1 Radiophotoluminescence light scope for high-dose dosimetry

2
3
4 Fuminobu Sato,^{a,*} Naoki Zushi,^a Tomoki Sakiyama,^a Yushi Kato,^a Isao Murata,^a Kikuo Shimizu,^b

5
6
7 Takayoshi Yamamoto,^c and Toshiyuki Iida^a

8
9
10
11
12
13 ^aGraduate School of Engineering, Osaka University, 2-1 Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

14
15
16 ^bRadioisotope Research Center, Osaka University, 1-1 Machikaneyama, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043,
17
18
19
20 Japan

21
22
23 ^cOarai Research Center, Chiyoda Technol Corporation, 3681 Narita, Oarai, Higashiibaraki, Ibaraki
24
25
26 311-1313, Japan

27
28
29
30
31
32 Corresponding author:

33
34
35 Fuminobu Sato

36
37
38 Graduate School of Engineering

39
40
41 Osaka University

42
43
44 2-1 Yamadaoka, Suita

45
46
47 Osaka 565-0871

48
49
50 Japan

51
52
53 E-mail: fsato@see.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp

Abstract

A radiophotoluminescence (RPL) light scope is a remote-sensing technique for measuring in situ the radiation dose in an RPL detector placed at a distance. The RPL light scope is mainly composed of an ultraviolet (UV) pulse laser, telescopic lenses, a photomultiplier tube, and camera modules. In a performance test, some RPL detectors were placed at distances up to 30 m and were illuminated with a pulsed UV laser beam. The photoluminescence responses of the RPL detectors were analyzed using this scope. Their radiation doses were determined from the amplitude of the given component of the photoluminescence responses. The RPL readout could be repeated without fading, and its amplitude exhibited good linearity at a dose ranging from 0.1 to 60 Gy. Furthermore, a two-dimensional distribution of radiation dose was obtained by laser scanning on an RPL detector. It was confirmed that the RPL light scope was a useful remote-sensing tool for high-dose dosimetry.

Keywords

Radiophotoluminescence (RPL); RPL light scope; Glass dosimeter; High-dose dosimetry; Radiation

dose distribution

1. Introduction

On 11 March 2011, an earthquake of 9.0 magnitude and the subsequent tsunami caused serious damages to the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear power plant (1F). Large amounts of radioactive particles were released from the 1F into the natural environment. The high levels of radioactivity prevented workers from approaching the broken nuclear reactors in the 1F. The spatial distribution of the radioactivity in the 1F is intricate due to the hydrogen explosions and the leakage of radioactively contaminated water. Radiation monitoring in the 1F is important at the primary decommissioning stage for the 1F. Appropriate radiation detection systems for the 1F need to be developed. The aim of this study is to develop a remote radiation-detecting system with a passive radiation dosimeter.

Silver-activated metaphosphate glass is widely used as an accumulation-type radiation dosimeter (Piesch and Burgkhardt, 1994; Ranogajec-Komor et al., 2008; Yamamoto et al., 2011). When ionizing radiations produce electron–hole pairs in the glass, radiophotoluminescence (RPL) centers are formed by the trapping of electrons (or holes) at the Ag^+ centers (Yokota and Imagawa, 1967).

The RPL centers emit light of wavelength 635 nm upon exposure to ultraviolet (UV) light. The RPL response exhibits high sensitivity and excellent linearity over a wide dose range. The RPL readout can be repeated without fading. In this respect, RPL glass dosimeters are superior to other passive radiation dosimeters such as thermoluminescent detectors and optically stimulated luminescence

1 detectors (Knežević et al., 2013).

2
3
4 In our previous works (Sato et al., 2014; Zushi et al., 2014), we proposed an RPL photographing
5
6
7 technique for visualizing high-level radiation fields. A number of RPL glass detectors were placed in
8
9
10 a high-level radiation field. The RPL glass detectors were brightened with a UV-light-emitting diode
11
12
13 (LED)-assembled illuminator, and an RPL photograph was taken with a digital camera. The spatial
14
15
16 dose distribution was obtained through the digital image processing of the RPL photograph. This
17
18
19 RPL photographing technique can be easily applied for high-level radioactivity. However, this was
20
21
22 not suitable for remote sensing, because the radiation dose readout depended on the lighting
23
24
25 condition. In this study, a new RPL light scope was developed. The RPL light scope employs a
26
27
28 remote-sensing technique to measure in situ the radiation dose of an RPL detector placed at a
29
30
31 distance. The operating principle of the RPL light scope is based on the analysis of the decay time
32
33
34 components of the photoluminescence (PL) (Piesch et al., 1986).
35
36

37
38
39 Figure 1 shows a photograph of a performance test of the RPL light scope. The scope is mainly
40
41
42 composed of a UV pulse laser, telescopic lenses, a photomultiplier tube (PMT), and a camera module.
43
44
45 An RPL detector is set at a distance from the scope. A pulsed UV laser beam is emitted from the
46
47
48 scope to the RPL detector. Fast-response PL light from the RPL detector is measured through the
49
50
51 telescopic lenses by the PMT. The distance between the scope and the RPL detector is obtained from
52
53
54 the time duration between the laser triggering and PL signals. The radiation dose is measured in situ
55
56
57 from the amplitude of the given component after the fast-response PL light. Furthermore, the
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

direction of the laser beam is controlled by two mirror galvanometers (MGs) in the RPL light scope. Laser scanning and data processing can provide a two-dimensional (2-D) radiation dose distribution. As for the other remote radiation-monitoring technique (Watanabe et al., 2013; Teichmann et al., 2013), the scintillation photons were transmitted via the optical fibers to one central reader. The detectors could be placed regardless of the light path. For an RPL light scope to operate suitably, a light path for the target and an appropriate dark environment are necessary.

2. Methods

Silver-activated metaphosphate glass was produced from reagent-grade powders using a melting method (Lee et al., 2011). NaPO_3 , $\text{Al}(\text{PO}_3)_3$ and AgCl powders were mixed in a mullite crucible. The mullite crucible was placed in an electrical furnace, and its temperature was gradually raised to 1200 °C over the course of 10 h. The melting glass was maintained at this temperature for 5 h for homogenization. After the homogenization, the melting glass was slowly cooled to room temperature over 10 h. The atomic composition by weight in the RPL glass was as follows: O (51%), P (32%), Na (11%), Al (6%), Ag (0.1%), and Cl (<0.01%). The cooled glass rod was cut into pieces with a rotating diamond saw blade. The glass pieces were pulverized by a jet mill, and the pulverized particles were classified using 75- and 150- μm sieves. The pulverized particles were solidified with a polyurethane resin (Nissin Resin Co. Ltd) to fabricate RPL detectors of 3-mm thickness.

Figure 2 shows examples of the time-resolved PL spectra of RPL glass. The PL induced by 355-nm

laser pulses was detected with a PMT through a scanning monochromator. Then such PL data were organized into the time-resolved PL spectra. The dose-dependent RPL had a broad peak with a wavelength of approximately 635 nm (Kurobori and Nakamura, 2012). However, the PL around 635 nm included some dose-independent intrinsic components. PL has been reported to have at least three different decay time components: the short-term component ($<2\ \mu\text{s}$), the RPL component (2–10 μs), and the long-term component ($>20\ \mu\text{s}$) (Piesch et al., 1986). The short- and long-term components are dose independent and are called “predose” in the RPL readout process.

Figure 3 shows the experimental setup of the RPL light scope, which is mainly composed of a 355-nm pulse laser, telescopic lenses, a camera module, a PMT, and a PC-based digital storage oscilloscope (DSO). The UV pulse laser (FTSS 355-50, CryLaS) was operated at a pulse duration of 1 ns and a repetition rate of 1000 Hz. The pulse energy was 30 μJ . An electrical beam blocker was set to control the ON/OFF of a laser beam. The laser beam was directed using the two MGs. The 355-nm light was invisible to the naked eye. The telescope lens (TL2) was used for adjusting the laser beam spot size. However, the laser beam caused blue PL from the polyurethane resin of the RPL detector. The intensity of the blue PL was dose independent. Then, the laser spot could be easily confirmed with the naked eye. The beam splitter (BS1) split the beam and produced two focal points. The focal point on the image sensor of the charge-coupled device (CCD) was manually adjusted on the telescope lens (TL1, EF70-300mm F4-5.6 IS USM, Canon). The RPL detector was clearly observed by the CCD with an exposure time of 1 s. The laser beam spot was generally focused in the

field of view of the CCD. After this focus for the CCD, another focal point was roughly focused on the light-receiving face of the PMT, which was 8 mm in diameter. Even if it was a little out of focus, the RPL photons certainly entered the light-receiving face.

The RPL photons were effectively detected using the PMT (R9880U-20, Hamamatsu Photonics) with 635-nm band-pass interference filters. The resulting signals were stored in the DSO (HDO6104, Teledyne LeCroy). Their waveforms were analyzed with a homemade program for analyzing the decay time components as shown in Fig. 4 (Maki et al., 2011). The distance between the RPL light scope and the RPL detector was accurately determined from the time duration between the laser triggering and PL signals. The integrated value P of the PL at times ranging from 2 to 10 μs was calculated. In addition, the integrated value Q for the long-term component was evaluated by interpolating the decay curve of the long-term component over 40 μs . In our previous research (Ihara et al., 2008; Maki et al., 2011), the integrated value $P-Q$ was found to be precisely proportional to the radiation dose. This process is reasonably effective for improving the signal-to-noise ratio at a low dose level.

An RPL detector was placed in front of a 40-kV X-ray tube. During and after X-ray irradiation, the RPL responses were measured in situ by the RPL light scope at a distance of 3 m. In the other test, an intense ^{60}Co gamma ray source was shielded by lead blocks with a 10×20 mm window. An RPL detector plate of dimensions $110 \times 120 \times 3$ mm was used to monitor the radiation dose. The laser scanning and data processing provided the 2-D radiation dose distribution.

3. Results and discussion

Figure 5 shows the photographs of some RPL detectors used in the performance test of the RPL light scope. All of the RPL detectors were exposed to gamma rays up to 18 Gy. As expected, the RPL efficiency increased with the ratio of the pulverized particles to the polyurethane resin. The polished RPL glass plate certainly had the highest RPL intensity, as shown in Fig. 5 (d). The RPL wavelength spectrum had a broad peak around 635 nm, which was related to the formation of Ag^{++} and Ag^0 centers (Yokota and Imagawa, 1967; Miyamoto et al., 2010). The wavelength peak of the Ag^{++} center could not be easily distinguished from that of the Ag^0 center in the RPL spectrum, because their peak wavelengths were very close. RPL detectors of 60 w% glass were used in this performance test, because using a fragile polished glass plate was not practical. The color of the laser spot on the RPL detector was slightly different from that of the polished RPL glass plate due to the mixture of the blue PL from the polyurethane resin. With respect to correlation between the sensitivity and thickness of the detector, the RPL intensity was saturated at a thickness of about 3 mm.

Figure 6 shows the change in RPL intensity as a function of the object distance between the RPL light scope and the RPL detector. Representative values of RPL intensity were measured for four cases (distance; 3, 5, 10, and 32 m). Each representative value was determined from the average of five measurement values. The error was defined as the standard deviation. The RPL intensity decreased with the reciprocal of the squares of the object distance. The dashed line represents curve

1 fitting to the experimental data, a function of the inverse square of the distance. The geometrical
2
3
4 efficiency of the RPL light scope was determined by the effective diameter of the telescopic lens and
5
6
7 the object distance. Thus, the object distance was effectively used as a correction factor in the
8
9
10 geometrical efficiency.
11

12
13 Figure 7 shows RPL response as a function of absorbed dose. Each radiation dose value was
14
15
16 corrected while considering the geometrical efficiency, as shown in Fig. 6. The RPL response
17
18
19 indicated good linearity at doses ranging from 0.1 to 60 Gy, and the lowest detectable level was at
20
21
22 0.1 Gy in the present performance test. The lowest detectable level depends on the object distance,
23
24
25 the laser pulse energy, and the ambient background photons. The RPL light scope system is
26
27
28 preferably used in the dark under 100 lx. For example, the illuminance level was the same as the dark
29
30
31 under a streetlight at night. In the dark, the operator could manipulate the RPL light scope. The RPL
32
33
34 detection intensity increased with laser pulse energy. However, very intense laser pulses might cause
35
36
37 laser heating and damages to RPL detectors (Maki et al., 2011).
38
39
40

41
42 During and after X-ray irradiation, the RPL responses were measured in situ by the RPL light scope.
43
44
45 Figure 8 shows the change in RPL intensity of the X-ray-irradiated RPL glass detector. The absorbed
46
47
48 dose rate was approximately 10 mGy/s and the maximum absorbed dose about 18 Gy. During the
49
50
51 irradiation, the RPL intensity increased with the absorbed dose. After the irradiation, the RPL
52
53
54 intensity slightly increased due to the buildup effect based on the component of the slow formation
55
56
57 of RPL centers (Sato et al., 2014). Therefore, the operator manipulating the RPL light scope must be
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

cautious enough to prevent underestimating the dose values more or less due to the RPL buildup effect.

Figure 9 shows an example of the 2-D radiation dose distribution of radiation streaming, which was observed with the RPL light scope. An intense ^{60}Co source was shielded by lead blocks with a window, as shown in Fig. 9. An RPL detector plate was used to monitor the radiation dose. In the 2-D laser scanning, the maximum deflection angle of the laser beam was approximately 1.4° .

Therefore, the laser beam profile was scarcely deformed when the galvanometers were used, as the measurement distance changed only slightly. The beam spot size depended on the laser beam mode and the focal length of the telescope lens. Therefore, the spatial resolving power was limited by the spot size of 10-mm diameter.

4. Conclusion

An RPL light scope mainly composed of a UV pulse laser, telescopic lenses, a PMT, and camera modules was developed. The radiation dose of an RPL detector at a distance was determined by the RPL light scope.

In performance tests, the RPL detectors were composed of RPL glass particles and a polyurethane resin. The RPL detectors were placed at distances up to 30 m. The dose-dependent RPL had a broad peak around 635 nm in wavelength when exposed to 355-nm UV laser beams. The object distance between the RPL light scope and the RPL detector was determined from the time duration between

the laser triggering and PL signals. The radiation dose readout was precisely performed by analyzing the given component of the PL response. Moreover, the analysis technique improved the signal-to-noise ratio in the RPL readout process at low dose levels. The RPL light scope system had a high sensitivity and accuracy in high-dose dosimetry. The geometrical efficiency was correlated with the reciprocal of the squares of the object distance. The RPL response exhibited good linearity in the range from 0.1 to 60 Gy. Furthermore, the 2-D radiation dose distribution of radiation streaming was successfully obtained by the laser scanning and the data processing. It is expected that the RPL light scope can be effectively used to monitor the radiation resulting from the 1F.

Acknowledgment

This study was supported in part by the System Development Program for Advanced Measurement and Analysis, Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST).

References

- Lee, M.S., Liao, Y.J., Huang, Y.H., Lee, J.H., Hung, S.K., Chen, T.R., Hsu, S.M., 2011. Radiation characteristics of homemade radiophotoluminescent glass dosimeter. *Radiat. Meas.* 46, 1477-1479.
- Ihara, Y., Kishi, A., Kada, W., Sato, F., Kato, Y., Yamamoto, T., Iida, T., 2008. A compact system for RPL measurement of radiophotoluminescence of phosphate glass dosimeter. *Radiat. Meas.* 43, 542-545.

1 Knežević, Ž., Stolarczyk, L., Bessieres, I., Bordy, J.M., Miljanić, S., Olko, P., 2013. Photon
2
3
4 dosimetry methods outside the target volume in radiation therapy: Optically stimulated luminescence
5
6
7 (OSL), thermoluminescence (TL) and radiophotoluminescence (RPL) dosimetry. Radiat. Meas. 57,
8
9
10 9-18.
11
12
13 Kurobori, T., Nakamura S., 2012. A novel disk-type X-ray area imaging detector using
14
15
16 radiophotoluminescence in silver-activated phosphate glass. Radiat. Meas. 47, 1009-1013.
17
18
19
20 Maki, D., Sato, F., Kato, Y., Yamamoto, T., Iida, T., 2011. Improvement of a dose reading system for
21
22
23 radiophotoluminescence glass dosimeters. Radioisotopes 60, 55-61.
24
25
26
27 Miyamoto, Y., Yamamoto, T., Kinoshita, K., Koyama, S., Takei, Y., Nanto, H., Shimotsuma, Y.,
28
29
30 Sakakura, M., Miura, K., Hirao, K., 2010. Emission mechanism of radiophotoluminescence in
31
32
33 Ag-doped phosphate glass. Radiat. Meas. 45, 546-549.
34
35
36
37 Piesch, E., Burgkhardt, B., Fischer, M., Rober, H.G., Ugi, S., 1986. Properties of
38
39
40 radiophotoluminescent glass dosimeter systems using pulsed laser UV excitation. Radiat. Prot.
41
42
43 Dosim. 17, 293-297.
44
45
46
47 Piesch, E., Burgkhardt, B., 1994. Photoluminescence dosimetry: the alternative in personal
48
49
50 monitoring. Radioprotection 29, 39-67.
51
52
53
54
55 Ranogajec-Komor, M., Knezevic, Z., Miljanic, S., Vekic, B., 2008. Characterization of
56
57
58 radiophotoluminescent dosimeters for environmental monitoring. Radiat. Meas. 43 (2-6), 392-396.
59
60
61
62
63
64
65 Sato, F., Zushi, N., Maekawa, T., Kato, Y., Murata, I., Shimizu, K., Yamamoto, T., Iida, T., 2014.

1 Visualization of high radiation field by radiophotoluminescence photography, Radiat. Meas. 43,
2
3
4 912-916.
5
6
7 Sato, F., Toyota, Y., Maki, D., Zushi, N., Kato, Y., Yamamoto, T., Iida, T., 2013. Development of
8
9
10 bead-type radiophotoluminescence glass dosimeter applicable to various purposes. Radiat. Meas. 55,
11
12
13 68-71.
14
15
16 Teichmann, T., Sommer, M., Henniger, J., 2013. Dose rate measurements with a ruby-based fiber
17
18
19 optic radioluminescent probe. Radiat. Meas. 56, 347-350.
20
21
22
23 Watanabe, K., Yamazaki, A., Nakahashi, K., Miyamae, H., Uritani, A., Ariga, E., 2013.
24
25
26 Development of a micro-size dosimeter using an optical fiber probe based on photostimulable
27
28
29 phosphorescence, Radiat. Meas. 55, 64-67.
30
31
32
33 Yamamoto, T., Maki, D., Sato, F., Miyamoto, Y., Nanto, H., Iida, T., 2011. The recent investigations
34
35
36 of radiophotoluminescence and its application, Radiat. Meas. 46, 1554-1559.
37
38
39 Yokota, R., Imagawa, H., 1967. Radiophotoluminescence centers in silver-activated phosphate glass.
40
41
42 J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 23, 1038-1048.
43
44
45 Zushi, N., Sato, F., Kato, Y., Yamamoto, T., Iida, T., 2014. Flexible sheet with
46
47
48 radiophotoluminescence glass beads for remotely monitoring high beta-surface-contamination.
49
50
51 Radiat. Meas. 71, 217-219.
52
53
54
55
56
57

58 **Figure captions**

59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Fig. 1 Photograph of RPL light scope. An RPL detector is placed at a distance from the RPL light scope. The radiation dose of the detector and the objective distance are measured in situ by analyzing the PL and RPL responses.

Fig. 2 Examples of time-resolved PL spectra of RPL glass. The PL around 635 nm in wavelength had at least three different decay time components. The decay time of the dose-dependent RPL was 2–10 μ s.

Fig. 3 Experimental setup of RPL light scope. BS: beam splitter, EBB: electrical beam blocker, BPF: band-pass interference filter, DSO: digital storage oscilloscope, MG: mirror galvanometer, PD: photodiode, PMT: photomultiplier tube, TL: telescopic lens

Fig. 4 PL from RPL glass dosimeter excited with pulsed UV laser. The value defined as $P-Q$ is precisely proportional to the radiation dose.

Fig. 5 Photographs of some RPL detectors ($30 \times 30 \times 3$ mm) used for performance test of RPL light scope. (a) No RPL was observed with white light. (b), (c), and (d) UV laser beam spot on each RPL detector was observed.

Fig. 6 Change in RPL intensity as a function of the object distance between the scope and the RPL detector. The images of laser spots of 10-mm diameter were observed through the same telescopic lens.

Fig. 7 RPL response as a function of radiation dose.

Fig. 8 Change in RPL intensity of glass dosimeter during and after X-ray irradiation.

Fig. 9 Radiation dose distribution of radiation streaming.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Figure1

Figure2

(a) Non-irradiation (0 Gy)

(b) Gamma ray irradiation (20 Gy)

Figure3

Figure4

Figure5

(a) 60 w% RPL glass

(b) 60 w% RPL glass

(c) 20 w% RPL glass

(d) RPL glass plate

Figure6

Figure7

Figure8

Figure9