Highly Branched Polyethylenes as Lubricant Viscosity and Friction Modifiers

Joshua W. Robinson^{†*}, Yan Zhou[‡], Jun Qu[‡], J. Timothy Bays[†], Lelia Cosimbescu^{††}

[†]Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA

[‡]Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN

Abstract

A series of highly branched polyethylenes (BPE) were prepared and evaluated in a Group I base oil as potential viscosity and friction modifiers. The performance of these BPEs supports the expected dual functionality. Changes in polarity, topology, and molecular weight of the BPEs showed significant effects on the lubricants' performance with respect to viscosity index and friction reduction. This study provides scientific insights into polymer design for future lubricant development activities.

Keywords: Viscosity Modifier; Friction Modifier; Lubricant Additive; Highly-branched polyethylene; viscosity index improver

1 Introduction

Polymeric materials play a significant role towards the performance enhancement of lubricants, such as engine oils and transmission fluids. Ongoing development of the internal combustion engine (ICE) towards fuel efficiency, performance, and emission standards requires high performance lubricants to maintain effective lubricity of internal components over a range of temperatures and conditions. The primary utility of a lubricant is to absorb heat and reduce friction between surface asperities, thereby affording lower energy losses and greater fuel efficiency. In general, refined petroleum base fluids have a limited window of ideal conditions which afford optimal lubricity towards efficient energy transfers. Alternatively, synthetic liquid

* USDA, Agricultural International Service, 4700 River Rd.; Riverdale, MD 20737; Office: 301.851.3826

[†] Corresponding Author: Lelia Cosimbescu may be reached at lelia.cosimbescu@pnnl.gov, Phone #(509)375-6478, or Fax #(509)375-2186.

lubricants maintain performance over a broader range of temperatures and sliding surface velocities. Even with these advantages, synthetic lubricants still require performance enhancing additives that ultimately make them a high end, expensive product. Viscosity and friction modifiers are a substantial and essential component of engine oil formulations among other additives, which include detergents, oxidation inhibitors, rust and corrosion inhibitors, foam inhibitors, anti-wear additives, pour point depressants.[1] In particular, friction and viscosity modifiers influence tribology properties and bulk viscosity profiles.

Friction modifiers are typically amphiphilic molecules that adsorb onto metal surfaces promoting thin film formation between sliding surfaces.[2] Viscosity modifiers are polymers (i.e., non-uniformly dispersed macromolecules) which reduce the natural thinning effect lubricants experience with increasing temperature. Temperature dependent conformational and/or hydrodynamic volume (V_h) changes enhance the aforementioned effects.[3] To date, few lubricant polymer additives have been described in the literature as a dual friction and viscosity modifier.[4, 5]

Common viscosity modifiers include poly(isobutylene)s (PIB), hydrogenated styrene diene copolymers (HSD), alpha-olefin fumarate copolymers, poly(alkyl methacrylate)s (PAMA) and olefin co-polymers (OCP), with molar masses ranging from 20 to 500 kDa.[1, 6] In particular, OCPs are a staple viscosity modifier in part due to their thickening efficiency and viscosity index value (*vide infra*) as well as their relatively low production cost. This class of polymers is typically a semi-crystalline polymer with linear topology.[7] More recently, non-linear topologies of amorphous OCPs prepared from palladium diimine catalysts were reported.[8-10] Significant to our research interests, Ye and coworkers demonstrated that viscosity index (VI) values diminished while shear stability improved alongside a shift in

topology, from *linear* to *hyperbranched* polyethylenes.[11] Their research main focus was the efficiency of the palladium catalysts towards copolymerization of ethylene with polar comonomers. They did not investigate effects of topology hybrids or polar copolymers on lubricant performance (i.e., viscosity and friction). In contrast, our focus is the performance of such hyperbranched structures, as lubricant additives, and the effect of enhanced polarity on friction. It is known that the inclusion of monomers that favor interaction with metal surfaces promotes film formation on surfaces.[5]

To the best of our knowledge, highly branched OCPs have not been investigated as a dual viscosity and friction modifier for lubricants. OCPs are particularly attractive due to their common place as a viscosity modifier within the lubricant industry suggesting the infrastructure towards production already exists.

2 Experimental

2.1 Materials. Ethylene (99.98%), chloro(1,5-cyclooctadiene)methylpalladium(II), silver hexafluoroantimonate(V), sodium tetrakis[3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]borate, anhydrous acetonitrile, anhydrous diethyl ether (Et₂O), anhydrous dichloromethane (DCM), Chromasolv® pentanes, 30% w/w hydrogen peroxide solution, basic alumina oxide were used as is from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Methyl acrylate, 1-octene, and methyl 10-undecenoate (MU) were purchased from TCI America (Portland, OR). Celite Hyflo Super Cel and 2.0 M hydrochloric acid in Et₂O were purchased from Alfa Aesar (Haverhill, MA). 2,3-bis(2,6-di-*i*-propylphenylimino)butane was purchased from Strem Chemicals (Newburyport, MA). Additive-free Group I base oil was kindly donated by Afton Chemical (Richmond, VA). Group I base oil (ExxonMobil) was used to create a baseline for viscosity and friction measurements, clean between measurements, and prepare lubricant mixtures with synthesized polymers. Benchmarks

1 and 2 are commercial lubricant viscosity modifiers and were kindly donated by Lubrizol and Evonik, respectively. The benchmarks were employed as comparative examples.

2.2 Physical and-Chemical Characterization. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were obtained using an Agilent-Oxford 500 MHz spectrometer at the following frequencies: 499.8 MHz (^1H) and 125.7 MHz (^{13}C). The chemical shifts are reported in delta (δ) units, parts per million (ppm) downfield from tetramethylsilane (TMS) and coupling constants are reported in Hertz (Hz). Samples were prepared in deuterated chloroform (CDCl_3) containing TMS (0.3–1 %, v/v). Relative molar mass distributions were obtained by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) conducted by PSS (Polymer Standards Service; Mainz, Germany). The molar masses were determined relative to the elution volumes of linear poly(styrene) standards pushed through three columns packed with PSS-SDV O (5 μm G; 50, 100, 1000 $\text{\AA}$). Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was the mobile phase and had a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. A dynamic refractive index (DRI) detector was utilized to identify elution volumes of the polymer relative to the solvent.

2.3 Synthesis. The diamine palladium catalyst with either a hexafluoroantimonate(V) or a tetrakis[3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]borate anion was prepared according to published procedures.[10, 12] Likewise, highly branched polyethylenes with various topologies and olefin comonomers were prepared as described elsewhere.[13, 14]

In a typical procedure, a 0.1 M catalyst/DCM solution was prepared in a Parr apparatus and backed filled with argon, then the headspace was purged with ethylene 2 to 3 times prior to sealing the reaction vessel. The closed system was then charged with ethylene to the targeted pressure. In the case of a varied pressure (100 $\downarrow$ psi), the system was charged to 100 psi and recharged when the internal pressure reduced to ca. 15 psi due to the consumption of ethylene. For an isobaric pressure of 100 or 15 psi, a continuous feed of ethylene was provided with a

regulator in between the bottle and apparatus. At atmospheric pressure (atm), the vessel was fitted with a balloon and recharged whenever the balloon appeared deflated. The transferred mass of ethylene was incrementally checked to target mass loadings towards the influence of molar mass of the polymer and subsequent viscometric properties. Once the ethylene was consumed (as determined by internal pressure drop), the crude material was transferred to a separate flask and treated with either 2.0 M HCl/Et₂O, 30% w/w H₂O₂, or a celite/alumina oxide mixture to remove catalyst. In the case of acid or peroxide, an aqueous wash against water and saturated sodium bicarbonate was conducted to neutralize the reactive reagents. All volatile organics were removed by vacuum techniques. Typical gravimetric yields (as compared to ethylene mass loadings) were in the range of 20-50%. The isolated polymeric material was characterized by ¹H/¹³C NMR and SEC.

2.4 Lubricant investigations.

Polymers were dissolved into an additive free Group I base oil at a concentration of 2% by weight (w/w). The resulting oil solutions were measured by a Brookfield spindle viscometer which provided dynamic viscosity (centipoise, cP = mPa•s) at 40 °C and 100 °C. The dynamic viscosity value was converted into kinematic viscosity (centistokes, cSt = mm²•s⁻¹) by dividing the centipoise value by the density of the blend (0.86 g•cm⁻³ at r.t.). The densities of the blends were roughly the same, independent of the polymer used. The Brookfield digital (LVDV-E) spindle viscometer was fitted with a cooling/heating jacket that was continuously flowing with oil supplied by an external cooling/heating bath that regulated the jacketed temperature at 40 °C and 100 °C. A rotating spindle (0.3–100 RPM) was submerged into the blended oil at the regulated temperatures for 60 minutes. The dynamic shear was reported on the digital screen with a respective torsion percent. The cP value with the highest torsion percent was used for

viscosity index calculations, once converted to cSt. An on-line calculator, well accepted by experts in the field, was used to generate viscosity index values, from kinematic viscosities at 40 °C and 100 °C (KV40 and KV100). A variable load-speed bearing tester (VLBT) was utilized to obtain Stribeck curves at room temperature and at 100 °C. A 50 N normal load was applied through a stiff spring. A 25.4 mm diameter rotating bar of AISI 8620 alloy steel was used to rotate against a 25.4 mm square coupon of A2 tool steel. The speed cycle started from 1.7 m/s and reduced to 0.2 m/s at 0.1 m/s per step. Neat Group I base oil was used before testing each blend to obtain a baseline.

3 Results and Discussion

A series of highly branched polyethylenes (BPE), polyoctene, and their respective copolymers were prepared following published procedures.[10, 12-15] Olefins (i.e., ethylene, octene, and undecenoic methyl ester) were combined with a palladium diimine catalyst in dichloromethane (Table 1). In the experiments where ethylene was utilized, a Parr apparatus was employed in which the pressure was either varied (100↓) with an initial charge of 100 psi or held constant (isobaric) at 100 or 15 psi. Elevated pressures of ethylene near 100 psi have been ascribed to produce *linear* (L) topologies whereas pressures near 15 psi or below lead to *hyperbranched* (B) topologies.[9, 16] Hybrid chain topologies of *linear* and *hyperbranched* (L/B) polyethylenes were also obtained by Ye and coworkers when the pressure of ethylene was altered during the active polymerization.[14] Lastly, we prepared copolymers of ethylene with methyl 10-undecenoate (MU; <10 mol %) to increase polarity and encourage polymer-surface interactions, potentially leading to friction reduction. This co-monomer was proven effective towards polymerization with the Pd chain walking catalyst by Ye and co-workers, referenced above.

Our early attempts produced fairly ineffective viscosity modifiers due to relatively low ethylene incorporation and low molecular weights. Analog **1** and **2** included the exposure of ethylene gas to a 0.1 M palladium catalyst/dichloromethane (DCM) at an elevated (100 psi) or atmospheric pressure (atm), respectively. These reaction vessels were repeatedly charged until a targeted mass (grams) of ethylene had been consumed. After purification, the polymers were dissolved in a Group I base oil to prepare, individually, 2% w/w blends. The KV values at 40 °C and 100 °C as well as the VIs were only slightly above the neat oil's viscosity (Table 2 and figure S7). Characterization of the neat polymers by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) provided apparent molecular weights ($\bar{M}_w^{\text{app}}$) below 30 kDa (Table 1).

Table 1.

Suspecting the low molecular weight as the culprit in their low effectiveness as viscosity index improvers, higher molecular weights were targeted by increasing the ethylene loading. Indeed, as the molecular weight of these polymers increased, so did the viscosity and VI values. In particular, analog **3** ($\bar{M}_w^{\text{app}} = 106$ kDa; $\bar{D}_M = 1.6$) significantly increased the viscosities and VI of the base oil (Table 1 and figure S11). The aforementioned polymerization was conducted by initially charging the Parr apparatus to 100 psi followed by subsequent recharging whenever the pressure dropped below 15 psi. This preparation approach was expected to afford a varied topology of *linear* and *hyperbranched* PE. Analog **4**, despite its higher molecular weight (see Table 1) elevated the base oil's viscosity to a lesser extent, compared to analog **3**. The lower isobaric ethylene polymerization conditions presumably produced a more uniform homo-BPE which would be expected to have less of a viscosity influence on the base oil.

Table 2

Once the appropriate polyethylene reaction conditions were identified towards favorable viscosity metrics, polar monomers (MU) were introduced at the beginning (analog **5**) or end (analog **7**) of the olefin polymerization. When the polar monomer was added at the beginning of the reaction a more random or statistical polymer was expected; when it was added at the end, a tapered block topology was expected. Because we did not isolate the first homopolymer, a portion of the polymer will contain a mixture of the two monomers, likely enriched in the second monomer. Often this strategy is employed in industry for ease of synthesis, where the advantage of a true block topology is no more beneficial than a tapered one. In both cases, the addition of the polar species suppressed VI values particularly when added at the end of the polymerization. Interestingly, although analog **7** has a higher molecular weight than analog **5**, its VI is in fact lower, indicative of the significant effect of polymer topology on viscosity behavior. Based on our previous studies with other classes of VMs,[17] introduction of polar units lower VI values. Two isobaric copolymerizations were conducted at 100 and 15 psi to rule out topology influences of the polar containing polymers towards VI behavior (analog **6** and **8**). Unexpectedly, analog **6** (100 psi) demonstrated a depressed VI value which may be partially accredited to losses of ethylene pressure (lower molar mass) from an improperly sealed Parr apparatus. Contrarily, analog **8** (atm) demonstrated comparable viscosity values to the varied pressurized (100↓) copolymerization of MU and Et. Finally, a polyoctene (analog **9**) was prepared, at atmospheric pressure, for comparative purposes. Overall, viscosity behavior of the base oil was improved with the addition of BPEs at 2% w/w only when moderate molecular weights (>50 kDa) were achieved by prescribed reaction conditions.

Analog **5** and **7** were selected for friction performance study due to their similar topology and viscosity profiles as well as comparable polar compositions. Analog **5** and **7** contain polar

species, either randomly throughout the polymer or concentrated at the periphery in a tapered topology, which may further enhance polymer-surface interactions leading to thin film deposition and subsequent friction reduction. Analog **3**, lacking polar groups, was used as a comparative example to analog **5** and **7** for friction behavior. Stribeck curves were generated for the blends using VLBT at both room temperature and 100 °C. The Group I base oil was measured before and after each blend studied, and the friction values were averaged and served as baselines. The Stribeck curves of Bench. 2 at 0.6% and 1.6%, and Analogs **3**, **5**, and **7** at 2% are illustrated in the supporting information (S10). Figure 1 illustrates the friction reduction of each additive by subtracting the Stribeck curve of the neat base oil.

Figure 1.

Although the viscosity behavior was somewhat predictable, the friction performance exceeded expectations. The normalized friction reduction curves indicate that Analogs **3** and **7** outperformed the baseline VMs at both room temperature and 100 °C, albeit Analog **5** showed desirable friction reduction behavior at room temperature only. All three analogs include a hybrid topology (L/B), whereas Analog **5** and **7** contain the polar moiety MU. Analog **3** (159 cSt) has a KV23 higher than analogs **5** (114 cSt) and **7** (106 cSt) as well as Bench. 2 (106 cSt at 1.6%). Friction performance in mixed lubrication regime is sensitive to viscosity, where a thicker lubricant typically generates a lower COF. In contrast, Analogs **5** and **7** with lower KV23 demonstrated a similar reduction in COF compared with Analog **3** at room temperature. This supports the hypothesis that increased polar composition towards the peripheral improves friction performance. Analog **3** (11.1 cSt) has a KV100 higher than analogs **5** (8.2 cSt) and **7** (7.7 cSt) as well as Bench. 2 (1.6% for 9.2 cSt). At 100°C, Analogs **3** and **7** showed superior performance than Analog **5** and Bench. 2. Overall, the *linear-hyperbranched* hybrid topologies,

as homopolymers or copolymers, significantly reduced the COF in mixed lubrication as compared to the base oil and Bench. 2.

4 Conclusions

A series of highly branched polyethylenes (BPE) were prepared and evaluated as lubricant additives. In particular, these additives improved the rheological and tribological properties of a Group I oil by elevating the viscosity index and reducing the friction coefficient in mixed lubrication. One interesting finding was the effect of branching on viscosity/viscosity index, which outweighed the effect of molecular weight. The inclusion of polar monomers improved friction performance of the lubricant but at the expense of VI. Although we did not study the mechanism of friction reduction, it is likely that the polymers formed a film at the surface imposed by the size of the polymer and degree of polarity. The evidence of film formation is in the reduction of the coefficient of friction at various degrees throughout the regime studied (near boundary lubrication).

Acknowledgments

This project was funded by the Office of Vehicle Technology (VT) of the U.S. Department of Energy (US DOE), (under contract No. 27573). PNNL is proudly operated by Battelle for the U.S. DOE (under Contract DE_AC06-76RLO 1830). The authors kindly acknowledge contributions from Ewa Bardasz (Energetics) in the form of insightful technical discussions as well as from JoRuetta Ellington (Evonik) for technical assistance and for providing benchmark materials. We thank Afton Chemical for generously donating base oils for screening purposes.

References

[1] S.Q.A. Rizvi, A Comprehensive Review of Lubricant Chemistry, Technology, Selection, and Design, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, 2009.

- [2] Z.L. Tang, S.H. Li, A review of recent developments of friction modifiers for liquid lubricants (2007-present), *Current Opinion in Solid State & Materials Science* 18(3) (2014) 119-139.
- [3] M.J. Covitch, K.J. Trickett, How Polymers Behave as Viscosity Index Improvers in Lubricating Oils, *Adv. Chem. Eng. Sci.* 5(02) (2015) 134.
- [4] M. Muller, K. Topolovec-Miklozic, A. Dardin, H. Spikes, The Design of Boundary Film-Forming PMA Viscosity Modifiers, *Trib. Lubr. Tech.* 67(9) (2011) 50-60.
- [5] J. Fan, M. Mueller, T. Stoehr, H.A. Spikes, Reduction of Friction by Functionalised Viscosity Index Improvers, *Tribol Lett* 28 (2007) 287-298.
- [6] T. Stöhr, B. Eisenberg, M. Müller, A New Generation of High Performance Viscosity Modifiers Based on Comb Polymers, *SAE Int. J. Fuels Lubr.* 1(1) (2008) 1511-1516.
- [7] J.M.G. Cowie, V. Arrighi, *Polymers: Chemistry and Physics of Modern Materials*, 3rd ed., Boca Raton, Florida, 2008.
- [8] Z.M. Dong, Z.B. Ye, Hyperbranched polyethylenes by chain walking polymerization: synthesis, properties, functionalization, and applications, *Polymer Chemistry* 3(2) (2012) 286-301.
- [9] Z.B. Guan, P.M. Cotts, E.F. McCord, S.J. McLain, Chain walking: A new strategy to control polymer topology, *Science* 283(5410) (1999) 2059-2062.
- [10] S. Mecking, L.K. Johnson, L. Wang, M. Brookhart, Mechanistic studies of the palladium-catalyzed copolymerization of ethylene and alpha-olefins with methyl acrylate, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 120(5) (1998) 888-899.
- [11] J.L. Wang, Z.B. Ye, S.P. Zhu, Topology-engineered hyperbranched high-molecular-weight polyethylenes as lubricant viscosity-index improvers of high shear stability, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 46(4) (2007) 1174-1178.
- [12] L.K. Johnson, C.M. Killian, M. Brookhart, New Pd(II)-Based and Ni(II)-Based Catalysts for Polymerization of Ethylene and Alpha-Olefins, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 117(23) (1995) 6414-6415.
- [13] G.H. Chen, X.S. Ma, Z.B. Guan, Synthesis of functional olefin copolymers with controllable topologies using a chain-walking catalyst, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 125(22) (2003) 6697-6704.

- [14] Y.Q. Xu, P. Xiang, Z.B. Ye, W.J. Wang, Hyperbranched-Linear Polyethylene Block Polymers Constructed with Chain Blocks of Hybrid Chain Topologies via One-Pot Staged Chain Walking Ethylene "Living" Polymerization, *Macromolecules* 43(19) (2010) 8026-8038.
- [15] P. Xiang, Z.B. Ye, R. Subramanian, Synthesis and characterization of low- and medium-molecular-weight hyperbranched polyethylenes by chain walking ethylene polymerization with Pd-diimine catalysts, *Polymer* 52(22) (2011) 5027-5039.
- [16] Z.B. Ye, S.P. Zhu, Newtonian flow behavior of hyperbranched high-molecular-weight polyethylenes produced with a Pd-diimine catalyst and its dependence on chain topology, *Macromolecules* 36(7) (2003) 2194-2197.
- [17] L.R. Cosimbescu, J. W.; Zhou, Y.; Qu, J., Dual functional star polymers for lubricants, *RSC Adv.* (6) (2016) 86259-86268.

Figure Captions:

Figure 1. Friction reduction at (a) room temperature and (b) 100 °C.

Table 1. Characterization data for Analogs 1-9.

Analog	P_E	NMR Analysis		SEC		
		Polar Group	Branch points/ 1000 carbons	$\bar{M}_n^{\text{app}}$ (kg/mol)	$\bar{M}_w^{\text{app}}$ (kg/mol)	$\mathfrak{D}_M$
	(psi)	(mol %)				
1	100↓	n.a.	n.d.	9.59	14	1.46
2	atm	n.a.	n.d.	24.4	27.7	1.14
3	100↓	n.a.	95.8	67.5	106	1.57
4	15	n.a.	94.7	161.1	320.1	1.99
5	100↓	0.8	60.25	65.5	94.1	1.44
6	100	0.3	86.66	29.1	98.0	3.37
7	100↓	0.8	95.14	100.5	207.4	2.06
8	atm	0.2	97.33	147.7	297.0	2.01
9	atm	n.a.	n.a.*	80.7	132.5	1.64

n.d. not determined; n.a. not applicable; P_E pressure of ethylene; atm atmospheric pressure; $\bar{M}_n^{\text{app}}$ apparent number average molecular weight; $\bar{M}_w^{\text{app}}$ apparent weight average molecular weight; $\mathfrak{D}_M = \bar{M}_w^{\text{app}} / \bar{M}_n^{\text{app}}$ molar mass dispersity; Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) in which polystyrene standards were used for calibration and the mobile phase was THF. * the walking mechanism which gives way to branch on branch structures is suppressed with alpha alkyl olefins, therefore same calculation algorithm could not be applied

Table 2. Characteristics of poly(olefin)s and their respective viscosities and VIs at 2% w/w.

Analog	Olefin ^a	P_E^d (psi)	Theoretical Topology ^f	Spindle Viscometer ^{g,h,i,j}			
				23 °C (cSt)	40 °C (cSt)	100 °C (cSt)	VI
1	(Et)	100↓ ^e	L/B	n.d.	34.6	5.7	102
2	(Et)	atm	B	n.d.	33.9	5.7	109
3	(Et)	100↓	L/B	159	107.6	17.6	181
4	(Et)	15	B	142	63.6	10.0	143
5	(MU)(Et) ^b	100↓	L/B	113	97.2	14.1	149
6	(MU)(Et) ^b	100	L	106	47.6	7.7	128
7	[Et][MU] ^c	100↓	L/B	106	47.9	7.8	130
8	[Et][MU] ^c	atm	B	130	58.3	9.4	142
9	(Oct)	atm	B	113	50.9	8.2	131

^aEthylene (Et), Methyl 10-undecenoate (MU), 1-Octene (Oct); ^bRandom copolymer; ^cBlock copolymer; ^dEthylene pressure (P_E); ^eVaried pressure from 100 to 15 psi (100↓); ^fLinear (L), branched (B), hybrid of linear/branched (L/B); ^gKinematic viscosities (KV, cSt) of polymer in Group I oil at 2% w/w; ^hKV was calculated from dynamic viscosities (cP) divided by the density of the base oil (0.86 g/mL); ⁱViscosity index values were generated by an online calculator (www.uniteasy.com/en/unitsCon/calvi.htm); ^jGroup I base oil KV40 = 30.2 cSt and KV100 = 5.1 cSt, VI = 91.