***In vivo* monitoring the biodegradation of magnesium alloys with an electrochemical H₂ sensor**

Daoli Zhao ^a, Tingting Wang ^a, Julia Kuhlmann ^a, Zhongyun Dong ^b, Shuna Chen ^b, Madhura Joshi ^c, Pravahan Salunke ^c, Vesselin N. Shanov ^d, Daeho Hong ^e, Prashant N. Kumta ^{e,f,g} and William R. Heineman ^{a,*}

^a *Department of Chemistry, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio 45221, USA*

^b *Department of Internal Medicine, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio 45267, USA*

^c *Department of Mechanical and Materials Engineering, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, 45221, USA*

^d *Department of Biomedical, Chemical and Environmental Engineering, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, 45221, USA*

^e *Department of Bioengineering, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, 15261, USA*

^f *Department of Chemical and Petroleum Engineering, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, 15261, USA*

^g *Department of Mechanical Engineering and Materials Science, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15261, USA*

* Corresponding author: Tel.: +1-513-556-9210; fax: +1-513-556-9329

E-mail address: William.Heineman@uc.edu

ABSTRACT

Monitoring the biodegradation process of magnesium and its alloys *in vivo* is challenging. Currently, this process is monitored by micro-CT and X-ray imaging *in vivo*, which require large and costly instrumentation. Here we report a simple and effective methodology to monitor the biodegradation process *in vivo* by sensing H₂ transdermally above a magnesium sample implanted subcutaneously in a mouse. An electrochemical H₂ microsensor was used to measure the biodegradation product H₂ at the surface of the skin for two magnesium alloys (ZK40 and AZ31) and one high purity magnesium single crystal (Mg8H). The sensor was able to easily detect low levels of H₂ (30 to 400 μ M) permeating through the skin with a response time of about 30 s. H₂ levels were correlated with the biodegradation rate as determined from weight loss measurements of the implants. This new method is noninvasive, fast and requires no major equipment.

Statement of Significance

Biomedical devices such as plates and screws used for broken bone repair are being developed out of biodegradable magnesium alloys that gradually dissolve when no longer needed. This avoids subsequent removal by surgery, which may be necessary if complications arise. A rapid, non-invasive means for monitoring the biodegradation process *in vivo* is needed for animal testing and point of care (POC) evaluation of patients. Here we report a novel, simple, fast, and noninvasive method to monitor the biodegradation of magnesium *in vivo* by measuring the biodegradation product H₂ with an electrochemical H₂ sensor. Since H₂ rapidly permeates through biological tissue, measurements are made by simply pressing the sensor tip against the skin above the implant; the response is within 30 s.

Keywords: Biodegradation, Magnesium alloys, Electrochemical sensor, Hydrogen sensor, Hydrogen, Gas cavity

1. Introduction

Biodegradable implants such as plates and screws for bone repair offer many advantages over the permanent stainless steel and titanium implants used today [1-10]. Importantly, implants based on biodegradable materials eventually dissolve when no longer needed, eliminating the need for surgically removing the devices later if complications arise. Magnesium based alloys are an exceptionally good candidate for several reasons. Magnesium is a light weight metal ($1.74 - 2.0 \text{ g/cm}^3$) that closely matches the density of bone ($1.8\text{-}2.1 \text{ g/cm}^3$) [11]. Magnesium based alloys are also typically 4.5 times and 3.3 times less dense than stainless steel and titanium based alloys, respectively [11-12]. Magnesium alloys have a modulus of elasticity of $\sim 45 \text{ GPa}$, which is very close to that of human bone ($45\text{-}57 \text{ GPa}$) [1, 7, 13-14]. These properties lessen the stress shielding and the associated loss of bone density. Moreover, magnesium is biocompatible, and a relatively large amount of magnesium is tolerated by the body without ill effects [15]. Additionally, due to functional roles and presence in bone tissues, magnesium has stimulatory effects on the growth of new bone tissue [11, 16-19]. The rate of biodegradation can be controlled by using alloys or coatings to match the needs of the application [3, 20].

Magnesium and its alloys degrade in aqueous environments, generating magnesium (Mg^{2+}) and hydroxide (OH^-) ions, as well as hydrogen (H_2) gas. When the pH becomes sufficiently basic, OH^- reacts with Mg^{2+} to precipitate as magnesium hydroxide ($\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2$) on the surface. One molecule of H_2 is liberated for every mole of metallic Mg that biodegrades. Thus, measuring the evolved H_2 allows a direct measurement reflecting the corrosion of [magnesium](#) [21]. Because 1 mL of H_2 evolved approximately corresponds to 1 mg of [magnesium](#) dissolved [22], measuring

H₂ evolution rate is equivalent to measuring the biodegradation or corrosion rate of a magnesium alloy [23-24]. So far, the measurement of H₂ evolution is mostly performed *in vitro* by measuring the generated H₂ volume [21-23]. This method is cumbersome, and moreover, the H₂ gas collection instruments often suffer leakage. Monitoring the biodegradation process *in vivo* can be done by micro-CT, which requires a major equipment investment, and by X-ray absorption, which is also expensive, involves exposure to radiation [8-9, 25-30], and requires further time consuming complex analysis involving understanding the difference in X-ray absorption coefficients of the different corrosion products formed for quantization. Therefore, a simple and effective *in vivo* H₂ sensing method is needed for animal testing of alloys and devices.

Monitoring biodegradation *in vivo* by sensing the corrosion product H₂ has numerous advantages over sensing the other corrosion products OH⁻ and Mg²⁺. First, only H₂ has the possibility of being monitored noninvasively because of its high permeability through skin [31-33]. This is a significant advantage compared to the surgical insertion of a sensor as would be the case for pH or Mg²⁺. Noninvasive measurement also means that the sensor would not be subject to possible interferences by components of biological fluids or biofouling. Second, no significant background level of H₂ exists in mammals that needs to be corrected for. For example, the concentration of H₂ in mouse blood is only ca. 1 μM [34]. Background level is an issue with Mg²⁺ where a relatively high concentration exists *in vivo* (Mg²⁺ concentration in adult serum is 0.75-0.95 mM [35]). A sensor for Mg²⁺ would need to have sufficient precision to detect small increases due to biodegradation above this substantial background. Also, the commonly used electrochemical sensor for Mg²⁺, an ion-selective electrode, suffers serious interference from Ca²⁺, which exists *in vivo* at a higher concentration (ca. 2.0-2.6 mM in serum [35]). Third, H₂ is relatively nonreactive in biological media, making it a robust biodegradation marker [36-40]. By

comparison, released OH^- will be consumed by buffer, which severely compromises the measurement of pH for monitoring biodegradation. Furthermore, Mg^{2+} reacts with various anions such as OH^- and carbonate to form precipitates and with naturally occurring organic ligands (such as lactate and citrate) and proteins to form complexes that might obscure it from a sensor such as an ion-selective electrode [41-42]. Fourth, a commercially available electrochemical H_2 sensor with excellent limit of detection and selectivity already exists [31, 43].

The formation of gas cavities associated with implanted Mg alloys has been widely reported in literature [44-46]. However, the concentration of H_2 in these cavities was not clearly known, as only a few studies using techniques not specific for H_2 were done when magnesium was first tested as an implant over 60 years ago [47-48]. Nothing was reported since that time and most researchers assumed that these cavities contain primarily H_2 [2, 23, 49-50]. We tested this assumption by implanting rapidly degrading Mg alloy (Mg-4 wt.%Y-0.5 wt.%Gd-2 wt.%Nd-0.5 wt.%Dy) discs subcutaneously in mice and determining the concentration of H_2 gas using an electrochemical H_2 sensor that was inserted into the cavities through incisions made in the skin. The results were confirmed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) [31]. Two significant discoveries were made: (1) First, the concentration of H_2 in the gas cavities was actually very low, even shortly after formation of the cavities. The H_2 concentrations in the gas cavities measured with the sensor one, two and five days after surgery ranged from $95 \pm 34 \mu\text{M}$ ($0.22 \pm 0.08 \text{ vol.}\%$) to $428 \pm 35 \mu\text{M}$ ($0.97 \pm 0.08 \text{ vol.}\%$). These low levels of H_2 were confirmed using GC-MS on samples collected from the gas cavities by a syringe. Thus, the levels of H_2 in the gas cavities were found to be consistently less than only 1%. The balance of the gas was carbon dioxide and oxygen, both measured by GC-MS, and presumably nitrogen, which could not be quantified as it was the carrier gas in the GC. These results point to very fast transport of

H₂ directly through the biological tissue surrounding the implant. It should also be noted that H₂ is not very soluble in water, the concentration at saturation being only 805 μM at 20 °C at sea level [51-52]. The low H₂ levels in the gas cavities can be explained by this fast transport combined with the low solubility of H₂ in aqueous biological fluids and cells. (2) Second, measurements made noninvasively by just pressing the sensor tip against the skin covering the implant were similar to those made invasively by inserting the sensor tip inside the cavity. This observation confirms the extraordinarily fast transport of H₂ through biological tissues such as skin. Most importantly from a practical point of view, this means that H₂ levels *in vivo* can potentially be tracked noninvasively by a H₂ sensor measuring transdermally by simply pressing it against the skin. Realizing that the skin is so permeable to H₂ and that the electrochemical H₂ sensor is extremely sensitive has indeed opened the pathway to develop non-invasive H₂ sensing as an effective way to track *in vivo* biodegradation rates of [magnesium](#) implants [31].

Here we report the transdermal measurement of H₂ from three biodegrading alloys implanted subcutaneously in mice with an electrochemical H₂ sensor. We also correlate the H₂ levels with the biodegradation rates obtained by weight loss of the explanted alloys. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that measuring H₂ transdermally with a H₂ sensor has been a routine part of a subcutaneous evaluation of Mg alloys. The measurements are rapidly made noninvasively by just pressing the sensor tip against the skin covering the implant. No major equipment is required such as with micro-CT and X-ray and moreover, there is no exposure to X-ray radiation. This simple method opens the way to developing non-invasive H₂ sensing as an effective way to track biodegradation rates of Mg and its alloys *in vivo* and noninvasively.

2. Experimental

2.1. Implant materials

The Mg alloy ZK 40 with Mg-4 wt% Zn-0.5 wt% Zr-1.4 wt% Cu-0.2 wt % Fe-0.3 wt% Mn-1.8 wt% Ni-0.7 wt% Si was prepared by a previously described method [6]. AZ31 alloy that contained 2.5-3.5 wt% Al, 0.6-1.4 wt% Zn and 0.2-1.0 wt% Mn with the remainder being Mg was purchased from Goodfellow (Oakdale, PA). The processing of the alloy was based on a previous method [4]. Typical preparation of the high purity [magnesium](#) single crystal Mg8H is described below. A crystal grower made by CVD Equipment Corporation was employed as a main tool. The initial poly-crystalline [magnesium](#) with purity of 99.95% ([Alfa Aesar](#)) was used as raw material to grow single crystals. Graphite crucible with a tapered shape was used to contain the molten material which was enclosed in an external holder made of a special grade stainless steel. The growth process took place in a vertical quartz tube under argon flow. The tube was surrounded by a vertical crystal furnace. The furnace had two temperature zones to create and control an appropriate temperature gradient in the crucible. The melt was soaked for 8 h to enable complete homogenization. The single crystal was thereafter grown from the melt by controlled withdrawal of the furnace chamber under a suitable thermal gradient. This technique is known as Bridgman-Stockbarger approach. A typical [magnesium](#) single crystal with length of 45 mm, diameter of 6.5 mm and orientation close to (0001) was used in this study. The crystal was diced into discs of 5 mm diameter and 2 mm thickness by electrical discharge machining (EDM). The discs were hand polished using 600 grit and 1200 grit SiC paper in isopropyl alcohol. After every polishing pass, the individual discs were sonicated in ethanol for 2 min and then air dried.

2.2. Procedures on laboratory mice

Specific pathogen-free female athymic nude mice were purchased from Harlan Laboratory (Indianapolis, IN) and used when they were 8 to 10 weeks of age. The mice were maintained in a

facility approved by the American Association for Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care and in accordance with current regulations and standards of the U.S. Department of Agriculture, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, and NIH. The animal studies were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) and executed according to IACUC guidelines. An *in vivo* murine subcutaneous study was conducted to explore any acute host response of AZ31, ZK40, and Mg8H samples. Implantation into mice (3 mice/sample type) was performed at the University of Cincinnati. For this test, discs of 5 mm diameter and 1.4 mm thickness were sectioned from the AZ31, ZK40, and Mg8H alloy specimens. The disc samples were then sonicated in acetone and air dried. For sterilization, the pellets were placed in 70% ethanol for 5 min, rinsed with Dulbecco's phosphate buffer saline (DPBS, KCl 2.7 mM, KH_2PO_4 , (1.5 mM), NaCl (138 mM), Na_2HPO_4 anhydrous (8.1 mM), pH 7.0) and then irradiated under UV light for 20 min on each side of the discs. Healthy nude mice were housed under controlled conditions and maintained with a standard diet and water. All the mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and a small skin incision was made to create a subcutaneous pocket on the back of the mouse. Mg alloys were inserted into the pocket, and the incision was closed with surgical staples. After two months, the mice were sacrificed under CO_2 , and the alloys were explanted for further characterization.

2.3. H_2 measurement by amperometric H_2 sensor

Amperometric H_2 measurements were performed using a H_2 microsensor (50 μm tip diameter, [H₂-50](#)) connected to a multimeter (both from Unisense, Aarhus, Denmark) polarized at +1000 mV for at least 1 h. After a stable current in the low picoampere range was established, the amperometric sensor was ready for use. Before each series of measurements, the H_2 microsensor was calibrated following the manufacturer's recommendations. For calibration, high purity H_2

gas was bubbled through water until H₂ saturation was reached. Then, the saturated H₂ water solution was diluted to various concentrations with deionized water. For each solution, 6 measurements were made based on the saturation percentage (0%, 20%, 40%, 60%, 80% and 100% saturated solution). The steady state current was calculated from the average of the steady state signal data points taken during each measurement. Hydrogen saturated water is 0.8 mM at room temperature [51, 53]; correspondingly, the diluted H₂ containing solutions were calculated based on their percentage saturation. For each measurement, the hydrogen sensor tip was immersed in the H₂ calibrant for 3 min and a current vs. H₂ concentration calibration curve was plotted. *In vivo* measurements were converted into H₂ concentration based on the calibration plots.

For *in vivo* measurements, the microsensor was positioned with a micromanipulator [31]. Measurements were taken by touching the sensor tip on the skin above the gas cavity near the implant area and other locations as noted in the figures. As a control, measurements were taken on top of the skin in an area away from the gas cavity such as the tail. The current obtained from the H₂ sensor was converted to H₂ concentration using a calibration curve generated from known levels of H₂ saturated water (*vide supra*).

2.4. H₂ microsensor for transdermal sensing of H₂ from subcutaneous *magnesium* implants in mice

Hydrogen sensing was performed with an electrochemical sensor in which a constant potential is applied to the working electrode and the resulting current is measured (amperometric sensor) [51, 54]. Hydrogen is detected by its electrochemical oxidation to protons at a platinum electrode inside the sensor:

The tip of the sensor is very small (~50 μm diameter), which enables measurements to be made at a precise point. The tip contains a plug of silicone rubber membrane through which the H_2 passes in order to be detected. This feature makes the sensor extraordinarily specific for H_2 . The limit of detection (0.01%, 0.1 μM in water) is sufficiently low to easily measure the very low levels of H_2 that are involved in these experiments.

The sensor was used to make each *in vivo* measurement by gently pressing the tip against the skin of an anesthetized mouse, either with a precisely adjustable micro positioner as shown in Fig. 1 or by hand. This measurement procedure is similar to that described for non-invasive measurements in our earlier report [31]. Each measurement required about 30 s for a steady state signal to be achieved. However, to achieve the stablest H_2 signal and more accurate measurements, measurements taken for 3 min or longer. The sensor was calibrated before each series of measurements and then again immediately afterwards to be sure the sensor response had not drifted.

2.5. Statistical analysis

H_2 concentrations are expressed as the mean $\pm$ SD (standard deviation) unless indicated otherwise. For statistical analysis of H_2 generated by the magnesium alloy corrosion, measurements were made on 3 mice per sample type (AZ31, Mg8H and ZK40). The obtained H_2 concentration means were statistically assessed for significant differences using the Student's t test. The comparison of H_2 concentrations at different spots on mice with the same/different implanted subcutaneously alloys was made based on the means where a confidence level of 95% or greater was considered significant.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Transdermal sensing of H_2 from a medium biodegrading subcutaneous Mg implant

Transdermal H_2 sensing was first explored using Mg8H polycrystalline alloy. The biodegradation rate of this alloy is sufficiently fast to create enough H_2 gas to form visible gas cavities protruding from the skin when implanted subcutaneously in mice. Gas cavities with this alloy became visible within 24 h after implantation and increased in size until they became quite large. H_2 measurements were made once a week after implantation for all the alloys (*vide infra*). Fig. 2a shows the gas cavity of a nude mouse 1 week after implanting a disc of Mg8H. The gas was released after 1 week due to animal welfare concerns associated with the very large size of gas cavities.

The sensor responses to positioning the tip at multiple locations on the mouse as marked in Fig. 2a - on the cavity, immediately adjacent to the cavity, and far from the cavity - are shown in Fig. 2b. The H_2 permeating through the skin of the mouse on top of the cavity gives a substantial signal that is easily detected. The sensor responds quickly, giving a signal for H_2 in about 30 s. However, to achieve the stablest H_2 signal, measurements were taken for 3 min. Our previous study showed that these levels are representative of H_2 concentrations found inside the cavity [31]. H_2 levels measured at the points indicated in Fig. 2a provide a “map” of H_2 permeation through the skin. The noise section is due to the motion of the H_2 amperometric sensor when it was moved to a different position on the mouse skin. The average responses of the current for each measurement point are shown graphically in Fig. 2c after conversion to concentrations of H_2 obtained from the currents by means of a calibration curve. Error bars are standard deviations for measurements made on three mice ($N = 3$) (*vide infra*). As expected the highest levels of H_2 (100 - 200 μM) were found when the sensor tip was placed directly on the cavity that surrounds the implant (points 3-6 and 11-15). Some variability in H_2 was found depending on exactly

where the tip was placed on the cavity. This variability might be due to variation in the skin thickness due to stretching from the inflation by the gas or variation in the inner structure of the cavity, which was reported earlier [31]. When the sensor tip was moved off of the cavity, H₂ was still detected from the skin immediately adjacent to the cavity, but at considerably lower concentrations (points 16-17). Measurements toward the head and the tail (points 1, 2 and 7-10) gave signals that were just above blank which was measured in the air. The low H₂ concentration of 1.0-7.3 μ M measured at distances far from the implant, such as the tail and head, is attributed to a background signal arising from aerobic bacteria in the food digestion process [34]. H₂ concentrations measured in control mice with no implant showed this same very low level of H₂ at all points measured including the region of the back where implants were positioned in the test mice. This background level in the control mice and in the test mice at distances far from the implant also agrees with hydrogen concentrations of 1.0 μ M in literature reports [34]. This observation suggests that H₂ transported around the body by the vascular system is detected, although the concentration is very low.

To test the repeatability of the H₂ measurements for a specific point on the cavity, the H₂ was measured at the same spot with and without the micromanipulator (hand held). Six measurements using the micromanipulator gave an average value of 261.4 ± 17.3 pA, whereas four measurements made by hand gave an average value of 243.0 ± 18.8 pA. These results show that measured values of H₂ at a particular point are very reproducible and that the hand held approach is almost as good to the using of micromanipulator (Fig. S1). In order to get the best precision, the sensor tip must be gently pressed against the mouse skin in a reproducible manner. Measurements made with the tip held only slightly above the surface give lower values because of the fast diffusion of H₂ in air. These results show that H₂ transdermal detection is indeed

repeatable.

A major strength of this non-invasive method for detecting *in vivo* biodegradation is the ability to perform repeated measurements on the same animal over time. This was demonstrated by monitoring H_2 for three mice ($N = 3$) over the 2-month period during which the alloys remained implanted. The H_2 concentration was measured at the skin centered above the implanted Mg8H once a week. As seen from Fig. 2d, H_2 concentration was similar for the first 3 weeks, then it decreased with time. H_2 concentration decreased to 50% at 8 weeks compared to the first week. This decreasing H_2 concentration with time is expected due to the slowing corrosion caused by the formation of an increasingly thick corrosion layer of $Mg(OH)_2$, and $MgCO_3$ that coats the implant surface [31].

3.2. Transdermal sensing of H_2 from a slowly biodegrading subcutaneous Mg implant

A more slowly corroding alloy of [magnesium](#), AZ31 that generates H_2 too slowly to form a visible cavity was also examined. As shown in Fig. 3a, no H_2 cavity is discernable on the mouse, just a bump showing the outline of the implanted Mg disc. The absence of the gas cavity confirms that the biodegradation of AZ31 is much slower than that of Mg8H. Figs. 3b-c show the real-time sensor responses and hydrogen levels for a variety of measurement spots from head to tail and going across the implant area, similar to the Mg8H alloy navigation. Importantly, the H_2 generated by degradation of the implanted AZ31 permeating through the skin was still easily measureable by the H_2 microsensor, albeit the current levels were much lower. As seen from Fig. 3b-c, the H_2 concentration is highest through the skin directly over the center of the implant. Although the H_2 concentration at the edge area near the implant is lower, a measureable signal is still obtained. The current is up to 171 pA (36.4 μM) at the skin centered above the implanted AZ31 (spot 6); the current decreased to 66 pA (29.6 μM) and 62 pA (29.2 μM) for spots 5 and 7,

respectively. As the distance increased from the implant, lower H_2 concentration was detected. At the head area (spot 1), a very low concentration of H_2 was detected, which is similar to levels found in the blood for a mouse without any biodegradable implant [34]. Similarly, at spots 2-4 and 8-9, a very low concentration of H_2 was detectable. From these measurements, it is clearly seen that H_2 permeating through the skin is detectable even when no bubble is observed, although the H_2 concentration is very low. This observation points to extraordinary fast transport of H_2 through the skin. It also confirms that H_2 release from the implant degradation process is occurring even when no gas cavity is visible.

H_2 levels were monitored over the 2-month period during which the alloy remained implanted using the same procedure described above for Mg8H. The results in Fig. 3d show a relatively small decrease in H_2 concentration over the 2 months compared to Mg8H (Fig. 2d). Even though the decrease is considerably less, statistical evaluation using [the student's t test](#) shows a significant difference between the first and last measurements at the 95% confidence level. This would indicate a consistent corrosion rate that decreased only gradually during the 2-month period. The smaller decrease in H_2 concentration is due to the slower corrosion rate of AZ31 compared to Mg8H. Since much less H_2 gas was generated during the corrosion process of AZ31, the weekly release of the gas bubbles was not necessary. In addition, the corrosion layer of $Mg(OH)_2$ and $MgCO_3$ on the explanted AZ31 samples was much thinner compared to the faster corroding Mg8H samples.

3.3. Transdermal sensing of H_2 from a very rapidly biodegrading subcutaneous Mg implant

For comparison, an exceptionally fast corroding alloy, ZK40, was also examined. The faster corrosion rate expectedly released more H_2 , which resulted in much higher signals from the sensor as shown in Fig. S2. In the case of ZK40, the H_2 sensor current is up to 620 pA (360 μM)

at the bubble center, which is much higher than that in the case of AZ31 (171 pA, 36.4 μ M) at a similar position. The H_2 sensor currents at the edge area of the bubble are also higher, 249 pA (197 μ M) and 121 pA (140 μ M) for ZK40 and AZ31, respectively.

H_2 levels for ZK 40 over the 2-month period are shown in Fig. S2d. ZK40 showed H_2 concentration decreasing almost 75% by 8 weeks compared to the first week after implantation. This fastest corroding alloy showed the highest initial concentrations of H_2 , and the greatest decrease over the 8-week period.

Comparing the three alloys, the maximum H_2 concentration generated by the three alloys at the beginning of the study and the percentage decrease in H_2 over the 2-month period both decrease in the order of ZK40 > Mg8H > AZ31. This tracked the corrosion rate as determined by weight loss. Moreover, the layer of precipitation on the explanted alloys is much thicker for the faster corroding implant.

Based on the results from these mice with different alloys, it is seen that the Mg implants with faster corrosion rates generate more hydrogen in a given period, resulting in a larger cavity size and higher concentration of H_2 permeating through the mouse skin above the implant as measured by the sensor. Thus, the electrochemical sensor for H_2 appears to be useful for detecting the relative biodegradation rates of different Mg alloys *in vivo* by a non-invasive procedure.

3.4. Correlation of H_2 electrochemical sensor measurement and corrosion rate

In order to determine how well the H_2 sensor measurements correlate with the corrosion rate of the alloys, actual corrosion rates were determined by the weight losses after 2 months implantation. A comparison of the maximum H_2 concentration measured by the H_2 sensor at the center of the cavity, or directly above the implanted sample, with the weight loss is shown in Fig.

4. Weight loss measurements show that the order of corrosion rate over the 2-month period is $ZK40 > Mg8H > AZ31$ and that ZK40 biodegrades much faster than AZ31. The weight losses are 75%, 32.5%, and 2.4% for ZK40, Mg8H and AZ31, respectively. The graph shows that the maximum H_2 concentration measured is proportional to the weight loss percentage, which is expected because the higher biodegradation rate produces more H_2 . The H_2 measurements shown in Fig. 4 were recorded one week after implantation. Measurements made at this time gave the best correlation between H_2 levels and weight loss than measurements made at other times during the study. This procedure avoided complications posed by routinely releasing gas from the cavities each week to relieve any discomfort to the mice, slowing in the corrosion rate over the two-month period due to formation of a corrosion layer of $Mg(OH)_2$ and $MgCO_3$ on the surface of the implant and the decreasing mass of the implant especially for the faster corroding alloys, and variation in the time interval between measurements because of scheduling issues.

The sizes of the gas cavities were measured every week as a part of the animal protocol. The gas cavity volume was estimated based on skin surface dimensions measured with a Vernier caliper. However, correlation of the gas cavity volumes with the H_2 concentrations obtained with the sensor was poor. We attribute this to H_2 in the cavities being released every week for the comfort of the test animals.

In general, the H_2 levels decreased over the time course of the study as corrosion slowed. The H_2 levels measured throughout the study for the slowly corroding AZ31 were relatively consistent from week to week, whereas the levels for the very rapidly corroding ZK40 alloy decreased substantially from the beginning of the study to the end when much of the Mg had corroded.

4. Conclusion

The remarkably rapid transport of H₂ through skin enables the measurement of H₂ concentration transdermally at the surface of the skin above a biodegrading [magnesium](#) sample implanted subcutaneously in mice. Although the concentrations are very low (ca. 30 to 400 μM in these studies), the electrochemical H₂ sensor has adequate limit of detection to easily measure these levels. Measurements are rapid, taking about 30 s for the sensor to reach a steady reading. Measured H₂ levels correlate with the corrosion rates as determined by weight loss of explanted samples after being implanted for 2 months. Thus, H₂ sensing shows promise as an effective means for monitoring the biodegradation of [magnesium](#) alloys *in vivo*. H₂ sensing has advantages over traditional methods of monitoring biodegradation rate such as weight loss of explanted samples, X-ray measurements, and micro-CT. Compared to weight loss, it gives a measure of biodegradation rate at the time of measurement rather than integrated over the entire time of implantation. Compared to X-ray and micro-CT, the instrumentation is much less expensive and involves no exposure to radiation. The main fundamental limitation of sensing H₂ transdermally is the maximum tissue depth from implant to skin surface that the H₂ can permeate through to produce a sufficiently high concentration for detection by pressing the sensor tip to the skin. Thus, this technique might not be applicable to deep implants such as on the thigh bone where permeation through a thick muscle layer would be necessary. The applicability would also be expected to vary among animals because of physical differences. Practical considerations include the need for periodic calibration of the sensor with H₂ standards at appropriate intervals to ensure that measurements are accurate. In addition, the membrane permeability of the H₂ microsensor changes with time, causing loss in sensitivity of as much as 50% over several months.

Overall, these results lay the foundation for routine H₂ measurement as part of the *in vivo* testing of devices fabricated from [magnesium](#). The H₂ sensor should give a quick measure of

transdermal H_2 levels that arise during biodegradation of an implant such as a plate used for repair of a broken arm, wrist, leg, ankle, foot, or jaw where the metal is directly under the skin of the test animal.

The application of this technology to human patients would depend on the depth and permeability of biological tissue above the implant that H_2 must pass through to be detected. Human skin is generally thicker than mouse skin [55-59] and also less permeable [60-61]. The thickness of mouse skin (epidermis and dermis) is approximately 200-500 μm , which is close to that of human skin in some locations such as the eyelid and neck area (380-700 μm) [55-58]. The average epidermis thickness is 83.7 μm for human skin compared to only 9.4-13.3 μm for mouse skin [57-58], and the average dermis thickness for human skin is 908-1339 μm compared to only 200-500 μm for mouse skin [55, 59]. The primary permeability barrier is the outermost layer, the stratum corneum. Clearance of non metabolized solutes from skin is the sum of three separate processes - permeation through the stratum corneum, blood capillary clearance and lymphatic clearance [62]. The fraction of the solute escaping from the skin surface is consequently a ratio of the stratum corneum clearance to the total clearance, rather than being determined by implantation depth only. However, it is fair to say that the deeper the implantation, the lower this ratio will be.

If we take the common rule-of-thumb that rodent stratum corneum is 3-4 times more permeable than human stratum corneum for low molecular weight solutes, and assume furthermore that capillary and lymphatic clearances in human skin and mouse skin are comparable, then a first estimate of the escape rate of H_2 from the skin surface of a human subject with an implant just below the skin surface would be 3-4 times lower than those observed in mouse. This prediction would suggest that it could still be measured using the present H_2

sensors. It is worth verifying experimentally because of the several assumptions built into this estimate. Consequently, transdermal H₂ measurement would be expected to be effective for implants directly beneath the skin, such as on the back of the hand and foot, appendages such as fingers and toes, and on parts of bones such as the arm that are near the surface. The H₂ response would be expected to diminish for deeper implants, especially where the H₂ must permeate through muscle with blood vessels that would carry the H₂ away. The noninvasive feature and simple procedure leads to the possibility of use for point-of-care measurements of biodegradation rates for implants near the skin in humans as part of routine checks at a doctor's office during the healing process.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank the National Science Foundation (NSF ERC 0812348) for financial support. PNK also acknowledges the support of the Edward R. Weidlein Chair Professorship Funds. [The authors thank Professor Gerald B. Kasting, James L. Winkle College of Pharmacy, University of Cincinnati Academic Health Center for helpful discussions about the characteristics of mouse skin compared to human skin.](#)

References

- [1] Cha PR, Han HS, Yang GF, Kim YC, Hong KH, Lee SC, Jung JY, Ahn JP, Kim YY, Cho SY, Byun JY, Lee KS, Yang SJ, Seok HK. Biodegradability engineering of biodegradable Mg alloys: Tailoring the electrochemical properties and microstructure of constituent phases. *Sci Rep* 2013;3:2367.
- [2] Zhang S, Zhang X, Zhao C, Li J, Song Y, Xie C, Tao H, Zhang Y, He Y, Jiang Y, Bian Y. Research on an Mg-Zn alloy as a degradable biomaterial. *Acta Biomater* 2010;6:626-640.
- [3] Chen Y, Xu Z, Smith C, Sankar J. Recent advances on the development of magnesium alloys for biodegradable implants. *Acta Biomater* 2014;10:4561-4573.
- [4] Chou DT, Hong D, Saha P, Ferrero J, Lee B, Tan ZQ, Dong ZY, Kumta PN. *In vitro* and *in vivo* corrosion, cytocompatibility and mechanical properties of biodegradable Mg-Y-Ca-Zr alloys as implant materials. *Acta Biomater* 2013;9:8518-8533.
- [5] Henderson SE, Verdelis K, Maiti S, Pal S, Chung WL, Chou DT, Kumta PN, Almarza AJ. Magnesium alloys as a biomaterial for degradable craniofacial screws. *Acta Biomater* 2014;10:2323-2332.
- [6] Hong D, Saha P, Chou DT, Lee B, Collins BE, Tan ZQ, Dong ZY, Kumta PN. *In vitro* degradation and cytotoxicity response of Mg-4% Zn-0.5% Zr (ZK40) alloy as a potential biodegradable material. *Acta Biomater* 2013;9:8534-8547.

- [7] Poinern E, Brundavanam S, Fawcett D. Biomedical magnesium alloys: A review of material properties, surface modifications and potential as a biodegradable orthopaedic implant. *Am J Biomed Eng* 2012;2:218-240.
- [8] Witte F, Fischer J, Nellesen J, Crostack HA, Kaese V, Pisch A, Beckmann F, Windhagen H. *In vitro* and *in vivo* corrosion measurements of magnesium alloys. *Biomaterials* 2006;27:1013-1018.
- [9] Witte F, Kaese V, Haferkamp H, Switzer E, Meyer-Lindenberg A, Wirth CJ, Windhagen H. *In vivo* corrosion of four magnesium alloys and the associated bone response. *Biomaterials* 2005;26:3557-3563.
- [10] Yun YH, Dong Z, Lee N, Liu Y, Xue D, Guo X, Kuhlmann J, Doepke A, Halsall HB, Heineman W, Sundaramurthy S, Schulz MJ, Yin Z, Shanov V, Hurd D, Nagy P, Li W, Fox C. Revolutionizing biodegradable metals. *Mater Today* 2009;12:22-32.
- [11] Staiger MP, Pietak AM, Huadmai J, Dias G. Magnesium and its alloys as orthopedic biomaterials: A review. *Biomaterials* 2006;27:1728-1734.
- [12] Seal CK, Vince K, Hodgson MA. Biodegradable surgical implants based on magnesium alloys - A review of current research. *Iop Conf Ser-Mat Sci* 2009;012011.
- [13] Razavi M, Fathi MH, Meratian M. Microstructure, mechanical properties and bio-corrosion evaluation of biodegradable AZ91-FA nanocomposites for biomedical applications. *Mat Sci Eng a-Struct* 2010;527:6938-6944.
- [14] Feng AL, Han Y. The microstructure, mechanical and corrosion properties of calcium polyphosphate reinforced ZK60A magnesium alloy composites. *J Alloy Compd* 2010;504:585-593.
- [15] Saris NEL, Mervaala E, Karppanen H, Khawaja JA, Lewenstam A. Magnesium - An update on physiological, clinical and analytical aspects. *Clin Chim Acta* 2000;294:1-26.
- [16] Zreiqat H, Howlett CR, Zannettino A, Evans P, Schulze-Tanzil G, Knabe C, Shakibaei M. Mechanisms of magnesium-stimulated adhesion of osteoblastic cells to commonly used orthopaedic implants. *J Biomed Mater Res* 2002;62:175-184.
- [17] Zreiqat H, Shakibaei ME, Evans P, Knabe C, Howlett CR. Mechanisms of magnesium-stimulated adhesion of osteoblastic cells to commonly used orthopaedic implants. *J Bone Miner Res* 2001;16:S328-S328.
- [18] Yamasaki Y, Yoshida Y, Okazaki M, Shimazu A, Uchida T, Kubo T, Akagawa Y, Hamada Y, Takahashi J, Matsuura N. Synthesis of functionally graded $MgCO_3$ apatite accelerating osteoblast adhesion. *J Biomed Mater Res* 2002;62:99-105.
- [19] Yamasaki Y, Yoshida Y, Okazaki M, Shimazu A, Kubo T, Akagawa Y, Uchida T. Action of FGM $MgCO_3$ Ap-collagen composite in promoting bone formation. *Biomaterials* 2003;24:4913-4920.
- [20] Hornberger H, Virtanen S, Boccaccini AR. Biomedical coatings on magnesium alloys - A review. *Acta Biomater* 2012;8:2442-2455.
- [21] Atrens A, Song GL, Liu M, Shi ZM, Cao FY, Dargusch MS. Review of recent developments in the field of magnesium corrosion. *Adv Eng Mater* 2015;17:400-453.
- [22] Song G. Recent progress in corrosion and protection of magnesium alloys. *Adv Eng Mater* 2005;7:563-586.
- [23] Song G. Control of biodegradation of biocompatible magnesium alloys. *Corros Sci* 2007;49:1696-1701.
- [24] Zeng R, Dietzel W, Witte F, Hort N, Blawert C. Progress and challenge for magnesium alloys as biomaterials. *Adv Eng Mater* 2008;10:B3-B14.
- [25] Kraus T, Fischerauer SF, Hanzi AC, Uggowitzer PJ, Löffler JF, Weinberg AM. Magnesium alloys for temporary implants in osteosynthesis: *In vivo* studies of their degradation and interaction with bone. *Acta Biomater* 2012;8:1230-1238.
- [26] Fischerauer SF, Kraus T, Wu X, Tangl S, Sorantin E, Hanzi AC, Löffler JF, Uggowitzer PJ, Weinberg AM. *In vivo* degradation performance of micro-arc-oxidized magnesium implants: A micro-CT study in rats. *Acta Biomater* 2013;9:5411-5420.

- [27] Dziuba D, Meyer-Lindenberg A, Seitz JM, Waizy H, Angrisani N, Reifendrath J. Long-term *in vivo* degradation behaviour and biocompatibility of the magnesium alloy ZEK100 for use as a biodegradable bone implant. *Acta Biomater* 2013;9:8548-8560.
- [28] Yang J, Cui F, Lee I, Zhang Y, Yin Q, Xia H, Yang S. *In vivo* biocompatibility and degradation behavior of Mg alloy coated by calcium phosphate in a rabbit model. *J Biomater Appl* 2012;27:153-164.
- [29] Wang Y, Zhu Z, He Y, Jiang Y, Zhang J, Niu J, Mao L, Yuan G. *In vivo* degradation behavior and biocompatibility of Mg-Nd-Zn-Zr alloy at early stage. *Int J Mol Med* 2012;29:178-184.
- [30] Hou L, Li Z, Pan Y, Du L, Li X, Zheng Y, Li L. *In vitro* and *in vivo* studies on biodegradable magnesium alloy. *Prog Nat Sci-Mater* 2014;24:466-471.
- [31] Kuhlmann J, Bartsch I, Willbold E, Schuchardt S, Holz O, Hort N, Hoche D, Heineman WR, Witte F. Fast escape of hydrogen from gas cavities around corroding magnesium implants. *Acta Biomater* 2013;9:8714-8721.
- [32] Zhao D, Wang T, Heineman WR. Advances in H₂ sensors for bioanalytical applications. *Trends in Analytical Chemistry* 2016;<http://dx.doi.org/doi: 10.1016/j.trac.2016.01.015>.
- [33] Zhao D, Wang T, Guo X, Kuhlmann J, Doepke A, Dong Z, Shanov VN, Heineman WR. Monitoring biodegradation of magnesium implants with sensors. *JOM-J Min Met S* 2016;In press.
- [34] Nakashima-Kamimura N, Mori T, Ohsawa I, Asoh S, Ohta S. Molecular hydrogen alleviates nephrotoxicity induced by an anti-cancer drug cisplatin without compromising anti-tumor activity in mice. *Cancer Chemoth Pharm* 2009;64:753-761.
- [35] Kaplan LA, Pesce AJ. *Clinical Chemistry: Theory, Analysis, Correlation* 1996;Morsby Inc.:528-592.
- [36] Ohsawa I, Ishikawa M, Takahashi K, Watanabe M, Nishimaki K, Yamagata K, Katsura K, Katayama Y, Asoh S, Ohta S. Hydrogen acts as a therapeutic antioxidant by selectively reducing cytotoxic oxygen radicals. *Nat Med* 2007;13:688-694.
- [37] Ostojic SM. Molecular hydrogen in sports medicine: new therapeutic perspectives. *Int J Sports Med* 2015;36:273-279.
- [38] Ostojic SM. Molecular hydrogen: An inert gas turns clinically effective. *Ann Med* 2015;47:301-304.
- [39] Ohta S. Molecular hydrogen as a preventive and therapeutic medical gas: initiation, development and potential of hydrogen medicine. *Pharmacol Therapeut* 2014;144:1-11.
- [40] Ohta S. Recent progress toward hydrogen medicine: potential of molecular hydrogen for preventive and therapeutic applications. *Curr Pharm Design* 2011;17:2241-2252.
- [41] Blaquiere C, Berthon G. Speciation studies in relation to magnesium bioavailability - formation of Mg(II) complexes with glutamate, aspartate, glycinate, lactate, pyroglutamate, pyridoxine and citrate, and appraisal of their potential significance towards magnesium gastrointestinal absorption. *Inorg Chim a-Bioinor* 1987;135:179-189.
- [42] Johnson CK. X-ray crystal analysis of the substrates of aconitase. V. Magnesium citrate decahydrate [Mg (H₂O)₆][MgC₆H₅O₇ (H₂O)]₂ · 2 H₂O. *Acta crystallographica* 1965;18:1004-1018.
- [43] Pelzer M, Larsen M, Friedrich PF, Bishop AT. Measurement of bone blood flow using the hydrogen washout technique - Part I: Quantitative evaluation of tissue perfusion in the laboratory rat. *J Orthopaed Res* 2008;26:741-745.
- [44] Witte F, Reifendrath J, Muller PP, Crostack HA, Nellesen J, Bach FW, Bormann D, Rudert M. Cartilage repair on magnesium scaffolds used as a subchondral bone replacement. *Materialwiss Werkst* 2006;37:504-508.
- [45] Kaya RA, Cavusoglu H, Tanik C, Kaya AA, Duygulu O, Mutlu Z, Zengin E, Aydin Y. The effects of magnesium particles in posterolateral spinal fusion: an experimental *in vivo* study in a sheep model. *J Neurosurg-Spine* 2007;6:141-149.
- [46] Von Der Hoh N, Bormann D, Lucas A, Denkena B, Hackenbroich C, Meyer-Lindenberg A. Influence of different surface machining treatments of magnesium-based resorbable implants on the degradation behavior in rabbits. *Adv Eng Mater* 2009;11:B47-B54.

- [47] McBride E. Absorbable metal in bone surgerya further report on the use of magnesium alloys J Amer Med Assoc 1938;111:2464-2467.
- [48] McCord CP, Prendergast JJ, Meek SF, Harrold GC. Chemical gas gangrene from metallic magnesium. Ind Med 1942;11:71-76.
- [49] Erdmann N, Bondarenko A, Hewicker-Trautwein M, Angrisani N, Reifenrath J, Lucas A, Meyer-Lindenberg A. Evaluation of the soft tissue biocompatibility of MgCa0.8 and surgical steel 316L *in vivo*: a comparative study in rabbits. Biomed Eng Online 2010;9:63.
- [50] Li Z, Gu X, Lou S, Zheng Y. The development of binary Mg-Ca alloys for use as biodegradable materials within bone. Biomaterials 2008;29:1329-1344.
- [51] UNISENSE. Hydrogen sensor user manual 2014:1-24.
- [52] Seo T, Kurokawa R, Sato B. A convenient method for determining the concentration of hydrogen in water: use of methylene blue with colloidal platinum. Med Gas Res 2012;2:doi:10.1186/2045-9912-1182-1181.
- [53] Tomoki Seo, Ryosuke Kurokawa, Sato aB. A convenient method for determining the concentration of hydrogen in water: use of methylene blue with colloidal platinum. Med Gas Res 2012;2:1-6.
- [54] Korotcenkov G, Do Han S, Stetter JR. Review of electrochemical hydrogen sensors. Chem Rev 2009;109:1402-1433.
- [55] Azzi L, El-Alfy M, Martel C, Labrie F. Gender differences in mouse skin morphology and specific effects of sex steroids and dehydroepiandrosterone. J Invest Dermatol 2005;124:22-27.
- [56] Ha RY, Nojima K, Adams WP, Brown SA. Analysis of facial skin thickness: Defining the relative thickness index. Plast Reconstr Surg 2005;115:1769-1773.
- [57] Sandby-Moller J, Poulsen T, Wulf HC. Epidermal thickness at different body sites: Relationship to age, gender, pigmentation, blood content, skin type and smoking habits. Acta Derm-Venereol 2003;83:410-413.
- [58] Koehler MJ, Vogel T, Elsner P, Konig K, Buckle R, Kaatz M. In vivo measurement of the human epidermal thickness in different localizations by multiphoton laser tomography. Skin Res Technol 2010;16:259-264.
- [59] Crisan D, Lupsor M, Boca A, Crisan M, Badea R. Ultrasonographic assessment of skin structure according to age. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2012;78:519.
- [60] Durrheim H, Flynn GL, Higuchi WI, Behl CR. Permeation of hairless mouse skin .1. Experimental methods and comparison with human epidermal permeation by alkanols. J Pharm Sci 1980;69:781-786.
- [61] Ghosh B, Reddy LH, Kulkarni RV, Khanam J. Comparison of skin permeability of drugs in mice and human cadaver skin. Indian J Exp Biol 2000;38:42-45.
- [62] Ibrahim R, Nitsche JM, Kasting GB. Dermal clearance model for epidermal bioavailability calculations. J Pharm Sci 2012;101:2094-2108.

Fig. 1. H₂ microsensor assembled on a micromanipulator for measuring H₂ transdermally from a Mg alloy implanted subcutaneously in a mouse. The sensor tip is in direct contact with the mouse skin.

Fig. 2. H₂ measurements on an anaesthetized nude mouse with polycrystalline Mg8H implanted subcutaneously 1 week after implantation. (a) Photograph of mouse with measurement points marked and numbered. (b) Instant current responses corresponding to numbered points in (a). (c) H₂ concentrations measured at each point as determined from a calibration curve. BL is the measurement in air. Error bars are standard deviations for measurements made on three mice (N = 3) (*vide infra*). (d) H₂ concentration monitored weekly during the 8-week study for Mg8H (N=3). A single measurement was made centered directly above the implant for each mouse.

Fig. 3. H₂ measurements on an anaesthetized nude mouse with AZ31 implanted subcutaneously 1 week after implantation. (a) Photograph of mouse with measurement points marked and numbered. (b) Instant currents responses corresponding to numbered points in (a). (c) H₂ concentrations measured at each point as determined from a calibration curve. BL is the measurement in air. (d) H₂ concentration monitored weekly during the 8-week study for AZ31 (N=3). A single measurement was made centered directly above the implant for each mouse.

Fig. 4. The correlation of weight loss percentage after 2 months implanted and maximum H₂ concentration measured *in vivo* by the H₂ sensor for three alloys after the first week of implantation. Error bars are standard deviations for measurements made on three mice (N = 3).

Figure 1

Figure 2a

Figure 2b

Figure 2c

Figure 2d

Figure 3a

Figure 3b

Figure 3c

Figure 3d

Figure 4