Metaphor and music emotion: ancient views and future directions

Alessia Pannese^{1,2,3,*}, Marc-André Rappaz⁴, Didier Grandjean^{1,2}

Abstract

Music is often described in terms of emotion. This notion is supported by empirical evidence showing that engaging with music is associated with subjective feelings, and with objectively measurable responses at the behavioural, physiological, and neural level. Some accounts, however, reject the idea that music may directly induce emotions. For example, the 'paradox of negative emotion', whereby music described in negative terms is experienced as enjoyable, suggests that music might move the listener through indirect mechanisms in which the emotional experience elicited by music does not always coincide with the emotional label attributed to it.

Here we discuss the role of metaphor as a potential mediator in these mechanisms. Drawing on musicological, philosophical, and neuroscientific literature, we suggest that metaphor acts at key stages along and between physical, biological, cognitive, and contextual processes, and propose a model of music experience in which metaphor mediates between language, emotion, and aesthetic response.

Keywords: music; emotion; metaphor

Main text

1. Introduction

It is commonly held that listeners perceive music as both expressive (Gabrielsson and Juslin 2003; Juslin 2013) and evocative of emotions (Dowling and Harwood 1986), and that this property is music's primary purpose (Cooke 1959), and man's primary motive for **engaging with** it (Juslin and Laukka 2004). The pervasiveness of this notion is illustrated by the widespread use of music in contexts in which the effectiveness of music to induce emotional states is taken for granted – e.g. film (Cohen 2001), marketing (Bruner 1990), or therapy (Bunt and Hoskyns 2002). In what follows, we examine how theoretical and empirical evidence supports and/or challenges this notion. **Specifically, we first discuss ancient philosophical views, recent empirical evidence, and current debate surrounding the existence of a causal link between music and emotion. We then consider potential pitfalls in the discourse on music and emotion, and highlight the conceptual distinction – despite occasional coincidence, hence danger of conflation – between two dimensions: emotion expression-perception, and emotion induction-experience. Based on the evidence that the emotional response to music does not always coincide with the emotional label attributed to it, we go on to suggest that music might move the listener through an indirect, mediated mechanism. Our central proposition is that one potential mediating mechanism between music and emotion is metaphor.**

We support our suggestion by tracing back the discourse on metaphor to antiquity, and highlighting metaphor's long-acknowledged significance as a device that promotes an awareness of relations between objects. We then consider how this relational property makes metaphor directly relevant to human communication and cognition, and examine how the notion of metaphor as a comparison has recently been incorporated in modern conceptual theory. Building on the evidence reviewed, we propose and outline an empirically testable model aimed at characterising the precise role of metaphor in the relation between music and emotion. Specifically, the model presents and discusses the implications of two alternative (but compatible) configurations, in which metaphor may either mediate between music and emotion, or arise from emotion directly. Throughout the discussion, 'emotion' and 'feeling' will be used to denote two interrelated but distinct entities, the latter being a component of the former, as proposed in componential appraisal theories (see Sander, Grandjean, and Scherer 2005; Grandjean, Sander, and Scherer 2008).

2. Music and emotion

2.1 Ancient views

The ability of music to please and move has been presumed for centuries. In More's *Utopia* music is characterised as providing a bodily pleasure of its own kind, as it 'arises neither from our receiving what the body requires, nor its being relieved when overcharged, and yet, by a secret unseen virtue, affects the senses, raises the passions, and strikes the mind with generous impressions – this is, the

pleasure that arises from music' (More 1516/1901, unpaginated). Hence, in More's view, music is valuable not only for being a source of pleasure, but also by virtue of its ability to 'affect the senses, raise the passions, and strike the mind'. The affective implications of music are further highlighted in the notion that 'music, both vocal and instrumental, renders and expresses natural feelings' (More 1516/2003, p. 102). Given the centrality of music in man's social and affective life, More's Utopians 'after supper [...] play music' (More 1516/2003, p. 50), and, in Utopia, 'no evening meal passes without music' (More 1516/2003, p. 58).

The existence of a causal link between music and emotion has been discussed at least since Antiquity. Associations between modes and emotions are found in ancient texts from the Indian, Middle Eastern (e.g. Persian), and far eastern (e.g. Japanese) traditions (Randel 1986/2003). The *Nāṭya Śāstra* (treatise on dramaturgy from the 5th century BC), for example, prescribes certain modes and musical forms for the *expression* of particular emotions, which, in turn, are expected to *elicit* particular aesthetic feelings (Capwell, 1986/2003, p. 813). Similarly, in ancient Greece it was widely believed that exposure to different kinds of music could affect human health and character, and promote the development of virtues such as calm, balance, harmony, and order. On the basis of this belief, both Plato (429?-347 BC) and Aristotle (384-322 BC) issued guidelines about the appropriate kind of music ~~(in terms of instrument, mode, and rhythm)~~ for the education of the young to good citizenship. In *The Republic*, for example, Plato holds certain modes as best suited for the representation of particular feelings or states (Plato, *Republic*, Book 3, 398b and ff.), ~~and enjoins that 'the mixed Lydian [...] and the tense or higher Lydian, and similar modes [...] we must do away with. For they are useless' (Republic 3.398e). Later in the same passage, he makes references to 'the soft and convivial modes', before musing about 'certain Ionian and also Lydian modes that are called lax' (Republic 3.398e-399a).~~ These considerations are echoed in Aristotle's remarks about the different ways in which listeners are affected by different melodies: ~~'when hearing [different melodies, listeners] have not the same feelings in regard to each of them, but listen to some in a more mournful and restrained state, for instance the mode called Mixolydian, and to others in a softer state of mind, but in a midway state and with the greatest composure to another, as the Dorian mode alone of tunes seems to act, while the Phrygian makes men enthusiastic'~~ (Aristotle, *Politics* 8.1340a-b). Both Plato and Aristotle saw the link between music and affective states as an expression of a more generalised and pervasive influence of various aspects of music – including ~~not only~~ mode, ~~but also~~ rhythm, and melody – on the overall human character. Plato, for example, believed that 'good rhythm wait[s] upon [...] good and fair disposition of the character and the mind' (*Republic* 3.400e). Similarly, Aristotle argued that 'rhythms and melodies contain representations of anger and mildness, and also of courage and temperance ~~and all their opposites and the other moral qualities [...] for some have a more stable and others a more emotional character'~~ (Aristotle, *Politics* 8.1340a-b). The belief that music could influence human character and emotions had serious moral and pedagogical implications. Plato's preoccupation with potential ill-effects of certain kinds of musical poetry led him to issue a series of proscriptive injunctions, whereby poets ought to be 'supervise[d] and compel[led] to embody in their poems the semblance of the good character or else not write poetry', and forbidden to 'represent the evil disposition [...] that our guardians may not be bred among symbols of evil'

(*Republic* 3.401b). Aristotle agrees with Plato's view on the potential danger of exposure to certain 'harmonies', ~~but disagrees with his censoring approach, which he considers ineffective and unjustified: 'Socrates [i.e. as represented by Plato] in the *Republic* does not do well in allowing only the Phrygian mode along with the Dorian, for the Phrygian mode has the same effect among harmonies as the flute among instruments — both are~~ 'violently exciting and emotional' (Aristotle, *Politics* 8.1342a-b) (cf. Plato, *Republic*, 399a), ~~but disagrees with his censoring method, given that 'any experience that occurs violently in some souls is found in all, though with different degrees of intensity — for example pity and fear, and also religious excitement; for some persons are very liable to this form of emotion' (Aristotle, *Politics* 8.1342a-b), instead of forbidding 'emotional harmonies' tout court~~ Aristotle preconising instead a selective approach, whereby 'we should [...] use the most ethical [harmonies] for education, and the active and passionate kinds for listening to when others are performing' (Aristotle, *Politics* 8.1342a-b). Despite the surface disagreement, Aristotle's selective deployment of music's power to affect emotion resonates with Plato's view of music not only as a threat, but also – and especially – as a precious educational resource, which ought to be harnessed and channelled in the right direction since childhood: 'education in music is most sovereign, because more than anything else rhythm and harmony find their way to the inmost soul and take strongest hold upon it, bringing with them and imparting grace, if one is rightly trained' (*Republic* 3.401d). On a similar note, Aristotle compares the role of music to that of bodily exercise, 'music being capable of producing a certain quality of character just as gymnastics are capable of producing a certain quality of body' (*Politics* 8.1339a), and concludes that 'from these considerations [...] it is plain that music has the power of producing a certain effect on the moral character of the soul' (Aristotle, *Politics* 8.1340a-b).

2.2 Contemporary evidence and debate

Aristotle's conception of the pervasive influence of music on the human body and mind appears to receive at least partial support from contemporary empirical research. Since early phenomenological studies of emotional experience of music (e.g. Pike 1972), subjective reports of music-induced affective states have been documented in adolescents (Behne 1997) and adults (DeNora 2000; Sloboda & O'Neill 2001), with music being ascribed modulations of mood and behaviour (Kenealy 1988). These subjective reports are corroborated by objective psychophysiological observations of music-induced changes (e.g. Bartlett 1996; Krumhansl 1997; Nyklíček et al. 1997) including effects on cardiorespiratory activity (Vaitl et al. 1993; Witvliet & Vrana 2007), sexual arousal (Mitchell et al. 1998), and psychomotor performance (Pignatiello et al. 1986). Music structure has been shown to play an important part in emotional evocativeness (Sloboda 1991), and exposure to emotionally evocative music has been shown to elicit a variety of bodily and mental effects, including changes in the activity of facial muscles (Witvliet & Vrana 2007) and the attainment of altered states of consciousness (e.g. trance, Becker 2004). Music-induced effects have also been observed on action tendencies, such as willingness to help others (Fried & Berkowitz 1979; North et al. 2004), readiness to participate in social activities (Wood et al. 1990), intention to purchase (Bruner 1990), writing speed and decision time (Kenealy 1988), as well as tendency to engage in emotion regulation (Gabrielsson

2001). Further effects of emotionally evocative music have been observed on evaluative judgements, such as estimations of distance (Kenealy 1988), probability of success or failure (Teasdale & Spencer 1984), evaluation of advertisements (Gorn et al. 2001), judgement of physical attractiveness (May & Hamilton 1980), as well as the ability to interpret the emotional quality of facial expressions (Bouhuys et al. 1995). In addition to behavioural and physiological changes, music-related effects have been reported at the neural level, where exposure to pleasant and unpleasant music has been associated with activity in limbic (Menon and Levitin 2005; Koelsch et al. 2006) and paralimbic regions (Blood et al. 1999; Brown et al. 2004), as well as in structures thought to be implicated in the processing of reward and emotion (Blood & Zatorre 2001). The combined evidence from different strands of empirical research, whereby emotions to music could be induced consistently across subjects (Koelsch 2005) and through different mediums (Panksepp and Bernatzky 2002), has been taken to support the view that there is a 'general consensus' that music is a kind of 'language of emotion', whose ability to influence mood, relieve stress, release feelings (e.g. Behne 1997; Juslin & Laukka 2004; Sloboda & O'Neill 2001), and arouse 'deep and significant emotion in those who interact with it' (Sloboda 2005, p. 203), is its primary purpose and the ultimate reason why humans engage with it.

The notion that music may evoke emotions, however, is controversial. Early reviews of aesthetic theories of musical meaning (e.g. Budd 1985), have denied that music may derive its artistic value from its relation to emotions. Others (e.g. Noy 1993) have suggested more nuanced accounts, allowing for the possibility that music's aesthetic appeal may be rooted in its ability to induce emotion, but arguing that the emotions evoked by music are 'not identical with the emotions aroused by everyday, interpersonal activity' (p. 137). Expanding upon this argument, a dichotomy has been proposed (Scherer 2004) differentiating aesthetic emotions (e.g. emotions induced by music) from what is commonly referred to as 'basic emotions'. Support for this distinction comes from the observation that music seems to have no implications either for survival or for goal pursuit (Kivy 1990). This makes it unlikely that music may trigger 'everyday emotions', such as fear, sadness, happiness, and anger, whose elicitation is typically understood in terms of adaptive response (Scherer 2004; Konečni 2008). Indeed it has been shown that music can induce more complex emotions than those evoked by everyday life events, and that, contrary to affective responses induced by everyday life events, affective responses to music are characterised by a more fine grained range of positive emotions than negative ones (Zentner, Grandjean, & Scherer, 2008). The range and complexity of the emotions attributed to music has been linked to a process of anthropomorphisation, whereby the listener hypothesises the existence within the music of a 'fictional or virtual persona', which is then experienced as an 'agent expressing genuine emotions' (those expressed by the music) (Robinson and Hatten 2012, p. 71). The theorisation of – and attribution of emotion to – a fictional agent would facilitate the interpretation of the emotional quality expressed in the music, as music's complex and dynamically evolving structure over time would be perceived as reflecting and dramatising human multifaceted and mutable emotional states, and their development within the narrative trajectory of a psychological journey. Hence, although some have argued that engaging with music may have had some evolutionary significance, for example by promoting the evolution of language (Wallin et al. 2000), cooperation, and social cohesion (Cross and Morley 2008;

Koelsch et al. 2010), the overall evidence in support of the view that music can directly induce emotion in the listener is considered 'recent and unconvincing' (Konečni 2008, p. 115). The richness and subtlety of music-related emotions, as well as their hypothesised attribution to fictive intermediaries, suggest that the mechanisms through which they arise is indirect, and includes a complex combination of perceptual and cognitive aspects.

2.3 Perception versus induction

Empirical evidence seems indeed to suggest that the relation between music and emotion might be of a complex and mediated nature. Support in this direction comes from the so-called 'paradox of negative emotion', whereby music described in terms of negative emotions (e.g. sadness, grief, despair) is often judged as enjoyable. The listener's response to 'sad' music, for example, appears to 'lack the beliefs that typically go with sadness' (Davies 2003, pp. 185-186). The discrepancy between these two types of sadness (that which the listener ascribes to the music, and that which the listener manifests in response to the music) has been taken to suggest a distinction between the 'perception' of emotion, i.e. a sensory or cognitive process of recognition and identification of a certain emotional quality in the music, and the 'feeling' of emotion, i.e. a direct, personal emotional involvement (Harré 1997). Although perceived and felt emotions may occasionally coincide, they are clearly distinct phenomena, and the former does not necessarily entail the latter, since perception of emotions may proceed in the absence of any emotional involvement (Gabrielsson 2001).

Since ancient Greece, it has been known that music may both express or represent emotions (that are perceived by the listener) and induce them. Expression of emotion in music is a sonic-based phenomenon, tightly linked to auditory perception, and consisting in the listener's attribution of emotional quality to music. Induction of emotion by music is a listener-centred phenomenon, consisting in the personal experience of emotion as a result of (or otherwise in connection to) listening to music (Scherer 2004). Expression and induction of emotion are therefore clearly distinct phenomena. In the case of music, emotion perception and emotion induction have also enjoyed different degrees of credibility: whilst the former has been widely acknowledged as far back as Plato (with speculation that this expressive power might lie in acoustic cues shared with the sound of emotive voices, Kivy 1990), the latter has been more controversial. The debate surrounding music's ability to express and induce emotion is illustrated by the 'cognitivist versus emotivist' dichotomy, the latter purporting that music induces affective states, the former holding that it merely represents or expresses them (Kivy 1989; see also Schubert 2013 for a review of the literature and proposes theoretical perspective on the dichotomy). Expression and induction have been shown to differ in their interpersonal variability: whilst expression, being largely driven by music-based bottom-up contributions, tends to exhibit high inter-subjective reliability (i.e. individuals tend to agree as to what emotion the music expresses) independently of musical training (Heinlein 1928), general intelligence (Hevner, 1935), or culture (Fritz et al., 2009), induction, being more heavily determined by top-down contributions, is more susceptible to vary with contextual and individual preferences (Gabrielsson 2001; Rentfrow and McDonald, 2010).

2.4 Potential conflation, possible mediation

The distinction between perception and induction is relevant here because it raises the issue that the terms used to qualify, describe, and characterise the affective quality of a given piece of music may refer either to the emotion *perceived* by the listener as being *expressed* by and in the music, or to the emotion *experienced* by the listener as being *induced* by the music. Hence, in the discourse surrounding human experience of music emotion, the two dimensions of expression-perception and induction-experience are potentially conflated. The so-called ‘paradox’ of negative emotion may be viewed as a manifestation of this conflation. So is the ancient notion that different modes are associated with different emotions, and that exposure to certain modes affects the listener’s character traits, an idea resting on ‘the supposition that pieces of music have character or are similar to character and that they stamp this character into the minds of their listeners resulting in a change of character’ (Sörbom 1994, p. 44). All these conceptions are founded on the conflation of expression and induction, or at least on the assumption of a causal linear relation from the former to the latter. If, however, proper allowance is made for the notion that perception and induction are distinct phenomena, and that music perceived as *expressing* a given emotions (e.g. sadness) might also be experienced as *inducing* another (different) emotion (e.g. enjoyment) (see e.g. Zentner et al. 2008), the discrepancy between affective terms can be accommodated, and the so-called ‘paradox’ of negative emotion ceases to be one.

There is therefore a fundamental difference between perceiving something being expressed in music, and experiencing it. The experience of music involves not only the ability to perceive it, but also to hear music ‘as form’, i.e. as a combination of perception of sensory inputs and their interpretation as a ‘token’ of more general concepts, whose existence is independent of the specific sounds (Cook 1990). Describing music as expressive of certain emotion involves assigning an affective label to a largely perceptual phenomenon centred on an external object (the music); experiencing that emotion oneself involves appraising the perceptual aspect in the context of one’s own individual cognitive and emotional expectations. The discrepancy between affective descriptors and affective experience, whereby the emotional response to music does not always coincide with the emotional label attributed to it, suggests that music might move the listener through an indirect, mediated mechanism. We propose that one potential mediator in this mechanism is metaphor.

3. Metaphor and emotion

3.1 Ancient views

Ancient thought had significant insight into the use of figurative language (see, for example, Turner 1997, Lakoff and Johnson 1999 (especially Part III), Gibbs 2008). Classical rhetoricians noticed that linguistic patterns are anchored to conceptual ones. Amongst the conceptual patterns central to

rhetoric are the Greek notions of *σχῆμα* [*schema*] - with its Latin rendition as *figura* - and of *trope* (see, for example, Quintilian's *Institutio Oratoria*, Book 9, Chapter 1, Sections 1-5). According to Quintilian, a trope involves a transfer of meaning: 'The name of *trope* is applied to the transference of expressions from their natural and principal signification to another' (Quintilian 9.1.4). A metaphor is a particular kind of trope, and it too involves a transfer of meaning: 'the substitution of one word for another is placed among *tropes*, as for example in the case of *metaphor*' (Quintilian 9.1.5). Quintilian's conception of metaphor in terms of transfer echoes Aristotle's insight.

Aristotle discusses metaphor at length in his *Rhetoric* (Book 3), and in the *Poetics* (1457 ff.). He observes that metaphor acts through a transference, a carrying over - *meta pherein* - of meaning: 'Metaphor is the application of a strange term either transferred from the genus and applied to the species or from the species and applied to the genus, or from one species to another or else by analogy' (Aristotle, *Poetics* 1457b). Crucially, this passage also seems to suggest that in Aristotle's account this transfer is not only linguistic, but also conceptual (e.g. mapping species onto genus) (for a counterargument see Lakoff and Johnson 1999, pp. 383 and 547).

In Aristotle's account, 'the orator persuades by means of his hearers, when they are roused to emotion by his speech; for the judgements we deliver are not the same when we are influenced by joy or sorrow, love or hate' (*Rhetoric* I.ii.5). (This intuition is corroborated by the contemporary experimental findings reviewed above, showing that judgements – be they spatial estimations (Kenealy 1988), guessed chances of success (Teasdale & Spencer 1984), evaluations of physical attractiveness (May & Hamilton 1980) or facial expression (Bouhuys et al. 1995) – are indeed heavily modulated by emotionally evocative contextual cues, and in particular by emotional music.) Importantly, in Aristotle's account, metaphor is a central player in the orator's own unique ability to captivate, rivet, and 'move' the audience: 'It is metaphor above all that gives perspicuity, pleasure, and a foreign air, and it cannot be learnt from anyone else' (*Rhetoric* III.ii.8); metaphor is 'by far the greatest thing' and 'the token of genius' (*Poetics* 1459a). Much of metaphor's power lies in its combining intellectual pleasure with moderate challenge, 'for metaphor is a kind of enigma' (*Rhetoric* III.ii.12), which, when properly conceived (i.e. when neither too easy nor too difficult) both stimulates and satisfies. Consider the following passage: 'Easy learning is naturally pleasant to all, and words mean something, so that all words which make us learn something are most pleasant. Now we do not know the meaning of strange words, and proper terms we know already. It is metaphor, therefore, that above all produces this effect' (*Rhetoric* III.x.2). Aristotle goes on to cite Homer, who compared old age to stubble, and praises the rhetorical effectiveness of the juxtaposition between these two concepts, which 'teaches and informs us [...] for both [i.e. old age and stubble] have lost their bloom' (*Rhetoric* III.x.2). Hence, in Aristotle's account, a well-constructed metaphor should both please and educate. If a metaphor is 'obvious to all and need[s] no mental effort' (*Rhetoric* III.x.4) it will fail to educate; if, on the contrary, it is opaque and 'not understood' (*Rhetoric* III.x.4), it will fail to please. In both cases, people do not acquire new knowledge. Good metaphors, however, display a careful balance of familiar concepts and novel information, which makes them 'understood the moment they are stated', whilst at the same time their meaning is 'not clear at first, [but rather] comes a little later'

(*Rhetoric* III.x.4). From this combination of ease and effort, whereby ‘the mind seems to say, “How true it is! but I missed it”’ (*Rhetoric* III.x.6), a ‘kind of knowledge’ (*Rhetoric* III.x.4) results, which produces an ‘impression of smartness’ (*Rhetoric* III.x.3). It follows that good metaphors, like ‘clever riddles’ (*Rhetoric* III.x.6), are agreeable because something is learnt. And what is learnt through metaphor is first and foremost a previously unexamined correspondence between two different concepts. The ability to create metaphors requires ‘an eye for resemblances’ (*Poetics* 1459a), and the ‘power of detecting “identity in difference”’ (Rackham 1944, note to *Poetics* 1459a). Hence, although Aristotle’s theory of metaphor appears to be largely concerned with describing the importance of metaphor in lending power and beauty to poetry, its larger purpose may be that of explaining how metaphor promotes an awareness of relations between objects (Levin 1982, p. 25).

3.2 Contemporary evidence and debate

The Aristotelian notions of ‘transference of meaning’ and ‘identity in differences’ are key to modern theory of metaphor. Despite some dissenting voices claiming that metaphor is a purely stylistic device, bearing no great consequence, and, in most cases, unable to teach anything (Bréal 1897, see especially Chapter XII, pp. 135-147), Aristotle’s view echoes through much of contemporary theory, in which the implications of metaphors are thought to extend beyond mere matters of style. The principled study of metaphor has undergone significant developments in the last thirty years (see, for example, the extensive survey in Turner 1997, and the various contributions to Gibbs (ed) 2008). The prevailing view is that, notwithstanding its conspicuous presence in literature and philosophy, metaphor is not merely a stylistic device, but rather an essential tool for communication (Ortony 1975), as well as for thought and action (Lakoff and Johnson 1980a). Linguistic evidence suggests indeed that human conceptual system is metaphorical, with language often employed in systematically figurative way (Lakoff and Johnson 1980b). The regularities in figurative language are thought to reflect regularities in conceptualisation (Lakoff and Johnson 1980b). Specifically the Conceptual Metaphor Theory (CMT, Lakoff and Johnson 1980a, 1980b, 1980c) conceives of metaphor as a process of ‘mapping’ from a source domain to a target domain. This notion of ‘mapping’ implies a correspondence between concepts and ideas, which is not dissimilar from the Aristotelian view of metaphor as extracting ‘identity in differences’. Some (Kirby 1997) have indeed suggested that elements of CMT (e.g. Lakoff and Johnson 1980b; Lakoff 1987; Turner 1987; Lakoff and Turner 1989; Lakoff 1993) can clearly be traced back to Aristotle. In CMT, as in Aristotle’s account, production and perception of meaning relies upon analogy-making (Hofstadter and Sander 2013), that is, upon the conceptual mappings between domains and analogies. Based on this notion, metaphor emerges as an important player in thought and communication, and a fundamental mechanism of human cognition. Hence, it is Aristotle who framed the terms of the debate on metaphor (Kirby 1997), and elaborated the view of metaphor as comparison, which has subsequently been integrated into cognitive theories, e.g. CMT. We suggest that this notion of metaphor as a comparison, shared by Aristotle and CMT, ascribes to metaphor not only a comparative quality, but also a mediating action playing an important role between music and emotion.

3.3 Metaphor and emotion in music

In an attempt to elucidate the possible processes underlying the ability of music to evoke emotion, a model has been proposed based on six mechanisms (Juslin and Västfjäll 2008), which are complementary (i.e. non mutually exclusive), and unspecific to music (i.e. they pertain to general cognition, and are co-opted by the music processing system), and range from purely physiological processes (e.g. brain stem reflexes), to high-level cognitive functions (e.g. musical expectancy). A seventh mechanism, not explicitly discussed, but implicitly built into the model, is cognitive appraisal. Other mechanisms have been evoked, such as semantic association (Fritz and Koelsch 2008, Steinbeis and Koelsch 2008) and synchronisation (Bharucha and Curtis 2008). Amongst the high-order mechanisms, a frequently reported experience related to music playing or listening is the emergence of visual imagery (Quittner and Glueckhauf 1983; Juslin and Västfjäll 2008), which often takes the form of CM related to time (Epstein 1995), space (Bonde 2007), embodiment (Osborne 1981), and movement (Johnson and Larson 2003), including physical gesture (Spampinato 2008 and 2015, Giacco 2011). Time and space have been defined the 'essence' of music (Clifton 1983), and spatio-motor concepts, in particular, have been shown to be profoundly embedded in the Western musical thought, where sonic features (e.g. pitch and intervals) are often conceptualised in reference to space (Cox 1999; Spitzer 2003; Parkinson et al. 2012), and motion through space (Rigas and Alty 2005), e.g. height, depth, ascent, descent. These space- and gesture-related CM play a central role not only in music listening and performing, but also in music education (Guck 1981), where they are considered an especially effective theoretical and pedagogical tool (Guck 1994). Musicological writings are dominated by the notion of music as a continuous, unidirectional, forward movement across space (Cumming 1990), i.e. a 'stream' of sound constantly 'passing' the listener, occasionally moderated by other types of motion (e.g. ascending, descending, rocking, swaying) but ultimately dominated by a linear, onward motion, commonly described as 'unfolding', 'leading to', 'heading towards' (Lochhead 1989-1990). Music has been shown to evoke motion in the listener not only in relation to strong rhythms, which induce clear motor responses (e.g. finger snapping or foot tapping), but also to more subtle ones, which elicit more complex spatial trajectories that may or may not be acted out (Shove and Repp 1995). Additionally, when confronted with the experience of sound changes, other bodily metaphors are at play as well, in which concepts of motion alternate with other metaphors, e.g. of heat, light, weight, and tension (Adlington 2003).

Importantly, studies have shown that the various kinds of music-evoked imagery can enhance the emotional response to music (Band et al. 2001; Västfjäll 2001). The musical phenomenon is indeed thought of as a complex interplay between sonic (physical) and emotional components (Clifton 1983), where the latter are not merely extra-musical elements to which the music (notes) refers in a symbolic way, but rather are 'modes of consciousness' characterising one's experience of music. Elements of musical expression (e.g. tonal stress and rhythm) have been shown to mirror motor and neural responses associated with human emotion, leading to the idea that, through this motion and neural connection, the emotional states portrayed by music can be universally understood (Ferguson 1960). Some have argued that the metaphorical and CM relationship between music and motion is so

engrained within the physicality and embodiment of human experience of music that it should be regarded as a perceptual one (Clarke 2001). On the other hand, the interpretation of musical structure in terms of CM (e.g. time, space, motion) requires imagination on the part of the listener, its meaning being dependent upon the cultural context (Krantz 1987) (e.g. the understanding of pitch in terms of height is typically found in Western thought, but not necessarily in Eastern music discourse). This observation is consistent with a multi-layered conceptualisation of musical expression of emotions, whereby a (relatively culturally invariant) 'core' of basic emotions interacts with (context-dependent) additional layers enabling the expression and perception of complex emotions (Juslin 2013). The resulting consideration is that CM is central to music (Zbikowski 2008) on account of its combining physiological (universal) and cultural (contextual) aspects of music experience, which it achieves by acting both as a 'cognitive process', and as a 'cultural process' (Mac Cormac 1985, pp. 5-6). Hence, metaphors may be viewed as a mediator between cognition and culture.

4. Metaphor as mediator between music and emotion

Building on the previously reviewed literature, we therefore suggest that the various mechanisms through which music evokes emotion may be subsumed under the two overall categories of bottom-up (predominantly driven by sonic properties and physiological responses) and top-down (largely driven by cognitive and contextual contributions), both of which operate along the meaning-making chain that enables emotion expression, perception, experience, and description. We further propose that metaphor and CM contribute to this meaning-making chain by acting at different key nodes along the series of perceptual, cognitive, and affective events characterising human engagement with music (Figure 1). Firstly, CM, among other phenomena, may intervene at the *interpersonal* level, by contributing to the communication of musical and affective meaning across individuals, for example between composer and performer, or between performer and audience. Writing, reading, and interpreting a musical score, performance, and other musical descriptors relies on a shared understanding between the actors involved in the exchange, e.g. composer, performer, audience. Metaphors (e.g. linguistic descriptors and bodily gestures) and CM (e.g. understanding music in terms of time, space, motion, etc.) may provide the interface for this shared understanding (Figure 1, upper part). Secondly, CM may act at the *intrapersonal* level, by enabling or facilitating, within a single individual-listener, the transition from emotion perception to emotion induction. As discussed above, perception and induction are distinct phenomena, the former based on the ability to recognise and attribute affective qualities to the music (without necessarily experiencing these affective qualities oneself), the latter implying a direct personal emotional experience (contributing to the so-called feeling) in response to one's engagement with music (without necessarily judging the music itself as expressive of those emotions). Metaphors and CM may act as a bidirectional conduit between music perception and emotion experience, contributing to the transition from the former to the latter (e.g. in a listener being moved as a result of perceiving emotion as being expressed in music), or from the latter to the former (e.g. in a composer adopting certain metaphoric or stylistic devices in order that the score may express certain emotional qualities that reflect the composer's own emotional state). In both cases, CM provides a platform for shared understanding of music's affective quality (Figure 1,

lower part). We further propose that metaphor and emotion are tightly intertwined, with no clear-cut causal directionality but rather a cross-talk shaped by different determinants (e.g. knowledge of listener, contextual information). Specifically, we submit that the relation between music, emotion, and metaphor may take two (alternative but not necessarily mutually exclusive) configurations: one possibility is that CM mediate between the perceptual (bottom-up) component of music and the emotional and aesthetic response to it. In this scenario, CM arising from the perceptual experience of given sounds provide the representations that induce and/or amplify the emotional experience, and ultimately the aesthetic response to music (Figure 1, open arrows). An alternative possibility is that CM arise from the emotional experience, which is itself the mediator between sound perception and the metaphorical representation in response to music. In this latter scenario, emotions are elicited directly by the sonic properties of music (including those related to the acoustic features of the sound, physical phenomena such as resonance, the structure of the score, and the choice of the performer), and are themselves the origin of the metaphorical representation (Figure 1, dotted arrows). Although still theoretical and speculative, these two alternative configurations of the relation between music, emotion, and metaphor are conducive to being empirically tested. Potential testing methods include the use of behavioural and brain imaging techniques, statistical tools based on a Brunswikian approach (e.g. Brunswik 1956; Hammond and Stewart 2001; Scherer 2003; Grandjean et al. 2006), as well as music analysis. This latter point deserves attention because, although emotional responses can develop in response to a variety of phenomena other than music, music seems to offer special resources for representing emotional states as they develop and modulate over time (Zbikowski 2010). Based on this observation, it has been argued that 'musical passages which are particularly remarkable are so in part because of the ways in which they correlate with the progress and change of emotions' (Zbikowski 2010, p. 38). One interesting possibility is that the human aptitude to capture the unfolding of emotion in music relies upon a system of dynamic emotional judgments, whereby the quality of the perceived or felt emotion depends upon acoustical parameters, musical structures, associated metaphors, and the complex interplay between these three domains (Torres-Eliard, Labbé, & Grandjean, 2012). These scenarios open up a role for music analysis as an interpretative tool through which the correlations between music and emotion can be exposed (see, for example, how music analysis is applied to examine the expressive qualities of Domenico Scarlatti's Sonata in A major, K. 208, in Zbikowski 2010), hence, more generally, as a potential theoretical apparatus through which music's emotional meaning can be unlocked. Future systematic empirical investigations may elucidate the relation between CM and musical emotion, and in particular the mediatory role of metaphorical representations in human aesthetic response to music.

5. Conclusions

Music is often talked about in emotional terms, but the evidence for the extent and modalities to which music expresses and induces emotion is debated. Part of the difficulty of interpretation lies in the coexistence of multiple layers of meaning, ranging from physiological responses to the physical properties of sound (bottom-up) to cognitive and contextual contributions (top-down), all of which contribute to human interaction with music in non linear ways. Acknowledging the complexity of the

music-emotion dynamics, and building on the insights afforded by previous accounts from the Classical tradition and contemporary empirical research, we suggest that the overall emotional experience surrounding human engagement with music arises as the net outcome of multiple layers of interpretation, including perceptual, cognitive, and affective components, and propose that metaphor may play a central role at different key nodes within this meaning-making process.

It has once been claimed that 'of the thousands and thousands of pages written about metaphor, few add anything of substance to the first two or three fundamental concepts stated by Aristotle' (Eco 1983, pp. 217-218). There is truth in this admittedly radical statement: although the insights from Classical thought are not the only ones worth examining (notions developed during later eras, for example the early modern period, are valuable as well), here we chose to focus on Classical thought because of its originality and influence on subsequent discourse (one could cite, for example, the impact of (idealistic) Platonic and (realistic) Aristotelian views of knowledge on Descartes' philosophy (e.g. in the juxtaposition of (thinking) mind and (extended) body) (Lakoff and Johnson 1999, pp. 391 ff. and 547), the weight of Aristotle's thought on the origins and development of the modern discipline of logic (pp. 375 and 446 ff.) and of the traditional theory of metaphor (p. 122), as well as on analytic philosophy at large (p. 547). It therefore stands to acknowledge that 'the issues raised by Aristotle [...] are still with us today' (p. 388).

Our aim here has been to probe this intellectual legacy, and explore potential connections between ancient philosophical intuitions and contemporary empirical evidence, in order to identify the contribution of metaphor to human experience of music. Based on this combination of theoretical notions and neuroscientific findings, we suggest that one way in which metaphor contributes to human experience of music is by acting as the hinge between language, emotion, and aesthetic response.

Acknowledgements: This work was supported by a Swiss National Science Foundation grant to DG and M-AR hosted by the Swiss Center for Affective Sciences at the University of Geneva, and by Marie Skłodowska Curie fellowship to AP, funded by the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement n° 267171. We thank Cristina Soriano for helpful discussion.

References

- Adlington R (2003) Moving beyond Motion: Metaphors for Changing Sound. *Journal of the Royal Musical Association* 128(2):297-318.
- Aristotle (IV c. BC) *Poetics*. In: Fyfe WH (ed, tr) (1932) *Aristotle in 23 Volumes*, Vol. 23 (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; London: William Heinemann).
- Aristotle (IV c. BC) *Politics*. In: Rackham H (ed, tr) (1944) *Aristotle in 23 Volumes*, Vol. 21 (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; London: William Heinemann).
- Aristotle (IV c. BC) *Rhetoric*. In: Freese JH (ed, tr) (1926) *Aristotle in 23 Volumes*, Vol. 22 (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; London: William Heinemann).
- Bartlett DL (1996) Physiological responses to music. Pp. 343-85 in: Hodges, DA (ed) *Handbook of music psychology* (San Antonio, Tx: IMR Press).
- Band JP, Quilter SM, Miller GM (2001) The influence of selected music and inductions on mental imagery: Implications for practitioners of Guided Imagery and Music. *Journal of the Association for Music and Imagery* 8:13- 33.
- Becker J (2004) *Deep listeners: Music, emotion, and trancing* (Bloomington, In: Indiana University Press).
- Behne K-E (1997) The development of “Musikerleben” in adolescence: How and why young people listen to music. Pp. 134-50 in: Deliège, I; Sloboda, J (eds) *Perception and cognition of music* (Hove: Psychology Press).
- Bharucha JJ, Curtis M (2008) Affective spectra, synchronization, and motion: Aspects of the emotional response to music. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 31(5):579.
- Blood AJ, Zatorre RJ (2001) Intensely pleasurable responses to music correlate with activity in brain regions implicated in reward and emotion. *PNAS USA* 98(20):11818-23.
- Blood AJ, Zatorre RJ, Bermudez P, Evans AC (1999) Emotional responses to pleasant and unpleasant music correlate with activity in paralimbic brain regions. *Nature Neuroscience* 2(4):382–87.
- Bonde LO (2007) Music as metaphor and analogy – A literature essay. *Nordic Journal of Music Therapy* 16(1):60- 81.
- Bouhuys AL, Bloem GM, Groothuis TG (1995) Induction of depressed and elated mood by music influences the perception of facial emotional expressions in healthy subjects. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 33(4):215-226.
- Bréal M (1897) *Essai de sémantique* (Paris: Hachette).
- Brown S, Martinez MJ, Parsons LM (2004) Passive music listening spontaneously engages limbic and paralimbic systems. *NeuroReport* 15:2033-37.
- Bruner GC (1990) Music, mood and marketing. *Journal of Marketing* 54:94-104.
- Brunswik E (1956) *Perception and the representative design of psychological experiments* (2nd ed.) (Berkeley, CA: University of California Press).
- Budd M (1985) *Music and the Emotions: The Philosophical Theories* (London: Routledge).
- Bunt L, Hoskyns S (eds) (2002) *The handbook of music therapy* (New York, NY: Routledge).

- Capwell C (1986/2003) South Asia. In: Randel DM (ed), *The New Harvard Dictionary of Music*, 4th ed. (Cambridge, MA: Belknap Press).
- Clarke E (2001) Meaning and the Specification of Motion in Music. *Musicae Scientiae* 5(2):213-34.
- Clifton T (1983) *Music as Heard: A Study in Applied Phenomenology* (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press).
- Cohen AJ (2001) Music as a source of emotion in film. Pp. 249-72 in: Juslin PN, Sloboda JA (eds) *Music and emotion: theory and research* (Oxford: Oxford University Press).
- Cook N (1990) *Music, Imagination, and Culture* (Oxford: Clarendon Press).
- Cooke D (1959) *The language of music* (Oxford: Clarendon Press).
- Cox AW (1999) *The Metaphoric Logic of Musical Motion and Space* (PhD Dissertation, University of Oregon).
- Cross I, Morley I (2008) The evolution of music: theories, definitions and the nature of the evidence. Pp 61-82 in Malloch S, Trevarthen C (eds) *Communicative Musicality: Exploring the Basis of Human Companionship* (Oxford: Oxford University Press).
- Davies S (2003) *Themes in the Philosophy of Music* (New York, NY: Oxford University Press).
- DeNora T (2000) *Music in everyday life* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).
- Dowling WJ, Harwood DL (1986) *Music cognition* (Orlando, FL: Academic Press).
- Eco U (1983) The Scandal of Metaphor: Metaphorology and Semiotics. *Poetics Today* 4(2):217-57.
- Epstein D (1995) *Shaping Time: Music, the Brain, and Performance* (New York, NY: Schirmer).
- Ferguson, DN (1960) *Music as Metaphor* (Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press).
- Fried R, Berkowitz L (1979) Music that charms . . . and can influence helpfulness. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology* 9:199-208.
- Fritz T, Koelsch S (2008) The role of semantic association and emotional contagion for the induction of emotion with music. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 31(5):579-580.
- Fritz T, Jentschke S, Gosselin N, Sammler D, Peretz I, Turner R, Friederici AD, Koelsch S (2009) Universal Recognition of Three Basic Emotions in Music. *Current Biology* 19:573-576.
- Gabrielsson A (2001) Emotions in strong experiences with music. Pp. 431-49 in: Juslin, PN; Sloboda, JA (eds) *Music and emotion: theory and research* (Oxford: Oxford University Press).
- Gabrielsson A, Juslin PN (2003) Emotional expression in music. Pp. 503-534 in: Davidson RJ, Scherer KR, Goldsmith HH (eds) *Handbook of Affective Sciences* (New York, NY: Oxford University Press).
- Giacco G (2011) Musique et métaphores spatiales. *L'Enveloppe* (March 2011):1-13.
- Gibbs RW Jr (ed) (2008) *The Cambridge Handbook of Metaphor and Thought* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).
- Gorn G, Pham MT, Sin LY (2001) When arousal influences ad evaluation and valence does not (and vice versa). *Journal of Consumer Psychology* 11(1):43-55.
- Grandjean D, Banziger T, Scherer KR (2006) Intonation as an interface between language and affect. *Prog Brain Res* 156:235-47.

Grandjean D, Sander D, Scherer, KR (2008) Conscious emotional experience emerges as a function of multilevel, appraisal-driven response synchronization. *Consciousness and Cognition* 17(2):484-495.

Guck M (1981) Musical Images as Musical Thoughts: The Contribution of Metaphor to Analysis. *Theory Only* 5(5):29-43.

Guck M (1994) Analytical Fictions. *Music Theory Spectrum* 16(2):217-30.

Hammond KR, Stewart TR (2001) *The essential Brunswik: Beginnings, explications, applications* (New York, NY: Oxford University Press).

Harré R (1997) Emotion in music. Pp. 110-118 in Hjort, M, and Laver, S (eds) *Music and the arts* (New York, NY, and Oxford: Oxford University Press).

Heinlein CP (1928) The affective characters of the major and minor modes in music. *J Comp Psychol* 8(2):101-142.

Hevner K (1935) The affective character of the major and minor modes in music. *Am J Psychol* 47(1):103-118.

Hofstadter D, Sander E (2013) *Surfaces and Essences: Analogy as the Fuel and Fire of Thinking* (New York, NY: Basic Books).

Johnson ML, Larson S (2003) "Something in the way she moves" – Metaphors of Musical Motion. *Metaphor and Symbol* 18(2):63-84.

Juslin PN (2013) What does music express? Basic emotions and beyond. *Frontiers in Psychology* 4:596.

Juslin PN, Laukka P (2004) Expression, perception, and induction of musical emotions: a review and a questionnaire study of everyday listening. *J New Music Res* 33(3):217-238.

Juslin PN, Västfjäll D (2008) Emotional responses to music: The need to consider underlying mechanisms. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 31(5):559-621.

Kenealy P (1988) Validation of a music mood induction procedure: Some preliminary findings. *Cognition and Emotion* 2(1):41-48.

Kirby JT (1997) Aristotle on Metaphor. *The American Journal of Philology* 118(4):517-554.

Kivy P (1989) *Sound sentiment: An essay on the musical emotions* (Philadelphia: Temple University Press).

Kivy P (1990) *Music Alone: Philosophical Reflections on the Purely Musical Experience* (Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press).

Koelsch S (2005) Investigating Emotion with Music: Neuroscientific Approaches. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 1060:1-7.

Koelsch S, Fritz T, von Cramon DY, Müller K, Friederici AD (2006) Investigating emotion with music: An fMRI study. *Human Brain Mapping* 27:239-50.

Koelsch S, Offermanns K, Franzke P (2010) Music in the treatment of affective disorders: an exploratory investigation of a new method for music-therapeutic research. *Music Percept* 27:307-316.

Konečni VJ (2008) Does music induce emotion? A theoretical and methodological analysis. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts* 2(2):115-129.

Krantz SC (1987) Metaphor in Music. *The Journal of Aesthetics and Art Criticism* 45(4):351-360.

- Krumhansl CL (1997) An exploratory study of musical emotions and psychophysiology. *Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology* 51:336-52.
- Lakoff G (1987) *Women, Fire and Dangerous Things, What Categories Reveal about the Mind* (Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press).
- Lakoff G (1993) The Contemporary Theory of Metaphor. Pp. 202-251 in Ortony, Andrew (ed.) *Metaphor and Thought*, 2nd ed. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).
- Lakoff G, Johnson M (1980a) Conceptual Metaphor in Everyday Language. *Journal of Philosophy* 77(8):453- 486.
- Lakoff G, Johnson M (1980b) *Metaphors We Live By* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press).
- Lakoff G, Johnson M (1980c) The Metaphorical Structure of the Human Conceptual System. *Cognitive Science* 4(2):195- 208.
- Lakoff G, Johnson M (1999) *Philosophy in the Flesh: The Embodied Mind and Its Challenge to Western Thought* (New York, NY: Basic Books).
- Lakoff G, Turner M (1989) *More Than Cool Reason: A Field Guide to Poetic Metaphor* (Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press).
- Lochhead J (1989-90) The Metaphor of Musical Motion: Is There an Alternative? *Theory and Practice* 14/15:83-103.
- Mac Cormac ER (1985) *A Cognitive Theory of Metaphor* (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press).
- May JL, Hamilton PA (1980) Effects of musically evoked affect on women's interpersonal attraction toward and perceptual judgments of physical attractiveness of men. *Motivation and Emotion* 4(3):217-28.
- Menon V, Levitin DJ (2005) The rewards of music listening: Response and physiological connectivity of the mesolimbic system. *NeuroImage* 28:175-84.
- Mitchell WB, Dibartolo PM, Brown TA, Barlow DH (1998) Effects of positive and negative mood on sexual arousal in sexually functional males. *Archives of Sexual Behavior* 27(2):197-207.
- More T (1516) *Utopia* (English tr. by Gilbert Burnet, 1684; ed. by Henry Morley), Transcribed from the 1901 Cassell & Company Edition by David Price at [<http://www.gutenberg.org/files/2130/2130-h/2130-h.htm>]. Consulted also in 2003 reprint of revised ed. by Robert M Adams and George M Logan (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).
- North AC, Tarrant M, Hargreaves DJ (2004) The effects of music on helping behavior: A field study. *Environment and Behavior* 36:266-75.
- Noy P (1993) How music conveys emotion. Pp 125-149 in Feder S, Karmel RL, Pollock GH (eds) *Psychoanalytic Explorations in Music* (New York, NY: International Universities Press).
- Nyklíček I, Thayer JF, Van Doornen LJP (1997) Cardiorespiratory differentiation of musically-induced emotions. *Journal of Psychophysiology* 11:304-21.
- Ortony A (1975) Why metaphors are necessary and not just nice. *Educational Theory* 25(1):45-53.
- Osborne JW (1981) The mapping of thoughts, emotions, sensations, and images as responses to music. *Journal of Mental Imagery* 5(1):133- 136.
- Quittner A, Glueckhauf R (1983) The facilitative effects of music on visual imagery: a multiple measures approach. *Journal of Mental Imagery* 7(1):105- 119.

- Panksepp J, Bernatzky G (2002) Emotional sounds and the brain: the neuro-affective foundations of musical appreciation. *Behavioural Processes* 60:133-155.
- Parkinson C, Kohler PJ, Sievers B, Wheatley T (2012) Associations between auditory pitch and visual elevation do not depend on language: Evidence from a remote population. *Perception* 41(7):854-861.
- Pignatiello MF, Camp CJ, Rasar LA (1986) Musical mood induction: An alternative to the Velten technique. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* 95(3):295-297.
- Pike A (1972) A phenomenological analysis of emotional experience in music. *Journal of Research in Music Education* 20:262-67.
- Plato (375 BC) *The Republic*. In: *Plato in Twelve Volumes*, Vols. 5 & 6, 1969, translated by Paul Shorey (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; London: William Heinemann).
- Quintilian (c. 96) *Institutio Oratoria*. In: HE Butler (ed tr) (1922) *Quintilian. With An English Translation* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; and London: William Heinemann).
- Randel DM (ed) (1986/2003) *The New Harvard Dictionary of Music*, 4th ed. (Cambridge, MA: Belknap Press).
- Rentfrow PJ, McDonald JA (2010) Preference, personality, and emotion. In: Juslin P, Sloboda J (eds) *Handbook of Music and Emotion: Theory, Research, Applications* (Oxford: Oxford University Press).
- Rigas D, Alty J (2005) The rising pitch metaphor: an empirical study. *International Journal of Human Computer Studies* 62(1):1-20.
- Robinson J, Hatten RS (2012) Emotions in Music. *Music Theory Spectrum* 34(2):71-106.
- Sander D, Grandjean D, Scherer KR (2005) A systems approach to appraisal mechanisms in emotion. *Neural Networks* 18:317-352.
- Scherer KR (2003) Vocal communication of emotion: A review of research paradigms. *Speech Communication* 40(1-2):227-256.
- Scherer KR (2004) Which emotions can be induced by music? What are the underlying mechanisms? And how can we measure them? *Journal of New Music Research* 33(3):239-51.
- Schubert E (2013) Emotion felt by the listener and expressed by the music: literature review and theoretical perspectives. *Front Psychol* 4:837.
- Shove P, Repp BH (1995) Musical Motion and Performance: Theoretical and Empirical Perspectives. Pp. 55-83 in: Rink, John (ed.) *The Practice of Performance: Studies in Musical Interpretation* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).
- Sloboda JA (1991) Music structure and emotional response: Some empirical findings. *Psychology of Music* 19:110-20.
- Sloboda JA (2005) *Exploring the Musical Mind: Cognition, Emotion, Ability, Function* (Oxford: Oxford University Press).
- Sloboda JA, O'Neill SA (2001) Emotions in everyday listening to music. Pp. 415-29 in: Juslin, PN, Sloboda, JA (eds) *Music and emotion: theory and research* (Oxford: Oxford University Press).
- Sörbom G (1994) Aristotle on Music as Representation. *The Journal of Aesthetics and Art Criticism* 52(1):37-46.
- Spampinato F (2008) *Les métamorphoses du son. Matérialité imaginative de l'écoute musicale* (Paris: L'Harmattan).

Spampinato F (2015) *Les incarnations du son. Les métaphores du geste dans l'écoute musicale* (Paris: L'Harmattan).

Spitzer M (2003) The Metaphor of Musical Space. *Musicae Scientiae* 7(1):101-120.

Steinbeis N, Koelsch S (2008) Comparing the Processing of Music and Language Meaning Using EEG and fMRI Provides Evidence for Similar and Distinct Neural Representations. *PLoS ONE* 3(5):e2226.

Teasdale JD, Spencer P (1984) Induced mood and estimates of past success. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology* 23(2):149-52.

Torres-Eliard K, Labbé C, & Grandjean D (2012) Towards a Dynamic Approach to the Study of Emotions Expressed by Music. Proceedings of the 4th International ICST Conference on Intelligent Technologies for Interactive Entertainment (INTETAIN 2011), Genoa, Italy, May 25-27, 2011, LNICST 78, A. Camurri, C. Costa, and G. Volpe (Eds.), Institute for Computer Sciences, Social Informatics and Telecommunications Engineering, 2012, 252-259.

Turner M (1987) *Death Is the Mother of Beauty: Mind, Metaphor, Criticism* (Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press).

Turner M (1997) Figure. Pp. 44-87 in: AN Katz, C Cacciari, RW Gibbs Jr, M Turner (eds) *Figurative Language and Thought* (New York, NY, and Oxford: Oxford University Press).

Vaitl D, Vehrs W, Sternagel S (1993) Prompts-Leitmotif-Emotion: Play it again, Richard Wagner. Pp. 169-89 in: Birnbaumer, N; Öhman, A (eds) *The structure of emotion: Psychophysiological, cognitive, and clinical aspects* (Seattle, WA: Hogrefe & Huber).

Västfjäll D (2001) Emotion induction through music: A review of the musical mood induction procedure. *Musicae Scientiae* 5(1):173- 211.

Wallin NL, Merker B, Brown S (eds) (2000) *The Origins of Music* (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press).

Witvliet CV, Vrana SR (2007) Play it again Sam: Repeated exposure to emotionally evocative music polarises liking and smiling responses, and influences other affective reports, facial EMG, and heart rate. *Cognition and Emotion* 21:3-25.

Wood JV, Saltzberg JA, Goldsamt LA (1990) Does affect induce self-focused attention? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 58(5):899-908.

Zbikowski LM (2008) Metaphor and music. Pp. 502-524 in: Gibbs, Raymond W Jr (ed) *The Cambridge Handbook of Metaphor and Thought* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).

Zbikowski LM (2010) Music, Emotion, Analysis. *Music Analysis* 29/i-ii-iii:37-60.

Zentner M, Grandjean D, Scherer KR (2008) Emotions Evoked by the Sound of Music: Characterization, Classification, and Measurement. *Emotion* 8(4):494-521.

Figure 1. Model for role of conceptual metaphor (CM) in emotion response to music

Figure 1. Model for role of conceptual metaphor (CM) in emotion response to music.

CM acts at different key nodes along the series of perceptual, cognitive, and affective events characterising human engagement with music. At the *interpersonal* level (e.g. between composer, performer, and audience), CM provides an interface for shared understanding of music in terms of time, space, motion, gesture etc. (upper part). At the *intrapersonal* level (within an individual listener), it facilitates the transition between emotion perception and emotion induction, in two non mutually exclusive configurations (lower part): by mediating between sound perception and emotional response (open arrow), and/or by arising as a result of the emotional response, which is itself the mediator between sound perception and CM (dotted arrows).