C-S cross-coupling of aryl halides with alkyl thiols catalyzed by in-situ generated nickel(II) N-heterocyclic carbene complexes

Fang-Jie Guo,^a Jing Sun,^{a,*} Zhao-Qing Xu,^b Fritz E. Kühn,^c Shu-Liang Zang,^a and Ming-Dong Zhou^{a,*}

Abstract: The C-S cross-coupling of aryl halides with alkyl thiols catalyzed by in-situ generated Ni (II) N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) complexes is investigated. Good to excellent yields can be obtained for a variety of aryl halides when using 5 mol% of the Ni (II)-NHC catalyst and 1.5 eq. of KO^tBu. Both the electronic and steric effects of the NHC ligands on the catalytic performance of Ni (II)-NHC, as well as the electronic effects of aryl halides on coupling reactivity are examined. The mechanism for Ni (II)-NHC catalyzed coupling reactions is also discussed.

Keywords: C-S cross coupling; Nickel catalysis; N-heterocyclic carbene; Aryl sulfides

Introduction

C-S coupling is a very important transformation in modern organic synthesis. Catalytic cross-coupling of aryl halide and thiol provides a simple and efficient protocol for the synthesis of aryl sulfides. The latter are important synthetic intermediates in biochemistry and in pharmaceutical industry.^{1,2}

Among various reported procedures, palladium^{3,4} and copper⁵⁻⁷ based catalysts are

most commonly used under basic conditions. In addition, nickel,⁸⁻¹¹ iron,¹² indium^{13,14} and other metal compounds¹⁵⁻¹⁸ are also found to be effective catalysts. However, in contrast to the large number of reports on palladium catalysts, the C-S coupling catalyzed by nickel and other transition metal complexes is so far rather limited in scope. Since nickel is cheaper and also shows a reduced tendency to deposit metallic nanoparticles (as compared to Pd),⁸⁻¹¹ the C-S cross-coupling using Ni complex appears to be an attractive field of study.

Additionally, N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs) have received much attention as ligands in transition-metal catalysis during the last two decades.¹⁹⁻²⁵ Ni-NHC complexes, for example, are known to be excellent catalysts for numerous transformations such as Kumada-Corriu cross-coupling²⁶, Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling²⁷, hydrosilylation reactions²⁸, the amination of arylamines²⁹, and the oligomerization of olefins.³⁰ However, to the best of our knowledge, the C-S cross-coupling using Ni-NHC complexes, has not yet been extensively studied.³¹⁻³³ Zhang et. al. have described a Ni (0)-NHC catalyzed C-S coupling using 1,3-dibenzylimidazol-2-ylidene as the NHC precursor,³¹ and good to excellent yields could be achieved for a variety of aryl halides. However, in this reaction protocol the coupling of aryl halides with alkyl thiols were not investigated.³¹ Considering that alkyl phenyl thioethers are also important synthetic intermediates, and Ni (II)-NHC complexes may represent better stability in the catalytic system than Ni (0) complexes, we were interested in studying the C-S cross-coupling of aryl halides with alkyl thiols using in-situ generated Ni (II)-NHC complexes as the catalysts. Therefore, we wish to report our primary results of this work herein.

Results and discussion

Scheme 1. NHC ligand precursors.

NHCs **L1-L6** were investigated as ligands for Ni catalysts in C-S coupling reactions (Scheme 1). In the presence of KO^tBu as deprotonating reagent, **L1-L6** readily coordinate with NiCl₂ forming the corresponding nickel bis-carbene complexes, which can be proven by the isolated X-ray single crystal structures of Ni(II)-NHCs bearing **L1**, **L2** and **L4** (Figure 1). Details on the synthesis, crystallization procedures and characterization data of Ni(II)-NHCs can be found in the ESI.

Figure 1. ORTEP diagram showing the coordination environment for Ni atoms of Ni-NHCs. Thermal ellipsoids are given on a 50% probability level. H-atoms have been omitted. CCDC: 1433176 (**L1**), 1433177 (**L2**), 1433179 (**L4**).

To explore the reactivity, coupling of 4-bromotoluene with butanethiol was studied first (Table 1). Blank reaction resulted in only trace amounts of butyl(4-methylphenyl)sulfane **3aa** in the absence of catalyst. When using 5 mol% of NiCl₂ without involving a NHC ligand, 35% of **3aa** could be obtained (entry 1). After applying 10 mol% NHC ligands **L1-L6**, the reaction readily formed the respective nickel bis-carbene complexes. As compared to NiCl₂, the in-situ generated Ni(II)-NHC compounds showed much better solubility in the reaction system. However, different ligand precursors displayed very different catalytic activities (entries 2-7). It seems that both electronic and steric effects of the NHC ligands played important roles on catalytic performance. For **L2** and **L3** bearing strong electron-donating *p*-CH₃ and *p*-OCH₃ groups on the benzene ring, the yields of **3aa** were lower than that using merely NiCl₂ (entries 3 and 4). This may be due to the strong electron-donating ability of **L2** and **L3**, leading to a strong decrease of Lewis acidity of the Ni center. For Ni(II)-NHC **L1** without a functional group on the NHC ligand, the reaction showed a comparable **3aa** yield as when using NiCl₂ (entry 2). Ni(II)-NHC (**L4**) with an

electron-withdrawing *p*-Cl group resulted in the highest **3aa** yield (53%, entry 5), and Ni (II)-NHC(**L5**) with two Cl groups led to 50 % of **3aa** (entry 6). Owing to both the strong electron-donating ability and steric hindrance of a tert-butyl group, only 20% of **3aa** was obtained when using Ni(II)-NHC (**L6**) (entry 7). When reducing the catalyst amount from 5 to 3 mol%, the product yield was also significantly decreased (entry 8). The reaction was further optimized by using different solvents (entries 5, 9, 10). DMF proved to be the most favorable solvent.

Table 1. C-S cross-coupling of 4-bromotoluene with butanethiol catalyzed by Ni (II)-NHCs.^a

Reaction scheme: 4-bromotoluene (**1a**) + butanethiol (**2a**) $\xrightarrow[\text{Base, Solvent}]{5 \text{ mol } \% \text{ NiCl}_2, 10 \text{ mol } \% \text{ Ligand}}$ 4-(butylthio)toluene (**3aa**)

Entry	Ligands	Solvent	Base (equiv.)	Yield (%) ^b
1	-	DMF	KO ^t Bu (1.5)	35
2	L1	DMF	KO ^t Bu (1.5)	36
3	L2	DMF	KO ^t Bu (1.5)	34
4	L3	DMF	KO ^t Bu (1.5)	28
5	L4	DMF	KO ^t Bu (1.5)	53 ^c (50) ^d
6	L5	DMF	KO ^t Bu (1.5)	50
7	L6	DMF	KO ^t Bu (1.5)	20
8 ^e	L4	DMF	KO ^t Bu (1.5)	26
9	L4	DMSO	KO ^t Bu (1.5)	36
10	L4	DME	KO ^t Bu (1.5)	trace
11	L4	DMF	NaH (1.5)	25
12	L4	DMF	K ₂ CO ₃ (1.5)	23
13	L4	DMF	NaO ^t Bu (1.5)	39
14	L4	DMF	KOH (1.5)	48

15	L4	DMF	KO ^t Bu (1.0)	27
16	L4	DMF	KO ^t Bu (2.0)	53
17 ^f	L4	DMF	KO ^t Bu (1.5)	39

^a Reaction conditions: 4-bromotoluene (1.0mmol), butanethiol (1.1 mmol), NiCl₂ (5 mol%), ligand (10 mol%), and base in 3 mL solvent at 135 °C for 3 d under N₂ protection; ^b GC yields; ^c 13% of 1,2-dibutyldisulfane was isolated; ^d Isolated yield; ^e 3 mol% of Ni-NHC (**L4**) was applied. ^f The reaction was carried out using isolated complex Ni-NHC (**L4**) as the catalyst.

Further studies indicated that both the type and amount of bases affect the reaction yields (entries 5, 11-16). Most examined bases proved to be effective, with KO^tBu leading to the highest product yield. Decreasing the KO^tBu amount, the product yield was also decreased (entries 15). The introduction of 1.5 equiv. of KO^tBu is necessary to complete the examined coupling reaction. Finally, the reaction using isolated Ni-NHC(**L4**) showed lower activity than that of in-situ generated Ni-NHC(**L4**) catalyzed process. Accordingly, the optimal reaction condition for the desired C-S cross-coupling turned out to be 5 mol % of NiCl₂, 10 mol% of NHC ligand, 1.5 eq. of KO^tBu at 135°C in DMF under N₂ protection. It should be noted that ca. 13 % of 1, 2-dibutyldisulfane could be isolated under optimal conditions (entry 5). This provided helpful information concerning the mechanism (vide infra).

The substrate scope was further studied under the best reaction conditions found (Table 2). In general, the catalytic cross-coupling is effective for a variety of aryl bromides. The desired thioethers were achieved in moderate to good yields for a variety of alkyl thiols. Aryl bromides bearing different electron-donating or withdrawing groups were examined. Aryl bromides bearing electron-withdrawing groups such as nitro and trifluoromethyl groups (electron poor aryl bromides) resulted in higher than 95% of thioethers. However, the electron rich methyl or methoxyl aryl bromides led to a lower product yield (50-70%). The weak electron-withdrawing fluoro substituted aryl bromides also resulted in a moderate to good product yield.

Coupling of chlorobenzene with butanethiol gave 40% of butylphenylthioether **3ak**, a lower yield than that obtained when using bromobenzene (63%).

Table 2. C-S cross-coupling of aryl halides with thiols catalyzed by Ni (II)-NHC (**L4**).^{a,b}

^aReaction conditions: aryl halide (1.0 mmol), thiol (1.1 mmol), NiCl₂ (5 mol%), **L4** (10 mol%), KO^tBu (1.5 eq.) in 3 mL of DMF at 135 °C for 3 d under N₂ protection; ^b Isolated yields.

Good thioether yields were also achieved for bromonaphthalenes and pyridine bromide under optimal conditions. Moreover, the cross-coupling proved to be easier when aryl thiol is used instead of alkyl thiol. It can be seen that coupling of *p*-methyl bromobenzene with *para*-chloro or methyl substituted thiophenol affords 80% and 85% of product yield respectively.

Mechanistic considerations

Based on literature precedents^{8-11, 31-33} and experimental observations, a possible mechanism for the Ni (II)-NHC catalyzed C-S cross-coupling is proposed in Scheme 2. First, a ligand exchange may occur between RS and X of the Ni (II)-NHC catalyst **A**, forming an intermediate **B**. **B** undergoes reductive elimination to form a Ni (0) species, which is assumed to be the real active species in catalysis. The observation of 13% of 1, 2-dibutyldisulfane gave solid evidence for such an assumption (Table 1, entry 5). Subsequent oxidative addition of ArX to **C** affords an intermediate **D**. Further ligand exchanging of **D** with RSH leads to the formation of an intermediate **E**. **E** undergoes reductive elimination and transfers back to **C**, forming the desired thioether product.

Scheme 2. Possible mechanism for the Ni (II)-NHC catalyzed C-S cross-coupling.

Experimental Section

General procedure for the coupling reaction. In a typical procedure, NiCl₂ (6.5 mg, 0.05 mmol), NHC ligand precursor **L4** (35 mg, 0.1 mmol), KO^tBu (168 mg, 1.5 mmol) were added to a Schlenk tube under an atmosphere of nitrogen, DMF (3 mL) was then injected and stirred. After 30 minutes, aryl halide (1.0 mmol) and thiol (1.1 mmol) were injected, and the mixture was immediately heated to 135°C under vigorous stirring. After the reaction, the mixture was cooled to room temperature and quenched by addition of distilled water. The aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate for three times. The combined organic layers were dried with MgSO₄, and the sample was used for GC analysis. The sample solution was further

1 evaporated under vacuum to remove the solvent. The obtained crude product was purified by
2 column chromatography (eluent, petroleum) on silica gel to afford the desired thioethers.
3
4
5
6
7

8 **Conclusions**

9

10 In conclusion, C-S cross-coupling of aryl halides with alkyl thiols catalyzed by in-situ
11 generated Ni (II)-NHCs is described. The examined Ni (II)-NHC complexes are efficient
12 catalysts for the coupling of various aryl halides. Both electronic and steric effects of
13 functional groups on the aromatic ring of the NHC ligands affect the catalytic performance of
14 Ni (II)-NHCs significantly. In the coupling reaction, a reductive elimination of Ni (II)-NHC
15 complex may initially occur, forming an Ni (0) species, which is assumed to be the real active
16 species. Similar to other nickel or palladium catalyzed coupling reactions, the active Ni (0)
17 species may further undergo oxidative addition with ArX, the ligand exchanges of Ar-Ni-X
18 with RSH, and finally reductive elimination of Ar-Ni-SR to form the desired Ar-SR coupling
19 product.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 **Acknowledgements**

32

33 M.D.Z thanks the National Science Foundation of China (21101085), Natural Science
34 Foundation of Liaoning Province (2015020196), Fushun Science & Technology Program
35 (FSKJHT 201423), Liaoning Excellent Talents Program in University (LJQ2012031), and
36 Talent Scientific Research Fund of LSHU (No. 2016XJJ-006) for the financial supports.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 **Notes and references**

45

- 46 1 I. P. Beletskaya, V. P. Ananikov, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 1596-636.
 - 47 2 H. Liu, X. Jiang. *Chem. Asian J.*, 2013, **8**, 2546-2563.
 - 48 3 A. Byeun, K. Baek, M. S. Han, S. Lee, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2013, **54**, 6712-6715.
 - 49 4 E. Alvaro, J. F. Hartwig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 7858-7868.
 - 50 5 F. Luo, C. Pan, L. P. Li, F. Chen, J. Cheng, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 5304-5306.
 - 51 6 S. Mallick, S. Rana, K. Parida, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 9169-9175.
 - 52 7 C. F. Lee, Y. C. Liu, S. S. Badsara, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2014, **9**, 706-722.
- 53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 8 J. Zhang, C. M. Medley, J. A. Krause, H. Guan, *Organometallics*, 2010, **29**, 6393-6401.
- 9 G. T. Venkanna, H. D. Arman, Z. J. Tonzetich, *ACS Catal.*, 2014, **4**, 2941-2950.
- 10 S. Jammi, P. Barua, L. Rout, P. Saha, T. Punniyamurthy, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2008, **49**, 1484-1487.
- 11 O. Baldovino-Pantaleón, S. Hernández-Ortega, D. Morales-Morales, *Adv. Syn. Catal.*, 2006, **348**, 236-242.
- 12 A. Correa, M. Carril, C. Bolm, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 2880-2883.
- 13 V. P. Reddy, A. V. Kumar, K. Swapna, K. R. Rao, *Org. Lett.*, 2009, **11**, 1697-1700.
- 14 V. P. Reddy, K. Swapna, A. V. Kumar, K. R. Rao, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, **74**, 3189-3191.
- 15 S. N. Murthy, B. Madhav, V. P. Reddy, Y. V. D. Nageswar, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, **34**, 5902-5905.
- 16 Y. Wong, T. T. Jayanth, C. Cheng, *Org. Lett.*, 2006, **8**, 5613-5616.
- 17 M. Lan, W. Wu, S. Huang, K. Luo, F. Tsai, *RSC. Adv.*, 2011, **1**, 1751-1755.
- 18 C. Lai, H. Kao, Y. Wang, C. Lee, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2012, **53**, 4365-4367.
- 19 W. A. Herrmann, C. Köcher, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 1997, **36**, 2162-2187.
- 20 W. A. Herrmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2002, **41**, 1290-1309.
- 21 F. E. Hahn, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 1348-1352.
- 22 K. Riener, S. Haslinger, A. Raba, M. P. Högerl, M. Cokoja, W. A. Herrmann, F. E. Kühn, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 5215-5272.
- 23 G. F. Du, H. Guo, Y. Wang, W. J. Li, W. J. Shi, B. Dai, *J. Saudi Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **19**, 112-115.
- 24 G. F. Du, X. L. Zou, B. Dai, L. He, *J. Saudi Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **20**, 207-212.
- 25 L. A. Schaper, S. J. Hock, W. A. Herrmann and F. E. Kühn, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 270-289.
- 26 Z. Xi, B. Liu, W. Chen, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, **73**, 3954-3957.
- 27 Z. Xi, X. Zhang, W. Chen, S. Fu, D. Wang, *Organometallics*, 2007, **26**, 6636-6642.
- 28 L. P. Bheeter, M. Henrion, L. Brelot, C. Darcel, M. J. Chetcuti, J. B. Sortais, V. Ritleng, *Adv. Synth. & Catal.*, 2012, **354**, 2619-2624.

- 1 29 A. R. Martin, Y. Makida, S. Meiries, A. M. Z. Slawin, S. P. Nolan, *Organometallics*,
2 2013, **32**, 6265-6270.
3
4 30 W. Buchowicz, A. Kozioł, L. B. Jerzykiewicz, T. Lis, S. Pasynkiewicz, A.
5 Pecherzewska, A. Pietrzykowski, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2006, **257**, 118-123.
6
7 31 Y. G. Zhang, K. C. Ngeow, J. Y. Ying, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **9**, 3495-3498.
8
9 32 P. Guan, C. Cao, Y. Liu, Y. Li, P. He, Q. Chen, G. Liu, Y. Shi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*,
10 2012, **53**, 5987-5992.
11
12 33 A. R. Martin, D. J. Nelson, S. Meiries, A. M. Z. Slawin, S. P. Nolan, *Eur. J. Org.*
13 *Chem.*, 2014, **15**, 3127-3131.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Nickel (II) N-heterocyclic carbene complex has been applied as efficient catalyst for the C-S cross-coupling of aryl halides with alkyl thiols, affording various alkylphenyl thioethers as the coupling products.