The cost of lithium is unlikely to upend the price of Li-ion storage systems

Rebecca E. Ciez†, J.F. Whitacre* †‡,
†Department of Engineering & Public Policy, ‡ Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Carnegie Mellon University, 5000 Forbes Avenue, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213, United States

* Corresponding Author, Tel: (412) 268-5548; Fax: 412-268-3757; E-mail: whitacre@andrew.cmu.edu

Abstract
As lithium ion batteries become more common in electric vehicles and other storage applications, the cost of these batteries will be highly dependent on its namesake material. However, examining the constituent materials of these devices shows that lithium is a relatively small contributor to both the battery mass and manufacturing cost. The use of more expensive lithium precursor materials results in less than 1% increases in the cost of lithium ion cells considered. Similarly, larger fluctuations in the global lithium price (from $0 to $25/kg from a baseline of $7.50 per kg of Li$_2$CO$_3$) do not change the cost of lithium ion cells by more than 10%. While this small cost increase will not have a substantial impact on consumers, it could affect the manufacturers of these lithium ion cells, who already operate with small profit margins.

1. Introduction
Lithium ion battery powered electric vehicles are a reality, and with this comes much public and academic speculation concerning the importance of lithium availability and market price. Despite substantial cost reductions in recent years (59-70% per kWh between 2007 and 2014),[1] lithium ion batteries are still significantly more expensive than the Department of Energy target of $125/kWh by 2022.[2] Precursor materials are a dominant contributor to battery mass and cost, and it is suggested in some corners that lithium prices will prove to be a crucial factor in the cost of battery storage. Some investors believe that inexpensive lithium is one key to
reducing device and system costs, while others believe that increased demand will draw geopolitical and economic concerns about access to supply on par with current concerns about oil.\cite{3,4} In both cases, it is assumed that extreme fluctuations in the lithium market could have a dramatic effect on the manufacturing cost of lithium ion batteries, and the corporate value proposition of these devices.\cite{5} Furthermore, both lithium shortages and price instability are frequently used as justifications for research on alternate cation electrochemical energy storage systems such as sodium, magnesium, and potassium based systems. Here we show that even substantial increases in lithium costs will have relatively small (<10%) increases in total manufacturing costs per kWh at the cell level, and comment on the impact this change in manufacturing cost could impact automotive lithium ion battery manufacturers.

2. Methods

We select two cell designs and two lithium ion battery chemistries, both based on Argonne National Lab’s BatPaC model (specifically version 3B, released in May 2015).\cite{6} They represent two different types of cells typically found on the market. Batteries with lithium manganese oxide spinel (LiMn$_2$O$_4$) and lithium nickel cobalt aluminum oxide (LiNiCoAlO$_2$) cathodes are currently used in several prominent PHEV and BEV models, so we use these cathode chemistries. In all cases the anode material is graphitic carbon. “High specific power” cells are used for applications where higher power per unit energy is needed, such as hybrid (HEV) and plug-in hybrid battery packs (PHEV), whereas “high specific energy” cells are used for all-electric battery electric vehicles (BEV). The high specific power cells are capable of faster charging and discharging, and have thinner electrodes and a higher mass fraction of current collector and separator material per cell. The high specific energy cells have thicker electrodes and lower mass fraction of separators and current collectors. Some of the key cell parameters
from the BatPaC model for both cell formats and chemistries are listed in Table 1. From these cell models, we are able to construct a bill of materials and break down the costs of the cells, which is shown in Figure 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cathode Chemistry</th>
<th>Cell Format</th>
<th>Number of Bicell Layers</th>
<th>Cell Capacity (Ah)</th>
<th>Electrode Material (kg/kWh)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LiMn$_2$O$_4$</td>
<td>High Specific Power</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High Specific Energy</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiNiCoAlO$_2$</td>
<td>High Specific Power</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High Specific Energy</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>2.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Key cell parameters from BatPaC model

From this bill of materials, we calculate the stoichiometrically balanced combinations of precursor materials used to make both the cell cathode and electrolyte (1.2 M LiPF$_6$), the only cell elements containing lithium. The lithium compounds, cathode precursor materials, and electrolyte precursor materials considered are listed in Table 2. We use lithium carbonate (Li$_2$CO$_3$) as the baseline estimate and compare it to combinations using lithium hydroxide (LiOH), which is approximately 15% more expensive per mole of lithium content. [7,8]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithium Precursor Materials</th>
<th>Cathode Precursor Materials</th>
<th>Electrolyte Precursor Materials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li$_2$CO$_3$, LiOH</td>
<td>Mn$_3$O$_4$, MnCO$_3$, MnO$_2$</td>
<td>NiSO$_4$, Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$, CoSO$_4$, Co(NO$_3$)$_2$, Al(OH)$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HF, PF$_5$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Precursor materials considered

We also perform a sensitivity analysis to determine the influence of large fluctuations in the lithium carbonate price. Here we specify a lower cost bound of $0 per kg, and an upper bound of $25/kg from a baseline of $7.50/kg (which is a reasonable approximation of the recent lithium price).[9-11] This upper bound is consistent with the highest estimate of the cost of extracting lithium from seawater, the world’s largest lithium source, which could be utilized if justified by global demand. [12]
Figure 1: Per kWh cell-level costs for lithium manganese oxide spinel (LiMn$_2$O$_4$) and lithium nickel cobalt aluminum oxide (LiNiCoAlO$_2$) batteries for high specific power and high specific energy cells. High specific power cells are typically used in PHEVs, while high specific energy cells are used in BEVs with larger battery packs.

3. Results & Discussion

Our analysis shows that the use of lithium hydroxide, the slightly more expensive lithium compound, is unlikely to have a significant impact on the cost of batteries. For example, Table 3 shows the cost increase associated with making cathode materials with LiOH,[13] instead of the
standard lithium carbonate material. Using the significantly costlier LiOH results in a less than 1% increase in the overall cost of the cells in $/kWh.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cathode Chemistry</th>
<th>Cell Format</th>
<th>Cost and percentage increase when using LiOH compared to Li$_2$CO$_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LiMn$_2$O$_4$</td>
<td>High Specific Power</td>
<td>$0.84/kWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High Specific Energy</td>
<td>$0.84/kWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiNiCoAlO$_2$</td>
<td>High Specific Power</td>
<td>$0.72/kWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High Specific Energy</td>
<td>$0.71/kWh</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3:** per kWh additional costs associated with using lithium hydroxide (LiOH)

The global lithium price is subject to market demand, but despite the projected increase in demand for lithium, long-term lithium production is expected to meet this demand.[14] The analysis of the market fluctuations in the lithium carbonate price also shows relatively small impacts on the cost of lithium ion cells. Figure 2 shows that even if Li$_2$CO$_3$ were to be completely free, the reduction in cost per kWh is relatively small, 3% or less for all four batteries considered. As such, even if the global lithium market slows substantially, which is possible given the recent global downturn in commodities in general,[15] the impact on cell and pack level cost is small. Similarly, lithium price increases of more than 300% - from $7.50/kg to $25/kg - would not lead to commensurate increases in battery costs; the maximum increase in the cost per kWh for the four batteries considered is less than 10%. To have even a 15% increase in cell costs, lithium prices would have to be much higher—between $36 and $87/kg—depending on the specific cell chemistry and format. These prices are unsustainably high, and would trigger other lithium producers to enter the market, increasing supply and reducing prices to the ocean removal cost.

Although in absolute terms these cost fluctuations seem relatively small, they can be significant to lithium ion battery manufacturers, who operate under very narrow profit margins, and are currently engaged in a “race to the bottom” to truly enable the burgeoning large format
energy storage market.[16-18] LG Chem operated at a 0.7% loss in 2015, and profit projections are 5% or less for the next 2 years.[17] Panasonic has similar trends, with their lithium ion division suffering significant losses as recently as 2012. Specific data on the profitability of their lithium ion battery operation is limited, but they reported 5.8% profits in the second quarter of 2013,[18] and the larger Automotive & Industrial Systems division, where battery manufacturing accounts for approximately 30% of operations, posted profits of less than 4% in both 2014 and 2015.[19] Given these narrow profitability margins, we can expect additional concerns about securing the rights to lithium resources, but securing these rights alone will not significantly reduce the cost of cells to consumers. In the long-term, additional lithium resources can be captured to provide the materials necessary to continue expanding production volumes of lithium ion batteries.

4. Conclusions

This uncertainty in lithium pricing suggests that strategic sourcing of this important material will likely not be a key factor in the long term quest to reduce the production costs of lithium ion batteries, but increases in lithium prices will not be a driver of significant cost increases either. Using more expensive LiOH precursor materials leads to a less than 1% increase in the cell cost, and 300% increases in the global lithium price increase the cost of these cells by less than 10%. In the worst case producers will either see their thin profit margins eroded or will have to pass on these small increases to the customer. While there are many other reasons to explore other battery chemistries, justifying research on other battery chemistries in the name of avoiding the economic issues associated with lithium is misguided; Lithium is plentiful, has little impact on battery cell and pack costs, and is here to stay.
Figure 2: Cell costs with variations in lithium prices, when compared to the BatPaC baseline estimate and price uncertainty for positive electrode and electrolyte materials.
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