Application of Metabolomics to Assess the Impact of Cu(OH)₂ Nanopesticide on the Nutritional Value of Lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*): Enhanced Cu Intake and Reduced Antioxidants

Lijuan Zhao,^{§†} Yuxiong Huang,^{§†} Cameron Hannah-Bick,[¶] Aaron N. Fulton,^ζ Arturo A.
Keller^{§†*}

[§]Bren School of Environmental Science & Management, University of California, Santa
Barbara, California 93106-5131, United States

[¶]Department of Ecology Evolution and Marine Biology, Santa Barbara, California 93106,
United States

^ζ Department of Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Biology, University of
California, Santa Barbara, CA, USA 93106

[†]University of California, Center for Environmental Implications of Nanotechnology,
Santa Barbara, California United States

*Corresponding author. Tel: +1 805 893 7548; fax: +1 805 893 7612. Email address:

keller@bren.ucsb.edu

TOC

ABSTRACT

Copper-based nanopesticides are increasingly being used in the agriculture, especially in organic farming. This has triggered some concerns about their risk to environmental and human health. In this study, 24-day-old lettuce plants grown in soil were exposed via the leaves to different concentrations (0, 1050 and 1555 mg/L) of $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$ nanopesticides for one month. Results showed Cu was mainly localized in lettuce leaves (823-1111 and 1353-2008 mg/kg in vascular and photosynthetic tissues), which may potentially increase Cu intake and impact human health. In addition, foliar application of $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$ nanopesticide significantly increased potassium concentration in lettuce leaves by 6-7% and 21-28%, in vascular and photosynthetic tissues. A Gas Chromatography-Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry (GC-TOF-MS) based metabolomics approach was applied to determine hundreds of organic compounds simultaneously. Using relative quantitation and Partial Least Squares-Discriminant Analysis (PLS-DA) clustering of all compounds, clear differences were observed in the metabolite profiles of control and $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$ nanopesticides treated leaves. Discriminating compounds include amino acids, organic acids, polyamines, vitamin C and polyphenols. Dehydroascorbic acid and cis-caffeic acid, which are important antioxidants, were significantly decreased (19-33% and 5-8%) due to foliar exposure to the nanopesticide. Total antioxidant capacity was significantly decreased 20-23% after exposure to $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$ nanopesticides. There was also up- and down-regulation of a number of amino acids, particularly 4-hydroxybutyric acid (GABA) which decreased 50% compared to the control, potentially affecting the overall nutritional value of lettuce leaves exposed to the $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$ nanopesticides. In future work,

determining an appropriate level of nanopesticide will be important to obtain the antifungal benefits without resulting in a significant decrease in nutritional value.

INTRODUCTION

The field of nanotechnology has expanded rapidly in almost all industries and touched almost all aspects of human lives (White and Xing, 2016). Nanopesticide and nanofertilizers have become increasingly popular in modern agriculture for plant protection and nutritional supply (Kah, 2015). Understanding the possible effects of these nanopesticides and nanofertilizers is necessary to compare the benefits of nanotechnology to the potential risks to environmental and human health. Copper (Cu) containing pesticides have been used in agriculture for hundreds of years (Servin and White, 2016, Ma et al., 2016). In the UK the Soil Association permits the use of copper fungicides on organic land used for the production of certified organic crops (2010). According to the US Department of Agriculture, Cu-based pesticides can also be used for organic production (Zhao, 2015). There are currently 209 Cu-based pesticide products registered in California (Regulation, 2009). Therefore, studying the implications of Cu-based nanopesticides which are increasingly popular for plant protection in agriculture, is of great importance.

In recent years, the fate, transport and phytotoxicity of Cu-based nanopesticides on crop plant have recently been investigated extensively (Lee et al., 2008, Stampoulis et al., 2009, Shi et al., 2011, Atha et al., 2012, Trujillo-Reyes et al., 2014, Hong et al., 2015, Zuverza-Mena et al., 2015, Wang et al., 2016). The bioaccumulation of nanopesticides in edible tissues and their impact on the nutritional supply is of great importance because

this intimately relates to human health. Lettuce is an important crop widely consumed throughout the world. According to the United Nations Food and Agriculture Association, worldwide production of lettuce was over 24 million tons with a gross production value of over \$14 billion in 2012. Thus, small nutritional alterations in lettuce could have a significant health benefits or impacts. Lettuce is rich in phytonutrients that have been acknowledged to afford protection against a range of human diseases, e.g., prevent or reduce cardiovascular diseases in rats and humans by improving cholesterol metabolism (Serafini et al., 2002, Nicolle et al., 2004b, Damerum et al., 2015). The healthy properties of lettuce are attributed to a large supply of antioxidant compounds, mainly vitamin C and polyphenols, as well as the fiber content (Serafini et al., 2002, Nicolle et al., 2004b).

Many metabolites influence taste, flavor and nutritional supply. Free amino acids and fatty acids, which are nutritional components of many fruits and vegetables, also play important roles in benefiting human health and maintaining fruit quality (Schieber et al., 2001). Sweetness depends primarily on the amounts and composition of various sugars and sugar alcohols; acidity is determined mostly by the amounts and composition of organic acids (Cuthbertson et al., 2012). Other important phytonutrients, such as carotenoids, chlorophyll, anthocyanin, also play important antioxidant roles and benefit human health (Damerum et al., 2015).

GC-TOF-MS based metabolomics enables the detection of hundreds of metabolites simultaneously. In this study, foliar application of a commercial Cu-based nanopesticide was conducted at two levels (1050 and 1555 mg/L), to 24-day-old lettuce for four weeks. At harvest, Cu and other ions on lettuce leaves were analyzed via ICP-MS and metabolites were determined via GC-TOF-MS. Multivariate analysis including principal

component analysis (PCA) and partial least-squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) were employed to group the treatments. In a previous study we evaluated the defense response and tolerance of lettuce plants to foliar exposure to this nanopesticide (Zhao et al.). Here we considered the impact on nutritional supply. Results revealed that the nutritional supply was altered, especially for a number of metabolites which play an important role as antioxidants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Copper Hydroxide Nanopesticides. $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$ nanoparticles used in this study were in the form of a commercial biocide, Kocide 3000 (Dupont). Detailed physicochemical properties of Kocide 3000 have been reported before (Adeleye et al., 2014, Conway et al., 2015). Specifically, the primary particle size is ~50 to 1,000 nm. The hydrodynamic diameter is 1532 ± 580 nm and the zeta potential is -47.6 ± 43 mV (measured via DLS in Nanopure water at pH 7). Although Kocide 3000 particles are mainly micron-sized, these micronized particles are made up of nanosheets of $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$ that are bound together and can potentially re-dissociate in water. The nanosheets are held together by a rapidly dissolving organic composite. For this reason the pesticide is considered “nano” (Adeleye et al., 2014), although it contains a mixture of nanoparticles and micro-sized particles. Cu content in Kocide 3000 is 26.5 ± 0.9 %, while other elements (C, O, Na, Al, Si, S, and Zn) accounted for 73.5% of the contents.

Plant Growth and Exposure to $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$ Nanopesticides. Lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) seeds were purchased from Seed Savers Exchange (Iowa). Soil was collected from the Natural Reserve System of UC Santa Barbara (Sedgwick) on November 2, 2015. The soil is sandy loamy soil with pH of 5.90 ± 0.04 . Cation exchange capacity is 25.8 ± 0.1 meq

100 g⁻¹. Other soil composition information was described before (Conway et al., 2015). Each lettuce seeds was planted in a Magenta box containing 250 g of soil. Each Magenta box was wrapped with aluminum foil to avoid algal growth. Three treatments (Control, 1050 and 1555 mg/L of Kocide 3000) with 5 replicates was designed. A total of 15 pots of lettuce plants were grown in a greenhouse. The temperature in the greenhouse was maintained at 28 °C at daytime and 20 °C at night. At day 24, exposure of lettuce to different concentrations of Cu(OH)₂ nanopesticides began: 0 (Control), 1050 mg/L (Low concentration), 1555 mg/L (High concentration). Those doses were selected generally followed the manufacturer's recommendation (0.8-1.6 kg/ha). Before spraying, the suspension was sonicated for 30 minutes in cooled water. A hand-held spray bottle was used for spraying. The approximate spray amount for each pot was 8.3 ml per event. The spray frequency was two times per week. A total of eight sprays were done by harvest time. At day 54, the plants were harvested.

Mineral Determination. At harvest, the lettuce plants were gently removed from the soil, thoroughly rinsed with tap water for five minutes and rinsed with Nanopure water three times. We then carefully separated leaf vascular and mesophyll tissues using clean scissors. Both tissues were oven dried at 70 °C for ICP-MS analysis.

Dried plant tissues were digested with a mixture of 4 ml of H₂O₂ and 1 ml of plasma pure HNO₃ (v/v: 4:1) using a microwave oven system (Multiwave Eco, Anton Par) at 165°C for 1 hours. The standard reference materials NIST 1547 and 1570a were also digested and analyzed as samples. The recoveries for all elements were between 90 and 99%. Cu and other six important mineral elements (Mg, P, K, Ca, Fe, Zn) were analyzed using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS 7900 Agilent, USA).

Gas Chromatography-Time of Flight-Mass Spectrometry (GC-TOF-MS) Analysis of Metabolites in Leaves. At harvest, thoroughly washed lettuce leaves were dried, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and ground to powder. The frozen tissues were sent to the University of California Davis for metabolite analysis. A description of sample pretreatment, has been reported before (Badri et al., 2013, Fiehn et al., 2008). An Agilent 6890 Gas Chromatograph (Santa Clara, CA) with an additional 10 m integrated guard column was used to run the samples, controlled using Leco ChromaTOF software version 2.32 (<http://www.leco.com>). Quantification was reported as peak height using the unique ion as default. Metabolites were unambiguously assigned by the BinBase identifier numbers using retention index and mass spectrum as the two most important identification criteria. More details regarding data acquisition, data processing and data reporting are provided in the Supporting Information. PCA and PLS-DA multivariate analysis were performed using online resources (Xia et al., 2015, Zhao et al., 2016). The parameter of variable importance in projection (VIP) is the weighted sum of the squares of the PLS-DA analysis, which indicates the importance of a variable to the entire model (Xia et al., 2015). A variable with a VIP above 1 is regarded as important.

Determination of Total Antioxidant Capacity. Total antioxidant capacity was determined according to (Singleton and Rossi, 1965). Ground lettuce leaves samples (0.01 g) were mixed with 5 mL of 80% methanol, and the mixture was placed in an end-over-end shaker on a Dayton-6Z412A Parallel Shaft (USA) roller mixer with a speed of 70 rpm at room temperature for 12 h to ensure full extraction. After centrifugation at 2000g for 10 min, the supernatant was used to determine the total content of phenolic compounds (Singleton and Rossi, 1965). Specifically, 50 μ L of the methanolic extract

was mixed with 450 μL of DI water and 250 μL of 2 M Folin–Ciocalteu reagent. The mixture was added to 1.25 mL of 20 g/L Na_2CO_3 , incubated at 25 °C for 20 min and then centrifuged at 2000g for 10 min. Supernatant absorbance was measured at 735 nm using a UV–vis spectrometer (Shimadzu UV-1800, Japan). The standard curve was prepared using gallic acid (GA) with a regression $R^2 = 0.998$. The absorbance was converted to phenolic content in terms of a milligram of GA Equivalent (GAE) per gram of dried weight (DW).

Chlorophyll and Carotenoids Analysis. Content of chlorophyll a and b and total carotenoids was determined according to (SESTÁK et al., 1971) with some modification. Specifically, 0.01 g of lettuce leaves were immersed in 5 ml of 80% methanol to extract the pigments. The mixture was centrifuged for 10 minutes at 3000 rpm. Absorbance at 666 and 653 nm was used for chlorophylls a and b, and at 470 nm for carotenoids. Results were expressed as milligrams of total chlorophylls (a + b) or carotenoids per gram of fresh weight or per plant.

Statistical Analysis. The concentration of Cu, minerals, chlorophyll, carotenoids and total antioxidant capacity were statistically analyzed using an independent two sample T-test to determine whether concentration levels were significantly different between control and nanopesticide treatments. P-values were calculated with a two-tailed distribution.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Bioaccumulation of Cu in lettuce. As shown in Table 1, Cu content in control, low and high concentration treatments is 9.9, 823 and 1111 mg/kg dry weight in vascular tissues,

and 13.0, 1353 and 2008 mg/kg dry weight in photosynthetic tissues of lettuce plants, respectively. This indicate lettuce leaves exposed to $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$ nanopesticides have significantly higher amount of Cu compared to the control. It is also noted that leaves have much higher Cu content in photosynthetic than in vascular tissues. Since photosynthetic tissues are the predominant biomass of lettuce leaves, the calculations below will be based on photosynthetic tissue data. The average American consumed 15 kg of lettuce in 2000 (Xia et al., 2015). That means US daily lettuce consumption was ~41 g/person-day (fresh weight). The average water content in lettuce leaves is 96%, so the daily consumption was 1.6 g dry weight /person-day. Thus, daily personal Cu intake from lettuce used in this study would be 21 μg for control, 2200 μg for low and 3300 μg for high levels.

According to the Food and Nutrition Board at the U.S. Institute of Medicine of the National Academies, the recommended average requirement for Cu is 700 μg /person-day, with a tolerable upper intake level of 10 mg/person-day (Trumbo et al., 2001). The third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III) investigation reports showed that the average Cu intake from food alone for men and women aged 19–70 y ranged from 1540 to 1700 mg/day for men and from 1130 to 1180 μg /day for women, respectively(Trumbo et al., 2001). Therefore, Cu intake from consumption of lettuce exposed to $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$ via foliar application would be within the recommended Cu levels, even at the higher application level. However, additional sources of Cu may include other foods, drinking water, and general nutritional supplements. Therefore, total Cu intake may exceed the recommended level unless the consumer is aware of all Cu in her/his diet, and exposure via $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$ exposed lettuce could be a significant increase in

daily dose. Cu, a redox active metal, is an essential element and an integral part of many important enzymes; it participates in several vital biological processes (Gaetke and Chow, 2003). However, excess Cu in the body also poses a risk to human health (Uriu-Adams and Keen, 2005). Chronic Cu toxicity can result in liver disease and severe neurological defects, as liver is the first deposition site after Cu enters the blood (Gaetke and Chow, 2003). Therefore, a higher Cu intake through eating exposed lettuce may pose a potential human health concern.

Effect of Cu(OH)₂ NPs on Mineral Content. The most abundant macro-minerals in lettuce leaves are K (50,200-64,300 mg/kg), Ca (7,050-7,790 mg/kg), Mg (5,680-6,050 mg/kg) and P (6,120-6,330 mg/kg) (Table 1). Generally the photosynthetic tissues contain more nutrient elements than vascular tissues. This is not surprising because vascular tissues serve as nutrient transporters. However, K is different since it accumulates significantly in vascular tissues. K content in photosynthetic tissues was significantly increased ($p < 0.05$) due to exposure to Cu(OH)₂, while Ca content decreased in a dose dependent way, but was not statistically significant. A similar tendency was observed in vascular tissue: K content increased after exposure to Cu (OH)₂, and Ca content was significantly decreased ($p < 0.05$). The reason for increased K after foliar exposure to Cu(OH)₂ nanopesticide is still unknown. Previously we hypothesized that increased K improves the tolerance of lettuce plants to Cu induced stress (Zhao et al., 2016). Independent of the reason for the increase in K, additional K increases lettuce nutritional quality and may have beneficial effects on human health. Studies showed that increasing K intake reduces cardiovascular disease mortality (He and MacGregor, 2008). Dyer et al. evidenced that a 30- to 45-mmol increase in K intake was associated with an average

reduction in population systolic blood pressure of 2–3 mm Hg (Dyer et al., 1994). In addition, reports also showed that a high-K diet may also prevent or at least slow the progression of renal disease (He and MacGregor, 2008). We also observed that exposure to Cu(OH)₂ nanopesticides led to significant decrease in Mg and increase in Zn, in vascular tissues. But since vascular tissues only represent a small contribution of total leaf mass, these changes may not be very important.

Univariate (T-test) and Multivariate (PCA and PLS-DA) Analysis and of GC-TOF-MS Data. A total of 159 organic compounds were identified using GC-TOF-MS. A t-test was performed to identify metabolite concentrations that were significantly different between control and treatments. As shown in Table S1, 39 compounds were significantly different compared to the control ($p < 0.01$). Multivariate analysis methods can take into account many metabolomics features simultaneously and, consequently, they can identify relationship patterns between metabolites (Alonso et al., 2015). In order to get a general idea on grouping between control and Cu(OH)₂ treated lettuces, the GC-TOF-MS data were normalized by sum, followed by log transformation and analyzed by PCA. PCA is an un-supervised data analysis method, which determines the similarity patterns within the data without taking into account the sample type or class (Alonso et al., 2015). As shown in Figure 1A, the control group and the nanopesticides treated groups were clearly separated along PC1, which explained 92% of the difference. Although the two treatment levels were separated from the control, there was not as much separation between low and high levels. PLS-DA is a supervised clustering method, which uses multiple linear regression to maximize the separation between groups and help to understand which variables carry the class-separating information (Jung et al., 2011). PLS-DA showed that

three groups were completely separated along component 1 (Figure 1 B) and the discriminating compounds were screened out by VIP value (Figure S1). Metabolites that were significantly up- or down-regulated are presented in Table 2. Below we discuss 96 metabolites related to nutritional supply.

Primary Metabolites

Carboxylic acid. GC-TOF-MS identified 26 carboxylic acids in lettuce leaves. As shown in Table 2, the most abundant organic acids in lettuce leaves were citric acid, glyceric acid, fumaric acid, maleic acid, and malic acid. Univariate (t-test) and multivariate (PLS-DA) analysis showed that the relative abundance of 8 carboxylic acids were altered in lettuce leaves due to exposure to $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$. Four of these carboxylic acids (fumaric acid, aconitic acid, threonic acid and oxalic acid) were down-regulated. Fumaric acid, a very abundant organic acid in lettuce leaves, decreased 30-54% in lettuce leaves sprayed with $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$ nanopesticides. Malic acid increased 71-96% compared to the control. In lettuce leaves without exposure to $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$, the level of fumaric acid is higher than malic acid. After exposure to $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$, the relative concentration of malic acid is higher than fumaric acid. In addition, malic acid, behenic acid, pyruvic acid and 4-hydroxybutyric acid were up-regulated after exposure to $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$, changing the profile of organic acids. Since organic acids influence flavor, these changes may alter acidity and lettuce flavor.

Amino acid. GC-TOF-MS identified 23 amino acids, including 9 essential or semi-essential amino acids (isoleucine, leucine, lysine, methionine, phenylalanine, threonine, tryptophan, valine, and histidine) and 14 nonessential amino acids (proline, alanine, beta-alanine, oxoproline, asparagine, aspartic acid, glutamine, glycine, gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA), citrulline, ornithine, glutamic acid, serine, tyrosine) (Supporting

Information Excel 1). Based on the t-test results and VIP scores from the PLS-DA analysis, the relative concentration of 10 amino acids changed significantly, with 4 amino acids (alanine, GABA, oxoparoline and lysine,) down-regulated and 6 amino acids (aspartic acid, glutamine, tryptophan, citrulline, glycine, and asparagine) up-regulated. It is noteworthy that the relative abundance of GABA decreased 50-62% ($p < 0.05$). Although GABA is classified as a nonessential amino acid, it has been considered a bioactive plant metabolite and GABA-enriched foods have becoming popular due to the presumed health benefits (Li et al., 2013). GABA is an effective pain reliever and anxiolytic and effective in treating various nervous system disorders (Mody et al., 1994). In addition, it has been found GABA regulates blood pressure (Omori et al., 1987) and alleviates chronic alcohol-related symptoms (Oh et al., 2003). In this study, GABA content was reduced by half due to foliar application of $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$, which indicates the potential benefit from GABA due to lettuce intake will be decreased.

Carbohydrate. GC-TOF-MS identified 25 carbohydrates. Sucrose followed by glucose and fructose were the major sugars present in lettuce leaves. Results showed that levels of these major sugars were not significantly altered by exposure to $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$. The relative abundance of three minor carbohydrates were decreased approximately half compared to control including leucrose, levoglucosan, 1-methylgalactose, while the levels of digitoxose was increased one fold compared to control ($p < 0.05$).

Secondary Metabolites.

Polyamines. Putrescine (Put), spermidine (Spd), and spermine (Spm) form a group of aliphatic amines known as polyamines (Pinto and Ferreira, 2015). Polyamines have been shown to be involved in the differentiation of immune cells and the regulation of the

inflammatory response (Ferioli et al., 2000, Moinard et al., 2005, Larqué et al., 2007). In addition, there is evidence that high polyamine intake in children is significantly correlated with preventing food allergies (Dandrifosse et al., 2000). As shown in Table 2, the level of both ethanolamine and spermidine were up-regulated in 1.7-4.2 and 1.9-2.2 fold, respectively, in lettuce leaves after foliar application of $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$ nanopesticides. In addition, putrescine increased 90-168% in lettuce leaves exposed to $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$ compared to the control. The up-regulated polyamines are possibly a plant stress response to excess Cu. Previous evidence showed exposure to salt or osmotic stress seemed to enhance polyamine biosynthesis in plants, increasing polyamine content (Friedman et al., 1989). Increased polyamine content should benefit the consumer since they are an important health factor (Soulet and Rivest, 2003, Ali et al., 2011).

Polyphenols. In this study 6 phenolics (benzoic acid, 3,4-dihydroxycinnamic acid, 1,2,4-benzenetriol, 4-hydroxyphenylacetic acid, chlorogenic acid) were detected in lettuce leaves. Previous studies showed that caffeic acid derivatives are the main phenolics in green lettuce varieties (Baslam et al., 2011). In our study, we found 3,4-dihydroxycinnamic acid was the most abundant polyphenol followed by chlorogenic acid and cis-caffeic acid (Table 2). The level of cis-caffeic acid significantly decreased ($p < 0.05$) in response to $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$ exposure. In addition, 3,4-dihydroxycinnamic acid and chlorogenic acid decreased 14-28%. Beneficial effects of polyphenols have been documented by several studies, including scavenging of free radicals that can cause cancer (Thavasi et al., 2006). The excellent radical scavenging properties of polyphenols is attributed to the phenolic OH groups present in the ring structures (Rice-Evans et al., 1997, Wang et al., 1997). The health potency of phenolic acid is mainly associated with

its antioxidant activity. A growing body of evidence indicates phenolic acid plays a protective role against diseases associated with oxidative damage, such as coronary heart disease, stroke and cancers (Boeing et al., 2012, Cai et al., 2004, Chong et al., 2010, Liu, 2004). It has also been reported that chlorogenic acids possess strong antioxidant activity and prevent diseases caused by oxidative damage (Fujioka and Shibamoto, 2006, Fang et al., 2002, Benzie and Choi, 2014). 1,2,4-benzenetriol has also been reported to have great radical scavenging ability (Thavasi et al., 2006). The decreased levels of phenolic acids in exposed lettuce leaves may reduce their nutritional benefits.

Vitamins. Four vitamins were detected in lettuce samples including dehydroascorbic acid (DHA, vitamin C), gamma- and alpha-tocopherol (vitamin E), and nicotinamide (vitamin B3). DHA is an important water-soluble vitamin and is an excellent reducing agent and scavenger of free radicals, thus is an important antioxidant in food. DHA content in lettuce leaves was significantly decreased by 19-33% compared to the control ($p < 0.05$) (Table 2). Combining polyphenols data, it seems that most or all compounds with an antioxidant capacity were significantly decreased. This results in a reduced nutrient quality of lettuce leaves.

Total Antioxidant Capacity. Due to the chemical diversity of phenolic compounds in plant samples, it is challenging to determine each individually. The total antioxidant capacity is a better metric, particularly since their health benefits in lettuce are attributed not only to polyphenols, but also vitamins, carotenoids, fiber, and anthocyanins (Nicolle et al., 2004a). The total antioxidant capacity was significantly decreased (~20%, Figure 2) after foliar exposure to $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$, compared to the control. Since Cu is capable of triggering the formation of ROS through Fenton reaction, nutrients/metabolites that

possess antioxidant capabilities may provide protection against Cu-induced oxidative damage. Vitamins and phenolics are beneficial metabolites that may protect key biological constituents such as lipoproteins, membranes and DNA (Szeto et al., 2004). ROS generated due to exposure may be interacting with the antioxidants, decreasing total antioxidant capacity. The nutritional value of exposed lettuce leaves may decrease due to the reduction in beneficial phytonutrients.

Chlorophyll and Carotenoids. Chlorophyll and carotenoids are also important antioxidants in lettuce. As shown in Figure S2, there is a decrease in chlorophyll a and b, as well as in carotenoids due to the exposure to $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$ nanopesticides, but it was not statistically significant.

Conclusions

Nanopesticides may provide a number of benefits over traditional pest control methods in agriculture. However, it is important to understand their bioaccumulation in plant and impact on nutritional value. Our findings suggest that although Cu accumulated in lettuce leaves after foliar application for one month, the levels were within the recommended guidelines. However, consumers that ingest a large amount of lettuces may be exposed to levels that may be near or above the maximum recommended guidelines, particularly if they consume Cu in other foods, water and/or nutritional supplements. Foliar sprayed $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$ nanopesticides increased K concentration in lettuce leaves, which could be beneficial for human health. Cu generates ROS, the plant appears to respond by using its antioxidant capacity, in the form of phenolic compounds, vitamins (C, E and B3), and other metabolites such as cis-caffeic acid, chlorogenic acid, 3,4-dihydroxycinnamic acid

and dehydroascorbic acid, which results in a decrease in the total antioxidant capacity contained in the product to be consumed. There was also up- and down-regulation of a number of amino acids, particularly GABA, which can affect the overall nutritional value of lettuce leaves exposed to the $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$ nanopesticide. Fine-tuning of the amount of nanopesticide applied will be important to obtain the antifungal benefits without resulting in a significant decrease in nutritional value.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under NSF-EF0830117. Any opinions, findings, conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors do not necessarily reflect the views of the funding agencies. The MRL shared Experimental Facilities are supported by the MRSEC Program of the NSF under Award No. DMR 1121053; a member of the NSF-funded Materials Research Facilities Network. We also thank the West Coast Metabolomics Center at UC Davis for carrying out the metabolomics analyses. We also would like to give special thanks to Dr. Oliver Fiehn and Dr. Kelly Paglia for their kind help.

References

2010. Soil Association Organic Standards for Producer.
- ADELEYE, A. S., CONWAY, J. R., PEREZ, T., RUTTEN, P. & KELLER, A. A. 2014. Influence of extracellular polymeric substances on the long-term fate, dissolution, and speciation of copper-based nanoparticles. *Environmental science & technology*, 48, 12561-12568.
- ALI, M. A., POORTVLIET, E., STRÄKMBERG, R. & YNGVE, A. 2011. Polyamines in foods: development of a food database. *Food & nutrition research*, 55.

ALONSO, A., MARSAL, S. & JULIÀ, A. 2015. Analytical methods in untargeted metabolomics: state of the art in 2015. *Frontiers in bioengineering and biotechnology*, 3.

ATHA, D. H., WANG, H., PETERSEN, E. J., CLEVELAND, D., HOLBROOK, R. D., JARUGA, P., DIZDAROGLU, M., XING, B. & NELSON, B. C. 2012. Copper oxide nanoparticle mediated DNA damage in terrestrial plant models. *Environmental science & technology*, 46, 1819-1827.

BADRI, D. V., CHAPARRO, J. M., ZHANG, R., SHEN, Q. & VIVANCO, J. M. 2013. Application of natural blends of phytochemicals derived from the root exudates of Arabidopsis to the soil reveal that phenolic-related compounds predominantly modulate the soil microbiome. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 288, 4502-4512.

BASLAM, M., GARMENDIA, I. & GOICOECHEA, N. 2011. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) improved growth and nutritional quality of greenhouse-grown lettuce. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*, 59, 5504-5515.

BENZIE, I. & CHOI, S.-W. 2014. Antioxidants in food: content, measurement, significance, action, cautions, caveats, and research needs. *Adv Food Nutr Res*, 71, 1-53.

BOEING, H., BECHTHOLD, A., BUB, A., ELLINGER, S., HALLER, D., KROKE, A., LESCHIK-BONNET, E., MÜLLER, M. J., OBERRITTER, H. & SCHULZE, M. 2012. Critical review: vegetables and fruit in the prevention of chronic diseases. *European journal of nutrition*, 51, 637-663.

CAI, Y., LUO, Q., SUN, M. & CORKE, H. 2004. Antioxidant activity and phenolic compounds of 112 traditional Chinese medicinal plants associated with anticancer. *Life sciences*, 74, 2157-2184.

CHONG, M. F.-F., MACDONALD, R. & LOVEGROVE, J. A. 2010. Fruit polyphenols and CVD risk: a review of human intervention studies. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 104, S28-S39.

CONWAY, J. R., ADELEYE, A. S., GARDEA-TORRESDEY, J. & KELLER, A. A. 2015. Aggregation, dissolution, and transformation of copper nanoparticles in natural waters. *Environmental science & technology*, 49, 2749-2756.

CUTHBERTSON, D., ANDREWS, P. K., REGANOLD, J. P., DAVIES, N. M. & LANGE, B. M. 2012. Utility of metabolomics toward assessing the metabolic basis of quality traits in apple fruit with an emphasis on antioxidants. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*, 60, 8552-8560.

DAMERUM, A., SELMES, S. L., BIGGI, G. F., CLARKSON, G. J., ROTHWELL, S. D., TRUCO, M. J., MICHELMORE, R. W., HANCOCK, R. D., SHELLCOCK, C. & CHAPMAN, M. A. 2015. Elucidating the genetic basis of antioxidant status in lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*). *Horticulture research*, 2, 15055.

DANDRIFOSSE, G., PEULEN, O., EL KHEFIF, N., DELOYER, P., DANDRIFOSSE, A.-C. & GRANDFILS, C. 2000. Are milk polyamines preventive agents against food allergy? *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, 59, 81-86.

DYER, A. R., ELLIOTT, P. & SHIPLEY, M. 1994. Urinary electrolyte excretion in 24 hours and blood pressure in the INTERSALT study II. Estimates of electrolyte-blood pressure associations corrected for regression dilution bias. *American journal of epidemiology*, 139, 940-951.

FANG, Y.-Z., YANG, S. & WU, G. 2002. Free radicals, antioxidants, and nutrition. *Nutrition*, 18, 872-879.

FERIOLI, M. E., PIRONA, L. & PINOTTI, O. 2000. Prolactin and polyamine catabolism: specific effect on polyamine oxidase activity in rat thymus. *Molecular and cellular endocrinology*, 165, 51-56.

FIEHN, O., WOHLGEMUTH, G., SCHOLZ, M., KIND, T., LEE, D. Y., LU, Y., MOON, S. & NIKOLAU, B. 2008. Quality control for plant metabolomics: reporting MSI - compliant studies. *The Plant Journal*, 53, 691-704.

FRIEDMAN, R. A., ALTMAN, A. & LEVIN, N. 1989. The effect of salt stress on polyamine biosynthesis and content in mung bean plants and in halophytes. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 76, 295-302.

FUJIOKA, K. & SHIBAMOTO, T. 2006. Quantitation of volatiles and nonvolatile acids in an extract from coffee beverages: correlation with antioxidant activity. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*, 54, 6054-6058.

GAETKE, L. M. & CHOW, C. K. 2003. Copper toxicity, oxidative stress, and antioxidant nutrients. *Toxicology*, 189, 147-163.

HE, F. J. & MACGREGOR, G. A. 2008. Beneficial effects of potassium on human health. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 133, 725-735.

HONG, J., RICO, C. M., ZHAO, L., ADELEYE, A. S., KELLER, A. A., PERALTA-VIDEA, J. R. & GARDEA-TORRESDEY, J. L. 2015. Toxic effects of copper-based nanoparticles or compounds to lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) and alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*). *Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts*, 17, 177-185.

JUNG, Y., AHN, Y. G., KIM, H. K., MOON, B. C., LEE, A. Y. & HWANG, G.-S. 2011. Characterization of dandelion species using ¹H NMR-and GC-MS-based metabolite profiling. *Analyst*, 136, 4222-4231.

KAH, M. 2015. Nanopesticides and nanofertilizers: emerging contaminants or opportunities for risk mitigation? *Frontiers in chemistry*, 3.

LARQUÉ, E., SABATER-MOLINA, M. & ZAMORA, S. 2007. Biological significance of dietary polyamines. *Nutrition*, 23, 87-95.

LEE, W. M., AN, Y. J., YOON, H. & KWEON, H. S. 2008. Toxicity and bioavailability of copper nanoparticles to the terrestrial plants mung bean (*Phaseolus radiatus*) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*): plant agar test for water - insoluble nanoparticles. *Environmental toxicology and chemistry*, 27, 1915-1921.

LI, X., KIM, Y. B., UDDIN, M. R., LEE, S., KIM, S.-J. & PARK, S. U. 2013. Influence of Light on the Free Amino Acid Content and γ -Aminobutyric Acid Synthesis in Brassica juncea Seedlings. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*, 61, 8624-8631.

LIU, R. H. 2004. Potential synergy of phytochemicals in cancer prevention: mechanism of action. *The Journal of nutrition*, 134, 3479S-3485S.

MA, X., WANG, Q., ROSSI, L., EBBS, S. D. & WHITE, J. C. 2016. Multigenerational exposure to cerium oxide nanoparticles: Physiological and biochemical analysis reveals transmissible changes in rapid cycling Brassica rapa. *NanoImpact*, 1, 46-54.

MODY, I., DE KONINCK, Y., OTIS, T. & SOLTESZ, I. 1994. Bridging the cleft at GABA synapses in the brain. *Trends in neurosciences*, 17, 517-525.

MOINARD, C., CYNOBER, L. & DE BANDT, J.-P. 2005. Polyamines: metabolism and implications in human diseases. *Clinical Nutrition*, 24, 184-197.

NICOLLE, C., CARDINAULT, N., GUEUX, E., JAFFRELO, L., ROCK, E., MAZUR, A., AMOUROUX, P. & RÉMÉSY, C. 2004a. Health effect of vegetable-based diet:

lettuce consumption improves cholesterol metabolism and antioxidant status in the rat. *Clinical Nutrition*, 23, 605-614.

NICOLLE, C., CARNAT, A., FRAISSE, D., LAMAISON, J. L., ROCK, E., MICHEL, H., AMOUROUX, P. & REMESY, C. 2004b. Characterisation and variation of antioxidant micronutrients in lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* folium). *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 84, 2061-2069.

OH, S.-H., SOH, J.-R. & CHA, Y.-S. 2003. Germinated brown rice extract shows a nutraceutical effect in the recovery of chronic alcohol-related symptoms. *Journal of medicinal food*, 6, 115-121.

OMORI, M., YANO, T., OKAMOTO, J., TSUSHIDA, T., MURAI, T. & HIGUCHI, M. 1987. Effect of anaerobically treated tea (Gabaron tea) on blood pressure of spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Journal of the Agricultural Chemical Society of Japan (Japan)*.

PINTO, E. & FERREIRA, I. M. 2015. Changes in the Content of Free and Conjugated Polyamines during Lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) Growth. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*, 63, 440-446.

REGULATION, C. D. O. P. 2009. California Department of Pesticide Regulation CDPR Database.

RICE-EVANS, C., MILLER, N. & PAGANGA, G. 1997. Antioxidant properties of phenolic compounds. *Trends in plant science*, 2, 152-159.

SCHIEBER, A., KELLER, P. & CARLE, R. 2001. Determination of phenolic acids and flavonoids of apple and pear by high-performance liquid chromatography. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 910, 265-273.

SERAFINI, M., BUGIANESI, R., SALUCCI, M., AZZINI, E., RAGUZZINI, A. & MAIANI, G. 2002. Effect of acute ingestion of fresh and stored lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) on plasma total antioxidant capacity and antioxidant levels in human subjects. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 88, 615-623.

SERVIN, A. D. & WHITE, J. C. 2016. Nanotechnology in agriculture: Next steps for understanding engineered nanoparticle exposure and risk. *NanoImpact*, 1, 9-12.

SESTÁK, Z., CATSKÝ, J. & JARVIS, P. G. 1971. Plant photosynthetic production. Manual of methods. *Plant photosynthetic production. Manual of methods*.

SHI, J., ABID, A. D., KENNEDY, I. M., HRISTOVA, K. R. & SILK, W. K. 2011. To duckweeds (*Landoltia punctata*), nanoparticulate copper oxide is more inhibitory than the soluble copper in the bulk solution. *Environmental Pollution*, 159, 1277-1282.

SINGLETON, V. & ROSSI, J. A. 1965. Colorimetry of total phenolics with phosphomolybdic-phosphotungstic acid reagents. *American journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 16, 144-158.

SOULET, D. & RIVEST, S. 2003. Polyamines play a critical role in the control of the innate immune response in the mouse central nervous system. *The Journal of cell biology*, 162, 257-268.

STAMPOULIS, D., SINHA, S. K. & WHITE, J. C. 2009. Assay-dependent phytotoxicity of nanoparticles to plants. *Environmental science & technology*, 43, 9473-9479.

SZETO, Y., KWOK, T. C. & BENZIE, I. F. 2004. Effects of a long-term vegetarian diet on biomarkers of antioxidant status and cardiovascular disease risk. *Nutrition*, 20, 863-866.

THAVASI, V., LEONG, L. P. & BETTENS, R. P. A. 2006. Investigation of the influence of hydroxy groups on the radical scavenging ability of polyphenols. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 110, 4918-4923.

TRUJILLO-REYES, J., MAJUMDAR, S., BOTEZ, C., PERALTA-VIDEA, J. & GARDEA-TORRESDEY, J. 2014. Exposure studies of core-shell Fe/Fe₃O₄ and Cu/CuO NPs to lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) plants: Are they a potential physiological and nutritional hazard? *Journal of hazardous materials*, 267, 255-263.

TRUMBO, P., YATES, A. A., SCHLICKER, S. & POOS, M. 2001. Dietary reference intakes: vitamin A, vitamin K, arsenic, boron, chromium, copper, iodine, iron, manganese, molybdenum, nickel, silicon, vanadium, and zinc. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 101, 294-301.

URIU-ADAMS, J. Y. & KEEN, C. L. 2005. Copper, oxidative stress, and human health. *Molecular aspects of medicine*, 26, 268-298.

WANG, H., CAO, G. & PRIOR, R. L. 1997. Oxygen radical absorbing capacity of anthocyanins. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 45, 304-309.

WANG, Z., XU, L., ZHAO, J., WANG, X., WHITE, J. C. & XING, B. 2016. CuO Nanoparticle Interaction with *Arabidopsis thaliana*: Toxicity, Parent-Progeny Transfer, and Gene Expression. *Environmental Science & Technology*.

WHITE, J. C. & XING, B. 2016. Environmental Nanotoxicology. *Environmental science & technology*, 50, 5423-5423.

XIA, J., SINELNIKOV, I. V., HAN, B. & WISHART, D. S. 2015. MetaboAnalyst 3.0—making metabolomics more meaningful. *Nucleic acids research*, 43, W251-W257.

ZHAO, L., HU, J., HUANG, Y., WANG, H., ADELEYE, A., ORTIZ, C. & KELLER, A. A. 2016. ¹H NMR and GC-MS based metabolomics reveal nano-Cu altered cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) fruit nutritional supply. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*.

ZHAO, L., ORTIZ, C., ADELEYE, A. S., HU, Q., ZHOU, H., HUANG, Y. & KELLER, A. A. Approaching Metabolomics to Detect Response of Lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) to Cu(OH)₂ Nano-pesticides: Oxidative Stress Response and Detoxification Mechanism. *Environmental Science & Technology*, under review.

ZHAO, L., SUN, Y., HERNANDEZ-VIEZCAS, J.A., HONG, J., MAJUMDAR, S., NIU, G., DUARTE-GARDEA, M., PERALTA-VIDEA, J.R., GARDEA-TORRESDEY, J.L. 2015. Monitoring the environmental effects of CeO₂ and ZnO nanoparticles through the life cycle of corn (*Zea mays*) plants and in situ μ -XRF mapping of nutrients in kernels. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 49, 2921-2928.

ZUVERZA-MENA, N., MEDINA-VELO, I. A., BARRIOS, A. C., TAN, W., PERALTA-VIDEA, J. R. & GARDEA-TORRESDEY, J. L. 2015. Copper nanoparticles/compounds impact agronomic and physiological parameters in cilantro (*Coriandrum sativum*). *Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts*, 17, 1783-1793.

Table 1. Effect of Cu(OH)₂ nanopesticide on ionic homeostasis in lettuce leaves (mg/kg dry weight)

Vascular tissue														
	K	SD	Ca*	SD	Mg*	SD	P	SD	Cu*	SD	Fe	SD	Zn*	SD
Control	88766	8923	5651	280	3812	194	3657	265	9.9	5.0	28.3	5.2	7.3	0.4
210 mg/L	94169	5760	5127	263	3165	303	3403	287	823	110	30.3	9.3	8.9	1.2
310 mg/L	95837	4264	4975	201	3024	306	3276	325	1111	80	30.7	1.6	8.6	0.9
Photosynthesis tissue														
	K*	SD	Ca	SD	Mg	SD	P	SD	Cu*	SD	Fe	SD	Zn	SD
Control	50208	1666	7787	595	5685	198	6116	402	13.0	5.9	88.5	64.6	21.8	0.2
210 mg/L	64344	4907	7583	368	6051	348	6759	639	1353.1	324	75.7	11.8	27.9	3.1
310 mg/L	60868	3438	7046	458	5676	329	6328	383	2007.9	438	70.7	10.2	25.5	2.4

The data are the means of five replications with standard Deviation (SD). * means significant compared to control (p<0.05)

Table 2. Relative abundance of compounds in lettuce leaves exposure to different concentration of Cu(OH)₂ nanopesticides

		Control	Low	High	<i>p</i> value	VIP value	Trend
<i>Carboxylic acid</i>	citric acid	1736398	1140656	1239902			
	glyceric acid	1365428	2108719	1492753			
	fumaric acid	573164	400902	263180		>1	↓
	maleic acid	459140	427778	240720			
	malic acid	314716	540102	619431		>1	↑
	quinic acid	143473	159256	129985			
	tartaric acid	130275	153754	137909			
	succinic acid	44552	47143	48986			
	isocitric acid	20707	14391	15482			
	shikimic acid	17302	24562	22174			
	aconitic acid	12032	6441	6372		>1	↓
	threonic acid	10365	5513	4848	0.018	>1	↓
	pelargonic acid	8777	9892	10123			
	alpha-ketoglutarate	5672	19331	10959			
	behenic acid	3061	264584	3881		>3	↑
	xylonic acid	2076	2049	1216			
	gluconic acid	1486	1683	1171			
	benzoic acid	1410	1521	1544			
	mucic acid	1287	2334	2250			
	galacturonic acid	1054	1265	1423			
	lactic acid	943	1123	1115			
	oxalic acid	851	452	570		>1	↓
	glycolic acid	703	662	562			
	pentonic acid	596	795	759			

	4-hydroxybutyric acid	511	794.2	1602.4	0.029		↑
	pyruvic acid	429	1784	1523		>2	↑
	alpha-aminoadipic acid	80	91	103			
<i>Amino acid</i>	glutamic acid	140056	116451	100490			
	oxoproline	86649	35307	35380	0.015	>1	↓
	alanine	54992	52973	49233	0.042		↓
	aspartic acid	34679	92582	95542		>1	↑
	proline	25447	22480	16559			
	serine	23321	39235	41559			
	valine	17342	16974	15552			
	leucine	14670	10308	10740			
	glutamine	12721	27886	24203		>1	↑
	isoleucine	11183	12195	9484			
	threonine	9099	14475	11250			
	tyrosine	7179	6779	6376			
	lysine	6373	3453	4135		>1	↓
	4-aminobutyric acid (GABA)	5881	2928	2216	0.029	>1	↓
	phenylalanine	5752	5508	5467			
	tryptophan	3951	12783	7561		>2	↑
	ornithine	1211	1321	993			
	citrulline	817	8182	17337		>1	↑
	glycine	575	1879	1909		>1	↑
	asparagine	570	1003	979		>1	↑
	beta-alanine	425	386	136			
	methionine	423	636	534			
	histidine	414	799	950			
<i>Carbohydrate</i>	fructose	555485	746995	574515			
	sucrose	452421	475134	446317			
	glucose	169937	264784	200649			
	N-acetylmannosamine	42080	32921	30032			
	glycerol	22754	42532	34070			

	xylose	22143	33443	25574			
	maltose	13927	20382	19301			
	fucose	12472	15752	15141			
	lactulose	11942	8905	6384			
	leucrose	9574	5464	4956	0.051	>1	↓
	levoglucosan	6819	2672	3462		>1	↓
	erythritol	6332	6726	6931			
	lyxose	4604	7326	7732			
	arabitol	3979	3747	3859			
	1-methylgalactose	3949	838	1999	0.000	>1	↓
	trehalose	3159	4245	3509			
	sorbitol	3063	2824	2692			
	xylulose	1873	1890	1726			
	arabinose	1775	2339	1997			
	ribose	1525	2174	1988			
	malonic acid	1166	1611	1611			
	lactitol	1124	1519	995			
	mannitol	966	1586	2771			
	hexadecane	666	866	674			
	digitoxose	514	1159	1249	0.000	>1	↑
Polyamines	ethanolamine	16315	69337	27933	0.055	>1	↑
	putrescine	8136	15476	21875	0.071		↑
	spermidine	238	531	459		>1	↑
Polyphenols	3,4-dihydroxycinnamic acid	750490	600926	643293			↑
	chlorogenic acid	162674	138974	116635			↑
	cis-caffeic acid	56376	51735	53363	0.038		↑
	4-hydroxyphenylacetic acid	1605	1221	1375			
	benzoic acid	1469	1521	1544			
	1,2,4-benzenetriol	258	198	524			
Vitamines	dehydroascorbic acid (DHA)	119081	79676	95955	0.000		↑
	tocopherol gamma-	18231	19482	23231			

	tocopherol alpha-	8184	8522	9734			
Fatty acids	stearic acid	87071	95791	122239			
	palmitic acid	15520	19537	24383			
	lauric acid	7278	12185	11423			
	linolenic acid	4211	4367	4880			
	montanic acid	3451	3797	5986			
	myristic acid	2209	2433	2202			
	lignoceric acid	2047	3268	4079			
	cerotinic acid	2038	2993	3502	0.006		↑
	arachidic acid	1873	1604	1826			
	linoleic acid	1495	1600	2054			
Metal Chelators	nicotianamine				0.029	>4	↑
Others	phytol	30919	15251	18972	0.009		↓
	uridine	27473	37010	38893			
	UDP-glucuronic acid	14006	10969	10483		>1	↓
	beta-mannosylglycerate	8780	24446	56593	0.005	>2	↑
	alpha-ketoglutarate	5672	13076	14392	0.009	>1	↑
	hydroxylamine	1779	1209	833		>1	↓
	adenosine	1403	1080	741			
	mannitol	966	1586	2771			
	fructose-6-phosphate	549	757	1568		>2	↑
	beta-sitosterol	496	986	1541			
	glucose-6-phosphate	443	844	2665		>3	↑
	uracil	386	404	580			
	arbutin	263	278	235			

The data are means of five replications. P value is from T-test; VIP score is from PLS-DA analysis.

Low and High represents lettuces was exposed to 1050 and 1555 mg/L Cu(OH)₂ nanopesticides

Figure legends

Figure 1. Multivariate analysis of GC-TOF-MS metabolites data of lettuce photosynthesis tissues , A: Score plot of Principle Component Analysis (PCA); B: Score plot of Partial Least Square Discriminant Analysis (PLS-DA). After 24 days lettuce plants were exposed to different concentrations (0, 1050 and 1555 mg/L) of Cu(OH)₂ nanopesticide for one month. At harvest, lettuce leaves were collected and analyzed by GC-TOF-MS. Each treatment had 5 replicates.

Figure 2. Effects of different concentrations of Cu(OH)₂ nanopesticide on total antioxidant capacity of lettuce leaves. Error bar represents the standard deviation of five replicates. Asterisk above bar means significant difference compared to control ($p < 0.05$).

Figure 1.

Figure 2.