythmicities. The \textit{CAB} expression rhythm is distinct from the \textit{CHS} or \textit{PHYB} gene expression rhythms in free-running periods under constant light condition [8,9]. In addition, sensitivity to environmental cues is distinctly regulated by two circadian oscillators regulating \textit{CAB2}::LUC and \textit{CAT3}::LUC. The circadian oscillator regulating \textit{CAB2} expression responds preferentially to light/dark cycles rather than temperature cycles, whereas the circadian oscillator regulating \textit{CAT3} expression responds to temperature cycles rather than light/dark
cycles [10]. Since *CAB2* is mainly expressed in the mesophyll, but *CAT3* is expressed both in mesophyll and epidermis, multiple circadian clock systems in plants have been suggested.

Clock gene expression profiles representing the core loop of the circadian clock system in *Arabidopsis* are also distinct in different tissues. *CCA1* oscillations were shown to have a longer period and lower amplitude in guard cells [11], and had a different period in the center of the leaf than in the center of the rosette [12]. The phase of *TOC1* oscillation was also distinct in the vasculature [13, 14]. More interestingly, *CCA1* displayed striped expression patterns in root tissues [15].

**Spatial expression patterns of clock genes**

Tissue-specific differential expression of clock genes would be the easiest way to explain such tissue-specific oscillations. Although the majority of clock genes are expressed in most cell types [16-19], some clock genes, including *PRR3*, *PRR9*, and *GI*, show vasculature-enriched expression patterns, based on GUS staining assays [16,20] (Table 1). The non-uniform expression of at least several clock genes among different cell types suggests that tissue-specific clock functions can be partially explained by spatial gene expression patterns.

There is continuing debate over whether these genes are exclusively expressed in vasculature; for example, GFP fluorescence from *PRR3::PRR3-GFP* can be detected even in mesophyll cells [21], and another transgenic line, *GI::GI-GUS*, suggests that *GI* is expressed both in mesophyll and vasculature [22]. There is a caveat to the experiments with GUS staining, however. Even if the GUS expression levels are almost the same in each tissue, apparent GUS staining levels will be largely dependent on the cell size and cell density. Since mesophyll and epidermal cells are larger, whereas vascular cells are small and tightly aligned, we supposed that the GUS staining level in the vasculature would tend to be higher. To support our view, GUS staining was more intense in the vasculature, even in 35S::GUS or equivalent transgenic lines [23,24].

To more reliably estimate clock gene expression levels in a specific tissue, a direct tissue-isolation technique with high spatiotemporal resolution was recently developed [13]. The tissue isolation and subsequent microarray analysis showed that only *ELF4* was a particularly vasculature-rich gene (10 fold higher in vasculature), whereas the other clock genes, including *PRR3*, *PRR9* and *GI*, were expressed both in mesophyll and vasculature, and
PRR9 was rather a mesophyll-rich gene [13]. While the ELF4 expression pattern strongly implies that there are discrete functions of the circadian clock in vasculature, it is also likely that tissue-specific phase, period, and amplitude regulation are crucial; indeed, as evidenced by the phenotype of an elf4 mutant, not all tissue-specific oscillations can be explained by ELF4 alone. [25]. Vasculature-specific functions of cry2, COP1, and SPA1, all of which are involved in circadian clock input, might be crucial to determine the tissue specificities of the clock [26-29].

Hierarchical coupling of tissue-specific clocks

To achieve coordinate responses at an organismal level, it appears that the circadian clocks in different tissues need to be coupled with each other. In Arabidopsis cotyledons, hierarchical coupling of clocks between vasculature and mesophyll was recently reported [13]. Overexpression of CCA1 driven by a vasculature-specific SUC2 promoter caused circadian rhythm perturbation not only in vasculature but also in mesophyll cells. On the other hand, CCA1 driven by a mesophyll-specific promoter, CAB, disrupted circadian rhythms only in mesophyll, while robust circadian oscillation in vasculature was still observed. Similarly, the short period (18 hour) phenotype of a light-regulated WD 1 (lwd1); lwd2 double mutant was lengthened (21 hour) by SUC2-driven LWD1, suggesting that partial complementation of LWD function in vasculature affects mesophyll circadian rhythms (about 80% of leaf cells are mesophyll) [30]. Furthermore, it has been suggested that a circadian clock in roots consists of limited numbers of components, and the expression of root clock genes is coupled to the hierarchical dominant shoot clock rhythm [31,32]. These results demonstrate that there is an asymmetric coupling of circadian clocks from vasculature to mesophyll cells and shoot (shoot vasculature?) to root, suggesting plants have a hierarchical circadian clock system.

If there is a hierarchical organization, then do plants have a central oscillator that governs a centralized network analogous to the SCN in mammal brains (Figure 2) [33]? Since food and water anticipatory behaviors are SCN independent [34], the SCN is not an absolute center for time measurement in a strict sense; however, in practice, the SCN oscillator governs the greater part of circadian responses. In that sense, animals have a more centralized clock network. Another type of network is distributed, or “hierarchy-less”. In a distributed network, a circadian clock in each cell makes an equal contribution to the clock system, and will be minimally affected by the disruption of a hub. Since we have observed hierarchical coupling in the circadian clock network and clear phenotypes caused by perturbation of specific tissues, plants do not appear to have a distributed clock network. A third type of network structure is
decentralized. In a decentralized network, tissues operate with local circadian rhythms or time measurement to accomplish coordinated responses, and the clocks in each tissue are loosely coupled to each other.

Recently, a circadian clock in shoot apex was reported to display homogenous synchronicity and therefore was proposed as a plant master clock [32]. However, since shoot apical meristem-specific perturbation of clock functions did not affect circadian clock regulated flowering [13], and there are at least two uncoupled clocks that have different sensitivities to temperature [10], it is likely that there are other hubs of circadian clocks, consistent with the decentralized network model.

**Candidate inter- and intra-tissue coupling factor**

In both the centralized or decentralized models, plant tissues need to share their time information with each other. However these inter-tissue and intra-tissue couplings might not be strongly coupled. Thain et al. entrained each cotyledon of a tobacco seedling with light-dark cycles or inverted dark-light cycles, respectively, and showed that opposite CAB2::LUC or PHYB::LUC oscillation remained in antiphase under continuous light conditions, suggesting that their clocks were not effectively coupled to each other [35]. We suppose that the reason for the lack of global coupling could be explained by the orthostichy of the vasculature. By contrast, two studies have clearly shown that there is weak, local coupling [12,36].

How do clocks in specific tissues transmit time information to other tissues, and what are the coupling factors? Animals, and mammals especially, use neural and humoral signals to share the time information from the SCN. Presumably, in plants an analogous vascular system mediated inter-tissue “messenger” promotes time information integration. One possible candidate is sugar concentration oscillation. Sugar from photosynthesis is transported to all plant cells through the vascular system, and endogenous sugar is crucial for maintaining the amplitude of the circadian clock [37]. Consistent with this notion, the simplified root clock is synchronized by a photosynthesis-related signal from the shoot [31]. Micro RNA (miRNA) is another possible candidate for inter- and intra-tissue coupling. For example, in root growth or phosphate homeostasis, short distance and long distance miRNA transport through plasmodesmata and the vascular system were reported [38,39]. The presence of tissue-specifically expressed miRNAs lends support for this hypothesis [40]. At present, there is no iron-clad evidence that miRNA directly affects the plant circadian clock system; but in
mammals or insects, miRNA has a significant role in clock regulation [41].

**Concluding remarks**

Apart from the extensive characterization of genetic circuits implicated in the ‘averaged’ circadian clock system at a whole-plant level, only a few attempts have so far been made at analyzing tissue-specific clock and inter-/intra-tissue time information sharing. As illustrated above, plants have a hierarchical circadian clock system somewhat like animals. To better understand the coupling mechanisms and the features of clocks in each tissue, one should not only measure gene expression levels, but also focus on post-transcriptional and post-translational regulation in a specific tissue. From a practical perspective, one key objective is the application to crop plants of any forthcoming molecular insights into the tissue-specific circadian clock system. Some clock genes underlie quantitative trait loci that will improve agricultural traits such as flowering, yield, biomass, disease resistance, and so on [42]. Modification of a circadian clock system in a specific tissue might allow us to manipulate specific agricultural traits regulated by the targeted tissue. As plants and flies have tissue-specific *cis* regulatory signatures [13, 43], an initial approach might combine Chip-seq and high-throughput transcription factor screening to identify key molecules that determine distinct circadian clock systems in specific tissues.

Presently, we still have only a limited number of tools for the tissue-specific analysis of circadian clocks, although we have established a few techniques, such as rapid tissue-isolation, Tissue-Specific Luciferase Assay (TSLA), and tissue-specific disruption of clock functions [13]. In addition to the improvement of these techniques, microscopic observations with an unstable variant of EGFP (e.g., d2EGFP), differentiation induction techniques to obtain single cell types, or laser capture micro dissection will create new avenues to investigate circadian clocks at a tissue or cell level.
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Table 1. Spatial expression patterns of circadian clock genes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clock genes</th>
<th>Spatial expression patterns determined by</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GUS staining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOC1</strong></td>
<td>Whole seedling [16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRR3</strong></td>
<td>Vasculature rich [16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRR7</strong></td>
<td>Vasculature rich [16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRR9</strong></td>
<td>Vasculature rich [16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ELF4</strong></td>
<td>Vasculature rich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GI</strong></td>
<td>Whole or vascular rich [20,22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The others</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure legends

Figure 1

Tissue-specific circadian clocks and their communications. Red arrows indicate inter- and intra-organ/tissue communications. (a) There is a simplified clock in roots, and the clock is regulated by a shoot clock through photosynthate(s) (inter-organ asymmetric coupling) [35]. (b) A clock in the vasculature regulates the mesophyll clock, whereas the mesophyll clock scarcely affects the vasculature clock (inter-tissue asymmetric coupling) [15]. (c) Mesophyll cells in a leaf are weakly coupled and produce spiral waves (intra-organ coupling) [14, 41]. In contrast to these coupling mechanisms, locally anti-phased circadian rhythms are not synchronized to the clocks in the other leaf (d), or in the other part of the same leaf (dashed arrows) (e) [38]. Furthermore, several clocks in a specific type of cell show distinct circadian rhythms. (f) Circadian rhythms in guard cells have a longer period and lower amplitude [13]. (g) Mesophyll and epidermal clocks might have distinct sensitivities to light and temperature signals [12]. (h) Circadian oscillation of clock gene expression has a striped pattern along with root growth [17].

Figure 2

Schematic models of centralized, decentralized, and distributed networks. Squares indicate a responsive cell/tissue/organ that functions as a hub. Circles indicate targeted cells that operate specific circadian responses such as gene expression, redox regulation, cell elongation, flowering, and so on. In terms of efficiency, a centralized network has a higher performance, but is less robust than a distributed network. A centralized network can be destroyed by perturbation of a small number of hubs. As the mammalian SCN is the center of circadian rhythms in most cases, the mammalian circadian clock is generally assumed to be a...
centralized network. The observed hierarchical coupling of clocks in plants suggests that the plant circadian clock network should be centralized or decentralized, because a distributed network is known to be a hierarchy-less network.
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•• In this study, the authors demonstrate that two different circadian clocks can be
distinguishable by their sensitivity to the light-dark cycle and warm-cold cycle, suggesting tissue-specific circadian clocks have distinct roles in processing environmental signals.


• The authors demonstrate that the root clock exhibits stripe waves of gene expression originating at the root tip. This phenomenon results from a continuous phase resetting of circadian oscillations there.


• The authors revealed that PRR3 and PRR9 show vascular-enriched expressions, at least in GUS assays. They provide an important concept that there should be a tissue-specific regulation of the circadian clock, even in plant leaves.


This study demonstrated that SPA1 functions specifically in vasculature. The results indicate that there are tissue-specific regulation mechanisms not only in circadian clock but also in light signaling.


In this study, the authors demonstrate that there is a simplified clock in the roots compared to the shoots. They also revealed that sucrose from the shoots affects circadian rhythms of the
roots. This is the first report that there is a long distance communication for time information sharing between different tissues or organs.


** In this study, the authors demonstrate that a clock in the shoot apex is more synchronized than the other tissue/organs tested, probably due to intra-organ (or tissue) coupling. They also showed that a clock in the shoot apex affects circadian oscillation in root tissues.


** This paper concludes that circadian clocks in each cell do not have tight coupling. Two cotyledons that have anti-phase rhythms did not affect each other. The same can be seen even in the same cotyledon.


Figure 1

- **Inter- and intra-organ/tissue coupling**
  - (a) Shoot and/or shoot apex → Root
  - (b) Vasculature ↔ Mesophyll
  - (c) Spiral wave in a leaf

- **No inter- and intra-organ coupling**
  - (d) A leaf to the other side of a leaf
  - (e) Between tip and bottom of leaf

- **Distinct circadian clocks**
  - (f) Guard cell vs. Epidermis, Mesophyll
  - (g) Mesophyll vs. Epidermis (?)
  - (h) Stripe wave in a root
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