**Bronze Age fuel use and its implications for agrarian landscapes
in the eastern Mediterranean**

Patricia L. Fall*

Department of Geography and Earth Sciences
University of North Carolina Charlotte
Charlotte, NC 28223
USA

pfall@uncc.edu

Steven E. Falconer

Department of Anthropology
University of North Carolina Charlotte
Charlotte, NC 28223
USA

sfalcon1@uncc.edu

JoAnna Klinge

School of Geographical Sciences and Urban Planning
Arizona State University
Tempe, AZ 85287-5302
USA

Joanna.Klinge@asu.edu

* Corresponding author

Keywords: Bronze Age, charcoal, Cyprus, fuel use, Jordan, seeds, pyrotechnology, wood

Research Article

Abstract

We compare carbonized seeds and charcoal excavated from four Bronze Age settlements in the eastern Mediterranean to infer distinctions in fuel use and the exploitation of woody vegetation amid developing anthropogenic landscapes. Charcoal evidence generally implicates combustion of fuel wood, while burned seeds commonly result from dung fuel use. Varying fuel consumption profiles reflect the availability of woody vegetation and cultivation practices that reveal temporal and geographical dynamics on Bronze Age agrarian landscapes. In the farmlands of the northern Jordan Valley, villagers at Early Bronze Tell Abu en-Nia‘j and subsequently at Middle Bronze Tell el-Hayyat relied heavily on dung for their fuel needs, supplemented by burning of orchard prunings and to a lesser extent wood from nearby riparian vegetation. In this agrarian setting, limited availability of forest resources engendered exploitation of the highest diversities of cultivated crops, weedy species and woody plants. The village of Zahrat adh-Dhra‘ 1 (contemporaneous with Tell el-Hayyat) on the arid, sparsely populated Dead Sea Plain relied less on dung fuel, and similarly harvested wood from orchards, while also utilizing the desert trees *Acacia* and *Tamarix*. Fuel use at Politiko-Troullia, Cyprus suggests ready access to *Pinus* and *Quercus* forests, and burning of *Olea* wood from nearby orchards, associated with little dung fuel use and the lowest taxonomic diversity of fuel sources among these four Bronze Age communities. The range of evidence for fuel use at these settlements reflects varying exploitation strategies based on plant resources and animal management in agrarian countrysides, as well as the larger influences of urbanized or non-urbanized society during the development of Bronze Age anthropogenic landscapes.

1. Introduction

A fundamental challenge for understanding the agrarian ecology of early complex societies is to integrate archaeological evidence of crop management, fuel use and natural vegetation. The archaeological analysis of carbonized plant remains documents the presence of specific crops and woody plant species on prehistoric landscapes (Hillman 1984). Comparative analysis may be used to infer spatial and temporal differences in agriculture and vegetation that reflect the dynamics of human-environmental interaction and the development of agrarian ecosystems (Willcox 1996; Miller 1998; Miller et al. 2009; Smith & Munro 2009).

This study investigates these inter-related facets of Bronze Age agricultural society in the eastern Mediterranean to permit inference of crop cultivation and woody vegetation in a larger context of developing anthropogenic landscapes (e.g., Miller 2013). Our primary data derive from carbonized seeds and charcoal excavated from four Bronze Age settlements in Jordan and Cyprus. Comparative analysis among these sites allows us to investigate three general questions: (1) How do carbonized seeds, incorporated primarily through combustion of dung fuel, indicate differences and similarities in cultivated crops and wild plant cover associated with Bronze Age settlements in the eastern Mediterranean? (2) How do charcoal remains, incorporated primarily through burning of fuel wood, indicate differences and similarities in fuel sources and woody vegetation available to Bronze Age villagers? (3) How do comparisons of fuel use and vegetation illuminate the ways in which these communities implemented agrarian ecologies and molded anthropogenic landscapes in geographically distinct Mediterranean settings, with and without the influences of early urbanism?

2. Geographical and Cultural Context

The four focal settlements for this study lie along the Jordan Rift in the Southern Levant (i.e., the lands of modern Israel, Palestine and western Jordan) and on the Mediterranean island of Cyprus (Figure 1). Tell Abu en-Ni‘aj (hereafter Ni‘aj) and Tell el-Hayyat (Hayyat) in the northern Jordan Valley, and Zahrat adh-Dhra‘ 1 (ZAD) in the Dead Sea basin are situated below sea level. Hayyat and Ni‘aj occupy nearly identical habitats; Ni‘aj is perched on edge of the Jordan River floodplain, while Hayyat sits in fields only 1.5 km immediately to the east. Politiko-Troullia (*Troullia* or PT) lies at the heart of Cyprus, just above 400 m elevation in the foothills of the Troodos Mountains (Table 1). All four settlements are situated in the eastern Mediterranean basin, which is characterized by relatively wet, cool winters and long, dry and hot summers. Temperature variation relates mainly to elevation and proximity to the Mediterranean Sea. Precipitation generally decreases from north to south as the influence of cyclonic storms declines to the south and with distance from the Mediterranean Sea. Precipitation also varies according to topography, with the least precipitation falling at the lowest elevations of the Jordan Rift.

Bronze Age society in the Southern Levant (ca. 3500-1200 BC) followed a variety of trajectories in which sedentary agrarian settlements and their populations alternately aggregated or dispersed (Falconer & Savage 1995, 2009). The Early Bronze Age (beginning ca. 3500 BC) witnessed the development of fortified towns atop mounded *tell* sites (de Miroschedji 2009, 2014; Greenberg 2014; cf. Chesson & Philip 2003). By about 2300 BC, these towns were abandoned across the Southern Levant, and populations shifted to farming hamlets and seasonal herding encampments during the last portion of this period, commonly termed Early Bronze IV or the Intermediate Bronze Age (e.g., Palumbo 1991; Dever 1995; Cohen 2009; Prag 2014).

Subsequently, walled towns redeveloped rapidly atop Levantine *tells* during the Middle Bronze Age (ca. 2000-1500 BC) (e.g., Greenberg 2002; Bourke 2014; Cohen 2014). The communities at Ni‘aj and Hayyat occupied very similar geographical settings during consecutive periods of town abandonment (during the Early Bronze IV occupation of Ni‘aj) and redevelopment (during Middle Bronze habitation at Hayyat) (Falconer & Fall 2006; 2009) (Table 2). Politiko-Troullia, ZAD and the earlier phases at Hayyat were occupied contemporaneously in the Middle Bronze Age. However, ZAD was far removed socially and geographically from the fertile northern Jordan Rift and the Southern Levant’s Middle Bronze Age towns (Edwards et al. 2001; Fall et al. 2007). Politiko-Troullia, inhabited at the beginning of the Middle Bronze Age (Falconer & Fall 2013a), lay adjacent to fertile agricultural land but, in contrast to the Levant, the island of Cyprus did not experience initial urbanization until the subsequent Late Bronze Age (Peltenburg 1996; Knapp 2008; Steel 2014).

3. Material and Methods

The evidence from these four Bronze Age settlements was recovered and analyzed in a series of archaeological projects using consistent field and laboratory methods. In addition, all four settlements represent agrarian communities practicing mixed agro-pastoralism in which paleobotanical remains were recovered from similar depositional contexts as a result of a suite of shared domestic behaviors, especially those involving combustion of dung and wood fuels. This methodological and contextual consistency permits controlled comparisons of fuel use and vegetation between villages: (1) inhabited sequentially during urban abandonment (Ni‘aj) followed by reurbanization (Hayyat) (Fall et al. 2002; Falconer et al. 2004); (2) situated contemporaneously in a Bronze Age agrarian breadbasket (Hayyat) vs. desert margin (ZAD);

and (3) occupied during early Levantine urbanism (Hayyat and ZAD) vs. preurban Cyprus (*Troullia*) (Falconer & Fall 2013a).

Archaeological sediments with visible burned organic content at each of these settlements were processed by water flotation to recover plant macrofossils during excavations conducted between 1982 and 2014 (see detailed methods in Klinge and Fall 2010: 38-43). Our previous studies show that this sampling method optimizes recovery of macrobotanical remains from archaeological sediments (e.g., Falconer and Fall 2006). After flotation in the field, samples containing carbonized seeds and charcoal were poured through nested 4.75 mm, 2 mm, 1 mm and 0.5 mm mesh sieves (Falconer & Fall 2006: 38-43; Klinge & Fall 2010; Klinge 2013). All material 0.5 mm or larger was sorted under a binocular microscope to separate charcoal fragments from charred seeds. Seeds and seed fragments were identified using Fall's personal reference collection and comparative literature (e.g., Helbaek 1958; Renfrew 1973; Zohary & Hopf 1973; Zohary & Spiegel-Roy 1975; Van Zeist 1976; Hillman 1978; Van Zeist & Bakker-Herres 1982; Hubbard 1992; Jacomet 2006), counted and organized into vegetation categories (Klinge & Fall 2010; Klinge 2013). Charred seeds constitute four main vegetation categories to facilitate comparative analysis between sites: cultivated cereals, cultivated legumes, fruit-bearing trees and vines, and wild species indicative of pastures and agricultural fields (Lines 1995; Fall et al. 2002; Falconer et al. 2004; Falconer and Fall 2006; Fall et al. 2007).

The charcoal fragments from each sample were segregated from the seed remains and weighed collectively. Charcoal specimens were identified taxonomically for this study by comparing photos taken using scanning electron microscopy with published keys (Fahn et al. 1986; Schweingruber 1990; Gale & Cutler 2000; Schweingruber et al. 2011; Akkemik & Yaman 2012). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (JEOL JSM6300 at Arizona State University) was

chosen: (1) to permit analysis of the charcoal fragments from *Troullia*, which are too small to analyze with a dissecting microscope; (2) to provide the best optical resolution for identification of anatomical features; and (3) to permit use of a standard methodology for all four sites. The identified charcoal fragments from each site were organized in three vegetation categories: tree, shrub and orchard species (Klinge & Fall 2010; Klinge 2013).

Counts of identified seed and charcoal specimens recovered from each Bronze Age village are tabulated taxonomically (see supplementary data S1). These data are used to calculate densities, ubiquity and relative frequencies for seed and charcoal taxa, as well as site-by-site diversity indices (Shannon, Gini-Simpson and Berger-Parker Indices) (see supplementary data S2 and methodological discussion in Marston 2014: 164-169). The densities, ubiquity and relative frequencies of taxa are compared between settlements, and specimen counts for seed and charcoal vegetation categories are assessed using Chi Square tests for significant differences among all four sites and in pairwise comparisons between sites.

4. Results

4.1 Carbonized Seeds

Seed counts for four vegetation categories differ significantly ($p < 0.0001$) between all four settlements and in all pairwise comparisons between sites (see supplementary data S1), suggesting four distinct seed assemblages. Seed densities, ubiquity and relative frequencies reveal notable points of contrast. Tell Abu en-Ni‘aj, Tell el-Hayyat and Zahrat adh-Dhra‘ 1 produce similar overall seed densities and similarly high seed ubiquity, while Politiko-*Troullia* reveals much lower density (more than an order of magnitude smaller) and ubiquity, despite the flotation of a much larger number of samples and greater overall sample volume (Table 3). This

general pattern also characterizes seed densities and ubiquity from orchard taxa, which are highest at Hayyat, Ni‘aj and ZAD, but much lower at *Troullia*. Cereal (*Hordeum*, *Triticum*) densities and ubiquity are highest at Ni‘aj and Hayyat, and again particularly low at *Troullia*. A variety of pulses appear at Hayyat, Ni‘aj and ZAD (densities and ubiquity values in descending order), but are strikingly absent from *Troullia*. Seeds from wild species are found in a range of densities from most abundant at ZAD to least abundant at *Troullia*. Wild seeds are most ubiquitous at Ni‘aj, followed by ZAD, and again least common at *Troullia*. In overview, seed densities and ubiquity values point to a fundamental distinction between very modest and less common seed deposition at *Troullia* (both overall and according to vegetation categories) in comparison to all three Levantine settlements.

When viewed according to relative frequencies, the seed assemblages from ZAD, Hayyat and Ni‘aj feature substantial proportions of barley and wheat (*Hordeum* spp. and *Triticum* spp., especially at Hayyat; Fig. 2) augmented by minor elements of pulses (*Cicer*, *Lathyrus*, *Lens*, *Pisum* and *Vicia*; Fig. 3). *Hordeum:Triticum* ratios are highest at ZAD (4.7:1), with descending values for Ni‘aj (3.3:1), Hayyat (1.4:1) and *Troullia* (0.6:1) (see supplementary data S1). Wild and weed taxa form the majority of the seed assemblage at ZAD, nearly half at Ni‘aj, and 25-30% at Hayyat and *Troullia*. The wild taxa from ZAD, Ni‘aj and Hayyat include a variety of wild legumes and grasses; the evidence from *Troullia* includes seeds from *Pistacia* trees. A distinct majority of the seeds from *Troullia* (> 65% of the site’s assemblage) represents orchard taxa (*Ficus*, *Olea* and *Vitis*), all of which are found in greater relative frequencies at *Troullia* than at any of the other settlements.

4.2 Charcoal Remains

As with the seed data, charcoal specimen counts for three vegetation categories differ significantly in aggregate and pairwise comparisons (see supplementary data S1), in keeping with distinct charcoal assemblages. Tell Abu en-Ni‘aj reveals the highest overall charcoal density, with a value more than four times greater than *Troullia*, and nearly 10 times higher than ZAD or Hayyat (Table 4). Charcoal densities and ubiquity do not follow similar rank orders to the same extent they do for seed values. For example, charcoal ubiquity at Ni‘aj, despite its high density, is substantially lower than at the other sites, all of which produce ubiquity values at or near 100%. Ni‘aj also produces the highest charcoal density values for each of the vegetation categories: trees, shrubs and orchard taxa. In comparison to Ni‘aj, substantially lower tree charcoal densities are found at *Troullia* (less than half of Ni‘aj’s value), ZAD and Hayyat. Similarly, shrub charcoal densities are much lower at Hayyat (less than 25% of Ni‘aj), while ZAD and *Troullia* have values less than 10% that of Ni‘aj. Orchard charcoal densities distinguish Ni‘aj most clearly from *Troullia* (<15% of Ni‘aj), ZAD and Hayyat (both <5% of Ni‘aj). Despite lower density values, tree charcoal remains are much more common among samples from ZAD and *Troullia* than from Ni‘aj. Shrub charcoal ubiquity is highest at Hayyat and lowest at *Troullia*, although Ni‘aj produces a much higher shrub density value. Unlike trees and shrubs, charcoal densities and ubiquity for orchard taxa describe the same rank order among sites, with the highest values at Ni‘aj and the lowest at ZAD.

The charcoal assemblages reflect varying combinations of tree, shrub and orchard species (Fig. 4). Substantial proportions of charcoal from all four settlements represent tree taxa (from 39% at Ni‘aj to 77% at ZAD). Fuel wood profiles vary between a predominance of upland trees at *Troullia* (especially *Pinus* and *Quercus*), contrasting with evidence for *Tamarix* and riparian trees at Ni‘aj and Hayyat, which are supplemented with *Acacia* wood at ZAD (Fig. 5). While

statistically distinct, charcoal counts from *Troullia* and ZAD are more similar than any other pairwise comparison, due in part to the predominance of tree charcoal at both sites. Shrub charcoal represents a wide variety of taxa (measuring from <5% at *Troullia* to nearly 33% at Hayyat), with the Hayyat assemblage distinguished by substantial remains of *Chenopodiaceae*. Charcoal from orchard taxa at the three Levantine settlements ranges between 13% at ZAD to 45% at Niaj, and includes varying combinations of *Ficus*, *Olea*, *Punica* and *Vitis* (with *Punica* absent at Hayyat and *Olea* absent at ZAD). The orchard charcoal at *Troullia*, on the other hand, comes exclusively from *Olea*.

4.3 Fuel Resources & Species Diversity

Seed:charcoal ratios, whether based on seed weight or counts, clearly show the greatest relative seed deposition at Ni'aj (Table 5). Ratios based on seed and charcoal weight indicate near parity at Hayyat, and greater deposition of charcoal at *Troullia* and ZAD. Ratios based on seed count and charcoal weight illustrate the greater relative importance of seed deposition at Ni'aj particularly well, especially in comparison to values more than an order of magnitude lower for the other three sites. Both sets of ratios show the greatest relative deposition of charcoal at ZAD, followed by *Troullia*, Hayyat and Ni'aj (in descending order). Calculation of Shannon and Gini-Simpson diversity indices (Table 6; Appendix S2) reveals a greater range in diversity between settlements based on wood taxa than seed taxa. The highest taxonomic diversity characterizes the charcoal remains found at Ni'aj, with diminishing values for Hayyat and ZAD. The lowest diversity is apparent for wood species at *Troullia*. Taxonomic diversity among seed assemblages covers a narrower range from the greatest diversity at Hayyat, followed by descending values at Ni'aj, ZAD and *Troullia*. When viewed jointly, these results show more

pronounced diversity among the taxa consumed as fuel in the northern Jordan Valley (at Ni‘aj and Hayyat) and the lowest taxonomic diversity, whether based on seeds or charcoal, at *Troullia* on Cyprus.

5. Discussion

This study uses data derived from seeds and charcoal to infer fuel preferences and availability in a context of agricultural economy, local vegetation and plant use. All four focal settlements provide evidence of differing arrays of carbonized seeds ranging among perennial orchard species, annual cereals and pulses, and wild and weedy taxa. The charcoal evidence includes a wide variety of trees, shrubs and orchard species, which collectively represent the woody vegetation available as fuel from the landscapes around these villages. With these considerations in mind, comparative analysis of identified carbonized seeds and charcoal specimens permits inference of spatial and temporal distinctions in woody vegetation and fuel use on ancient eastern Mediterranean landscapes.

5.1 *Charcoal and carbonized seeds as indicators of fuel use*

Charred botanical remains provide a particularly valuable means of inferring the agrarian ecologies of early complex societies (Miller 2013). The deposition of seeds and charcoal in archaeological sites results from a wide variety of activities related to fuel combustion, processing of crops, burnt structures or food remains (see critical discussion of charcoal deposition in Western 1971). Charcoal remains provide evidence for the intentional selection and consumption of woody vegetation, particularly as locally available sources of fuel and construction materials for ancient communities (Smart and Hoffman 1988; Lev-Yadun et al. 1996; Asouti 2003; Asouti & Austin 2005; Hunt et al. 2007; Deckers et al. 2008; Deckers &

Pessin 2010; Deckers 2011; Kimiaie & McCorriston 2013). Ancient wood foragers tended to choose their wood sources according to their value or suitability for an intended use, as well as the amount of effort and time needed to process them (Marston 2009: 2193). Charcoal from wood harvested for construction tends to be found in the remains of burned structures, while fuel use is reflected in charcoal produced by pyrotechnic behaviors (Marston 2009: 2194). In ancient contexts, charcoal combustion may be a byproduct of a variety of pyrotechnic domestic or industrial activities ranging from cooking to metallurgy to firing of pottery (Levy et al. 2002; Hunt et al. 2007; Scott & Damblon 2010; Théry-Parisot et al. 2010). Archaeologically, charcoal evidence reflects a common preference for wood fuel (Nesbitt 1995; Lev-Yadun et al. 1996; Willcox 1996; Kimiaie & McCorriston 2013) and thereby the availability of woody vegetation on ancient landscapes (Smart & Hoffman 1988; Vernet 1997; Willcox 2002; Asouti & Austin 2005).

Similarly, carbonized seeds are deposited archaeologically as a result of multiple activities, including refuse burning, crop processing, burning of stored supplies and fuel consumption (e.g., McCreery 1980; Lucas et al. 2012), particularly through combustion of animal dung (Miller 1998). Some of these activities may produce carbonized remains in specific contexts. For example, accidental burning of stored material often leaves concentrated evidence in storage rooms or jars. Other activities, like burning of agricultural refuse or crop processing, may be episodic or seasonal (Miller 1990), while evidence of burned animal dung includes seeds and other plant parts formerly embedded in cakes prior to burning and their subsequent deposition in trash deposits (Miller 1990; Gallagher 2014: 31). However, carbonized seeds also provide unique insights into fuel use and availability of wood. As forests become diminished with less available fuel wood, animal dung provides the main alternative fuel source in

traditional agrarian economies (Winterhalder et al. 1974; Anderson & Ertug-Yaras 1998; Sillar 2000; Rhode et al. 2007). Dung burning should be considered the primary source of carbonized seeds in ancient communities with little access to wood fuel (Miller and Smart 1984; Gallagher 2014: 31). Accordingly, burning of dung fuel for cooking or heating creates widespread carbonized remains in settlements located on landscapes with limited or overexploited woody vegetation (Willcox 1974; Miller 1985). Pioneering research by Miller demonstrates that carbonized seeds recovered archaeologically from domestic trash deposits most commonly represent wild or cultivated plants consumed by domestic flocks (especially in cultivated fields), incorporated in animal dung and subsequently burned as dung fuel (Miller & Smart 1984; Miller 1996, Miller 1997a, Miller 1997b, Schwartz et al. 2000: 445-446). Thus, carbonized seeds also open a window on ancient landscapes by providing insights into cultigens, wild and weed species, and the relative availability of woodlands and forest resources.

5.2 Evidence of pyrotechnology

Fuel-consumptive technology is manifested by a variety of evidence from *Troullia*, Hayyat and Ni‘aj. At *Troullia*, a roofed courtyard with remnants of a rudimentary furnace, a carved limestone mold, ceramic crucibles, tap slags, ore fragments and the proximity of copper sources jointly provide a strong signature of household metallurgy that produced an array of utilitarian tools (Falconer & Fall 2013a). Pyrotechnology at Hayyat involved broad-range pottery production and the manufacture of copper anthropomorphic and zoomorphic offertory figurines and household implements (Falconer & Fall 2006: 48-68). Remains of an intact pottery kiln and abundant ceramic wasters, in conjunction with neutron activation analysis, suggest production of cooking pots, storage jars and possibly fine ware bowls at Hayyat (Falconer 1987; Falconer &

Fall 2013b). Although excavation revealed no direct evidence of pyrotechnic manufacturing at Ni‘aj, neutron activation implicates the manufacture of trickle-painted cups, a hallmark fine ware of Early Bronze IV (Falconer 1987; Falconer & Fall 2013b). In contrast to these communities, the occupants of ZAD appear not to have produced metals or ceramics, as reflected by the absence of metallurgical remains and a ceramic repertoire featuring extensive mending and curation of broken pots, presumably in response to ZAD’s distance from sources of new vessels (Fall et al. 2007).

5.3 Comparison of fuel use and vegetation among Bronze Age settlements

Similar seed densities and ubiquity at the settlements along the Jordan Rift indicate comparable rates and widespread distribution of dung fuel combustion and deposition in comparison to much lower values at *Troullia* on Cyprus. In addition to reflecting fundamental differences in fuel sources, the lower seed density at *Troullia* may reflect diminished seed preservation in alkaline sediments (Gallagher 2014: 21), like those found commonly on Cyprus (Hadjiparaskevas 2001). While the charcoal density at *Troullia* is more than twice the values at Hayyat or ZAD, Ni‘aj provides the highest densities of both seed and especially charcoal deposition, reflecting the most intense fuel consumption for both dung and wood. In contrast, seed and charcoal densities jointly reveal the lowest rates of fuel combustion (especially dung fuel) at *Troullia*. Ubiquity values reveal other facets of fuel consumption. Seed ubiquity and densities describe parallel patterns, suggesting that dung fuel was combusted at the highest rates and most broadly across Ni‘aj, Hayyat and ZAD, but at much lower rates and less commonly at *Troullia*. Charcoal ubiquity, on the other hand, is substantially lower at Ni‘aj but extremely high at the other three sites, including *Troullia* (with burning of tree wood particularly common at

Troullia and ZAD). These results portray widespread, moderate rates of fuel wood consumption at *Troullia* and similarly common but lower intensity wood burning at ZAD and Hayyat. The charcoal profile from Ni‘aj suggests pockets of more concentrated and higher intensity combustion of wood from orchard taxa, riparian trees and wide variety of shrubs.

Differing mixes of dung and wood fuel consumption captured by seed:charcoal ratios suggest the largest relative importance of dung fuel at Ni‘aj, followed by Hayyat (see Table 5). Carbonized seed identifications suggest agricultural regimes at these settlements of substantial cereal horticulture coupled with smaller elements of orchard and pulse cultivation, and animal grazing in agricultural fields and pastures. Interestingly, this agricultural system and its decidedly anthropogenic landscape engendered the highest seed and charcoal diversity indices among these settlements. The majority of charcoal evidence from Hayyat and Ni‘aj comes from shrubs and orchard taxa, rather than woodlands. Thus, these two villages apparently were situated in agrarian landscapes characterized by limited availability of woodland resources, which necessitated greater reliance on fuel wood from orchards and shrubs, and, amid this general dearth of wood, especially on dung fuel combustion. Woody taxa found in higher charcoal densities at Ni‘aj feature a striking combination of riparian *Tamarix*, *Vitex* and *Populus*, and the orchard taxa *Ficus*, *Olea* and *Vitis*, suggesting an intensively exploited local fuel wood resource area encompassing orchards and riparian tree stands along the Jordan River. Neutron activation analysis and focused concentrations of charcoal remains at Ni‘aj are consistent with fuel profiles for ceramic manufacturing. Charcoal specimens from Hayyat include lower densities of the same orchard species as Ni‘aj, plus *Tamarix* and *Chenopodiaceae*, representing less intensive utilization of the orchard, riparian and weedy taxa that would have populated the edge of the Jordan River floodplain and the fields above it in the northern Jordan Valley. Interestingly, the

villagers of Hayyat clearly supported metallurgical and ceramic industry in a setting very near Ni‘aj, but with substantially less utilization of tree and orchard wood, and perhaps greater reliance on dung fuel for their manufacturing needs.

The seed identifications and densities for ZAD document cultivation of cereals, limited legumes, orchard taxa (notably lacking *Olea*) and substantial amounts of wild species, with lower overall taxonomic diversity than seen at Hayyat or Ni‘aj. Greater proportional reliance on fuel wood, rather than animal dung, emerges from low seed:charcoal ratios and the highest percentage of charcoal from tree taxa found at any of the four settlements. The highest *Hordeum:Triticum* ratio and pronounced densities of *Tamarix* and *Acacia* suggest that the occupants of ZAD utilized the limited fuel resources of an arid landscape unsuitable for olive cultivation but populated by desert tree species. Modeling of ancient potential vegetation around Ni‘aj (4200 cal BP), Hayyat (3900 cal BP) and ZAD (3900 cal BP) suggests approximately 200 mm higher mean annual precipitation during the occupations of Hayyat and Ni ‘aj in the northern Jordan Valley than at ZAD in the Dead Sea Basin (Soto-Berelov et al. 2015). This insight suggests that contrasts in seed and charcoal evidence between these sites reflect differing local vegetation and fuel availability. In particular, Bronze Age fuel use at ZAD is distinguished by considerable burning of trees despite much lower local rainfall.

Fuel use at *Troullia* reveals a very different landscape in which wood fuel use figured prominently. In this case, seed evidence suggests an agricultural regime incorporating arboriculture, modest cereal cultivation favoring wheat over barley and little or no cultivation of legumes. Modest seed densities and seed:charcoal ratios suggest low intensity dung combustion coupled with relatively greater wood fuel use based on pine and, to a lesser extent, oak. In combination with *Troullia*’s distinctive evidence for hunting and consumption of Mesopotamian

fallow deer (*Dama dama mesopotamica*; Falconer & Fall 2013a), patterns of fuel use portray a forest-oriented landscape around *Troullia* incorporating better watered but limited cereals incorporated into dung, and orchard cultivation on surrounding hillsides near pine/oak forests and their plant and animal resources. As an integral aspect of this setting, the villagers of *Troullia* fueled their copper metallurgy by harvesting wood from these immediately available woodlands and orchards.

5.3.1 Early Bronze Ni‘aj vs. Middle Bronze Hayyat in the northern Jordan Valley

Comparisons between the evidence for fuel consumption at individual settlements reveal several specific contrasts with implications for inferring Bronze Age agrarian economies and anthropogenic landscapes. For example, while Ni‘aj and Hayyat produce comparable seed densities and seed frequencies by vegetation categories, the relative importance of orchard crops and cereals shifts from emphasis on *Vitis* and *Hordeum* at Ni‘aj to *Olea* and *Triticum* at subsequently inhabited Hayyat (Fall et al. 2002). A similar distinction is apparent between the emphasis on grape and barley cultivation at Bab edh-Dhra‘, Wadi Fidan 4 and Ras an-Numayra (McCreery 1980, 2003; Meadows 2001; Cartwright 2003; White et al. 2014) in comparison to greater evidence for olive, wheat and legumes at the better watered Bronze Age towns of Megiddo, Beth Shean and Tell Abu al-Kharaz (Borojevic 2006; Fischer & Holden 2008; Mazar & Rotem 2009). Collectively, these results document a transition from more arid adapted farming at Early Bronze Age settlements (especially those like Ni‘aj dating to the Early Bronze IV collapse) to a preference for less drought tolerant cultigens by Middle Bronze Age communities (including Hayyat).

Charcoal profiles show a shift from burning of more riparian and orchard wood (with higher frequencies of *Populus*, *Vitex*, *Ficus* and *Punica*, as well as *Vitis*) and fewer shrubs at Ni‘aj to more shrub wood and desert/riparian trees (e.g., *Tamarix*, *Ziziphus*) at Hayyat. While these two settlements utilized similarly diverse fuel sources from landscapes with minimal access to upland forests, the charcoal evidence points again to more arid-land adapted agriculture at Ni‘aj. Interestingly, *Punica* wood was found at both ZAD and at Ni‘aj. Since *Punica* does not grow wild in the Levant (Zohary & Feinbrun-Dothan 1966, 1972, 1979, 1986), we infer that this charcoal comes from domesticated trees. *Punica* was first domesticated in the Levant during the Early Bronze Age, as demonstrated by seeds found at Jericho, Arad (Hopf 1978, 1983; Zohary et al. 2012: 135) and Bab edh-Dhra‘ (McCreery 1980, 2003).

5.3.2 Along the Rift: Middle Bronze Hayyat vs. ZAD

The roughly contemporaneous settlements at Hayyat and ZAD lie at similar elevations but with at a substantial difference in latitude and modern rainfall. These communities utilized dung fuel indicative of more grazing and cereal cultivation at Hayyat in contrast to animal browsing on wild species around ZAD. Tree charcoal at both sites includes substantial amounts of *Tamarix*, which is accompanied by *Acacia* at ZAD. Both the charcoal and seed evidence indicates greater importance of *Olea* than *Vitis* at Hayyat, with a contrasting pattern of more *Vitis* and a striking absence of *Olea* at ZAD, reflecting more arid adapted land use in the Dead Sea basin. Thus, the occupants of ZAD relied on wood fuel from desert trees, complemented with dung fuel from animals browsing on wild plants, whereas Hayyat’s villagers managed a more intensively cultivated landscape with a more diverse array of fuel sources, including greater use of dung from grazing animals and wood from riparian trees.

5.3.3 Mainland Hayyat & ZAD vs. Troullia, Cyprus

Politiko-Troullia generates a much lower seed density than any of the other three sites, both overall and for each of the vegetation categories (including an absence of pulses). Several aspects of the seed and charcoal assemblages distinguish *Troullia* from its Levantine contemporaries, Hayyat and ZAD. The largest components of *Troullia*'s seed assemblage include orchard species (*Ficus*, *Olea* and *Vitis*) and wild taxa. Charcoal density is higher than at ZAD or Hayyat, and includes substantial evidence of upland tree species largely absent at the Levantine sites, especially pine and oak. Agriculture at Politiko-Troullia may have incorporated olive, grape and fruit cultivation on adjacent terraced hillsides (Fall et al. 2012), but carbonized cereal grains are very limited and pulses are absent from the seed assemblage, suggesting possible reliance on meat as a protein source for local villagers. This likelihood is reinforced by substantial evidence for consumption of venison (Falconer and Fall 2013a). In overview, the evidence from *Troullia* suggests that its surrounding landscape was the least impacted and provided the least diverse array of fuel sources, with pronounced dependence on forest fuel wood and minimal remains of dung fuel from grazing animals. This portrait of forest oriented fuel use at Politiko-Troullia dovetails with extensive evidence of deer hunting, feasting and community identity at this preurban community on Cyprus (Falconer & Fall 2013a; Falconer et al. 2014).

6. Conclusions

Comparative analysis of seed and charcoal assemblages from four Bronze Age communities reveals interrelated aspects of crop management and fuel use on a variety of ancient anthropogenic landscapes in the eastern Mediterranean. Patterns of carbonized seed deposition

reveal pronounced and widespread utilization of dung fuel at Tell Abu en-Ni‘aj and Tell el-Hayyat on a landscape of cultivated and grazed agricultural fields, with use of wood fuel from riparian trees but apparently only very limited access to upland forest resources. Pyrotechnic industry is clearly documented at Hayyat and implied strongly at Ni‘aj. Charcoal evidence suggests burning of wood fuel from desert trees at Zahrat adh-Dhra‘ 1, olive pruning at Politiko-Troullia and the exploitation of upland pine and oak forests to fuel copper metallurgy at this Cypriot village. These results draw a sharp contrast between the intensely populated and cultivated northern Jordan Valley, the more sparsely inhabited deserts of the southern Jordan Rift, and settlement on the upland forest margins of central Cyprus.

The fuel profile for Tell Abu en-Ni‘aj implicates utilization of more arid landscape resources during the Levantine urban collapse of the late third millennium B.C. in comparison to those utilized subsequently at nearby Tell el-Hayyat. These two settlements used the most diverse arrays of wood sources (Ni‘aj) and grazed crops (Hayyat), and revealed the most intense charcoal burning (Ni‘aj) in this study. In contrast, the evidence from ZAD and *Troullia* reflects fuel use on less intensely cultivated landscapes geographically distant from or prior to the influences of urbanism. In these cases, greater access to woodland resources was coupled with common use but less diverse arrays of fuel sources at ZAD and especially *Troullia*. Thus, comparative analysis of carbonized seed and charcoal evidence reveals fuel exploitation strategies as a means of inferring crop cultivation practices and the availability of woody vegetation as formative aspects of the development of Bronze Age anthropogenic landscapes in the eastern Mediterranean.

Acknowledgments

Excavations at Tell Abu en-Ni‘aj were conducted under permits to Falconer and Fall from the Department of Antiquities, Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan. Funding was provided by two grants from the National Science Foundation (#SBR 96-00995; #SBR 99-04536), and grants from the National Geographic Society (#5629-96) and the Wenner-Gren Foundation for Anthropological Research (#6006). Field research by Falconer and Fall at Zahrat adh-Dhra‘l was conducted under permit from the Department of Antiquities, Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan. Funding was provided by grants from the National Geographic Society (#6655-99), the Wenner-Gren Foundation for Anthropological Research (#6608) and grants from the Australian Research Council and the Australian Institute for Nuclear Science and Engineering. Excavations at Tell el-Hayyat were directed by Falconer and Bonnie Magness-Gardiner under permit from the Department of Antiquities, Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan. Funding was provided by two grants from the National Endowment for the Humanities (#RO-21027; #RO-22467-92), two grants from the National Geographic Society (#2598-83; #2984-84), and a grant from the Wenner-Gren Foundation for Anthropological Research (#4382). Excavations at Politiko-Troullia were directed by Falconer and Fall under permit from the Department of Antiquities, Republic of Cyprus. Funding was provided by grants from the National Science Foundation (#SBR 0613760; #SBR 1031527) and the National Geographic Society (#7820-05). Klinge’s Scanning Electron Microscope research was supported by the School of Geographical Sciences and Urban Planning and by a Graduate College Dissertation Completion Fellowship at Arizona State University. We also thank Barbara Trapido-Lurie and Wei Ming for their expert assistance with the figures, and Dr. Elisabeth Wheeler for her comments on wood anatomy, and her maintenance of the excellent online xylem database, Inside Wood.

References

- Akkemik, Ü., Yaman, B., 2012. Wood Anatomy of Eastern Mediterranean Species. Kessel Publishing House, Remagen, Germany.
- Anderson, S., Ertug-Yaras, F., 1998. Fuel, fodder and faeces: An ethnographic and botanical study of dung fuel use in central Anatolia. *Environ. Arch.* 1, 99-109.
- Asouti, E., 2003. Woodland vegetation and fuel exploitation at the prehistoric campsite of Pınarbaşı, south-central Anatolia, Turkey: The evidence from the wood charcoal macro-remains. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* 30, 1185-1201.
- Asouti, E., Austin, P., 2005. Reconstructing woodland vegetation and its exploitation by past societies, based on the analysis and interpretation of archaeological wood charcoal macro-remains. *Environ. Archaeol.* 10, 1-18.
- Borojevic, K. 2006. Archaeobotanical finds, in: Finkelstein, I., Ussishkin, D., Halpern, B. (Eds.), *Megiddo IV: the 1998–2002 Seasons. Monographs of the Institute of Archaeology*, 24. Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, pp. 519-541.
- Bourke, S.J., 2014. The southern Levant (Transjordan) during the Middle Bronze Age, in: Steiner, M.L., Killebrew, A.E. (Eds.), *The Archaeology of the Levant c. 8000-332 BCE*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 463-481.
- Cartwright, C.R. 2003. Grapes or raisins? An Early Bronze Age larder under the microscope. *Antiquity* 77, 345–48.
- Chesson, M.S., Philip, G., 2003. Tales of the city? ‘Urbanism’ in the Early Bronze Age Levant from Mediterranean and Levantine perspectives. *J. Med. Archaeol.* 16, 3-16.
- Cohen, S.L., 2009. Continuities and discontinuities: A re-examination of the Intermediate Bronze Age—Middle Bronze Age transition in Canaan. *Bull. Am. Sch. Oriental Re.* 354, 1-13.
- Cohen, S.L., 2014. The southern Levant (Cisjordan) during the Middle Bronze Age, in: Steiner, M.L., Killebrew, A.E. (Eds.), *The Archaeology of the Levant c. 8000-332 BCE*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 451-464.
- Deckers, K. 2011. Bronze Age archaeological sites in the landscape: on the former distribution and density of deciduous oak in northern Syria, in: Conard, N.J., Drechsler, P., Morales, A. (Eds.), *Between Sand and Sea. The Archaeology and Human Ecology of Southwestern Asia*. Kerns Verlag, Tübingen, pp. 177-190.
- Deckers, K., Pessin, H., 2010. Vegetation development in relation to human occupation and climatic change in the Middle Euphrates and Upper Jazirah (Syria/Turkey) during the Bronze Age. *Quaternary Res.* 74, 216-226.
- Deckers, K., Riehl, S., Jenkins, E., Rosen, A., Dodonov, A., Simakova, A.N., Conard, N.J., 2008.

- 531 Vegetation development and human occupation in the Damascus region of southwestern
532 Syria from the Late Pleistocene to Holocene. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 18(4),
533 329-340.
- 534
- 535 de Miroschedji, P., 2009. Rise and collapse in the southern Levant in the Early Bronze Age, in:
536 Cardarelli, A. Cazzella, A., Frangipane, M., Peroni, R. (Eds.), *Reasons for Change: Birth,*
537 *Decline and Collapse of Societies from the End of the Fourth to the Beginning of the First*
538 *Millennium BC. Universita degli Studi di Roma 'La Sapienza,' Rome, pp. 101-129.*
- 539 de Miroschedji, P., 2014. The southern Levant (Cisjordan) during the Early Bronze Age, in:
540 Steiner, M.L., Killebrew, A.E. (Eds.), *The Archaeology of the Levant c. 8000-332 BCE.*
541 *Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 307-329.*
- 542 Dever, W.G., 1995. Social structure in the Early Bronze IV period in Palestine, in: Levy, T.E.,
543 (Ed.), *The Archaeology of Society in the Holy Land. Facts on File, New York, pp. 282-*
544 *296.*
- 545 Edwards, P.C., Falconer, S.E., Fall, P.L., Berelov, I., Davies, C., Meadows, J., Meegan, C.,
546 Metzger, M.C., Sayej, G.J., 2001. *Archaeology and environment of the Dead Sea Plain:*
547 *Preliminary results of the first season of investigations by the joint La Trobe*
548 *University/Arizona State University Project. Ann. Depart. Antiquities, Jordan, 45: 135-157.*
- 549 Fahn, A., Werker, E., Baas, P., 1986. *Wood Anatomy and Identification of Trees and Shrubs*
550 *from Israel and Adjacent Regions. Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities, Jerusalem.*
- 551 Falconer, S.E., 1987. Village pottery production and exchange: a Jordan Valley perspective, in:
552 Hadidi, A. (Ed.), *Studies in the History and Archaeology of Jordan, vol. 3. Routledge and*
553 *Kegan Paul, London, pp. 251-259.*
- 554 Falconer, S.E., Fall, P.L., 2006. *Bronze Age Rural Ecology and Village Life at Tell el-Hayyat,*
555 *Jordan. British Archaeological Reports, International Series 1586. Archaeopress, Oxford.*
- 556 Falconer, S.E., Fall, P.L., 2009. Settling the valley: Agrarian settlement and interaction along the
557 Jordan Rift during the Bronze Age, in: Kaptijn, E., Petit, L. (Eds.), *A Timeless Vale:*
558 *Archaeological and Related Essays on the Jordan Valley. Archaeological Studies, Leiden*
559 *University 19. Leiden University Press, Leiden, pp. 97-107.*
- 560 Falconer, S.E., Fall, P.L., 2013a. Spatial patterns, households, and community behavior at
561 Bronze Age Politiko-Troullia, Cyprus. *J. Field Archaeol.* 38(2), 101-119.
562 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1179/0093469013Z.00000000041>.
- 563 Falconer, S.E., Fall, P.L. 2013b. Agricultural economies and pyrotechnologies in Bronze Age
564 Jordan and Cyprus, in: Frankel, D., Webb, J., Lawrence, S. (Eds.), *Archaeological Studies*

- 565 in Environment and Technology: Intersections and Transformations. Routledge, New York,
566 pp. 123-134.
- 567 Falconer, S.E., Fall, P.L., Metzger, M.C., Lines, L., 2004. Bronze Age rural economic transitions
568 in the Jordan Valley. *Ann. Am. Sch. Oriental Res.* 58, 1-17.
- 569 Falconer, S.E., Monahan, E.M., Fall, P.L. 2014. A stone plank figure from Politiko-*Troullia*,
570 Cyprus: potential implications for inferring Bronze Age communal behavior. *Bull. Am.*
571 *Sch. Oriental Res.* 371: 3-16.
- 572 Falconer, S.E., Savage, S.H., 1995. Heartlands and hinterlands: Alternative trajectories of early
573 urbanization in Mesopotamia and the southern Levant. *Am. Antiq.* 60, 37-58.
- 574 Falconer, S.E., Savage, S.H., 2009. The Bronze Age political landscape of the southern Levant,
575 in: Falconer, S.E., Redman, C.L. (Eds.), *Politics and Power: Archaeological Perspectives*
576 *on the Landscapes of Early States*. University of Arizona Press, Tucson, pp. 125-151.
- 577 Fall, P.L., Lines, L., Falconer, S.E., 1998. Seeds of civilization: Bronze Age rural economy and
578 ecology in the Southern Levant. *Ann. Assoc. Am. Geog.* 88: 107–125.
- 579 Fall, P.L., Falconer, S.E., Lines, L., 2002. Agricultural intensification and the secondary products
580 revolution along the Jordan Rift. *Hum. Ecol.* 30, 445-482.
581 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1021193922860>.
- 582 Fall, P.L., Falconer, S.E., Edwards, P.C., 2007. Living on the edge: settlement and abandonment
583 on the Dead Sea Plain, in: Levy, T.E., Daviau, P.M.M., Younger, R.W., Shaer, M. (Eds.),
584 *Crossing Jordan: North American Contributions to the Archaeology of Jordan*. Equinox
585 Publishing, London, pp. 225-232.
- 586 Fischer, P.M., Holden, T., 2008. Climate, fauna and flora: a synopsis, in P.M. Fischer (ed.) *Tell*
587 *Abu al-Kharaz in the Jordan Valley, volume I: The Early Bronze Age*. Austrian Academy
588 of Sciences, Vienna, pp. 307-322.
- 589 Gale, R., Cutler, D., 2000. *Plants in Archaeology*. Royal Botanic Gardens Kew, London.
- 590 Gallagher, D.E. 2014. Formation processes of the macrobotanical record, in: Marston, J. M., J.
591 d'Alpoim Guedes, J., Warinner, C. (Eds.), *Method and Theory in Paleoethnobotany*.
592 University of Colorado Press, Boulder, pp. 19-34.
- 593 Greenberg, R., 2002. *Early Urbanizations in the Levant: A Regional Narrative*. Leicester
594 University Press, London.
- 595
596 Greenberg, R., 2014. Introduction to the Levant during the Early Bronze Age, in: Steiner, M.L.,
597 Killebrew, A.E. (Eds.), *The Archaeology of the Levant c. 8000-332 BCE*. Oxford

- 598 University Press, Oxford, pp. 269-277.
599
- 600 Hadjiparaskevas, C., 2001. Soil survey in Cyprus, in: Zdruli, P., Steduto, P., Laciriguola, C.
601 Montanarella, L. (Eds.), Soil Resources of Southern and Eastern Mediterranean Countries.
602 Options Mediterraneens, Serie B. Etudes et Recherche no. 34. CIHEAM, Bari, pp. 101-
603 110.
- 604 Helbaek, H., 1958. Appendix A. Plant economy in ancient Lachish, in: Tufnell, O. (Ed.) Lachish:
605 Tell Ed Duweir 4, the Bronze Age. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 309-317.
- 606 Hillman, G., 1978. On the origins of domestic rye – *Secale cereale*: the finds from aceramic Can
607 Hasan III in Turkey. Anatol. Stud. 28, 157-174.
- 608 Hillman, G.C., 1984. Interpretation of archaeological plant remains: the application of
609 ethnographic models from Turkey, in: Van Zeist, W., Casparie, W.A. (Eds.), Plants and
610 Ancient Man: Studies in Palaeoethnobotany. Balkema, Rotterdam, pp. 123-162.
611
- 612 Hopf, M., 1978. Plant remains, in: Amiran, R. (Ed.), Early Arad. Israel Exploration Society,
613 Jerusalem, pp. 64-82.
614
- 615 Hopf, M., 1983. Jericho Plant Remains, in: Kenyon, K. M., Holland, T. A. (Eds.), Excavations at
616 Jericho. British School of Archaeology at Jerusalem, London, pp. 576-621.
- 617 Hubbard, R.N.L.B., 1992. Dichotomous keys for the identification of the major Old World crops.
618 Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol. 73, 109-115.
- 619 Hunt, C.O., Gilbertson, D.D., El-Rishi, H.A. 2007. An 8000-year history of landscape, climate,
620 and copper exploitation in the Middle East: The Wadi Faynan and the Wadi Dana National
621 Reserve in southern Jordan. J. Archaeol. Sci. 34, 1306-1338.
- 622 Jacomet, S., 2006. Identification of cereal remains from archaeological sites, 2nd edition.
623 Archaeobotany Lab, IPAS, Basel University, Basel.
- 624 Klinge, J., 2013. Assessment of Environmental Change in the Near Eastern Bronze Age. PhD
625 Dissertation, Arizona State University. University Microfilms International, Ann Arbor.
- 626 Klinge, J., Fall, P.L. 2010. Archaeobotanical inference of Bronze Age land use and land cover in
627 the eastern Mediterranean. J. Archaeol. Sci. 37, 2622-2629.
628 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2010.05.022>.
- 629 Kimiaie, M., McCorriston, J., 2013. Climate, human palaeoecology and the use of fuel in Wadi
630 Sana, Southern Yemen. Veget. Hist. Archaeobot. 22, 33-40.
- 631 Knapp, A.B. 2008. Prehistoric and Protohistoric Cyprus: Identity, Insularity and Connectivity.
632 Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- 633 Levy, T.E., Adams, R.B., Hauptmann, A., Prange, M., Schmitt-Stecker, S., Najjar, M., 2002.
634 Early Bronze Age metallurgy: A newly discovered copper manufactory in southern
635 Jordan. *Antiquity* 76: 425-37.
- 636 Lev-Yadun, S., Artzy, M., Marcus, E., Stidsing, R., 1996. Wood remains from Tel Nami, a
637 Middle Bronze IIa and Late Bronze IIb port, local exploitation of trees and Levantine cedar
638 trade. *Econ. Bot.* 50(3), 310-317.
- 639 Lines, L., 1995. Bronze Age orchard cultivation and urbanization in the Jordan River Valley.
640 PhD Dissertation, Arizona State University. University Microfilms International, Ann
641 Arbor.
- 642 Lucas, L., Colledge, S., Simmons, A., Fuller, D.Q., 2012. Crop introduction and accelerated
643 island evolution: Archaeobotanical evidence from 'Ais Yiorkis and Pre-Pottery Neolithic
644 Cyprus. *Veget. Hist. Archaeobot.* 21, 117-127.
- 645 Marston, J.M., 2009. Modeling wood acquisition strategies from archaeological charcoal
646 remains. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* 36, 2192-2200.
- 647 Marston, J.M., 2014. Ratios and simple statistics in paleoethnobotanical analysis: data
648 exploration and hypothesis testing, in: Marston, J.M., J. d'Alpoim Guedes, J., Warinner, C.
649 (Eds.), *Method and Theory in Paleoethnobotany*. University of Colorado Press, Boulder, pp.
650 163-180.
- 651 Mazar, A., Rotem, Y., 2009. Tel Beth Shean during the EB IB period: evidence for social
652 complexity in the late fourth millennium BC. *Levant* 41, 131-53.
- 653 McCreery, D.W., 1980. The nature and cultural implications of Early Bronze Age agriculture in
654 the Southern Ghor of Jordan: An archaeological reconstruction. PhD Dissertation,
655 University of Pittsburgh. University Microfilms International, Ann Arbor.
- 656 McCreery, D.W., 2003. The paleoethnobotany of B^{ab} edh-Dhr^a, in: Rast, W.E., Schaub, R.T.
657 (Eds.) *B^{ab} edh-Dhr^a: Excavations at the town site (1975-1981), part I*. Eisenbrauns,
658 Winona Lake, Indiana, pp. 449-463.
- 659 Meadows, J., 2001. Arid-zone farming in the fourth millennium BC: The plant remains from
660 Wadi Fidan 4, in: A. Walmsey (Ed.) *Australians Uncovering Ancient Jordan: Fifty Years*
661 *of Middle Eastern Archaeology*. Research Institute for Humanities and Social Sciences,
662 University of Sydney, Sydney, pp. 153-164.
- 663 Miller, N.F., 1985. Paleoethnobotanical evidence for deforestation in ancient Iran: a case study
664 of urban Malyan. *J. Ethnobiol.* 5, 1-19.

- 665 Miller, N.F., 1990. Godin Tepe, Iran: Plant remains from period V, the late fourth millennium
666 B.C. MASCA Ethnobotanical Report 6. University Museum, University of Pennsylvania,
667 Philadelphia.
- 668 Miller, N.F., 1996. Seed eaters of the ancient Near East, human or herbivore? *Curr. Anthropol.*
669 37, 521-528.
- 670 Miller, N.F., 1997a. Sweyhat and Hajji Ibrahim: some archaeobotanical samples from the 1991
671 and 1993 Seasons, in: Zettler, R. (Ed.), *Subsistence and Settlement in a Marginal*
672 *Environment: Tell es-Sweyhat, 1989–1995 Preliminary Report. MASCA Research Papers*
673 *in Science and Archaeology* 14. University of Pennsylvania Museum Publications,
674 Philadelphia, pp. 95–122.
- 675 Miller, N.F., 1997b. Farming and herding along the Euphrates: environmental constraint and
676 cultural choice (fourth to second millennia BC), in: Zettler, R. (Ed.), *Subsistence and*
677 *Settlement in a Marginal Environment: Tell es-Sweyhat, 1989-1995 Preliminary Report.*
678 University of Pennsylvania Museum Publications, Philadelphia, pp. 123-132.
- 679 Miller, N.F., 1998. The macrobotanical evidence for vegetation in the Near East, c.
680 18,000/16,000 BC to 4,000 BC. *Paléorient* 23, 197-207.
- 681 Miller, N.F., 2013. Agropastoralism and archaeobiology: Connecting plants, animals and people
682 in west and central Asia. *Environ. Arch.* 18, 247-256.
- 683 Miller, N.F., Smart, T.L., 1984. Intentional burning of dung as fuel: a mechanism for the
684 incorporation of charred seeds into the archaeological record. *J. Ethnobiol.* 4, 15-28.
- 685 Miller, N.F., Zeder, M.A., Arter, S.R., 2009. From food and fuel to farms and flocks: the
686 integration of plant and animal remains in the study of ancient agropastoral economies at
687 Gordion, Turkey. *Curr. Anthropol.* 50, 915–24.
- 688 Nesbitt, M., 1995. Plants and people in ancient Anatolia. *Biblic. Archaeol.* 58(2), 68-81.
- 689 Palumbo, G., 1991. The Early Bronze Age IV in the Southern Levant: Settlement Patterns,
690 Economy and Material Culture of a "Dark Age." *Contributi Materiali di Archeologia*
691 *Orientale* III (1990). Università degli Studi di Roma 'La Sapienza,' Rome.
- 692 Peltenburg, E.J. 1996. From isolation to state formation in Cyprus, c. 3500–1500 B.C, in: V.
693 Karageorghis, V., Michaelides, D. (Eds.), *The Development of the Cypriot Economy from*
694 *the Prehistoric Period to the Present Day.* Lithographica, Nicosia, pp. 17–44.
- 695 Prag, K., 2014. The southern Levant during the Intermediate Bronze Age, in: Steiner, M.L.,
696 Killebrew, A.E. (Eds.), *The Archaeology of the Levant c. 8000-332 BCE.* Oxford
697 University Press, Oxford, pp. 388-400.

- 698 Renfrew, J.M., 1973. *Palaeoethnobotany: The Prehistoric Food Plants of the Near East and*
699 *Europe*. Columbia University Press, New York.
- 700 Rhode, D., Madsen, D.B., Brantingham, P.J., Dargye, T., 2007. Yaks, yak dung and prehistoric
701 human occupation of the Tibetan Plateau, in: Madsen, D.B., Fahu, C., Xing, G. (Eds.), *Late*
702 *Quaternary Climate Change and Human Adaptation in Arid China*. Elsevier, Amsterdam,
703 pp. 205–226.
- 704 Schweingruber, F.H., 1990. *Anatomie Europaischer Holzer*. Verlag Paul Haupt, Bern.
- 705 Schweingruber, F.H., Börner, A., Schulze, E.-D., 2011. *Atlas of Stem Anatomy in Herbs, Shrubs*
706 *and Trees*. Berlin, Springer.
- 707 Schwartz, G.M., Curvers, H.H., Gerritsen, F.A., MacCormack, J.A., Miller, N.F., 2000.
708 *Excavation and survey in the Jabbul Plain, western Syria: The Umm el-Marra Project*
709 *1996–1997*. *Am. J. Archaeol.* 104, 419–462.
- 710 Scott, A.C., Damblon, F., 2010. Charcoal: taphonomy and significance in geology, botany and
711 archaeology. *Palaeogeog. Palaeoclim. Palaeoecol.* 291, 1–10.
- 712 Sillar, B., 2000. Dung by preference: the choice of fuel as an example of how Andean pottery
713 production is embedded within wider technical, social, and economic practices.
714 *Archaeometry* 42, 43–60.
- 715 Smart, T.L., Hoffman, E.S., 1988. Environmental interpretation of archaeological charcoal, in:
716 Hastof, C.A., Popper, V.S. (Eds.), *Current Paleoethnobotany*. University of Chicago Press,
717 Chicago, pp. 167–205.
- 718 Smith, A., Munro, N.D., 2009. A holistic approach to examining ancient agriculture. *Curr.*
719 *Anthropol.* 50, 925–936.
- 720 Soto-Berelov, M., Fall, P.L., Falconer, S.E., Ridder, E., 2015. Modeling vegetation dynamics in
721 the Southern Levant through the Bronze Age. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 53, 94–
722 109. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2014.09.015>.
- 723 Steel, L., 2014. Cyprus during the Late Bronze Age, in: Steiner, M.L., Killebrew, A.E. (Eds.),
724 *The Oxford Handbook of the Archaeology of the Levant c. 8000–332 BCE*. Oxford
725 University Press, Oxford, pp. 571–585.
- 726 Théry-Parisot, I., Chabal, L., Chrzavzez, J., 2010. Anthracology and taphonomy, from wood
727 gathering to charcoal analysis. A review of the taphonomic processes modifying charcoal
728 assemblages in archaeological contexts. *Palaeogeog., Palaeoclimat., Palaeoecol.* 291, 142–
729 153.

- 730 Van Zeist, W., 1976. On macroscopic traces of food plants in southwestern Asia (with some
731 reference to pollen data). *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B.* 275, 27-41.
- 732 Van Zeist, W. and Bakker-Heeres, J.A.H., 1982. Archaeobotanical studies in the Levant. 1.
733 Neolithic sites in the Damascus basin: Aswad, Ghoraife, Ramad. *Palaeohistoria* 24, 165-
734 256.
- 735 Vernet, J.-L., 1997. *L'homme et la forêt méditerranéenne de la Préhistoire à nos jours*. Editions
736 Errance, Paris.
- 737 Western, A.C., 1971. The ecological interpretation of ancient charcoals from Jericho. *Levant* 3,
738 31-40.
- 739 White, C.E., Chesson, M.S., Schaub, R.T., 2014. A recipe for disaster: emerging urbanism and
740 unsustainable plant economies at Early Bronze Age Ras an-Numayra, Jordan. *Antiquity*, 88
741 363-377.
- 742 Willcox, G.H., 1974. A history of deforestation as indicated by charcoal analysis of four sites in
743 eastern Anatolia. *Anatol. Stud.* 24, 117-133.
- 744 Willcox, G. H., 1996. Evidence for plant exploitation and vegetation history from three Early
745 Neolithic pre-pottery sites on the Euphrates (Syria). *Veget. Hist. Archaeobot.* 5, 143-152.
- 746 Willcox, G.H., 2002. Evidence for ancient forest cover and deforestation from charcoal analysis
747 of ten archaeological sites on the Euphrates, in: Thiébaud, S. (Ed.), *Charcoal Analysis:
748 Methodological Approaches, Palaeoecological Results and Wood Uses*. British
749 Archaeological Reports, International Series 1063. Archaeopress, Oxford, pp. 141-145.
- 750 Winterhalder, B., Larsen, R., Thomas, R.B., 1974. Dung as an essential resource in a highland
751 Peruvian community. *Hum. Ecol.* 2, 89-104.
- 752 Zohary, D., Hopf, M., 1973. Domestication of pulses in the Old World. *Science* 182, 887-894.
- 753 Zohary, D., Hopf, M., Weiss, E., 2012. *Domestication of Plants in the Old World*, 4th ed. Oxford
754 University Press, Oxford.
- 755 Zohary, D., Spiegel-Roy, P., 1975. Beginnings of fruit growing in the Old World. *Science* 187,
756 319-327.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Map of Eastern Mediterranean region showing the locations of Politiko-*Troullia*, Cyprus and Zahrat adh-Dhra‘ 1, Tell el-Hayyat and Tell Abu en-Ni‘aj, Jordan.

Fig. 2. Relative seed frequencies (%) by vegetation categories at Politiko-*Troullia* (PT), Zahrat adh-Dhra‘ 1 (ZAD), Tell el-Hayyat (Hayyat) and Tell Abu en-Ni‘aj (Ni‘aj).

Fig. 3. Relative seed frequencies (%) for plant taxa at Politiko-*Troullia* (PT), Zahrat adh-Dhra‘ 1 (ZAD), Tell el-Hayyat (Hayyat) and Tell Abu en-Ni‘aj (Ni‘aj).

Fig. 4. Relative charcoal frequencies (%) by vegetation categories at Politiko-*Troullia* (PT), Zahrat adh-Dhra‘ 1 (ZAD), Tell el-Hayyat (Hayyat) and Tell Abu en-Ni‘aj (Ni‘aj).

Fig. 5. Relative charcoal frequencies (%) for woody plant taxa at Politiko-*Troullia* (PT), Zahrat adh-Dhra‘ 1 (ZAD), Tell el-Hayyat (Hayyat) and Tell Abu en-Ni‘aj (Ni‘aj).

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Table 1. Environmental setting for four eastern Mediterranean villages: Politiko-*Troullia*, Zahrat adh-Dhra' 1, Tell el-Hayyat and Tell Abu en-Ni'aj.

Site	Social setting, settlement description, size & estimated population	Environmental setting and modern vegetation
Politiko- <i>Troullia</i>	Pre-urban, productive agrarian setting; architecture interspersed with agricultural features over 20 ha; 500-600 people	Junction of fertile Mesaoria Plain and copper-rich Troodos foothills between perennial Pediaios River and spring fed Kamaras Creek; pine forests on hillslopes and riparian forest along river
Zahrat adh-Dhra' 1	Urban, marginal agricultural setting at fringe of urbanized society; architecture dispersed over 6 ha; 125-150 people	Dissected alluvial plain below sea level overlooking the Dead Sea; spring fed agriculture; desert vegetation with riparian trees along drainages and the lower reaches of the Jordan River
Tell el-Hayyat	Urban, productive agricultural setting near larger towns; architecture aggregated over 0.5ha; 100-150 people	Fertile agricultural alluvium near the Jordan River; below sea level in the northern Jordan Valley; steppe vegetation with riparian forests along the river
Tell Abu en-Ni'aj	Urban abandonment, productive agricultural setting; architecture aggregated over 2.5 ha; 500-600 people	On edge of Lisan Marls overlooking rich agricultural land along the Jordan River; below sea level in the northern Jordan Valley; steppe vegetation with riparian forests along the river

Table 2. Radiocarbon chronology for Politiko-*Troullia* (PT), Zahrat adh-Dhra' 1 (ZAD), Tell el-Hayyat (Hayyat) and Tell Abu en-Ni'aj (Ni 'aj).

Site	Age ¹	Phases	¹⁴ C (#)	Age range (yr BP)	Cal yrs BC ² (mid-points)
PT	MBA	1-5	11	3688-3562	2086-1899
ZAD	MBA	1-2	6	3670-3280	2060-1580
Hayyat	MBA	1-5	12	3600-3000	1955-1500
Ni'aj	EBA	1-7	22	4046-3630	2660-2013

¹EBA = Early Bronze Age; MBA = Middle Bronze Age

²Calibration based on OxCal 4.2

Table 3. **Seed density ratios** (# seeds/ kl) and [ubiquity] (% samples with taxon present) for Politiko-*Troullia*, Zahrat adh-Dhra' 1, Tell el-Hayyat and Tell Abu en-Ni'aj.

	Politiko- <i>Troullia</i> ¹	Zahrat adh-Dhra' ²	Tell el- Hayyat ³	Tell Abu en-Ni'aj ⁴
Flotation samples (n)	110	39	60	52
Volume (liters floated)	879.4	179.6	318	366.2
ORCHARD				
<i>Ficus carica</i>	251 [4]	846 [51]	1186 [77]	1133 [79]
<i>Olea europaea</i>	38 [9]	0	233 [32]	14 [6]
<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	39 [9]	329 [23]	44 [13]	93 [21]
Subtotal	328 [14]	1175 [59]	1462 [82]	1240 [83]
CEREALS				
<i>Hordeum</i> sp.	2 [1]	735 [44]	1057 [82]	1584 [87]
<i>Triticum</i> sp.	38 [8]	156 [26]	736 [68]	481 [69]
Cereal undiff.	6 [2]	367 [36]	1135 [65]	765 [83]
Subtotal	46 [9]	1258 [59]	2928 [93]	2829 [92]
PULSES				
<i>Cicer arietinum</i>	0	0	91 [8]	0
<i>Lathyrus</i> cf. <i>sativum</i>	0	0	151 [30]	0
<i>Lens culinaris</i>	0	0	167 [35]	224 [8]
<i>Pisum sativum</i>	0	156 [18]	66 [20]	63 [21]
<i>Vicia faba</i>	0	0	13 [5]	0
Pulse undiff.	0	84 [15]	0	44 [33]
Subtotal	0	239 [31]	487 [52]	330 [52]
WILD SPECIES				
Wild legume	4 [3]	2121 [46]	632 [63]	366 [64]
Wild grass	0	384 [39]	327 [18]	759 [83]
Other wild species	96 [22]	2795 [77]	1164 [23]	3048 [85]
<i>Pistacia</i> sp.	29 [8]	0	0	0
Subtotal	129 [28]	5301 [85]	2123 [72]	4173 [96]
TOTAL	503 [35]	7973 [97]	7000 [95]	8572 [96]

¹Politiko-*Troullia* data from Klinge & Fall 2010; Klinge 2013. ²Zahrat adh-Dhra' 1 data unpublished. ³Tell el-Hayyat data from Lines 1995; Fall et al. 1998; Fall et al. 2002; Falconer & Fall 2006. ⁴Tell Abu en-Ni'aj data unpublished. See Appendix S1 for seed counts and chi square results.

Table 4. **Charcoal density ratios** (# specimens/ kg charcoal) and [ubiquity] (% samples with taxon present) for Politiko-*Troullia*, Zahrat adh-Dhra‘ 1, Tell el-Hayyat and Tell Abu en-Ni‘aj.

	Politiko- <i>Troullia</i>	Zahrat adh-Dhra‘	Tell el- Hayyat	Tell Abu en-Ni‘aj	
Flotation samples (n)	110	39	60	52	
Weight of charcoal (g)	356.96	401.43	515	83.35	
<hr/>					
Taxon	Reference for charcoal identification				
TREES					
Subgroup Upland Trees					
cf. <i>Amygdalus</i>	0	0	0	121 [12]	Fahn et al. 1986: 145-146; plate 59A
<i>Arbutus andrachne</i>	0	0	0	24 [5]	Schwiengruber 2011: 359; slides 5, 6, 13, 14
<i>Ceratonia siliqua</i>	0	0	2 [2]	0	Fahn et al. 1986:114; plate 36
cf. <i>Crataegus</i>	3 [2]	7 [3]	0	0	Fahn et al. 1986: 148-149
<i>Cupressus</i> sp.	17 [13]	0	4 [4]	0	Schwiengruber 2011: 141; slides 4, 5, 9, 10
<i>Pinus</i> sp.	322 [73]	0	0	12 [2]	Schwiengruber 2011: 121; slides 1-9
cf. <i>Pistacia</i>	0	2 [3]	4 [4]	12 [2]	Fahn et al. 1986: 63-65
<i>Quercus</i> sp.	50 [19]	0	10 [9]	85 [9]	Schwiengruber 2011: 403-410
Subgroup Desert/Riparian Trees					
<i>Acacia</i> sp.	0	70 [52]	0	0	Fahn et al. 1986: 117-118
<i>Tamarix</i> sp.	0	135 [83]	97 [50]	376 [30]	Akkemik & Yaman 2012: 276-279
<i>Ziziphus</i> sp.	6 [2]	2 [3]	10 [6]	0	Fahn et al. 1986: 144-145; plate 58D

Subgroup Riparian Trees

Platanaceae	20 [8]	0	0	0	Akkemik & Yaman 2012: 224-225
Salicaceae	0	10 [7]	4 [4]	0	Akkemik & Yaman 2012: 264-265
<i>Salix</i> sp.	0	0	0	12 [2]	Akkemik & Yaman 2012: 264-265
cf. <i>Populus</i>	5	0	4 [2]	194 [21]	Akkemik & Yaman 2012: 262-263
<i>Vitex</i> sp.	0	0	0	121 [7]	Schwiengruber 2011: 732-733

Tree Subtotal **423** [88] **227** [97] **134** [63] **959** [65]

SHRUBS

Asteraceae	0	0	12 [7]	0	Akkemik & Yaman 2012: 94-97
<i>Capparis</i>	3 [2]	7 [10]	2 [2]	0	Schwiengruber 2011: 230-231
Chenopodiaceae	0	0	54 [19]	0	Schwiengruber 2011: 262-263
<i>Cistus</i> sp.	0	2 [3]	0	0	Akkemik & Yaman 2012: 106-107
<i>Ephedra</i> sp.	0	0	4 [2]	0	Schwiengruber 2011: 150-151
Euphorbiaceae	0	0	0	49 [7]	Akkemik & Yaman 2012: 132-133
Fabaceae	0	0	0	12 [2]	Fahn et al. 1986: 119; plate 39 C-D
Lamiaceae	0	7 [7]	0	0	Akkemik & Yaman 2012: 198-199
Loranthaceae	14 [4]	5 [3]	0	12 [2]	Schwiengruber 2011: 540-541
Malvaceae	0	0	0	12 [2]	Schwiengruber 2011: 542-543
Monocot	0	7 [7]	12 [7]	146 [12]	Fahn et al. 1986: 179; plate 82 A-B
cf. <i>Myrtus</i>	11 [4]	0	4 [2]	24 [2]	Fahn et al. 1986: 134; plate 50 A-B
<i>Nerium oleander</i>	0	0	4 [4]	0	Akkemik & Yaman 2012: 90-91
Ranunculaceae	0	0	0	12 [2]	Fahn et al. 1986: 139; plate 54 D-E
cf. <i>Rubus</i>	0	0	0	12 [2]	Schwiengruber 2011: 650-653
cf. <i>Rubia</i>	0	0	2 [2]	0	Schwiengruber 2011: 666-667
Solanaceae	0	0	0	73 [2]	Fahn et al. 1986: 160-161; plates 67-68
Zygophyllaceae	0	0	0	24 [2]	Fahn et al. 1986: 177; plate 79 C-D

Shrub Subtotal **28** [13] **30** [28] **93** [33] **376** [26]

ORCHARD TAXA

<i>Punica granatum</i>	0	10 [7]	0	61 [9]	Schwiengruber 2011: 590-591
<i>Ficus carica</i>	0	12 [7]	10 [9]	607 [40]	Akkemik & Yaman 2012: 210-211
<i>Olea europaea</i>	160 [46]	0	31 [17]	243 [19]	Schwiengruber 2011: 572-573
<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	0	15 [7]	16 [11]	194 [23]	Schwiengruber 2011: 734-735
Orchard Subtotal	160 [46]	37 [14]	56 [33]	1105 [67]	
TOTAL	611 [94]	296 [100]	297 [98]	2732 [75]	

See Appendix S1 for charcoal counts and chi square results.

Table 5. Seed:charcoal ratios from Bronze Age sites in the eastern Mediterranean.

	Politiko- <i>Troullia</i> ¹	Zahrat adh-Dhra' ²	Tell el- Hayyat ¹	Tell Abu en-Ni'aj ¹
Seed:charcoal (g:g)	0.35	0.10	0.97	2.37
Seed:charcoal (#:g)	30.4	23.8	110.2	1733.1

¹Ratios for Politiko-*Troullia*, Tell el-Hayyat and Tell Abu en-Ni'aj from Klinge and Fall 2010: Table 2.

²Data from Zahrat adh-Dhra', not previously published, are based on 32 samples totaling 119 liters.

Table 6. Seed and charcoal diversity indices for Politiko-*Troullia*, Zahrat adh-Dhra‘ 1, Tell el-Hayyat and Tell Abu en-Ni‘aj.

	Politiko- <i>Troullia</i>	Zahrat adh-Dhra‘	Tell el- Hayyat	Tell Abu en-Ni‘aj
Seeds				
Shannon*	1.531	1.799	2.205	1.891
Gini-Simpson**	0.694	0.780	0.871	0.800
Wood				
Shannon	1.399	1.778	2.208	2.474
Gini-Simpson	0.644	0.725	0.823	0.880

Calculations performed using template created by Klaus D. Goepel at <http://bpmsg.com/>

To view diversity data, see Appendix S2

$$*I_{Shannon} = H = - \sum p_i \ln(p_i)$$

$$**I_{GiniSimp} = 1 - \sum n_i(n_i-1) / N(N-1)$$