Development of two-beam femtosecond/picosecond one-dimensional rotational coherent anti-Stokes Raman spectroscopy: time-resolved probing of flame wall interactions

Alexis Bohlin¹, Markus Mann², Brian D. Patterson¹, Andreas Dreizler², and Christopher J. Klier^{1*}

¹Combustion Research Facility, Sandia National Laboratories, Livermore, CA 94551, USA

²FG Reaktive Strömungen und Messtechnik, Center of Smart Interfaces, 64287 Darmstadt, Germany

Christopher J. Klier* (Corresponding Author*), cjklier@sandia.gov, (tel) 00 1-925-294-4973 (fax) 00 1-925-294-2276

Name of Colloquium: Diagnostics

Short Running Title: Two-beam fs/ps 1D rotational CARS

Total Length of Paper Using Method 1:

Total Word Equivalent = **6197**

Main Body Text + Figure Captions = 3788

References = 706

Figures = 463+476+169+181+414

Equations = 0

Color Reproduction: Agree to pay color reproduction charges

*Submitted for presentation at the
35th International Symposium on Combustion
San Francisco, CA August 3-8 2014*

Abstract

Hybrid femtosecond / picosecond rotational coherent anti-Stokes Raman spectroscopy (CARS) is developed utilizing a two-beam phase-matching approach for one-dimensional (1D) measurements demonstrated in an impinging jet burner to probe time-resolved head on quenching (HOQ) of a methane/air premixed flame at $\Phi=1.0$ and Reynolds number = 5000. Single-laser-shot 1D temperature profiles are obtained over a distance of at least 4 mm by fitting the pure-rotational N_2 CARS spectra to a spectral library calculated from a time-domain CARS code. An imaging resolution of $\sim 61 \mu m$ is obtained in the 1D-CARS measurements. The acquisition of single-shot 1D CARS measurements, as opposed to traditional point-wise CARS techniques, enables new spatially correlated conditional statistics to be determined, such as the position, magnitude, and fluctuations of the instantaneous temperature gradient. The temperature gradient increases as the flame approaches the metal surface, and decreases during quenching. The standard deviation of the temperature gradient follows the same trend as the temperature gradient[, increasing as the flame front approaches the surface, and decreasing after quenching.

Keywords: Time-resolved spectroscopy; CARS; coherent imaging; flame-wall interactions, combustion diagnostics

1. Introduction

Coherent anti-Stokes Raman spectroscopy (CARS) has been developed over the past few decades to become authoritative in terms of the accuracy and precision the technique can achieve in the measurement of gas-phase temperature and major species concentrations in combustion systems [1, 2]. The datasets obtained in such experiments serve as benchmarks for comparison to numerical simulations of combustion, with the aim to provide feedback for improving detailed combustion models. Because of the coherent nature of the CARS signal, the technique is particularly useful in probing highly luminous or scattering environments, such as in sooting flames and combustion near surfaces. Several historical limitations in the CARS technique have recently been addressed and improved: spatial resolution, single-shot precision, sensitivity to collisional environment, and the point-wise dimensionality of the data acquisition. Each improvement is discussed in the following.

In the early days of CARS development, a three-beam folded BOXCARS phase matching scheme was developed [3] to improve the spatial resolution over the collinear beam geometry. CARS signal is generated only where the three beams cross, and the longitudinal spatial sectioning implementing this arrangement has typically been on the order of millimeters (mm). The CARS phase matching scheme has been recently revisited, and a

counter-propagating CARS arrangement (counter-propagating pump and Stokes beams) was demonstrated [4] reducing the interaction length (spatial resolution along the probe beam-propagation direction) to $\sim 160 \mu\text{m}$ ($1/e^2$), and yielding high signal levels for 1D imaging applications. Subsequently, a two-beam rotational CARS phase matching scheme was developed [5] which allows for tunability of the spatial resolution down to $\sim 50 \mu\text{m}$ if desired, set by the crossing angle between the pump/Stokes and probe beams. The CARS signal level decreases quadratically with the probed volume, therefore balancing of the desired spatial resolution and signal level is required.

The implementation of near-transform limited femtosecond pulses for coherence excitation benefits from the multitude of photon pairs which contribute in phase to drive a particular coherent transition. The result is a significant improvement in the shot-to-shot stability of the CARS spectrum with low mode-noise, such as often limits the precision of measurements where broadband dye lasers are used. In the evaluation of temperature from femtosecond vibrational [6] and rotational [7] CARS spectra, this improvement has been demonstrated to yield a single shot standard deviation of less than $\sim 1\%$, as compared to the 3-4% standard deviation typically reported in nanosecond CARS experiments [8] and picosecond CARS experiments [9, 10].

The accurate interpretation of CARS spectra requires knowledge of the Raman linewidths of the probed transitions which are dependent on the local collisional environment. With nanosecond CARS, it has been shown that properly accounting for the collisional environment by weighting the Raman linewidths for the gas composition can yield a systematic and significant improvement in the accuracy of evaluated temperatures from CARS spectra [11]. One key advantage of time-resolved CARS in this regard is the capability to directly measure the collisional dephasing of coherences by monitoring the signal as a function of probe delay. In this manner, the Raman broadening coefficients for self-broadened N_2 [12, 13], O_2 [14], H_2 [15], and CO_2 [16] as well as intermolecular broadening coefficients for $\text{N}_2\text{-H}_2$ [17] and $\text{CO}_2\text{-N}_2$ [16] have been recently measured for a variety of temperatures and pressures. For picosecond CARS, as well as femtosecond/picosecond CARS [18], an accurate model of the Raman linewidths is required for accurate spectral interpretations, especially at elevated pressure. The total broadening coefficient can be measured *in-situ* during a stable combustion experiment by utilizing a probe-delay scan, and this has been demonstrated in a sooting ethylene diffusion flame [19] with temperature corrections of up to 125K in the evaluation of picosecond CARS spectra when compared to analysis

incorporating a standard N_2 self-broadened linewidth model. In cases where the flame is unsteady, a split-probe CARS scheme has been developed for measuring Raman broadening coefficients on the basis of a single-laser-shot [20].

Another limitation of CARS applications to the study of combustion has been that of dimensionality. Gas-phase CARS has almost exclusively been implemented as a point-wise measurement technique, whereas combustion is only fully characterized in three-dimensional space. The most significant difficulty in moving from point-wise to 1D measurements in the past has been the significant decrease in signal level. The first reported attempt for 1D rotational CARS was made by Snow *et al.* [21] using a nanosecond CARS laser system; however, low signal levels permitted measurements only at low temperature and over a distance of 1.8 mm. Single-shot 1D vibrational CARS thermometry has been demonstrated with nanosecond [22]- and femtosecond [23] laser systems, limited to a ~ 2 mm distance of view applied at high-temperature. The high peak intensity of picosecond pulses allows for high CARS signal intensities at relatively low pulse energies, motivating a 1D imaging setup implemented for picosecond CARS. 1D picosecond rotational CARS was demonstrated [4, 9] imaging a distance of 12-20 mm within a single laser shot at temperatures of up to 1500K. Once again, however, overall signal levels were a difficulty in these experiments, and implementation for single-shot measurements at flame temperatures over 2000K was not readily obtainable for a distance of several mm. By combining the extremely high CARS signal levels obtained by impulsive femtosecond excitation of rotational Raman coherences with a high-energy picosecond probing pulse, it has recently been demonstrated femtosecond/picosecond 1D rotational CARS in a single laser shot at typical adiabatic flame temperatures [5] over a several mm field of view. In this work, we develop a two-beam femtosecond/picosecond 1D rotational CARS scheme for probing the near-surface region of an impinging jet burner during time-resolved head on quenching studies.

Interaction of turbulent premixed flames with solid walls is of primary interest for spark-ignition IC engines and low- NO_x gas turbine combustion. Increasing power densities enlarge surface-to-volume ratios. In turn the impact of walls on fluidic, thermodynamic and thermo-chemical properties of flames is of increasing importance. Compared to unbound turbulent flames, flame-wall interactions (FWI) are much less studied. Of primary interest are heat losses by conduction that cause flame quenching at the wall [24]. Heat fluxes have been measured by sensors integrated into the wall, see for example [25, 26]. Gas temperatures near walls have been measured by

laser-induced fluorescence [27] and by point-wise nanosecond CARS [28, 29]. One-dimensional recording of wall-normal temperature profiles with high spatial resolution to resolve steep gradients associated with the thermal boundary layer would enable the study of instantaneous flame structures near walls. This would greatly guide model development and understanding of interaction of turbulent flames with walls. Time-correlated dynamic variations in the instantaneous flame structure may be monitored in the future by extension of 1D-CARS to kHz data acquisition rates.

2. Experimental

HOQ and side-wall quenching are the two canonical configurations for studying flame-wall interactions. In this study the focus is on HOQ. To constitute HOQ a jet impinges perpendicularly at a cooled steel wall. In our realization the jet issues from a contoured nozzle [30]. The nozzle exit diameter is 30 mm. Inside of the nozzle a turbulence generating plate can be installed to intentionally increase turbulent velocity fluctuations and decrease integral length scales. Using a perforated plate with hexagonally arranged holes (4 mm diameter, 5.2 mm spacing, 45% blocking ratio) length scales at the nozzle exit are at the order 4.7 mm as measured by hot wire anemometry [31]. Methane (purity 99.9%) and dried air are perfectly mixed before entering the nozzle assembly. Flow rates of both gas components are controlled by electronic mass flow controllers (MKS) and can be varied in a wide range spanning from laminar to turbulent conditions. The mass flow controllers were calibrated with a flow verifier (MKS type GBROR). The nozzle is surrounded by coflowing nitrogen to minimize mixing with ambient air.

The wall is positioned 32 mm downstream of the nozzle exit. It is slightly curved ($R = 300$ mm) to allow for optimum optical access near the wall at centerline. The plate extends 60 mm in radial direction whereas the present study is focused only at centerline profiles. From its back the plate is water-cooled (300K) to guarantee stable boundary conditions.

Prior to HOQ a stationary wall-stabilized flame was investigated. Stationary flames in the present context are particularly useful to investigate the performance of the innovative 1D CARS thermometry. Of primary interest are pulse-to-pulse fluctuations that are needed for evaluating precision of temperature measurements near walls. Following the stationary wall-stabilized flames, HOQ was investigated. For this purpose the continuous flow of premixed gases was ignited 2 mm above the nozzle center. For ignition, the fundamental of a q-switched Nd:YAG

laser (Continuum, Surelite) was focused ($f = 100$ mm) into the gas. Subsequently the flame propagated to the wall. When the flame first sensed the wall, heat conduction lowered its temperature until the flame quenched back from the surface. After the 1D-CARS single-shot measurement was taken, the flame was blown off automatically by another time-synchronized nozzle. The blow-off nozzle would extinguish the flame and a delay of one second was allowed for the burner to return fully to an undisturbed state.

The burner was operated at Reynolds number 5,000 for both lean ($\Phi=0.8$) and stoichiometric ($\Phi=1.0$) laminar conditions. For each stoichiometry, the stationary flame was analyzed with 1,000 single-laser-shot acquisitions. For the HOQ studies, ~30 time delays after the ignition pulse were studied, covering the propagation of the flame to the steel surface, and the subsequent quenching back to the stationary wall-stabilized position.

Two-beam CARS phase-matching in a 1D configuration [5] was employed in these experiments. The pump/Stokes pulse was taken from a femtosecond regenerative amplifier (Wyvern 1000, KM Labs). The pulse duration was 45 femtoseconds, and pulse characteristics were monitored online with a Grenouille (Swamp Optics). The Grenouille was used to minimize the level of dispersion and higher order chirp in the femtosecond driving pulse at the experiment by fine-tuning of the pulse compressor. The amplifier was operated at 1 kHz repetition rate with a 3 mJ/pulse output, and was focused to the experiment with a $f = 300$ mm cylindrical lens. The narrowband probe pulse with ~90 ps (FWHM) time-duration was generated by a picosecond regenerative amplifier. The picosecond and femtosecond oscillators were phase locked to the same 100 MHz RF source allowing for precise electronic timing between the femtosecond and picosecond pulses at the experiment with low jitter (< 1 ps). The 532 nm frequency-doubled output of a picosecond Nd:YAG was focused to the experiment using a $f = 300$ mm cylindrical lens. The probe laser was operated at 20 Hz with ~30 mJ/pulse. The beams were focused to ~50 μm at the experiment as measured with a beam profiling camera (WinCam D). The probe volume interaction length was tuned to ~1.5 mm (FWHM), matching the previously employed time-averaged nanosecond CARS experiments for improved detailed comparison between the techniques. In the two-beam CARS arrangement, the signal is generated collinearly with the probe beam and was fully suppressed ($>1:10^6$) from the probe radiation utilizing a polarization gate. The signal was then directed through an angle-tuned short-wave-pass filter (Semrock) to eliminate any scattered 532 nm light from reaching the detector while still detecting CARS

transitions of 15 cm^{-1} or more. Uniblitz shutters were used to isolate the intended CARS signal on the CCD for each delay setting. A 75-mm focal length cylindrical lens was used to focus the signal through the spectrometer slit. A 1-m spectrometer (Spex 1000M) was used with an 1800 groove/mm grating to disperse the rotational CARS signal onto a CCD camera (Andor Newton). The pixel size on the CCD chip was $16\text{ }\mu\text{m}$, and the measured dispersion was $0.29\text{ cm}^{-1}/\text{pixel}$. The vertical dimension was relay imaged from the experimental probe volume to the spectrometer slit with 1:1 imaging. An air force target was placed at the experimental probe volume and illuminated with white light to characterize the quality of the imaging optics, as well as provide a calibration for any magnification of the imaging system. A linespread function of $\sim 61\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ (FWHM Gaussian fit) was evaluated for the system. Each single-laser-shot produced a CCD image with 400 spectra, and a binning of 2x2 was used yielding 200 individual spectra per shot.

Figure 1a displays the CCD image of the dispersed 1D signal taken during static wall-stabilized operation of the burner at $\Phi=0.8$. Starting from the bottom of the image, room temperature unreacted gases are flowing in this region, yielding a spectrum with spectral contributions from only N_2 and O_2 since CH_4 has no pure-rotational Raman transitions. At $\sim 5\text{ mm}$ from the surface a drastic change is observed in the image as the Raman lines populate to much higher shift, corresponding to rotational transitions of higher energy, marking higher temperatures caused by the flame front. From this point and above most of the O_2 is consumed and the dispersed 1D signal is clearly dominated by the N_2 equidistant spectral lines; the much faster dephasing of the heavier CO_2 molecule and the weak rotational Raman cross-section of H_2O prevent the observation of both these species at the employed 350 ps probe delay. Near the surface, located at 0 mm in the image, the populated transitions are observed moving back to lower shift, indicating a rapid cooling, which is caused by the heat transfer to the cooled surface and quenching. Two examples of line-spectra representative at high and low temperature, respectively from this image are shown in Fig. 1b. Note the $\sim 20\text{ kcount}$ difference in CARS signal intensity between a hot ($\sim 1900\text{ K}$) and a cold ($\sim 300\text{ K}$) zone, respectively, a variation in temperature which normally would be accompanied by several of hundred kcounts difference in CARS signal intensity [32]. This demonstrates an important ability of the current scheme, with a balanced detection between hot and low temperature CARS signals well within the 16-bit dynamic range of a typical CCD.

3. Theoretical Considerations

Each laser shot in the current experiments produced an array of 200 rotational CARS spectra, and 1000 laser shots were recorded for each condition and ignition delay. The dataset amounted to several million individual spectra, of which all needed to be fit to our time-domain rotational CARS model. A non-linear interpolating method based on a spectral library was required, determining the temperature from a least-square error minimization fitting procedure, commonly employed in nanosecond CARS. The contour of the integrated line-intensities from each of the library spectra were normalized and compared to the distribution of the measured spectrum in the fitting routine. The time-domain rotational CARS code used for generating the spectral library is similar to that presented in earlier works [7, 12, 33]. The probe delay in these experiments was set to 350 ps. This ensured the full suppression of the nonresonant background as well as the balancing of signals from both cold and hot zones of the reacting flow [5]. Molecules in cold zones undergo much more rapid collisional dephasing, thus the CARS signal decays more rapidly than in hot zones where fewer collisions occur at higher angular momentum. In the presence of severe flame gradients within the probe volume, CARS signals have traditionally become dominated by the cold portion of the CARS spectrum [32, 34, 35], while the spectrum in the current delayed-probe CARS experiment maintains significant signal from both hot and cold zones. The nonresonant susceptibility was omitted from the calculation of the CARS response, as it is negligible for such long probe delays in femtosecond/picosecond CARS. For these first experiments, the modified exponential gap law [36] was used for determining the N_2 linewidths used in the calculation, which is based upon N_2 self-broadening linewidths and the parameter set used can be found in [37]. Herman-Wallis factors were employed to address the breakdown of the rigid-rotor approximation [38]. The experimental spectra were corrected for the finite bandwidth of the excitation efficiency profile and any transmission characteristics of the signal detection path, by dividing the measured spectra with a non-resonant spectrum obtained in pure methane at zero probe delay recorded prior to each experiment.

4. Results and Discussion

Figure 2 displays the results of femtosecond/picosecond 1D rotational CARS measurements of both the static wall-stabilized and HOQ cases in the FWI burner at stoichiometric ($\Phi=1.0$) condition. The mean temperature

profiles converged out of 100 single-shots as a function of distance from the wall and ignition delay are presented in Fig. 2a, while the standard deviation for each data point is presented in Fig. 2b. In both panels, the top bar represents the static, wall-stabilized, case. Inspecting Fig. 2, the flame front can be followed progressing towards the surface at increasing time, and then quenching away from the surface at longer times. Time zero is defined as the ignition delay where the maximum temperature reached closest to the surface. Negative times are prior to quenching. Spatially, each bin corresponds to a 35 μm segment sampled along the 1D probed location. The temperature reaches a maximal value of $\sim 2100\text{K}$, in good agreement with previous Cantera simulations [29]. 100 single-shot 1D temperature evaluations are displayed in Fig. 3 at a time ($t = -2.7\text{ ms}$) when the flame is freely propagating to the wall. The stability of the system is verified in the highly repeatable position of the flame front at this specific ignition delay. The small temperature bump located at $z \sim 1\text{mm}$ recently before the temperature curve inflexion point is of unknown origin.

Comparison of the current femtosecond/picosecond 1D-CARS measurements to previous time-averaged nanosecond CARS and numerical simulation data is shown in Fig. 4 for the fuel equivalence ratio $\Phi=1.0$ and static wall-stabilized operating conditions. 100 single-shot 1D-CARS profiles were averaged for the comparison. Overall quantitative agreement is found between the temperature profiles, although local differences between the profiles can be seen. For instance, at $z = 2\text{ mm}$ in Fig. 4 the 1D-CARS evaluated temperature is $\sim 5\%$ below the previous point-wise CARS data. The local variations between profiles might be attributable to different spatial scales of the two techniques, as well as possible beam steering, spatial averaging, or pulse dispersion effects, to be explored more deeply in future work. The maximum temperature obtained deviates $\sim 70\text{ K}$ from the adiabatic flame temperature which is mostly due to the near wall strain effects.

One of the key benefits of single-shot 1D probing when compared to point-wise experimental techniques is the ability to obtain statistical information regarding spatially correlated data, which may allow for a deeper comparison to the numerical simulation of dynamical combustion processes such as HOQ. For instance, it is possible to determine the flame front position and temperature gradient within each laser shot, and thus fluctuations in either the flame front position or gradient can be isolated from each other directly from the experimental data, and statistics conditional upon flame front position can be obtained. Figure 5 demonstrates

such data from the present experiment. In Fig. 5a, the flame front position as a function of ignition delay time is determined by taking the derivative of the temperature profile with respect to position, $\Delta T/\Delta z$. The position where $\Delta T/\Delta z$ is at maximal absolute value was identified as the flame front position for negative times. After quenching, the flame extinguishes at the radial center region and $\Delta T/\Delta z$ is a measure of the remaining temperature gradient. The magnitude of $\Delta T/\Delta z$ at this location is the temperature gradient, and the temperature gradient as a function of ignition delay time is displayed in Fig. 5c. The units of $\Delta T/\Delta z$ are given in K / bin and the conversion factor for spatial scales is 35.2 $\mu\text{m}/\text{bin}$ given the measured magnification of our imaging optics. In Fig. 5b and 5d, the standard deviation in flame front position and mean maximum temperature gradient, respectively, are shown as a function of ignition delay time based upon the analysis of 100 individual single-laser-shots.

The slope of the line in Fig. 5a at negative Δt yields the flame velocity. Of course, an alternative method to the maximal temperature gradient technique for visualization of flame front position is to plot the progression of an isotherm. The fluctuations in the position of maximum temperature gradient are observed to grow substantially immediately after the quenching process as seen in the difference in intensity at negative and positive Δt in Fig. 5b. After ignition, as the flame freely propagates towards the surface, the flame front temperature gradient remains relatively constant, but becomes steeper as it approaches the surface as can be seen between $\Delta t = -1.5$ ms and $\Delta t = 0$ in Fig. 5c. Following the quenching process, the temperature gradient near the surface becomes lower than that of the freely propagating flame. At this stage the flame extinguished and the temperature profile is determined by diffusion processes. Finally, the standard deviation in the temperature gradient is observed to increase from negative Δt to $\Delta t = 0$, and then decrease following quenching.

5. Summary

We have demonstrated a new capability implementing two-beam femtosecond/picosecond 1D rotational CARS to probe quenching processes. Time-resolved measurements of HOQ in a methane/air premixed flame at Reynolds number 5,000 impinging on a cooled steel surface were performed. Single-laser-shot 1D temperature profiles were obtained as a function of ignition delay, and compared to previous time-averaged nanosecond CARS point-wise measurements with quantitative agreement. The extraction of spatially correlated (1D)

conditional statistics, such as fluctuations in the instantaneous flame front temperature gradient as a function of ignition delay, was demonstrated.

Acknowledgements

Funding for CJK and AB provided by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Chemical Sciences. Sandia is a multiprogram laboratory operated by Sandia Corporation, a Lockheed Martin Company, for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-AC04-94AL85000. AD and MM gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the DFG (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft), EXC 259 (Center of Smart Interfaces) and GRK 1344.

References

1. A. C. Eckbreth, *Laser Diagnostics for Combustion Temperature and Species*, second ed. (Gordon & Breach, 1996).
2. S. Roy, J. R. Gord, and A. K. Patnaik, "Recent advances in coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering spectroscopy: Fundamental developments and applications in reacting flows," *Prog. Energy Combust. Sci.* **36**, 280-306 (2010).
3. A. C. Eckbreth, "BOXCARS - CROSSED-BEAM PHASE-MATCHED CARS GENERATION IN GASES," *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **32**, 421-423 (1978).
4. C. J. Kliewer, "High-spatial-resolution one-dimensional rotational coherent anti-Stokes Raman spectroscopy imaging using counterpropagating beams," *Optics Letters* **37**, 229-231 (2012).
5. A. Bohlin, B. D. Patterson, and C. J. Kliewer, "Communication: Simplified two-beam rotational CARS signal generation demonstrated in 1D," *J. Chem. Phys.* **138**, 081102 (2013).
6. D. R. Richardson, R. P. Lucht, W. D. Kulatilaka, S. Roy, and J. R. Gord, "Theoretical modeling of single-laser-shot, chirped-probe-pulse femtosecond coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering thermometry," *Appl. Phys. B-Lasers Opt.* **104**, 699-714 (2011).
7. S. P. Kearney, D. J. Scoglietti, and C. J. Kliewer, "Hybrid femtosecond/picosecond rotational coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering temperature and concentration measurements using two different picosecond-duration probes," *Optics Express* **21**, 12327-12339 (2013).
8. T. Seeger, and A. Leipertz, "Experimental comparison of single-shot broadband vibrational and dual-broadband pure rotational coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering in hot air," *Applied Optics* **35**, 2665-2671 (1996).
9. C. J. Kliewer, Y. Gao, T. Seeger, B. D. Patterson, R. L. Farrow, and T. B. Settersten, "Quantitative one-dimensional imaging using picosecond dual-broadband pure-rotational coherent anti-Stokes Raman spectroscopy," *Applied Optics* **50**, 1770-1778 (2011).
10. H. U. Stauffer, W. D. Kulatilaka, P. S. Hsu, J. R. Gord, and S. Roy, "Gas-phase thermometry using delayed-probe-pulse picosecond coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering spectra of H-2," *Applied Optics* **50**, A38-A48 (2011).
11. A. Bohlin, and P. E. Bengtsson, "Rotational CARS thermometry in diffusion flames: On the influence of nitrogen spectral line-broadening by CH₄ and H-2," *Proc. Combust. Inst.* **33**, 823-830 (2011).

12. J. D. Miller, S. Roy, M. N. Slipchenko, J. R. Gord, and T. R. Meyer, "Single-shot gas-phase thermometry using pure-rotational hybrid femtosecond/picosecond coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering," *Opt. Express* **19**, 15627-15640 (2011).
13. C. J. Kliewer, A. Bohlin, E. Nordstrom, B. D. Patterson, P. E. Bengtsson, and T. B. Settersten, "Time-domain measurements of S-branch N₂-N₂ Raman linewidths using picosecond pure rotational coherent anti-Stokes Raman spectroscopy," *Appl. Phys. B-Lasers Opt.* **108**, 419-426 (2012).
14. J. D. Miller, S. Roy, J. R. Gord, and T. R. Meyer, "Communication: Time-domain measurement of high-pressure N(2) and O(2) self-broadened linewidths using hybrid femtosecond/picosecond coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering," *J. Chem. Phys.* **135** (2011).
15. W. D. Kulatilaka, P. S. Hsu, H. U. Stauffer, J. R. Gord, and S. Roy, "Direct measurement of rotationally resolved H₂ Q-branch Raman coherence lifetimes using time-resolved picosecond coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering," *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **97** (2010).
16. S. Roy, P. S. Hsu, N. Jiang, J. R. Gord, W. D. Kulatilaka, H. U. Stauffer, and J. R. Gord, "Direct measurements of collisionally broadened Raman linewidths of CO(2) S-branch transitions," *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **138**, 024201 (2013).
17. A. Bohlin, E. Nordstrom, B. D. Patterson, P.-E. Bengtsson, and C. J. Kliewer, "Direct measurement of S-branch N₂-H₂ Raman linewidths using time-resolved pure rotational coherent anti-Stokes Raman spectroscopy," *J. Chem. Phys.* **137**, 074302 (2012).
18. B. D. Prince, A. Chakraborty, B. M. Prince, and H. U. Stauffer, "Development of simultaneous frequency- and time-resolved coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering for ultrafast detection of molecular Raman spectra," *J. Chem. Phys.* **125** (2006).
19. Y. Gao, A. Bohlin, T. Seeger, P. E. Bengtsson, and C. J. Kliewer, "In situ determination of N-2 broadening coefficients in flames for rotational CARS thermometry," *Proceedings of the Combustion Institute* **34**, 3637-3644 (2013).
20. B. D. Patterson, Y. Gao, T. Seeger, and C. J. Kliewer, "Split-probe hybrid femtosecond/picosecond rotational CARS for time-domain measurement of S-branch Raman linewidths within a single laser shot," *Optics Letters* **38**, 4566-4569 (2013).
21. J. B. Snow, J. B. Zheng, and R. K. Chang, "SPATIALLY AND SPECTRALLY RESOLVED MULTIPOINT COHERENT ANTI-STOKES RAMAN-SCATTERING FROM N-2 AND O-2 FLOWS," *Optics Letters* **8**, 599-601 (1983).
22. J. Jonuscheit, A. Thumann, M. Schenk, T. Seeger, and A. Leipertz, "One-dimensional vibrational coherent anti-Stokes Raman-scattering thermometry," *Optics Letters* **21**, 1532-1534 (1996).
23. W. D. Kulatilaka, H. U. Stauffer, J. R. Gord, and S. Roy, "One-dimensional single-shot thermometry in flames using femtosecond-CARS line imaging," *Optics Letters* **36**, 4182-4184 (2011).
24. T. J. Poinso, D. C. Haworth, and G. Bruneaux, "DIRECT SIMULATION AND MODELING OF FLAME-WALL INTERACTION FOR PREMIXED TURBULENT COMBUSTION," *Combust. Flame* **95**, 118-132 (1993).
25. W. M. Huang, S. R. Vosen, and R. Greif, "Heat transfer during laminar flame quenching: Effect of fuels," *Symposium (International) on Combustion* **21**, 1853-1860 (1988).
26. M. Salem, S. Staude, U. Bergmann, and B. Atakan, "Heat flux measurements in stagnation point methane/air flames with thermographic phosphors," *Exp. Fluids* **49**, 797-807 (2010).
27. T. Fuyuto, H. Kronemayer, B. Lewerich, J. Brubach, T. Fujikawa, K. Akihama, T. Dreier, and C. Schulz, "Temperature and species measurement in a quenching boundary layer on a flat-flame burner," *Exp. Fluids* **49**, 783-795 (2010).
28. R. P. Lucht, D. Dunn-Rankin, R. Walter, T. Dreier, and S. C. Bopp, "Heat Transfer in Engines: Comparison of Cars Thermal Boundary Layer Measurements and Heat Flux Measurements," *SAE Technical Paper 910722* (1991).
29. A. Singh, M. Mann, T. Kissel, J. Brubach, and A. Dreizler, "Simultaneous Measurements of Temperature and CO Concentration in Stagnation Stabilized Flames," *Flow Turbul. Combust.* **90**, 723-739 (2013).
30. D. Geyer, A. Kempf, A. Dreizler, and J. Janicka, "Turbulent opposed-jet flames: A critical benchmark experiment for combustion LES," *Combust. Flame* **143**, 524-548 (2005).

31. D. Geyer, "1D-Raman/Rayleigh experiments in a turbulent opposed jet," (TU Darmstadt, Germany, 2005).
32. A. Bohlin, E. Nordstrom, H. Carlsson, X. S. Bai, and P. E. Bengtsson, "Pure rotational CARS measurements of temperature and relative O-2-concentration in a low swirl turbulent premixed flame," *Proc. Combust. Inst.* **34**, 3629-3636 (2013).
33. S. Roy, W. D. Kulatilaka, D. R. Richardson, R. P. Lucht, and J. R. Gord, "Gas-phase single-shot thermometry at 1 kHz using fs-CARS spectroscopy," *Optics Letters* **34**, 3857-3859 (2009).
34. S. P. Kearney, K. Frederickson, and T. W. Grasser, "Dual-pump coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering thermometry in a sooting turbulent pool fire," *Proc. Combust. Inst.* **32**, 871-878 (2009).
35. S. R. Engel, A. F. Koegler, Y. Gao, D. Kilian, M. Voigt, T. Seeger, W. Peukert, and A. Leipertz, "Gas phase temperature measurements in the liquid and particle regime of a flame spray pyrolysis process using O-2-based pure rotational coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering," *Appl. Optics* **51**, 6063-6075 (2012).
36. M. L. Koszykowski, L. A. Rahn, R. E. Palmer, and M. E. Coltrin, "THEORETICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES OF HIGH-RESOLUTION INVERSE RAMAN-SPECTRA OF N-2 AT 1-10-ATM," *J. Phys. Chem.* **91**, 41-46 (1987).
37. L. A. Rahn, and R. E. Palmer, "STUDIES OF NITROGEN SELF-BROADENING AT HIGH-TEMPERATURE WITH INVERSE RAMAN-SPECTROSCOPY," *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B-Opt. Phys.* **3**, 1164-1169 (1986).
38. A. Bohlin, P. E. Bengtsson, and M. Marrocco, "On the sensitivity of rotational CARS N(2) thermometry to the Herman-Wallis factor," *J. Raman Spectrosc.* **42**, 1843-1847 (2011).

Fig.1. (a) CCD image of the 1D rotational CARS signal obtained from 100 averaged laser shots in the lean $\Phi=0.8$ wall-stabilized flame. The vertical axis is the distance (z) from the wall. (b) Two sample row spectra at different distances from the wall with corresponding extracted temperatures.

Fig. 2. (a) Evaluated 1D mean temperature profiles as a function of ignition delay time. Equivalence ratio $\Phi=1.0$. Each profile is averaged from 100 single shots. (b) Standard deviation of the evaluated temperatures.

Fig. 3. Evaluated temperature profiles from 100 1D-CARS single shots taken at an ignition delay time ($\Delta t = -2.7$ ms) prior to flame interaction with the wall. $\Phi = 1.0$.

Fig. 4. Comparison of time averaged nanosecond (ns) CARS, two-beam femtosecond/picosecond 1D rotational CARS, and numerical simulation [29] of a wall-stabilized methane/air flame, $\Phi=1.0$.

Fig. 5. Evaluated data from single-shot 1D-CARS measurements of HOQ as a function of ignition delay, Δt . $\Phi=1.0$. (a) Flame position. The vertical axis is the distance (z) to the wall in mm. (b) Standard deviation of flame position. (c) Maximum flame front temperature gradient as a function of ignition delay. (d) Standard deviation of the maximum flame front temperature gradient.

Figure Captions

Figure 1. (a) CCD image of the 1D rotational CARS signal obtained from 100 averaged laser shots in the lean $\Phi=0.8$ wall-stabilized flame. The vertical axis is the distance (z) from the wall. (b) Two sample row spectra at different distances from the wall with corresponding extracted temperatures.

Figure 2. (a) Evaluated 1D mean temperature profiles as a function of ignition delay time. Equivalence ratio $\Phi=1.0$. Each profile is averaged from 100 single shots. (b) Standard deviation of the evaluated temperatures.

Figure 3. Evaluated temperature profiles from 100 1D-CARS single shots taken at an ignition delay time ($\Delta t=-2.7$ ms) prior to flame interaction with the wall. $\Phi=1.0$.

Figure 4. Comparison of time averaged nanosecond (ns) CARS, two-beam femtosecond/picosecond 1D rotational CARS, and numerical simulation [29] of a wall-stabilized methane/air flame, $\Phi=1.0$.

Figure 5. Evaluated data from single-shot 1D-CARS measurements of HOQ as a function of ignition delay, Δt . $\Phi=1.0$. (a) Flame position. The vertical axis is the distance (z) to the wall in mm. (b) Standard deviation of flame position. (c) Maximum flame front temperature gradient as a function of ignition delay. (d) Standard deviation of the maximum flame front temperature gradient.