Novel Design of Non-Enzymatic Sensor for Rapid Monitoring of Hydrogen Peroxide in Water Matrix

A.B.M. Zakaria¹, Danuta Leszczynska^{2*}

¹*Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Jackson State University, Jackson, MS 39217, USA, email: abmzakaria@icnanotox.org*

²*Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Jackson State University, Jackson, MS 39217, USA, email: danuta.leszczynska@jsums.edu*

*Corresponding author. Tel: +1-601-979-1091; E-mail: danuta.leszczynska@jsums.edu

Abstract

A simple and reliable design of the non-enzymatic sensor capable of instant detection of broad range of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) concentrations in various water-based matrixes has been presented. Manufacturing of the sensor was based on the manganese dioxide (MnO₂)-non-functionalized single walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) - Nafion nanocomposite modified glassy carbon electrode (MnO₂/SWCNTs-Nf/GCE). The electrochemical behavior of hydrogen peroxide at this sensitive platform was verified by the cyclic voltammetry and amperometry. The obtained results have demonstrated that the modified GCE exhibited an excellent electrocatalytic activity toward hydrogen peroxide. The parameters related to this sensor, such as amount of MnO₂, applied potential and pH value were optimized to attain a broad working linear range 5.0×10^{-6} to 3.0×10^{-3} M, with detection limit of 0.52×10^{-6} M (17.7 ppb) of detection H₂O₂. Developed electrode was tested for stability and accuracy measurements in environmental/biological conditions, such as pH and interference of common ions, namely calcium, magnesium, nickel, copper, bicarbonate and citrate, showing an excellent stability and repeatability in tested ranges.

Keywords: Non-enzymatic Sensor, Amperometry, H₂O₂, SWCNT, MnO₂, Nafion

Graphical Abstract

1. Introduction

Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) is commonly known as universal oxidant widely applied in many industrial and environmentally oriented processes. It is also recognized as an essential intermediate in the various environmental and biological reactions [1]. Examples of general environmental applications could include an injection of H_2O_2 into the subsurface to supply oxygen for aerobic bioremediation, or use as disinfectant in water and wastewater treatment [2]. Moreover, hydrogen peroxide is found in several household products, such as general-purpose disinfectants, chlorine-free bleaches, fabric stain removers, or hair dyes. Consequently, the dynamic monitoring of changing concentrations of H_2O_2 with a sensitive, selective, rapid, and economic method is of great importance to study the reaction's progresses in different conditions [3-9].

There are number of analytical methods available for determination of hydrogen peroxide, such as titrimetry[10], fluorescence [11-14], spectrophotometry[15-18], chemiluminescence [19-21], and electrochemical methods [22-24]. Among them, electrochemical method based on various sensing materials is an attractive option due to its high sensitivity and selectivity, low cost, operational simplicity and real-time monitoring. However, common sensors based on enzymes or proteins have substantial drawbacks, such as complex fabrication procedures, limited lifetime, and poor stability. Currently, the enzyme-free electrodes are being developed with the aim to

produce non-enzymatic hydrogen peroxide sensor with low detection limit and wide linearity range.

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are considered as a promising candidates for the next generation of electrochemical sensors due to their unique properties including large current density, low capacitance and wide potential window [25]. The electronic properties of CNTs are strongly affected by their surface structure, namely, the number of defective sites and functional groups on the side walls and the ends of CNTs. The functional groups (carboxyl, epoxide and hydroxyl) on carbon nanotubes surfaces have major contributions in nanocomposite formation [26, 27]. A series of functionalized CNT-based modified electrode have been reported including CNT-epoxy composite electrode [28], CNT-Fe₃O₄ hybrid electrode [29], CNT-cellulose composite electrode [30], CNT-copper hybrid electrode [31], CNT-Prussian blue paste electrodes [32], CNT-graphene-Pt NPs hybrid paper electrode [33] etc. The modified CNT-based electrodes, namely nanocomposites with metal oxides, have been well-accepted as sensing materials due to their long-term stability, and high electron transfer of sensing interface [34]. Various metal oxides, such as copper oxide [35], zirconium oxide [36], ruthenium oxide [37], cobalt oxide [38], cadmium oxide [39], or iron oxide [40], have been introduced by the cyclic oxidation-reduction on nanotubes arrays, which created novel properties for nanotubes arrays, and promised a wide range of technical applications.

Among of available metal oxides, the increasing research efforts have been focused on MnO₂ as an alternative low-cost transition-metal oxide, because of its high energy density, environmental compatibility and natural abundance [41]. MnO₂ is also an attractive inorganic material that shows the electrocatalytic ability towards H₂O₂ [42]. Consequently, the MnO₂-SWCNTs modified electrode would be a good choice in developing H₂O₂ sensor. To date, number of reports has already been published. For examples, Mahmoudian *et al.*[43] investigated the MnO₂-NTs/RGO nanocomposite as the electrode material prepared by hydrothermal process in acidic KMnO₄ solution; Liqiang *et al.* [44] fabricated the glassy carbon electrode with MnO₂-ordered mesoporous carbon, Liu *et al.*[45] used Mn-NTA nanowires synthesized by hydrothermal route, Zhang *et al.*[46] demonstrated Ag-HNTs-MnO₂ composite-based modified electrode, and Xu *et al.*[47] reported MnO₂-modified vertically aligned multi-walled carbon

nanotubes to evaluate electrocatalytic activity towards H_2O_2 . However, all these methods require exhaustive synthesis' protocols with series of chemical treatments to achieve the final supporting electrode's substrate. In comparison, the method employed in manufacturing of our sensor, namely, electrodeposition of metal oxide on conductive surface (e.g. CNT), is simple, cost-effective, and more suitable in controlling thickness and orientation in terms of size and shape of nanoparticles on supporting electrode.

In this work, we report a simple, but reliable manufacturing of a non-enzymatic hydrogen peroxide sensor, which could be employed for the real-time electrochemical detection of hydrogen peroxide in complex matrixes, such as environmental or biological samples. Our sensor has been fabricated by the electrodepositing of MnO_2 nanoparticles on SWCNT-Nafion nanocomposite coated on Glassy Carbon Electrode. During second part of this study, we have extensively characterized properties, conditions, detection limits and stability of fabricated sensor.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Reagents

Single-walled carbon nanotubes (non-functionalized, purity >90 wt%, outer diameter 1-2 nm, length 5-30 μm) were purchased from Cheap Tubes Inc. Vermont, USA. Nafion (perfluorinated ion-exchange resin) was obtained from Aldrich as 5 wt% solution in a mixture of lower aliphatic alcohols and water. Other reagents, such as H_2O_2 (30%), MnSO_4 , Na_2SO_4 , KCl , $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and KH_2PO_4 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (USA). Stock standard solutions of $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and KH_2PO_4 were prepared to make phosphate buffer solutions (PBS) of desired pH, and were used as supporting electrolyte. All chemicals were analytical grade, and deionized water was used for preparing all stock solutions throughout the experiments.

2.2. Apparatus

Amperometric and cyclic voltammograms were carried out with CH Instruments (CHI 440, CH Instruments, Austin, TX) using a conventional three-electrode system. Modified glassy carbon electrode (GCE), $\text{Ag}/\text{AgCl}/\text{saturated KCl}$ (Model CH111, CH Instruments, Austin, TX), and a platinum wire were used as working, reference and counter electrodes, respectively. High purity argon was used to deoxygenate all experimental solutions for 20 min, and maintaining argon

atmosphere during measurements. The morphology and microstructure of the as-prepared MnO_2 was characterized by X-ray diffraction (Rigaku Ultima III X-ray Diffraction System, 2.2kW Long-Fine Focus X-ray Tube, Graphite Monochromator and Scintillation Detector) and scanning electron microscopy (ZEISS Supra 40VP SEM).

2.3. Preparation of $\text{MnO}_2/\text{SWCNT-Nf}/\text{GCE}$

One milligram of SWCNT (non-functionalized) was dispersed in 1ml of Nafion under 20 min constant ultrasonic agitations to prepare 1mg/ml black homogeneous suspension. The glassy carbon electrode was carefully polished with 0.05 μm alumina powder, and then rinsed successively with ethanol and deionized water. After that, a 3 μL of the black suspension was dropped on to the surface of cleaned GC electrode and dried under a yellow lamp with 50°C to obtain the SWCNT-Nf/GCE. Then MnO_2 was electrodeposited on the surface of SWCNT-Nf/GCE by repetitive scanning cycles by applying potential window of 0.3 to 1.3 V in a solution of 0.1 M Na_2SO_4 and 5 mM MnSO_4 . Several electrodes were prepared according this procedure. Fig. 1 is presenting schematics of process and steps of preparation of modified Glassy Carbon Electrode (GCE).

Fig.1. Schematic representation of preparation modified Glassy Carbon Electrode (GCE).

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Evaluation of the sensor

3.1.1. Formation and characterization of MnO₂ nanostructured film

Fig. 2 (A) shows the cyclic voltammograms (CVs) obtained in 0.1M Na₂SO₄ on bare GCE (a) and SWCNT-Nf/GCE (b) without containing 5.0 mM MnSO₄ in the potential window of 0.3 to 1.3 V vs. Ag/AgCl at scan rate 100 mV s⁻¹. No redox peak was observed on both voltammograms except the capacitive behavior of SWCNT-Nf/GCE due to the presence of SWCNT on electrode surface. Moreover, in presence of 5.0 mM MnSO₄ at SWCNT-Nf/GCE, a broad anodic and cathodic peak were observed at around +1.08V and +0.8V respectively.

Fig. 2. (A) Cyclic voltammograms (CVs) obtained in 0.1M Na₂SO₄ solution at (a) bare GCE, (b) SWCNT-Nf/GCE in absence and (c) SWCNT-Nf/GCE in presence of 5.0 mM MnSO₄ at scan rate of 100mV s⁻¹; (B) repetitive scanning cycles for electrodeposition of MnO₂ on SWCNT-Nf/GCE.

The anodic peak indicates the oxidation of Mn(II) to Mn(III), and on the reverse scan the cathodic peak was due to the reduction of Mn(III) to Mn(II). The current ratio of oxidation and reduction (I_{pa}/I_{pc}) was about 1.90, and the peak-to-peak separation value ($E_{pa} - E_{pc}$) was approximately 260 mV, indicating that the redox of Mn(II)/Mn(III) is a quasi-reversible reaction. With further cycling, as shown in Fig.1(B), a disproportionate reaction of Mn(III) with water could yield Mn(II) and MnO₂, and the resultant MnO₂ was precipitated on GC electrode surface. The reaction is provided by Eq.(1):

The intensity of oxidation and reduction increased along with continuation of cycles, and it shifted due to altering electrode's surface with deposition MnO₂. All this observations are

consistent with previous reports.[48, 49] To confirm the surface's modification with MnO_2 on SWCNT-Nf/GCE, we carried out scanning electron microscope (ZEISS Supra 40 VP SEM) with an accelerating voltage of 5.00 KeV. As shown in Fig. 3, bright rice-like MnO_2 with an average size of $\sim 3.0 \mu\text{m}$ were firmly electrodeposited on the surface of SWCNT modified GC electrode after 30 cycles of cyclic voltammetry. Moreover, Fig. 3 shows 3-layers of the composite, which were sequentially added for fabrication on GCE surface corresponds to GCE (1st layer), SWCNT-Nf (2nd layer) and MnO_2 /SWCNT-Nf/GCE (3rd layer). This evidence is strongly correlated with the CVs of GCE (curve a), SWCNT-Nf (curve b) and MnO_2 /SWCNT-Nf/GCE (curve c) as shown in Fig. 2(A).

Fig. 3. SEM images of rice like MnO_2 Nanoparticles on SWCNT-Nf/GCE.

An XRD pattern of the MnO_2 electrodeposited on pristine SWCNT is shown in Fig. 4. It could be observed that only SWCNT shows one sharp diffraction peak at around 25.5° corresponding to the C(002) plane, and other characteristic diffraction peaks at 2θ of about 43° , 53° and 77° are associated with C(100), C(004) and C(110) respectively. Despite the low intensity and weakly crystalline nature of electrolytic MnO_2 , the three major diffraction peaks of MnO_2 at $2\theta = \sim 22^\circ$, $\sim 37.2^\circ$ and $\sim 61.6^\circ$, can be assigned as the crystal planes of (110), (021), and (061) confirming the formation of $\gamma\text{-MnO}_2$ [50, 51].

Fig. 4. XRD patterns of pristine SWCNT and electrodeposited MnO₂.

3.1.2. Electrochemical behavior of H₂O₂ at MnO₂ / SWCNT-Nf/GCE

The cyclic voltammetric behaviors of different electrodes are shown in Fig. 5(A) in the presence of 3mM H₂O₂ in 0.1 M PBS (pH 8.0) at the scan rate 100 mVs⁻¹. No oxidation peaks were observed at bare GCE (curve *a*) and SWCNT-Nf/GCE (curve *b*). However, a broad and weak oxidation peak was found at about +0.58V for the MnO₂/SWCNT-Nf/GCE (curve *c*) in the potential range of 0.0-1.0V. From Fig. 5(B), it is clear that the increase of oxidation peak current is correlated with the increase of (3mM-18mM) H₂O₂ concentration. The possible reaction mechanism could be explained in the following way: MnO₂ was reduced from Mn (IV) to Mn (II or III) state by the H₂O₂ (Eq. 2), and then Mn (II or III) was electro-oxidized back to Mn (IV) at the electrode surface (Eq. 3) [52].

Fig. 5.(A) Cyclic voltammograms (CV) of different electrodes: (a) bare GCE, (b) SWCNT-Nf, (c) MnO₂ /SWCNT-Nf/GCE in presence of 3mM of H₂O₂; (B) CV of MnO₂/SWCNT-Nf/GCE with different concentration of H₂O₂ (3,6,9,15 and 18mM) in 0.1M PBS (pH 8.0) at scan rate 0.1V/s.

3.1.3. Optimization of sensor's working properties

Since MnO₂ was the key material to react with hydrogen peroxide, therefore, its amount on the surface played the major role influencing the final performance of the sensor. Consequently, the number of scanning cycles was directly correlated to the total amount of MnO₂ electrodeposited on to the surface of SWCNT-Nf nanocomposite modified GCE.

The next step was to investigate correlations between amperometric signals due to catalytic oxidation of 0.1mM H₂O₂ of different numbers of scanning cycles. As shown in Fig. 6, the response current of different cycles varied due to the availability and stability of sensing material MnO₂ nanoparticles on the surface. The response current observed in three successive additions of 0.1mM H₂O₂ into PBS (pH 8.0) was rising up to 25 cycles. We have not observed any substantial current increase with additional continuation of scanning cycles, which could be explained by the excessive MnO₂ at the surface causing deterioration of the conductivity and current responses. Based on the smooth amperometric response, the stable signal in 25 cycles was chosen to prepare MnO₂/SWCNT-Nf/GCE for further experiments.

Fig. 6. Effect of electrodeposition cycles of MnO_2 on the amperometric response of 0.1mM H_2O_2 in 0.1M PBS (pH 8.0).

The effects of pH value and applied potential were investigated with 0.1mM H_2O_2 in 0.1M PBS. The optimal pH of supporting electrolyte was selected based on the amperometric responses to the three successive additions of 0.1mM H_2O_2 . It was observed that the response current increased, when pH increased from 6.0 to 8.0, and then decreased when pH has grown from 8.0 to 9.0 (Fig. 7). The results of pH experiments have suggested that the sensor exhibited the lower catalytic ability in acidic and basic conditions of supporting electrolyte, but showed the highest catalysis at pH 8.0. Therefore, pH 8.0 was chosen as the optimal value for the detection of H_2O_2 .

Fig. 7. Effect of pH on the amperometric response of 0.1 mM H_2O_2 in 0.1 M PBS.

The third optimized parameter was the working potential. The results of this set of experiments are shown in Fig. 8. It was found that the steady-state current response clearly increased with working potential from 0.2 to 0.7 V, and then decreased sharply from 0.7 to 0.8 V. Therefore, 0.7 V was selected as the working potential for amperometric detection of H₂O₂.

Fig. 8. Effect of applied potential on the amperometric response of 0.1 mM H₂O₂ in 0.1 M PBS (pH 8.0)

3.1.4. Detection limit, linearity, and film status of the MnO₂/SWCNT-Nf/GCE

Under optimized operating conditions, the performance of the proposed non-enzymatic sensor showed a rapid and stable response towards various concentrations of H₂O₂. As shown in Fig. 9, the current-time curves were obtained upon successive addition of different concentrations of H₂O₂ into 0.1M PBS (pH 8.0). The calibration curve of the sensor and the regression equation are displayed in Fig. 9 with regression equation of:

$$I_p(\mu A) = 0.0126x + 0.393, \text{ where } x \text{ is the concentration of H}_2\text{O}_2 \text{ in } \mu\text{M} \quad (4)$$

The linearity range of this calibration curve was from 0.005 to 3.0 mM. From the slope (S) of 0.0126 μA/μM, the limit of detection (LOD) was calculated to be 0.52×10^{-6} M (17.7 ppb) using the following equation [53].

$$\text{LOD} = \text{SD}_{\text{background}} / S, \text{ where } S \text{ is slop or sensitivity, SD: standard deviation} \quad (5)$$

Fig. 9. (A) Current-time curves obtained on $\text{MnO}_2/\text{SWCNT-Nf}/\text{GCE}$ upon successive addition of different concentration of H_2O_2 into 0.1 M PBS (pH 8.0) at 0.7 V. (B) Correlation between catalytic current and the concentration of H_2O_2 .

In addition, the status of the sensor was also evaluated at different time duration in the amperometric measurement. For this purpose, the time duration of I-T curve was extended up to 2500s with adding H_2O_2 in regular sequences. In addition, cyclic voltammetry was employed to investigate the film condition in terms of electrocatalytic oxidation of H_2O_2 on electrode exposed at different time duration in amperometric measurements. The result showed that the film condition was quite good up to 2000s though the response current signal started to decrease after 1000s during amperometric measurement. After 2000s, the amperometric response was completely irregular and unstable. The experimental details and results are described in the Supplementary Material (SM).

A general comparison of working conditions (linear range, detection limit and working pH) of our non-enzymatic $\text{MnO}_2/\text{SWCNT-Nf}/\text{GCE}$ sensor with sensors previously reported in literature is summarized in Table 1. It could be seen that simplicity of our sensor has not affected the broad working linear range and low detection limit within optimal pH.

Table 1. Comparison of different H_2O_2 Sensors

Modified Electrode	Linear range (μM)	Detection limit (μM)	pH	Reference
HRP/DNA/Au	10.0 - 9700	50.0	7.0	[54]
NanoAg/DNA networks	4.0 - 1600	1.7	7.0	[55]

Cryptomelane-type MnO ₂ /CPE	100 - 6900	2.0	7.4	[56]
Ag NPs/graphite substrate	50 - 2500	1.0	Not reported	[57]
TiO ₂ horseradish peroxidase gold nano-seeds/GCE	41 - 630	5.9	7.0	[58]
NP PtCo	50 - 800	1.0	7.0	[59]
PPy-Co NCs	20 - 1000	2.1	5.0-7.0	[60]
PdCu/Screen printed carbon electrode(SPCE)	500-1100	0.7	Not reported	[53]
MnO₂/SWCNT-Nf/GCE	5.0 - 3000	0.5	7.0 - 8.0	This study

3.2. Testing H₂O₂ sensor in environmental setting

3.2.1 Evaluation of Selectivity, reproducibility and stability of the H₂O₂ sensor

Several common metal ion and anions were investigated for their possible interference with the amperometric determination of H₂O₂. The interference experiments were performed in 0.1M PBS solution (pH 8.0) under optimal conditions by comparing the response current of 0.1mM H₂O₂ including each interfering substance with that of 0.1mM H₂O₂ alone. The results showed that 10-fold concentration of Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Fe³⁺ and HCO₃⁻ interfered slightly, which was possibly attributed to the fact that these ions could catalyze H₂O₂ and generate ·OH radicals. Tested Na⁺, Cu²⁺, Ni²⁺, Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, SO₄²⁻, glucose and citrate were hardly interfered with the detection of H₂O₂.

The reproducibility and stability of the sensor were also tested. The reproducibility was determined from the response to 0.02 mM H₂O₂ at five MnO₂/SWCNT-Nf/GCE electrodes prepared separately, and the relative standard deviation (R.S.D.) of 2.1% was obtained. The long-term stability was explored by measuring 0.02 mM H₂O₂, and it retained 89.3% of the original value after 50 consecutive measurements (Table 2).

Table 2. Results of determination of H₂O₂ in the presence of interfering ions

Interferent	Concentration (mM)	Current ratio ^a
Cu ²⁺	1.0	0.89
Fe ³⁺	1.0	0.80
Ni ²⁺	1.0	0.95
Mg ²⁺	1.0	0.65
Ca ²⁺	1.0	0.75
Na ⁺	1.0	0.98
HCO ₃ ⁻	1.0	0.77
Cl ⁻	1.0	0.98
NO ₃ ⁻	1.0	0.95
SO ₄ ²⁻	1.0	0.98
Citrate	1.0	0.91
Glucose	1.0	0.92

^aRatio of currents for mixtures of interferents and 0.1mM H₂O₂ compared to that for 0.1mM H₂O₂ alone.

3.2.2. Non-standardized sample analysis

The feasibility check of the developed sensor for the practical applications was carried out by analyzing the natural water samples collected from a nearby ditch holding urban stormwater runoff. No detectable amount of H₂O₂ was initially found in that water. The collected water samples were spiked with different known concentrations of H₂O₂ and tested with the sensor. The recoveries of hydrogen peroxide samples with concentrations of 5μM (sample 2), 10 μM (sample 3), 20 μM (sample 4) and 50 μM (sample 5) are shown in Table 3. The results have confirmed that the sensor has a potential in detection of hydrogen peroxide directly in unaltered environmental samples. Sample 1 served as control; all analysis were repeated 3 times (n=3).

Table 3. Determination of concentration of H₂O₂ in environmental water samples (n=3)

Sample	Added H ₂ O ₂ (μM)	Measured H ₂ O ₂ (μM)	Recovery(%)	RSD(%)
1	0	<DL		

2	5	5.4	108	2.4
3	10	11.2	112	1.8
4	20	19.9	99.5	2.4
5	50	55.7	111.5	2.1

4. Conclusions

In this work, a non-enzymatic H₂O₂ sensor was design and manufactured as MnO₂/SWCNTs-Nf nanocomposite modified glassy carbon electrode to measure wide-range concentration of hydrogen peroxide in water matrix. The proposed sensor showed excellent performance to the electrochemical response of H₂O₂ under optimized condition of pH 8.0, applied potential 0.7 V and 25 electrodeposition cycles. The linearity range of calibration curve was found from 5.0×10^{-6} to 3.0×10^{-3} M, and the detection limit was 0.52×10^{-6} M (17.7 ppb). The low detection limit, wide linear range and high sensitivity of this sensor provide the possibility for reliable detection of H₂O₂ in environmental samples.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to special thanks to Dr. Hiroyasu Tachikawa for using his electrochemical workstation, and also acknowledge financial support from the National Science Foundation, award NSF EPSCoR # 362492-190200-01\NSFEPS-0903787, NSF-CREST# HRD 0833178 and RCMI award # 8G12MD007581.

References:

1. Ludwig, E. and P. Eyer, *Reactivity of Glutathione Adducts of 4-(Dimethylamino)phenol. Involvement of Reactive Oxygen Species during the Interaction with Oxyhemoglobin*. Chemical Research in Toxicology, 1995. **8**(3): p. 363-368.
2. Qi, L., et al., *Study on the possibility of hydrogen peroxide pretreatment and plant system to remediate soil pollution*. Chemosphere, 2004. **57**(10): p. 1439-1447.
3. Fernando, C.D. and P. Soysa, *Optimized enzymatic colorimetric assay for determination of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) scavenging activity of plant extracts*. MethodsX, 2015. **2**(0): p. 283-291.
4. Anjum, S., et al., *Non-enzymatic hydrogen peroxide sensor by electroreduction of p-nitrophenyl aldehyde in the presence of nitrous acid on glassy carbon electrode*. Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry, 2015. **750**(0): p. 74-78.

5. Maicaneanu, A., et al., *Physical-chemical and electrochemical characterization of Fe-exchanged natural zeolite applied for obtaining of hydrogen peroxide amperometric sensors*. *Chemie der Erde - Geochemistry*, 2014. **74**(4): p. 653-660.
6. Lugo-Morales, L.Z., et al., *Enzyme-Modified Carbon-Fiber Microelectrode for the Quantification of Dynamic Fluctuations of Nonelectroactive Analytes Using Fast-Scan Cyclic Voltammetry*. *Analytical Chemistry*, 2013.
7. Azadbakht, A., M.B. Gholivand, and S. Menati, *Nanomolar detection of hydrogen peroxide at a nano-structured adducts of diorganotin dichlorides multiwall carbon nanotube modified glassy carbon electrode*. *Electrochim. Acta*, 2012. **78**(Copyright (C) 2013 American Chemical Society (ACS). All Rights Reserved.): p. 82-91.
8. Xu, X., et al., *Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Nanotubes: High Electrocatalytic Activity toward the Oxidation of Hydrogen Peroxide and Its Application for Biosensing*. *ACS Nano*, 2010. **4**(Copyright (C) 2013 American Chemical Society (ACS). All Rights Reserved.): p. 4292-4298.
9. Li, X., et al., *Real-Time Electrochemical Monitoring of Cellular H₂O₂ Integrated with In Situ Selective Cultivation of Living Cells Based on Dual Functional Protein Microarrays at Au-TiO₂ Surfaces*. *Anal. Chem.* (Washington, DC, U. S.), 2010. **82**(Copyright (C) 2013 American Chemical Society (ACS). All Rights Reserved.): p. 6512-6518.
10. Hurdis, E.C. and H. Romeyn, *Accuracy of Determination of Hydrogen Peroxide by Cerate Oxidimetry*. *Analytical Chemistry*, 1954. **26**(2): p. 320-325.
11. Zeng, Y., et al., *Determination of hydrogen peroxide residue in food using CdS quantum dots as fluorescence probes*. *Adv. Mater. Res.* (Durnten-Zurich, Switz.), 2012. **455-456**(Copyright (C) 2013 American Chemical Society (ACS). All Rights Reserved.): p. 1189-1194.
12. Jiang, Z., et al., *A simple and sensitive fluorescence quenching method for the determination of H₂O₂ using Rhodamine B and Fe₃O₄ nanocatalyst*. *J. Fluoresc.*, 2011. **21**(Copyright (C) 2013 American Chemical Society (ACS). All Rights Reserved.): p. 2015-2020.
13. Gao, Y., et al., *Fluorometric method for the determination of hydrogen peroxide and glucose with Fe₃O₄ as catalyst*. *Talanta*, 2011. **85**(Copyright (C) 2013 American Chemical Society (ACS). All Rights Reserved.): p. 1075-1080.
14. Li, Y.-z. and A. Townshend, *Evaluation of the adsorptive immobilization of horseradish peroxidase on PTFE tubing in flow systems for hydrogen peroxide determination using fluorescence detection*. *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 1998. **359**(Copyright (C) 2013 American Chemical Society (ACS). All Rights Reserved.): p. 149-156.
15. Matsubara, C., N. Kawamoto, and K. Takamura, *Oxo[5, 10, 15, 20-tetra(4-pyridyl)porphyrinato]titanium(IV): an ultra-high sensitivity spectrophotometric reagent for hydrogen peroxide*. *Analyst*, 1992. **117**(11): p. 1781-1784.
16. Klassen, N.V., D. Marchington, and H.C.E. McGowan, *H₂O₂ Determination by the I₃-Method and by KMnO₄ Titration*. *Analytical Chemistry*, 1994. **66**(18): p. 2921-2925.
17. Nogueira, R.F.P., M.C. Oliveira, and W.C. Paterlini, *Simple and fast spectrophotometric determination of H₂O₂ in photo-Fenton reactions using metavanadate*. *Talanta*, 2005. **66**(1): p. 86-91.
18. Hoshino, M., et al., *Spectrophotometric determination of hydrogen peroxide with osmium(VIII) and m-carboxyphenylfluorone*. *Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy*, 2014. **117**(0): p. 814-816.

19. Li, X., et al., *Sensitive and selective chemiluminescence assay for hydrogen peroxide in exhaled breath condensate using nanoparticle-based catalysis*. Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2013. **107**(Copyright (C) 2013 American Chemical Society (ACS). All Rights Reserved.): p. 311-316.
20. Parajuli, S. and W. Miao, *Sensitive Determination of Triacetone Triperoxide Explosives Using Electrogenenerated Chemiluminescence*. Anal. Chem. (Washington, DC, U. S.), 2013. **85**(Copyright (C) 2013 American Chemical Society (ACS). All Rights Reserved.): p. 8008-8015.
21. Vdovenko, M.M., et al., *FeIII-TAML activator: A potent peroxidase mimic for chemiluminescent determination of hydrogen peroxide*. Talanta, 2014. **125**(0): p. 361-365.
22. Bian, X., et al., *Nanocomposites of palladium nanoparticle-loaded mesoporous carbon nanospheres for the electrochemical determination of hydrogen peroxide*. Talanta, 2012. **99**: p. 256-261.
23. Ni, P., et al., *Facile synthesis of Prussian blue @ gold nanocomposite for nonenzymatic detection of hydrogen peroxide*. RSC Advances, 2013. **3**(36): p. 15987-15992.
24. Gatselou, V.A., et al., *Rhodium nanoparticle-modified screen-printed graphite electrodes for the determination of hydrogen peroxide in tea extracts in the presence of oxygen*. Talanta, 2015. **134**(0): p. 482-487.
25. Lin, Y., et al., *Advances toward bioapplications of carbon nanotubes*. Journal of Materials Chemistry, 2004. **14**(4): p. 527-541.
26. Baughman, R.H., A.A. Zakhidov, and W.A. de Heer, *Carbon Nanotubes--the Route Toward Applications*. Science, 2002. **297**(5582): p. 787-792.
27. Banks, C.E., et al., *Investigation of modified basal plane pyrolytic graphite electrodes: definitive evidence for the electrocatalytic properties of the ends of carbon nanotubes*. Chemical Communications, 2004(16): p. 1804-1805.
28. Chen, G., L. Zhang, and J. Wang, *Miniaturized capillary electrophoresis system with a carbon nanotube microelectrode for rapid separation and detection of thiols*. Talanta, 2004. **64**(4): p. 1018-1023.
29. Qu, S., et al., *Magnetic loading of carbon nanotube/nano-Fe₃O₄ composite for electrochemical sensing*. Talanta, 2007. **71**(3): p. 1096-1102.
30. Wei, B., et al., *Solubilization of carbon nanotubes by cellulose xanthate toward the fabrication of enhanced amperometric detectors*. Carbon, 2010. **48**(5): p. 1380-1387.
31. Fu, Y., L. Zhang, and G. Chen, *Preparation of a carbon nanotube-copper nanoparticle hybrid by chemical reduction for use in the electrochemical sensing of carbohydrates*. Carbon, 2012. **50**(7): p. 2563-2570.
32. Husmann, S., E. Nossol, and A.J.G. Zarbin, *Carbon nanotube/Prussian blue paste electrodes: Characterization and study of key parameters for application as sensors for determination of low concentration of hydrogen peroxide*. Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, 2014. **192**(0): p. 782-790.
33. Sun, Y., et al., *Real-time electrochemical detection of hydrogen peroxide secretion in live cells by Pt nanoparticles decorated graphene-carbon nanotube hybrid paper electrode*. Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 2015. **68**(0): p. 358-364.
34. Zhou, W., I.E. Wachs, and C.J. Kiely, *Nanostructural and chemical characterization of supported metal oxide catalysts by aberration corrected analytical electron microscopy*. Current Opinion in Solid State and Materials Science, 2012. **16**(1): p. 10-22.

35. Chang, M.-H., H.-S. Liu, and C.Y. Tai, *Preparation of copper oxide nanoparticles and its application in nanofluid*. Powder Technology, 2011. **207**(1–3): p. 378-386.
36. Liu, J., et al., *Crystallinity-Controlled Synthesis of Zirconium Oxide Thin Films on Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Nanotubes by Atomic Layer Deposition*. The Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 2012. **116**(27): p. 14656-14664.
37. Roushani, M., et al., *Amperometric detection of hydrogen peroxide at nano-ruthenium oxide/riboflavin nanocomposite-modified glassy carbon electrodes*. Electrochimica Acta, 2013. **113**(0): p. 134-140.
38. Heli, H. and J. Pishahang, *Cobalt oxide nanoparticles anchored to multiwalled carbon nanotubes: Synthesis and application for enhanced electrocatalytic reaction and highly sensitive nonenzymatic detection of hydrogen peroxide*. Electrochimica Acta, 2014. **123**(0): p. 518-526.
39. Butwong, N., et al., *A sensitive nonenzymatic hydrogen peroxide sensor using cadmium oxide nanoparticles/multiwall carbon nanotube modified glassy carbon electrode*. Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry, 2014. **717–718**(0): p. 41-46.
40. Thandavan, K., et al., *Hydrogen peroxide biosensor utilizing a hybrid nano-interface of iron oxide nanoparticles and carbon nanotubes to assess the quality of milk*. Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, 2015. **215**(0): p. 166-173.
41. Yan, J., et al., *Fast and reversible surface redox reaction of graphene–MnO₂ composites as supercapacitor electrodes*. Carbon, 2010. **48**(13): p. 3825-3833.
42. Yao, S., et al., *A highly sensitive hydrogen peroxide amperometric sensor based on MnO₂ nanoparticles and dihexadecyl hydrogen phosphate composite film*. Analytica Chimica Acta, 2006. **557**(1–2): p. 78-84.
43. Mahmoudian, M.R., et al., *Facile preparation of MnO₂ nanotubes/reduced graphene oxide nanocomposite for electrochemical sensing of hydrogen peroxide*. Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, 2014. **201**(0): p. 526-534.
44. Luo, L., et al., *Non-enzymatic hydrogen peroxide sensor based on MnO₂-ordered mesoporous carbon composite modified electrode*. Electrochimica Acta, 2012. **77**(0): p. 179-183.
45. Liu, S., et al., *A novel non-enzymatic hydrogen peroxide sensor based on Mn-nitrilotriacetate acid (Mn-NTA) nanowires*. Talanta, 2010. **81**(1–2): p. 727-731.
46. Zhang, S., Q. Sheng, and J. Zheng, *Synthesis of Ag-HNTs-MnO₂ nanocomposites and their application for nonenzymatic hydrogen peroxide electrochemical sensing*. RSC Advances, 2015. **5**(34): p. 26878-26885.
47. Xu, B., et al., *A highly sensitive hydrogen peroxide amperometric sensor based on MnO₂-modified vertically aligned multiwalled carbon nanotubes*. Analytica Chimica Acta, 2010. **674**(1): p. 20-26.
48. Larabi-Gruet, N., et al., *Studies of electrodeposition from Mn(II) species of thin layers of birnessite onto transparent semiconductor*. Electrochimica Acta, 2008. **53**(24): p. 7281-7287.
49. Manivel, A., et al., *Medium effects on the electro-deposition of MnO₂ on glassy carbon electrode: A comparative study in alkane, perfluoro alkane carboxylic acids and methanesulphonic acid*. Electrochimica Acta, 2007. **52**(28): p. 7841-7848.
50. Devaraj, S. and N. Munichandraiah, *Effect of Crystallographic Structure of MnO₂ on Its Electrochemical Capacitance Properties*. The Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 2008. **112**(11): p. 4406-4417.

51. Xie, X. and L. Gao, *Characterization of a manganese dioxide/carbon nanotube composite fabricated using an in situ coating method*. Carbon, 2007. **45**(12): p. 2365-2373.
52. Bai, Y.-H., et al., *Relationship between Nanostructure and Electrochemical/Biosensing Properties of MnO₂ Nanomaterials for H₂O₂/Choline*. The Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 2008. **112**(48): p. 18984-18990.
53. Uzunoglu, A., A.D. Scherbarth, and L.A. Stanciu, *Bimetallic PdCu/SPCE non-enzymatic hydrogen peroxide sensors*. Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, 2015. **220**(0): p. 968-976.
54. Song, Y., et al., *A novel hydrogen peroxide sensor based on horseradish peroxidase immobilized in DNA films on a gold electrode*. Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, 2006. **114**(2): p. 1001-1006.
55. Cui, K., et al., *A novel hydrogen peroxide sensor based on Ag nanoparticles electrodeposited on DNA-networks modified glassy carbon electrode*. Electrochemistry Communications, 2008. **10**(4): p. 663-667.
56. Lin, Y., X. Cui, and L. Li, *Low-potential amperometric determination of hydrogen peroxide with a carbon paste electrode modified with nanostructured cryptomelane-type manganese oxides*. Electrochemistry Communications, 2005. **7**(2): p. 166-172.
57. He, X., et al., *Building Ag nanoparticle 3D catalyst via Na₂Ti₃O₇ nanowires for the detection of hydrogen peroxide*. Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, 2010. **144**(1): p. 289-294.
58. Wang, Y., et al., *Direct electrochemistry and bioelectrocatalysis of horseradish peroxidase based on gold nano-seeds dotted TiO₂ nanocomposite*. Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 2010. **25**(11): p. 2442-2446.
59. Xu, C., et al., *Nanoporous platinum-cobalt alloy for electrochemical sensing for ethanol, hydrogen peroxide, and glucose*. Analytica Chimica Acta, 2013. **780**(0): p. 20-27.
60. Marimuthu, T., et al., *Synthesis and characterization of non-enzymatic hydrogen peroxide sensor of polypyrrole coated cobalt nanocomposites*. Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, 2014. **202**(0): p. 1037-1043.