Molecular phylogeny of *Panaspis* and *Afroablepharus* skinks (Squamata: Scincidae) in
the savannas of sub-Saharan Africa

Maria F. Medina¹, Aaron M. Bauer³, William R. Branch^{4,5}, Andreas Schmitz⁶, Werner
Conradie^{4,7}, Zoltan T. Nagy⁸, Toby J. Hibbitts⁹, Raffael Ernst¹⁰, Daniel M. Portik¹¹,
Stuart V. Nielsen¹², Timothy J. Colston¹², Chifundera Kusamba¹³, Mathias Behangana¹⁴,
Mark-Oliver Rödel¹⁵, and Eli Greenbaum^{1,2}

¹*Department of Biological Sciences, University of Texas at El Paso, 500 W. University
Ave., El Paso, TX 79968, USA*

²*Correspondence: e-mail: egreenbaum2@utep.edu*

³*Department of Biology, Villanova University, Villanova, PA 19085, USA*

⁴*Bayworld, P.O. Box 11347, Humewood 6013, South Africa*

⁵*Department of Zoology, P.O. Box 77000, Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University, Port
Elizabeth 6031, South Africa*

⁶*Natural History Museum of Geneva, Department of Herpetology and Ichthyology, C.P.
6434, CH-1211 Geneva 6, Switzerland; email: andreas.schmitz@ville-ge.ch*

⁷*South African Institute for Aquatic Biodiversity, P/Bag 10115, Grahamstown, 6140,
South Africa.*

⁸*Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, OD Taxonomy and Phylogeny, Rue Vautier
29, B-1000 Brussels, Belgium*

⁹*Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Sciences, 210 Nagle Hall, Texas A&M University,
College Station, TX 77843, USA*

¹⁰*Museum of Zoology (Museum für Tierkunde), Senckenberg Natural History Collections,
Dresden, Königsbrücker Landstr. 159, D-01109 Dresden, Germany*

¹¹*Department of Biology, The University of Texas at Arlington, 501 South Nedderman
Drive, Arlington, TX 76010, USA*

¹²*Department of Biology, University of Mississippi, P.O. Box 1848, University, MS 38677*

¹³*Laboratoire d'Herpétologie, Département de Biologie, Centre de Recherche en
Sciences Naturelles, Lwiro, République Démocratique du Congo*

¹⁴*Makerere University, P.O. Box 7062, Kampala, Uganda*

¹⁵*Leibniz Institute for Evolution and Biodiversity Science (Museum für Naturkunde),
Invalidenstr. 43, 10115 Berlin, Germany*

A B S T R A C T

African snake-eyed skinks are relatively small lizards of the genera *Panaspis* and *Afroablepharus*. Species allocation of these genera frequently changed during the 20th century based on morphology, ecology, and biogeography. Members of these genera occur primarily in savanna habitats throughout sub-Saharan Africa and include species whose highly conserved morphology poses challenges for taxonomic studies. We sequenced two mitochondrial (*16S* and *cyt b*) and two nuclear genes (*PDC* and *RAG1*) from 95 *Panaspis* and *Afroablepharus* samples from across eastern, central, and southern Africa. Concatenated gene-tree and divergence-dating analyses were conducted to infer phylogenies and biogeographic patterns. Molecular data sets revealed several cryptic lineages, with most radiations occurring during the mid-Miocene to Pliocene. We infer that rifting processes (including the formation of the East African Rift System) and climatic oscillations contributed to the expansion and contraction of savannas, and caused cladogenesis in snake-eyed skinks. Species in *Panaspis* and *Afroablepharus* used in this study, including type species for both genera, formed a monophyletic group. As a result, the latter genus should be synonymized with the former, which has priority. Conservatively, we continue to include the West African species *P. breviceps* and *P. togoensis* within an expanded *Panaspis*, but note that they occur in relatively divergent clades, and their taxonomic status may change with improved taxon sampling. Divergence estimates and cryptic speciation patterns of snake-eyed skinks were consistent with previous studies of other savanna vertebrate lineages from the same areas examined in this study.

Keywords: biogeography, speciation, cryptic, lizard, divergence

1. Introduction

There are currently 154 genera and 1,602 species assigned to the Family Scincidae (Uetz and Hošek, 2015, but see Hedges, 2014 for an alternative arrangement). Several studies have revealed concealed genetic divergence in multiple lineages of skinks from different regions of the world (Daniels et al., 2009; Engelbrecht et al., 2013; Heideman et al., 2011; Portik et al., 2011; Siler et al., 2011). The family exhibits a wide variety of ecomorphs, but the fossorial/semi-fossorial forms typically have reduced vagility that can facilitate population fragmentation and divergence by historical climatic and geographic processes.

The semi-fossorial, African snake-eyed skink genus *Panaspis* currently includes eight savanna and lowland rainforest species distributed throughout sub-Saharan Africa (Uetz and Hošek, 2015). In the 20th century, the taxonomic composition of the genus *Panaspis* was based on morphological characters, including skull morphology, head scalation, and distinctive characters in the lower eyelid (Broadley, 1989; Fuhn, 1969, 1972; Greer, 1974; Perret, 1973, 1975, 1982). As a result, some African and Eurasian skink species were moved back and forth between different scincid taxa, including *Ablepharus*, *Afroablepharus*, *Lacertaspis*, *Leptosiaphos*, and *Panaspis* (Fuhn, 1969, 1970; Greer, 1974; Perret, 1973, 1975).

The recurrent allocation of African savanna scincid species among these closely related genera in the 20th century resulted from the disparate morphological work of several herpetologists. After the ablepharine (lower eyelid fused with the supercilium)

and pre-ablepharine (lower eyelid not completely fused, forming a palpebral slit) eye conditions were discovered (Boulenger, 1887), and Fuhn (1969) noted that skull morphology could be used to delimit scincid taxa, the genus *Panaspis* was restricted to African species. Continued use of skull morphology also supported the separation of the family Scincidae into four subfamilies: Acontinae, Feylininae, Lygosominae, and Scincinae (Greer, 1970). Recent molecular and morphological evidence (Skinner et al., 2011; Hedges and Conn, 2012; Hedges, 2014) suggested skinks could be divided into as many as nine families. Although considered controversial, ignored or rejected by subsequent authors (e.g., Pyron et al., 2013; Lambert et al., 2015; Linkem et al., in press), this new subdivision continues to support skinks as a monophyletic group (Hedges, 2014). Under a modified version of this classification, the genera *Afroablepharus*, *Lacertaspis*, *Leptosiaphos*, and *Panaspis* are allocated to the Subfamily Eugongylinae (Hedges, 2014; Uetz and Hošek, 2015).

Relying on osteological patterns rather than eye anatomy, Fuhn (1970, 1972) added more skink species with movable lower eyelids and a transparent disc to *Panaspis*. Morphological work by Perret (1973, 1975) divided *Panaspis* species into three groups according to general morphology (mabuiform, lacertiform, and sepsinoid). Greer (1974) erected the genus *Afroablepharus* to accommodate African skinks with an ablepharine eye, and moved all species with movable lower eyelids and pre-ablepharine eyes to other genera, including semiaquatic species to the genus *Cophoscincopus* and terrestrial species to the genus *Panaspis*. As a result, *Leptosiaphos* was synonymized with *Panaspis* based on the movable lower eyelid character, and the only taxon with the pre-ablepharine eye condition was *P. cabindae*, the type species of *Panaspis*. Perret (1975) reduced

Afroablepharus to a subgenus and described the new subgenus *Lacertaspis* to accommodate two species (*P. reichenowi* and *P. rohdei*) that fitted his lacertiform description from two years earlier. Broadley (1989) revised the genera in question and restricted *Panaspis* to species residing in African savannas and having ablepharine or pre-ablepharine eyes. He then restored *Leptosiaphos* to full genus rank for forest and montane grassland species that had a movable lower eyelid. Lastly, he erected a new subgenus, *Perretia*, to accommodate a newly described species, *Leptosiaphos* (*Perretia*) *rhomboidalis*, which had distinctive cephalic lepidosis. A recent revision by Schmitz et al. (2005) recognized *Afroablepharus*, *Lacertaspis*, and *Leptosiaphos* as distinct genera.

Although Schmitz et al. (2005) gave *Afroablepharus* full-genus rank, insufficient sampling did not fully resolve the genus-level boundaries between *Afroablepharus* and *Panaspis*, as only two species each of *Afroablepharus* and *Panaspis* were assessed, and samples of the type species of the latter genus (*Panaspis cabindae*) were not available at that time. The included species of *Panaspis* (*P. breviceps* and *P. togoensis*) were also not ideal representatives, because they have unique morphological characters and habitat preferences that differ from most remaining members of the genus. This taxonomic arrangement is currently recognized in a recent reptile atlas of South Africa (Bates et al., 2014) and the Reptile Database (Uetz and Hošek, 2015). In this study, our objective is to investigate the monophyly of *Afroablepharus* and *Panaspis*, and clarify their relationship to closely related African genera, including *Lacertaspis* and *Leptosiaphos*.

Afroablepharus wahlbergi is the most common and widespread snake-eyed skink in sub-Saharan Africa, but its distribution is disjunct and poorly known (Fuhn, 1970; Branch, 1998; Spawls et al., 2002). Greer (1974) designated *A. wahlbergi* as the type

species of *Afroablepharus*. The type locality was vaguely defined by Smith (1849)—as “country to the eastward of the Cape Colony,” but it is likely to be in the southeastern part of KwaZulu-Natal (Broadley and Howell, 1991). The species has been reported from mainly southern and eastern African countries from South Africa to Kenya, and even Namibia (Fuhn, 1970; Jacobsen and Broadley, 2000; Spawls et al., 2002). Other sub-Saharan African endemics are known to have a similar widespread distribution over savanna and/or woodland habitats, including birds (Voelker et al., 2012), anurans (Zimkus et al., 2010; Evans et al., 2015), mammals (Gaubert et al., 2005), insects (Simard et al., 2009), and other skinks (Portik et al., 2012).

Herein, we examine evolutionary relationships of skinks in the genera *Panaspis* and *Afroablepharus*. We follow the General Lineage Concept (de Queiroz and Gauthier, 1990; de Queiroz, 1998, 2007), which recognizes species as separately evolving lineages. With this species concept, we reject the use of subspecies as natural groups and use molecular data sets to identify separately evolving species. Our concatenated analyses are used to address the following questions: (1) Are *Afroablepharus* and *Panaspis* distinct, reciprocally monophyletic lineages? (2) What is the extent of cryptic speciation within the *Afroablepharus wahlbergi* complex? (3) When did *Afroablepharus/Panaspis* species diversify? and (4) Can diversification of *Afroablepharus/Panaspis* species be linked to climatic and biogeographic events?

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Taxon Sampling

Specimens of the genera *Panaspis* and *Afroablepharus* were collected from multiple localities in sub-Saharan Africa, and 95 samples were sequenced (Table 1, Fig. 1). Additional comparative material (Appendix 1) was obtained from collections listed by Sabaj Pérez (2013). We generated 95 sequences of *16S*, 82 of *cyt b*, 77 of *PDC*, and 51 of *RAG1*. Two species of *Trachylepis* (Scincidae) and *Cordylus marunguensis* (Cordylidae) were used as outgroups to root the trees. Additional sequences of closely related genera (*Lacertaspis*, *Leptosiaphos*, and *Mochlus*) were also sequenced or included from GenBank data (Table 1).

2.2 PCR amplification and sequencing

The DNA of alcohol-preserved muscle or liver tissue samples was extracted using the Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Valencia, CA), or the IBI DNA Extraction Kit (Shelton Scientific, Peosta, IA). Two mitochondrial (*16S* and *cyt b*) and two nuclear (*PDC* and *RAG1*) genes were amplified (Table 2) in 25 µL PCRs, with an initial denaturing temperature of 95°C for 2 minutes, followed by denaturation at 95°C for 35 seconds (s), annealing at 50°C for 35 s, and extension at 72°C for 95 s with 4 s added to the extension per cycle for 32 or 34 cycles (for mitochondrial or nuclear genes, respectively). The PCR amplicons were visualized with a 1.5% agarose gel with SYBRsafe gel stain (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), and these products were purified with Agencourt AMPure XP magnetic bead solution (Beckman Coulter, Danvers, MA) with the manufacturer's protocols. Forward and reverse strands of PCR products were sequenced on an ABI 3700xl capillary DNA sequencer at the University of Texas at El Paso (UTEP) Border Biomedical Research Center (BBRC) Genomic Analysis Core

Facility.

2.3 Phylogenetic analyses

We conducted phylogenetic analyses of single-gene and concatenated data sets, consisting of 2,278 characters from the mitochondrial genes *16S* (518 bp) and *cyt b* (619 bp), and nuclear genes *PDC* (442 bp) and *RAG1* (699 bp). Hypervariable regions in the 16S ribosomal gene, totaling 50 base pairs, were removed from the final analysis. The program SeqMan (Swindell and Plasterer, 1997) was used to interpret chromatograph data. Sequences were aligned using the ClustalW algorithm in the program MEGALIGN (DNASTAR, Madison, WI, USA) and adjusted in MacClade v4.08 (Maddison and Maddison, 2000). A maximum-likelihood tree (ML) was estimated with the GTRGAMMA model in RAxML v7.2.6 (Stamatakis, 2006). All parameters were estimated and a random starting tree was used. Node support was assessed with 1,000 nonparametric bootstrap replicates (Stamatakis et al., 2008). Bayesian inference (BI) was conducted with MrBayes 3.1 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001; Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003). Our model included ten data partitions: a single one for 16S and independent partitions for each codon position of the protein-coding genes *cyt b*, *PDC*, and *RAG1*. Concatenated data sets were partitioned identically for ML and BI analyses. The Akaike information criterion implemented in jModelTest 2 (Darriba et al., 2012) was used to identify the best-fit model of evolution given our data for subsequent BI analyses. Bayesian analyses were conducted with random starting trees, run for 20,000,000 generations, and Markov chains were sampled every 1000 generations. Are we there yet? (AWTY) (Nylander et al., 2008) was used to verify that multiple runs converged, and the

first 25% of the trees were discarded as burn-in. Phylogenies were visualized using FigTree v1.4.2 (Rambaut, 2012).

2.4 Divergence time estimation

Divergence dates were estimated using BEAST v1.8.1 (Drummond et al., 2012). There are no fossil calibrations available for the genera *Panaspis* or *Afroablepharus*, and therefore, two external calibrations were incorporated from Mulcahy et al. (2012). We used the fossil cordyliiform *Konkasaurus* from the Maastrichtian (Upper Cretaceous) of Madagascar (Krause et al., 2003) as the minimum age estimate for the most recent common ancestor (MRCA) of Cordyliiformes (i.e., Cordylidae and Gerrhosauridae) + xantusiids, because Mulcahy et al. (2012) noted that the earliest stem group xantusiid fossil is *Paleoxantusia* from the Torrejonian of the early Paleocene. This date was implemented using a lognormal distribution with a real space mean of 10, log(stdev) of 0.7, and offset of 58, yielding a 95% interval of 60.4–82.7 mya (million years ago). The second calibration incorporated the crown-group scincid fossils *Contogenys* and *Sauriscus* from multiple formations between the Late Cretaceous and Early Paleocene (Estes, 1969; Carroll, 1988; Bryant, 1989; Mulcahy et al., 2012) to provide a minimum-age estimate for the Family Scincidae. This calibration was enforced using a lognormal distribution with a real space mean of 10, log(stdev) of 0.7, and offset of 63, yielding a 95% interval of 65.4–87.7 mya. Dating analyses incorporated all four genes, partitioned by mtDNA (*cyt b*, *16S*) and nucDNA (*RAG1*, *PDC*) markers. Relevant outgroups were selected from GenBank (Table 1). Ingroup sampling was limited to one or two representative lineages with complete data sets, and inclusion of ingroup and outgroup

samples required at least one locus per partition. Including members with missing sequences could yield potentially problematic results (Blankers et al., 2013).

Dating analyses were run for 5×10^7 generations with sampling every 5,000 generations. The Yule model of speciation was used as the tree prior, uncorrelated relaxed lognormal clock models were applied, and both clock and substitution models were unlinked across partitions. The underlying lognormal distribution for the clock model (ucld.mean) was given a broad exponential prior (mean = 10, offset = 0, initial = 1). Runs were assessed using Tracer v1.6 to examine convergence and confirm that ESS values were acceptable (> 200) (Rambaut & Drummond, 2009). A burn-in of 25% was set and maximum-clade credibility trees were created with median date estimates from 7,500 trees for each analysis with TreeAnnotator v1.8.1 (Drummond et al., 2012).

3. Results

3.1 Phylogenetic analyses

One sample failed to amplify for the *I6S* gene, 14 for *cyt b*, 19 for *PDC*, and 45 for *RAG1* (Table 2). This could be attributed to several factors, including tissue degradation, poor extraction quality, and/or reagent deterioration. Other studies have shown that phylogenetic analyses with missing data can still be accurately inferred if they have an appropriate amount of informative characters. Support actually improves when taxa with missing data are included, as opposed to excluding these taxa altogether (Wiens and Morrill, 2011; Mulcahy et al., 2012; Jiang et al., 2014). For the BI analyses, the models of nucleotide substitution selected by jModelTest 2 are listed in Table 3. When a relatively complex model selected by jModelTest 2 was not available in MrBayes, the

least restrictive model (GTR) was implemented. The concatenated topologies for the ML and BI analyses were identical, and strong support values were similar for most clades (Fig. 2). These concatenated ML and BI analyses resulted in the same topologies as our single-gene mtDNA analyses (not shown). Separate topologies of our nuclear genes *PDC* (41 parsimony-informative sites) and *RAG1* (102 parsimony-informative sites) are provided in the supplementary materials (Supplementary Figs. 1–2). The ML analysis (concatenated data set) likelihood score was -18445.331817 .

The ML and BI analyses of the concatenated data (Fig. 2) demonstrated that neither *Panaspis* nor *Afroablepharus* are monophyletic. However, both analyses recovered strong support ($> 70\%$ ML bootstrap values and > 0.95 BI posterior probabilities) for a clade including all *Panaspis* and *Afroablepharus* samples, which is sister to a well-supported clade including *Lacertaspis* and *Leptosiaphos*. Two clades corresponding to *P. togoensis* and *P. breviceps* (known from forest/savanna mosaic habitats and forests, respectively) were recovered in basal and sister positions, respectively, to the remaining samples from savanna habitats, which formed a well-supported clade. Within the latter group, a western clade including *A. africanus*, *A. annobonensis*, and *P. cabindae* was recovered as sister to other samples from central, eastern, and southern Africa, which formed a well-supported clade.

We recovered a high level of geographic structuring within the latter clade. Herein, we label geographically distinct populations to allow easy reference throughout the text and to designate these populations as candidates for further taxonomic investigation. We recovered the following lineages: (1) *A. sp.* Limpopo in northern South Africa, (2) *A. sp.* Namibia, (3) *A. maculicollis* from northern South Africa and

southeastern Angola, (4) *A. sp.* Mozambique 1, in Gorongosa National Park and provinces in the northwestern side of the country, (5) *A. sp.* Mozambique 2, located near the northeastern coast of the country, (6) *A. sp.* southern Malawi, (7) *A. sp.* Mozambique 3 in Nampula Province, in northeastern Mozambique about 170 km south of the following lineage, (8) *A. sp.* Mozambique 4 from Cabo Delgado Province in the northeastern side of the country, (9) *A. wahlbergi*, including presumably topotypic samples from multiple localities in eastern South Africa and adjacent Mozambique, (10) *A. sp.* Tanzania 1 in the suburbs of the city of Arusha, on the eastern side of the Great Rift Valley, (11) *A. sp.* Tanzania 2, from “Klein’s Camp” at the northeastern tip of Serengeti National Park, (12) *A. sp.* Katanga 1 in eastern Katanga Province, DRC, and (13) *A. sp.* Katanga 2 at the southernmost side of the latter province. Unique, divergent samples included *A. sp.* Ethiopia from western Ethiopia and *A. sp.* Mozambique 5 from Serra Jeci, Niassa Province, northwestern Mozambique.

3.2 Divergence time estimation

Our BEAST analysis indicates the time to the most recent common ancestor of *Panaspis*/*Afroablepharus* clade as in the Eocene, approximately 51.6 mya (42.7–62.4 mya, 95% highest posterior densities [HPD]). Whilst the analysis indicated the origin of the entire clade in the Eocene, a majority of *Panaspis*/*Afroablepharus* lineages diversified during the Miocene (Fig. 3, Table 4). The topology of the BEAST tree differs only slightly from that of the ML and BI analyses (Fig. 2) by the following well-supported, monophyletic clades: (1) *Lacertaspis*, (2) *Panaspis breviceps* and *P. togoensis*, and (3)

Afroablepharus sp. Ethiopia, *A. sp.* Limpopo, *A. sp.* Namibia, *A. sp.* Mozambique 1, and
A. maculicollis.

3.3 Taxonomic ramifications

Because the type species of both *Panaspis* (*P. cabindae*) and *Afroablepharus* (*A. wahlbergi*) were recovered in a well-supported clade along with all available congeners (Fig. 2), we transfer *Afroablepharus* Greer, 1974 to the synonymy of *Panaspis* Cope, 1868, which has taxonomic priority. To avoid further nomenclatural confusion in the following text we thus adopt this new arrangement in all further discussion.

4. Discussion

4.1 Biogeography

Our analyses recovered strongly supported lineages that are mainly distributed in non-forested areas reaching elevations up to 1,884 m. The clades found at the eastern side of sub-Saharan Africa are situated around the Afromontane Archipelago, which consists of a series of discontinuous mountain formations along eastern Africa, ranging from the southernmost tip of South Africa to the Arabian Peninsula (Grimshaw, 2001). Although most of our recovered lineages are not considered to be Afromontane, their divergences might be explained by the irregular physiography seen along the areas where these populations occur. This pattern of micro-endemism has been documented in other skinks (Parham and Papenfuss, 2009), geckos (Travers et al., 2014), chameleons (Glaw et al., 2012), chelonians (Daniels et al., 2007; Petzold et al., 2014), birds (Huseman et al., 2013), and mammals (Taylor et al., 2011; Stoffberg et al., 2012). For example, Tanzania

has two populations that are separated by the Great Rift Valley: *P. sp. Tanzania 1*, located in Arusha at 1,400 m elevation, and *P. sp. Tanzania 2*, located at “Klein’s Camp” in Serengeti National Park at approximately 1,884 m elevation. Both populations are located in the disjunct Southern *Acacia-Commiphora* Bushlands and Thickets Ecoregion (note the genus *Acacia* in Africa is now either *Vachellia* or *Senegalia*, *sensu* Miller et al., 2014), which consists of tropical and sub-tropical grasslands and savanna (Burgess et al., 2004). Similar patterns of diversification are seen in savanna-adapted snakes (Broadley, 2001b).

Mozambique harbors the greatest genetic diversity of snake-eyed skinks found in our study (Figs. 1–2). The country is dominated by tropical and subtropical grasslands, savannas and shrublands, and contains a variety of hills, low plateaus, and highlands (Burgess et al., 2004). The *P. sp. Mozambique 1* clade resides within the Southern Miombo Woodlands, a lowland ecoregion with mainly tropical and subtropical savannas. This ecoregion is disjunct, covering the northwestern tip and central area of Mozambique. A few samples also fall inside the subhumid Zambezian and Mopane Woodlands Ecoregion (Burgess et al., 2004), which occupies most of western Mozambique and is located between the latter ecoregion’s disjunct areas. Though the Zambezian and Mopane Woodlands have scant vertebrate endemics, reptile endemism is represented by Lang’s worm lizard (*Chirindia langi*) and the Sabi quill-snouted snake (*Xenocalamus sabiensis*) (Burgess et al., 2004).

The distinct clades at the northeastern tip of Mozambique (*P. sp. Mozambique 2–4*) are located in areas with different types of habitats. Cabo Delgado Province harbors the neighboring populations of *P. sp. Mozambique 2* and *4* along the northeastern

coastline. The corresponding ecoregion is called the Southern Zanzibar-Inhambane Coastal Forest Mosaic, and one sample of *P. sp. Mozambique 4* falls within the Eastern Miombo Woodlands Ecoregion. Although both ecoregions contain mosaics of tropical and subtropical grasslands and savannas, the coastal mosaic forests have been described as “biologically valuable” (Burgess et al., 2003), harboring a great variety of plant and vertebrate endemics. The *P. sp. Mozambique 3* clade is located in Nampula Province, south of Cabo Delgado. This population falls within the Eastern Miombo Woodlands Ecoregion, with elevations ranging from 300–500 m. The specimen that corresponds to *P. sp. Mozambique 5* was collected in mid-elevation grassland on Serra Jeci (1,358 m), a massif in northwestern Mozambique. Given the large number of endemic reptiles described from Mozambique in recent years (Broadley 1990, 1992; Branch and Bayliss, 2009; Branch and Tolley, 2010; Portik et al., 2013b; Branch et al., 2014), it should not be surprising that the country harbors a large number of cryptic species of snake-eyed skinks.

The only population sampled from Malawi (Fig. 2) was found on the lower slopes of the Mt. Mulanje Massif, which rises up to 3000 m elevation above the Phalombe Plain at the border with Mozambique. The massif includes many herpetofaunal endemics (Günther, 1893; Loveridge, 1953; Broadley, 2001a; Branch and Cunningham, 2006), and represents an important center of endemism in the Afromontane Archipelago (Burgess et al., 2004), as well as a site of important conservation concern for amphibians (Conradie et al., 2011). Similar studies suggest this region harbors cryptic species of other taxa, including bats (Curran et al., 2012), insects (Dijkstra and Clausnitzer, 2006), and birds (Voelker et al., 2010), which resulted from formation of sky islands. The taxonomic

status of this population and of other snake-eyed skinks recorded from Malawi (e.g., Cholo and Nchisi Mountains, Nyika Plateau), and their relationship to *Ablepharus carsonii* Boulenger, 1894, described from Fwambo, Zambia, and also recorded from the Nyika Plateau (Boulenger, 1897), requires further study.

Although specimens in Namibia were collected in localities that are distant from each other (one from the Northern Namibian Escarpment [NNE] at Sesfontein and another from the Otavi Highlands on the Namibian central plateau), they formed a well-supported clade with minimal genetic divergence from each other. Much of Namibia comprises xeric savanna and represents a center of high reptile diversity and endemism, but many areas remain understudied (Herrmann and Branch, 2012). However, Bauer (2010) explained that even though the NNE and Otavi Highlands are known for having substantial biodiversity, long-term isolation and thus endemism decrease owing to the “low relief” and accessibility to surrounding areas. This might explain the close relationship between the two Namibian samples. There is also a Namibian population of mole rats with a widespread distribution (Faulkes et al., 2004), which is also attributed to low relief and high accessibility in the landscape.

According to Jacobsen and Broadley (2000), *P. wahlbergi* can be found in a variety of habitats, from rocky outcrops to highveld grassland at altitudes ranging from sea level to 2,000 m. Our sampling suggests that *P. wahlbergi* occupies mostly xeric and montane shrublands and grasslands in eastern South Africa with an elevation ranging from 1,000–1,300 m. Included in the *P. wahlbergi* clade are our Mozambique samples, which are genetically slightly divergent from the South African samples. Although the

Indian Ocean coastal ecoregion extends from KwaZulu-Natal to Mozambique, the Limpopo River valley might limit gene flow between the latter populations.

Knowledge of the distribution of *P. wahlbergi* has changed over time as field guides were updated through fieldwork efforts in the late 20th century (Branch, 1998; Spawls et al., 2002). Although the species was reported from Saudi Arabia (Al-Jumaily, 1984), this population was certainly misidentified because of the disparate locality and habitat, and its morphological resemblance to the Asian skink *Ablepharus pannonicus* (Shätti and Gasperetti, 1994). Another clade (*P. sp.* Limpopo, Figs. 1–2) is sympatric with populations of *P. maculicollis* and *P. wahlbergi*. Located in an area dominated by diverse habitats and high endemism (Burgess et al., 2004), this clade likely represents a new species, because it is morphologically distinct from both *P. maculicollis* and *P. wahlbergi* (MFM, unpubl. data).

We recovered two clades residing within the Central Zambezian Miombo Woodlands Ecoregion (*P. sp.* Katanga 1 and 2) in Katanga Province (DRC) and northern Zambia, which contains high physiographic diversity. Southeastern Katanga is dominated by various high relief areas, which contain numerous ravines, depressions, and drainage systems. This region is dominated by miombo/woodland savanna (Burgess et al., 2004) and harbors various hotspots for plant and reptile endemism (Broadley and Cotterill, 2004). Plateaus in southeastern Katanga are believed to have formed from sands in the Plio-Pleistocene that coincided with extensive aridification processes. In an area with such geological and vegetation complexity, it was not surprising to recover unknown skink lineages in our phylogeny (Fig. 2). The extremely close morphological resemblance between several taxa known from Katanga and Zambia justifies the actions of earlier

herpetologists, who merged *Panaspis anelli*, *P. moeruensis*, and *P. seydeli* into a single currently recognized species, *P. seydeli* (Broadley and Cotterill, 2004). The only unsampled snake-eyed skink species known from Katanga, *P. smithii*, has at least three distinct morphological traits, including white dorsolateral stripes that are lacking in *P. seydeli* (Broadley and Cotterill, 2004). Considering the extensive habitat diversity in Katanga and the large number of lineages recovered in our phylogeny, all four taxa may prove to be specifically distinct species with additional sampling and morphological evidence. A fifth species described from northeastern Zambia in the same ecoregion (Fig. 1), *Ablepharus carsonii* Boulenger, 1894, has been overlooked in recent revisions and may prove to be a distinct species as well.

4.2 Divergence dating

According to our dating analysis, most of the *Panaspis* lineages emerged in the Miocene (Fig. 3). Our dating analyses suggest that the most recent common ancestor of the *Panaspis* clade first emerged in the Eocene, when savanna and grassland habitats began to expand, following global cooling and the fragmentation of the pan-African forest (Zachos et al., 2001; Courveur et al., 2008). Diversification continued from the early Miocene to the Plio-Pleistocene as cooling conditions progressed, causing the expansion of ideal habitats for *Panaspis* in sub-Saharan Africa. The presence of wind-pollinated taxa and grazing vertebrates in the fossil record helped determine that main savanna development in southern Africa took place from the early Miocene to the Holocene (Jacobs, 2004). This timeframe coincides with the transition from C₃ to C₄ vegetation, which altered the diets of many mammalian grazers and caused shifts in their

distribution (Sepulchre et al., 2006). Northern and southern savanna areas increased in East Africa during the mid-Miocene, encouraging colonization by various vertebrate lineages to “open” habitats (Voelker et al., 2012). Transition from woodlands to grasslands in the Miocene is also attributed to alterations in the concentration of atmospheric CO₂ caused by cooling of the Indian Ocean and glacial cycles (Sepulchre et al., 2006). Further climate changes were also caused by rifting processes such as the formation of the East African Rift System in the early Oligocene and its completion in the mid-Miocene (Roberts et al., 2012). Global temperature changes during the Pliocene caused the Afrotropical forest to expand eastward to coastal Kenya, and resulted in the division of the northern and southern savanna regions (Voelker et al., 2012). This loss of savanna habitat connectivity triggered diversification of major arid-adapted vertebrate lineages, which might explain the emergence of divergent *Panaspis* populations from Katanga, Mozambique, South Africa, and Tanzania (Fig. 3).

We found congruence between the ages of diversification of our clades and climatic and geologic events in sub-Saharan Africa. The western branch of the East African Rift System, covering northern Mozambique, formed in the late Oligocene around 25–26 mya (Roberts et al., 2012), and its completion thereafter (~20 mya) coincides with the radiations in our Mozambique clades (Fig. 3). Tiercelin and Lezzar (2002) suggested that the Eastern Arc Mountains and Southern Highlands of Tanzania arose during the late Miocene. Climatic shifts also took place during that time and encouraged the development of forest refugia in the region (Menegon et al., 2014). The sister clades from Arusha and Serengeti shared a common ancestor during this period (Fig. 3), and these climatic and orogenic changes likely promoted their allopatric

speciation. Fossil records suggest rich reptile faunas during the Miocene in Namibia (Rage, 2003). There is congruence between our speciation patterns and aridification processes in that epoch, and the dates in our BEAST trees concur (Fig. 3). Tolley et al. (2008) described southwestern Africa as a “cradle of diversity” for species that survived the transition from C₃ to C₄ plant habitats. The extinction of C₃-dependent species implies that while not all species survived this transition, the remaining ones had the opportunity to diversify, thus creating a biodiversity hotspot. The presence of three sympatric populations in South Africa (*P. maculicollis*, *P. wahlbergi*, and *P. sp. Limpopo*) is supported by this hypothesis.

Similar patterns and timing of diversification have been demonstrated in other vertebrate groups with non-forest distributions, including African clawed frogs (Furman et al., 2015), cobras (Trape et al., 2009), and lizards (Makokha et al., 2007; Diedericks and Daniels, 2014; Dowell et al., 2016), which have all been shown to form complexes of divergent populations correlated with the expansion of C₄ grasslands during the Miocene. Subsequent aridification in the Pliocene and Pleistocene likely explain the more recent cladogenic events in our analyses (Fig. 3), which are similar to patterns in lions (Bertola et al., 2011; Barnett et al., 2014), mole rats (Faulkes et al., 2004), and ungulates (Lorenzen et al., 2012).

Recent divergence between the sister clades *P. maculicollis* and *P. sp. Mozambique 1*, and that of *P. wahlbergi* and numerous cryptic taxa in northern Mozambique (*P. sp. Mozambique 2–5*) and southern Malawi (*P. sp. Malawi*), may also be influenced by contemporaneous effects of the southwest extensions of the East African Rift System. Moore and Larkin (2001) suggested that flexure along the Kalahari-

Zimbabwe (Rhodesia) axis severed the links between the Limpopo and the Okavango, Cuando and Zambezi Rivers, with the formation of lakes in the depression northwest of the axis. The development of the Okavango, Linyanti and Zambezi Rivers, and their associated swamps and palaeolakes, as well as the concomitant decline of the influence of the Limpopo drainage, are all relatively recent (3 mya to present) events, and have been strongly affected by the tectonic history of the region (Moore and Larkin, 2001; McCarthy, 2013). The influence of these events on the biodiversity and biogeography of aquatic organisms have been studied (Cotterill, 2003, 2004; Goodier et al. 2011), however, the barrier effects of these changing patterns of inundation and drainage on fossorial and semifossorial species remain in their infancy.

4.3 Taxonomy and Species Boundaries

Greer (1974) erected *Afroablepharus* based on discrete morphological differences—the frontal scale being in contact with one supraocular, and the ablepharine eye condition. He restricted *Panaspis* to skinks with smooth body scales and terrestrial or fossorial habits. Greer's (1974) only specific characteristics for diagnosing *Panaspis* were having the frontal scale in contact with two supraoculars, and either a pre-ablepharine eye or lower mobile eyelids, the latter only applicable to *P. breviceps* and *P. togoensis*. All examined vouchers from our study that are formerly attributed to the genus *Afroablepharus* are consistent with Greer's (1974) explicit characteristics reserved for the genus. Schmitz et al. (2005) used mitochondrial data and broad sampling from *Panaspis sensu lato* to support the recognition of *Afroablepharus* as a full genus. However, they suggested an in-depth assessment of *Panaspis sensu stricto* because differences existed in

the ecology of some of its species (e.g., *P. breviceps* is a lowland rainforest species). Furthermore, DNA sequences of *P. cabindae* (the type species) were not included, thus restricting taxonomic conclusions of the latter study. Based on our results as noted above, *Afroablepharus* (Greer, 1974) is transferred to the synonymy of *Panaspis* (Cope, 1868), which has taxonomic priority.

The species *P. breviceps* and *P. togoensis* formed reciprocally monophyletic clades with relatively long branch lengths (Fig. 2), thus refuting previous ideas that *P. togoensis* was a subspecies of *P. breviceps* (Loveridge, 1952; Hoogmoed, 1980). Because these two species are morphologically and genetically distinct from *Panaspis sensu stricto* (Fig. 2), their generic allocation, and that of *P. tristai* (a senior synonym of *P. nimbaensis*, Trape et al., 2012), should be reassessed in the future. Excluding these species, our molecular and morphological analyses confirm that *Panaspis* should accommodate savanna skinks with pre-ablepharine and ablepharine eyes, as Broadley (1989) suggested.

We recovered strong support for the reciprocal monophyly of at least 13 lineages of *Panaspis*, most of which are likely to be new species. Prior to this study, the prevailing belief was that *Panaspis wahlbergi* inhabited an enormous geographic area in southern and eastern Africa (Fig. 1, Branch, 1998; Spawls et al., 2002), and that this disjunct distribution could be explained by gaps in sampling. However, our phylogeny of samples initially identified as *P. wahlbergi* demonstrated that the species is included in a complex of at least 13 cryptic lineages that are genetically distinct (Fig. 2). Unpublished morphological data (MFM and EG, unpubl. data) also suggests the lineages are candidate species.

According to Spawls et al. (2002), *P. wahlbergi* is presumed to occupy a large area along the eastern coast of Tanzania and a small, disjunct population occurs at the southwestern tip of Lake Tanganyika (Fig. 1). However, it is likely that *P. sp. Tanzania 1* corresponds to *Panaspis megalurus*, known from “the mid-altitude central plains of Tanzania, north and northwest of Dodoma” (Spawls et al., 2002). The suggested range for *P. megalurus* extends throughout the ecoregion it is found in, from Arusha southward to Dodoma. This range coincides with our samples from Arusha (Fig. 2). The type locality Kinjanganja in “Turu,” as written by Nieden (1913), could not be pinpointed with accuracy (only latitude coordinates were provided in the original description), but it is believed to be located in central Tanzania, close to Dodoma (Uetz and Hošek, 2015), within the presumed range of this species.

Exploration of northwestern Mozambique has resulted in the description of new species and identification of reptile and amphibian taxa with unresolved taxonomic statuses (Branch et al., 2005; Portik et al., 2013a). Large areas of Mozambique remain unexplored because of inaccessibility in the Lichinga Plateau where Serra Jeci is situated, but the Niassa Game Reserve (NGR), located to the east of the plateau, is known to have the highest reptile diversity in Mozambique, including *Panaspis* (Branch et al., 2005). Our data suggest high levels of genetic diversity within *Panaspis* occurring in Mozambique, which requires additional population-level sampling for proper taxonomic assessment. To date, there are thorough vertebrate biodiversity assessments from very few areas of Mozambique and most lie south of the Zambezi River (Schneider et al., 2005). Political turmoil and loss of infrastructure have, until recently, curtailed exploration of northern Mozambique (Branch et al., 2005; Branch and Bayliss, 2009;

Portik et al., 2013a). Peace, a burgeoning human population, and a surge in development are placing increasing environmental pressure on the region. Further herpetofaunal surveys in the region are urgently required to improve understanding of its biodiversity, endemism, and conservation priorities.

Based on distinctive morphology and proximity to type localities, we matched three lineages of our phylogeny to known species: *P. wahlbergi* (Smith, 1849), *P. maculicollis* Jacobsen and Broadley, 2000, and *P. cabindae* Bocage, 1866. *Panaspis wahlbergi* was described from the “country to the eastward of the Cape Colony” (Smith, 1849). The type locality of *P. wahlbergi* could not be pinpointed with accuracy because Smith (1849) gave ambiguous locality descriptions for most of his specimens, including this species (AMB, pers. comm.). Broadley and Howell (1991) restricted the type locality to Durban, KwaZulu-Natal to best fit Smith’s (1849) description (i.e., likely the southeastern part of KwaZulu-Natal in South Africa). A problem with morphology also exists because, as stated by FitzSimons (1937), Smith collected various specimens, but the surviving types he chose to represent *P. wahlbergi* were not congruent in morphology with the dimensions he described. Given the problematic type localities from Smith for other species (AMB, pers. comm.), we recognize the type locality is most likely from eastern South Africa (Smith, 1849; Broadley and Howell, 1991). The type locality for *P. maculicollis* is from Klein Tshipise, in northeastern Limpopo Province, South Africa, and morphometric data for our *P. maculicollis* vouchers were nearly identical to the type description from Jacobsen and Broadley (2000). The type species *P. cabindae* was described from the Cabinda Enclave in the northwestern, disjunct tip of Angola, and our vouchers are again consistent with the original description (Bocage, 1866).

Genetic samples from the *P. wahlbergi* clade in South Africa were collected from the putative restricted type locality (the Bluff, Durban per Broadley and Howell, 1991), and also from widely distributed localities within the country and its greater presumed range (light blue samples in Fig. 1). Morphometric and color pattern data were used to match the examined types of *P. wahlbergi* (BMNH 1946.8.18.49 and 1946.8.18.50; MFM and EG, unpubl. data) to our vouchers from this clade. Based on our phylogenetic analyses, *P. wahlbergi* has a potentially large distribution that has yet to be thoroughly explored (Fig. 1), and broader sampling in eastern South Africa and Mozambique is needed to improve understanding of the distribution of the species.

The species *P. maculicollis* and *P. wahlbergi* were previously reported from Namibia (Bauer et al., 1993; Branch, 1998; Herrmann and Branch, 2012). However, it is unlikely that these Namibian populations are conspecific with either *P. wahlbergi* or *P. maculicollis*, because the ecoregions they inhabit are completely different, and our samples from Namibia are genetically distinct (Fig. 2). Namibia is mainly dominated by arid ecoregions, whereas South Africa contains mostly tropical and subtropical savannas. Nonetheless, both areas share a portion of the Kalahari Desert. Further sampling is required to document the full distribution of *P. sp.* Namibia and describe it as a new species. A sample (ANG 421) with a distinctive branch length from the southeastern corner of Angola, adjacent to the Namibian Zambezia Province (Caprivi Strip), was nested in our *P. maculicollis* clade, and additional sampling is needed to understand the distribution of this lineage as well.

The distribution of our *P. maculicollis* samples suggests the species is sympatric with *P. wahlbergi*, because they were collected in nearby localities (Fig. 1). There is an

unknown lineage of *Panaspis* (*P.* sp. Limpopo) located in the vicinity of *P. maculicollis* and *P. wahlbergi*. Further research on this lineage is needed, as it is sister to the clade from Namibia, where *P. wahlbergi* and *P. maculicollis* had been previously reported. A similar case of this disjunct Namib-Limpopo distribution in skinks involves the species complex *Trachylepis punctulata* (Portik et al., 2012). Additional sampling in and around the Kalahari may help clarify both cases of this biogeographic pattern. Specimens from various locations in Angola and western DRC were nested in a well-supported clade belonging to *P. cabindae*, demonstrating the species is more widespread in south-central Africa than previously assumed.

Several snake-eyed skink species from sub-Saharan Africa lack molecular sampling and are poorly known in general. Two of these species were described from the Rwenzori Massif between Uganda and DRC more than half a century ago: *Panaspis helleri* (Loveridge, 1932) at 2,895 m (DRC) and *Panaspis burgeoni* (de Witte, 1933) at 2,073 m (DRC). The Ethiopian species *Panaspis tancredi* should retain its full species status, but extensive sampling is required to confirm its distribution, because few specimens have been found (Boulenger, 1909; Largen and Spawls, 2006). Our only sample from western Ethiopia (TJC 264) is genetically distinct, and although it is morphologically similar to *P. wahlbergi*, the locality is outside the distribution of *P. tancredi* based on Largen and Spawls (2006), and therefore, we suspect it is a new species. The availability of *Ablepharus carsonii* for snake-eyed skinks from Zambia and Malawi (and possibly from Katanga, DRC), overlooked since being synonymized with *P. wahlbergi* by Loveridge (1953), also requires further study. The West African members of *Panaspis*, *P. breviceps* (Peters, 1873), *P. togoensis* (Werner, 1902), and *P. tristai*

(Monard, 1940), need to be examined in greater detail, because they all have lower mobile eyelids. Considering remaining taxa that were formerly members of the synonymized genus *Afroablepharus*, *P. wilsoni* is only known from Sudan and *P. duruarum* resides in Cameroon, whereas *P. africanus* and *P. annobonensis* are located on volcanic islands of the Gulf of Guinea (Uetz and Hošek, 2015). The morphologically distinct species *P. smithii* (de Witte, 1936) is known from southeastern Katanga Province (DRC) and should be included in future studies.

We briefly explored the relationships between the closely related genera *Lacertaspis* and *Leptosiaphos*. Our phylogeny includes the respective type species of each genus and adopted the taxonomic nomenclature of Schmitz et al. (2005) (Fig. 2). All samples in the genus *Leptosiaphos* were recovered in a well-supported, distinct clade, but *Lacertaspis* was not reciprocally monophyletic (Fig. 2). However, these genera were recovered in reciprocally monophyletic clades in the BEAST analysis (Fig. 3). A more extensive phylogenetic analysis with deeper sampling of these genera is underway (EG, MFM, AS, unpubl. data) to tackle taxonomic discrepancies between these genera.

4.5 Conservation

African savannas cover most of the central and southern parts of the continent (Sodhi et al., 2007). They harbor the world's greatest diversity of ungulates and therefore a variety of predators. Termites are also abundant and contribute to soil fertility and serve as a principal food source for many semi-fossorial reptiles. About two fifths of land in Africa is covered by savannas, and most of that land is currently used for livestock farming to sustain local populations (Sodhi et al., 2007; Hassler et al., 2010). Savannas

are constantly exposed to degradation because of poor farming management, uncontrolled fires, and mining, all of which threaten biodiversity in many unique areas of Africa, including the Niassa Game Reserve, Mt. Mulanje Biosphere Reserve, Quirimbas National Park of coastal northeastern Mozambique, the xeric savannas of Namibia, and the largely unprotected Katanga miombo savannas (Sodhi et al., 2007; Herrmann and Branch, 2012). However, many species of lizards in savannas are resilient after fires (Andersen et al., 2012; Costa et al., 2013; Gorissen et al., 2015) and other anthropogenic disturbances (Smart et al., 2005). Indeed, several specimens in our study were found in disturbed areas, including mining concessions (Table 1), agricultural plots (DMP, pers. comm), and even adjacent to an outhouse in the Bombo-Lumene Game Reserve (EG, pers. comm.). While it is likely that some of these species occur in relatively small populations, future studies are needed to determine whether the *Panaspis* included in this study should be assessed as threatened species.

Acknowledgments

Fieldwork by EG in DRC was funded by a National Geographic Research and Exploration Grant (no. 8556–08), UTEP, and a grant from the National Science Foundation (DEB-1145459). EG and CK thank our field companions Mwenebatu M. Aristote, Wandegé Mastaki Muninga, Angalikiana Mulamba Marcel, Jean Marie Chambu, and Jean-Pierre Mokanse Watse. Baluku Bajope and Muhimanyi Manunu of the Centre de Recherche en Sciences Naturelles (Lwiro, South Kivu) and Mr. Bolamba of the Institut Congolais pour la Conservation de la Nature (Mbandaka, Equateur) provided project support and permits to work in DRC in 2010 and 2013, respectively. WRB and

651 WC thank Brian Huntley, De Beers Angola Prospecting, Southern Africa Regional
652 Environmental Program (SAREP), Angolan Ministry of Environment's Institute of
653 Biodiversity (MINAMB), and the Angola Ministry of Agriculture's National Institute of
654 Fish Research (INIP) for Angola fieldwork. WC thanks Harith Farooq (Lurio University,
655 Pemba, Mozambique) for fieldwork in Mozambique. WRB and WC thank Mount
656 Mulanje Conservation Trust (MMCT) for fieldwork support in Malawi. The fieldwork of
657 ZTN in the DRC was supported by the Belgian National Focal Point to the Global
658 Taxonomy Initiative. DMP thanks Lucília Chuquela (Director of the Natural History
659 Museum), Mandrate Oreste Nakala (Deputy National Director of the Ministry of
660 Agriculture), Marcelino Foloma (National Directorate of Lands and Forests), Emilia
661 Veronica Lazaro Polana (Department of Environmental Management), and the Ministry
662 of Tourism National Directorate of Conservation Areas for granting permits and for
663 logistical assistance in Mozambique; field research was funded by a Mohamed bin Zayed
664 Species Conservation Fund awarded to Jay P. McEntee (Project #11251846) and by the
665 Museum of Vertebrate Zoology (University of California, Berkeley). Specimens were
666 collected by DMP under the regulations of a research permit administered by the
667 Universidade Eduardo Mondlane Natural History Museum of Maputo (No. 04/2011 and
668 05/2011) and a credential administered by the Ministry of Agriculture; specimens were
669 exported under CITES Permit No. MZ-0354/2011. Research lab work by the first author
670 was funded by the UTEP Campus Office of Undergraduate Research Initiatives
671 (COURI). Samples were sequenced by the UTEP Border Biomedical Research Center
672 (BBRC) Genomics Analysis Core Facility, supported by Grant G12MD007592 from the
673 National Institutes on Minority Health and Health Disparities (NIMHD). AMB was

supported by NSF grants DEB 0844523 and 1019443 and by the Gerald M. Lemole, M.D. Endowed Chair funds through Villanova University. RE thanks T. Lautenschläger and C. Neinhuis (TU Dresden) and M.F. Branquima (Uni Kimpa Vita). Field work was supported by a grant from the Paul-Ungerer-Stiftung. Permission to conduct biodiversity research in Angola and to export specimens was granted by the directorate (S. Kuedikuenda) of the Instituto Nacional da Biodiversidade e Áreas de Conservação, Ministério do Ambiente, República de Angola, under permission number 122/INBAC.MINAMB/2013. Fieldwork in Ethiopia was supported by a J. William Fulbright fellowship to TJC, who would like to thank the Ethiopian Wildlife Conservation Authority for granting collection and export permits and the Bedele Brewery for granting access to their properties. We also thank the following people for providing specimens and tissue samples: David Blackburn, Bob Drewes, Lauren A. Scheinberg, Noel Graham and Jens V. Vindum (CAS), Jose Padial and Stephen P. Rogers (CM), Kathleen Kelly and Alan Resetar (FMNH), José Rosado and Jonathan B. Losos (MCZ), Jimmy A. McGuire and Carol Spencer (MVZ), Greg Pauly and Neftali Camacho (LACM), Michael F. Bates (NMB), Lee A. Fitzgerald (TCWC), Michael F. Barej (MfB), and Danny Meirte and Garin Cael (RMCA).

References

- 697 Andersen, A.N., Woinarski, C.Z., Parr, C.L., 2012. Savanna burning for biodiversity: Fire
698 management for faunal conservation in Australian tropical savannas. *Austral*
699 *Ecol.* 37, 658–667.
- 700 Al-Jumaily, M.M., 1984. Reptiles of Saudi Arabia. *Panaspis wahlbergi* (Smith, 1849)
701 (Lacertilia: Scincidae), an addition to the reptile fauna of Saudi Arabia. *Fauna*
702 *Saudi Arabia* 6, 528–533.
- 703 Barnett, R., Yamaguchi, N., Shapiro, B., Ho, S.Y.W., Barnes, I., Sabin, R., Werdelin, L.,
704 Cuisin, J., Larson, G., 2014. Revealing the maternal demographic history of
705 *Panthera leo* using ancient DNA and a spatially explicit genealogical analysis.
706 *BMC Evol. Biol.* 14, 70.
- 707 Bates, M.F., Branch, W.R., Bauer, A.M., Burger, M., Marais, J., Alexander, G.J., De
708 Villiers, M.S., 2014. Atlas and Red List of the Reptiles of South Africa, Lesotho
709 and Swaziland. *Suricata* 1. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria,
710 South Africa.
- 711 Bauer, A.M., Branch, W.R., Haacke, W.D., 1993. The herpetofauna of the Kamanjab
712 area and adjacent Damaraland, Namibia. *Madoqua*, 18, 117–145.
- 713 Bauer, A.M., de Silva, A., Greenbaum, E., Jackman, T.R., 2007. A new species of day
714 gecko from high elevation in Sri Lanka, with a preliminary phylogeny of Sri
715 Lankan *Cnemaspis* (Reptilia: Squamata: Gekkonidae). *Zoosyst. Evol.* 83, 22–32.
- 716 Bauer, A.M., 2010. A new species of *Pachydactylus* (Squamata: Gekkonidae) from the
717 Otavi Highlands of northern Namibia. *Bonn zool. Bull.* 57, 257–266.
- 718 Bertola, L.D., van Hooft, W.F., Vrieling, K., Uit de Weerd, D.R., York, D.S., Bauer, H.,
719 Prins, H.H.T., Funston, P.J., Udo de Haes, H.A., Leirs, H., van Haeringen, W.A.,

- 720 Sogbohossou, E., Tumenta, P.N., Iongh, H.H., 2011. Genetic diversity,
 721 evolutionary history and implications for conservation of the lion (*Panthera leo*)
 722 in West and Central Africa. J. Biogeogr. 38, 1356–1367.
- 723 Blankers, T., Townsend, T.M., Pepe, K., Reeder, T.W., Wiens, J.J., 2013. Contrasting
 724 global-scale evolutionary radiations: Phylogeny, diversification, and
 725 morphological evolution in the major clades of iguanian lizards. Biol. J. Linn.
 726 Soc. 108, 127–143.
- 727 Bocage, J.V.B., 1866. Reptiles nouveaux ou peu connus recueillis dans les possessions
 728 portugaises de l'Afrique occidentale, que se trouvent au Muséum de Lisbonne. J.
 729 Sci. Math., Phys. Nat. Lisboa 1, 57–78.
- 730 Boulenger, G.A., 1887. Catalogue of the Lizards in the British Museum (Natural
 731 History), 2nd edition, Volume 3. Lacertidae, Gerrhosauridae, Scincidae,
 732 Anclytropidae, Dibamidae, Chamaeleontidae. British Museum (Natural History),
 733 London.
- 734 Boulenger, G.A., 1894. Second report on additions to the lizard collection in the Natural-
 735 History Museum. Proc. Zool. Soc., London 722–736 + pl. XLVII–XLIX.
- 736 Boulenger, G.A., 1897. A list of the reptiles and batrachians collected in northern
 737 Nyasaland by Mr. Alex. Whyte, F.Z.S., and presented to the British Museum by
 738 Sir Harry H. Johnston, K.C.B.; with descriptions of new species. Proc. Zool. Soc.,
 739 London 800–802 + pl. XLVI.
- 740 Boulenger, G.A., 1909. List of reptiles [and batrachians] collected by Capt. G. Ferrari at
 741 Jumbo, lower Juba [Bardera]. Ann. Mus. civ. stor. nat. Giacomo Doria, 44, 308–
 742 309.

- 743 Branch, W.R., 1998. Field Guide to Snakes and other Reptiles of Southern Africa, 3rd ed.
744 Sanibel Island, Florida.
- 745 Branch, W.R., Rödel, M.O., Marais, J., 2005. Herpetological survey of the Niassa Game
746 Reserve, northern Mozambique-Part I: Reptiles. *Salamandra* 41, 195–214.
- 747 Branch, W.R., Cunningham, M., 2006. Herpetological Survey of Mount Mulanje,
748 Malawi. Report for Mount Mulanje Conservation Trust, Blantyre, Malawi.
- 749 Branch, W.R., Bayliss, J., 2009. A new species of *Atheris* (Serpentes: Viperidae) from
750 northern Mozambique. *Zootaxa* 2113, 41–54.
- 751 Branch, W.R., Tolley, K.A., 2010. A new species of chameleon (Sauria:
752 Chamaeleonidae: *Nadzikambia*) from Mount Mabu, central Mozambique. *Afr. J.*
753 *Herpetol.* 59, 157–172.
- 754 Branch, W.R., Bayliss, J., Tolley, K.A., 2014. Pygmy chameleons of the *Rhampholeon*
755 *platyceps* complex (Squamata: Chamaeleonidae): Description of four new species
756 from isolated ‘sky islands’ of northern Mozambique. *Zootaxa* 3814, 1–36.
- 757 Broadley, D.G., 1989. A reappraisal of the genus *Panaspis* Cope, with the description of
758 a new species of *Leptosiaphos* (Reptilia: Scincidae) from Tanzania. *Arnoldia*
759 (Zimbabwe) 9, 439–449.
- 760 Broadley, D.G., 1990. The herpetofaunas of the islands off the coast of south
761 Moçambique. *Arnoldia* (Zimbabwe) 9, 469–493.
- 762 Broadley, D.G., 1992. Reptiles and amphibians from the Bazaruto Archipelago,
763 Mozambique. *Arnoldia* (Zimbabwe) 9, 539–548.
- 764 Broadley, D.G., 2001a. A review of the genus *Mabuya* in southeastern Africa (Sauria:
765 Scincidae). *Afr. J. Herpetol.* 49, 87–110.

- 766 Broadley, D.G., 2001b. A review of the genus *Thelotornis* A. Smith in eastern Africa,
767 with the description of a new species from the Usambara Mountains (Serpentes:
768 Colubridae: Dispholidini). *Afr. J. Herpetol.* 50, 53–70.
- 769 Broadley, D.G., Cotterill, F.P.D., 2004. The reptiles of southeast Katanga, an overlooked
770 ‘hot spot.’ *Afr. J. Herpetol.* 53, 35–61.
- 771 Broadley, D.G., Howell, K.M., 1991. A check list of the reptiles of Tanzania, with
772 synoptic keys. *Syntarsus* 1, 1–70.
- 773 Bryant, L.J., 1989. Non-dinosaurian lower vertebrates across the Cretaceous–Tertiary
774 boundary in northeastern Montana. *Univ. Calif. Publ. Geol. Sci.* 134, 1–107.
- 775 Burgess, N.D., Doggart, N., Doody, K., Negussie, G., Sumbi, P., Perkin, A., 2003. New
776 information on the lowland coastal forests of eastern Africa. *Oryx* 37, 280–281.
- 777 Burgess, N., Hales, J.D., Underwood, E., Dinerstein, E., 2004. Terrestrial Ecoregions of
778 Africa and Madagascar: A Conservation Assessment. World Wildlife Fund,
779 Washington, DC.
- 780 Carroll, R.L., 1988. Vertebrate Paleontology and Evolution. W.H. Freeman and
781 Company, New York.
- 782 Conradie, W., Harvey, J., Kotzé, A., Dalton, D.L., Cunningham, M.J., 2011. Confirmed
783 amphibian chytrid in Mount Mulanje area, Malawi. *Herpetol. Rev.* 42, 369–371.
- 784 Cope, E.D., 1868. Observations on reptiles of the Old World, II. *Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci.*
785 Philadelphia, 1868, 316–323.
- 786 Costa, B.M., Pantoja, D.L., Vianna, M.C.M., Colli, G.R., 2013. Direct and short-term
787 effects of fire on lizard assemblages from a Neotropical savanna hotspot. *J.*
788 *Herpetol.* 47, 502–510.

- 789 Cotterill, F.P.D., 2003. Geomorphological influences on vicariant evolution of some
 790 African mammals in the Zambezi Basin: Some lessons for conservation. In A. B.
 791 Plowman (Ed.), Proceedings of the Ecology and Conservation of Mini-antelope:
 792 An International Symposium on Duiker and Dwarf Antelope in Africa. Fűürth,
 793 Filander Verlag, Germany. pp. 11–58.
- 794 Cotterill, F.P.D., 2004. Drainage evolution in south-central Africa and vicariant
 795 speciation in swamp-dwelling weaver birds and swamp flycatchers. Honeyguide
 796 25, 7–25.
- 797 Curran, M., Kopp, M., Beck, J., Fahr, J., 2012. Species diversity of bats along an
 798 altitudinal gradient on Mount Mulanje, southern Malawi. J. Trop. Ecol. 28, 243–
 799 253.
- 800 Darriba, D., Taboada, G.L., Doallo, R., Posada, D., 2012. jModelTest 2: More models,
 801 new heuristics and parallel computing. Nat. Methods 9, 772.
- 802 Daniels, S.R., Hofmeyr, M.D., Henen, B.T., Crandall, K.A., 2007. Living with the
 803 genetic signature of Miocene induced change: Evidence from the
 804 phylogeographic structure of the endemic angulate tortoise *Chersina angulata*.
 805 Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 45, 915–926.
- 806 Daniels, S.R., Heideman, N.J., Hendricks, M.G., 2009. Examination of evolutionary
 807 relationships in the Cape fossorial skink species complex (Acontinae: *Acontias*
 808 *meleagris meleagris*) reveals the presence of five cryptic lineages. Zool. Scr. 38,
 809 449–463.
- 810 de Queiroz, K., 1998. The general lineage concept of species, species criteria, and the
 811 process of speciation: A conceptual unification and terminological

- 812 recommendations. In D.J. Howard and S.H. Berlocher (Eds.), *Endless Forms:*
 813 *Species and Speciation*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK. pp. 57–75.
- 814 de Queiroz, K., 2007. Species concepts and species delimitation. *Syst. Biol.* 56, 879–
 815 886.
- 816 de Queiroz, K., Gauthier, J., 1990. Phylogeny as a central principal in taxonomy:
 817 Phylogenetic definitions of taxon names. *Syst. Zool.* 39, 307–322.
- 818 de Witte, G.F., 1933. Batraciens et reptiles recueillis par M. L. Burgeon, au Kivu et au
 819 Tanganyka. *Rev. Zool. Bot. Afr.* 24, 111–123.
- 820 de Witte, G.F., 1936. Description d’une espèce nouvelle du genre *Ablepharus* provenant
 821 du Congo Belge. *Rev. Zool. Bot. Afr.* 29, 29–33.
- 822 Diedericks, G., Daniels, S.R., 2014. Ain’t no mountain high enough, ain’t no valley low
 823 enough? Phylogeography of the rupicolous Cape girdled lizard (*Cordylus*
 824 *cordylus*) reveals a generalist pattern. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 71, 234–248.
- 825 Dijkstra K.D.B., Clausnitzer V., 2006. Thoughts from Africa: How can forest influence
 826 species composition, diversity and speciation in tropical Odonata? In A. Cordero-
 827 Rivera (Ed.), *Forests and Dragonflies*. Pensoft Publishers, Sofia, Bulgaria. pp.
 828 127–151.
- 829 Dowell, S.A., Portik, D.M., de Buffrénil, V., Ineich, I., Greenbaum, E., Kolokotronis, S.-
 830 O., Hekkala, E.R., 2016. Molecular data from contemporary and historical
 831 collections reveal a complex story of cryptic diversification in the *Varanus*
 832 (*Polydaedalus*) *niloticus* Species Group. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 94, 591–604.
- 833 Drummond, A.J., Suchard, M.A., Xie, D., Rambaut, A., 2012. Bayesian phylogenetics
 834 with BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 29, 1969–1973.

- 835 Engelbrecht, H.M., Niekerk, A., Heideman, N.J.L., Daniels, S.R., 2013. Tracking the
836 impact of Pliocene/Pleistocene sea level and climatic oscillations on the
837 cladogenesis of the Cape legless skink, *Acontias meleagris* species complex, in
838 South Africa. J. Biogeogr. 40, 492–506.
- 839 Estes, R., 1969. A scincoid lizard from the Cretaceous and Paleocene of Montana.
840 Breviora 331, 1–9.
- 841 Evans, B.J., Carter, T.F., Greenbaum, E., Gvoždík, V., Kelley, D.B., McLaughlin, P.J.,
842 Pauwels, O.S.G., Portik, D.M., Stanley, E.L., Tinsley, R.C., Tobias, M.L.,
843 Blackburn, D.C., 2015. Genetics, morphology, acoustical data, and historical
844 records distinguish six new polyploid species of African clawed frog (*Xenopus*,
845 Pipidae) from West and Central Africa. PLoS ONE 10, e0142823.
- 846 Faulkes, C.G., Verheyen, F., Verheyen, W., Jarviss, J.U.M., Bennett, N.C., 2004.
847 Phylogeographical patterns of genetic divergence and speciation in African mole-
848 rats (Family: Bathyergidae). Mol. Ecol. 13, 613–629.
- 849 FitzSimons, V.F.M., 1937. Notes on the reptiles and amphibians collected and described
850 from South Africa by Andrew Smith. Annls. Transv. Mus. 17, 259–274.
- 851 Fuhn, I.E., 1969. Revision and redefinition of the genus *Ablepharus* Lichtenstein, 1823
852 (Reptilia, Scincidae). Rom. J. Biol. –Zool. 14, 23–41.
- 853 Fuhn, I.E., 1970. Contribution á la systématique des lygosomines africains (Reptilia,
854 Scincidae). I. Les espèces attribuées au genre *Ablepharus*. Rom. J. Biol. –Zool.
855 15, 379–393.
- 856 Fuhn, I.E., 1972. Revision du phylum forestier du genre *Panaspis* Cope (Reptilia,
857 Scincidae, Lygosominae). Rom. J. Biol. –Zool. 17, 257–271.

- 858 Furman, B.L.S., Bewick, A.J., Harrison, T.L., Greenbaum, E., Gvoždik, V., Kusamba, C.,
859 Evans, B., 2015. Pan-African phylogeography of a model organism, the African
860 clawed frog '*Xenopus laevis*.' Mol. Ecol. 24, 909–925.
- 861 Gaubert, P., Taylor, P.J., Veron, G., 2005. Integrative taxonomy and phylogenetic
862 systematics of the genetids (Carnivora, Viverridae, *Genetta*): A new classification
863 of the most speciose carnivoran genus in Africa. In B.A. Huber, B.J. Sinclair, and
864 K.-H. Lampe (Eds.), African Biodiversity: Molecules, Organisms, Ecosystems.
865 Springer, New York. pp. 371–383.
- 866 Glaw, F., Kohler, J., Townsend, T.M., Vences, M., 2012. Rivaling the world's smallest
867 reptiles: Discovery of miniaturized and microendemic new species of leaf
868 chameleons (*Brookesia*) from northern Madagascar. PLoS ONE 7, e31314.
- 869 Goodier, S.A.M., Cotterill, F.P.D., O’Ryan, C, Skelton, P.H., de Wit, M.J., 2011. Cryptic
870 diversity of African Tigerfish (Genus *Hydrocynus*) reveals palaeogeographic
871 signatures of linked Neogene geotectonic events. PLoS ONE 6, e28775.
- 872 Gorissen, S., Mallinson, J., Greenless, M., Shine, R., 2015. The impact of fire regimes on
873 populations of an endangered lizard in montane south-eastern Australia. Austral
874 Ecol. 40, 170–177.
- 875 Greer, A.E., 1970. A subfamilial classification of scincid lizards. Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool.
876 (Harvard) 139, 151–183.
- 877 Greer, A.E., 1974. The generic relationships of the scincid lizard genus *Leiopisma* and
878 its relatives. Aust. J. Zool. 31, 1–67.
- 879 Grimshaw, J.M., 2001. What do we really know about the Afromontane Archipelago?
880 Syst. Geogr. Plants 71, 949–957.

- 881 Groth, J.G., Barrowclough, G.F., 1999. Basal divergences in birds and the phylogenetic
882 utility of the nuclear RAG-1 gene. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 12, 115–123.
- 883 Günther, A., 1893. Report on a collection of reptiles and batrachians transmitted by Mr.
884 H. H. Johnston, C. B., from Nyassaland. *Proc. Zool. Soc. London* 1892, 555–558.
- 885 Hassler, S.K., Kreyling, J., Beierkuhnlein, C., Eisold, J., Samimi, C., Wagenseil, H.,
886 Jentsch, A., 2010. Vegetation pattern divergence between dry and wet season in a
887 semiarid savanna – Spatio-temporal dynamics of plant diversity in northwest
888 Namibia. *J. Arid Environ.* 74, 1516–1524.
- 889 Hedges, S.B., 2014. The high-level classification of skinks (Reptilia, Squamata,
890 Scincomorpha). *Zootaxa* 3765, 317–338.
- 891 Hedges, S.B., Conn, C.E., 2012. A new skink fauna from Caribbean islands (Squamata,
892 Mabuyidae, Mabuyinae). *Zootaxa* 3288, 1–244.
- 893 Heideman, N.J.L., Mulcahy, D.G., Sites Jr., J.W., Hendricks, M.G.J., Daniels, S.R., 2011.
894 Cryptic diversity and morphological convergence in threatened species of
895 fossorial skinks in the genus *Scelotes* (Squamata: Scincidae) from the Western
896 Cape Coast of South Africa: Implications for species boundaries, digit reduction
897 and conservation. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 61, 823–833.
- 898 Herrmann, H.W., Branch, W.R., 2012. Fifty years of herpetological research in the
899 Namib Desert and Namibia with an updated and annotated species checklist. *J.*
900 *Arid Environ.* 93, 94–115.
- 901 Hoogmoed, M.S., 1980. Herpetologische waarnemingen in Ghana [part 5]. *Lacerta* 38,
902 62–65.
- 903 Huelsenbeck, J.P., Ronquist, F., 2001. MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogeny.

- 904 Bioinform. 17, 754–755.
- 905 Husemann, M., Ulrich, W., Habel, J.C., 2013. The evolution of contact calls in isolated
906 and overlapping populations of two white-eye congeners in East Africa (Aves,
907 *Zosterops*). BMC Evol. Biol. 14, 115.
- 908 Jacobs, B.F., 2004. Paleobotanical studies from Tropical Africa: Relevance to the
909 evolution of forest, woodland and savannah biomes. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B, 359,
910 1573–1583.
- 911 Jacobsen, N.H.G., Broadley, D.G., 2000. New species of *Panaspis* Cope (Reptilia:
912 Scincidae) from southern Africa. Afr. J. Herpetol. 49, 61–71.
- 913 Jiang, W., Chen, S.Y., Wang, H., Li, D.Z., Wiens, J.J., 2014. Should genes with missing
914 data be excluded from phylogenetic analyses? Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 80, 308–
915 318.
- 916 Krause, D.W., Evans, S.E., Gao, K.Q., 2003. First definitive record of Mesozoic lizards
917 from Madagascar. J. Vert. Paleo. 23, 842–856.
- 918 Lambert, S.M., Reeder, T.W., Wiens, J.J., 2015. When do species-tree and concatenated
919 estimates disagree? An empirical analysis with higher-level scincid lizard
920 phylogeny. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 82, 146–155.
- 921 Largen, M.J., Spawls, S., 2006. Lizards of Ethiopia (Reptilia Sauria): An annotated
922 checklist, bibliography, gazetteer and identification key. Trop. Zool. 19, 21–109.
- 923 Linkem, C.W., Minin, V.N., Leaché, A.D., in press. Detecting the anomaly zone in
924 species trees and evidence for a misleading signal in higher-level skink phylogeny
925 (Squamata: Scincidae). Syst. Biol.
- 926 Lorenzen, E.D., Heller, R., Siegmund, H.R., 2012. Comparative phylogeography of

- 927 African savannah ungulates. *Mol. Ecol.* 21, 3656–3670.
- 928 Loveridge, A., 1932. New reptiles and amphibians from Tanganyika Territory and
 929 Kenya Colony. *Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. (Harvard)* 72, 375–387.
- 930 Loveridge, A. 1952. A startlingly turquoise-blue gecko from Tanganyika. *J. E. Afr. Nat.*
 931 *Hist. Soc.* 20, 446.
- 932 Loveridge, A., 1953. Zoological Results of a fifth expedition to East Africa. III. Reptiles
 933 from Nyasaland and Tete. *Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. (Harvard)* 110, 142–322.
- 934 Maddison, D.R., Maddison W.P., 2000. *MacClade 4: Analysis of phylogeny and*
 935 *character evolution, Version 4.0.* Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.
- 936 Makokha, J.S., Bauer, A.M, Mayer, W., Mathee, C.A., 2007. Nuclear and mtDNA-based
 937 phylogeny of southern African sand lizards, *Pedioplanis* (Sauria: Lacertidae).
 938 *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 44, 622–633.
- 939 McCarthy, T., 2013. The Okavango Delta and its place in the geomorphological
 940 evolution of southern Africa. *S. Afr. J. Geol.* 116, 1–54.
- 941 Menegon, M., Loader, S.P., Marsden, S.J., Branch, W.R., Davenport, T.R.B.,
 942 Ursenbacher, S., 2014. The genus *Atheris* (Serpentes: Viperidae) in East Africa:
 943 Phylogeny and the role of rifting and climate in shaping the current pattern of
 944 species diversity. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 79, 12–22.
- 945 Miller, J.T., Seigler, D., Mishler, B.D., 2014. A phylogenetic solution to the *Acacia*
 946 problem. *Taxon* 63, 653–658.
- 947 Monard, A., 1940. Résultats de la mission scientifique du Dr. Monard en Guinée
 948 Portugaise 1937–1938. VIII. Reptiles. *Arq. Mus. Boc. Lisboa* 11, 147–180.
- 949 Moore, A.E., Larkin, P.A., 2001. Drainage evolution in south-central Africa since the

- 950 breakup of Gondwana. S. Afr. J. Geol., 104, 47–68.
- 951 Mulcahy, D.G., Noonan, B.P., Moss, T., Townsend, T.M., Reeder, T.W., Sites Jr., J.W.,
 952 Wiens, J.J., 2012. Estimating divergence dates and evaluating dating methods
 953 using phylogenomic and mitochondrial data in squamate reptiles. Mol.
 954 Phylogenet. Evol. 65, 974–991.
- 955 Nieden, F., 1913. Neues Verzeichnis der Kriechtiere (ausser den Schlangen) von
 956 Deutsch-Ostafrika. I Teil, Reptilia. Mitt. Zool. Mus. Berlin 7, 51–100.
- 957 Nylander, J.A., Wilgenbusch, J.C., Warren, D.L., Swofford, D.L., 2008. AWTY (are we
 958 there yet?): A system for graphical exploration of MCMC convergence in
 959 Bayesian phylogenetics. Bioinform. 24, 581–583.
- 960 Perret, J.L., 1973. Contribution a l'etude des *Panaspis* (Reptilia, Scincidae) d'Afrique
 961 occidentale avec la description de deux espèces nouvelles. Rev. Suisse Zool. 80,
 962 595–630.
- 963 Perret, J.L., 1975. La différenciation dans le genre *Panaspis* Cope (Reptilia, Scincidae).
 964 Bull. Soc. Neuchâtel. Sci. Nat. 98, 5–16.
- 965 Perret, J.L., 1982. Le sous-genre *Leptosiaphos* (Lacertilia, Scincidae) et ses implications.
 966 Bull. Soc. Neuchâtel. Sci. Nat. 105, 107–121.
- 967 Peters, W.H.C., 1873. Über eine neue Schildkrötenart, *Cinosternon effeldtii* und einige
 968 andere neue oder weniger bekannte Amphibien. Monatsb. K. Akad. Berlin, 1873,
 969 603–618.
- 970 Petzold, A., Vargas-Ramírez, M., Kehlmaier, C., Vamberger, M., Branch, W.R., Du
 971 Preez, L., Hofmeyr, M.D., Meyer, L., Schleicher, A., Šíroký, P., Fritz, U., 2014.
 972 A revision of African helmeted terrapins (Testudines: Pelomedusidae:

- 973 *Pelomedusa*), with descriptions of six new species. Zootaxa 3795, 523–548.
- 974 Portik, D.M., Bauer, A.M., Jackman, T.R., 2011. Bridging the gap: Western rock skinks
975 (*Trachylepis sulcata*) have a short history in South Africa. Mol. Ecol. 20, 1744–
976 1758.
- 977 Portik, D.M., Bauer, A.M., 2012. Untangling the complex: Molecular patterns in
978 *Trachylepis variegata* and *T. punctulata* (Reptilia: Scincidae). Afr. J. Herpetol.
979 61, 128–142.
- 980 Portik, D.M., Mulungu, E.A., Sequeira, D., McEntee, J.P., 2013a. Herpetological surveys
981 of the Serra Jeci and Namuli Massifs, Mozambique, and an annotated checklist of
982 the Southern Afromontane Archipelago. Herpetol. Rev. 44, 394–406.
- 983 Portik, D.M., Travers, S.L., Bauer, A.M., Branch, W.R., 2013b. A new species of
984 *Lygodactylus* (Squamata: Gekkonidae) endemic to Mount Namuli, an isolated
985 ‘sky island’ of northern Mozambique. Zootaxa 3710, 415–435.
- 986 Parham, J.F., Papenfuss, T.J., 2009. High genetic diversity among fossorial lizard
987 populations (*Anniella pulchra*) in a rapidly developing landscape (Central
988 California). Conserv. Genet. 10, 169–176.
- 989 Pramuk, J.B., Robertson, T., Sites Jr., J.W., Noonan, B.P., 2008. Around the world in 10
990 million years: Biogeography of the nearly cosmopolitan true toads (Anura:
991 Bufonidae). Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 17, 72–83.
- 992 Pyron, A., Burbrink, F.T., Wiens, J.J., 2013. A phylogeny and revised classification of
993 Squamata, including 4161 species of lizards and snakes. BMC Evol. Biol. 13, 1–
994 53.
- 995 Rage, J.C., 2003. Squamate reptiles from the early Miocene of Arrisdrift (Namibia).

- 996 Mem. Geol. Surv. Namib. 19, 43–50.
- 997 Rambaut, A., 2012. FigTree. Available at: <http://www.tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree>.
- 998 Rambaut, A., Drummond, A., 2009. Tracer v1.6. Available at: <http://beast.bio>.
- 999 ed.ac.uk/Tracer.
- 1000 Roberts, E.M., Stevens, N.J., O'Connor, P.M., Dirks, P.H.G.M., Gottfried, M.D., Clyde,
- 1001 W.C., Armstrong, R.A., Kemp, A.I.S., Hemming, S., 2012. Initiation of the
- 1002 western branch of the East African Rift coeval with the eastern branch. Nat.
- 1003 Geosci. 5, 289–294.
- 1004 Ronquist, F., Huelsenbeck, J.P., 2003. MRBAYES 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference
- 1005 under mixed models. Bioinform. 19, 1572–1574.
- 1006 Sabaj Pérez, M.H. (Ed.), 2013. Standard symbolic codes for institutional resource
- 1007 collections in herpetology and ichthyology: An online reference. Version 4.0 (28
- 1008 June 2013). Electronically accessible at: [http://www.asih.org/resources/standard-](http://www.asih.org/resources/standard-symbolic-codes-institutional-resourcecollections-herpetology-ichthyology)
- 1009 [symbolic-codes-institutional-resourcecollections-herpetology-ichthyology](http://www.asih.org/resources/standard-symbolic-codes-institutional-resourcecollections-herpetology-ichthyology),
- 1010 American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists, Washington, DC.
- 1011 Schätti, B., Gasperetti, J., 1994. A contribution to the herpetofauna of southwest Arabia.
- 1012 Fauna Saudi Arabia 14, 348–423.
- 1013 Schmitz, A., Ineich, I., Chirio, L., 2005. Molecular review of the genus *Panaspis* sensu
- 1014 lato (Reptilia: Scincidae) in Cameroon, with special reference to the status of the
- 1015 proposed subgenera. Zootaxa, 863, 1–28.
- 1016 Schneider, M., Buramuge, V., Aliasse, L., Serfontein, F., 2005. Checklist and centres of
- 1017 vertebrate diversity in Mozambique. Forestry Department (DEF), Eduardo
- 1018 Mondlane University, Maputo, Mozambique.

- 1019 Sepulchre, P., Ramstein, G., Fluteau, F., Schuster, M., Tiercelin, J.J., Brunet, M., 2006.
 1020 Tectonic uplift and Eastern Africa aridification. *Science* 313, 1419–1423.
- 1021 Siler, C.D., Diesmos, A.C., Alcalá, A.C., Brown, R.M., 2011. Phylogeny of Philippine
 1022 slender skinks (Scincidae: *Brachymeles*) reveals underestimated species diversity,
 1023 complex biogeographical relationships, and cryptic patterns of lineage
 1024 diversification. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 59, 53–65.
- 1025 Simard, F., Ayala, D., Kamdem, G.C., Pombi, M., Etouna, J., Ose, K., Fotsing, J.M.,
 1026 Fontenille, D., Besansky, N.J., Costantini, C., 2009. Ecological niche partitioning
 1027 between *Anopheles gambiae* molecular forms in Cameroon: The ecological side
 1028 of speciation. *BMC Ecol.* 9, 17.
- 1029 Skinner, A., Hugall, A.F., Hutchinson, M.N., 2011. Lygosomine phylogeny and the
 1030 origins of Australian scincid lizards. *J. Biogeogr.* 38, 1044–1058.
- 1031 Smart, R., Whiting, M.J., and Twine, W., 2005. Lizards and landscapes: Integrating field
 1032 surveys and interviews to assess the impact of human disturbance on lizard
 1033 assemblages and selected reptiles in a savanna in South Africa. *Biol.*
 1034 *Conserv.* 122, 23–31.
- 1035 Smith, M.A., 1849. Appendix in *Illustrations of the Zoology of South Africa, Reptilia*.
 1036 Smith, Elder and Co., London, UK.
- 1037 Sodhi, N.S., Brook, B. W., Bradshaw, C.J.A., 2007. *Tropical Conservation Biology*.
 1038 Blackwell Publishing, Malden, Massachusetts.
- 1039 Spawls, S., Howell, K., Drewes, R., Ashe, J., 2002. *A Field Guide to the Reptiles of East*
 1040 *Africa: Kenya, Tanzania, Rwanda and Burundi*. Academic Press, London, UK.

- 1041 Stamatakis, A., 2006. RAxML-VI-HPC: Maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic
1042 analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinform.* 22, 2688–2690.
- 1043 Stamatakis, A., Hoover, P., Rougemont J., 2008. A rapid bootstrap algorithm for the
1044 RAxML web servers. *Syst. Biol.* 57, 758–771.
- 1045 Stoffberg, S., Schoeman, M.C., Mathee, C.A., 2012. Correlated genetic and ecological
1046 diversification in a widespread Southern African horseshoe bat. *PLoS ONE* 7:
1047 e31946.
- 1048 Swindell, S.R., Plasterer, T.N., 1997. SEQMAN: Contig assembly. *Methods Mol. Biol.*
1049 70, 75–89.
- 1050 Taylor, P.J., Lavrenchenko, L.A., Carleton, M.D., Verheyen, E., Bennett, N.C.,
1051 Oosthuizen, C.J., and Maree, S., 2011. Specific limits and emerging diversity
1052 patterns in east African populations of laminate-toothed rats, genus *Otomys*
1053 (Muridae: Murinae: Otomyini): Revision of the *Otomys typus* complex. *Zootaxa*
1054 3024, 1–66.
- 1055 Tiercelin, J.J., Lezzar, K.E., 2002. A 300 million years history of rift lakes in Central and
1056 East Africa: An updated broad review. In E.O. Odada and D.O. Olago (Eds.), *The*
1057 *East African Great Lakes: Limnology, Palaeolimnology and Biodiversity*. Kluwer
1058 Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands. pp. 3–60.
- 1059 Tolley, K.A., Chase, B.M., Forest, F., 2008. Speciation and radiations track climate
1060 transitions since the Miocene Climatic Optimum: A case study of southern
1061 African chameleons. *J. Biogeogr.* 35, 1402–1414.
- 1062 Trape, J.F., Laurent, C., Broadley, D.G., Wüster, W., 2009. Phylogeography and
1063 systematic revision of the Egyptian cobra (Serpentes: Elapidae: *Naja haje*) species

- 1064 complex, with the description of a new species from West Africa. Zootaxa 2236,
1065 1–25.
- 1066 Trape, J.F., Ineich, I., 2012. *Panaspis togoensis* (Togo lidless skink). Herpetol. Rev. 43,
1067 103.
- 1068 Travers, S.L., Jackman, T.R., Bauer, A.M., 2014. A molecular phylogeny of
1069 Afromontane dwarf geckos (*Lygodactylus*) reveals a single radiation and
1070 increased species diversity in a South African montane center of endemism. Mol.
1071 Phylogenet. Evol. 80, 31–42.
- 1072 Uetz, P., Hošek, J. (Eds.). The Reptile Database. Available at: <http://www.reptile->
1073 [database.org](http://www.reptile-database.org), accessed March 23, 2015.
- 1074 Vences, M., Kosuch, J., Glaw, F., Böhme, W., Veith., M., 2003. Molecular phylogeny of
1075 hyperoliid treefrogs: Biogeographic origin of Malagasy and Seychellean taxa and
1076 re-analysis of familial paraphyly. J. Zool. Syst. Evol. Res. 41, 205–215.
- 1077 Voelker, G., Outlaw, R.K., Bowie, R.C.K., 2010. Pliocene forest dynamics as a primary
1078 driver of African bird speciation. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 19, 111–121.
- 1079 Voelker, G., Bowie, R.C.K., Wilson, B., Anderson, C., 2012. Phylogenetic relationships
1080 and speciation patterns in an African savanna dwelling bird genus
1081 (*Myrmecocichla*). Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 106, 180–190.
- 1082 Werner, F., 1902. Über westafrikanische reptilien. Verh. Zool. Bot. Ges. Wien 52, 332–
1083 348.
- 1084 Wiens, J.J., Morrill, M.C., 2011. Missing data in phylogenetic analysis: Reconciling
1085 results from simulations and empirical data. Syst. Biol. 60, 719–731.
- 1086 Zachos, J.C., Pagani, M., Sloan, L., Thomas, E., Billups, K., 2001. Trends, rhythms, and

- 1087 aberrations in global climate 65 Ma to present. *Science* 292, 686–693.
- 1088 Zimkus, B.M., Rödel, M.O., Hillers, A., 2010. Complex patterns of continental
- 1089 speciation: Molecular phylogenetics and biogeography of sub-Saharan puddle
- 1090 frogs (*Phrynobatrachus*). *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 55, 883–900.

Table 1. Field numbers and localities for specimens used in genetic analyses. DRC = Democratic Republic of Congo, E = east, Moz = Mozambique, N = north, NW = northwest, SW = southwest, S = south, SA = South Africa.

Species	Field Number	Collection Number	Locality	16S	cyt <i>b</i>	PDC	RAG1
<i>Cordylus marunguensis</i>	EBG 2993	UTEP 20374	Pepa, Katanga, DRC	JQ389803	KU298723	KU298803	KU298675
<i>Trachylepis megalura</i>	EBG 1409	UTEP 21195	Lwiro, South Kivu, DRC	KU236715	KU298724	KU298804	—
<i>Trachylepis striata</i>	EBG 1407	UTEP 21172	Lwiro, South Kivu, DRC	KU236716	KU298725	KU298805	—
<i>Lacertaspis chriswildi</i>	—	ZFMK 75735	Tchabal Mbabo, Cameroon	KU236797	KU298801	KU298874	—
<i>Lacertaspis gemmiventris</i>	RCD 13251	CAS 207854	Bioko Island, Equatorial Guinea	KU236793	KU298797	KU298870	KU298720
<i>Lacertaspis gemmiventris</i>	RCD 13255	CAS 207858	Bioko Island, Equatorial Guinea	KU236792	KU298796	—	KU298719
<i>Lacertaspis reichenowi</i>	E56.12	—	—	AY308235	—	—	—
<i>Lacertaspis rohdei</i>	—	ZFMK 75382	Mt. Nlonako, Cameroon	KU236790	KU298795	—	KU298717
<i>Leptosiaphos blochmanni</i>	EBG 1610	UTEP 21177	Bichaka, South Kivu, DRC	KU236798	KU298802	KU298875	KU298722
<i>Leptosiaphos koutoui</i>	—	MNHN 2001.0697	Meiganga, Adamaoua Plateau, Cameroon	KU236789	KU298794	KU298868	KU298716
<i>Leptosiaphos meleagris</i>	ELI 2844	UTEP 21178	Rwenzori Mountains National Park, Uganda	KU236799	—	—	—
<i>Leptosiaphos</i> sp.	—	ZFMK 69552	Mt. Nlonako, Cameroon	KU236794	KU298798	KU298871	KU298721
<i>Leptosiaphos</i> sp.	—	ZFMK 75381	Mt. Nlonako, Cameroon	KU236791	—	KU298869	KU298718
<i>Mochlus afer</i>	E56.17	ZFMK 54317	Kiyawetanga, Kenya	KU705386	—	KU764776	KU841442
<i>Panaspis africanus</i>	—	uncatalogued	Príncipe, Gulf of Guinea	KU705385	—	KU764775	—
<i>Panaspis africanus</i>	Pm3	—	Montalegre, Príncipe, Gulf of Guinea	EU164477	—	—	—
<i>Panaspis africanus</i>	E62.17	BMNH, uncatalogued	Príncipe, Gulf of Guinea	AY308286	—	—	—
<i>Panaspis annobonensis</i>	An15	—	Annobon, Gulf of Guinea	EU164494	—	—	—
<i>Panaspis annobonensis</i>	An9	—	Annobon, Gulf of Guinea	EU164488	—	—	—
<i>Panaspis breviceps</i>	ELI 558	UTEP 21176	Byonga, South Kivu, DRC	KU236717	—	—	—
<i>Panaspis breviceps</i>	MM 106	ZFMK 87663	Mawne, Cameroon	KU236787	KU298792	KU298866	KU298715
<i>Panaspis breviceps</i>	MM 105	ZFMK 87662	Mawne, Cameroon	KU236786	KU298791	—	KU298714

<i>Panaspis breviceps</i>	—	ZFMK 75380	Mt. Nlonako, Cameroon	KU236796	KU298800	KU298873	—
<i>Panaspis cabindae</i>	WRB 804	PEM R20256	Soyo, NW Angola	KU236768	KU298775	KU298851	KU298708
<i>Panaspis cabindae</i>	PM 050	uncatalogued	Luango-Nzambi, Bas-Congo, DRC	KU236751	KU298758	KU298834	KU298698
<i>Panaspis cabindae</i>	PM 049	uncatalogued	Luango-Nzambi, Bas-Congo, DRC	KU236750	KU298757	KU298833	KU298697
<i>Panaspis cabindae</i>	WRB 810	PEM R21594	Riverine Forest, Bengo, Angola	KU236765	KU298772	KU298848	KU298705
<i>Panaspis cabindae</i>	ANG 21	PEM R19467	Lagoa Carumbo, Angola	KU236741	KU298749	KU298826	KU298690
<i>Panaspis cabindae</i>	ELI 1722	UTEP 21173	Bombo-Lumene Reserve, Kinshasa, DRC	KU236753	KU298760	KU298836	—
<i>Panaspis cabindae</i>	ANL 52	MTD 48612	Kimpa Vita Uni Campus, Uíge, N Angola	KU236771	—	KU298854	—
<i>Panaspis cabindae</i>	MBUR 2128	uncatalogued	S Leba Pass, Huila District, SW Angola	KU236740	KU298748	KU298825	—
<i>Panaspis maculicollis</i>	ANG 421	PEM R20475	Benero Campsite, near Jamba, Angola	KU236770	KU298778	KU298853	KU298711
<i>Panaspis maculicollis</i>	MBUR 02843	uncatalogued	Phalaborwa, Limpopo, SA	KU236748	KU298755	KU298831	KU298695
<i>Panaspis maculicollis</i>	MBUR 02848	uncatalogued	Phalaborwa, Limpopo, SA	KU236749	KU298756	KU298832	KU298696
<i>Panaspis maculicollis</i>	MCZF 38848	CAS 234188	Farm Nooitgedacht, Limpopo Province, SA	KU236728	KU298736	KU298816	KU298684
<i>Panaspis maculicollis</i>	MCZF 38790	CAS 234135	Farm Vrienden, Limpopo Province, SA	KU236747	KU298754	KU298830	KU298694
<i>Panaspis maculicollis</i>	MCZF 38733	CAS 234099	Farm Vrienden, Limpopo Province, SA	KU236720	KU298728	KU298808	KU298678
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Ethiopia	TJC 264	—	Oromia, western Ethiopia	KU236752	KU298759	KU298835	—
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Katanga 1	ELI 294	UTEP 21174	Mulongo, Katanga, DRC	KU236730	KU298738	KU298818	KU298686
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Katanga 1	ELI 295	UTEP 21175	Mulongo, Katanga, DRC	KU236729	KU298737	KU298817	KU298685
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Katanga 2	WRB 575	PEM R17454	Kalakundi Copper Mine, S Katanga, DRC	KU236736	KU298744	KU298822	KU298689
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Katanga 2	WRB 576	PEM R17455	Kalakundi Copper Mine, S Katanga, DRC	KU236737	KU298745	KU298823	—
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Katanga 2	JHK 26	uncatalogued	Kisanfu Camp, Katanga, DRC	KU236726	KU298734	KU298814	KU298682
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Katanga 2	WRB 0047	PEM R20327	Fungurume Camp, Katanga, DRC	KU236745	KU298752	KU298829	—
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Katanga 2	WRBNimb083	—	NW Zambia	KU236742	KU298750	KU298827	KU298691
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Limpopo	MCZ-A 27176	—	Hoedspruit, Limpopo, SA	KU236743	KU298751	KU298828	KU298692
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Limpopo	MCZ-A 27177	CAS 248791	Hoedspruit, Limpopo, SA	KU236744	—	—	—
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Malawi	WRB 568	PEM R20247	Sombani Trail, Mt. Mulanje, Malawi	KU236732	KU298740	KU298819	KU298687
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Malawi	WRB 570	PEM R20800	Likabula Station, Mt. Mulanje, Malawi	KU236733	KU298741	—	—
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Mozambique 1	WC 1251	PEM R20561	Ecofarm, Chemba, Moz	KU236764	KU298771	KU298847	KU298704

<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Mozambique 1	WC 1249	no voucher	Ecofarm, Chemba, Moz	KU236763	KU298770	KU298846	—
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Mozambique 1	WC 1169	PEM R20565	Boabab Ore Mine, Masamba, Moz	KU236761	KU298768	KU298844	—
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Mozambique 1	WC 1186	PEM R20566	Boabab Ore Mine, Masamba, Moz	KU236762	KU298769	KU298845	—
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Mozambique 1	SVN 693	—	Gorongosa National Park, Moz	KU236754	KU298761	KU298837	KU298699
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Mozambique 1	WRB 886	PEM R20591	Ruoni Hill S, Tete Province, Moz	KU236769	KU298777	—	KU298710
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Mozambique 2	WC 1358	uncatalogued	Quiterajo, Cabo Delgado, Moz	KU236776	—	KU298859	—
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Mozambique 2	ENI 038	uncatalogued	Mocimboa da Praia, Cabo Delgado, Moz	KU236780	—	—	—
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Mozambique 3	WC 1051	no voucher	NW of Rapale, Nampula, Moz	KU236772	KU298779	KU298855	—
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Mozambique 3	WC 1067	PEM R20557	E of Ribuae, Nampula, Moz	KU236773	KU298780	KU298856	—
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Mozambique 3	WC 1133	no voucher	NW of Mecuburi, Nampula, Moz	KU236774	KU298781	KU298857	—
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Mozambique 3	WC 1161	PEM R20558	Rapale, Nampula, Moz	KU236778	KU298784	KU298861	—
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Mozambique 4	WRB 855	PEM R20569	Syran graphite mine, Balama, Moz	KU236766	KU298773	KU298849	KU298706
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Mozambique 4	WRB 856	PEM R20576	Syran graphite mine, Balama, Moz	KU236767	KU298774	KU298850	KU298707
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Mozambique 4	WC 1317	uncatalogued	Pemba, Cabo Delgado, Moz	KU236775	KU298782	KU298858	—
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Mozambique 4	WC 1404	uncatalogued	Pemba, Cabo Delgado, Moz	KU236777	KU298783	KU298860	—
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Mozambique 4	ENI 037	uncatalogued	Quirimbas National Park, Moz	KU236779	KU298785	KU298862	—
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Mozambique 5	DMP 187	MVZ 266148	Serra Jeci, Moz	KU236739	KU298747	—	—
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Namibia	AMB 7634	MCZ R183767	Sesfontein, Namibia	KU236727	KU298735	KU298815	KU298683
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Namibia	WRB 567	uncatalogued	Otavi, Namibia	KU236731	KU298739	—	—
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Tanzania 1	WRB 0021	—	Arusha, Tanzania	KU236719	KU298727	KU298807	KU298677
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Tanzania 1	WRB 0026	—	Arusha, Tanzania	KU236718	KU298726	KU298806	KU298676
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Tanzania 2	WRB 572	PEM R16769	Klein's Camp, Serengeti, Tanzania	KU236734	KU298742	KU298820	—
<i>Panaspis</i> sp. Tanzania 2	WRB 573	PEM R20799	Klein's Camp, Serengeti, Tanzania	KU236735	KU298743	KU298821	KU298688
<i>Panaspis togoensis</i>	—	ZFMK 42212	—	KU236788	KU298793	KU298867	—
<i>Panaspis togoensis</i>	2426	MVZ 249793	Kyabobo National Park, Ghana	KU236795	KU298799	KU298872	—
<i>Panaspis togoensis</i>	DCB 34707	—	Gashaka Gumti National Park, Nigeria	KU236725	KU298733	KU298813	—
<i>Panaspis togoensis</i>	TJH 2561	TCWC 94519	W National Park, Alibori, Benin	KU236756	KU298763	KU298839	—
<i>Panaspis togoensis</i>	TJH 2629	TCWC 94557	Dogo Forest, Benin	KU236758	KU298765	KU298841	KU298701

<i>Panaspis togoensis</i>	TJH 2600	TCWC 94544	W National Park, Alibori, Benin	KU236757	KU298764	KU298840	—
<i>Panaspis wahlbergi</i>	SVN 742	NMB R10286	Beira, Mozambique	KU236755	KU298762	KU298838	KU298700
<i>Panaspis wahlbergi</i>	WRB 745	PEM R16455	Bluff, Durban, Kwazulu-Natal, SA	—	KU298776	KU298852	KU298709
<i>Panaspis wahlbergi</i>	WC 2723	PEM R21297	Doornkop Reserve, Mpumalanga, SA	KU236782	KU298787	—	KU298713
<i>Panaspis wahlbergi</i>	WC 2721	PEM R21298	Doornkop Reserve, Mpumalanga, SA	KU236781	KU298786	—	KU298712
<i>Panaspis wahlbergi</i>	DMP 127	MVZ 266147	Inhambane, Mozambique	KU236738	KU298746	KU298824	—
<i>Panaspis wahlbergi</i>	—	TM 84299	Groblersdal, Limpopo, SA	KU236746	KU298753	—	KU298693
<i>Panaspis wahlbergi</i>	MCZF 38852	CAS 234194	Limpopo Province, SA	KU236724	KU298732	KU298812	KU298681
<i>Panaspis wahlbergi</i>	AMB 8279	MCZR 184432	Limpopo Province, SA	KU236723	KU298731	KU298811	—
<i>Panaspis wahlbergi</i>	AMB 8293	MCZR 184443	Limpopo Province, SA	KU236722	KU298730	KU298810	KU298680
<i>Panaspis wahlbergi</i>	MCZF 38868	CAS 234209	Limpopo Province, SA	KU236721	KU298729	KU298809	KU298679
<i>Panaspis wahlbergi</i>	TJH 3253	TCWC 95588	Kimberley, Northern Cape, SA	KU236760	KU298767	KU298843	KU298703
<i>Panaspis wahlbergi</i>	TJH 3213	TCWC 95563	Kimberley, Northern Cape, SA	KU236759	KU298766	KU298842	KU298702
<i>Panaspis wahlbergi</i>	WRB inh18	PEM R21757	Inhambane, Mozambique	KU236783	KU298788	KU298863	—
<i>Panaspis wahlbergi</i>	WRB inh19	PEM R21758	Inhambane, Mozambique	KU236784	KU298789	KU298864	—
<i>Panaspis wahlbergi</i>	WRB inh30	PEM R21759	Inhambane, Mozambique	KU236785	KU298790	KU298865	—
<i>Broadleysaurus major</i>	—	—	—	AJ416922	DQ090881	—	HM161157
<i>Xantusia vigilis</i>	—	—	—	DQ249035	DQ249101	HQ426258	—
<i>Plestiodon inexpectatus</i>	—	—	—	AY217990	AY217837	HQ426253	AY662632
<i>Plestiodon japonicus</i>	—	—	—	—	EU203045	—	HM161196
<i>Tiliqua rugosa</i>	—	—	—	AY308319	—	EF534856	—

Table 2. Primer sequences used in this study.

Primer	Gene	Reference	Sequence
16L9	16S	Pramuk <i>et al.</i> (2008)	5'-CGCCTGTTTACCAAAAACAT-3'
16H13	16S	Pramuk <i>et al.</i> (2008)	5'-CCGGTCTGAACTCAGATCACGTA-3'
CytbCBJ10933	cyt <i>b</i>	Vences <i>et al.</i> (2003)	5'-TATGTTCTACCATGAGGACAAATATC-3'
CytbC	cyt <i>b</i>	Vences <i>et al.</i> (2003)	5'-CTACTGGTTGTCCTCCGATTCATGT-3'
PHOF2	PDC	Bauer <i>et al.</i> (2007)	5'-AGATGAGCATGCAGGAGTATGA-3'
PHOR1	PDC	Bauer <i>et al.</i> (2007)	5'-TCCACATCCACAGCAAAAAACTCCT-3'
RAG1 G396	RAG1	Groth and Barrowclough (1999)	5'-TCTGAATGGAAATTCAAGCTGTT-3'
RAG1 G397	RAG1	Groth and Barrowclough (1999)	5'-GATGCTGCCTCGGTCGGCCACCTTT-3'
RAG1f700	RAG1	Bauer <i>et al.</i> (2007)	5'-GGAGACATGGACACAATCCATCCTAC-3'
RAG1r700	RAG1	Bauer <i>et al.</i> (2007)	5'-TTTGTACTGAGATGGATCTTTTGCA-3'

Table 3. Models of nucleotide substitution selected by jModelTest 2 for the Bayesian Inference analyses.

Gene	Position	Model
16S	—	TIM2 + I + G
<i>cyt b</i>	codon 1	TPM3uf + I + G
	codon 2	TPM2uf + G
	codon 3	TIM2 + I + G
PDC	codon 1	TIM3 + I
	codon 2	TPM3uf + I
	codon 3	TPM1uf + G
RAG1	codon 1	TPM1uf + G
	codon 2	HKY + G
	codon 3	TPM1 + G

Table 4. Estimated median dates and highest posterior densities (HPD) for nodes of interest from our BEAST analysis.

Node	Median Age (mya)	Epoch	95% HPD (mya)
<i>Konkasaurus</i> (Krause et al., 2003)	63.4	Late Cretaceous	59.0–72.2
<i>Contogenys</i> and <i>Sauriscus</i> (Estes, 1969; Bryant, 1989)	71.3	Late Cretaceous	64.5–82.9
<i>Panaspis/Afroablepharus</i>	51.6	Eocene	42.8–62.4
<i>P. togoensis</i>	10.6	Miocene	4.6–17.7
<i>Afroablepharus africanus</i> , <i>Panaspis cabindae</i>	28.7	Late Oligocene	17.9–40.0
<i>A. sp.</i> Ethiopia	33.0	Oligocene	25.6–41.7
<i>A. sp.</i> Limpopo, <i>A. sp.</i> Namibia, <i>A. maculicollis</i> , <i>A. sp.</i> Mozambique 1	25.4	Late Oligocene	18.5–33.0
<i>A. sp.</i> Limpopo, <i>A. sp.</i> Namibia	14.8	Miocene	8.3–21.5
<i>A. maculicollis</i> , <i>A. sp.</i> Mozambique 1	14.4	Miocene	9.4–20.5
<i>A. sp.</i> Mozambique 2	30.3	Late Oligocene	21.8–38.5
<i>A. sp.</i> Malawi, <i>A. sp.</i> Mozambique 3, <i>A. sp.</i> Mozambique 4	12.1	Miocene	7.3–17.6
<i>A. sp.</i> Katanga 1, <i>A. sp.</i> Katanga 2, <i>A. wahlbergi</i> , <i>A. sp.</i> Tanzania 1, <i>A. sp.</i> Tanzania 2	20.5	Miocene	14.6–26.8
<i>A. sp.</i> Katanga 1, <i>A. sp.</i> Katanga 2	14.5	Miocene	8.4–20.7
<i>A. wahlbergi</i>	6.7	Late Miocene	3.1–10.5
<i>A. sp.</i> Tanzania 1, <i>A. sp.</i> Tanzania 2	6.4	Late Miocene	3.5–10.9

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Map of central, eastern and southern Africa showing the disjunct distribution of *Afroablepharus wahlbergi* (in dotted lines). Ecoregions containing genetic samples for this study are colored and assigned with numbers from 1–21. Sampled localities for the genetic analyses are shown with different shapes. Shape colors correspond to the clades in Figure 2. Map was modified from Burgess et al. (2004), Branch (1998), and Spawls et al. (2002). Black circles indicate type localities of *Ablepharus anselli* (Kasempa, Zambia), *Ablepharus moeruensis* (Kilwa Island, Lake Mweru between Zambia and Katanga Province, DRC), *Panaspis seydeli* (Lubumbashi, southeastern Katanga Province, DRC) and *P. smithii* (Nyonga, central Katanga, DRC), which are currently considered to be synonyms of *Afroablepharus seydeli* (Broadley and Cotterill, 2004; Uetz and Hošek, 2015). A fifth black circle indicates the type locality for *Ablepharus carsonii* (Fwambo [aka, Fwamba], northeastern Zambia).

Figure 2. Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree derived from *16S*, *cyt b*, *PDC*, and *RAG1* DNA sequences. Tree topology was identical in both BI and ML analyses. Nodes supported by Bayesian posterior probability of ≥ 0.95 and maximum likelihood bootstrap support of ≥ 70 are indicated by black circles. Nodes supported by maximum likelihood values of ≥ 70 only are indicated by open circles. Photo (UTEP 21174) shows *Afroablepharus* sp. Katanga 1.

Figure 3. Chronogram resulting from BEAST, based on two fossil calibration points. Nodes with high support (posterior > 0.9) are black; those with lower support (posterior < 0.9) are white. Median age estimates are provided along with error bars representing the 95% highest posterior densities (HPD). Blue circles around nodes indicate fossil calibrations. Colored boxes correspond to the clade color scheme used in Figure 2.

Figure 1

1. Angolan Scarp savanna and woodlands	12. Southern Miombo woodlands
2. Western Congolian forest-savanna mosaic	13. Eastern Miombo woodlands
3. Southern Congolian forest-savanna mosaic	14. South Malawi montane forest-grassland mosaic
4. Angolan Miombo woodlands	15. Southern Zanzibar-Inhambane coastal forest mosaic
5. Central Zambezian Miombo woodlands	16. East African mangroves
6. Southern Acacia-Commiphora bushlands and thickets	17. Nama Karoo
7. Namibian savanna woodlands	18. Highveld grasslands
8. Angolan Mopane woodlands	19. Drakensberg montane grasslands, woodlands and forests
9. Kalahari xeric savanna	20. KwaZulu-Cape coastal forest
10. Kalahari Acacia-Baikiaea woodlands	21. Southern Africa bushveld
11. Zambezian and Mopane woodlands	

Figure 2

Figure 3