Quantifying mean inner potential of ZnO nanowires by off-axis electron holography

Yong Ding^{a*}, Yuzi Liu^b, Ken C. Pradel^a, Yoshio Bando^c, Naoki Fukata^c, and Zhong Lin Wang^a

^a School of Materials Science and Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332-0245, United States

^b Center for Nanoscale Materials, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, Illinois 60439, United States

^c International Center for Materials Nanoarchitectonics, National Institute for Materials Science, 1-1 Namiki, Tsukuba, 305-0044, Japan

*Email: yong.ding@mse.gatech.edu

ABSTRACT

Off-axis electron holography has been used to quantitatively determine the mean inner potential of ZnO. [0001] grown ZnO nanowires with hexagonal cross-sections were chosen as our samples because the angle between the adjacent surfaces is 120°, as confirmed by electron tomography, so the entire geometry of the nanowire could be precisely determined. The acceleration voltage of the transmission electron microscope was accurately calibrated by convergent beam electron diffraction (CBED) –higher-order Laue-zone (HOLZ) analyses. ZnO nanowires were tilted away from zone-axis to avoid strong dynamical diffraction effect, and the tilting angles were determined by CBED patterns. Our experimental data found a mean inner potential of ZnO as 14.30 ± 0.28 V.

Keywords: off-axis electron holography; mean inner potential; ZnO; convergent beam electron diffraction

1. Introduction

ZnO is not only a great semiconducting material, but also a good piezoelectric material owing to its lacking of inversion symmetry in its crystal structure (Albertsson et al., 1989). By combining piezoelectric with its semiconductor properties, ZnO and other Wurtzite structured materials have shown

novel fundamental phenomena and device applications, leading to increasing interest in the emerging field of “piezotronics” since it was first proposed in 2006 (Gao and Wang, 2007; He et al., 2007; Wang, 2007; Wang and Song, 2006). The deformation induced piezoelectric polarization, and piezoelectric potential, play a strong role in determining its electrical transport properties. A better understanding of the piezoelectric potential distribution and its stability can help to optimize the device’s performance. Off-axis electron holography is a suitable approach to explore the detailed electric potential distribution around nanomaterials at a high spatial resolution (Chung and Rabenberg, 2006; E. Völkl et al., 1999; Gatel et al., 2013; Li et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2007; McCartney and Smith, 2007). [ENREF 6](#) In order to qualitatively map the piezoelectric potential inside ZnO nanostructure by off-axis electron holography, we need to know an accurate value of the mean inner potential (MIP) of ZnO, which is the volume average of the coulomb potential of ZnO solid and corresponds to the zero-order Fourier coefficient of the crystal potential (E. Völkl et al., 1999).

Theoretical *Ab initio* computations of the MIP of ZnO has been reported as 15.75 V (Schowalter et al., 2006), which contradicts the value of 16.19 V calculated using the JEMS software (Stadelmann, 1987). Experimentally, the MIP is determined by off-axis electron holography by measure the phase shift of the electron wave transmitted through the sample with respect to a reference wave that only propagates through the vacuum region. This phase difference $\Delta\Phi$ depends on the specimen thickness t and its MIP (V_0). It is given by (Reimer, 1984)

$$\Delta\Phi = C_E V_0 t \quad (1)$$

$$\text{and } C_E = \frac{2\pi e}{\lambda E} \frac{E_0 + E}{2E_0 + E} \quad (2)$$

where e is the charge of electron, λ is the wavelength of incident electron, and E_0 and E are respectively, the kinetic and rest energy of the incident electron. The constant C_E can be determined if we know the operating voltage of the transmission electron microscope with high accuracy. If the sample thickness is known, then the MIP can be calculated using equation (1). Elfving et al. used electron energy-loss spectra to measure the thickness of ZnO thin film, and received the MIP of ZnO as 21.0 ± 4.2 V (Elfving and Olsson, 2002). Using cylindrical ZnO nanorods, Müller et al., determined the MIP of ZnO to be 15.9 ± 1.5 V (Muller et al., 2005).

It has been reported that by choosing cleaved crystal wedges of known angle, the MIP can be accurately measured (Gajdardziskajosifovska et al., 1993; Kruse et al., 2003; Li et al., 1999). For a sample of uniform V_0 and known wedge angle, the equation (1) can be rearranged as:

$$V_0 = \frac{1}{C_E} \frac{d\Phi/dx}{dt/dx} \quad (3)$$

The change in phase with the position on the sample, $d\Phi/dx$ can be determined after reconstruction of an off-axis electron hologram. dt/dx is the lateral rate of thickness change. The local specimen thickness t in the electron-beam direction can be calculated from the wedge geometry of the specimen according to:

$$t = \frac{\tan(\frac{\theta}{2}-\alpha) + \tan(\frac{\theta}{2}+\alpha)}{\cos\beta} x \quad (4)$$

θ is the wedge angle, and α and β are the tilting angles as defined by the sketch shown in Fig. 1, which can be determined experimentally. By using this approach, the MIP of Si, MgO, GaAs and PbS have been measured with about 1% accuracy (Gajdardziskajosifovska et al., 1993).

In this work, we chose hexagonal ZnO nanowires, which have fixed 120° wedges. The accelerating voltage of the transmission electron microscope was determined by convergent beam electron diffraction (CBED) –higher-order Laue-zone (HOLZ) analyses (Rao et al., 2004). The tilting angles of α and β were determined by its CBED pattern as well. Our measured MIP of ZnO is 14.30 ± 0.28 V.

2. Experimental

ZnO nanowires were synthesized using a vapor deposition approach (Wang et al., 2006). A FEI Tecnai F30 super-twin field-emission-gun TEM equipped with both a single-tilt tomography holder from Fischione Instrument and FEI double-tilt holder was used to acquire the TEM images, convergent-beam electron diffraction (CBED) patterns, and high-angle annular dark-field (HAADF) scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) images. The phase shift was retrieved from hologram reconstruction with reference by using holoworks, a plugin for Gatan DigitalMicrograph. JEMS software from Dr. Stadelmann was used to do the CBED simulation.

3. Results and discussion

In order to precisely measure the TEM operating voltage, we recorded a CBED pattern from a standard Si sample with electron beam parallel along the $[2-10]$ zone axis. The positions of higher-order Laue-Zone (HOLZ) lines in the (000) disk can be seen clearly in Fig. 2(a). Figure 2(b)-(d) gives the simulated CBED patterns by using the JEMS software.(Stadelmann, 1987) The positions of HOLZ lines of (7, 15, -1), (-7, -13, 1), (7, 15, 1) and (-7, -13, -1) in the bottom and (5, 11, -9), (5, 11, 9), (-7, -13, 7) and (-7, -13, -7) in the top are sensitive to the operating voltages as marked in Fig. 1(b)-(d). The best matched CBED pattern gives the operating voltage as 297.4 kV. The accuracy of the measured operating voltage is ± 0.2 kV based a series simulation.

The hexagonal shape of ZnO nanowires has been confirmed by electron tomography as shown in Fig. 3. A tilt series HAADF STEM images was acquired over the tilt range from -70° to 70° using *Xplore 3D* software (FEI Company) by a step of 2° . Three typical HAADF STEM images are displayed in Fig. 3(a)-(c), respectively, corresponding to 0° , 30° and 60° tilt angles. The detailed electron tomography reconstruction procedure can be found in a related reference (Ding et al., 2013). Figures 3(d)-(f) give the 3D view of the reconstructed nanowire from different orientations. Although the six surfaces are not in the same size, all the measured angles between joint surfaces are verified as 120° .

Off-axis electron holography investigation was carried out in Lorentz operating mode to provide a larger electron beam interference region, in which the whole ZnO nanowire was covered. A positive voltage of 236 V was applied to the filament of the biprism, which is mounted in one of the selected area apertures. The holograms were recorded by a CCD camera with a size of $2k \times 2k$ pixels.

Figure 4(a) shows an electron hologram from the same ZnO nanowire from Fig. 3. The measured fringes spacing in the hologram without a specimen is about 2.3 nm. So the spatial resolution of the reconstructed phase image is about 4.6 - 6.9 nm because every detail resolved after reconstruction had to be sampled with 2-3 hologram fringes. The hologram is taken with the axial direction of the ZnO nanowires parallel to the biprism. The phase image in Fig. 4(b) is reconstructed from the hologram in Fig. 4(a) combined with a reference hologram (not shown here), in which the nanowire was retracted from the hologram very carefully to ensure that the optical parameters of the microscope did not change. After the sample was tilted 60° along the axis direction of the nanowire, we recorded another hologram from the same area as displayed in Fig. 4(c). Figure 4(d) gives the reconstructed phase image from Fig. 4(c). The phase images in Figs. 4(b) and 4(d) are displayed as an equiphase pseudocontour image. The 2π phase contours are straight, parallel to the side surface of the nanowire. The off-color tip of the nanowire in Fig. 4 is due to the carbon contamination. The tip area was used to adjust the focus condition of each HAADF STEM image for electron tomography. So the carbon contamination is so obvious. There is less contamination in other area. Because we calculate the MIP based on the slope of the **phase** profile, or only the projected linear change of the thickness matters, the uniformly coated carbon film on the surface will not affect **the phase change in equation 3**.

The line profiles in Fig. 5(a) and (b) were extracted from the phase unwrapping images from Figs. 4(b) and 4(d), respectively. The plots in Fig. 5 show the 50-pixels averaged phase line profiles from the highlighted rectangles in their inserted unwrapping phase images. The phase from vacuum area has been calibrated. The smoothness of the phase line profiles with increasing thickness at the two side edges of the nanowire confirms the high quality of the hologram recording and reconstruction process. **As indicated in**

Fig. 1, the hexagon shaped ZnO nanowire was tilted small angles α and β along the $\langle 0001 \rangle$ and $\langle 2-1-10 \rangle$ directions, respectively. The thickness profile have five segments or three segments while $\alpha = 0$. The middle one is a flat plateau. The outside is two slopes from the projection of the two apexes. And in between there are another two segments with slope different from the outside segments. Since the tilt angle is just several degrees, those two extra segments are relatively much small and will not be considered in following discussion. Because of the truncated edges of the ZnO nanowire, we choose the middle part of the slope in ~ 40 nm in width to do the linear fitting. As a result, the fitting to the phase line profile in Fig. 5(a) yields two slopes of $|d\Phi/dx| = 0.327$ (left) and 0.325 (right) rad/nm. The statistical error for the least-squares fit is ~ 0.00073 rad/nm. The both fitting slopes in Fig. 5(b) are 0.323 rad/nm.

In order to avoid the strong dynamical diffraction effect, the nanowire in Fig. 4 has been tilted several degrees away from the $\langle 01-10 \rangle$ zone axis. As we know that the ZnO nanowire grows along the $[0001]$ direction, the tilting angles α and β as depicted in Fig. 1 can be considered as tilting along the $\langle 0001 \rangle$ and $\langle 2-1-10 \rangle$ directions respectively. Figures 6(a) and (c) give the CBED patterns recorded from the nanowire before and after 60° tilting, while Figures 6(b) and (d) are the simulated CBED patterns using JEMS software. The tilting angles α and β revealed by the simulation is 1.59° , 0.87° as displayed in Fig. 6(a), they are 2.23° and 0° in Fig. 6(c).

Considering the operating voltage is 297.4 ± 0.2 kV, we have the $C_E = 0.00654 \text{ rad}(Vnm)^{-1}$ with an error of $\sim 0.02\%$. Based on the values of α , β , C_E and the slopes of 0.327 , 0.325 and 0.323 rad/nm shown in Fig. 5, we got the MIP of ZnO as $V_0 = 14.38$ V, 14.30 V and 14.17 V according to equations (3) and (4). We measured the MIP from 5 different single nanowires. Considering the high accuracy of our measurements of the operating voltage and slope of the phase profile, they only contribute to $\sim 0.1\%$ error. Because the experiment was carried out in Lorentz mode, the measured length is the major contributor to the error. The magnification in Lorentz mode was calibrated by a standard Au coated carbon grid, in which the diffraction parallel line gratings have fixed spacing of 462.9nm . By counting all the possible errors, we have a final MIP of $V_0 = 14.30 \pm 0.28$ V.

The MIP can also be calculated by using equation (1) if the sample thickness can be measured. In our experiment, each hexagonal ZnO nanowire is enclosed by six $\{01-10\}$ surface planes, two of them are parallel to each other, like the top and bottom surfaces as displayed in Fig. 3(e). Therefore, the overlapping projection area of these paired surfaces in the incident electron beam direction has the same thickness. The phase change corresponding to such thickness can be read out from the platform in its phase profile curve. It is $\Delta\Phi = 12.03 \pm 0.21$ rad in Fig. 5(a). The thickness can be retrieved from electron tomography reconstruction as shown in Fig. 3. Due to the limited resolution of electron tomography, the

projected thickness from the top-bottom surface area is calculated as 134 ± 10 nm. Then the calculated MIP is $V_0 = 13.73 \pm 1.24$ V according equation (1).

The projected thickness from the top-bottom surfaces area can be measured from CBED pattern displayed in Fig. 7 (a). The thickness of 122 ± 6 nm was achieved by matching the experimental pattern with the simulated ones shown in Figs. 7(b)-(f). The CBED pattern in Fig. 7(a) was taken at the electron beam parallel with the zone axis direction. Considering the hologram was taken by a small angle departure away from the zone axis, total about 1.8° , the rectified projection thickness based on CBED pattern still gives 122 ± 6 nm. It is much smaller than which extracted from electron tomography. It may be due to the carbon contamination layer on the surface of nanowire, which contributes extra mass contrast and affects the electron tomography results but not the CBED patterns. In such case, the MIP is $V_0 = 15.08 \pm 1.06$ V according equation (1).

By comparing the above three MIP data measured using different approaches, the one based on the fix-angle wedges gives higher accuracy with less than 0.1 % error, while the ones based on the electron tomography reconstruction and CBED patterns give error ~ 9 % and 7 %, respectively. And furthermore, by measuring more nanowires, we find all the measured MIPs based on the fix-angle wedges approach stay in a small range (less than 0.30 V) close to 14.30 V, while other approaches by measuring sample thickness mentioned previously give bigger changes (2.00 V).

4. Conclusion

We have measured the mean inner potential ($V_0 = 14.30 \pm 0.28$ V) of ZnO from hexagonal nanowire samples at a high accuracy using off-axis electron holography operating in Lorentz mode. Each hexagonal ZnO nanowire gives two fixed 120° wedges suitable for electron holography measurement based on phase and thickness change slopes instead of thickness which is hard to be measured accurately. In order to reduce the error, the dynamic diffraction effect was minimized by tilting the nanowires several degrees away from the $\langle 01-10 \rangle$ zone axis. The tilting angles were measured by comparing the experimental and computational CBED patterns. The operating voltage was determined by CBED-HOLZ analysis.

Acknowledgement

Research was supported by the National Science Foundation (DMR-1505319), and MANA, National Institute for Materials Science, Japan. This work was performed, in part, at the Center for Nanoscale

Materials, a U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science User Facility under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357.

Figure captions:

Fig. 1. Tilting geometry of a hexagonal sample under electron beam illumination.

Fig. 2. (a) Experimental (000) disk for on-zone [2-10] CBED pattern in Si. (b), (c) and (d) calculated ones corresponding to 297.4 kV, 297.0 kV and 297.8 kV operating voltages, respectively.

Fig. 3. (a)-(c) HAAD STEM images recorded at different tilting angles. (d)-(f) Reconstructed 3D structure of the ZnO nanowire shown in (a)-(c) from different orientations.

Fig. 4. (a) and (c) electron holograms recorded from the same ZnO nanowire at 0° and 60° tilting angles. (b) and (d) are calculated phase images from (a) and (c), respectively.

Fig. 5. Averaged phase line profiles taken from boxed regions in the inserted unwrapping phase images.

Fig. 6. Comparison of experimental and simulated CBED patterns at 0° and 60° tilting angles.

Fig. 7. Experimental and simulated [01-10] CBED patterns used to estimate the sample thickness.

References

- Albertsson, J., Abrahams, S.C., Kwick, A., 1989. Atomic Displacement, Anharmonic Thermal Vibration, Expansivity and Pyroelectric Coefficient Thermal Dependences in ZnO. *Acta Crystallogr B* 45, 34-40.
- Chung, J.H., Rabenberg, L., 2006. Mapping of electrostatic potentials within core-shell nanowires by electron holography. *Appl Phys Lett* 88, 013106.
- Ding, Y., Zhang, F., Wang, Z.L., 2013. Deriving the three-dimensional structure of ZnO nanowires/nanobelts by scanning transmission electron microscope tomography. *Nano Res* 6, 253-262.
- E. Völkl, L. F. Allard, D. C. Joy, 1999. *Introduction to electron holography*. Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York.
- Elfving, M., Olsson, E., 2002. Electron holography study of active interfaces in zinc oxide varistor materials. *J Appl Phys* 92, 5272-5280.
- Gajdardziskajosifovska, M., McCartney, M.R., Deruijter, W.J., Smith, D.J., Weiss, J.K., Zuo, J.M., 1993. Accurate Measurements of Mean Inner Potential of Crystal Wedges Using Digital Electron Holograms. *Ultramicroscopy* 50, 285-299.
- Gao, Y., Wang, Z.L., 2007. Electrostatic potential in a bent piezoelectric nanowire. The fundamental theory of nanogenerator and nanopiezotronics. *Nano Lett* 7, 2499-2505.

Gatel, C., Lubk, A., Pozzi, G., Snoeck, E., Hytch, M., 2013. Counting Elementary Charges on Nanoparticles by Electron Holography. *Phys Rev Lett* 111, 025501.

He, J.H., Hsin, C.L., Liu, J., Chen, L.J., Wang, Z.L., 2007. Piezoelectric gated diode of a single ZnO nanowire. *Adv Mater* 19, 781-784.

Kruse, P., Rosenauer, A., Gerthsen, D., 2003. Determination of the mean inner potential in III-V semiconductors by electron holography. *Ultramicroscopy* 96, 11-16.

Li, J., McCartney, M.R., Dunin-Borkowski, R.E., Smith, D.J., 1999. Determination of mean inner potential of germanium using off-axis electron holography. *Acta Crystallogr A* 55, 652-658.

Li, L.Y., Ketharanathan, S., Drucker, J., McCartney, M.R., 2009. Study of hole accumulation in individual germanium quantum dots in p-type silicon by off-axis electron holography. *Appl Phys Lett* 94, 232108.

Liu, Y.Z., Han, X.D., Zhang, Z., 2007. Potential mapping of ZnO by off-axis electron holography. *Phil Mag Lett* 87, 103-111.

McCartney, M.R., Smith, D.J., 2007. Electron holography: Phase imaging with nanometer resolution. *Annu Rev Mater Res* 37, 729-767.

Muller, E., Kruse, P., Gerthsen, D., Schowalter, M., Rosenauer, A., Lamoen, D., Kling, R., Waag, A., 2005. Measurement of the mean inner potential of ZnO nanorods by transmission electron holography. *Appl Phys Lett* 86, 154108.

Rao, D.V.S., Balamuralikrishnan, R., Muraleedharan, K., 2004. Accurate determination of the voltage of a transmission electron microscope (TEM) by $< 0\ 1\ 2 >$ CBED-HOLZ analyses using GaAs crystal. *B Mater Sci* 27, 471-482.

Reimer, L., 1984. *Transmission Electron Microscopy*. Springer, Berlin.

Schowalter, M., Rosenauer, A., Lamoen, D., Kruse, P., Gerthsen, D., 2006. Ab initio computation of the mean inner Coulomb potential of wurtzite-type semiconductors and gold. *Appl Phys Lett* 88, 232108.

Stadelmann, P.A., 1987. Ems - a Software Package for Electron-Diffraction Analysis and Hrem Image Simulation in Materials Science. *Ultramicroscopy* 21, 131-145.

Wang, X.D., Song, J.H., Summers, C.J., Ryou, J.H., Li, P., Dupuis, R.D., Wang, Z.L., 2006. Density-controlled growth of aligned ZnO nanowires sharing a common contact: A simple, low-cost, and mask-free technique for large-scale applications. *J Phys Chem B* 110, 7720-7724.

Wang, Z.L., 2007. Nanopiezotronics. *Adv Mater* 19, 889-892.

Wang, Z.L., Song, J.H., 2006. Piezoelectric nanogenerators based on zinc oxide nanowire arrays. *Science* 312, 242-246.

Figure 1

Figure-2

Figure 2

Figure-3

Figure 3

Figure-4

Figure 4

Figure-5

Figure 5

Figure-6

Figure 6

Figure-7

Figure 7