Impacts of Changes in Climate, Land Use and Land Cover on Atmospheric Mercury

H. Zhang^a, C. D. Holmes^b and S. Wu^{a, c}

^aDepartment of Geological and Mining Engineering and Sciences, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, Michigan, USA, 49931

^bDepartment of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Science, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, USA, 32306

^cAtmospheric Sciences Program and Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, Michigan, USA, 49931

Correspondence to: S. Wu (slwu@mtu.edu)

Key words: climate change, land use/land cover change, atmospheric mercury, mercury deposition

Abstract

Mercury is an important pollutant that can be transported globally due to its long lifetime in the atmosphere. Atmosphere-surface exchange is a major process affecting the cycling of mercury in the global environment and its impacts on food webs. We investigate the sensitivities of the air-surface exchange, atmospheric transport, and budget of mercury to projected 2000-2050 changes in climate and land use/land cover with a global chemical transport model (GEOS-Chem). We find that annual mean Hg(0) dry deposition flux over land could increase by up to 20% in northern mid-latitudes by 2050 due to increased vegetation and foliage density. Climate change can significantly affect both the wet deposition and atmospheric chemistry of mercury. In response to the projected climate change, the annual mean wet deposition flux increases over most continental regions and decreases over most of the mid-latitude and tropical oceans. The annual mean mercury wet deposition flux over northern and southern high latitudes increases by 7% and 8% respectively, largely driven by increase in precipitation there. Surface Hg(0) is predicted to increase generally, because high temperatures decrease Hg(0) oxidation by bromine

and high moisture increases aqueous Hg(II) photo reduction. The combined effects of projected changes in climate, land use, and land cover increase mercury deposition to the continental biosphere and decrease mercury deposition to the marine biosphere.

1. Introduction

Mercury is a toxic and bioaccumulative pollutant in the environment and has important implications for public health, wildlife, and ecosystems (Choi and Grandjean, 2008; Lindberg et al., 2007; Mergler et al., 2007; Scheulhammer et al., 2007; UNEP, 2013). The long atmospheric lifetime of elemental mercury (around one year) enables it to be transported long distances before depositing to the Earth surfaces, making it a global pollutant (Lindqvist and Rodhe, 1985; Schroeder and Munthe, 1998). Due to its volatility, some of the deposited mercury can be reemitted back into the atmosphere (Selin et al., 2008; Xu et al., 1999).

The atmosphere-surface exchange and budget of atmospheric mercury are affected by global changes in anthropogenic emissions, climate and land use/land cover. Most previous studies of global change have focused on the effects of anthropogenic emissions and their implications for policy. For example, Corbitt et al. (2011) studied the source-receptor relationship for mercury deposition with the present-day and 2050s anthropogenic emissions. Amos et al. (2013) first investigated the impacts of legacy mercury emissions on the present-day mercury cycle and then projected the effects from future changes in anthropogenic mercury emissions. Selin (2014) identified the challenges for implementing Minamata Convention to regulate anthropogenic mercury emission and evaluating its influences on global biogeochemical cycling of mercury. Few studies have addressed the effects of changing climate or land use/land cover on atmospheric mercury. Lei et al. (2014) found that the benefits from reductions in domestic United States mercury emissions for the 2000-2050 period would be largely offset by rising anthropogenic emissions overseas and rising natural emissions. Megaritis et al. (2014) investigated the effects of climate change on atmospheric mercury concentration and deposition over the eastern United States and concluded that rising future temperatures would increase elemental mercury oxidation and increase divalent mercury concentrations. Hansen et al. (2015) studied the impacts of climate change on atmospheric mercury deposition in the Arctic following the Special Report on Emissions Scenarios (SRES-A1B) (Nakicenovic et al., 2000) as a

sensitivity study and found that climate change will reduce atmospheric mercury deposition to the Arctic.

Climate change has the potential to influence atmospheric mercury through multiple pathways. The changes in precipitation directly affect the spatiotemporal patterns of mercury wet deposition. Past studies have shown that the variability in precipitation plays a critical role in affecting the present-day mercury wet deposition (Gratz et al., 2009; Holmes et al., 2016; Nair et al., 2013; Prestbo and Gay, 2009; Risch et al., 2012b). The observed significant interannual variability in present-day atmospheric mercury deposition (Blackwell et al., 2014) also indicates that meteorology is a key factor affecting the atmospheric abundance, distribution and atmosphere-surface exchange of mercury.

Changes in temperature could alter the atmospheric mercury chemistry leading to changes in mercury speciation (i.e. elemental vs. divalent mercury; gas vs. particle phase) and consequently deposition since different mercury species have different solubility and other deposition properties. The changes in future climate could also affect the atmosphere-surface exchange of elemental mercury. Previous studies have shown that evasion of mercury from surface soil could be affected by solar radiation (Eckley and Branfireun, 2008; Ericksen et al., 2006; Fu et al., 2012b; Gustin et al., 2006; Obrist et al., 2006) and air temperature (Almeida et al., 2009; Obrist et al., 2006; Poissant et al., 1999). Emission of mercury from surface ocean can also be affected by environmental factors such as temperature, solar radiation and surface winds (Andersson et al., 2008; Nightingale et al., 2000; Poissant et al., 2000; Soerensen et al., 2010; Soerensen et al., 2013; Soerensen et al., 2014).

Significant changes in land use/land cover are expected in the coming decades in response to changes in climate, atmospheric CO₂ concentration, as well as anthropogenic activities such as agriculture expansion, deforestation, and reforestation (Bachelet et al., 2001; Cox et al., 2004; Cramer et al., 2001; Houghton et al., 2000; Turner et al., 1994). Previous work has investigated the influence of land use/land cover change on atmospheric chemical composition such as ozone (Ganzeveld et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2012), but the potential impacts on atmospheric mercury from changes in land use/land cover have not been examined yet. Recent assessments have suggested vegetation to be a net sink of atmospheric mercury (Ericksen and Gustin, 2004; Gustin et al., 2008; Hanson et al., 1995; Hartman et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2014). Strong positive correlations

between mercury deposition flux and leaf area have been indicated by studies of Gao and Wesely (1995) and Zhang et al. (2009). Zhang et al. (2009) showed that Hg(0) dry deposition velocity onto forests tended to be 2-5 times higher than other vegetated surfaces. We present here the first study on the impacts of global climate change and land use/land cover change (driven by climate change, increasing atmospheric CO₂ concentration and agricultural land use change) on atmospheric mercury.

2. Model Description and Approach

2.1 Model Description

We use the GEOS-Chem model version v9-02 (www.geos-chem.org) with coupled atmosphere-land-ocean mercury simulation (Holmes et al., 2010; Selin et al., 2008; Soerensen et al., 2010). The model simulates three forms of mercury in the atmosphere: gaseous elemental Hg(0), gaseous oxidized Hg(II) and particle-bound Hg(II). Hg(II) is assumed to be in equilibrium between gas and particle phases at all times and the fractions in gas and particle phases depend on temperature and aerosol loadings (Amos et al., 2012; Rutter and Schauer, 2007a, b). Atmospheric Hg(0) is oxidized by bromine (Br) to form Hg(II) products and in-cloud reduction of Hg(II) is also included in order to match observed surface mercury concentrations (Holmes et al., 2010). The oxidation of Hg(0) involves a two-step reaction: (1) atmospheric Hg(0) reacts with Br to form unstable HgBr; (2) HgBr can either dissociate or react with Br or hydroxyl radical (OH) to form final product (Hg(II)) (Holmes et al., 2010). Tropospheric Br fields for the present-day are archived from a GEOS-Chem full chemistry simulation (Parrella et al., 2012) and remain unchanged in our future climate and land use/cover simulations. Gaseous and particle-bound Hg(II) are subject to wet deposition, which includes scavenging in convective updrafts and rainout and washout from large-scale precipitation as described in Liu et al. (2001) with updates by Wang et al. (2011) and Amos et al. (2012). Sea salt uptake of gaseous phase Hg(II) in the marine boundary layer (MBL) is accounted for following Holmes et al. (2010).

Hg(0) is emitted from both natural and anthropogenic sources while Hg(II) only has anthropogenic sources. Anthropogenic emissions are specified from the GEIA (Global Emission Inventories Activity) 2005 inventory (Pacyna et al., 2010) as implemented by Corbitt et al. (2011) and Streets et al. (2009). Anthropogenic emissions over the United States and Canada are replaced by regional inventories prepared by Zhang et al. (2012b). Anthropogenic mercury

emissions are held constant in our future modeling scenarios in order to focus on the effects of climate and land use/land cover on natural emissions and atmospheric chemistry.

The atmosphere-terrestrial exchange of Hg(0) is bi-directional, but only some recent model developments have coupled the deposition (downward flux) and emission (upward flux) together to estimate the net atmosphere-surface flux based on the gradient between an ambient mercury concentration and a “compensation point” inferred from the surface characteristics (Bash et al., 2007; Bash, 2010; Wang et al., 2014). Such treatments have not been implemented into global chemical transport models yet due to the limited field or lab data to validate the complicated parameterization processes in the coupled model (Wang et al., 2014). The GEOS-Chem model used in this study treats deposition and emission of Hg(0) separately. More details about the dry deposition process will be discussed in Sec 2.2.

A fraction of Hg(II) deposited to terrestrial reservoir can be quickly converted to Hg(0) and reemitted back to the atmosphere, which is called “prompt recycling” (Selin et al., 2008). For the version of GEOS-Chem model used in this study, it is assumed that 20% of total Hg(II) deposited to land surface will be immediately released to the atmosphere as Hg(0) when there is no snow cover (Selin et al., 2008). For snow covered land surfaces, a snow pack reservoir accounts for the conversion of deposited Hg(II) to Hg(0) under sunlit conditions (Holmes et al., 2010). The reservoir lifetime is 180 days but decreases to 21 days when temperature exceeds 270K (Fain et al., 2007; Fain et al., 2008).

Dry deposition of Hg(0) to the ocean surface in GEOS-Chem model is simulated as part of the bi-directional exchange process in the surface slab ocean model of Soerensen et al. (2010). In this model, three species of mercury in the surface ocean mixed layer are simulated: Hg(0), Hg(II) and nonreactive nonvolatile mercury. Atmospheric deposition of mercury contributes significantly to the surface ocean mixed layer, but the deep ocean also accounts for considerable amount of mercury inputs to surface ocean mixed layer. Vertical exchange between the surface ocean and the deep ocean are retained through entrainment/detrainment and Ekman pumping (Soerensen et al., 2010). In the surface ocean mixed layer, biological primary productivity and solar radiation both favor Hg(II) reduction to Hg(0). Net emission of Hg(0) from ocean surface is a function of the available Hg(0) pool in the surface ocean, sea surface temperature and surface wind speed. Net emission of Hg(0) from surface ocean is estimated to be about 2310 Mg for

present-day in the present work, which is consistent with most other estimates of 2000-3000 Mg yr⁻¹ (Bergan et al., 1999; Holmes et al., 2010; Lindberg et al., 2007; Mason and Sheu, 2002; Seigneur et al., 2004; Selin et al., 2007; Shia et al., 1999; Soerensen et al., 2010).

2.2 Simulation Design

All the model simulations are driven by meteorology fields archived from the NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies (GISS) general circulation model (GCM 3) (Rind et al., 2007). The GISS GCM 3 has a horizontal resolution of 4° latitude by 5° longitude with 23 vertical layers extending from the surface to 0.002 hPa (about 85 km altitude). The meteorological data is archived with 6-hour time resolution (3-hours for surface variables and mixing depth). The interface between the GISS GCM 3 and GEOS-Chem is described in Wu et al. (2007). The simulation of 2000-2050 climate change with the GISS model follows the IPCC A1B scenario (IPCC, 2001). We carry out 5-year Hg simulations with the GEOS-Chem model for the 2000s (1998-2002) and 2050s (2048-2052) climate. Unless noted otherwise, our analyses on the perturbations to atmospheric mercury due to climate change are based on 5-yr average model results.

We follow Wu et al. (2012) to conduct GEOS-Chem sensitivity simulations to separately identify the effects of climate change, natural vegetation change (referred to land cover change here) induced by climate change and increasing atmospheric CO₂ fertilization, and anthropogenic land use change such as agricultural expansion (referred to land use change here). The 2000-2050 changes in natural vegetation are calculated with the Lund-Potsdam-Jena Dynamic Global Vegetation Model (LPJ DGVM) (Sitch et al., 2003) driven by the same meteorology from the GISS GCM 3 and atmospheric CO₂ concentrations following the IPCC A1B scenario (IPCC, 2001). Changes in anthropogenic land use over the period of 2000-2050 were simulated by the IMAGE model following the IPCC A1B scenario (IMAGE-Team, 2001; IPCC, 2001; MNP, 2006).

The LPJ DGVM simulates vegetation cover, density and other variables for nine different plant functional types (PFTs) (Sitch et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2012). Vegetation data including vegetation density (expressed as leaf area index, or LAI) and fractional coverage from LPJ are archived at 1° x 1° (longitude x latitude) resolution. We use 10-yr average vegetation data to

derive the 2000-2050 changes in land use/land cover (1990-2000 for the present-day and 2040-2050 for the future). Dry deposition of Hg(0) and gas phase Hg(II) follows a standard resistance-in-series scheme (Wang et al., 1998; Wesely, 1989) with updates to include the impacts of Leaf Area Index (LAI) on stomatal resistance (Gao and Wesely, 1995). Dry deposition velocities for each grid box are calculated with surface values of momentum and sensible heat flux, temperatures, solar radiation and other meteorology variables (Bey et al., 2001).

We conduct five model simulations to separate the impacts associated with climate change and those associated with land use/land cover change: (1) present-day climate and present-day land use and land cover (2000 climate + 2000 LU&LC), (2) future climate and present-day land use and land cover (2050 climate + 2000 LU&LC), (3) present-day climate and future land use and land cover (2000 climate + 2050 LU&LC), (4) present-day climate and present-day land cover (with only natural vegetation) (2000 climate + 2000 LC), (5) present-day climate and future land cover (with only natural vegetation) (2000 climate + 2050 LC). In simulations (4) and (5), only natural vegetation are included while anthropogenic land use such as crops are excluded. Anthropogenic, biomass burning and geogenic emissions of mercury remain at the present-day levels for all the cases, while ocean and land emissions respond dynamically to changes in climate and land use/land cover. The climate-induced changes in temperature, precipitation, cloudiness, and atmospheric transport can all affect atmospheric mercury. In this study, we do not account for the potential impacts from climate change on net primary productivity and the wind-driven Ekman pumping. Case (1) serves as the control run and the difference between case (2) and case (1) reflects the influence from climate change. The difference between (4) and (5) represents the impacts from land cover change while the difference between (3) and (1) are the impacts from the combined effects from land use and land cover. Following (Selin et al., 2008), steady state of emissions and deposition on the 4° x 5° model grid is applied to compute natural soil mercury concentrations in the preindustrial conditions. For the present-day, soil mercury concentrations are increased by 15% globally (Mason and Sheu, 2002) and distributed following the pattern of present-day anthropogenic deposition. For present-day, each simulation covers a five-year period (i.e. 1998-2002 or 2048-2052) which is preceded by 5 years of spin-up. All the results analyzed and discussed in this study are based on 5-year averages.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1 Model Evaluation

Mercury simulations with the GEOS-Chem model driven by the NASA/GEOS assimilated meteorological fields have been extensively evaluated in previous studies (Amos et al., 2012; Holmes et al., 2010; Selin et al., 2007; Soerensen et al., 2010; Song et al., 2015; Strode et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2012b). In this study, we first evaluate the GEOS-Chem mercury simulations driven by GISS GCM 3 meteorological fields. Fig. 1 shows the simulated concentrations of total gaseous mercury (TGM = Hg(0) + gas phase Hg(II)) in surface air compared with observations. In general, the model reproduces the spatial distribution of atmospheric mercury at 39 continental sites ($r^2 = 0.78$) although it tends to underestimate the TGM surface concentrations. The model calculated mean and standard deviation (SD) for atmospheric mercury concentrations over these sites is $1.52 \pm 0.25 \text{ ng/m}^3$, compared to the $1.86 \pm 0.99 \text{ ng/m}^3$ for the observational data. One likely reason for the underestimate of the surface TGM concentration in our model is the relatively coarse vertical resolution in the boundary layer (0.4 km), which is unable to resolve vertical TGM gradients in strong emission regions, where many TGM measurements are made.

Figure 1. Global distribution of TGM concentrations in surface air. Model results (background) are annual average for year 1998-2002. Measurements data (diamonds) and all other observed ship cruise data (circles) are as those used from (Holmes et al., 2010).

Fig. 2 shows the model calculated annual average wet deposition flux for the present-day (1998-2002) compared with measurements from the Mercury Deposition Network (MDN) (NADP, 2009) over North America. The model simulates the magnitude of wet deposition flux reasonably well with a mean bias of -5% relative to the MDN observation data with correlation of $r^2=0.26$. The model overestimates the wet deposition flux in the southwest United States. This could be partly driven by the overestimated precipitation by the GISS CCM 3. For example, we find that at one site in New Mexico (NM10), the precipitation from GISS GCM 3 is 2.4 times higher than the observed precipitation from MDN while the model simulated wet deposition at that site is 83% higher than the measurement data from MDN. The modelled largest mercury wet deposition flux is found in Southeast United States as in other studies (Holmes et al., 2010; Selin and Jacob, 2008; Zhang et al., 2012b; Zhang and Jaegle, 2013) but the model did not capture the magnitude of the observed wet deposition flux with a mean bias of -30% relative to the measured

data. Convective scavenging of mercury from the free troposphere very likely contributes to the regional feature (Selin and Jacob, 2008; Zhang et al., 2012b).

Figure 2. Annual average total mercury (gas phase Hg(II) + particulate phase Hg(II)) wet deposition flux (in $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^2/\text{yr}$) over North America. Model results (background) for 1998-2002 annual average are compared to observed data from the Mercury Deposition Network (circles) from 2006-2008 as used in (Holmes et al., 2010). More details about the data can be found in (Holmes et al., 2010).

The present-day global mercury burden in the atmosphere is estimated to be 4840 Mg yr^{-1} , which is in the range of $4600 - 5600 \text{ Mg yr}^{-1}$ as estimated by literature studies (Amos et al., 2012; Selin, 2009; Shia et al., 1999). Mercury emission from geogenic sources follows Selin et al. (2007) with a global total of 500 Mg yr^{-1} and is assumed to remain constant in the future. Biomass burning emission of mercury is estimated to be 243 Mg yr^{-1} following the distribution of Global Fire Emissions Database version 3 (van der Werf et al., 2010) of CO with a constant Hg/CO ratio of $100 \text{ nmol mol}^{-1}$ (Holmes et al., 2010). The mercury emission from soil is affected by solar radiation following Holmes et al. (2010) and Zhang et al. (2001) with the global total emission calculated to be 814 Mg yr^{-1} for the present-day.

We calculate 241 Mg yr⁻¹ and 204 Mg yr⁻¹ re-emission of Hg(0) from the non-snow covered and snow covered land surface respectively. We define net emission of Hg(0) from the terrestrial surfaces as total Hg(0) emissions from terrestrial surface minus total Hg(0) dry deposition to terrestrial surface, i.e. (soil emission + biomass burning emission + geogenic emission + prompt recycling emission – dry deposition). We then calculate the net emission of Hg(0) from terrestrial surface for present-day is 500 Mg yr⁻¹, lower than the previous bottom-up estimates 1140-5280 Mg yr⁻¹ of Mason (2009) and Pirrone et al. (2010). It is lower than the average of 1070 Mg yr⁻¹ (uncertainty range: -510 to 3130 Mg yr⁻¹) of Song et al. (2015) from a standard GEOS-Chem simulation and the major uncertainty there comes from estimation of soil emission (1680 ± 840 Mg yr⁻¹); while it is close to the average of 340 Mg yr⁻¹ (uncertainty range: -590 to 1750 Mg yr⁻¹) from GEOS-Chem simulations with optimized emission/parameters based on Bayesian inversion of Song et al. (2015). Recent work of Agnan et al. (2016) estimated a global net emission of Hg(0) from terrestrial surface to have a median of 607 Mg yr⁻¹ with uncertainty range of [-513 Mg yr⁻¹, 1653 Mg yr⁻¹] (representing 37.5th and 62.5th percentile range) by extrapolating the best statistical distribution of measurement fluxes from different land cover types and surfaces.

Previous studies (Zhang et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2012a) have shown that Hg(0) dry deposition velocity values are larger over ecosystem with larger LAI due to the dominant effect of LAI and gaseous Hg(II) has much larger dry deposition velocity than that of Hg(0). Here, the calculated Hg(0) dry deposition velocity ranges from 0.01 cm/s to 0.05 cm/s globally with higher values (0.03 – 0.05 cm/s) over vegetated areas such as Southeast United States, Amazon forest and northern mid-latitudes. Gaseous Hg(II) dry deposition velocity is estimated to range from 0.06 - 5 cm/s globally in this work. These calculated values are well within the range reported by previous studies (Zhang et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2012a). Annual mean Hg(0) dry deposition flux in the central and eastern United States from this work ranging from 11 - 20 µg/m²/yr are in the range of Zhang et al. (2012a) which estimated Hg(0) dry deposition flux to be 5.2 - 34.4 µg/m²/yr at sites in this region, obtaining good agreements with litterfall measurements (Risch et al., 2012a). The global total Hg(0) dry deposition to the land of 1440 Mg for present-day is well within the previous published data (Holmes et al., 2010; Selin et al., 2008; Song et al., 2015). These imply that the model estimates could be reasonable for Hg(0) dry deposition.

3.2 Effects on Atmospheric Chemistry and Composition

Bromine reactions with Hg(0) are very sensitive to air temperature, with the net oxidation rate falling roughly 11% for each 1 K increase in temperature (Holmes et al., 2006). Fig. 3 shows the zonal mean gross oxidation of Hg(0) for present-day and the difference due to 2000-2050 climate change. Bromine concentrations are assumed to be the same in the future climate since little is known about how its marine sources may change (Hossaini et al., 2013; Hughes et al., 2012). The higher tropospheric temperatures in the future climate favor decomposition of the HgBr reaction intermediate and therefore suppress oxidation of Hg(0) to Hg(II) (Fig. 3). Although the mechanism for atmospheric reduction of Hg(II) is uncertain, numerous studies have suggested that aqueous photochemical reactions in clouds or aerosols are involved (Lin et al., 2006; Subir et al., 2011, 2012). Rising atmospheric temperatures in the future climate expand the regions where liquid-water clouds exist to higher latitudes and altitudes. Our model therefore predicts 5% greater Hg(II) reduction in the future climate. The interannual variability (IAV, calculated as standard deviation of annual mean values) in present-day Hg(II) reduction is 16 Mg yr^{-1} , which is 0.9% of the mean. In the future scenario, the IAV rises to 27 Mg yr^{-1} , which is 1.4% of the future mean. Against this low IAV, the simulated 5% increase in Hg(II) reduction is significant. Despite the uncertainties associated with the current atmospheric mercury redox chemistry (Holmes et al., 2006; Holmes et al., 2010; Lin et al., 2006; Pongprueksa et al., 2008; Subir et al., 2011, 2012), our results suggest that climate change could lead to large perturbations to atmospheric mercury chemistry.

Figure 3. Zonal mean gross oxidation of Hg(0) (left) and the difference due to 2000-2050 climate change (right).

The change in future temperature could have potential effects on the partitioning of Hg(II) between gas and particulate phase. Amos et al. (2012) has shown that implementing the partitioning of Hg (II) between gas and particulate phase in GEOS-Chem model has resulted in Hg(II) fraction in the particulate phase from less than 10% in warm air with low aerosol and more than 90% in cold air with high aerosol load. This feature is reflected in our model results for the present-day conditions as shown in Fig. 4. The increase in global surface temperature by about 2K in the future favors more Hg(II) in the gas phase over the particulate phase in most parts of the world (Fig. 4) with the mean fraction of Hg(II) partitioned into the particle phase decreasing by 5% globally in both January and July. This would have important influences for mercury speciation and subsequent effects on global distribution of mercury deposition. Particulate Hg(II) can be more efficiently scavenged by snow than gaseous Hg(II) (Amos et al., 2012; Holmes et al., 2010; Selin et al., 2008). One important implication could be the influence on mercury deposition in the high latitudes as suggested by Amos et al. (2012) which showed that the implementation of the partitioning relationship leads to increasing Hg(II) deposition at high latitudes comparing to a sensitivity simulation that assumes all Hg(II) to be all in gaseous phase. The model results predict that future climate could cause the fraction of Hg(II) in particulate phase to decrease by 45% and 5% in January in northern (Arctic Ocean) and southern (Antarctic Ocean) high latitude (poleward of 70°N and 65°S) while no significant change is

found in July in both high latitudes, mainly driven by the increase in surface air temperature in these two regions in January. We find here that particle phase Hg(II) concentration decreases in high latitudes in both hemispheres affected by the gas phase partitioning and also the increase in precipitation over high latitudes.

Figure 4. Top panels are the mean fraction of Hg(II) partitioned into the particle phase in surface air in January (top left) and July (top right). Bottoms are the respective ratio due to 2000-2050 climate change.

We find that climate change leads to general increase in future surface Hg(0) concentration by up to 5% and 7% in northern and southern hemisphere respectively mainly as a combined result of suppressed Hg(0) oxidation in the troposphere and increased in-cloud reduction of Hg(II) (Fig. 5). The largest increase by up to 0.14 ng/m³ occurs in eastern China where the present-day surface Hg(0) concentration in urban sites are often several folds higher than that of those in North America and Europe (Fu et al., 2012a). We further divide the continental regions into North America (130-80° W and 10-70° N), Europe (10° W-50° E and 35-70° N), Asia (63-145° E and 10-58° N), Africa (15°W-50°E and 35°S-35°N), South America (80-40°W and 55°S-10°N)

and Australia (115-150° E and 35-15°S) shown as the red boxes in the bottom right of Fig. 5. We find climate change causes annual average surface Hg(0) concentration to increase by 4%, 4%, 3%, 5%, 5% and 7% increase in these respective regions and these changes are statistically significant at the 95% confidence level. Unless noted otherwise, the standard error of the mean and confidence levels from two-sample t-test is applied and also accounts for serial correlation in the annual means of the simulation (Wilks, 2006). The IAV of surface Hg(0) concentration is about 0.01 ng/m³ (1% of the mean) over most of the regions in the present-day entailing the longer lifetime of atmospheric Hg(0). At the same time, average surface air Hg(0) concentration in northern and southern high latitudes under future climate scenario increase by 5% and 7% respectively and these increases are significant at the 95% confidence level. This is similar to that of Hansen et al. (2015) which predicated a 4% higher average Arctic air concentration of Hg(0) in the future climate. In addition, our model results here show that annual mean surface Hg(0) concentration over United States will increase by 0.05-0.07 ng/m³ in future climate. A previous study by Megaritis et al. (2014) predicted that climate change following IPCC A2 scenario would slightly decrease the Hg(0) level by up to 0.07 ng/m³ in summer and less in winter (<0.01 ng/m³) over eastern United States, but the atmospheric chemistry including both gaseous phase and aqueous phase oxidation in the model is different with the chemistry in the present model. In contrast, 2000-2050 changes in land use/land cover both individually and together lead to decrease in Hg(0) concentration as a result of the enhanced uptake of Hg(0) by vegetation. Land use and land cover together could drive surface Hg(0) concentration to decrease by 3% at the 95% confidence level in the northern hemisphere although little change was found for the southern hemisphere. The larger decrease in the northern hemisphere reflects the higher vegetation coverage in the northern hemisphere, especially the northern mid-latitudes.

364

365 Figure 5. Annual mean surface Hg(0) concentration for the present-day (top left) and the
 366 difference due to 2000-2050 climate change (top right), 2000-2050 land cover change (bottom
 367 left), 2000-2050 land use/land cover change (bottom right).

368 The changes in surface Hg(II) concentration shows greater spatial variability than Hg(0),
 369 reflecting the shorter lifetime of Hg(II) (Fig. 6). Surface average gaseous phase Hg(II)
 370 concentration over North America is calculated to decrease by 16% due to the increase in wet
 371 deposition. We also find climate change causes surface gas phase Hg(II) concentration to
 372 decrease by 34% and 17% significantly over northern and southern high latitudes while no
 373 significant changes are found in these regions driven by future land use/land cover change. The
 374 decrease is a result of the change in atmospheric chemistry and wet deposition over the high
 375 latitudes in the future climate. (Hansen et al., 2015) also found 41% decrease in average Arctic
 376 air concentration of RGM (equivalent to gas phase Hg(II) in the present study) in the future
 377 climate. Surface gaseous phase Hg(II) concentration is calculated to decrease by 10%, 31%, 7%
 378 and 10% in North Pacific (defined as 180-80°W, 25-180°E and 30-70° N), North Atlantic
 379 (defined as 80-25° W and 55-70°N), Middle Atlantic (defined as 80-25° W and 25°S-55°N) and

South Atlantic (defined as 80-25° W and 65°-25°S), respectively. The decreases are driven by increase in precipitation and suppressed Hg(0) oxidation in the troposphere. In contrast, land use/land cover change drive surface average gas phase Hg(II) concentration decreases by 4% and 12% in South America and Australia respectively, largely driven by the increase in Hg(II) dry deposition and these changes are significant at the 95% confidence level.

Figure 6. Same as Figure 5, but for annual mean surface gaseous phase Hg(II) concentration.

3.3 Effects on the Air-Surface Exchange of Mercury

Air-surface exchanges, including emissions and deposition, are important processes affecting the circulation and distribution of mercury in the global environment. Fig. 7 shows the model calculated annual mean Hg(0) dry deposition flux for present-day and the perturbations due to 2000-2050 changes in climate and land use/land cover, individually and together. The changes in

future climate alone cause general increase in annual mean Hg(0) dry deposition flux in most of the continental regions by up to $1.0 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^2/\text{yr}$ (the IAV ranges from 0.06 to $0.2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^2/\text{yr}$). We find the climate-induced change in global annual average Hg(0) dry deposition velocity is negligible and therefore the increases in Hg(0) dry deposition flux are mainly driven by the increases in surface Hg(0) concentrations as discussed in Sec 3.2.

Figure 7. Annual mean Hg(0) dry deposition flux for the present-day (top left) and the impacts from 2000-2050 climate change (top right), land cover change (bottom left), and the combined land use/land cover change (bottom right).

Projected 2000-2050 vegetation changes alone increase annual mean Hg(0) dry deposition flux in most parts of Northern mid-latitudes, North Africa, Northern Australia and southern part of South America (bottom left panel in Fig. 7). We find large increase in annual mean Hg(0) dry deposition flux by up to $6 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^2/\text{yr}$ in western United States, part of North Africa, central Asia and northern China. This change is driven by a 50-80% increase in Hg(0) dry deposition velocity over denser vegetation in the future, which is caused by CO_2 fertilization and climate change. As discussed in Wu et al. (2012), some conifer forests dominated by needle leaf trees are expected

to be replaced by temperate forests dominated by broadleaf trees following the 2000-2050 natural vegetation change. Globally, it is simulated that the spatial coverage of temperate broadleaf summer green trees will increase by ~20% while boreal needle leaf evergreen trees decrease by ~7%. The most significant changes in vegetation cover are found over northern mid-latitudes, with ~39% increase in temperate deciduous broadleaf trees and ~8% decrease in boreal evergreen needle leaf trees. Furthermore, general increases in LAI are found everywhere except for the subtropical regions in response to changes in vegetation over the 2000-2050 period driven by climate change and CO₂ fertilization. Decrease in Hg(0) dry deposition flux up to 2 µg/m²/yr are found over eastern United States, part of Amazon forest and southern Australia, driven by decrease in LAI. Overall, surface Hg(0) dry deposition flux is found to increase significantly by 5%, 4%, 4%, and 4% in North America, Europe, Asia and Africa respectively. No significant change is found in South America while decrease by 34% is found in Australia largely due to the decrease in LAI.

The IPCC A1B scenario (IPCC, 2001; MNP, 2006) projects that agricultural land area will increase over some regions including the eastern United States, South Asia and Central Africa but decrease over East Asia driven by the changes in population, economic development and energy consumption (MNP, 2006). We find that in general the anthropogenic land use change has a smaller impact on mercury deposition when compared to the impact from natural vegetation change (driven by changes in climate and atmospheric CO₂ fertilization). When considering the combined effects from natural vegetation change and anthropogenic land use change, decrease in Hg(0) dry deposition flux over South Asian and middle of central Africa up to 2 µg/m²/yr are found in response to the decrease in LAI driven by agricultural expansion and deforestation there. The combined effects of land use and land cover cause similar change in surface Hg(0) dry deposition flux over North America (+4%), Europe (+3%) and Africa (+5%) compared with that of land cover change alone. The role of vegetation as a source or sink of atmospheric Hg(0) has been in debate, but more recent assessments show that vegetation acts more as a net sink (Ericksen et al., 2003; Hartman et al., 2009; Rutter et al., 2011). Our results here suggest the strong sensitivity of Hg(0) dry deposition to future land use/land cover change in spite of large uncertainties associated with the atmosphere-foliar exchange flux measurement (Agnan et al., 2016; Zhu et al., 2016). It further emphasizes the importance of better constraint on atmosphere-surface exchange of Hg(0).

441 Fig. 8 shows the model calculated annual mean total mercury (gaseous phase Hg(II) + particulate
442 phase Hg(II)) wet deposition flux for the present-day and the perturbations due to 2000-2050
443 climate change as well as the changes in precipitation. The changes in total mercury wet
444 deposition flux are well correlated with the changes in precipitation in the future climate (lower
445 right panel of Fig. 8), with the noticeable exception of the tropical region. With abundant
446 precipitation in the tropical rain belt, wet scavenging is nearly insensitive to the increases in
447 precipitation, so the suppressed oxidation of Hg(0) to Hg(II) leads to decreased wet deposition of
448 Hg(II) in the tropics. We find 6% and 7% at the 95% confidence level the increase in annual
449 mean mercury wet deposition flux in Africa and South America while no significant changes are
450 found in North America, Europe, Asia and Australia (Present-day IAV of annual mean mercury
451 wet deposition flux is about 2% - 3% of the mean). Furthermore, annual mean mercury wet
452 deposition flux decreases by 3% in Middle Atlantic while the changes in North Pacific, North
453 Atlantic and South Atlantic are not significant. Annual mean mercury wet deposition flux to the
454 northern and southern high latitude increase by 7% and 8% respectively largely driven by the
455 increase in precipitation. The annual total precipitation increases by 21% and 11% respectively.

Figure 8. Annual mean total wet deposition flux for the present-day (top left) and the difference due to 2000-2050 climate change (top right), the ratio due to 2000-2050 climate change (bottom left), annual mean total surface precipitation ratio due to 2000-2050 climate change (bottom right).

We further examine the impacts of changes in climate and land use/land cover on the gross deposition of mercury (dry deposition, wet deposition plus sea salt uptake of gaseous Hg(II)). Fig. 9 shows the model calculated annual mean gross mercury deposition flux for the present-day and the perturbations due to 2000-2050 changes in climate, natural vegetation and agricultural land use. Climate change leads to general increases in gross deposition flux over the continental regions and decreases over most of the ocean areas in northern hemisphere, mainly following the changes in mercury wet deposition as discussed above. Specifically, we find annual mean gross mercury deposition flux increases by 3%, 3%, 4%, 4% and 3% in North America, Asia, Africa, South America and Australia, respectively and these changes are statistically significant at the 95% confidence level. No significant changes are found in and Europe. Annual mean gross

mercury deposition flux is only found to decrease significantly by 5% in Middle Atlantic Ocean basin while no significant changes are found in North Pacific, North Atlantic, South Atlantic, northern and southern high latitudes. Our results here for the northern high latitudes is different from Hansen et al. (2015) which followed SRES A1B (Nakicenovic et al., 2000) for future climate (2090-2100) Hg simulation using a different Hg model. (Hansen et al., 2015) found that the total deposition of Hg to the Arctic Circle (66.5 °N) was significantly 18% lower in the future climate than the present-day climate, mainly due to the lower RGM air concentrations. In response to the 2000-2050 changes in land use/land cover, the gross deposition of mercury is projected to increase over most continental regions but decrease over oceans. This implies that, due to the enhanced uptake of mercury associated with denser vegetation in the 2050s, a larger fraction of mercury would be accumulated in the terrestrial reservoir rather than the ocean reservoir. This has significant implications for policies as atmospheric deposition of mercury serves as the dominant source of mercury inputs to open ocean regions (Mason and Sheu, 2002; Soerensen et al., 2010; Sunderland and Mason, 2007).

Figure 9. Same as Figure 7, but for total (Hg(0) + Hg(II)) mercury deposition flux.

487

488 **3.4 Effects on the Global and Regional Mercury Budget**

489 Table 1a and Table 1b shows the global and regional total Hg(0) dry deposition and total wet
490 deposition of gaseous and particulate phase Hg(II). Climate change impacts global mercury
491 cycling through different channels. First of all, climate change could impact the air-surface
492 exchange process. We find that climate change causes Hg(0) dry deposition to increase
493 significantly in most of the continental regions and globally mainly driven by the increase in
494 surface Hg(0) concentration. The global total uptake of mercury by sea salt aerosol is found to
495 decrease by 8% (not shown in the table), mainly driven by the decrease in atmospheric Hg(II)
496 concentration. Total wet deposition are calculated to increase by 5% and 6% in South America
497 and Africa and no significant change is found globally. In addition, climate change potentially
498 influences secondary emission of Hg(0). We find a 9% (not shown in the table) decrease in
499 global mercury emissions from snow, which is mainly due to the decreases in snow cover in a
500 warmer climate. The decrease in re-emission of Hg(0) together with the increase in Hg(0) dry
501 deposition causes the global net emission of Hg(0) from terrestrial surface in the 2050 climate to
502 decrease by 11%.

503 Changes in vegetation in the future primarily affect mercury dry deposition over the continental
504 regions. Global total Hg(0) dry deposition is calculated to increase by 3% as a result of combined
505 effects from anthropogenic land use change and natural vegetation changes over the 2000-2050
506 period. This is largely driven by the increase in LAI, which enhances the vegetation uptake of
507 Hg(0). We also find the 2000-2050 changes in land use and land cover cause global net emission
508 of Hg(0) to decrease by 9% mainly as a result of the augmentation in Hg(0) dry deposition.

509

510 Table 1a. Global and regional total Hg(0) dry deposition for the present-day and the
511 perturbations due to 2000-2050 changes in climate and land use/land cover. The regions are
512 those defined in Sec 3.2.

2000 Climate + 2000 LU&LC	2050 Climate + 2000 LU&LC	2000 Climate + 2000 LU&LC
------------------------------	------------------------------	------------------------------

North America	161 ^a	171 (+6%) ^b	172 (+7%)
Europe	114	124 (+7%)	117 (+3%)
South America	218	224 (+7%)	217
Asia	223	236 (+4%)	236 (+6%)
Africa	261	267	275 (+5%)
global	1440	1508 (+5%)	1480 (+3%)

^a Units are in Mg/yr. ^b Numbers in the parentheses are the percent changes at the 95% confidence level and also significant comparing with the respective IAV of present-day.

Table 1b. Same as Table 1a, but for total wet deposition of gaseous and particulate phase Hg(II).

	2000 Climate + 2000 LU&LC	2050 Climate + 2000 LU&LC	2000 Climate + 2000 LU&LC
North America	133	136	131
Europe	95	90	94
South America	100	106 (+6%)	98
Asia	297	307	293
Africa	167	176 (+5%)	166
global	2918	2947	2882

No significant change is found in global ocean evasion in the future climate but significant regional changes are found. Ocean evasion from the Arctic Ocean and Antarctic Ocean increase by 29% and 14% respectively, largely affected by increase in temperature with Arctic increasing

by 3.5K and Antarctic 1.6K. No significant changes are found for North Pacific Ocean and Atlantic Ocean (North Atlantic + Middle Atlantic + South Atlantic). We note our results here only show partial sensitivity of air-surface ocean exchange to meteorology change such as temperature. Some recent offline global ocean models (Zhang et al., 2014a; Zhang et al., 2014b) have been developed to study the anthropogenic influence on mercury levels in the ocean, but have not been coupled to atmospheric chemical transport model. In addition, sea ice cover plays an important role in air-ocean exchange of Hg(0) (Chen et al., 2015; Fisher et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2015) and it is not explicitly simulated in the model used in this study.

Climate change could affect the atmospheric mercury redox chemistry mainly through the change in temperature. The increase in secondary emission of Hg(0) and the increase in in-cloud of reduction of Hg(II) together lead to an increase of 7% increase of global Hg(0) burden as seen in Table 2. Correspondingly, the global average atmospheric lifetime of mercury is calculated to increase by 4% which could have potential effects on the long range transport of mercury.

Table 2. Global atmospheric mercury burden, oxidation and reduction, and atmospheric lifetime of mercury for the present-day and the perturbations due to 2000-2050 changes in climate and land use/land cover.

	2000 climate + 2000 LU&LC	2050 climate + 2000 LU&LC	2000 climate + 2050 LU&LC
Global Hg(0) burden (Mg yr^{-1})	3990	4260(+7%) ^a	3940
Gross oxidation of Hg(0)	5857	5921	5783
Gross reduction of Hg(II)	1839	1925(+5%)	1815
Atmospheric lifetime of mercury (yr)	0.78	0.81 (+4%)	0.77

^a Numbers in the parentheses are the percent changes at the 95% confidence level and also significant comparing with the respective IAV of present-day.

4. Conclusions and Discussion

We investigate the potential impacts on atmospheric mercury from 2000-2050 changes in climate and land use/land cover by combining a global chemical transport model (GEOS-Chem), a general circulation model (GISS GCM 3), and a global dynamic vegetation model (LPJ).

Our results demonstrate the potential impacts from climate change on atmospheric mercury through different processes. First, the future changes in precipitation have important implications for the spatial distribution of total mercury wet deposition. Atmospheric mercury redox chemistry is also affected by climate change through changes in temperature and cloud water with global mercury reduction increasing by 5%. The increase in atmospheric mercury reduction cause surface Hg(0) concentration to increase globally with significant changes occurred over most continental regions and ocean regions. As a consequence, Hg(0) dry deposition flux increases globally. In addition, climate change can significantly affect secondary mercury emissions. Reduced snow coverage causes 9% global decrease in Hg(0) emissions from snow. The future changes in surface ocean temperature and atmospheric deposition driven by climate change also result in significant changes in atmospheric-surface ocean exchange of atmospheric Hg(0).

Land use and land cover changes lead to general increases in Hg(0) dry deposition flux with large spatial variations. This is largely due to the augmented Hg(0) dry deposition velocity driven by changes in vegetation type and density. We find general increase in annual mean Hg(0) dry deposition flux in northern mid-latitudes, which reflects the prevailing changes of vegetation. The largest increases in the annual mean Hg(0) dry deposition flux by up to 6 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^2/\text{yr}$ are found over western United States, part of North Africa, central Asia and northern China. The global total Hg(0) dry deposition in the 2050s will increase by 3% driven by changes in natural vegetation and anthropogenic land use.

Our results here show significant change in surface Hg(0) and gaseous Hg(II) concentration in the Arctic Ocean driven by future change in climate. Previous study by Fisher et al. (2013) has shown that the larger interannual variability in atmospheric Hg in several observation sites in the Arctic is driven by environmental factors such as temperature and potentially climate change over the past 30 years. Other studies also suggest the biogeochemical cycling of Hg in the Arctic Ocean is sensitive to ongoing climate variability (Krabbenhoft and Sunderland, 2013; Soerensen

et al., 2016; Stern et al., 2012). Our results here illustrate the potential effects of future climate change on atmospheric mercury in the Arctic Ocean and the discrepancy between model results in our work and that of Hansen et al. (2015) requires more modeling efforts to reduce the uncertainty.

Our results show that gross mercury deposition flux could increase over most continental regions driven by changes in 2000-2050 climate and land use/land cover. This implies increased mercury uptake by the terrestrial system which decreases the mobilization of Hg. However, deep ocean and soil reservoirs are not explicitly simulated in our model and we are unable to evaluate the long-term impact on soil and deep ocean reservoir of mercury. This study does not account for the potential effects on biomass burning emissions of mercury associated with the changes in climate and land use/land cover. Some preliminary results from an ongoing research (Kumar et al., 2016) indicate these effects can be significant for some regions. There are also substantial uncertainties associated with the model treatment of air-surface exchange (Selin et al., 2008; Soerensen et al., 2010; Song et al., 2015) and atmospheric chemistry of mercury (Holmes et al., 2006; Holmes et al., 2010) as well as the mercury measurement (Jaffe et al., 2014). These uncertainties can significantly affect our projection on the long-term evolution of atmospheric mercury in the coming decades. More mercury measurement and model development efforts are needed to reduce these uncertainties (Gustin et al., 2015).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (grant #1313755) and U.S. EPA (grant #83518901). We are grateful to Dr. Jed Kaplan (University of Lausanne) for providing the LPJ vegetation data. We thank Dr. Noelle E. Selin (MIT) for helpful discussion on the atmospheric mercury chemistry in the model. We also thank Dr. Anne L. Soerensen (Stockholm University) and Dr. Jenny A. Fisher (University of Wollongong) for helpful discussion on air-ocean exchange of mercury in the model. Superior, a high performance computing cluster at Michigan Technological University, was used in obtaining results presented in this publication.

Reference

607 Agnan, Y., Le Dantec, T., Moore, C. W., Edwards, G. C., and Obrist, D.: New Constraints on Terrestrial
608 Surface-Atmosphere Fluxes of Gaseous Elemental Mercury Using a Global Database, *Environmental*
609 *science & technology*, 50, 507-524, 10.1021/acs.est.5b04013, 2016.

610 Almeida, M. D., Marins, R. V., Paraquetti, H. H. M., Bastos, W. R., and Lacerda, L. D.: Mercury degassing
611 from forested and open field soils in Rondonia, Western Amazon, Brazil, *Chemosphere*, 77, 60-66,
612 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.05.018, 2009.

613 Amos, H. M., Jacob, D. J., Holmes, C. D., Fisher, J. A., Wang, Q., Yantosca, R. M., Corbitt, E. S., Galarneau,
614 E., Rutter, A. P., Gustin, M. S., Steffen, A., Schauer, J. J., Graydon, J. A., St Louis, V. L., Talbot, R. W.,
615 Edgerton, E. S., Zhang, Y., and Sunderland, E. M.: Gas-particle partitioning of atmospheric Hg(II) and its
616 effect on global mercury deposition, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 12, 591-603, 10.5194/acp-12-
617 591-2012, 2012.

618 Amos, H. M., Jacob, D. J., Streets, D. G., and Sunderland, E. M.: Legacy impacts of all-time anthropogenic
619 emissions on the global mercury cycle, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 27, 410-421, 10.1002/gbc.20040,
620 2013.

621 Andersson, M. E., Gardfeldt, K., Wangberg, I., and Stromberg, D.: Determination of Henry's law constant
622 for elemental mercury, *Chemosphere*, 73, 587-592, 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.05.067, 2008.

623 Bachelet, D., Neilson, R. P., Lenihan, J. M., and Drapek, R. J.: Climate change effects on vegetation
624 distribution and carbon budget in the United States, *Ecosystems*, 4, 164-185, 10.1007/s10021-001-0002-
625 7, 2001.

626 Bash, J. O., Bresnahan, P., and Miller, D. R.: Dynamic surface interface exchanges of mercury: A review
627 and compartmentalized modeling framework, *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*, 46,
628 1606-1618, 10.1175/jam2553.1, 2007.

629 Bash, J. O.: Description and initial simulation of a dynamic bidirectional air-surface exchange model for
630 mercury in Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model, *Journal of Geophysical Research-*
631 *Atmospheres*, 115, 10.1029/2009jd012834, 2010.

632 Bergan, T., Gallardo, L., and Rodhe, H.: Mercury in the global troposphere: a three-dimensional model
633 study, *Atmospheric Environment*, 33, 1575-1585, 10.1016/s1352-2310(98)00370-7, 1999.

634 Bey, I., Jacob, D. J., Yantosca, R. M., Logan, J. A., Field, B. D., Fiore, A. M., Li, Q. B., Liu, H. G. Y., Mickley, L.
635 J., and Schultz, M. G.: Global modeling of tropospheric chemistry with assimilated meteorology: Model
636 description and evaluation, *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 106, 23073-23095, 2001.

637 Blackwell, B. D., Driscoll, C. T., Maxwell, J. A., and Holsen, T. M.: Changing climate alters inputs and
638 pathways of mercury deposition to forested ecosystems, *Biogeochemistry*, 119, 215-228,
639 10.1007/s10533-014-9961-6, 2014.

640 Chen, L., Zhang, Y., Jacob, D. J., Soerensen, A. L., Fisher, J. A., Horowitz, H. M., Corbitt, E. S., and Wang,
641 X.: A decline in Arctic Ocean mercury suggested by differences in decadal trends of atmospheric mercury
642 between the Arctic and northern midlatitudes, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, 6076-6083,
643 10.1002/2015gl064051, 2015.

644 Choi, A. L., and Grandjean, P.: Methylmercury exposure and health effects in humans, *Environmental*
645 *Chemistry*, 5, 112-120, 10.1071/en08014, 2008.

646 Corbitt, E. S., Jacob, D. J., Holmes, C. D., Streets, D. G., and Sunderland, E. M.: Global Source-Receptor
647 Relationships for Mercury Deposition Under Present-Day and 2050 Emissions Scenarios, *Environmental*
648 *Science & Technology*, 45, 10477-10484, 10.1021/es202496y, 2011.

649 Cox, P. M., Betts, R. A., Collins, M., Harris, P. P., Huntingford, C., and Jones, C. D.: Amazonian forest
650 dieback under climate-carbon cycle projections for the 21st century, *Theoretical and Applied*
651 *Climatology*, 78, 137-156, 10.1007/s00704-004-0049-4, 2004.

652 Cramer, W., Bondeau, A., Woodward, F. I., Prentice, I. C., Betts, R. A., Brovkin, V., Cox, P. M., Fisher, V.,
653 Foley, J. A., Friend, A. D., Kucharik, C., Lomas, M. R., Ramankutty, N., Sitch, S., Smith, B., White, A., and
654 Young-Molling, C.: Global response of terrestrial ecosystem structure and function to CO₂ and climate
655 change: results from six dynamic global vegetation models, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 7, 357-373,
656 10.1046/j.1365-2486.2001.00383.x, 2001.

657 Eckley, C. S., and Branfireun, B.: Gaseous mercury emissions from urban surfaces: Controls and
658 spatiotemporal trends, *Applied Geochemistry*, 23, 369-383, 10.1016/j.apgeochem.2007.12.008, 2008.

659 Ericksen, J. A., Gustin, M. S., Schorran, D. E., Johnson, D. W., Lindberg, S. E., and Coleman, J. S.:
660 Accumulation of atmospheric mercury in forest foliage, *Atmospheric Environment*, 37, 1613-1622,
661 10.1016/s1352-2310(03)00008-6, 2003.

662 Ericksen, J. A., and Gustin, M. S.: Foliar exchange of mercury as a function of soil and air mercury
663 concentrations, *Science of the Total Environment*, 324, 271-279, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.200310.034, 2004.

664 Ericksen, J. A., Gustin, M. S., Xin, M., Weisberg, P. J., and Fernandez, G. C. J.: Air-soil exchange of mercury
665 from background soils in the United States, *Science of the Total Environment*, 366, 851-863,
666 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2005.08.019, 2006.

667 Fain, X., Grangeon, S., Bahlmann, E., Fritsche, J., Obrist, D., Dommergue, A., Ferrari, C. P., Cairns, W.,
668 Ebinghaus, R., Barbante, C., Cescon, P., and Boutron, C.: Diurnal production of gaseous mercury in the
669 alpine snowpack before snowmelt, *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 112,
670 10.1029/2007jd008520, 2007.

671 Fain, X., Ferrari, C. P., Dommergue, A., Albert, M., Battle, M., Arnaud, L., Barnola, J. M., Cairns, W.,
672 Barbante, C., and Boutron, C.: Mercury in the snow and firn at Summit Station, Central Greenland, and
673 implications for the study of past atmospheric mercury levels, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 8,
674 3441-3457, 2008.

675 Fisher, J. A., Jacob, D. J., Soerensen, A. L., Amos, H. M., Steffen, A., and Sunderland, E. M.: Riverine
676 source of Arctic Ocean mercury inferred from atmospheric observations, *Nature Geoscience*, 5, 499-504,
677 10.1038/ngeo1478, 2012.

678 Fisher, J. A., Jacob, D. J., Soerensen, A. L., Amos, H. M., Corbitt, E. S., Streets, D. G., Wang, Q., Yantosca,
679 R. M., and Sunderland, E. M.: Factors driving mercury variability in the Arctic atmosphere and ocean
680 over the past 30 years, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 27, 1226-1235, 10.1002/2013gb004689, 2013.

681 Fu, X., Feng, X., Sommar, J., and Wang, S.: A review of studies on atmospheric mercury in China, *Science*
682 *of the Total Environment*, 421, 73-81, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.09.089, 2012a.

683 Fu, X., Feng, X., Zhang, H., Yu, B., and Chen, L.: Mercury emissions from natural surfaces highly impacted
684 by human activities in Guangzhou province, South China, *Atmospheric Environment*, 54, 185-193,
685 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.02.008, 2012b.

686 Ganzeveld, L., Bouwman, L., Stehfest, E., van Vuuren, D. P., Eickhout, B., and Lelieveld, J.: Impact of
687 future land use and land cover changes on atmospheric chemistry-climate interactions, *Journal of*
688 *Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 115, 10.1029/2010jd014041, 2010.

689 Gao, W., and Wesely, M. L.: MODELING GASEOUS DRY DEPOSITION OVER REGIONAL SCALES WITH
690 SATELLITE-OBSERVATIONS .1. MODEL DEVELOPMENT, *Atmospheric Environment*, 29, 727-737,
691 10.1016/1352-2310(94)00284-r, 1995.

692 Gratz, L. E., Keeler, G. J., and Miller, E. K.: Long-term relationships between mercury wet deposition and
693 meteorology, *Atmospheric Environment*, 43, 6218-6229, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.08.040, 2009.

694 Gustin, M. S., Engle, M., Ericksen, J., Lyman, S., Stamenkovic, J., and Xin, M.: Mercury exchange between
695 the atmosphere and low mercury containing substrates, *Applied Geochemistry*, 21, 1913-1923,
696 10.1016/j.apgeochem.2006.08.007, 2006.

697 Gustin, M. S., Lindberg, S. E., and Weisberg, P. J.: An update on the natural sources and sinks of
698 atmospheric mercury, *Applied Geochemistry*, 23, 482-493, 10.1016/j.apgeochem.2007.12.010, 2008.

699 Gustin, M. S., Amos, H. M., Huang, J., Miller, M. B., and Heidecorn, K.: Measuring and modeling mercury
700 in the atmosphere: a critical review, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 15, 5697-5713, 10.5194/acp-
701 15-5697-2015, 2015.

702 Hansen, K. M., Christensen, J. H., and Brandt, J.: The Influence of Climate Change on Atmospheric
703 Deposition of Mercury in the Arctic-A Model Sensitivity Study, *International Journal of Environmental*
704 *Research and Public Health*, 12, 11254-11268, 10.3390/ijerph120911254, 2015.

705 Hanson, P. J., Lindberg, S. E., Tabberer, T. A., Owens, J. G., and Kim, K. H.: FOLIAR EXCHANGE OF
706 MERCURY-VAPOR - EVIDENCE FOR A COMPENSATION POINT, *Water Air and Soil Pollution*, 80, 373-382,
707 10.1007/bf01189687, 1995.

708 Hartman, J. S., Weisberg, P. J., Pillai, R., Ericksen, J. A., Kuiken, T., Lindberg, S. E., Zhang, H., Rytuba, J. J.,
709 and Gustin, M. S.: Application of a Rule-Based Model to Estimate Mercury Exchange for Three
710 Background Biomes in the Continental United States, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 43, 4989-
711 4994, 10.1021/es900075q, 2009.

712 Holmes, C. D., Jacob, D. J., and Yang, X.: Global lifetime of elemental mercury against oxidation by
713 atomic bromine in the free troposphere, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, 10.1029/2006gl027176, 2006.

714 Holmes, C. D., Jacob, D. J., Corbitt, E. S., Mao, J., Yang, X., Talbot, R., and Slemr, F.: Global atmospheric
715 model for mercury including oxidation by bromine atoms, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10,
716 12037-12057, 10.5194/acp-10-12037-2010, 2010.

717 Holmes, C. D., Krishnamurthy, N. P., Caffrey, J. M., Landing, W. M., Edgerton, E. S., Knapp, K. R., and Nair,
718 U. S.: Thunderstorms increase mercury wet deposition *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, in review, 2016.

719 Hossaini, R., Mantle, H., Chipperfield, M. P., Montzka, S. A., Hamer, P., Ziska, E., Quack, B., Krueger, K.,
720 Tegtmeier, S., Atlas, E., Sala, S., Engel, A., Boenisch, H., Keber, T., Oram, D., Mills, G., Ordonez, C., Saiz-
721 Lopez, A., Warwick, N., Liang, Q., Feng, W., Moore, E., Miller, B. R., Marecal, V., Richards, N. A. D., Dorf,
722 M., and Pfeilsticker, K.: Evaluating global emission inventories of biogenic bromocarbons, *Atmospheric*
723 *Chemistry and Physics*, 13, 11819-11838, 10.5194/acp-13-11819-2013, 2013.

724 Houghton, R. A., Skole, D. L., Nobre, C. A., Hackler, J. L., Lawrence, K. T., and Chomentowski, W. H.:
725 Annual fluxes of carbon from deforestation and regrowth in the Brazilian Amazon, *Nature*, 403, 301-304,
726 10.1038/35002062, 2000.

727 Hughes, C., Johnson, M., von Glasow, R., Chance, R., Atkinson, H., Souster, T., Lee, G. A., Clarke, A.,
728 Meredith, M., Venables, H. J., Turner, S. M., Malin, G., and Liss, P. S.: Climate-induced change in biogenic
729 bromine emissions from the Antarctic marine biosphere, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 26,
730 10.1029/2012gb004295, 2012.

731 IMAGE-Team: The IMAGE 2.2 implementation of the SRES scenarios. A comprehensive analysis of
 732 emissions, climate change and impacts in the 21st century, RIVM CD-ROM publication 481508018,
 733 Bilthoven, the Netherlands 500110001, 2001.

734 IPCC: Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis, contribution of Working Group I to the Third
 735 Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, IPCC, edited by: Houghton, J. T.,
 736 Ding, Y., Griggs, D. J., Noguer, M., van der, P. J. Linden, Dai, X., Maskell, K., and Johnson, C. A., Cambridge
 737 University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 881 pp. pp., 2001.

738 Jaffe, D. A., Lyman, S., Amos, H. M., Gustin, M. S., Huang, J., Selin, N. E., Levin, L., ter Schure, A., Mason,
 739 R. P., Talbot, R., Rutter, A., Finley, B., Jaegle, L., Shah, V., McClure, C., Arnbrose, J., Gratz, L., Lindberg, S.,
 740 Weiss-Penzias, P., Sheu, G.-R., Feddersen, D., Horvat, M., Dastoor, A., Hynes, A. J., Mao, H., Sonke, J. E.,
 741 Slemr, F., Fisher, J. A., Ebinghaus, R., Zhang, Y., and Edwards, G.: Progress on Understanding
 742 Atmospheric Mercury Hampered by Uncertain Measurements, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 48,
 743 7204-7206, 10.1021/es5026432, 2014.

744 Krabbenhoft, D. P., and Sunderland, E. M.: Global Change and Mercury, *Science*, 341, 1457-1458,
 745 10.1126/science.1242838, 2013.

746 Kumar, A., Wu, S., and Huang, Y.: Impacts of present-2050 land cover change on Hg wildfire emissions,
 747 manuscript in preparation. , 2016.

748 Lei, H., Wuebbles, D. J., Liang, X. Z., Tao, Z., Olsen, S., Artz, R., Ren, X., and Cohen, M.: Projections of
 749 atmospheric mercury levels and their effect on air quality in the United States, *Atmospheric Chemistry*
 750 *and Physics*, 14, 783-795, 10.5194/acp-14-783-2014, 2014.

751 Lin, C.-J., Pongprueksa, P., Lindberg, S. E., Pehkonen, S. O., Byun, D., and Jang, C.: Scientific uncertainties
 752 in atmospheric mercury models I: Model science evaluation, *Atmospheric Environment*, 40, 2911-2928,
 753 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.01.009, 2006.

754 Lindberg, S., Bullock, R., Ebinghaus, R., Engstrom, D., Feng, X., Fitzgerald, W., Pirrone, N., Prestbo, E., and
 755 Seigneur, C.: A synthesis of progress and uncertainties in attributing the sources of mercury in
 756 deposition, *Ambio*, 36, 19-32, 2007.

757 Lindqvist, O., and Rodhe, H.: ATMOSPHERIC MERCURY - A REVIEW, *Tellus Series B-Chemical and Physical*
 758 *Meteorology*, 37, 136-159, 1985.

759 Liu, H. Y., Jacob, D. J., Bey, I., and Yantosca, R. M.: Constraints from Pb-210 and Be-7 on wet deposition
 760 and transport in a global three-dimensional chemical tracer model driven by assimilated meteorological
 761 fields, *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 106, 12109-12128, 10.1029/2000jd900839, 2001.

762 Mason, R.: Mercury emissions from natural processes and their importance in the global mercury cycle,
 763 *Mercury Fate and Transport in the Global Atmosphere*, edited by: Mason, R., Pirrone, N., Springer US,
 764 NewYork, NY, USA, 173-191 pp., 2009.

765 Mason, R. P., and Sheu, G. R.: Role of the ocean in the global mercury cycle, *Global Biogeochemical*
 766 *Cycles*, 16, 10.1029/2001gb001440, 2002.

767 Megaritis, A. G., Murphy, B. N., Racherla, P. N., Adams, P. J., and Pandis, S. N.: Impact of climate change
 768 on mercury concentrations and deposition in the eastern United States, *Science of the Total*
 769 *Environment*, 487, 299-312, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.03.084, 2014.

770 Mergler, D., Anderson, H. A., Chan, L. H. M., Mahaffey, K. R., Murray, M., Sakamoto, M., and Stern, A. H.:
 771 Methylmercury exposure and health effects in humans: A worldwide concern, *Ambio*, 36, 3-11, 2007.

772 MNP: Integrated modelling of global environmental change, an overview of IMAGE 2.4., edited by:
 773 Bouwman, A. F., Kram, T., and Klein Goldewijk, K., Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency
 774 (MNP), Bilthoven, The Netherlands, 2006.

775 NADP: National Atmospheric Deposition Program: Mercury Deposition Network (MDN): A NADP
 776 Network, available at: <http://nadp.sws.uiuc.edu/MDN/>, 2009.

777 Nair, U. S., Wu, Y., Holmes, C. D., Ter Schure, A., Kallos, G., and Walters, J. T.: Cloud-resolving simulations
 778 of mercury scavenging and deposition in thunderstorms, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 13, 10143-
 779 10157, 10.5194/acp-13-10143-2013, 2013.

780 Nakicenovic, N., Alcamo, J., Davis, G., de Vries, B., Fenhann, J., Gaffin, S., Gregory, K., Grbler, A., Jung, T.,
 781 and Kram, T.: Special report on emission scenarios: A special report of working group III of the
 782 intergovernmental panel on climate change. In *Special Report on Emissions Scenarios*, Academic
 783 Publishing, New York, NY, USA, 2000.

784 Nightingale, P. D., Malin, G., Law, C. S., Watson, A. J., Liss, P. S., Liddicoat, M. I., Boutin, J., and Upstill-
 785 Goddard, R. C.: In situ evaluation of air-sea gas exchange parameterizations using novel conservative
 786 and volatile tracers, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 14, 373-387, 10.1029/1999gb900091, 2000.

787 Obrist, D., Conen, F., Vogt, R., Siegwolf, R., and Alewell, C.: Estimation of Hg-0 exchange between
 788 ecosystems and the atmosphere using Rn-222 and Hg-0 concentration changes in the stable nocturnal
 789 boundary layer, *Atmospheric Environment*, 40, 856-866, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.10.012, 2006.

790 Pacyna, E. G., Pacyna, J. M., Sundseth, K., Munthe, J., Kindbom, K., Wilson, S., Steenhuisen, F., and
 791 Maxson, P.: Global emission of mercury to the atmosphere from anthropogenic sources in 2005 and
 792 projections to 2020, *Atmospheric Environment*, 44, 2487-2499, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.06.009, 2010.

793 Parrella, J. P., Jacob, D. J., Liang, Q., Zhang, Y., Mickley, L. J., Miller, B., Evans, M. J., Yang, X., Pyle, J. A.,
 794 Theys, N., and Van Roozendaal, M.: Tropospheric bromine chemistry: implications for present and pre-
 795 industrial ozone and mercury, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 12, 6723-6740, 10.5194/acp-12-
 796 6723-2012, 2012.

797 Pirrone, N., Cinnirella, S., Feng, X., Finkelman, R. B., Friedli, H. R., Leaner, J., Mason, R., Mukherjee, A. B.,
 798 Stracher, G. B., Streets, D. G., and Telmer, K.: Global mercury emissions to the atmosphere from
 799 anthropogenic and natural sources, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10, 5951-5964, 10.5194/acp-
 800 10-5951-2010, 2010.

801 Poissant, L., Pilote, M., and Casimir, A.: Mercury flux measurements in a naturally enriched area:
 802 Correlation with environmental conditions during the Nevada Study and Tests of the Release of Mercury
 803 From Soils (STORMS), *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 104, 21845-21857,
 804 10.1029/1999jd900092, 1999.

805 Poissant, L., Amyot, M., Pilote, M., and Lean, D.: Mercury water-air exchange over the Upper St.
 806 Lawrence River and Lake Ontario, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 34, 3069-3078,
 807 10.1021/es990719a, 2000.

808 Pongprueksa, P., Lin, C.-J., Lindberg, S. E., Jang, C., Braverman, T., Bullock, O. R., Jr., Ho, T. C., and Chu,
 809 H.-W.: Scientific uncertainties in atmospheric mercury models III: Boundary and initial conditions, model
 810 grid resolution, and Hg(II) reduction mechanism, *Atmospheric Environment*, 42, 1828-1845,
 811 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.11.020, 2008.

812 Prestbo, E. M., and Gay, D. A.: Wet deposition of mercury in the US and Canada, 1996-2005: Results and
813 analysis of the NADP mercury deposition network (MDN), *Atmospheric Environment*, 43, 4223-4233,
814 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.05.028, 2009.

815 Rind, D., Lerner, J., Jonas, J., and McLinden, C.: Effects of resolution and model physics on tracer
816 transports in the NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies general circulation models, *Journal of*
817 *Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 112, 10.1029/2006jd007476, 2007.

818 Risch, M. R., DeWild, J. F., Krabbenhoft, D. P., Kolka, R. K., and Zhang, L.: Litterfall mercury dry deposition
819 in the eastern USA, *Environmental Pollution*, 161, 284-290, 10.1016/j.envpol.2011.06.005, 2012a.

820 Risch, M. R., Gay, D. A., Fowler, K. K., Keeler, G. J., Backus, S. M., Blanchard, P., Barres, J. A., and Dvonch,
821 J. T.: Spatial patterns and temporal trends in mercury concentrations, precipitation depths, and mercury
822 wet deposition in the North American Great Lakes region, 2002-2008, *Environmental Pollution*, 161,
823 261-271, 10.1016/j.envpol.2011.05.030, 2012b.

824 Rutter, A. P., and Schauer, J. J.: The effect of temperature on the gas-particle partitioning of reactive
825 mercury in atmospheric aerosols, *Atmospheric Environment*, 41, 8647-8657,
826 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.07.024, 2007a.

827 Rutter, A. P., and Schauer, J. J.: The impact of aerosol composition on the particle to gas partitioning of
828 reactive mercury, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 41, 3934-3939, 10.1021/es062439i, 2007b.

829 Rutter, A. P., Schauer, J. J., Shafer, M. M., Creswell, J. E., Olson, M. R., Robinson, M., Collins, R. M.,
830 Parman, A. M., Katzman, T. L., and Mallek, J. L.: Dry deposition of gaseous elemental mercury to plants
831 and soils using mercury stable isotopes in a controlled environment, *Atmospheric Environment*, 45, 848-
832 855, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.11.025, 2011.

833 Scheulhammer, A. M., Meyer, M. W., Sandheinrich, M. B., and Murray, M. W.: Effects of environmental
834 methylmercury on the health of wild birds, mammals, and fish, *Ambio*, 36, 12-18, 10.1579/0044-
835 7447(2007)36[12:eoemot]2.0.co;2, 2007.

836 Schroeder, W. H., and Munthe, J.: Atmospheric mercury - An overview, *Atmospheric Environment*, 32,
837 809-822, 10.1016/s1352-2310(97)00293-8, 1998.

838 Seigneur, C., Vijayaraghavan, K., Lohman, K., Karamchandani, P., and Scott, C.: Global source attribution
839 for mercury deposition in the United States, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 38, 555-569,
840 10.1021/es034109t, 2004.

841 Selin, N. E., Jacob, D. J., Park, R. J., Yantosca, R. M., Strode, S., Jaegle, L., and Jaffe, D.: Chemical cycling
842 and deposition of atmospheric mercury: Global constraints from observations, *Journal of Geophysical*
843 *Research-Atmospheres*, 112, 10.1029/2006jd007450, 2007.

844 Selin, N. E., and Jacob, D. J.: Seasonal and spatial patterns of mercury wet deposition in the United
845 States: Constraints on the contribution from North American anthropogenic sources, *Atmospheric*
846 *Environment*, 42, 5193-5204, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.02.069, 2008.

847 Selin, N. E., Jacob, D. J., Yantosca, R. M., Strode, S., Jaegle, L., and Sunderland, E. M.: Global 3-D land-
848 ocean-atmosphere model for mercury: Present-day versus preindustrial cycles and anthropogenic
849 enrichment factors for deposition (vol 22, artn no GB3099, 2008), *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 22,
850 10.1029/2008gb003282, 2008.

851 Selin, N. E.: Global Biogeochemical Cycling of Mercury: A Review, *Annual Review of Environment and*
852 *Resources*, 34, 43-63, 10.1146/annurev.enviro.051308.084314, 2009.

853 Selin, N. E.: GLOBAL CHANGE AND MERCURY CYCLING: CHALLENGES FOR IMPLEMENTING A GLOBAL
854 MERCURY TREATY, *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 33, 1202-1210, 10.1002/etc.2374, 2014.

855 Shia, R. L., Seigneur, C., Pai, P., Ko, M., and Sze, N. D.: Global simulation of atmospheric mercury
856 concentrations and deposition fluxes, *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 104, 23747-23760,
857 10.1029/1999jd900354, 1999.

858 Sitch, S., Smith, B., Prentice, I. C., Arneth, A., Bondeau, A., Cramer, W., Kaplan, J. O., Levis, S., Lucht, W.,
859 Sykes, M. T., Thonicke, K., and Venevsky, S.: Evaluation of ecosystem dynamics, plant geography and
860 terrestrial carbon cycling in the LPJ dynamic global vegetation model, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 9, 161-185,
861 10.1046/j.1365-2486.2003.00569.x, 2003.

862 Soerensen, A. L., Sunderland, E. M., Holmes, C. D., Jacob, D. J., Yantosca, R. M., Skov, H., Christensen, J.
863 H., Strode, S. A., and Mason, R. P.: An Improved Global Model for Air-Sea Exchange of Mercury: High
864 Concentrations over the North Atlantic, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 44, 8574-8580,
865 10.1021/es102032g, 2010.

866 Soerensen, A. L., Mason, R. P., Balcom, P. H., and Sunderland, E. M.: Drivers of Surface Ocean Mercury
867 Concentrations and Air-Sea Exchange in the West Atlantic Ocean, *Environmental Science & Technology*,
868 47, 7757-7765, 10.1021/es401354q, 2013.

869 Soerensen, A. L., Mason, R. P., Balcom, P. H., Jacob, D. J., Zhang, Y., Kuss, J., and Sunderland, E. M.:
870 Elemental Mercury Concentrations and Fluxes in the Tropical Atmosphere and Ocean, *Environmental*
871 *Science & Technology*, 48, 11312-11319, 10.1021/es503109p, 2014.

872 Soerensen, A. L., Jacob, D. J., Schartup, A. T., Fisher, J. A., Lehnher, I., Louis, V. L. S., Heimbürger, L.-E., J.
873 E. Sonke, Krabbenhoft, D. P., and Sunderland, E. M.: A mass budget for mercury and methylmercury in
874 the Arctic Ocean, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 30, 560-575, 10.1002/2015GB005280, 2016.

875 Song, S., Selin, N. E., Soerensen, A. L., Angot, H., Artz, R., Brooks, S., Brunke, E. G., Conley, G.,
876 Dommergue, A., Ebinghaus, R., Holsen, T. M., Jaffe, D. A., Kang, S., Kelley, P., Luke, W. T., Magand, O.,
877 Marumoto, K., Pfaffhuber, K. A., Ren, X., Sheu, G. R., Slemr, F., Warneke, T., Weigelt, A., Weiss-Penzias,
878 P., Wip, D. C., and Zhang, Q.: Top-down constraints on atmospheric mercury emissions and implications
879 for global biogeochemical cycling, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 15, 7103-7125, 10.5194/acp-15-
880 7103-2015, 2015.

881 Stern, G. A., Macdonald, R. W., Outridge, P. M., Wilson, S., Chetelat, J., Cole, A., Hintelmann, H., Loseto,
882 L. L., Steffen, A., Wang, F., and Zdanowicz, C.: How does climate change influence arctic mercury?,
883 *Science of the Total Environment*, 414, 22-42, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.10.039, 2012.

884 Streets, D. G., Zhang, Q., and Wu, Y.: Projections of Global Mercury Emissions in 2050, *Environmental*
885 *Science & Technology*, 43, 2983-2988, 10.1021/es802474j, 2009.

886 Strode, S. A., Jaegle, L., Selin, N. E., Jacob, D. J., Park, R. J., Yantosca, R. M., Mason, R. P., and Slemr, F.:
887 Air-sea exchange in the global mercury cycle, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 21, 10.1029/2006gb002766,
888 2007.

889 Subir, M., Ariya, P. A., and Dastoor, A. P.: A review of uncertainties in atmospheric modeling of mercury
890 chemistry I. Uncertainties in existing kinetic parameters - Fundamental limitations and the importance
891 of heterogeneous chemistry, *Atmospheric Environment*, 45, 5664-5676,
892 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.04.046, 2011.

893 Subir, M., Ariya, P. A., and Dastoor, A. P.: A review of the sources of uncertainties in atmospheric
894 mercury modeling II. Mercury surface and heterogeneous chemistry - A missing link, *Atmospheric*
895 *Environment*, 46, 1-10, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.07.047, 2012.

896 Sunderland, E. M., and Mason, R. P.: Human impacts on open ocean mercury concentrations, *Global*
897 *Biogeochemical Cycles*, 21, 10.1029/2006gb002876, 2007.

898 Turner, B. L., Meyer, W. B., and Skole, D. L.: GLOBAL LAND-USE LAND-COVER CHANGE - TOWARDS AN
899 INTEGRATED STUDY, *Ambio*, 23, 91-95, 1994.

900 UNEP: Global Mercury Assessment 2013: Sources, Emissions, Releases and Environmental Transport,
901 UNEP Chemicals Branch, Geneva, Switzerland, 2013.

902 van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G. J., Mu, M., Kasibhatla, P. S., Morton, D. C.,
903 DeFries, R. S., Jin, Y., and van Leeuwen, T. T.: Global fire emissions and the contribution of deforestation,
904 savanna, forest, agricultural, and peat fires (1997-2009), *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10, 11707-
905 11735, 10.5194/acp-10-11707-2010, 2010.

906 Wang, Q., Jacob, D. J., Fisher, J. A., Mao, J., Leibensperger, E. M., Carouge, C. C., Le Sager, P., Kondo, Y.,
907 Jimenez, J. L., Cubison, M. J., and Doherty, S. J.: Sources of carbonaceous aerosols and deposited black
908 carbon in the Arctic in winter-spring: implications for radiative forcing, *Atmospheric Chemistry and*
909 *Physics*, 11, 12453-12473, 10.5194/acp-11-12453-2011, 2011.

910 Wang, X., Lin, C. J., and Feng, X.: Sensitivity analysis of an updated bidirectional air-surface exchange
911 model for elemental mercury vapor, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 14, 6273-6287, 10.5194/acp-
912 14-6273-2014, 2014.

913 Wang, Y., Jacob, D. J., J., L. D., and A., L. J.: Global simulation of tropospheric O₃-NO_x-hydrocarbon
914 chemistry. 1. Model formulation, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 103, 10713-10725, 1998.

915 Wesely, M. L.: PARAMETERIZATION OF SURFACE RESISTANCES TO GASEOUS DRY DEPOSITION IN
916 REGIONAL-SCALE NUMERICAL-MODELS, *Atmospheric Environment*, 23, 1293-1304, 10.1016/0004-
917 6981(89)90153-4, 1989.

918 Wilks, D. S.: Hypothesis Testing, in: *Statistical Methods in the Atmospheric Sciences*, Second ed.,
919 Academic Press, 138-146, 2006.

920 Wu, S., Mickley, L. J., Jacob, D. J., Logan, J. A., Yantosca, R. M., and Rind, D.: Why are there large
921 differences between models in global budgets of tropospheric ozone?, *Journal of Geophysical Research-*
922 *Atmospheres*, 112, 10.1029/2006jd007801, 2007.

923 Wu, S., Mickley, L. J., Kaplan, J. O., and Jacob, D. J.: Impacts of changes in land use and land cover on
924 atmospheric chemistry and air quality over the 21st century, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 12,
925 1597-1609, 10.5194/acp-12-1597-2012, 2012.

926 Xu, X. H., Yang, X. S., Miller, D. R., Helble, J. J., and Carley, R. J.: Formulation of bi-directional
927 atmosphere-surface exchanges of elemental mercury, *Atmospheric Environment*, 33, 4345-4355,
928 10.1016/s1352-2310(99)00245-9, 1999.

929 Zhang, H., Lindberg, S. E., Marsik, F. J., and Keeler, G. J.: Mercury air/surface exchange kinetics of
930 background soils of the Tahquamenon River watershed in the Michigan Upper Peninsula, *Water Air and*
931 *Soil Pollution*, 126, 151-169, 10.1023/a:1005227802306, 2001.

932 Zhang, L., Wright, L. P., and Blanchard, P.: A review of current knowledge concerning dry deposition of
 933 atmospheric mercury, *Atmospheric Environment*, 43, 5853-5864, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.08.019,
 934 2009.

935 Zhang, L., Blanchard, P., Gay, D. A., Prestbo, E. M., Risch, M. R., Johnson, D., Narayan, J., Zsolway, R.,
 936 Holsen, T. M., Miller, E. K., Castro, M. S., Graydon, J. A., St Louis, V. L., and Dalziel, J.: Estimation of
 937 speciated and total mercury dry deposition at monitoring locations in eastern and central North
 938 America, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 12, 4327-4340, 10.5194/acp-12-4327-2012, 2012a.

939 Zhang, Y., Jaegle, L., van Donkelaar, A., Martin, R. V., Holmes, C. D., Amos, H. M., Wang, Q., Talbot, R.,
 940 Artz, R., Brooks, S., Luke, W., Holsen, T. M., Felton, D., Miller, E. K., Perry, K. D., Schmeltz, D., Steffen, A.,
 941 Tordon, R., Weiss-Penzias, P., and Zsolway, R.: Nested-grid simulation of mercury over North America,
 942 *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 12, 6095-6111, 10.5194/acp-12-6095-2012, 2012b.

943 Zhang, Y., and Jaegle, L.: Decreases in Mercury Wet Deposition over the United States during 2004-2010:
 944 Roles of Domestic and Global Background Emission Reductions, *Atmosphere*, 4, 113-131,
 945 10.3390/atmos4020113, 2013.

946 Zhang, Y., Jaegle, L., and Thompson, L.: Natural biogeochemical cycle of mercury in a global three-
 947 dimensional ocean tracer model, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 28, 553-570, 10.1002/2014gb004814,
 948 2014a.

949 Zhang, Y., Jaegle, L., Thompson, L., and Streets, D. G.: Six centuries of changing oceanic mercury, *Global*
 950 *Biogeochemical Cycles*, 28, 1251-1261, 10.1002/2014gb004939, 2014b.

951 Zhang, Y., Jacob, D. J., Dutkiewicz, S., Amos, H. M., Long, M. S., and Sunderland, E. M.: Biogeochemical
 952 drivers of the fate of riverine mercury discharged to the global and Arctic oceans, *Global Biogeochemical*
 953 *Cycles*, 29, 854-864, 10.1002/2015gb005124, 2015.

954 Zhu, W., Lin, C.-J., Wang, X., Sommar, J., Fu, X., and Feng, X.: Global observations and modeling of
 955 atmosphere-surface exchange of elemental mercury: a critical review, *Atmospheric Chemistry and*
 956 *Physics*, 16, 4451-4480, 10.5194/acp-16-4451-2016, 2016.

957