1 Revision to *Basic and Applied Ecology*

2 **Effects of mesh bag enclosure and termites on fine woody debris decomposition in a subtropical**
3 **forest**

4 Allison M. Stoklosa^{a,b}, Michael D. Ulyshen^{c,*}, Zhaofei Fan^{a,d}, Morgan Varner^{a,e}, Sebastian Seibold^{f,g}, Jörg
5 Müller^{f,g}

6 ^aDepartment of Forestry, Forest & Wildlife Research Center, Box 9681, Mississippi State University, MS
7 39759, USA

8 ^bAcademy of Natural Sciences of Drexel University, Philadelphia PA 19103, USA

9 ^cUSDA Forest Service, Southern Research Station, Athens GA 30602, USA

10 ^dSchool of Forestry & Wildlife Sciences, Auburn University AL 36849, USA

11 ^eDepartment of Forest Resources & Environmental Conservation, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg VA 24061,
12 USA

13 ^fBavarian Forest National Park, Freyunger Str. 2, 94481 Grafenau, Germany

14 ^gTerrestrial Ecology Research Group, Department of Ecology and Ecosystem Management, Center for
15 Food and Life Sciences Weihenstephan, Technische Universität München, Hans-Carl-von-Carlowitz-Platz
16 2, 85354 Freising, Germany

17

18 *Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 (706) 559-4296. Email address: mulyshen@fs.fed.us

Abstract

The role of insects in terrestrial decomposition remains poorly resolved, particularly for infrequently studied substrates like small diameter woody debris. Uncertainty about how mesh bags used to exclude arthropods may affect decomposition rates continues to impede progress in this area. We sought to 1) measure how insects affect the decomposition of small diameter (<2.5 cm) *Triadica sebifera* L. "twigs" and 2) test for unintended effects of mesh bags on wood decomposition using machined wooden dowels of similar size and specific gravity. In subtropical forests, three twig diameter classes plus dowels were enclosed in two mesh sizes (0.3 mm or 1 mm openings) or left unenclosed over a 20-month study period. Unenclosed twigs lost significantly more mass than those within fine mesh bags. Because this effect was consistent throughout the study (reaching nearly 80% mass loss), our findings suggest the invertebrate influence does not disappear or attenuate over time. Our dowel data (limited to dowels with no evidence of insect activity) show that fine and coarse mesh bags accelerate the decomposition of enclosed woody material, suggesting insects contributed even more to the decomposition of tallow twigs than our measure of 9-10%. Termites exhibited a strong preference for larger diameter twigs, resulting in temporary differences in decomposition rates among diameter classes. Our findings confirm the importance of insects to wood decomposition and highlight the need to incorporate these organisms in models of carbon and nutrient cycling.

Zusammenfassung

Die Rolle der Insekten in Zersetzungsprozessen an Land ist nur unvollständig geklärt, insbesondere bei selten untersuchten Substraten wie Totholz mit geringem Durchmesser. Die Ungewissheit, wie die Gazebeutel, mit denen Arthropoden ferngehalten werden sollen, die Abbauraten beeinflussen, behindert den Fortschritt auf diesem Feld. Ziel unserer Studie war es, (1) den Einfluss von Insekten auf den Abbau von dünnen (<2,5 cm) Talgbaumzweigen (*Triadica sebifera* L.) zu messen und gleichzeitig (2)

zu testen, ob unbeabsichtigte Effekte der Gazebeutel auf den Holzabbau eintraten, indem wir maschinell hergestelltes Rundholz von ähnlicher Größe und spezifischer Dichte einsetzten. In subtropischen Waldstandorten wurden drei Zweigdickenklassen und ein Rundholz in Gazebeuteln (Maschenweiten: 0,3 mm und 1,0 mm) bzw. offen für 20 Monate ausgelegt. Die **offen ausgelegten** Zweige verloren signifikant mehr Masse als die in engmaschigen Beuteln. Da dieser Effekt über die gesamte Untersuchungsdauer hinweg anhielt (mit fast 80% Massenverlust), legen unsere Befunde nahe, dass der Einfluss der Invertebraten nicht mit der Zeit abnimmt oder verschwindet. Unser Experiment mit Holzstäben, bei dem nur die Stäbe ohne erkennbare Insektenaktivität berücksichtigt wurden, zeigt, dass feine und gröbere Gaze den Abbau des eingeschlossenen Holzmaterials beschleunigt, was schließen lässt, dass Insekten sogar mehr zum Abbau der Talgbaumzweige beitrugen als unser Messergebnis von 9-10%. Termiten zeigten eine deutliche Präferenz für dickere Zweige, was zeitweilige Unterschiede bei den Abbauraten der einzelnen Dickeklassen zur Folge hatte. Unsere Ergebnisse bestätigen die Bedeutung von Insekten bei der Holzersetzung und unterstreichen die Notwendigkeit, diese Organismen in Modellen der Kohlenstoff- und Stickstoffkreisläufe zu berücksichtigen.

Keywords: Ecosystem services, Invertebrates, Isoptera, Litter bag, *Reticulitermes*, Saproxylic

Introduction

Although less important than fungi in most environments, invertebrates play an important role in the decomposition of both plant litter (Seastedt 1984) and woody debris (Ulyshen 2016). Major mechanisms by which invertebrates influence wood decomposition include consumption and digestion, substrate alteration (e.g., tunneling and fragmentation) and interactions with decay fungi and other organisms (Ulyshen 2016). Wood-dwelling insects also have the potential to influence nitrogen dynamics in decomposing wood by accelerating the release of nutrients immobilized in fungal tissues and by promoting nitrogen fixation (Ulyshen 2015). Most studies of wood decomposition, including those aimed at measuring the contributions of invertebrates to the process, have focused on coarse woody debris (i.e., material > 10 cm in diameter) whereas few efforts have considered the decomposition of fine woody debris. The smallest fine woody debris, e.g., small twig and branch material, remain almost entirely unstudied. Moreover, while a number of studies have shown that decomposition rates increase with decreasing wood diameter (Abbott & Crossley 1982; Mackensen et al. 2003; Müller-Using & Bartsch 2009), nothing is known about how these patterns may be influenced by invertebrate activity. Termites in particular are known to discriminate based on resource size. Many studies have shown a preference for larger resources (Abe 1980), for instance, although some species are known to preferentially attack smaller resources, presumably to avoid competitive interactions with other taxa (Evans et al. 2005). In terms of wood volume consumed, subterranean termites belonging to at least five species of *Reticulitermes* (Rhinotermitidae) (Lim & Forschler 2012) are the dominant wood-feeding insects in the southeastern United States (Ulyshen et al. 2014). These organisms can cause considerable damage to wooden structures throughout the region but much remains unknown about their role in forested environments, including patterns of fine woody debris utilization.

Methods used to assess the contributions of invertebrates to terrestrial decomposition remain controversial (Kampichler & Bruckner 2009; Ulyshen & Wagner 2013). Mesh bags, for instance, are the most widely used method for excluding invertebrates in decomposition studies but these devices are known to alter microclimate (e.g., higher humidity) relative to unenclosed substrates (Lousier & Parkinson 1976). Such effects may, in turn, inadvertently promote fungal activity within mesh bags, potentially obscuring reductions in decomposition caused by the exclusion of invertebrates. While such unintended effects, or “hidden treatments” (Huston 1997), clearly confound efforts to isolate the contributions of invertebrates to decomposition, this complication has received little attention from researchers. According to a recent review by Kampichler and Brucker (2009), this uncertainty renders the findings from forty years of litterbag studies inconclusive. Very few studies have sought to determine whether decay rates are affected by mesh-bag effects but the findings from these efforts are mixed, suggesting that mesh bags may (Bradford et al. 2002; Siedentop 1995) or may not (Bokhorst & Wardle 2013; Ulyshen 2014) significantly alter decomposition rates. Therefore, additional research is needed to establish methods for assessing the effects of mesh bags in future decomposition experiments and to finally determine how insects affect decomposition while accounting for mesh bag effects.

This paper presents the results from a study aimed at testing the hypothesis that invertebrates play a significant role in the decomposition of fine woody debris. In addition, a novel method for testing for unintended effects of mesh exclusion bags on decomposition is described. The following hypotheses were tested: 1) mesh bags will accelerate the decomposition of enclosed woody substrates compared to unenclosed substrates that remain similarly unaffected by insect activity, i.e., mesh exclusion bags introduce a “hidden treatment” when used in decomposition experiments; 2) invertebrates contribute significantly to decomposition, especially after accounting for the effects of mesh bags; and 3) invertebrates more strongly influence the decomposition of larger-diameter woody substrates

compared to smaller-diameter substrates. These results will have implications for future research methodology on fine wood decomposition and will more broadly advance knowledge about the role invertebrates play in wood decomposition.

Materials and methods

Study area

The study took place in mature mixed hardwood/pine forests on the Noxubee National Wildlife Refuge and John W. Starr Memorial Forest in Oktibbeha, Noxubee and Winston counties, Mississippi, U.S.A. The climate of the region is classified as humid subtropical with annual precipitation and temperature averaging 141 cm and 16.9 °C, respectively (usclimatedata.com, accessed 11-June-2015). Five locations were selected within the study area and two linear transects, separated by at least 100 m, were established at each location (Fig. 1). Each transect began at least 20 m from the forest edge and ran perpendicular to the edge for 40 m. Five ~1 m² plots were established along each transect, separated by 10 m.

Twig and dowel preparation

Twigs were cut from branches of Chinese tallow (*Triadica sebifera* L.), an invasive tree species established throughout much of the southeastern United States (Miller et al. 2010). The trees were felled for this purpose at a single location in Pearl River County, Mississippi in Sep-2012. The branches were cut into 20 cm lengths and only the straightest and most uniform pieces were retained for the experiment. One 5 cm-long subsample was removed from the end of each 20 cm section. For each

subsample, wet (i.e., as collected from the field) bark and wood weights were measured separately. The wet volumes of the subsamples (without bark) were measured using the water displacement technique. Then the bark and wood from each subsample were oven-dried at 102 °C for 24 hours. Specific gravity of the samples was measured using the oven-dried wood weight divided by the wet wood volume. The initial dry wood weights of the remaining 15 cm sections, without bark, hereafter referred to as “twigs”, were estimated based on their initial wet weights and the information collected from the subsamples. In total, 150 twigs belonging to each of the following diameter classes were used in this study; 0.2-0.4 cm (“Small”), 0.8-1.1 cm (“Medium”), and 1.5-2.5 cm (“Large”). Twigs were then randomly assigned to transects, plots and treatments as described in the following section.

To test whether the mesh bags affected decomposition beyond the exclusion of insects, e.g., by changing the microclimate relative to unenclosed twigs, we included a wooden dowel with each grouping of tallow twigs. In contrast to natural woody substrates, the mechanically formed surface of dowels can be easily examined for signs of insect activity. Thus, decomposition rates of dowels unaffected by insects can be compared between enclosed and unenclosed treatments to evaluate mesh-bag effects (as long as a sufficient number of unenclosed dowels remain free from insect damage). The dowels were made from tulip-poplar (*Liriodendron tulipifera* L.), measured 0.7 cm in diameter (i.e., within the diameter range of the tallow twigs) and were cut into the same 15 cm lengths. Dowels from this species were specifically selected from among the commercially available options as they most closely matched the specific gravity of our tallow twigs (~0.45 and ~0.50, respectively). The dowels were dried at 102 °C for 24 hours before determination of their initial dry weights and were then randomly assigned to locations, plots and treatments.

Study design

At each of the 50 plots, twigs and dowels were randomly assigned to one of three treatments. Those enclosed within fine (0.3 mm) nylon mesh bags (“fine mesh”) were protected from all macro-fauna (>2 mm in body size), all but the smallest of meso-fauna (0.1 to 2 mm) but not from any of the micro-fauna (<0.1 mm) (Bradford et al. 2002). Meanwhile, a greater range of meso-fauna (including termites, see Results) had access to twigs and dowels enclosed within coarse (1 mm) nylon mesh bags (“coarse mesh”) (Fig. 2). Both mesh sizes were obtained through Normesh Limited, Oldham, Lancashire, UK. Substrates assigned to the third “no mesh” treatment were not enclosed within mesh bags and were unprotected from all invertebrates (Fig. 2).

The fine and coarse mesh bags measured 25 × 25 cm and were stitched closed with nylon thread. The twigs and dowels assigned to the no mesh treatment were connected around their centers with nylon string to prevent loss in the field. The three treatments were placed in contact with mineral soil (i.e., after clearing away the litter layer) and were tethered to a central stake in each plot. The plots were left undisturbed until we returned to collect the samples. The experiment was initiated in February 2013 and one plot within each transect was sampled after 4, 8, 12, 16 and 20 months.

Termite incidence

Subterranean termites are known to be the dominant wood-feeding invertebrates in our study region (Ulyshen et al. 2014). These insects rapidly locate and feed upon woody substrates but the number of actively feeding termites at any given time varies greatly. Indeed, it is not uncommon for termites to abandon a piece of wood after only partially consuming it. Moreover, the amount of damage caused by termites can only be measured early in the decay process when the wood remains relatively intact (Ulyshen et al. 2014). For these reasons, no effort was made to record the number of termites found within a given twig or the volume consumed by termites, recording instead only the presence or

absence of termite damage, hereafter referred to as termite incidence. In figures, termite incidence is presented as the percentage of twigs with visible termite damage.

Wood measurements

Every four months the collected wood samples were transported to the laboratory. Each tallow twig and dowel was inspected for evidence of termite activity (presence/absence), cleaned of any external debris or soil and weighed. All dowels with visible damage from termites or other invertebrates were discarded from the further investigation of mesh effect. Bark was carefully removed from all twigs before obtaining oven-dried (102 °C for 24 hours) weights for bark and wood separately. To isolate soil brought into the twigs by termites, twigs exhibiting termite damage were burned in ceramic crucibles using a propane burner, following the method described by Ulyshen and Wagner (2014), until only ash and soil remained. The samples were then mixed with water and all floating ash or char was discarded. The remaining mineral samples were oven-dried at 102 °C for 48 hours and weighed, allowing us to correct final dry wood weights (i.e., by subtracting soil weights). Finally, to better understand how mesh bags affect microclimate, the water content $((\text{final wet weight} - \text{final dry weight}) / \text{final wet weight})$ of each dowel was measured at collection for comparison among treatments (note that only dowels with no evidence of insect activity were included in this analysis).

Statistical analysis

To compare dowel mass loss and dowel water content among treatments, the non-parametric Friedman's chi-square (Q) test was applied to mean values, with month as the blocking factor, using SAS (Cary, NC). The mixed procedure of SAS was used to compare tallow twig mass loss among mesh

treatments, diameters and sampling times. Site and site*mesh*month were treated as random effects because twigs belonging to each of the three diameter classes were grouped together within individual mesh treatments. No data transformations were necessary to satisfy model assumptions. The complete initial model was reduced through backward selection by successively dropping the least significant term until only significant effects remained. Initial wood specific gravity was included in the complete initial model as a potential covariate but was found to be an insignificant source of variation and therefore dropped. Finally, contrasts were used to compare pairings of mesh treatments and diameter classes.

As termites were unable to enter fine mesh bags, binomial termite incidence data (i.e., presence or absence of feeding termites or visible damage) were limited to the coarse mesh and no mesh treatments. A generalized linear mixed model was fitted with a binomial distribution using the function 'glmer' in R 3.0.2 (R Development Core Team 2008). Diameter, month and mesh were treated as fixed effects whereas site and site*mesh*month were treated as random effects. A further model included interactions between significant variables but found no significant interactions and is therefore not shown.

Results

Mesh effect

Mass loss from dowels exhibiting no evidence of insect activity varied among mesh treatments ($Q=5.2, p=0.07$), with dowels placed within either fine or coarse mesh generally losing more mass than unenclosed dowels (Fig. 3A). These findings suggest, in support of hypothesis 1, that both mesh treatments accelerated decomposition in the absence of insect activity. The water content of dowels exhibiting no evidence of insect activity also varied among mesh treatments ($Q = 5.2, p=0.07$), with

dowels enclosed within fine or coarse mesh having higher water contents compared to unenclosed dowels (Fig.3B). The 12-month collection period was an exception to this pattern, likely because it rained heavily the night before these samples were collected (AMS, pers. obs.). For this reason, the 12-month data were excluded from the final analysis of water content ($Q = 6.0$, $p = 0.05$).

Mass loss

Twig mass loss increased over time and ranged between 60 and 80% by the end of the 20-month study (Fig. 4A-B). Mass loss varied among mesh treatments. In support of hypothesis 2, twigs protected within fine mesh lost significantly less mass than those completely exposed to attack from insects (Table 1, Fig. 4A). Mass loss from twigs enclosed within coarse mesh was intermediate, more variable and did not differ significantly from either of the other treatments (Table 1, Fig. 4A). Mass loss varied significantly among twig diameters and there was a significant interaction between diameter and time (Table 1, Fig. 4B). During the first year, the largest diameter class lost more mass than the smallest, with the middle diameter class exhibiting intermediate mass loss. These differences diminished with time, however, and disappeared by 16 months (Fig. 4B). Because there was no significant interaction between diameter and treatment, the prediction (hypothesis 3) that invertebrates more strongly influence the decomposition of larger diameter twig material was not supported. Termites did exhibit a strong preference for larger twigs, however (see below).

Termite incidence

As intended, no signs of termite activity were observed in fine mesh bags. Beginning at 12 months, termite incidence was lower in twigs enclosed within coarse mesh compared to those

completely open to attack (Fig. 4C) but this difference was insignificant ($z=1.74$, $p=0.08$). Incidence varied significantly among diameter classes ($z=5.99$, $p<0.001$), increasing with increasing twig diameter (Fig. 4D). After 8 months, for example, termites had attacked 65% of the largest twigs but only 5% of the smallest twigs (Fig. 4D).

Discussion

The dowel method described herein was effective in revealing the accelerative effects of both fine and coarse mesh bags on fine woody debris decomposition. The mesh bags were also shown to increase the water content of enclosed dowels, possibly explaining differences in dowel mass loss observed among the treatments. These results confirm that significant unintended effects are probable in decomposition studies involving the use of mesh bags for excluding invertebrates. Our findings suggest that mesh bags are likely to underestimate, rather than exaggerate, the effects of invertebrates on decomposition although additional research will be needed to determine how consistent this pattern is across study systems and mesh sizes. Tallow twigs completely unprotected from attack by termites and other insects lost significantly more mass than those enclosed within fine mesh bags. Beginning at 8 months, there was a consistent difference in mass loss between these treatments of 9-10 %. This likely underestimates the true contribution of invertebrates, however, judging from the nature of the mesh bag effect reflected in our dowel data. While these data clearly indicate the direction of the mesh effect, the magnitude of this influence on tallow twig mass loss cannot be determined given differences in the decay rates and characteristics (e.g., bark coverage) between the two substrates. Despite this limitation, it is possible to conclude, with an unprecedented level of certainty, that the contribution of insects to fine woody debris decomposition, as measured in this study, is at least 9-10%.

We are aware of only four previous studies that specifically sought to determine how mesh bags affected the decomposition of litter (Bokhorst et al. 2013; Bradford et al. 2002; Siedentop 1995) or wood (Ulyshen 2014). The results from those efforts were mixed and Siedentop (1995) in particular noted significant differences in mesh effect depending on the types of substrates and soils involved. Given this variability, it is recommended that future research tests for the direction and magnitude of a mesh effect as part of every study until predictable patterns emerge. The dowel method described herein, or some variation of it, may have utility in studies focused on wood decomposition.

Patterns of termite incidence showed these insects were largely unimpeded by the coarse mesh bags (with 1 mm openings) but were unable to colonize twigs enclosed within fine mesh bags. As only twigs placed in fine mesh bags showed significantly lower rates of mass loss than unenclosed twigs, our results indicate that the reduction of decomposition rates was largely caused by the exclusion of termites and possibly other invertebrates. At the end of the study, after 20 months in the field, twigs assigned to the fine mesh and no mesh treatments had average mass losses of 68.4% and 77.6%, respectively. No previous study examining the effects of invertebrates on wood loss has extended this far into the decomposition process, largely due to the historical focus on coarse woody debris which decomposes much more slowly. The difference in mass loss (~9-10%) attributable to invertebrates was consistent over the course of our study, which encompassed most of the tallow twig decomposition process. These findings suggest the invertebrate influence does not disappear or attenuate over time, at least for small-diameter twig material, underscoring the important role these organisms play in terrestrial decomposition processes.

While wood decomposition rates commonly increase with decreasing diameter (Abbott et al. 1982; Mackensen et al. 2003; Müller-Using et al. 2009), large diameter twigs were found to decompose faster than small diameter twigs at the beginning of this study. This probably reflects the preference of termites for larger-diameter twigs evident in the incidence data. Indeed, when mass loss data from the

fine mesh treatment (i.e., twigs protected from termites) were analyzed separately, we found no significant differences among diameter classes and no significant interaction between diameter and time (results not shown). This is not the first study to show termites preferentially attack larger resources. Abe (1980) found that small branches in Malaysia were attacked much less readily by termites than large branches, for instance. Moreover, past field surveys conducted in Mississippi showed *Reticulitermes* to strongly prefer larger-diameter resources, with material less than 2.9 cm in diameter attacked much less readily than material >3 cm in diameter (Wang et al. 2003). Although differences in termite incidence among diameter classes were consistent over the entire study, differences in mass loss among diameter classes disappeared after 12 months. These patterns may have been caused by termites responding quickly to new inputs of wood and temporarily driving observed differences in decomposition patterns and may have been offset by fungi over time. Because all twigs used in this study were <2.5 cm in diameter and Wang et al. (2003) found wood <2.9 cm to be less readily utilized by *Reticulitermes* than larger diameter material, studies including a wider range of diameters may be needed to better test the hypothesis that the contribution of termites to wood decomposition increases with increasing diameter.

It can be concluded that invertebrates, and particularly termites, contribute significantly to the decomposition of fine woody debris, accounting for at least ~9-10% of mass loss in our study area. This confirms the importance of these organisms to wood decomposition and highlights the need to consider invertebrates when modeling carbon and nutrient cycles.

Acknowledgements

We thank Nana Tian, Mary Frances Nieminen, and Darcy Hammond for assisting with field work and the Statistical Consulting Center at the University of Georgia for assisting with the analysis. We also thank two anonymous reviewers for comments on an early draft of the manuscript. Finally, we are grateful to

323 the Mississippi Forest and Wildlife Research Center and the USDA Forest Service (Southern Research
324 Station) for providing financial and logistical support.

325 **References**

326 Abbott, D.T., & Crossley, J., D. A. (1982). Woody litter decomposition following clear-cutting. *Ecology*, 63,
327 35-42.

328 Abe, T. (1980). Studies on the distribution and ecological role of termites in a lowland rain forest of west
329 Malaysia (4) The role of termites in the process of wood decomposition in Pasoh forest reserve.
330 *Revue d'ecologie et de biologie du sol*, 17, 23-40.

331 Bokhorst, S., & Wardle, D.A. (2013). Microclimate within litter bags of different mesh size: Implications
332 for the 'arthropod effect' on litter decomposition. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 58, 147-152.

333 Bradford, M.A., Tordoff, G.M., Eggers, T., Jones, T.H., & Newington, J.E. (2002). Microbiota, fauna, and
334 mesh size interactions in litter decomposition. *Oikos*, 99, 317-323.

335 Evans, T.A., Lai, J.C.S., Toledano, E., McDowall, L., Rakotonarivo, S., & Lenz, M. (2005). Termites assess
336 wood size by using vibrational signals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 102,
337 3732-3737.

338 Huston, M.A. (1997). Hidden treatments in ecological experiments: re-evaluating the ecosystem function
339 of biodiversity. *Oecologia*, 110, 449-460.

340 Kampichler, C., & Bruckner, A. (2009). The role of microarthropods in terrestrial decomposition: a meta-
341 analysis of 40 years of litterbag studies. *Biological Reviews*, 84, 375-389.

342 Lim, S.Y., & Forschler, B.T. (2012). *Reticulitermes nelsonae*, a new species of subterranean termite
343 (Rhinotermitidae) from the southeastern United States. *Insects*, 3, 62-90.

344 Lousier, J.D., & Parkinson, D. (1976). Litter decomposition in a cool temperate deciduous forest.
345 *Canadian Journal of Botany*, 54, 419-436.

346 Mackensen, J., Bauhus, J., & Webber, E. (2003). Decomposition rates of coarse woody debris-A review
 347 with particular emphasis on Australian tree species. *Australian Journal of Botany*, 51, 27-37.

348 Miller, J.H., Manning, S.T., & Enloe, S.F. (2010). *A management guide for invasive plants in southern*
 349 *forests. Gen. Tech. Rep. SRS-131*. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern
 350 Research Station.

351 Müller-Using, S., & Bartsch, N. (2009). Decay dynamic of coarse and fine woody debris of a beech (*Fagus*
 352 *sylvatica* L.) forest in Central Germany. *European Journal of Forest Research*, 128, 287-296.

353 R Development Core Team. (2008). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*, ISBN 3-
 354 900051-07-0. www.R-project.org.

355 Seastedt, T.R. (1984). The role of microarthropods in decomposition and mineralization processes.
 356 *Annual Review of Entomology*, 29, 25-46.

357 Siedentop, S. (1995). Auswirkungen der Maschenweite von Streubeuteln auf verschiedene Prozesse des
 358 Streuabbaus. *Braunschweiger naturkundliche Schriften*, 4, 939-950.

359 Ulyshen, M.D. (2014). Interacting effects of insects and flooding on wood decomposition. *PLoS ONE*, 9,
 360 e101867.

361 Ulyshen, M.D. (2015). Insect-mediated nitrogen dynamics in decomposing wood. *Ecological Entomology*,
 362 40 (Suppl. 1), 97-112.

363 Ulyshen, M.D. (2016). Wood decomposition as influenced by invertebrates. *Biological Reviews*, 91, 70-
 364 85.

365 Ulyshen, M.D., & Wagner, T.L. (2013). Quantifying arthropod contributions to wood decay. *Methods in*
 366 *Ecology and Evolution*, 4, 345-352.

367 Ulyshen, M.D., Wagner, T.L., & Mulrooney, J.E. (2014). Contrasting effects of insect exclusion on wood
 368 loss in a temperate forest. *Ecosphere*, 5, article 47.

369 Wang, C., Powell, J.E., & Scheffrahn, R.H. (2003). Abundance and distribution of subterranean termites

370 in southern Mississippi forests (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae). *Sociobiology*, 42, 533-542.

371

372

Table 1. ANOVA table showing results from the final backward-selected model of the effects of mesh (coarse, fine, no mesh), wood diameter (small, medium and large twigs) and sampling date on fine wood decomposition in the southeastern United States.

Variable	Contrast	F	df	P
Mesh		5.13	2, 116	<0.01
	coarse vs. fine	3.20	1, 116	0.08
	coarse vs. none	2.15	1, 116	0.14
	fine vs. none	10.22	1, 116	<0.01
Diameter		7.95	2, 239	<0.001
	small vs. medium	4.67	1, 239	0.03
	small vs. large	15.88	1, 239	<0.001
	medium vs. large	3.42	1, 239	0.07
Month		155.27	4, 116	<0.001
Diameter*Month		5.03	8, 239	<0.001

Figure legends

Fig. 1. Study locations in northeastern Mississippi, USA (note that two transects were established at each location marked with an asterisk).

Fig. 2. Experimental design. Five plots were established within each transect, consisting of three treatments (fine mesh, coarse mesh and no mesh). Each treatment received *Triadica sebifera* twigs belonging to three diameter classes (light brown) and a *Liriodendron tulipifera* wooden dowel (dark brown) used to quantify the mesh effect.

Fig. 3. **Mean ($\pm$ SE)** mass loss (A) and water content (B) from wooden dowels without visible insect activity (i.e., in order to isolate the mesh effect, all dowels damaged by insects were excluded from the analysis) from the three treatments. **Measurements were made 4, 8, 12, 16 and 20 months after the study began in February 2013.**

Fig. 4. **Mean ($\pm$ SE)** mass loss and termite incidence by treatment (A and C, pooled across diameter classes) and diameter class (B and D, pooled across treatments). Note the results for termite incidence do not include data from the fine mesh treatment as termites were excluded by this treatment. **Measurements were made 4, 8, 12, 16 and 20 months after the study began in February 2013.**

Fig. 1.

Fig. 2.

Fig. 3.

Fig. 4.