The Ionic Conductivity and Catalyst Activity Effects of Acetonitrile on Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells

Yunfeng Zhai*, Junjie Ge^a and Jean St-Pierre

Hawaii Natural Energy Institute, University of Hawaii – Manoa, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822, USA

Abstract

The effect of trace levels of acetonitrile, an airborne pollutant, on proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs) membrane/electrode assemblies (MEAs) was investigated in situ and ex situ using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy, cyclic voltammetry and polarization with a fuel cell and a membrane conductivity cell. In situ test results indicate that acetonitrile depresses electrode activity and decreases MEA ionic conductivity. Ex situ tests demonstrate that acetonitrile does not affect the membrane ionic conductivity, indicating the role for an acetonitrile reduction product (ammonium cation).

Keywords: PEMFC, Contaminants, Acetonitrile, Oxygen reduction, Ionic conductivity.

1. Introduction

Fuel cell vehicles are currently being deployed (Toyota Mirai, etc). However, the risks associated with air pollution have not yet been eliminated or minimized. Pollutants adversely impact cell performance and durability. Most of the 200 airborne pollutants that have been identified are volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and most have not been characterized [1-2] for their effect on air filter effectiveness and cell performance. Such conditions extend to real-life operations. The effects of duty cycling and contaminant mixtures [3] on fuel cell stacks [4] have

* Corresponding author: 1680 East West Road, POST 109, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA; Email: yunfeng@hawaii.edu

^a Currently at Changchun Institute of Applied Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changchun, Jilin 130022, China.

barely been explored if at all. Thus, car manufacturers urgently need to obtain as much information as possible to diagnose and service vehicles affected by contamination and prevent failures.

Acetonitrile is a model nitrile VOC with the highest concentration in air at up to 3 ppm among the 21 contaminants that were previously selected for screening [2]. Single cell tests revealed a relatively dramatic and rapid effect on cell performance, which prompted mechanistic studies that are, in part, reported here. Acetonitrile is an aprotic solvent used for electrical double layer studies on electrodes or organic molecule oxidation and reduction behavior. Acetonitrile adsorption behavior and stability on Pt has been widely studied in various solutions using a variety of electrochemical and spectroscopic techniques [5-11]. In acid solution, acetonitrile is chemisorbed on Pt over a wide potential range and inhibits both hydrogen adsorption and Pt oxidation [7-13]. Several reversible acetonitrile reduction/oxidation reactions occur on Pt. Within 0.15-0.9 V vs SHE, intermediates are absent from the bulk solution. For higher and lower potentials, irreversible oxidation/reduction reactions occur with corresponding products that can subsequently desorb. Below 0.15 V vs SHE, the potential reduction products include ethane, ethanol, ammonium ions, amines, and CN^- [9-12]. Above 0.9 V vs SHE, the potential oxidation products comprise NO_2 and CO_2 [11]. The different CH_3CN products and intermediates on Pt may exert different effects on oxygen reduction reactions (ORR). Ex situ rotating ring/disc electrode (RRDE) analyses showed that acetonitrile adsorption on Pt/C impacted the oxygen reduction mechanism and increased the overpotential and H_2O_2 production [12]. These results provide a background for developing an acetonitrile contamination mechanism. However, the effect of acetonitrile on an operating PEMFC has not been reported; this is especially important considering that the acetonitrile products in a fuel cell are not necessarily predictable [15].

Ex situ and in situ methods, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), cyclic voltammetry (CV), polarization (VI) and membrane conductivity were used to investigate the impact of acetonitrile.

2. Experimental

The single cell, operating conditions and experimental procedures were previously described and are summarized [14]. The MEAs were activated to obtain steady cell performance. Diagnostics before and after contamination testing include CV (electrochemical active surface area), linear sweep voltammetry (H_2 crossover), VI and high frequency resistance (HFR) [14].

The single cell cathode was exposed to 20 ppm CH_3CN in N_2 for different durations [14]. The anode was fed with H_2 . 400 ppm CH_3CN in an N_2 mixture (certified plus grade, Matheson Tri-Gas Inc.) was diluted with N_2 . The MEA through-plane conductivity was measured using EIS at different times within a frequency range of 10 kHz to 0.1 Hz (ZPlot® software from Scribner Associates, Solartron SI1260 analyzer). A 0 V DC voltage with a 5 mV AC perturbation was applied between the cathode (working and sense) and anode (counter and reference) electrodes. Immediately after acetonitrile injection was interrupted, three CV scans were conducted under similar conditions. Subsequently, VI curves with H_2 /air were obtained.

Ex situ tests were completed using a Nafion® XL membrane in a single chamber conductivity cell fed a CH_3CN - N_2 - H_2 mixture for 30 min (25% N_2 , 75% H_2 with 50 or 100 ppm CH_3CN). The in-plane proton conductivity of a $0.00275 \times 1 \times 3 \text{ cm}^3$ sample was measured in a BekkTech cell at 80°C with 50% relative humidity (RH). A 20 kHz to 1 Hz range was used for EIS, and 1 V DC voltage with 20 mV AC perturbation was applied between the Pt mesh working and counter electrodes. Two Pt wires separated by 0.425 cm and located between the Pt mesh electrodes

served as sense and reference electrodes. The in-plane conductivity was calculated from the measured proton resistance and Ohm's law.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 MEA EIS and CV analyses

Figure 1 shows EIS spectra. The intercept with the real impedance axis is the ohmic resistance for proton transport through the catalyst layer and membrane. Before exposure, the proton resistance was $0.058 \Omega\text{cm}^2$, which is consistent with previous results [14]. The resistance gradually increases to 0.066, 0.082 and $0.088 \Omega\text{cm}^2$ for a 25, 50 and 60 min exposure, respectively (a ~51% increase).

The CV profiles are in Figure 2. Before exposure, the CV curve shows typical Pt/C features [14]. After a 1-hour exposure, the anodic scan for the first cycle shows a depressed peak at 0.15 V vs HRE, whereas the 0.22 V peak increased. Above 0.4 V vs HRE, the oxidation current formed a shoulder at 0.58 V as well as peaks at 0.78 and 1.14 V. The cathodic scan shows a smaller PtO_x reduction peak at 0.78 V vs HRE but larger reduction currents between 0.3 and 0.6 V and above 1.0 V. For the second and third cycles, anodic scans show smaller oxidation currents than the first cycle, especially for the 0.22 V vs HRE peak. Cathodic curves were superimposed onto the first cycle results. The changes are consistent with acetonitrile adsorption on a Pt surface in an acid solution [7-13]. After a 3-hour exposure, the acetonitrile coverage is more extensive, which further decreases the H_2 - and Pt-related peaks. Additionally, current profiles for all 3 cycles overlap, except for smaller PtO_x reduction currents in the first cathodic scan.

The MEA results show clearer features than those obtained with a rotating disc electrode or RRDE in acid solutions [8-13]. At a lower potential than 0.15 V vs SHE or within 0.05-0.2 V vs

SHE, acetonitrile is irreversibly reduced to $\text{CH}_3\text{-CH=NH}$ through a two-electron process with a slow second step [8, 9]. Above 0.36 V vs SHE, acetonitrile non-dissociatively adsorbs, and subsequent reactions are reversible. During exposure, acetonitrile adsorbs, displaces H species, and is reduced to $\text{CH}_3\text{-CH=NH}$. The $\text{CH}_3\text{-CH=NH}$ species is oxidized to CH_3CN at 0.22 V vs SHE on Pt edge sites during the first anodic CV scan. A larger oxidation current at 0.22 V vs SHE is absent during the second and third anodic scans due to slow $\text{CH}_3\text{-CH=NH}$ formation. Oxidation peaks at 0.58, 0.78 and 1.14 V vs SHE (positive scan) and the corresponding excess reduction current between 1.2-0.9 and 0.68-0.25 V vs SHE (negative scan) are attributed to reversible, non-dissociative CH_3CN reactions.

3.2 Single cell performance

Figure 3 shows VI curves and HFR values. Cell performance decreases with an increase in exposure. After 1 and 3 hours, the open circuit voltage (OCV) decreases from 0.973 to 0.940 and 0.926 V, respectively. The cell voltage at 0.1 Acm^{-2} decreases from 0.849 to 0.798 and 0.718 V. The OCV is a mixed potential due to an H_2 crossover (consistent 2.3 mAcm^{-2} values), electronic shorts, Pt oxidation, and O_2 reduction. A smaller OCV for the poisoned MEA is attributed to acetonitrile adsorption on the Pt surface, which reduces O_2 coverage and decreases the ORR apparent exchange current density and equilibrium potential. The HFR decreases with current density up to 0.2 Acm^{-2} and increases with exposure. After 1 and 3 h exposures, the HFR at OCV increases from $0.079 \text{ } \Omega\text{cm}^2$ to 0.097 and $0.167 \text{ } \Omega\text{cm}^2$, respectively, whereas at 0.2 Acm^{-2} , the HFR increases from 0.058 to 0.083 and $0.095 \text{ } \Omega\text{cm}^2$. The HFR results indicate that acetonitrile severely affected proton conductivity (50% reduction after 3 hours).

The inset of Figure 3 depicts the kinetic region of polarization (corrected for ohmic losses and H_2 crossover). Tafel slopes were derived for currents lower than 0.1 Acm^{-2} and a

corrected voltage higher than 0.77 V. Before exposure, the Tafel slope was 70.8 mVdec⁻¹, which is consistent with previous work [15]. After 1 and 3 h exposures, the Tafel slope increased to 83.2 and 111.4 mVdec⁻¹, respectively. The results indicate that acetonitrile adsorption on Pt changes the activation energy and decreases the apparent transfer coefficient for the ORR [18].

3.3 Membrane conductivity tests

Figure 4 shows EIS spectra for the bare Nafion[®] XL membranes before and after acetonitrile exposure. The resistances are 9074 and 9125 and 9439 and 9399 Ω before and after 50 and 100 ppm acetonitrile exposure, respectively. The calculated proton conductivities are approximately 17.0 and 16.9 and 16.4 and 16.4 mScm⁻¹ for the membrane tested with 50 and 100 ppm acetonitrile, respectively. The ~0.5% variation in the membrane conductivity is attributed to measurement error. Therefore, acetonitrile does not affect membrane proton conductivity.

Bare membranes were uniformly exposed to a mixture of H₂, N₂ and CH₃CN (Figure 4), which precludes the presence of electrochemical reactions. In comparison, MEAs were exposed to a N₂ and CH₃CN mixture in a fuel cell and the anode was fed with H₂ (reference electrode). Below 0.15 V vs SHE, acetonitrile is reduced to ammonium ions, amines, CN⁻ and other species [9-12]. Ion chromatography and ion selective electrode analyses indicated trace levels of ammonium in the effluent water (ppm range) for single cells operated with air during contamination. These results suggest that the effects of acetonitrile on proton conductivity are attributed to reduction products, such as ammonium ions. The cations exhibit a lower mobility than protons and decrease the membrane water content [17, 18]. Thus, replacing protons in the ionomer and the membrane with the ammonium cations decreased the ionic conductivity.

4. Conclusions

The effects of trace levels of acetonitrile on a PEMFC and Nafion[®] membrane were

investigated to define system failure risks and improve system robustness (the air filter, the first line of defense, can fail). The PEMFC tests indicated that acetonitrile not only depresses the main electrochemical reactions but also decreases electrolyte ionic conductivity. Acetonitrile adsorption on Pt inhibits hydrogen oxidation and evolution, Pt oxidation and PtO_x reduction. Acetonitrile adsorbates cannot be removed through potential scanning within a 0.08 to 1.2 V vs HRE range. Acetonitrile significantly affects the MEA ionomer and membrane ionic conductivity. However, the bare Nafion membrane was not affected, which suggests that an acetonitrile decomposition product (ammonium during electro-reduction) caused the ionic conductivity change. A more detailed version of the acetonitrile contamination mechanism will be reported based on gas chromatography/mass spectrometry analyses to identify specific intermediates, products and reactions to facilitate the development of preventive measures and recovery procedures.

Acknowledgments

The authors are indebted to the United States Department of Energy for funding (award DE-EE0000467). The authors are grateful to the Hawaiian Electric Company for their ongoing support to the operations of the Hawaii Sustainable Energy Research Facility.

References

- [1] R. Borup, J. Meyers, B. Pivovar et al., Scientific aspects of polymer electrolyte fuel cell durability and degradation, *Chem. Rev.* 107 (2007) 3904–3951.
- [2] J. St-Pierre, Y. Zhai, M. Angelo, Effect of selected airborne contaminants on PEMFC performance, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 161 (2014) F280–290.
- [3] J. Biesdorf, N. Zamel, T. Kurz, Influence of air contaminants on planar, self-breathing hydrogen PEM fuel cells in an outdoor environment, *J. Power Sources* 247 (2014) 339–345.

- [4] J. St-Pierre, M. Virji, Cell performance distribution in a low-temperature proton exchange membrane fuel cell stack during propene contamination, *J. Appl. Electrochem.* 46 (2016) 169–181.
- [5] N. Marinkovic, M. Hecht, J. Loring, W. Fawcett, A SNIFTIRS study of the diffuse double layer at single crystal platinum electrodes in acetonitrile, *Electrochim. Acta* 41 (1996) 641–651.
- [6] S. Morin, B. Conway, Surface structure dependence of reactive chemisorption of acetonitrile on single-crystal Pt surfaces, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 376 (1994) 135–150.
- [7] A. Rudnev, E. Molodkina, A. Danilov, A. Berna, J. Feliu, Adsorption behavior of acetonitrile on platinum and gold electrodes of various structures in solution of 0.5M H₂SO₄, *Electrochim. Acta* 54 (2009) 3692–3699.
- [8] H. Angerstein-Kozłowska, B. Macdougall, B. Conway, Electrochemisorption and reactivity of nitriles at platinum electrodes and the anodic H desorption effect, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 39 (1972) 287–313.
- [9] M. Szklarczyk, J. Sobkowski, The behaviour of high polar organic solvents at platinum electrode-II. Adsorption and electrode reactions of acetonitrile, *Electrochim. Acta* 25 (1980) 1597–1601.
- [10] G. Horanyi, E. Rizmayer, Formation of ethane during the electroreduction of acetonitrile at a platinized platinum electrode: A special feature in diluted aqueous solutions, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 113 (1980) 305–309.
- [11] S. Wasmus, W. Vielstich, Electro-oxidation and electroreduction of acetonitrile in aqueous acid solution. A DEMS study, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 345 (1993) 323–335.
- [12] J. Ge, J. St-Pierre, Y. Zhai, PEMFC cathode catalyst contamination evaluation with a RRDE-Acetonitrile, *Electrochim. Acta* 134 (2014) 272–280.
- [13] I. Srejić, M. Smiljanić, Z. Rakočević, S. Štrbac, Oxygen reduction on polycrystalline Pt and Au electrodes in perchloric acid solution in the presence of acetonitrile, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.* 6 (2011) 3344–3354.
- [14] Y. Zhai, J. St-Pierre, Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell Cathode Contamination – Acetylene, *J. Power Sources* 279 (2015) 165–171.

- [15] Y. Zhai, O. Baturina, D. Ramaker, E. Farquhar, J. St-Pierre, K. Swider-Lyons, Chlorobenzene poisoning and recovery of platinum-based cathodes in proton exchange membrane fuel cells, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 119 (2015) 20328–20338.
- [16] A. Holewinski, S. Linicz, Elementary mechanisms in electrocatalysis: Revisiting the ORR Tafel slope, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 159 (2012) H864–870.
- [17] T. Lopes, D. Kim, Y. Kim, F. Garzon, Ionic transport and water vapor uptake of ammonium exchanged perfluorosulfonic acid membranes *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 159 (2012) B265–269.
- [18] K. Hongsirikarn, J. Goodwin, S. Greenway, S. Creager, Influence of ammonia on the conductivity of Nafion membranes, *J. Power Sources* 195 (2010) 30–38.

Figure captions:

Figure 1: EIS spectra before and after MEA exposure to humidified H_2 in the anode and N_2 with 20 ppm acetonitrile in the cathode.

Figure 2: CV responses to acetonitrile adsorption on Pt. “Exp 1 hr Cyc1” refers to the first CV cycle after 1 h exposure, and “Cyc2-3” refers to second and third cycles.

Figure 3: VI curves before and after MEA cathode exposure to 20 ppm acetonitrile.

Figure 4: EIS spectra before and after Nafion® XL membrane exposure to a humidified $\text{N}_2\text{-H}_2\text{-CH}_3\text{CN}$ mixture.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4