Electrospray Production and Collisional Dissociation of Lanthanide/Methylsulfonyl Anion Complexes: Sulfur Dioxide Anion as a Ligand

Yu Gong¹, Maria C. Michelini^{2*} and John K. Gibson^{1*}

¹*Chemical Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA*

²*Dipartimento di Chimica, Università della Calabria, 87030 Arcavacata di Rende, Italy*

*Corresponding authors: mc.michelini@unical.it; jkgibson@lbl.gov (submitting author)

Abstract

Gas-phase lanthanide-SO₂ complexes, Ln(CH₃SO₂)₃(SO₂)⁻, were produced by collision induced dissociation (CID) of Ln(CH₃SO₂)₄⁻ precursors prepared by electrospray ionization. For all lanthanides except Eu, CID of Ln(CH₃SO₂)₄⁻ resulted in CH₃ loss to form Ln(CH₃SO₂)₃(SO₂)⁻, which spontaneously react with O₂ to form Ln(CH₃SO₂)₃(O₂)⁻. CID of Eu(CH₃SO₂)₄⁻ produced only Eu(CH₃SO₂)₃⁻, with reduction from Eu(III) to Eu(II). For Ln = Yb and Sm, the Ln(CH₃SO₂)₄⁻ underwent neutral ligand loss to form Ln(CH₃SO₂)₃⁻, which reacted with O₂ to yield Ln(CH₃SO₂)₃(O₂)⁻, recovering the Ln(III) oxidation state. The CID results parallel condensed phase Ln³⁺/Ln²⁺ redox chemistry. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations on Ln(CH₃SO₂)₃(SO₂)⁻ for Ln = La, Yb and Lu reveal that SO₂ acts as a bidentate oxygen bound ligand for doublet ground state La(CH₃SO₂)₃(SO₂)⁻ and Lu(CH₃SO₂)₃(SO₂)⁻, while the ground state for Yb(CH₃SO₂)₃(SO₂)⁻ is an open-shell singlet with a monodentate SO₂ ligand. Loss of CH₃ is computed to be much more favorable than neutral ligand loss for La(CH₃SO₂)₄⁻ and Lu(CH₃SO₂)₄⁻, whereas both channels are comparable in energy for Yb(CH₃SO₂)₄⁻, in accord with the experiments. DFT results for fragmentation of Cu(CH₃SO₂)₂⁻ reveal that formation of the organometallic complex, Cu(CH₃SO₂)(CH₃)⁻, is energetically most favorable, in agreement with contrasting fragmentation pathways of copper and lanthanide complexes.

Keywords: collision induced dissociation; lanthanide complexes; methane sulfinate; sulfur dioxide anion; density functional theory; divalent lanthanides

Introduction

The coordination chemistry of SO_2 has been well developed in recent years due to interest in weakly coordinated complexes,¹ as well as in materials for SO_2 sensors, which require reversible binding of SO_2 .^{2,3} Among the coordination complexes whose structures and properties have been well defined, main group elements and electron rich transition metals have been the primary focus,^{1,4,5} while lanthanides have received less attention. Reactions of lanthanides with AsF_5 in liquid SO_2 results in the formation of polymeric lanthanide- SO_2 adducts.⁶ Discrete molecular SO_2 complexes containing lanthanides were unknown until the recent report of the synthesis of several cone-type lanthanide complexes, in which both oxygen atoms of each of the SO_2 moieties are bound to a lanthanide metal center protected by a bulky ligand.⁷

It is possible to form unique coordination complexes in the gas phase by using appropriate precursor ligands that can undergo fragmentation upon low-energy collision induced dissociation (CID).^{8,9} Recently, we demonstrated that CID of $\text{UO}_2(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_2^-$ resulted in CH_3 loss to produce $\text{UO}_2(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)(\text{SO}_2)^-$, in which the SO_2 ligand is coordinated to uranium by both oxygen atoms.¹⁰ This is quite different from the fragmentation patterns observed by O'Hair and co-workers during CID of a copper complex having the CH_3SO_2 ligand: loss of SO_2 to form an organocopper complex was observed.¹¹ Since uranyl is a hard acid,¹² as are the lanthanides, it should be possible to prepare lanthanide- SO_2 adducts using the same fragmentation approach applied to $\text{UO}_2(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_2^-$. In the work reported here, $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$ anions for all the lanthanides (except Pm) were prepared by electrospray ionization (ESI) of solutions containing a lanthanide halide and $\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2\text{Na}$. A goal was to discern if CID of these gas-phase complexes reveal essential variations in chemistry across the lanthanide series, particularly differences in the relative stabilities of the trivalent and divalent oxidation states. Density functional theory (DFT) computations were performed on selected complexes to understand the observed chemistry. Fragmentation pathways for complexes of copper with the CH_3SO_2^- ligand were also computed to illuminate the disparate fragmentation behavior of complexes of the “hard” lanthanides and “soft” late transition metals.

Experimental and Computational Details

All experiments were performed using an Agilent 6340 quadrupole ion trap mass spectrometer (QIT/MS).¹³ The $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$ anions were produced by ESI of methanol (<5%

water) solutions of $\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2\text{Na}$ (Sigma-Aldrich) and LnX_3 ($\text{X}=\text{Cl}$ or Br , 200 μM) (Sigma-Aldrich) with about 4:1 molar excess $\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2\text{Na}$. The MS^n capabilities of the QIT/MS allow isolation of ions with a particular m/z , followed by CID in which ions are excited and undergo multiple low-energy collisions with helium. The reported CID results correspond to an applied voltage of 0.6 V for 40 ms. Experiments employing different CID voltages and/or times resulted in changes in product yields but no discernable changes in fragmentation products; specifically, the distinctive products observed for the Sm, Eu and Yb complexes were not observed for other lanthanides. The consistency of results upon varying the CID conditions indicates that the results are phenomenological, not instrumental, as has been demonstrated in analogous studies by O'Hair and co-workers,^{8,9,11} as well as in previous work performed by our group.^{10,14-16} In the present study the ion intensities were sufficient to perform CID of ions produced by ESI, i.e., MS^2 , but the fragment ion intensities were insufficient to perform sequential CID, i.e., MS^3 . The ions isolated inside the trap from the ESI source are at a temperature around 300 K.¹⁷ In high resolution mode, the instrument has a detection range of m/z 20–2200 with a mass width (FWHM) of $m/z \approx 0.3$. The intensity distribution of ions in the mass spectra was highly dependent on instrumental parameters, particularly the RF voltage applied to the ion trap; the parameters were essentially as employed in previous experiments.¹⁰ The high-purity nitrogen gas for nebulization and drying in the ion transfer capillary was the boil-off from a liquid nitrogen Dewar. As has been discussed elsewhere,^{18,19} the background H_2O and O_2 pressures in the ion trap are estimated to be on the order of 10^{-6} Torr. The helium buffer gas pressure in the trap is constant at $\sim 10^{-4}$ Torr.

Geometry optimizations and frequency calculations were performed using the PBE functional (exchange and correlation)²⁰ together with triple zeta basis sets and the zero-order regular approximation (ZORA), as implemented in the ADF2009.01 package.^{21,22} The frozen core approximation was used for the lanthanide atoms (up to 4d), and for Cu, S, O and C atoms (up to 2p). This level of theory is referred to as PBE-ZORA/TZ2P. Single point computations were performed using the M06-L functional²³ in combination with the small-core Stuttgart-Dresden pseudopotentials (SDD) for the Ln and Cu atoms,²⁴ and 6-311++G(2d,p) basis sets for the rest of the atoms, using the Gaussian09 Rev B.01 program (M06-L/SDD). The M06-L functional has shown good performance in systems containing transition and heavier metals, according to previous reports in literature.^{25,26} Moreover, we have found good performance in the

estimation of LnO and LnO⁺ bond dissociation energies for Ln = La, Lu (see Tables S1 and S2 and a brief discussion regarding the choice of the level of theory in Supporting Information). All reported energies (M06-L/SDD//PBE-ZORA/TZ2P) include the zero point vibrational energy corrections at 0 K. Quantum Theory of Atoms in Molecules (QTAIM) analysis was performed on the studied Ln(CH₃SO₂)₃(CH₃)⁻ ions and, for comparison, on Cu(CH₃SO₂)(CH₃)⁻.²⁷ Wavefunction extended files (wfx) were obtained with Gaussian09 at the M06-L/SDD level and analyzed using the AIMAll package.²⁸ The electron density (ρ_{BCP}), the Laplacian of electron density ($\nabla^2\rho_{\text{BCP}}$) and total energy density (H_{BCP}) of the Ln-C (and Cu-C) bond critical points are included as Supporting Information (Table S3).

Results and Discussion

ESI and CID Mass Spectra

The ESI mass spectra of solutions containing LnX₃ and CH₃SO₂Na, shown in Figures 1 and 2, revealed a series of peaks over a wide m/z range. In addition to peaks due to Na_x(CH₃SO₂)_{x+1}⁻, which is common in ESI experiments with CH₃SO₂Na,¹⁰ metal complex peaks such as Ln(CH₃SO₂)₄⁻ and Ln(CH₃SO₂)₄(CH₃SO₂Na)_x⁻ dominate the spectra. Since the CH₃SO₂⁻ anion can be oxidized to CH₃SO₃⁻,²⁹ weak peaks attributed to species comprising CH₃SO₃⁻ were also present in the spectra. The Ln(CH₃SO₂)₄⁻ anions of particular interest were mass selected and subjected to CID. As shown in Figure 3, CID of all the Ln(CH₃SO₂)₄⁻ complexes (except Ln = Eu) resulted in the appearance of a peak 15 m/z lower than Ln(CH₃SO₂)₄⁻, which corresponds to CH₃ loss and the formation of Ln(CH₃SO₂)₃(SO₂)⁻. In contrast, loss of a neutral CH₃SO₂ ligand to form Eu(CH₃SO₂)₃⁻ was the only fragmentation channel for Eu(CH₃SO₂)₄⁻; a secondary product, Eu(CH₃SO₂)₂(SO₂)⁻, was formed when Eu(CH₃SO₂)₃⁻ underwent CH₃ loss upon CID. Products arising from neutral ligand loss were also observed for Yb(CH₃SO₂)₄⁻ and Sm(CH₃SO₂)₄⁻. While both Yb(CH₃SO₂)₃⁻ and Yb(CH₃SO₂)₃(SO₂)⁻ are major fragmentation products upon CID of Yb(CH₃SO₂)₄⁻, the yield of Sm(CH₃SO₂)₃⁻ is much lower than that of Sm(CH₃SO₂)₃(SO₂)⁻. Ligand loss was not detected for other Ln(CH₃SO₂)₄⁻ complexes.

Computed Structures of Selected Complexes

Lanthanide-dependent CID of Ln(CH₃SO₂)₄⁻ reveals the influence of the metal centers on gas-phase fragmentation chemistry. To get further insights into the fragmentation reactions, DFT calculations were carried out on the structures and dissociation reaction thermodynamics of Ln(CH₃SO₂)₄⁻ for La, Yb, and Lu. We selected La and Lu as representative of the lanthanide ions

that favor trivalency and have, respectively, the largest and smallest Ln^{3+} ionic radii, and Yb as a representative lanthanide with a greater propensity towards divalent chemistry. Comprehensive computations for all of the Ln complexes, were beyond the scope of this effort; the DFT results for these representative cases provide insights into the overall experimental results. For comparison, and specifically to understand the differing chemistries of Ln and Cu, the same dissociation reactions were analyzed by DFT for $\text{Cu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_2^-$. The optimized geometrical parameters of the initial complexes and dissociation products are shown in Figure 4. More information regarding geometrical parameters and electronic states of the ground-state structures and of some relevant higher-energy lanthanide isomers, as well as copper complexes, are included as Supporting Information (Figures S2 to S5). Both $\text{La}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$ and $\text{Lu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$ have singlet ground spin states with all the CH_3SO_2^- ligands having bidentate coordination to the metal center via oxygen atoms. A similar geometry was obtained for the $\text{Yb}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$ doublet ground spin state. For the CH_3 -loss product, isomers very close in energy and differing only in the coordination mode of SO_2 (bidentate vs. monodentate) were located. The $\text{La}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{SO}_2)^-$ doublet spin GS structure is characterized by a bidentate SO_2 ligand, whereas the lowest-energy SO_2 monodentate isomer is only 17 kJ.mol^{-1} higher in energy. The $\text{Lu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{SO}_2)^-$ doublet spin GS isomer also has a bidentate SO_2 ligand and is almost degenerate in energy (within 7 kJ.mol^{-1}) with the monodentate SO_2 isomer. In the case of $\text{Yb}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{SO}_2)^-$ a number of singlet and triplet spin state mono- and bidentate isomers were located within a range of 70 kJ.mol^{-1} . At the level of theory used here, the lowest-energy structure corresponds to a singlet open-shell structure with a monodentate SO_2 ligand. The spin density corresponding to an unpaired electron was found to be delocalized on the SO_2 moiety in all the $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{SO}_2)^-$ isomers. Moreover, the S-O bond distances in the SO_2 ligands of $\text{La}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{SO}_2)^-$ and $\text{Lu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{SO}_2)^-$ (Figures S2 and S4) are ca. $0.02 \text{ \AA}$ and $0.09 \text{ \AA}$ longer than those computed for isolated SO_2^- ($1.524 \text{ \AA}$ at the present level of theory) and SO_2 ($1.459 \text{ \AA}$), respectively. Hence, both the $\text{La}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{SO}_2)^-$ and $\text{Lu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{SO}_2)^-$ complexes are considered as a trivalent lanthanide cation coordinated by three CH_3SO_2^- ligands and one SO_2^- ligand, similar to the $\text{UO}_2(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)(\text{SO}_2)^-$ complex.¹⁰ Although the two S-O bond lengths of SO_2 in $\text{Yb}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{SO}_2)^-$ differ more than those in $\text{La}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{SO}_2)^-$ and $\text{Lu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{SO}_2)^-$ due to the monodentate character of SO_2 in the Yb complex, it is reasonable to consider the SO_2 ligand

in $\text{Yb}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{SO}_2)^-$ as SO_2^- based on comparison with the bond lengths of neutral and anionic SO_2 and on the spin density distribution.

Computed Fragmentation Energies of Selected Complexes

To understand the metal-dependence of the fragmentation patterns, computations were carried out for both CH_3 loss (reaction 1) and ligand loss (reactions 2 and 3) for $\text{La}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$, $\text{Yb}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$ and $\text{Lu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$. Experimental results from O'Hair and co-workers indicate that CID of anionic Cu complexes favor the formation of the organometallic products, in striking contrast to the Ln homologues; for the $\text{Cu}(\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2)(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)^-$ complex, CID reaction (4) was observed.¹¹ Similarly, our experimental results for CID of $\text{Cu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_2^-$ revealed the formation of $\text{Cu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)(\text{CH}_3)^-$, in striking contrast to the homologous Ln complexes. Although the instrumental conditions may be somewhat different between the different experiments, this result demonstrates that the CID conditions employed here are such that the present results can be compared with those from O'Hair and co-workers. To obtain insight into the origin of the contrasting behavior of Ln and Cu we have computed possible CID reactions for $\text{Cu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_2^-$ (Figure S5, Supporting Information).

As reported in Table 1, loss of CH_3 is always less endothermic than both neutral and anionic CH_3SO_2 ligand loss. Our computations indicate that the neutral methoxysulfinyl *cis*- CH_3OSO radical is 13 kJ.mol^{-1} more stable than the methylsulfonyl CH_3SO_2 isomer, which is in agreement with previous DFT (B3LYP/aug-cc-pVTZ,³⁰ B3P86/aug-cc-pVTZ,³⁰ B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p)³¹) and ab-initio (G2(MP2)³², MP2/6-311++G(d,p)³¹, PMP4SDTQ/6-311G(d,p)//MP2/6-31G(d,p)³³ and CCSD(T)/6-311++G(d,p)³¹ and CCSD(T)/Aug-cc-pV(Q+d)Z//CCSD(T)/6-311++G(2df,p)³⁴) computational results, which in all cases indicate the methoxysulfinyl isomer is the ground-state structure. Therefore, CH_3OSO is considered as the fragmentation product in reaction 2. Previous computational calculations have estimated the energy for rearrangement from CH_3SO_2 to CH_3OSO to be between 98 and 222 kJ.mol^{-1} ,

depending on the level of theory.^{31,32} It is unlikely that such a rearrangement would occur under the present experimental conditions. However, in view of the small energy difference between the two isomers, interpretation of the results is not affected by consideration of the (slightly) lower-energy rearrangement isomer. The energies required for loss of CH_3SO_2^- are 222-243 $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ for the three computed Ln complexes, which are higher in energy than those for the CH_3 -loss reaction. It is much more endothermic for $\text{La}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$ and $\text{Lu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$ to lose a neutral CH_3OSO ligand, processes computed to be endothermic by more than 400 $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$. In contrast, neutral ligand loss for $\text{Yb}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$ is endothermic by only 203 $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$, 20 $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ lower in energy than the loss of anionic CH_3SO_2^- . As shown in reactions 2 and 3, neutral CH_3OSO loss results in the formation of $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3^-$ with a divalent Ln(II), whereas neutral $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3$ with a trivalent Ln(III) is formed upon CH_3SO_2^- loss. For lanthanides, the oxidation state Ln(III) is accessible in all cases, while the oxidation state Ln(II) is most commonly observed for Ln = Eu, Yb and Sm.³⁵ As the CID results reveal, it is more favorable to form complexes retaining the Ln(III) oxidation state for most of the lanthanides, with the exceptions of Eu, Yb and Sm. Our computed energetics for ligand loss reactions are in accord with this trend. Since loss of CH_3OSO to form $\text{Yb}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3^-$ is endothermic by only 203 $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$, this fragmentation channel becomes competitive with CH_3 loss, resulting in the experimental observation of both $\text{Yb}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3^-$ and $\text{Yb}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{SO}_2)^-$. Based on the experimental results, it can be assumed that neutral CH_3OSO loss should be least endothermic for $\text{Eu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3^-$, followed by $\text{Yb}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3^-$ and then $\text{Sm}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3^-$, in accord with the decreasing (more negative) reduction potentials from $\text{Eu}^{3+}/\text{Eu}^{2+}$ to $\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Yb}^{2+}$ to $\text{Sm}^{3+}/\text{Sm}^{2+}$.³⁵ According to our computational results, loss of CH_3SO_2^- is a possible fragmentation pathway; however, the low-mass cutoff of the QIT/MS in CID mode precludes its detection. Although it is hypothetically feasible to infer the formation of CH_3SO_2^- based on a diminishment in the total ion intensity, this approach was not practical in the present work due to a substantial reduction in ion intensity upon CID as a result of ion loss from the trap not due to fragmentation; such substantial ion loss upon any type of ion manipulation is routinely observed in our instrument.

The fragmentation patterns of $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$ are quite different from those observed for copper complexes comprising the CH_3SO_2^- ligand, for which loss of SO_2 is predominant.¹¹ Our computations indicate that the thermodynamically most favorable fragmentation reaction for $\text{Cu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_2^-$ is loss of SO_2 with formation of $\text{Cu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)(\text{CH}_3)^-$ (Table 1). This result is in

accord with results from O'Hair and co-workers,¹¹ and indicates that whereas the oxophilic lanthanides induce elimination of CH₃ to produce complexes with the SO₂⁻ ligand, copper contrastingly induces elimination of SO₂ to yield organocopper complexes. QTAIM bond analysis was performed on all the Ln(CH₃SO₂)(CH₃)⁻ (Ln = La, Yb and Lu) complexes and on Cu(CH₃SO₂)(CH₃)⁻ (Supporting Information, Table S3). The Ln-C bond critical point (bcp) has the characteristics of an ionic bond, with electron densities at the bond critical points (ρ_{BCP}) lower than 0.075 au., positive laplacians of the electron density at the BCPs ($\nabla^2\rho_{\text{BCP}}$), and total electronic energy densities (H_{BCP}) that are very small and negative (between -0.0173 and -0.0025 au.). The delocalization indexes (DI) indicate that in all cases the number of electrons shared by the metal and oxygen atoms is very low (< 0.60 e⁻). The Cu-C bond characteristics are also mainly ionic in nature; however, the charge density at the bcp roughly doubles the La-C value and is almost three times higher than the Yb-C value. The delocalization number is also notably higher than the same value for the Ln-C bonds (i.e. DI (Cu,C) = 0.958). The negative partial (QTAIM) charge on the C atom involved in the Ln-C bond is significantly higher (ca. -0.50 e⁻) than the same quantity for the Cu-C bond (-0.15 e⁻).

The computations indicate that there are two isomers for the SO₂ loss products, as shown in reactions 5 and 6.

The Ln(CH₃SO₂)₂(CH₃SO)(OCH₃)⁻ isomers (Ln = La, Yb, Lu) are computed to be ca. 80-100 kJ.mol⁻¹ more stable than the Ln(CH₃SO₂)₃(CH₃) isomers, and reaction 6 is thus the most thermodynamically favorable among the five reactions considered. Although SO₂ loss was not observed, the barrier for the rearrangement of the hypothetical products of reactions 5 and 6 were computed. This evaluation provides a prototypical example of the role of kinetics in determining experimentally observed fragmentation pathways. Formation of the more stable Ln(CH₃SO₂)(CH₃SO)(OCH₃) isomer would involve a significant reorganization of the ligands, which results in substantial energy barriers (Figure 5). The transition state for the transformation between the two isomers, Ln(CH₃SO₂)₃(CH₃)⁻ → Ln(CH₃SO₂)₂(CH₃SO)(OCH₃)⁻, involve significant reaction barriers, between 187 and 203 kJ.mol⁻¹ (Figure 5). These barriers explain

why low-energy SO₂ elimination (reaction 6) was not observed. In contrast, CH₃ loss (reaction 1) is most likely barrierless, resulting in the appearance of SO₂ adducts despite the greater endothermicity of this process. Although the reaction pathways for the elimination of SO₂ were not analyzed in detail, the initial step for reaction 6 was studied for Ln = La, Lu. This process involves the transfer of the CH₃⁻ moiety from one of the ligands to an adjacent ligand, which consequently becomes monodentate. It was found that this process gives rise to significant energy barriers, i.e. the TS relative energies with respect to the Ln(CH₃SO₂)₄⁻ initial complex are higher than 300 kJ.mol⁻¹ (Supporting Information, figure S6). Despite that we have not studied the reaction barriers involved in a direct transfer of the CH₃ moiety to the Ln cation, we anticipate higher barriers than those reported in Figure S6 considering the higher endothermicity (i.e. between 75 and 101 kJ.mol⁻¹) involved in the formation of the lanthanide organometallic products (Table 1).

Reactions with Background O₂

When Ln(CH₃SO₂)₃(SO₂)⁻ was mass selected and allowed to react with background gases in the ion trap, a peak on the low m/z side of Ln(CH₃SO₂)₃(SO₂)⁻ (Ln = La-Lu, except Eu) appeared; the examples of Ln = Sm and Tm are shown in Figure 6. The difference of 32 m/z indicates that the new species is Ln(CH₃SO₂)₃(O₂)⁻, where the SO₂ ligand has been replaced by background O₂ in the ion trap. This process also results in the appearance of weak peaks (labeled D in Figure 3) during CID of Ln(CH₃SO₂)₄⁻. The yield of Ln(CH₃SO₂)₃(O₂)⁻ increases substantially when Ln(CH₃SO₂)₃(SO₂)⁻ is isolated and a reaction time of 0.3 s is applied (Figure 6), confirming the spontaneous formation of dioxygen complexes. Since Ln(III) is the most common oxidation state for all the lanthanides that form Ln(CH₃SO₂)₃(O₂)⁻, it is reasonable to assume that the O₂ ligand in this complex is a superoxide. Although the oxidation state IV is common for Ce,³⁶ the rather high reduction potential for Ce(IV)/Ce(III) (1.72 V)³⁴ makes it unlikely that Ce(CH₃SO₂)₃(O₂)⁻ is a Ce(IV) peroxo complex. Our recent investigations of the structure and reactivity of UO₂(CH₃SO₂)(SO₂)⁻ similarly revealed the formation of UO₂(CH₃SO₂)(O₂)⁻ during the reaction of O₂ with UO₂(CH₃SO₂)(SO₂)⁻.¹⁰ The O₂ ligand in this complex was characterized as peroxide on the basis of its bond length and vibrational frequency. In contrast to Ce, the very low reduction potential for U^{VI}O₂²⁺/U^VO₂⁺ (0.062V)³⁴ makes it relatively facile for U^VO₂⁺ to be oxidized to U^{VI}O₂²⁺ to yield a peroxide complex.

As shown in Figure 3, the CID behavior of $\text{Yb}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$, $\text{Sm}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$, and especially $\text{Eu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$, is quite different from the rest of the lanthanide analogs. While CID of $\text{Eu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$ resulted exclusively in $\text{Eu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3^-$, the yields of $\text{Yb}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3^-$ and $\text{Sm}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3^-$ are lower. These three lanthanide complexes exhibit the oxidation state Ln(II), which might be oxidized to Ln(III) upon reaction with O_2 . It has been demonstrated that $\text{U}^{\text{V}}\text{O}_2^+$ is spontaneously oxidized to the superoxide $\text{U}^{\text{VI}}\text{O}_2(\text{O}_2)^+$ by O_2 addition.¹⁹ Given that the reduction potentials of the Ln^{3+} ions are all below that of $\text{U}^{\text{VI}}\text{O}_2^+$,³⁵ it is predicted that spontaneous O_2 addition should similarly oxidize Ln(II) to Ln(III). The $\text{Eu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3^-$ and $\text{Yb}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3^-$ complexes were mass selected to assess their reactivity towards O_2 . The $\text{Eu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{O}_2)^-$ and $\text{Yb}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{O}_2)^-$ complexes were apparent in the mass spectra after a reaction time of 0.5 s (Figure 7), but the yield of $\text{Eu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{O}_2)^-$ was lower than that of $\text{Yb}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{O}_2)^-$. No O_2 adduct was observed without applying a reaction delay; the intensities of the precursor reactant ions were too low to obtain meaningful time-dependent reaction kinetics. The apparently lower reactivity of the Eu(II) complex is consistent with the fact that the $\text{Eu}^{3+}/\text{Eu}^{2+}$ reduction potential is about 0.7 V higher than that of $\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Yb}^{2+}$,³⁵ though it should be noted that after CID thermalization may not be effective such that the observed relative reaction efficiencies could be attributable to differences in internal energy that result in differences in adduct stabilization, rather than intrinsic reactivities. It was not possible to mass select $\text{Sm}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3^-$ due to the low yield and multiple isotopes. However, based on the lower reduction potential of $\text{Sm}^{3+}/\text{Sm}^{2+}$, it should be more favorable for $\text{Sm}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3^-$ to undergo oxidation and form $\text{Sm}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{O}_2)^-$, which results in the peak labeled D in Figure 3 during CID of $\text{Sm}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$. In view of the high O-atom affinity of most lanthanides there should be an energetic propensity for the formation of Ln=O bonds. Addition of O_2 to $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3^-$ could hypothetically proceed via O-O bond cleavage to yield $\text{O}=\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_2(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_3)^-$, where CH_3SO_3^- is a mesylate anion ligand. However, the oxidation state in this product would be Ln(IV), which is inaccessible for most lanthanides, particularly for Eu, Yb and Sm.³⁵

Conclusions

ESI of solutions containing lanthanide halides and $\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2\text{Na}$ resulted in the formation of $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$ in the gas phase. Subsequent CID of these complexes gave rise to $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{SO}_2)^-$ via loss of CH_3 , with the sole exception of $\text{Eu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$. DFT calculations

indicate that SO_2 acts as a bidentate ligand in $\text{La}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{SO}_2)^-$ and $\text{Lu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{SO}_2)^-$, with doublet ground spin states; SO_2 monodentate isomers are close in energy (within $14\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$). The open-shell singlet $\text{Yb}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{SO}_2)^-$ ground state has a monodentate SO_2 ligand. Based on the optimized geometrical parameters and the distribution of the spin density, it is concluded that the SO_2 ligand in these complexes is negatively charged, indicating that $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{SO}_2)^-$ should be considered as $\text{Ln}(\text{III})$ complexes. All of the $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{SO}_2)^-$ complexes spontaneously react with O_2 to form $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{O}_2)^-$, presumably $\text{Ln}(\text{III})$ superoxo complexes. CID of $\text{Eu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$ distinctively resulted in only $\text{Eu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3^-$, with reduction from $\text{Eu}(\text{III})$ to $\text{Eu}(\text{II})$. Reduction was also observed upon CID of $\text{Yb}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$ and $\text{Sm}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$; the resulting $\text{Yb}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3^-$ and $\text{Sm}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3^-$ complexes exhibited O_2 -addition to produce $\text{Yb}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{O}_2)^-$ and $\text{Sm}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{O}_2)^-$, in which the $\text{Ln}(\text{III})$ oxidation state is recovered. Computed energetics reveal that loss of CH_3 is much more favorable than reduction via neutral ligand loss for $\text{La}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$ and $\text{Lu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$, whereas both channels are close in energy for $\text{Yb}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$, consistent with the experimental observations. Unobserved loss of SO_2 to form $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{CH}_3)^-$, or the lower-energy $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_2(\text{CH}_3\text{SO})(\text{OCH}_3)^-$ isomer, were also analyzed computationally. Despite that it is the least endothermic fragmentation channel, high energy barriers to yield $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_2(\text{CH}_3\text{SO})(\text{OCH}_3)^-$ render it inaccessible upon CID.

The results for the lanthanide complexes reflect the relative stabilities of the $\text{Ln}(\text{II})$ oxidation states, as indicated by the $\text{Ln}^{3+}/\text{Ln}^{2+}$ reduction potentials. The greatest propensity towards reduction was exhibited by $\text{Eu}(\text{III})$, with lesser reduction of $\text{Yb}(\text{III})$ and $\text{Sm}(\text{III})$, and no reduction of the other $\text{Ln}(\text{III})$. The computed energetics for reactions of $\text{La}(\text{III})$, $\text{Lu}(\text{III})$ and $\text{Yb}(\text{III})$ complexes are also in accord with the higher (less negative) $\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Yb}^{2+}$ reduction potential. The computed energetics for $\text{Cu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_2^-$ are in accord with the formation of organocopper complexes. The chemistry of the $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$ complexes, which do not form organolanthanides, reflects the “hard” oxophilic character of the lanthanides, in contrast to “softer” transition metals such as copper.

Changes in oxidation state of gas-phase complexes can be evaluated based on reduction potentials or ionization energies. In the present work we have considered the relative stabilities of the $\text{Ln}(\text{III})$ and $\text{Ln}(\text{II})$ oxidation states as indicated by the $\text{Ln}^{3+}/\text{Ln}^{2+}$ reduction potentials. Alternatively, comparisons can be made by considering the $\text{Ln}^{2+} \rightarrow \text{Ln}^{3+}$ ionization energies (IE3), which generally correlate with the $\text{Ln}^{3+}/\text{Ln}^{2+}$ reduction potentials.^{37,38} Although usually a higher

IE3 predicts a higher $\text{Ln}^{3+}/\text{Ln}^{2+}$ reduction potential, there are deviations from this correlation. In particular, IE3[Yb] is ca. 0.1 V higher than IE3[Eu], whereas the $\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Yb}^{2+}$ reduction potential is ca. 0.7 V lower than the $\text{Eu}^{3+}/\text{Eu}^{2+}$ reduction potential.³⁵ The present results suggest that it is the condensed phase redox behavior, i.e. the $\text{Ln}^{3+}/\text{Ln}^{2+}$ reduction potentials, rather than the gas-phase atomic ionization energies, which better predict behavior in gas-phase coordination complexes. This is consistent with the retention of chemical bonding, as opposed to electron removal by ionization, in coordination complexes, regardless of whether they are in gas or condensed phase.

Acknowledgements

The work of YG and JKG was fully supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Heavy Element Chemistry, at LBNL under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. MCM acknowledges support by the Università della Calabria. This research used resources of the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center (NERSC), which is supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

References

- (1) Mews, R.; Lork, E.; Watson, P. G.; Gortler, B.: Coordination Chemistry in and of Sulfur Dioxide. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews* **2000**, *197*, 277-320.
- (2) Albrecht, M.; Lutz, M.; Spek, A. L.; van Koten, G.: Organoplatinum Crystals for Gas-Triggered Switches. *Nature* **2000**, *406*, 970-974.
- (3) Albrecht, M.; Gossage, R. A.; Lutz, M.; Spek, A. L.; van Koten, G.: Diagnostic Organometallic and Metallodendritic Materials for SO_2 Gas Detection: Reversible Binding of Sulfur Dioxide to Arylplatinum(II) Complexes. *Chemistry-a European Journal* **2000**, *6*, 1431-1445.
- (4) Schenk, W. A.: The Coordination Chemistry of Small Sulfur-Containing Molecules: a Personal Perspective. *Dalton Transactions* **2011**, *40*, 1209-1219.
- (5) Amgoune, A.; Bourissou, D.: sigma-Acceptor, Z-type Ligands for Transition Metals. *Chemical Communications* **2011**, *47*, 859-871.
- (6) Petersen, J.; Lork, E.; Mews, R.: Synthesis and Structure of $\{[\text{Gd}(\text{OSO})(3)(\mu\text{-F}_2\text{AsF}_4)(6/2)]\text{center dot } 0.25\text{SO}_2\}(\text{m})$. *Chemical Communications* **1996**, 2593-2594.
- (7) Benndorf, P.; Schmitt, S.; Koppe, R.; Ona-Burgos, P.; Scheurer, A.; Meyer, K.; Roesky, P. W.: Catching Gaseous SO_2 in Cone-Type Lanthanide Complexes: An Unexpected Coordination Mode for SO_2 in f-Element Chemistry. *Angewandte Chemie-International Edition* **2012**, *51*, 5006-5010.
- (8) O'Hair, R. A. J.: The 3D Quadrupole Ion Trap Mass Spectrometer as a Complete Chemical Laboratory for Fundamental Gas-Phase Studies of Metal Mediated Chemistry. *Chemical Communications* **2006**, 1469-1481.

- (9) O'Hair, R. A. J.: Gas Phase Ligand Fragmentation to Unmask Reactive Metallic Species. In *Reactive Intermediates*; Santos, L. S., Ed.; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA: Weinheim, Germany, 2010.
- (10) Gong, Y.; Gibson, J. K.: Formation and Characterization of the Uranyl-SO₂ Complex, UO₂(CH₃SO₂)(SO₂)(-). *Journal of Physical Chemistry A* **2013**, *117*, 783-787.
- (11) Sraj, L. O.; Khairallah, G. N.; da Silva, G.; O'Hair, R. A. J.: Who Wins: Pesci, Peters, or Deacon? Intrinsic Reactivity Orders for Organocuprate Formation via Ligand Decomposition. *Organometallics* **2012**, *31*, 1801-1807.
- (12) Denning, R. G.: Electronic Structure and Bonding in Actinyl Ions and their Analogs. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A* **2007**, *111*, 4125-4143.
- (13) Rios, D.; Rutkowski, P. X.; Shuh, D. K.; Bray, T. H.; Gibson, J. K.; Van Stipdonk, M. J.: Electron Transfer Dissociation of Dipositive Uranyl and Plutonyl Coordination Complexes. *Journal of Mass Spectrometry* **2011**, *46*, 1247-1254.
- (14) Gong, Y.; Vallet, V.; Michelini, M. D.; Rios, D.; Gibson, J. K.: Activation of Gas-Phase Uranyl: From an Oxo to a Nitrido Complex. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A* **2014**, *118*, 325-330.
- (15) Gong, Y.; Tian, G. X.; Rao, L. F.; Gibson, J. K.: Dissociation of Diglycolamide Complexes of Ln(3+) (Ln = La-Lu) and An(3+) (An = Pu, Am, Cm): Redox Chemistry of 4f and 5f Elements in the Gas Phase Parallels Solution Behavior. *Inorganic Chemistry* **2014**, *53*, 12135-12140.
- (16) Gong, Y.; de Jong, W. A.; Gibson, J. K.: Gas Phase Uranyl Activation: Formation of a Uranium Nitrosyl Complex from Uranyl Azide. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2015**, *137*, 5911-5915.
- (17) Gronert, S.: Estimation of Effective Ion Temperatures in a Quadrupole Ion Trap. *Journal of the American Society for Mass Spectrometry* **1998**, *9*, 845-848.
- (18) Rutkowski, P. X.; Michelini, M. C.; Bray, T. H.; Russo, N.; Marçalo, J.; Gibson, J. K.: Hydration of Gas-Phase Ytterbium Ion Complexes Studied by Experiment and Theory. *Theoretical Chemistry Accounts* **2011**, *129*, 575-592.
- (19) Rios, D.; Michelini, M. C.; Lucena, A. F.; Marçalo, J.; Bray, T. H.; Gibson, J. K.: Gas-Phase Uranyl, Neptunyl, and Plutonyl: Hydration and Oxidation Studied by Experiment and Theory. *Inorganic Chemistry* **2012**, *51*, 6603-6614.
- (20) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M.: Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple. *Physical Review Letters* **1996**, *77*, 3865-3868.
- (21) te Velde, G.; Bickelhaupt, F. M.; Baerends, E. J.; Guerra, C. F.; Van Gisbergen, S. J. A.; Snijders, J. G.; Ziegler, T.: Chemistry with ADF. *Journal of Computational Chemistry* **2001**, *22*, 931-967.
- (22) Guerra, C. F.; Snijders, J. G.; te Velde, G.; Baerends, E. J.: Towards an Order-N DFT Method. *Theoretical Chemistry Accounts* **1998**, *99*, 391-403.
- (23) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G.: A New Local Density Functional for Main-Group Thermochemistry, Transition Metal Bonding, Thermochemical Kinetics, and Noncovalent Interactions. *Journal of Chemical Physics* **2006**, *125*, 194101.
- (24) Kuchle, W.; Dolg, M.; Stoll, H.; Preuss, H.: Energy-Adjusted Pseudopotentials for the Actinides - Parameter Sets and Test Calculations for Thorium and Thorium Monoxide. *Journal of Chemical Physics* **1994**, *100*, 7535-7542.
- (25) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G.: The M06 Suite of Density Functionals for Main Group Thermochemistry, Thermochemical Kinetics, Noncovalent Interactions, Excited States, and Transition Elements: Two New Functionals and Systematic Testing of Four M06-Class Functionals and 12 Other Functionals. *Theoretical Chemistry Accounts* **2008**, *120*, 215-241.
- (26) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G.: Density Functionals with Broad Applicability in Chemistry. *Accounts of Chemical Research* **2008**, *41*, 157-167.

- (27) Bader, R. F. W.: *Atoms in Molecules: A Quantum Theory*; Oxford University Press: Oxford, 1990.
- (28) Keith, T. A.: AIMAll (version 13.05.06), 2013 (aim.tkgristmill.com).
- (29) Ray, A.; Vassalli, I.; Laverdet, G.; LeBras, G.: Kinetics of the Thermal Decomposition of the CH_3SO_2 Radical and its Reaction with NO_2 at 1 torr and 298 K. *Journal of Physical Chemistry* **1996**, *100*, 8895-8900.
- (30) Chu, L.-K.; Lee, Y.-P.: Infrared Absorption of CH_3SO_2 detected with time-resolved Fourier-transform Spectroscopy. *Journal of Chemical Physics* **2006**, *124*, 244301-2244301(8).
- (31) Li, X.; Meng, L.; Zeng, Y.; Zheng, S.: Isomerizations of CH_3SO_2 : Quantum Chemistry and Topological Study. *Chin. J. Chem.* **2010**, *28*, 896-900.
- (32) Frank, A. J.; Turcek, F.: Methylsulfonyl and Methoxysulfinyl Radicals and Cations in the Gas Phase. A Variable-Time and Photoexcitation Neutralization-Reionization Mass Spectrometric and ab Initio/RRKM Study. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A* **1999**, *103*, 5348-5361.
- (33) Davis, S. R.: Ab Initio Study of the Relative Energies of the Methylsulfonyl and Methoxysulfinyl Radicals. *Journal of Physical Chemistry* **1993**, *97*, 7535-7539.
- (34) Rattliff, B. J.; Tang, X.; Butler, L. J.; Szpunar, D. E.; Lau, K.-C.: Determining the $\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3 + \text{SO}_2$ barrier from methylsulfonyl chloride photodissociation at 193 nm using velocity map imaging. *Journal of Chemical Physics* **2009**, *131*, 0044304-0044304(15).
- (35) Morss, L. R.: Thermochemical Properties of Yttrium, Lanthanum, and Lanthanide Elements and Ions. *Chemical Reviews* **1976**, *76*, 827-841.
- (36) Mikulas, T.; Chen, M. Y.; Dixon, D. A.; Peterson, K. A.; Gong, Y.; Andrews, L.: Reactions of Lanthanide Atoms with Oxygen Difluoride and the Role of the Ln Oxidation State. *Inorganic Chemistry* **2014**, *53*, 446-456.
- (37) Lide, D. R.: *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*; CRC: Boca Raton, FL, 2009-2010.
- (38) Bratsch, S. G.; Lagowski, J. J.: Lanthanide Thermodynamic Predictions .7. Thermodynamics of 2+, 3+, and 4+ Aquo Ions and Standard Electrode-Potentials at 298.15-K. *Journal of Physical Chemistry* **1985**, *89*, 3317-3319.
- (39) Bratsch, S. G.; Lagowski, J. J.: Lanthanide Thermodynamic Predictions .6. Thermodynamics of Gas-Phase Ions and Revised Enthalpy Equations for Solids at 298.15-K. *Journal of Physical Chemistry* **1985**, *89*, 3310-3316.

Table 1. $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$ and $\text{Cu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_2^-$ computed dissociation energies.^a

	CH_3 loss (reaction 1)	CH_3SO_2 loss (reaction 2)	CH_3SO_2^- loss (reaction 3)	SO_2 loss with formation of $\text{Ln}-\text{CH}_3$ (reaction 5)	SO_2 loss with formation of $\text{Ln}-\text{OCH}_3$ (reaction 6)
$\text{La}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$	193	410	243	240	139
$\text{Yb}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$	154	203	223	194	118
$\text{Lu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$	194	436	222	218	134

$\text{Cu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_2^-$	179	341	259	108	129
---	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

^a Ln = La, Yb, Lu. Energies in $\text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$. M06-L/SDD single point calculations were performed on PBE-ZORA/TZ2P optimized structures (M06-L/SDD// PBE-ZORA/TZ2P).

Figure 1. ESI mass spectra for mixtures of LnX_3 ($\text{X}=\text{Br}$ for La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm and Gd; $\text{X}=\text{Cl}$ for Eu) and $\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2\text{Na}$. A: $\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2^-(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2\text{Na})_x$ ($x=0-6$); B: $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2\text{Na})_y^-$ ($y=0-2$).

Figure 2. ESI mass spectra of mixtures of LnCl_3 ($\text{Ln}=\text{Tb-Lu}$) and $\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2\text{Na}$. A: CH_3SO_2^- ($\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2\text{Na}$)_x ($x=0-3$); B: $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2\text{Na})_y^-$ ($y=0-2$).

Figure 3. CID mass spectra of selected $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$ (CID conditions: 0.6 V applied for 40 ms). A: $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$; B: $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3 (\text{SO}_2)^-$; C: $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3^-$; D: $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{O}_2)^-$. The asterisked peak is due to $\text{Eu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_2(\text{SO}_2)^-$.

Figure 4. Selected geometrical parameters of $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$ and its dissociation products for $\text{Ln} = \text{La}$ (bold), Yb , and Lu (italics). Distances are in angstroms.

Figure 5. (a) $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{CH}_3)^-$ and $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_2(\text{OCH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{SO})^-$ isomers, and the connecting transition states at the M06-L/SDD//PBE-ZORA/TZ2P level of theory. TS imaginary frequencies are: $482i \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (La), $517i \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (Yb), and $503i \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (Lu). Distances are in angstroms (La in bold and Lu in italics). (b) $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{CH}_3)^-$, $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_2(\text{OCH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{SO})^-$ and TS relative energies—La in red, Yb in blue and Lu in green—with respect to the $\text{Ln}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_4^-$ initial complex.

Figure 6. Mass spectra of isolated $\text{Sm}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{SO}_2)^-$ (a) and $\text{Tm}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{SO}_2)^-$ (c). Spectra (b) and (d) were obtained after reactions of $\text{Sm}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{SO}_2)^-$ and $\text{Tm}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3(\text{SO}_2)^-$ with background O_2 in the ion trap for 0.3 s.

Figure 7. Mass spectra obtained after reactions of isolated $\text{Eu}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3^-$ and $\text{Yb}(\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2)_3^-$ with background O_2 in the ion trap for 0.5 s.