Collaborative drug discovery for More Medicines for Tuberculosis (MM4TB)

Sean Ekins^{1,2,*}, Anna Coulon Spektor¹, Alex M. Clark^{1,3}, Krishna Dole¹ and Barry A. Bunin¹

¹Collaborative Drug Discovery, Inc., 1633 Bayshore Highway, Suite 342, Burlingame, CA 94010, USA

²Collaborations In Chemistry, Inc., 5616 Hilltop Needmore Road, Fuquay-Varina, NC 27526, USA

³Molecular Materials Informatics, Inc., 1900 St. Jacques #302, Montreal H3J 2S1, Quebec, Canada

* *Corresponding author.* Ekins, S. (ekinssean@yahoo.com).

Keywords: CDD Vault; collaboration; drug discovery; MM4TB; tuberculosis.

Teaser: The More Medicines for Tuberculosis (MM4TB) project represents an example from Collaborative Drug Discovery in which various cheminformatics approaches were used.

Neglected disease drug discovery is generally poorly funded compared with major diseases and hence there is an increasing focus on collaboration and precompetitive efforts such as public–private partnerships (PPPs). The More Medicines for Tuberculosis (MM4TB) project is one such collaboration funded by the EU with the goal of discovering new drugs for tuberculosis. Collaborative Drug Discovery has provided a commercial web-based platform called CDD Vault which is a hosted collaborative solution for securely sharing diverse chemistry and biology data. Using CDD Vault alongside other commercial and free cheminformatics tools has enabled support of this and other large collaborative projects, aiding drug discovery efforts and fostering collaboration. We will describe CDD's efforts in assisting with the MM4TB project.

Introduction

Researchers continually recount the importance of collaboration whether in academia, the pharmaceutical industry or between both of these groups [1–7]. Occasionally, there is also the role of patients and advocates [8,9] which can add to the collaboration. In areas such as neglected diseases where the funding is limited for drug discovery [10] there is a compelling need to collaborate and this might not be limited to drug discovery alone but also other parts of the research and development enterprise [11]. We have highlighted the need for more-competitive collaboration in the industry [12] and alternative business models for drug discovery that balance collaboration, privacy and security [13], and certainly the shift toward public–private partnerships (PPPs) fulfils that gap [14]. These in turn will face the challenge of a growing mountain of data and the need for data mining and collaborative tools [15].

There have also been calls for more cooperation in developing antimicrobials such as the TB Drug Accelerator [16] which uses the Collaborative Drug Discovery (CDD) Vault in this and other collaborations to share chemistry and biology data in a secure web-based environment. This could be perceived as a strength in that collaborative informatics software (such as CDD Vault and other commercial software) underpinning many large-scale collaborations is now virtually ‘invisible’, although it plays a crucial part in ensuring all group members have their data available from anywhere in the world. CDD has been proposed for use by neglected tropical disease researchers [17] as an easy mechanism for eventual public release of data. A further recent example, the European Lead Factory, an Innovative Medicines Initiative (IMI) PPP, has a compound library from seven pharma companies that is made available to academics

so they can screen it against their own targets. This program uses the BIOVIA ScienceCloud platform as the core cheminformatics platform [18]. Public database tools have also been created such as BioAssay Research Database (BARD) but they also require some commercial tools to function [19].

We have previously illustrated how drug discovery has increasingly integrated web-based databases and tools and highlighted some of the key tools for linking collaborators [20] as well as the freely available resources that now provide capabilities only previously available in large organizations [21]. Various commercial and publically accessible web-based tools combining elements of chemistry informatics, biology and social networks have been previously reviewed by us in the context of use for intra- and extra-organizational collaborations [22]. In the intervening period we have further developed the CDD Vault software (Box 1) and applied it to many large-scale collaborations for secure sharing of chemistry and biology data. Although we have previously demonstrated the role collaborative software can have in malaria research [22] as part of an integrated drug discovery cycle [23], as well as trypanosomal diseases [24], the focus of this discussion will be on tuberculosis (TB) and it specifically highlights the FP7-funded More Medicines for Tuberculosis (MM4TB) collaboration.

Role in MM4TB

CDD's role in MM4TB primarily involved providing the CDD Vault to over 20 groups (Figure 1). We used a single vault to organize all consortium data in multiple projects, with specific project access to individual participants, which also allowed the data owners to mask structures. For example, the large pharma partners hid the structures

but shared the data. This had ramifications when AstraZeneca left the program and also prevented the data from being used for machine learning models, among others. Toward the end of the project the MM4TB CDD Vault contained 130 240 molecules (38 541 without structures), 131 431 batches, 160 protocols, 389 runs and 592 669 readout rows. A second role for CDD was in the area of general cheminformatics support for various target-based projects to help identify compounds for testing within MM4TB. This involved building pharmacophores, docking and machine learning using additional third-party software alongside the CDD Vault. The following examples represent some of the projects undertaken.

Topo I

Topoisomerase I (Mttopol) is an essential mycobacterial enzyme and suffers from a shortage of known inhibitors. To identify small-molecule inhibitors of Mttopol a 3D homology model of the enzyme was generated using *Escherichia coli* topoisomerase I as a template [25]. This model was then used to dock libraries of FDA drugs. Compounds that scored well were then selected for *in vitro* testing for their inhibitory potential against the DNA relaxation activity of Mttopol. This virtual screening effort resulted in the identification of amsacrine (m-AMSA) – a well-known inhibitor of eukaryotic type II topoisomerases that appears to act by intercalating with DNA against Mttopol [25]. Norclomipramine and imipramine are closely related tricyclic central nervous system active molecules that were also identified in the same way through docking but were found to better stimulate DNA cleavage by Mttopol *in vitro* (nM inhibitors). These molecules appear to bind to metal-coordinating residues and poison

the enzyme [26]. Further, norclomipramine and imipramine inhibit *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* growth albeit at a higher concentration (60 and 250 μ M, respectively). Thus, although these molecules had less desirable whole-cell activity in *M. tuberculosis* and *Mycobacterium smegmatis*, they were proposed as an approach to identify further leads. The recently described crystal structure of Mttopol (PDB ID: 5D5H) was also suggested as potentially useful for discovery of poison-type inhibitors that would provide high affinity and selectivity [27]. In our efforts as part of the MM4TB project 639 compounds were tested *in vitro* by our collaborators. These data were also used to create machine learning models that were further validated and used to select commercially available compounds for testing *in vitro*. Our collaborators also experimentally demonstrated the inhibition of Mttopol by some of the small-molecule inhibitors identified and showed that the enzyme can be readily targeted for lead molecule development. Figure 2 illustrates how particularly sensitive structures might be in the Vault without structures.

Gyrase B and ThyX

With additional collaborators in MM4TB as well as outside MM4TB we have targeted two essential enzymes in *M. tuberculosis* that are promising for antibacterial therapy and reported to be inhibited by naphthoquinones. ThyX is an essential thymidylate synthase that has been described as mechanistically and structurally unrelated to the human enzyme [28,29]. DNA gyrase is a DNA topoisomerase present in bacteria and plants but not animals [30]. A combination of cheminformatics (pharmacophore and similarity searching) and *in vitro* screening was able to identify several new *M.*

tuberculosis ThyX inhibitors (one of which is a drug, idebenone, in Phase III clinical trials for a rare disease) with modest whole-cell activity; and at the same time we were able to show the differences in SAR, with ThyX being much more permissive than GyrB [31].

PyrG

A screen by the MM4TB group of the NIAID library for antitubercular activity identified a new series of molecules, displaying a promising MIC value (0.5 µg/ml; Figure 3a). Isolation of *M. tuberculosis*-resistant mutants, genetic validation and biochemical studies identified the main mechanisms of activation and resistance [32]. The molecules were found to be prodrugs activated by the EthA monooxygenase and targeting the PyrG enzyme – a cytidine triphosphate (CTP) synthase catalyzing the ATP-dependent amination of UTP to form the essential pyrimidine nucleotide CTP. This could represent a new and promising TB drug target. We docked the molecule in the crystal structure and also performed substructure and similarity searches to identify additional compounds in the public TB datasets in CDD Vault (Figure 3b). A set of 12 molecules with known *M. tuberculosis* whole-cell activity were identified, docked in the crystal structure and scored (Figure 3c) before four compounds were tested and one was identified as a weak inhibitor (K_i 88.9 µM, MIC 4.4 µg/ml) of the target. This compound, however, did not require activation [32] by EthA. This represents a prime example of how the combination of the CDD Vault, docking and *in vitro* testing could be used to narrow down possible compounds to be evaluated experimentally.

Library comparisons

Compound library comparisons were performed to evaluate their overlap with the published NIAID/SRI data [33–36] before testing. We filtered a commercial library of interest for overlap with public TB compounds in the CDD Vault (~340 000 molecules). The library of interest from EPFL (~53 000 compounds) was uploaded into a Vault with the public TB compounds. In total, 50 445 compounds were imported and found not to be in the public datasets from NIAID/SRI. This compound library was then used for HTS screening *in vitro*. In addition, this library was also scored with several previously published Bayesian models for the dose–response and cytotoxicity data from the SRI datasets. When ranked by the Bayesian score, some well-known structural motifs were observed (e.g., Mmp13 inhibitors like those from GSK and Novartis, etc.) [37,38]. This was hence useful to pre-filter compounds that might be less desirable or potentially of less interest based on novelty. A further role for cheminformatics in the MM4TB project was the use of the ADMET machine learning models [39,40] to score compounds for synthesis in the GuaB2 project.

Machine learning models for *M. tuberculosis*

M. tuberculosis in-vitro-based machine learning models

Computational methods have been used across neglected diseases to differing levels and this might reflect the degree of investment that goes toward TB and malaria compared with kinetoplastids and helminths [10]. Computational tools have been increasingly used in the area of TB research. A recent review by Chibale and

colleagues [41] described the extensive structure-based and ligand-based approaches used for TB, malaria and trypanosomal disease research, however they did not specifically address machine learning applications. Machine learning techniques have been applied most extensively for genetics and genomics [42] as well as applied to antibacterial drug discovery [43]. A wide variety of machine learning methods have been applied to TB datasets including recursive partitioning (RP Forest [44–47] and RP Single Tree), Support Vector Machines (SVM) [48–50] and Bayesian methods.

Our own work on TB was initially funded by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, which enabled us to curate public datasets on TB. These datasets were subsequently used to analyze the molecular properties of active and inactive compounds, generate machine learning models and test pharmacophore models while also being one of the first groups to describe the distribution of antituberculars in the context of FDA-approved drugs in molecular property space [51] and compounds failing alerts in these datasets [52]. We have taken a similar approach with large-dataset-screened compounds such as the >13 000 malaria hits from GSK calculating descriptors and using SMARTS filters as alerts [53,54]. We also proposed that many of these malaria datasets could be screened against *M. tuberculosis* [54].

We have successfully demonstrated the value of machine learning in drug discovery by predicting in advance which subsets of compound libraries collaborators (such as Infectious Disease Research Institute, UMDNJ-NJMS and Southern Research Institute) should screen. In all cases we focused on eight interpretable descriptors and FCFP6 fingerprints. Models that combined bioactivity and cytotoxicity data were used to rank compounds such as the GSK antimalarial dataset [55]. From the top 46 molecules,

seven were chosen and five had MIC ≤ 2 $\mu\text{g/ml}$, the most active being 0.0625 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ [56]. A second example used two different *M. tuberculosis* whole-cell models to score three vendor libraries from which 550 compounds were tested and 124 actives identified [57]. A third example filtered a library of >150 000 molecules and tested 48 compounds of which 11 were active [58]. The models achieved screening hit rates of 15–71% for suggested compounds, far higher than the 0.6–1.5% typical for random library HTS screening [57,59,60].

Over the years with these and other collaborators, we have used machine learning approaches with different public and private *M. tuberculosis* datasets to explore the various algorithms available. Fusion of three dual activity models gave an excellent ROC value with a fourth external dataset from the same laboratory [61]. These models have also been used individually with a testset of 1924 molecules for which cytotoxicity was determined in three cell lines and enrichments of 11.8-fold were observed in the best case [36]. Fusing single point data (bioactivity only) with dual activity data ultimately led to *M. tuberculosis* models with 345 011 molecules in them but these were no more predictive than the smaller dual activity datasets when tested with external data [62].

More recently we have applied the Bayesian machine learning approach to identify leads and repurpose drugs for Chagas disease [63] and Ebola [64]. All of these efforts have primarily used commercial software as a proof of concept. This in turn led us to the insight that such models are infrequently shared or even accessible to those that do not have access to the underlying software.

We have also reviewed the wide array of TB-related database efforts in the area of pathway tools [65]. By far the biggest area for application of computational approaches is in cheminformatics such as QSAR, pharmacophores, docking and virtual screening. Again we found that there was a disparity in computational model generation, utilization and sharing and little effort in bringing many different approaches together [65] such as combining machine learning with docking [66]. Recently, chemogenomic methods and experimental validation were used to identify two compounds as dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) inhibitors [67]. Validating such computational approaches experimentally is essential, whether that is similarity searching, pharmacophores [68] or machine learning [69]. These various models also offer an opportunity for drug repurposing when using libraries of FDA-approved drugs [70].

M. tuberculosis in-vivo-based models

With collaborators we have used a similar array of machine learning approaches to model data from the mouse *M. tuberculosis* efficacy model that have been published over the past 70 years. Models were initially constructed with 773 compounds and used to predict 11 molecules from the literature (eight were correctly predicted) [71]. This work also enabled identification of the gaps in research when few compounds were published in this model [72]. More recently, these models have been updated and used with a testset of 60 molecules. The best *M. tuberculosis in vivo* models in this case now possess fivefold ROC values >0.7, sensitivity >80% and concordance >60%. These results indicated that Bayesian models using literature *in vivo M. tuberculosis* data

generated by different laboratories in many different mouse models can be predictive and also be used alongside other models to select antitubercular compounds with *in vivo* efficacy [73].

ADMET models and model sharing

Eventually getting to an *in vivo* active compound requires good ADMET properties. With the increasing amounts of data generated for properties like microsomal stability, Caco-2 permeability, aqueous solubility, hERG, among others, one can use machine learning approaches to build classification of QSAR models [40]. These models have the potential to be used to score molecules before testing or synthesis. We have long proposed the need to make ADMET data more accessible and facilitate model building [74] and the shift to crowdsourcing for pharmaceutical research [75]. It was suggested that computational models for ADMET properties could ultimately replace the *in vitro* models [76]. This led to our exploration of using open source computational tools for ADMET properties in collaboration with Pfizer [77]. This in turn provided evidence that they could provide models and model statistics comparable to commercial descriptors and tools. Other groups have been moving in the same direction. For example Tetko *et al.* used DMSO solubility data for 163 000 molecules from two companies that were analyzed using different descriptors and machine learning methods, they found the most reliable predictions and combining data could increase the accuracy of the models [78]. Sushko *et al.* then described an online chemical modeling environment (OCHEM) for model development and data storage. This appears to be one of the earliest attempts to share models on the Internet [79].

CDD has created a standardized mechanism (CDD Models) that enables researchers to share models, share predictions from models and create models from distributed, heterogeneous QSAR data, all without needing to divulge the underlying training sets. This was facilitated by embedding standard model building capabilities directly within the CDD Vault and validating the integrated technology. In the process of this work we created a drop-in replacement for the widely used ECFP6 and FCFP6 fingerprints [80] and made the resulting code available to the public as a feature in the Chemical Development Kit (CDK) project under an open source license. These ‘CDD models’ have been applied to several innovative areas including modeling decision making for chemical probes [81] as well as developed ADMET models that leverage publically accessible data from industry and academia [82]. The open source descriptors and Bayesian algorithm have also been used outside of the CDD Vault to create several thousand models with the ChEMBL data, possibly representing the future of using thousands of models to score compounds simultaneously [83]. More recently, a Bayesian binning approach was developed that represents a move to semiquantitative Bayesian models [84]. Overall these combined efforts show how the open source technologies could benefit others and stimulate new technology applications in general.

For example, other types of datasets that could be made more accessible in this way include ADMET datasets relevant to *M. tuberculosis* drug discovery. For example, mouse liver microsomal (MLM) stability studies are the initial cell-based model system used to assess metabolic stability in academia and industry for many diseases including *M. tuberculosis*. Perryman *et al.* have collated published assays on MLM half-life from PubChem; and reformatted and curated them to create a training set with ~900

molecules [85]. These data were then used to generate machine learning models assessed with internal cross-validation and external tests with a published set of antitubercular compounds and another independent validation with an additional large diverse set of compounds. It was found that ‘pruning out’ the moderately unstable and moderately stable compounds from the training set produced models that displayed superior predictive power for identifying compounds that have a half-life ≥ 1 h in MLM stability studies. To date, this represents the largest publically accessible MLM dataset and suggests that the pruning strategy could be useful elsewhere [85]. One could speculate that toxicity models for properties like the potassium channel hERG (which is known to be a particular issue for TB drugs like bedaquiline [86] and shows QT prolongation in dogs and human trials at high doses) could perhaps be avoided by using machine learning models to optimize this property relative to the target pharmacophore. Although this might be difficult we are not aware of this being attempted to date.

Target prediction using TB Mobile

Various studies have developed methods for predicting targets for compounds in *M. tuberculosis*. The TBDrugome [87] was an early example that used binding sites of TB structures to identify molecules. A recent approach developed models of all the pockets in *M. tuberculosis* targets to create the ‘pocketome’ [88] from which several approved drugs were described alongside their inferred targets. In both cases it appears these approaches were not experimentally validated, which, if they were, would certainly provide some degree of confidence in using these approaches.

In silico target fishing is an emerging technology that predicts the targets of compounds on the basis of chemical structure by using information from biologically annotated chemical databases. The CDD Vault can currently be mined using similarity and substructure screening, and contains public datasets with over 300 000 compounds screened against *M. tuberculosis*, as well as manually curated datasets for >7000 published molecules. This information has helped in finding compounds already tested with some TB activity, which could be similar to hits of interest to MM4TB (Figure 3).

We have made some efforts to bridge bioinformatics and cheminformatics by combining pathway analysis with pharmacophores and machine learning to identify compounds with activity against *M. tuberculosis* [89]. This also led to the realization that some of the data collected on compounds and targets in *M. tuberculosis* could be used to create a mobile app called TB Mobile (Figure 4) so that the data could be available in a different accessible format [90]. This in turn has provided a platform for testing various technologies such as the open Bayesian method and ECFP6 fingerprints [80], which are now used in TB Mobile to predict potential TB targets.

Future predictions

Dark matter TB compounds

Several reviews have summarized the difficulties in finding compounds active against *M. tuberculosis* [91], resulting in few promising candidate compounds and suggesting recent whole-cell screens have identified targets that can form the basis of target- or pathway-based approaches [92]. There have also been calls to expand the chemical

diversity and molecular target space [93]. Probably what we also need to do is explore the dark chemical matter [94]; that is, compounds that have never shown biological activity in HTS. Perhaps one way to tease out these compounds is to assess them in combinations looking for synergy. This might be too time-consuming and resource-intensive unless it could be done computationally. For example, Wildenhain *et al.* [95] described a large-scale chemical–genetic undertaking, using machine learning to identify synergistic pairs of drug-like molecules. This new study could represent an early example in their use to predict synergism [96]. There is certainly also a growing array of large-scale HTS combination studies [97] and tools for data visualization and exploration [98,99]. New regimens are urgently needed for drug-susceptible and drug-resistant *M. tuberculosis* and compounds such as PBTZ169 have been suggested as attractive candidates, showing that a combination with bedaquiline and pyrazinamide was more efficacious in mouse than the standard treatment with three drugs [100]. As a caveat to consider, translation of *in vitro* synergy to *in vivo* efficacy might not always be clear, as demonstrated with the spectinamide 1599 [101]. Therefore, efforts to combine *in silico*, *in vitro* and *in vivo* synergy prediction might be worthwhile.

Collaborative data sharing

We and others have recently described [15] privacy concerns with data and efforts to find data-sharing methods as well as examples of companies comparing their compound libraries (e.g., Bayer and Schering [102], Bayer and AstraZeneca [103] and Pfizer to the literature [104]). Published efforts have also been reviewed on sharing relevant chemical information about screening data that leave structures blinded, which

could open the door for increased collaboration. Swamidass and co-workers recently proposed different approaches to secure sharing of molecules [105], using scaffold networks for compounds demonstrated they do not convey information to reveal chemical structure [105]. A second approach from these researchers uses a method of measuring the overlap between two private datasets using an algorithm that constructs a private dataset's shareable summary (cryptoset [106]), then overlap of private datasets is achieved by comparing these. Other companies have shared anonymized match-pair [107] data for the purpose of improving ADMET optimization of lead compounds [108]. Development of such technologies can be integrated into future versions of collaborative software tools and might help broaden their scope. By default all data or models in CDD Vault always remain private, with options for researchers to share subsets of data or models selectively and securely if and when desired.

Concluding remarks and future outlook

Large-scale collaborations like MM4TB benefitted from the use of collaborative software because it provides a central repository that is accessible to those with the correct permissions. The data are automatically backed up, secure and of course do not require high-level technical expertise to use for uploading, mining or visualizing. As the technology evolves organically, modules will be added (such as an E-laboratory notebook) in the same way we have added inventory, visualization (Figure 5) and machine learning models (Figure 6) to core activity and registration functionality. This represents a strong foundation for future collaborations like MM4TB which will help TB drug discovery and beyond.

Acknowledgments

The work was partially supported by a grant from the European Community's Seventh Framework Program (grant 260872, MM4TB Consortium) to CDD. TB Mobile was supported by Award Number 2R42AI088893-02 'Identification of novel therapeutics for tuberculosis combining cheminformatics, diverse databases and logic based pathway analysis' from the National Institutes of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. The Bayesian model software within CDD was developed with support from Award Number 9R44TR000942-02 'Biocomputation across distributed private datasets to enhance drug discovery' from the NIH NCATS. The CDD TB has been developed thanks to funding from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (Grant#49852 'Collaborative drug discovery for TB through a novel database of SAR data optimized to promote data archiving and sharing'). We sincerely acknowledge our many colleagues, collaborators and advocates who have contributed to the development of CDD over the years and our collaborators on the TB projects described. From the MM4TB: Anthony Maxwell, Valerie Mizrahi, Adwait Anand Godbole, János Pato, Valakunja Nagaraja, Marco Bellinzoni, Maria Rosalia Pasca, Giovanna Riccardi, Rita Szekely and Tanya Parish. From other TB projects: Joel Freundlich, Alexander Perryman, Erin Bradley, Robert Reynolds, Hannu Myllykallio, Carolyn Talcott, Malabika Sarker and Allen Casey. BIOVIA™ is kindly acknowledged for providing Discovery Studio to SE.

Conflicts of interest

AMC consults and other authors are employees of CDD. SE was a consultant for CDD.

References

- 1 Bhinder, B. and Djaballah, H. (2014) Drug discovery and repurposing at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center: chemical biology drives translational medicine. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 9, 1394–1397
- 2 Robertson, G.M. and Mayr, L.M. (2011) Collaboration versus outsourcing: the need to think outside the box. *Future Med. Chem.* 3, 1995–2020
- 3 Craddock, S. (2015) Precarious connections: making therapeutic production happen for malaria and tuberculosis. *Soc. Sci. Med.* 129, 36–43
- 4 Dorsch, H. *et al.* (2015) Grants4Targets: an open innovation initiative to foster drug discovery collaborations. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 14, 74–76
- 5 Wang, L. *et al.* (2015) Racing to define pharmaceutical R&D external innovation models. *Drug Discov. Today* 20, 361–370
- 6 Jordan, A.M. *et al.* (2015) Rethinking ‘academic’ drug discovery: the Manchester Institute perspective. *Drug Discov. Today* 20, 525–535
- 7 Farah, S.I. *et al.* (2016) Opportunities and challenges for natural products as novel antituberculosis agents. *Assay Drug Dev. Technol.* 14, 29–38
- 8 Rose, D.M. *et al.* (2015) Pharmaceutical industry, academia and patient advocacy organizations: what is the recipe for synergic (win-win-win) collaborations? *Respirology* 20, 185–191
- 9 Litterman, N.K. *et al.* (2014) Collaboration for rare disease drug discovery research. *F1000Res* 3, 261

- 10 Ponder, E.L. *et al.* (2013) Computational models for neglected diseases: gaps and opportunities. *Pharm. Res.* 31, 271–277
- 11 Bunin, B.A. and Ekins, S. (2014) Academic, commercial, and biodefense case studies for collaborative drug discovery: potential for disrupting drug discovery. In *Collaborative Innovation in Drug Discovery: Strategies for Public and Private Partnerships* (Chatguturu, R., ed.), pp. 303–317, John Wiley & Sons
- 12 Bingham, A. and Ekins, S. (2009) Competitive collaboration in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industry. *Drug Discov. Today* 14, 1079–1081
- 13 Bunin, B.A. and Ekins, S. (2011) Alternative business models for drug discovery. *Drug Discov. Today* 16, 643–645
- 14 Ekins, S. *et al.* (2013) Four disruptive strategies for removing drug discovery bottlenecks. *Drug Discov. Today* 18, 265–271
- 15 Ekins, S. *et al.* (2014) Bigger data, collaborative tools and the future of predictive drug discovery. *J. Comput. Aided Mol. Des.* 28, 997–1008
- 16 Nathan, C. (2015) Cooperative development of antimicrobials: looking back to look ahead. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 13, 651–657
- 17 Pollastri, M.P. (2014) Finding new collaboration models for enabling neglected tropical disease drug discovery. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 8, e2866
- 18 Paillard, G. *et al.* (2016) The ELF Honest Data Broker: informatics enabling public–private collaboration in a precompetitive arena. *Drug Discov. Today* 21, 97–102

- 19 Howe, E.A. *et al.* (2015) BioAssay Research Database (BARD): chemical biology and probe-development enabled by structured metadata and result types. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, D1163–1170
- 20 Louise-May, S. *et al.* (2009) Towards integrated web-based tools in drug discovery. *Touch Briefings Drug Discovery* 6, 17–21
- 21 Williams, A.J. *et al.* (2009) Free online resources enabling crowdsourced drug discovery. *Drug Discovery World* 10, 33–38
- 22 Hohman, M. *et al.* (2009) Novel web-based tools combining chemistry informatics, biology and social networks for drug discovery. *Drug Discov. Today* 14, 261–270
- 23 Ekins, S. and Bunin, B.A. (2013) The Collaborative Drug Discovery (CDD) database. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 993, 139–154
- 24 Ekins, S. and Bunin, B.A. (2013) Computational approaches and collaborative drug discovery for trypanosomal diseases. In *Trypanosomatid Diseases: Molecular Routes to Drug Discovery* (Jager, T. *et al.*, eds), pp. 81–102, Wiley-VCH
- 25 Godbole, A.A. *et al.* (2014) Inhibition of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* topoisomerase I by m-AMSA, a eukaryotic type II topoisomerase poison. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 446, 916–920
- 26 Godbole, A.A. *et al.* (2015) Targeting *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* topoisomerase I by small-molecule inhibitors. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 59, 1549–1557
- 27 Tan, K. *et al.* (2016) Insights from the structure of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* topoisomerase I with a novel protein fold. *J. Mol. Biol.* 428, 182–193

- 28** Myllykallio, H. *et al.* (2002) An alternative flavin-dependent mechanism for thymidylate synthesis. *Science* 297, 105–107
- 29** Koehn, E.M. *et al.* (2009) An unusual mechanism of thymidylate biosynthesis in organisms containing the thyX gene. *Nature* 458, 919–923
- 30** Bush, N.G. *et al.* (2015) DNA Topoisomerases. In *In EcoSal--Escherichia coli and Salmonella: Cellular and Molecular Biology* (Böck, A. *et al.*, eds), ASM Press
- 31** Djaout, K. *et al.* (2016) Predictive modeling targets thymidylate synthase ThyX in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 27792
- 32** Mori, G. *et al.* (2015) Thiophenecarboxamide derivatives activated by EthA kill *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* by inhibiting the CTP synthetase PyrG. *Chem. Biol.* 22, 917–927
- 33** Ananthan, S. *et al.* (2009) High-throughput screening for inhibitors of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* H37Rv. *Tuberculosis* 89, 334–353
- 34** Maddry, J.A. *et al.* (2009) Antituberculosis activity of the molecular libraries screening center network library. *Tuberculosis* 89, 354–363
- 35** Reynolds, R.C. *et al.* (2012) High throughput screening of a library based on kinase inhibitor scaffolds against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* H37Rv. *Tuberculosis* 92, 72–83
- 36** Ekins, S. *et al.* (2014) Combining computational methods for hit to lead optimization in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* drug discovery. *Pharm. Res.* 31, 414–435

- 37 Remuinan, M.J. *et al.* (2013) Tetrahydropyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-carboxamide and *N*-benzyl-6',7'-dihydrospiro[piperidine-4,4'-thieno[3,2-c]pyran] analogues with bactericidal efficacy against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* targeting MmpL3. *PLoS One* 8, e60933
- 38 Li, W. *et al.* (2014) Novel insights into the mechanism of inhibition of MmpL3, a target of multiple pharmacophores in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 58, 6413–6423
- 39 Clark, A.M. *et al.* (2015) Open source Bayesian models. 1. application to ADME/Tox and drug discovery datasets. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* 55, 1231–1245
- 40 Ekins, S. (2014) Progress in computational toxicology. *J. Pharmacol. Toxicol. Methods* 69, 115–140
- 41 Njogu, P.M. *et al.* (2016) Computer-aided drug discovery approaches against the tropical infectious diseases malaria, tuberculosis, trypanosomiasis, and leishmaniasis. *ACS Infect. Disease* 2, 8–31
- 42 Libbrecht, M.W. and Noble, W.S. (2015) Machine learning applications in genetics and genomics. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 16, 321–332
- 43 Durrant, J.D. and Amaro, R.E. (2015) Machine-learning techniques applied to antibacterial drug discovery. *Chem. Biol. Drug Des.* 85, 14–21
- 44 Hawkins, D.M. *et al.* (1997) Analysis of large structure activity data set using recursive partitioning. *Quant. Struct. Act. Rel.* 16, 296–302
- 45 Therneau, T.M. and Atkinson, E.J. (1997) An introduction to recursive partitioning using the RPART routines. Available at: http://www.mayo.edu/research/documents/biostat-61pdf/doc-10026699?_ga=1.249397255.1402737145.1476791219

- 46 Chen, X. *et al.* (1998) Recursive partitioning analysis of a large structure–activity data set using three-dimensional descriptors. *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* 38, 1054–1062
- 47 Rusinko, A., 3rd *et al.* (1999) Analysis of a large structure/biological activity data set using recursive partitioning. *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* 39, 1017–1026
- 48 Chang, C.C. and Lin, C.J. (2001) LIBSVM: a library for support vector machines.
- 49 Christianini, N. and Shawe-Taylor, J., eds (2000) *Support Vector Machines and Other Kernel-based Learning Methods*, Cambridge University Press
- 50 Heikamp, K. and Bajorath, J. (2013) Comparison of confirmed inactive and randomly selected compounds as negative training examples in support vector machine-based virtual screening. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* 53, 1595–1601
- 51 Ekins, S. *et al.* (2010) A collaborative database and computational models for tuberculosis drug discovery. *Mol. BioSyst.* 6, 840–851
- 52 Ekins, S. *et al.* (2010) Analysis and hit filtering of a very large library of compounds screened against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Mol. BioSyst.* 6, 2316–2324
- 53 Ekins, S. and Williams, A.J. (2010) When pharmaceutical companies publish large datasets: an abundance of riches or fool’s gold? *Drug Discov. Today* 15, 812–815
- 54 Ekins, S. and Williams, A.J. (2010) Meta-analysis of molecular property patterns and filtering of public datasets of antimalarial “hits” and drugs. *MedChemComm* 1, 325–330
- 55 Gamo, F-J. *et al.* (2010) Thousands of chemical starting points for antimalarial lead identification. *Nature* 465, 305–310

- 56 Ekins, S. *et al.* (2013) Bayesian models leveraging bioactivity and cytotoxicity information for drug discovery. *Chem. Biol.* 20, 370–378
- 57 Ekins, S. *et al.* (2013) Enhancing hit identification in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* drug discovery using validated dual-event Bayesian models. *PLoS One* 8, e63240
- 58 Ekins, S. *et al.* (2014) Bayesian models for screening and TB Mobile for target inference with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Tuberculosis* 94, 162–169
- 59 Ekins, S. *et al.* (2014) Bayesian models for screening and TB Mobile for target inference with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Tuberculosis* 94, 162–169
- 60 Ekins, S. *et al.* (2013) Bayesian models leveraging bioactivity and cytotoxicity information for drug discovery. *Chem. Biol.* 20, 370–378
- 61 Ekins, S. *et al.* (2013) Fusing dual-event datasets for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* machine learning models and their evaluation. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* 53, 3054–3063
- 62 Ekins, S. *et al.* (2014) Are bigger data sets better for machine learning? Fusing single-point and dual-event dose response data for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* 54, 2157–2165
- 63 Ekins, S. *et al.* (2015) Machine learning models and pathway genome data base for *Trypanosoma cruzi* drug discovery. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 9, e0003878
- 64 Ekins, S. *et al.* (2016) Machine learning models identify molecules active against the Ebola virus *in vitro*. *F1000Res* 4, 1091
- 65 Ekins, S. *et al.* (2011) Computational databases, pathway and cheminformatics tools for tuberculosis drug discovery. *Trends Microbiol.* 19, 65–74

- 66 Ekins, S. and Freundlich, J.S. (2013) Computational models for tuberculosis drug discovery. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 993, 245–262
- 67 Mugumbate, G. *et al.* (2015) Mycobacterial dihydrofolate reductase inhibitors identified using chemogenomic methods and *in vitro* validation. *PLoS One* 10, e0121492
- 68 Lamichhane, G. *et al.* (2011) Essential metabolites of *M. tuberculosis* and their mimics. *Mbio* 2, e00301–00310
- 69 Ekins, S. and Freundlich, J.S. (2011) Validating new tuberculosis computational models with public whole cell screening aerobic activity datasets. *Pharm. Res.* 28, 1859–1869
- 70 Ekins, S. *et al.* (2011) *In silico* repositioning of approved drugs for rare and neglected diseases. *Drug Discov. Today* 16, 298–310
- 71 Ekins, S. *et al.* (2014) Looking back to the future: predicting *in vivo* efficacy of small molecules versus *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* 54, 1070–1082
- 72 Ekins, S. *et al.* (2014) Minding the gaps in tuberculosis research. *Drug Discov. Today* 19, 1279–1282
- 73 Ekins, S. *et al.* (2016) Machine learning model analysis and data visualization with small molecules tested in a mouse model of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection (2014–2015). *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* 56, 1332–1343
- 74 Ekins, S. and Williams, A.J. (2010) Precompetitive preclinical ADME/Tox data: set it free on the web to facilitate computational model building to assist drug development. *Lab Chip* 10, 13–22

- 75** Ekins, S. and Williams, A.J. (2010) Reaching out to collaborators: crowdsourcing for pharmaceutical research. *Pharm. Res.* 27, 393–395
- 76** Ekins, S. *et al.* (2010) Chemical space: missing pieces in cheminformatics. *Pharm. Res.* 27, 2035–2039
- 77** Gupta, R.R. *et al.* (2010) Using open source computational tools for predicting human metabolic stability and additional ADME/TOX properties. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* 38, 2083–2090
- 78** Tetko, I.V. *et al.* (2013) Development of dimethyl sulfoxide solubility models using 163,000 molecules: using a domain applicability metric to select more reliable predictions. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* 53, 1990–2000
- 79** Sushko, I. *et al.* (2011) Online chemical modeling environment (OCHEM): web platform for data storage, model development and publishing of chemical information. *J. Comput. Aided Mol. Des.* 25, 533–554
- 80** Clark, A.M. *et al.* (2014) New target predictions and visualization tools incorporating open source molecular fingerprints for TB Mobile 2.0. *J. Cheminform.* 6, 38
- 81** Litterman, N. *et al.* (2014) Computational prediction and validation of an expert's evaluation of chemical probes. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* 54, 2996–3004
- 82** Clark, A.M. *et al.* (2015) Open source bayesian models: 1. Application to ADME/Tox and drug discovery datasets. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* 55, 1231–1245
- 83** Clark, A.M. and Ekins, S. (2015) Open source bayesian models: 2. Mining A “big dataset” to create and validate models with ChEMBL. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* 55, 1246–1260

- 84 Clark, A.M. *et al.* (2016) Open source bayesian models: 3. Composite models for prediction of binned responses. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* 56, 275–285
- 85 Perryman, A.L. *et al.* (2016) Predicting mouse liver microsomal stability with “pruned” machine learning models and public data. *Pharm. Res.* 33, 433–449
- 86 (2012) *Pharmacology/Toxicology NDA review and evaluation Application Number: 204384Orig1s000* Food and Drug Administration
- 87 Kinnings, S.L. *et al.* (2010) The *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* drugome and its polypharmacological implications. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 6, e1000976
- 88 Anand, P. and Chandra, N. (2014) Characterizing the pocketome of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and application in rationalizing polypharmacological target selection. *Sci. Rep.* 4, 6356
- 89 Sarker, M. *et al.* (2012) Combining cheminformatics methods and pathway analysis to identify molecules with whole-cell activity against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Pharm. Res.* 29, 2115–2127
- 90 Ekins, S. *et al.* (2013) TB Mobile: a mobile app for anti-tuberculosis molecules with known targets. *J. Cheminform.* 5, 13
- 91 Goldman, R.C. (2013) Why are membrane targets discovered by phenotypic screens and genome sequencing in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*? *Tuberculosis* 93, 569–588
- 92 Kana, B.D. *et al.* (2014) Future target-based drug discovery for tuberculosis? *Tuberculosis* 94, 551–556

- 93** Manjunatha, U.H. and Smith, P.W. (2015) Perspective: challenges and opportunities in TB drug discovery from phenotypic screening. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 23, 5087–5097
- 94** Wassermann, A.M. *et al.* (2015) Dark chemical matter as a promising starting point for drug lead discovery. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 11, 958–966
- 95** Wildenhain, J. *et al.* (2015) Prediction of synergism from chemical-genetic interactions by machine learning. *Cell Systems* 1, 383–395
- 96** Ekins, S. and Siqueira-Neto, J.L. (2015) Shedding light on synergistic chemical genetic connections with machine learning. *Cell Systems* 1, 377–379
- 97** Mott, B.T. *et al.* (2015) High-throughput matrix screening identifies synergistic and antagonistic antimalarial drug combinations. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 13891
- 98** Lewis, R. *et al.* (2015) Synergy Maps: exploring compound combinations using network-based visualization. *J. Cheminform.* 7, 36
- 99** Bulusu, K.C. *et al.* (2016) Modelling of compound combination effects and applications to efficacy and toxicity: state-of-the-art, challenges and perspectives. *Drug Discov. Today* 21, 225–238
- 100** Makarov, V. *et al.* (2014) Towards a new combination therapy for tuberculosis with next generation benzothiazinones. *EMBO Mol. Med.* 6, 372–383
- 101** Bruhn, D.F. *et al.* (2015) *In vitro* and *in vivo* evaluation of synergism between anti-tubercular spectinamides and non-classical tuberculosis antibiotics. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 13985
- 102** Schamberger, J. *et al.* (2011) Rendezvous in chemical space? Comparing the small molecule compound libraries of Bayer and Schering. *Drug Discov. Today* 16, 636–641

- 103** Kogej, T. *et al.* (2013) Big pharma screening collections: more of the same or unique libraries? The AstraZeneca-Bayer Pharma AG case. *Drug Discov. Today* 18, 1014–1024
- 104** Tu, M. *et al.* (2012) Exploring aromatic chemical space with NEAT: novel and electronically equivalent aromatic template. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* 52, 1114–1123
- 105** Matlock, M. and Swamidass, S.J. (2014) Sharing chemical relationships does not reveal structures. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* 54, 37–48
- 106** Swamidass, S.J. *et al.* (2015) Securely measuring the overlap between private datasets with cryptosets. *PLoS One* 10, e0117898
- 107** Warner, D.J. *et al.* (2010) WizePairZ: a novel algorithm to identify, encode, and exploit matched molecular pairs with unspecified cores in medicinal chemistry. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* 50, 1350–1357
- 108** Roche and AstraZeneca launch medicinal chemistry data-sharing consortium to further accelerate drug discovery (2013). Available at:
<http://www.astrazeneca.com/Research/news/Article/260613-roche-and-astrazeneca-launch-medicinal-chemistry-datasha>

Figure legends

Figure 1. Map showing the original organizations involved in MM4TB. AstraZeneca India and Sciprom ceased operation during this project. All groups were members of a single CDD Vault.

Figure 2. Topol data in the MM4TB CDD Vault, demonstrating how some structures can be hidden.

Figure 3. (a) 7947882 (5-methyl-*N*-(4-nitrophenyl)thiophene-2-carboxamide), **(b)** substructure search of public *M. tuberculosis* (*Mtb*) datasets in CDD Public based on 4-nitroacetanilide retrieved four compounds including CDD-823953. **(c)** CDD-823953 docked in PyrG crystal structure (LibDock score 106.7) was a weak inhibitor of PyrG (K_i = 88.9 μ M).

Figure 4. (a) TB Mobile entry page listing structures and targets. **(b)** Prediction page showing imported compounds and Bayesian scores in app.

Figure 5. Analysis of MM4TB HTS data alongside calculated properties in CDD Vision.

Figure 6. An example of a CDD Model created with data for ThyX using >70% inhibition as the cutoff for activity.

Figure 1

EPFL	AstraZeneca India Private Limited	Uppsala University	University of Pavia	University of Cambridge
Queen Mary University of London	Institut Pasteur	Bach Institute of Biochemistry (RAS)	University of Padova	Comenius University
Vichem Chemie	John Innes Centre	Indian Institute of Science	Cellworks Research India Private Limited	University of Piemonte Orientale
Collaborative Drug Discovery (CCD)	University of the Basque Country	Tydock Pharma Srl	Universidad de Zaragoza	ETHZ
Alere Tech. GmbH	Sanofi Aventis R&D	University of Cape Town	SCIPROM	Institut Pasteur de Lille (INSERM)

Figure 2

32 Selected: Launch Vision Plot Export Add to collection Build model Customize your report Save this search				
Selected	Molecule		ORA relaxation assay with MitoPot	
all none			Inhibition (μM) ↑	Comments
☒	<div>NO STRUCTURE</div> <div>MTB-0030487</div> <div>MM47B</div>	flag outlier	0.1	
☒	<div>NO STRUCTURE</div> <div>MTB-0030488</div> <div>MM47B</div>	flag outlier	0.1	
☒	<div>NO STRUCTURE</div> <div>MTB-0030488</div> <div>MM47B</div>	flag outlier	10	
☒	<div> </div> <div>MTB-0050150</div> <div>MM47B</div>	flag outlier	10	
☒	<div> </div> <div>MTB-0030154</div> <div>MM47B</div>	flag outlier	80	

Figure 3

A.

B.

1 Selected: [Launch Vizion](#) [vP Plot](#) [Export](#) [Add to collection](#) [Build model](#) [Customize your report](#) [Save this search](#)

Select	all more	Molecules		Min CC3M (New & M2) and M2M (P279s)			Min (P279s) P...on (P279s)
				Min CC3M (pages)	M2 CC3M (pages)	1000 CC3M (pages)	% solution (Pg)
		CCO-001003	summary details Reg. culture	> 10	> 3000-40	x.300	02.01

		P279s	Structure Research Institute				

CCO.VAULT

Chemical Drug Discovery - Lead Optim. - Reg.

C.

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6