**Characterization of cellulose structure of *Populus* plants modified in candidate cellulose
biosynthesis genes**

Garima Bali^{a,b}, Ratayakorn Khunsupat^a, Hannah Akinosho^{a,b}, Raja S Payyavula^b, Reichel Samuel^a, Gerald A. Tuskan^b, Udaya C. Kalluri^{b§}, and Arthur J. Ragauskas^{a,b,c*}

^aSchool of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Institute of Paper Science and Technology, Georgia Institute of Technology, 500 10th St., Atlanta, GA, 30332

^bBioEnergy Science Center, Biosciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, 37831

^cDepartment of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering & Department of Forestry, Wildlife, and Fisheries, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA.

This manuscript has been authored by UT-Battelle, LLC under contract no. DE-AC05-00OR22725 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes. The Department of Energy will provide public access to these results of federally sponsored research in accordance with the DOE Public Access Plan (<http://energy.gov/downloads/doe-public-access-plan>)

* Correspondence to: Arthur J. Ragauskas, Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering & Department of Forestry, Wildlife, and Fisheries, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA. E-mail: aragausk@utk.edu, Tel.: +1 865-974-2042; Fax: +1 865-974-7076

§ Corresponding author at: Biosciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, P.O. Box 2008 MS6422, Oak Ridge, TN, 37831-6422 USA. Tel.: +1 865 241 6895; Fax: +1 865 576 9939.

Abstract

The recalcitrant nature of lignocellulosic biomass is a combined effect of several factors such as high crystallinity and high degree of polymerization of cellulose, lignin content and structure, and the available surface area for enzymatic degradation (i.e., accessibility). Genetic improvement of feedstock cell wall properties is a path to reducing recalcitrance of lignocellulosic biomass and improving conversion to various biofuels. An advanced understanding of the cellulose biosynthesis pathway is essential to precisely modify cellulose properties of plant cell walls. Here we report on the impact of modified expression of candidate cellulose biosynthesis pathway genes on the ultra-structure of cellulose, a key carbohydrate polymer of *Populus* cell wall using advanced nuclear magnetic resonance approaches. Noteworthy changes were observed in the cell wall characteristics of downregulated KORRIGAN 1 (KOR) and KOR 2 transgenic plants in comparison to the wild-type control. It was observed that all of the transgenic lines showed variation in cellulose ultrastructure, increase in cellulose crystallinity and decrease in the cellulose degree of polymerization. Additionally, the properties of cellulose allomorph abundance and accessibility were found to be variable. Application of such cellulose characterization techniques beyond the traditional measurement of cellulose abundance to comprehensive studies of cellulose properties in larger transgenic and naturally variable populations is expected to provide deeper insights into the complex nature of lignocellulosic material, which can significantly contribute to the development of precisely tailored plants for enhanced biofuels production.

Keywords: Cellulose biosynthesis. Gene. Crystallinity. Micro-fibril. Degree of polymerization

1. Introduction

In recent years, biofuels have emerged as a clean, domestic and sustainable energy resource to meet growing demands and to displace fossil fuel consumption [1, 2]. In addition to energy security, biofuels offer a large potential in mitigating issues related to climate change. Of the various competing biomass to biofuels technologies, the biochemical conversion of lignocellulosic biomass to biofuels is being widely developed. For second-generation biofuels, non-edible lignocellulosic materials are currently pursued as a favorable substrate for the production of biofuels and other specialty chemicals [3, 4].

Lignocellulosic biomass is primarily composed of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin, which in combination comprise the complex plant cell wall [5]. Cellulose is a linear polymer of D-glucose units linked by β -1,4-glycosidic bonds, where the hydroxyl groups in each unit form intra- and inter-molecular hydrogen bonds that stiffen the chain and give it a rigid and partially crystalline structure. This crystallinity gives cellulose a more ordered structure that limits enzyme accessibility during saccharification [6, 7]. Hemicellulose, on the other hand, is a complex carbohydrate structure composed of various five and six carbon sugars such as xylose, arabinose, glucose, galactose and mannose. Hemicellulose essentially provides the entire cell wall with more rigidity by interacting with cellulose micro-fibrils and cross-linkages with lignin [8]. Lignin, the third primary component of the secondary plant cell wall, is a polyphenolic polymer attached to hemicelluloses [9] and is highly resistant to enzymatic degradation. Lignin hinders plant cell wall deconstruction by inhibiting the accessibility of β -glucosidases and other enzymes to cellulose [10]. Consequently, the bioconversion of complex carbohydrates derived from lignocellulosic biomass remains challenging. Recalcitrance then is the result of several factors including 1) high crystallinity and degree of polymerization (DP) for cellulose, 2) lignin content/structure and 3) the accessible surface area available to enzymatic hydrolysis [11].

Recalcitrance can drive the cost of the entire cellulosic biofuels conversion process higher and thus pose challenging commercialization issues. One of the promising options to tailor the properties of feedstocks is via genetic modification of plant cell wall chemistry and structure [12]. Genetically

modified plant biomass is expected to require milder pretreatment conditions and lower enzyme dosages for the release of fermentable sugars. Such modifications are expected to substantially reduce the capital costs associated with the production of biofuels from lignocelluloses and thus pave a way for commercialization. Genetic manipulation of feedstocks, such as switchgrass [13], aspen [14], tobacco [15] and alfalfa [16], have been reported to significantly reduce recalcitrance. Several researchers have that shown manipulating cellulose biosynthesis in tobacco resulted in 30% enhancement in cellulose content and 20% increase in overall biomass yield [17]. And, Guo *et al.* [16] reported a strong negative correlation between the lignin content and sugar release in transgenic alfalfa compared with wild-type controls. A recent study from Foston *et al.* reported a three-fold increase in the sugar release from *Populus* tension wood, which was in part due to the increase of cellulose accumulation in the G-layer [18]. Further aspects of cellulose biosynthesis have been discussed in detail in numerous previous publications [19, 20].

While it is recognized that an advanced understanding of the cellulose biosynthesis pathway is essential to precisely modify the properties of usable fraction of plant cell walls, the use of traditional cellulose characterization, generally restricted to quantitation of cellulose, merits the development and application of new characterization methods. Therefore, we focused on two *KORRIGAN* (*KOR*)-like candidate cellulose biosynthesis pathway genes, belonging to the *endo-1,4-beta-D-glucanase* gene family in order to evaluate the value of using advanced nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) techniques. Cellulose substrate is from Uridine 5'-diphosphate (UDP)-glucose, which is derived from sucrose after hydrolysis by either invertase or sucrose synthase following *phosphorylation* through UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase [UGPase, 21]. Using the proposed sitosterol β -glycosides as a primer, Cellulose synthase (CesA) along with other interacting proteins such as *endo-1,4- β -glucanases* (EGase) form cellulose chains [22, 23].

KOR, a plasma-membrane-bound EGase has been found to be highly associated with cellulose synthesis and is required for normal cell wall assembly, cell elongation and proper xylem development

[24], as well as maintenance of cellulose crystallinity [25]. Protein modeling suggests that KOR is an integral part of CesA complex; however, this has not been confirmed in the co-precipitation studies that alternatively suggest that KOR function in post deposition stages [24, 26]

Substantial advances have recently been made in our understanding of the cellulose biosynthesis pathway and our ability to obtain value-added cellulose from biomass for production of second-generation biofuel. Several properties of cellulose, such as crystallinity, allomorph distribution, microfibril size and degree of polymerization have previously been reported to influence the biomass saccharification efficiency [27]. A recent study by Payyavula et al. [28] indicated that cellulose biosynthesis *Populus RNAi* transgenic lines of *KORRIGAN* (*PdKOR1*, and *PdKOR2*) showed important changes in xylem cell wall formation resulting in significantly reduced plant height, supporting the functional roles for *PdKOR1*, and *PdKOR2* in cell wall formation and for altering biomass composition and differential carbon allocation in an entire plant.

Using advanced NMR techniques, we investigated cellulose properties, such as cellulose quantity, crystallinity, degree of polymerization, allomorph abundance and accessibility, in the native and transgenic lines of model bioenergy plant *Populus*. The results presented here provide valuable insights for developing improved biomass species with improved cell wall traits, which in turn, can be leveraged for development of precisely tailored plants for enhanced biofuels production.

2. Methods

2.1 Generation of transgenic plants

Roughly 200 bp 3'UTR region specific to each isoform, *PdKOR1* (Poptri.003G151700; primer F: CACCCCCGGGGCTGCAATAGAGTTTGGACTT, R: TCTAGATACTAAGTATATCACAAAATCAGACAGA) and *PdKOR2* (Poptri.001G078900, primer F: CACCCCCGGGGTAAAGATAATGCGACTCCA, R: TCTAGACATATCATACACATATACTATTTATATCAA), was amplified from *Populus deltoides* (and

RNAi construct was developed in the pAGSM552 binary vector. Plant transformation was performed at ArborGen LLC, Ridgeville, SC using the Agrobacterium method. More than 15 independent transgenic lines were analyzed in our preliminary study. Additional studies including the estimation of cellulose structural differences were performed on two selected transgenic lines for each isoform (*PdKOR1-1*, *PdKOR1-2*, *PdKOR2-1* and *PdKOR2-2*). Initially, plants were propagated in small tubes (0.5 L) until they reached 40-50 cm, after which they were moved to bigger pots (6 L). Five ramets of each clonally replicated transgenic line and control (i.e., empty vector transformed plants) were grown in a greenhouse at ORNL maintained at 25°C, 16 h light for approximately 180 days. Actively growing stems of transgenic and control *Populus* lines were destructively sampled, air dried and stored until used for further cellulose characterization studies.

2.2 Sample preparation for cellulose analysis

Stems of transgenic and control *Populus* were ground in a Wiley mill to pass through an 840 micron sieve. The milled samples were stored at 20°C until needed. Samples were extracted with dichloromethane (6 x 70 mL) in Foss Soxtec unit (Soxtec™ 2050) at 80°C following a 4-step extraction procedure.

2.3 Cellulose and hemicellulose isolation

Delignification of *Populus* samples was performed using peracetic acid as reported earlier [29]. In brief, ground and extractive-free *Populus* samples (0.6 g) were mixed with peracetic acid (2.1 g) and deionized water (4.8 mL). This mixture was then stirred at 25°C for 24 h followed by repeated centrifugation and washing with deionized water to isolate the holocellulose samples. Cellulose was isolated from the holocellulose (0.10 g) by extraction with a 17.5% NaOH solution (5 mL) at 25°C for 2 h. The mixture was diluted to 8.75% NaOH solution by addition of 5 mL of deionized water and repeated stirring at 25°C for an additional 2 h. The isolated α -cellulose samples were then collected by centrifugation, washed with 50 mL of 1% acetic acid and an excess of deionized water and air-dried. For hemicellulose isolation, the supernatant obtained above, was poured into a mixture of ethanol:acetic acid

(70:30, v:v). The supernatant was removed by centrifugation and the precipitated hemicellulose was again washed with a mixture of ethanol: acetic acid (70:30, v: v) and air-dried for analysis.

Sample preparation for solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance

The cellulose samples for NMR were prepared from the transgenic and control *Populus* lines from the holocellulose sample (1.0 g) by hydrolysis for 4 h in HCl (100 mL of 2.5 M) under reflux. The isolated α -cellulose samples were then collected by filtration rinsed with an excess of deionized water and dried in a fume hood.

2.4 Solid-state NMR analysis

For NMR analysis, 4-mm cylindrical ceramic MAS rotors were filled with the isolated α -cellulose. Solid-state NMR measurements were carried out on a Bruker Avance-400 spectrometer operating at a frequency of 100.55 MHz for ^{13}C in a Bruker double-resonance MAS probe at spinning speeds of 10 kHz. CP/MAS experiments utilizing a 5 ms (90°) proton pulse, 1.5 ms contact pulse, 4 s recycle delay and 4-8 K scans. All spectra were recorded on equilibrated moisture samples (~35% water content). The line-fitting analysis of spectra was performed using NUTS NMR Data Processing software (Acorn NMR, Inc.).

2.5 Gel Permeation Chromatography analysis of cellulose

The weight-average molecular weights were determined by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) after tricarbanilation of cellulose [30]. Cellulose (15 mg) from each sample was placed in separate test tubes equipped with micro stir bars and dried overnight under vacuum at 40°C . Each test tube was capped with rubber septa, anhydrous pyridine (4 mL) and phenyl isocyanate (0.5 mL) were added sequentially via syringe and the test tubes were placed in an oil bath at 70°C and allowed to stir for 48 h. Methanol (1 mL) was then added to quench the phenyl isocyanate. The contents of each test tube were then added dropwise to 7:3 (v:v) methanol:water mixture (100 mL) to precipitate the derivatized cellulose. The solids were collected by filtration and then washed with the same methanol:water mixture (1x50 mL) followed by deionized water (2x50 mL). The derivatized cellulose was then dried overnight under vacuum at 40°C . Prior to GPC analysis, the derivatized cellulose was dissolved in tetrahydrofuran

(THF) (1 mg/mL), filtered through a 0.45 mm filter and placed in a 2-mL auto-sampler vial. The molecular weight distributions of the cellulose tricarbanilate samples were analyzed on an Agilent GPC Security 1200 system equipped with four Waters Styragel columns (HR1, HR2, HR4, HR5), Agilent refractive index (RI) detector and Agilent UV detector (270 nm) using THF as the mobile phase (1 mL/min) with injection volumes of 0.02 mL. A calibration curve was constructed based on eight narrow polystyrene standards ranging in molecular weight from 1.5×10^3 to 3.6×10^6 g/mol. Data collection and processing were performed using Polymer Standards Service WinGPC Unity software (Build 6807). Molecular weights were calculated by the software relative to the universal polystyrene calibration curve and weight-average degree of polymerization (DP_w) were obtained by dividing M_w by 519 g/mol, the molecular weight of the tricarbanilated cellulose repeat unit.

2.6 GPC analysis of hemicellulose

The GPC analyses were carried out using an Agilent 1200 series HPLC system consisting of an on-line degasser and an auto-sampler, a RI detector and three columns of Ultrahydrogel 120, 250 and 500 (Waters Inc., USA) linked in series. The RI detector was set at 35°C. The mobile phase was an alkaline sodium hydroxide/acetate solution (0.2 M sodium hydroxide, 0.1 M sodium acetate, pH 12-13) and the flow rate was 0.5 mL/min. The hemicellulose samples were dissolved in mobile phase (~1 mg/mL) and the solution was then filtered with a 0.2 µm filter. The filtered sample (25 µL) was injected into the GPC column system for analysis. Pullulan standard samples were used for the calibration [31].

2.7 Lignin characterization by heteronuclear single-quantum correlation 1H - ^{13}C NMR analysis

Prior to the NMR analysis, *Populus* samples were subjected to a cellulysin-cellulase treatment for the partial removal of polysaccharides using a modified procedure described earlier [32, 33]. The lignin-rich sample was dissolved in anhydrous perdeuterated pyridinium chloride-DMSO- d_6 (1:4, v:v) solvent system. The ^{13}C - 1H 2D heteronuclear single-quantum correlation (HSQC) NMR experiments were carried out at 55°C in a Bruker Avance 400 MHz spectrometer equipped with a z-gradient triple-resonance probe. The HSQC analysis was performed using a standard Bruker pulse sequence with a 90°C pulse, 0.11 s acquisition time, a 0.5 s pulse delay, a 1J C–H of 145 Hz and acquisition of 256 data points.

2.8 Error analysis

For cellulose and hemicellulose analysis, 3 ramets per transgenic line (n=3) and 5 ramets for control (n=5) were measured. The values for degree of polymerization (DP) and cellulose crystallinity (CrI) in transgenic and control lines were summarized as mean $\pm$ standard error across the ramets. The errors associated with paracrystalline, I_α , I_β , $I_{\alpha+\beta}$ and the amorphous domains in the line fitting were found to be ± 3.0 , ± 1.5 , ± 1.3 , ± 2.0 and $\pm 3.1\%$, respectively. Student t-tests were performed to determine significance between experimental values, where $p \leq 0.05$ is 95% significance (*) and $p \leq 0.01$ is 99% significance (**).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Cellulose crystallinity and ultra-structural characterization

XRD and solid-state ^{13}C NMR have most widely been used techniques to evaluate the crystallinity of cellulose [34]. In the present paper, ^{13}C CP/MAS NMR spectroscopy was used to determine the crystallinity and the ultra-structural components of cellulose in transgenic and control plants (Fig. 1a, 1b and 1c). The crystallinity index (CrI) was determined by using the ratio of the peak integration of C-4 crystalline carbon region (δ 85-92 ppm) to the integral of the entire C-4 region (δ 80-92 ppm) [35, 36] and were between 55.0-58.6 % for selected transgenic lines in comparison to control (Fig. 2). Compared with the control, *PdKOR1* and *PdKOR2* plants exhibited between ~3-7% of increase in cellulose crystallinity. The increase in cellulose crystallinity in transgenic samples is in agreement with the previous studies where down regulated or knock down cellulose biosynthesis variants also exhibited higher crystalline cellulose [24, 37].

INSERT FIG. 1a, 1b and 1c

INSERT FIG. 2

A two peak fit analysis showed essentially the low ordered amorphous and high ordered crystalline regions in isolated cellulose samples, where the relative quantities of these two regions determines the crystallinity of cellulose. However, as it is also known that cellulose can exist in several other crystallographic forms or allomorphs. Estimation of these amorphous regions is required to determine its supra molecular structure comprising the various crystalline and non-crystalline domains within individual RNAi transgenics of *PdKOR1* and *PdKOR2*. Therefore, we used the seven line-fit analysis of ^{13}C CPMAS spectrum to measure the relative proportions of cellulose ultra-structural components, namely I_α , I_β , $I_{\alpha+\beta}$ and para-crystalline, as well as cellulose accessible and inaccessible fibril surfaces as described by Larsson et al. [36].

Lorentzian and Gaussian lines with fixed center position and width were applied for the crystalline and amorphous region, respectively as reported previously [35, 38]. The spectral fitting for the C-4 region of the CP/MAS ^{13}C NMR spectrum of the isolated cellulose from one transgenic and control line is shown in Fig. 1b and 1c, where the signal assignment shows the separate lines for crystalline cellulose I_α , I_β , and mixed cellulose $I_{\alpha+\beta}$ peak, a para-crystalline signal, as well as resonances attributed to the accessible and inaccessible fibril surfaces. Lorentzian line-shapes were used for the carbon resonances of cellulose I_α , $I_{\alpha+\beta}$, I_β and para-crystalline cellulose, while Gaussian lines were used for resonances corresponding to inaccessible and accessible fibril surfaces of the amorphous cellulose. The results of the fitting procedure on control *Populus* cellulose is compiled in Table 1.

The average lateral fibril dimension (LFD) and lateral fibril aggregate dimensions (LFAD) [39, 40] were also estimated for transgenic and control samples and the results are displayed in Table 2. The line fit analysis revealed substantial differences in the relative abundance of crystalline cellulose and micro-fibrils size in transgenic lines compared to the control plants (Fig. 3 & Table1). In both *PdKOR1* lines, there was a decrease in the relative amount of I_α (~10-17%) allomorph, which is accompanied by subsequent increase (~6-13%) in cellulose I_β and also $I_{\alpha+\beta}$ cellulose (~12%), the domain shared by both I_α and I_β types of cellulose. In contrast, *PdKOR2* lines exhibited lower $I_{\alpha+\beta}$ cellulose content but subsequent increase was observed in the resonances dominated by crystalline I_α and I_β domain. The amount of para-

crystalline cellulose was found to have increased in both RNAi constructs in the range of ~3-10% when compared with control (Fig. 3a), with maximum increase was detected for the *PdKOR2-1*. A relatively higher amount of the crystalline and para-crystalline cellulose in the transgenic lines thus resulted in the overall increase of cellulose percent crystallinity within the transgenic samples, which further revealed that the KOR regulation or expression may have affected the orientation of micro-fibril deposition or may have altered the fibril diameters. The para-crystalline signal that originated in the crystalline region of 88.6-88.4 ppm is mostly assumed as a well-ordered structure and relatively considered as inaccessible to solvents [41]. Nonetheless, this region also provides a slow transition between fully amorphous and fully crystalline phases along the cellulose fibrils.

A combined CPMAS-line fit study by Sannigrahi et al. [42] showed that the relative proportions of para-crystalline and amorphous cellulose decreased after enzymatic hydrolysis of pretreated pine, indicating the preferential degradation of these forms by cellulose enzyme. Thus, the higher amount of para-crystalline cellulose in the sample is expected to exhibit enhanced enzymatic hydrolysis conversion as also described in another study by Pu et al. [43]. Apart from crystalline cellulose peaks in ¹³C CPMAS, a broad amorphous peak at 84.0 ppm (Fig. 1b) essentially consists of two main overlapped peaks from solvent accessible (accessible fibril surfaces in contact with water or solvent) and solvent inaccessible cellulose fibril surfaces (fibril-fibril contact surfaces resulting from fibril distortion). The relative proportion of cellulose at accessible and inaccessible fibril surfaces is also shown in Figure 3b. The relative amount of accessible cellulose surface decreased noticeably in all transgenic lines when compared to control. *PdKOR1-1* showed ~ 66%, decrease in accessible surface and *PdKOR2-1* and *PdKOR2-2* lines displayed ~17% and ~40% decrease in accessible surface, respectively (Fig. 3b). Solvent inaccessible surfaces are the fibril-fibril contact surfaces and the surfaces created from the distortions in the fibril interior. The inaccessible surface area was observed to increase from 3-7% in all the transgenic lines in comparison to control, which has been attributed to fibril distortions or dislocation [28]. Consistent with the crystallinity results, the transgenic lines also showed increase in the LFD (Table1), which is directly correlated with the relative amount of accessible fibril surfaces [44].

KOR has been proposed to have several roles in cell wall synthesis, including 1) cleavage of the steryl glycosides, the proposed precursors [45], 2) assembly of the glucan chains in cellulose microfibrils and maintenance of the degree of polymerization [27] and 3) release of stress around the cellulose microfibril and maintenance of microfibril angle [26]. Furthermore, *KOR* down-regulation possibly affected the size of cellulose fibrils in the cell wall by relaxing the microfibril chains under tension, controlling the fibril distortions and therefore possibly increased the interior order and fibril dimensions. The increase in LFD of cellulose microfibrils in *PdKOR* transgenic lines appears to be related with the increased amount of crystalline cellulose through alterations in microfibril angle or via biosynthesis as also shown earlier by Taylor et al. [46]. However, in contrast, the LAFD characterizing the thicker cellulose fibrils in the cellulose crystallites were reduced in all lines. Ding and Himmel [47] have proposed a cellulose microfibril model where several single cellulose microfibrils in cellulose synthase complex (CSC) coalesce to form larger macro-fibrils bundles or aggregates which later split into smaller cellulose fibrils. Based on this model, it is likely that the transgenic lines that exhibited decreased LFAD were a direct outcome of *KOR* down regulation that produced comparatively lower amounts of cellulose and subsequently lower cellulose microfibril aggregates. In addition, the altered hemicellulose and lignin contents could also possibly affect the fibril aggregation. Nevertheless, our results indicated that the *KOR* transgenic studied here have a comparatively higher crystallinity and varied cellulose ultrastructure, suggesting that manipulation of a single gene in the cellulose pathway may impact on cellulose microfibril production, deposition and organization during plant secondary cell wall growth.”

INSERT TABLE 1 & 2

INSERT FIGURE 3

3.2 Gel permeation chromatography

The weight average degree of polymerization (DP_w) of cellulosic material determines the relative abundance of terminal and interior β -glucosidic bonds [48] and is an important rate-determining factor associated with the enzymatic hydrolysis. It has been reported earlier [49] that substrates with low DP_w values have readily accessible glucan chain for the enzyme degradation during the hydrolysis. Therefore,

it is important to understand the change in the molecular weight and DP_w of cellulose in genetically modified plants. In the present study, all the transgenic lines exhibited lower degree of polymerization in comparison with control (Fig. 4a). For the *PdKOR1-2* exhibited a ~47 % reduction in DP_w ($P=0.04$), followed by line *PdKOR2-1* (~30%). The transgenic *PdKOR1-1* also showed a decrease in DP_w , ~12%. These observations are consistent with the previous studies that have proposed an editing role for KOR involving cleaving and removing defective micro-fibrils from well-developed cellulose chain. According to Molhoj *et al.* [50], in such modified cellulose pathways, some genes may act as a cellulase that hydrolyzes longer polysaccharides such as β ,1-4-linked glucan chain and produces non-crystalline cellulose. Interestingly, Maloney [14], in another study, indicated that an altered modified cellulose pathway did not affect the molecular weight distribution of cellulose in *Populus*. The variation in our molecular weight results, however, clearly demonstrated that the alteration in cellulose pathways by specific genetic modification has resulted in lower DP values in transgenic plants in comparison to the control.

We also characterized hemicellulose molecular weights in order to understand the effect of cellulose biosynthesis on the growth of hemicellulose chain scissions. There was no major change in the DP_w of hemicellulose for either *PdKOR1* or *PdKOR2* transgenic, which implies that cellulose biosynthesis may have little effect on the hemicellulose properties.

INSERT FIGURE 4

3.3 Lignin Structural Characterization

In order to understand the impact of genetic manipulation of cellulose biosynthesis on lignin structure, the control and transgenic *Populus* lines were subjected to partial removal of polysaccharides by repeated cellulysin-cellulase treatment and the ^{13}C - 1H HSQC NMR spectra (Fig 5) were obtained on the resultant lignin-rich *Populus* samples. The majority of the observed lignin side-chain units were β -ether (A); however, traces of phenylcoumaran (B) and resinol (C) were also detected as indicated by the presence of α and β cross signals at $\delta C/\delta H$ 71.5/4.80 (A_α), 86.0/4.1(A_β), 87.5/5.5(B_α), 54.0/3.5 (B_β) and 83.4/4.6 (C_α) and 53.0/3.1 (C_β) ppm [51, 52] (Fig. 5). The relative amount of the side chain sub-units were

estimated from the volume integration of A_α , B_α and C_α cross peaks and was determined to be 80:3:17 A:B:C, respectively. The major correlations at $\delta C/\delta H$ 55.0/3.8 and 20.5/1.9 ppm confirm the presence of methoxyl and acetyl groups, respectively. The lignin aromatic units syringyl (S) and guaiacyl (G) units were identified based on the correlations at $\delta C/\delta H$ 103.3/6.8 ($S_{2,6}$), 111.4/7.0 (G_2), 115/6.7 (G_5) and 119.5/6.9 (G_6) ppm (Fig. 5). The HSQC analysis demonstrated that control *Populus* lignin is syringyl rich with β -ether, phenylcoumaran and resinol side chain units. For the various transgenic lines, the relative amount of lignin side-chain and aromatic units did not vary significantly from those values described above for the control plants. Surprisingly, the *p*-hydroxy benzoyl units, typically observed in *Populus* were not detected in the control and transgenic samples. Further, the relative abundances as measured by integrating the anomeric carbon contours area of the side chains and the S/G ratio of one characteristic poplar sample are listed in Table SI3. Thus, modifications in the cellulose biosynthesis pathway has observed no significant effects on the lignin structure.

4. Conclusions

The results presented here provide insight into the key molecular features of biomass recalcitrance specifically those associated with cellulose and hemicellulose ultra-structure that are modified as a result of modified expression of a single candidate cellulose biosynthesis pathway gene. The ultra-structural analyses showed that the cellulose pathway *Populus* variants had altered cell wall characteristics specifically related to the cellulose biopolymer. The transgenic lines demonstrated important variations in cellulose crystallinity, allomorph distribution, and cellulose degree of polymerization compared to the empty vector control plants. Additionally, the properties of cellulose allomorph abundance and accessibility were found to be variable. Further application of the cellulose characterization techniques beyond the traditional measurement of cellulose abundance to comprehensive studies of cellulose properties in larger transgenic and naturally variable populations is expected to provide deeper insights. Studying cell wall structure and genetically modified traits may help to understand the complex nature of lignocellulosic material and better predict the sugar release efficiency of such samples. Such insights will contribute to the design and development of precisely tailored plants for enhanced biofuels production.

Acknowledgements

This manuscript has been authored by UT-Battelle, LLC under contract no. DE-AC05-00OR22725 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The BioEnergy Science Center is supported by the Office of Biological and Environmental Research in the U.S. Department of Energy. Mass spectrometry analysis was carried out by the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Biological and Environmental Research supported Bioenergy Research Center proteomics pipeline. The publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes. The Department of Energy will provide public access to these results of federally sponsored research in accordance with the DOE Public Access Plan (<http://energy.gov/downloads/doe-public-access-plan>).

References

- [1] Yuan JS, Tiller KH, Al-Ahmad H, Stewart NR, Stewart CN, Jr. Plants to power: bioenergy to fuel the future. *Trends Plant Sci* 2008;13:421.
- [2] Hill J, Nelson E, Tilman D, Polasky S, Tiffany D. Environmental, economic, and energetic costs and benefits of biodiesel and ethanol biofuels. *PNAS* 2006;103:11206.
- [3] Gray KA, Zhao L, Emptage M. Bioethanol. *Curr Opin Chem Biol* 2006;10:141.
- [4] Ragauskas AJ, Williams CK, Davison BH, Britovsek G, Cairney J, Eckert CA, et al. The Path Forward for Biofuels and Biomaterials. *Science* 2006;311:484.
- [5] Feldman D. Wood—chemistry, ultrastructure, reactions, by D. Fengel and G. Wegener, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin and New York, 1984, 613 pp. Price: 245 DM. *J Polym Sci: Polym Lett Ed.* 1985;23:601.
- [6] Hall M, Bansal P, Lee JH, Realff MJ, Bommarius AS. Cellulose crystallinity--a key predictor of the enzymatic hydrolysis rate. *The FEBS J* 2010;277:1571.

- [7] Chauve M, Barre L, Tapin-Lingua S, Silva Perez Dd, Decottignies D, Perez S, et al. Evolution and impact of cellulose architecture during enzymatic hydrolysis by fungal cellulases. *Adv Biosci Biotechnol* 2013;04:1095.
- [8] Rubin EM. Genomics of cellulosic biofuels. *Nature* 2008;454:841.
- [9] Pan X, Kadla JF, Ehara K, Gilkes N, Saddler JN. Organosolv ethanol lignin from hybrid poplar as a radical scavenger: relationship between lignin structure, extraction conditions, and antioxidant activity. *J Agric Food Chem* 2006;54:5806.
- [10] Wyman CE, Dale BE, Elander RT, Holtzapple M, Ladisch MR, Lee YY. Coordinated development of leading biomass pretreatment technologies. *Bioresour Technol* 2005;96:1959.
- [11] Kumar R, Mago G, Balan V, Wyman CE. Physical and chemical characterizations of corn stover and poplar solids resulting from leading pretreatment technologies. *Bioresour Technol* 2009;100:3948.
- [12] Gressel J. Transgenics are imperative for biofuel crops. *Plant Sci* 2008;174:246.
- [13] Fu C, Mielenz JR, Xiao X, Ge Y, Hamilton CY, Rodriguez M, et al. Genetic manipulation of lignin reduces recalcitrance and improves ethanol production from switchgrass. *PNAS* 2011;108:3803.
- [14] Maloney VJ, Samuels AL, Mansfield SD. The endo-1,4- β -glucanase Korrigan exhibits functional conservation between gymnosperms and angiosperms and is required for proper cell wall formation in gymnosperms. *New Phytologist* 2012;193:1076.
- [15] Vailhé MAB, Migné C, Cornu A, Maillot MP, Grenet E, Besle JM, et al. Effect of Modification of the O-Methyltransferase Activity on Cell Wall Composition, Ultrastructure and Degradability of Transgenic Tobacco. *J Sci Food Agric* 1996;72:385.
- [16] Guo D, Chen F, Inoue K, Blount JW, Dixon RA. Downregulation of Caffeic Acid 3-O-Methyltransferase and Caffeoyl CoA 3-O-Methyltransferase in Transgenic Alfalfa: Impacts on Lignin Structure and Implications for the Biosynthesis of G and S Lignin. *The Plant Cell Online* 2001;13:73.
- [17] Dinus RJ. Genetic Modification of short rotation Poplar biomass feedstock for efficient conversion to ethanol. Environmental Sciences Division, ORNL; 2000.

- [18] Foston M, Hubbell CA, Samuel R, Jung S, Fan H, Ding S-Y, et al. Chemical, ultrastructural and supramolecular analysis of tension wood in *Populus tremula* x *alba* as a model substrate for reduced recalcitrance. *Energy Environ Sci* 2011;4:4962.
- [19] Somerville C. Cellulose Synthesis in Higher Plants. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 2006;22:53.
- [20] Sato S, Kato T, Kakegawa K, Ishii T, Liu Y-G, Awano T, et al. Role of the Putative Membrane-Bound Endo-1,4- β -Glucanase KORRIGAN in Cell Elongation and Cellulose Synthesis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell Physiol* 2001;42:251.
- [21] Sturm A, Tang G-Q. The sucrose-cleaving enzymes of plants are crucial for development, growth and carbon partitioning. *Trends Plant Sci.* 1999;4:401.
- [22] Delmer DP. Cellulose biosynthesis: Exciting times for a difficult field of study. *Ann Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Bio* 1999;50:245.
- [23] Peng L, Kawagoe Y, Hogan P, Delmer D. Sitosterol- β -glucoside as Primer for Cellulose Synthesis in Plants. *Science* 2002;295:147.
- [24] Szyjanowicz PM, McKinnon I, Taylor NG, Gardiner J, Jarvis MC, Turner SR. The irregular xylem 2 mutant is an allele of korrigan that affects the secondary cell wall of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *The Plant J: Cell Mol Bio* 2004;37:730.
- [25] Maloney VJ, Mansfield SD. Characterization and varied expression of a membrane-bound endo-beta-1,4-glucanase in hybrid poplar. *Plant Biotechnol J* 2010;8:294.
- [26] Molhoj M, Pagant S, Hofte H. Towards understanding the role of membrane-bound endo-beta-1,4-glucanases in cellulose biosynthesis. *Plant Cell Physiol* 2002;43:1399.
- [27] Foston M, Ragauskas AJ. Changes in lignocellulosic supramolecular and ultrastructure during dilute acid pretreatment of *Populus* and switchgrass. *Biomass Bioenergy* 2010;34:1885.
- [28] Payyavula SR, Bali G, Jawdy SS, Yee LK, Bryan CA, Engle N, et al. KORRIGAN-like genes are important in cellulose biosynthesis and carbon allocation and partitioning in *Populus* plants. *J Exp Botany* 2014;In Review.

- [29] Kumar R, Hu F, Hubbell CA, Ragauskas AJ, Wyman CE. Comparison of laboratory delignification methods, their selectivity, and impacts on physiochemical characteristics of cellulosic biomass. *Bioresour Technol* 2013;130:372.
- [30] Wood BF, Conner AH, Hill CG. The effect of precipitation on the molecular weight distribution of cellulose tricarbanilate. *J Appl Polym Sci* 1986;32:3703.
- [31] Xue BL, Wen JL, Xu F, Sun RC. Structural characterization of hemicelluloses fractionated by graded ethanol precipitation from *Pinus yunnanensis*. *Carbohydr Res* 2012;352:159.
- [32] Zhang A, Lu F, Sun R-C, Ralph J. Isolation of Cellulolytic Enzyme Lignin from Wood Preswollen/Dissolved in Dimethyl Sulfoxide/N-Methylimidazole. *J Agric Food Chem* 2010;58:3446.
- [33] Berlin A, Balakshin M, Gilkes N, Kadla J, Maximenko V, Kubo S, et al. Inhibition of cellulase, xylanase and β -glucosidase activities by softwood lignin preparations. *J Biotechnol* 2006;125:198.
- [34] Park S, Baker John O, Himmel ME, Parilla PA, Johnson DK. Cellulose crystallinity index: measurement techniques and their impact on interpreting cellulase performance. *Biotechnology for Biofuels* 2010; 3:10.
- [35] Wickholm K, Larsson PT, Iversen T. Assignment of non-crystalline forms in cellulose I by CP/MAS ^{13}C NMR spectroscopy. *Carbohydr Res* 1998;312:123.
- [36] Larsson PT, Wickholm K, Iversen T. A CP/MAS ^{13}C NMR investigation of molecular ordering in celluloses. *Carbohydr Res* 1997;302:19.
- [37] Takahashi J, Rudsander UJ, Hedenström M, Banasiak A, Harholt J, Amelot N, et al. KORRIGAN1 and its Aspen Homolog PttCel9A1 Decrease Cellulose Crystallinity in *Arabidopsis* Stems. *Plant Cell Physiol* 2009;50:1099.
- [38] Lennholm H, Larsson T, Iversen T. Determination of cellulose Ia and Ib in lignocellulosic materials. *Carbohydr Res* 1994;261:119.
- [39] Newman RH. Evidence of assignment of ^{13}C NMR signals to cellulose crystallite surfaces in wood, pulp and isolated cellulose. *Holzforschung - International Journal of the Biology, Chemistry, Physics and Technology of Wood*; 1998, p. 157.

- [40] Heux L, Dinand E, Vignon MR. Structural aspects in ultrathin cellulose microfibrils followed by ¹³C CP-MAS NMR. *Carbohydr Polym* 1999;40:115.
- [41] Zuckerstätter G, Schild G, Wollboldt P, Röder T, Weber KH, Sixta H. The elucidation of cellulose supramolecular structure by ¹³C CPMAS NMR *Lenzinger Berichte* 2009;87:38.
- [42] Sannigrahi P, Miller SJ, Ragauskas AJ. Effects of organosolv pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis on cellulose structure and crystallinity in Loblolly pine. *Carbohydr Res* 2010;345:965.
- [43] Pu Y, Ziemer C, Ragauskas AJ. CP/MAS ¹³C NMR analysis of cellulase treated bleached softwood kraft pulp. *Carbohydr Res* 2006;341:591.
- [44] Newman RH. Estimation of the lateral dimensions of cellulose crystallites using ¹³C NMR signal strengths. *Solid State Nucl Magn Reson* 1999;15:21.
- [45] Peng L, Kawagoe Y, Hogan P, Delmer D. Sitosterol-beta-glucoside as primer for cellulose synthesis in plants. *Science* 2002;295:147.
- [46] Taylor NG. Cellulose biosynthesis and deposition in higher plants. *New Phytologist* 2008;178:239.
- [47] Ding S-Y, Himmel ME. The Maize Primary Cell Wall Microfibril: A New Model Derived from Direct Visualization. *J Agric Food Chem* 2006;54:597.
- [48] Zhang Y-HP, Lynd LR. Toward an aggregated understanding of enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose: Noncomplexed cellulase systems. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 2004;88:797.
- [49] Wood TM. Properties and mode of action of cellulases. *Biotechnol Bioeng Symposium* 1975:111.
- [50] Mølhøj M, Pagant S, Höfte H. Towards Understanding the Role of Membrane-bound Endo- β -1,4-glucanases in Cellulose Biosynthesis. *Plant Cell Physiol* 2002;43:1399.
- [51] Samuel R, Foston M, Jaing N, Cao S, Allison L, Studer M, et al. HSQC (heteronuclear single quantum coherence) ¹³C–¹H correlation spectra of whole biomass in perdeuterated pyridinium chloride–DMSO system: An effective tool for evaluating pretreatment. *Fuel* 2011;90:2836.
- [52] Pu Y, Chen F, Ziebell A, Davison B, Ragauskas A. NMR Characterization of C₃H and HCT Down-Regulated Alfalfa Lignin. *Bioenerg Res* 2009;2:198.

Fig. 1a.

Fig. 1b (top) and Fig. 1c(bottom)

Fig. 2.

Fig.3.

Fig.4a and Fig. 4b

Fig. 5a

Fig. 5b

Figure Captions

Fig. 1a. ^{13}C -CPMAS spectra of transgenic (top) and control (bottom) lines of *Populus*.

Fig. 1b(Top). Spectral fitting for the C-4 region of the ^{13}C CP/MAS spectrum of cellulose isolated from transgenic *Populus*. Blue: Line shape of C-4 region in CP/MAS ^{13}C NMR spectrum of cellulose sample before line fitting; Red: Line shape of the C-4 region of the CP/MAS ^{13}C NMR spectrum of cellulose after line fitting, whose parameters of relative intensity and line width were applied to the carbon signals attributed to cellulose I_α , I_β , $\text{I}_{\alpha+\beta}$, paracrystalline cellulose, inaccessible, and accessible fibril surfaces (Samuel et al. 2010); Green: The carbon signals attributed to domains of cellulose I_α , I_β , $\text{I}_{\alpha+\beta}$, paracrystalline cellulose, inaccessible, and accessible fibril surfaces.

Fig.1c(bottom). Spectral fitting for the C-4 region of the ^{13}C CP/MAS spectrum of cellulose isolated from control *Populus*.

Fig. 2. Cellulose crystallinity of selected lines, as measured by ^{13}C -CP/MAS, Average $\pm$ standard error, n=3 (Transgenic) or n=5 (control). * $P \leq 0.05$

Fig. 3. Relative cellulose allomorphs abundance in control and transgenic *Populus* lines; **a.** crystalline allomorphs, **b.** amorphous region. The errors associated with paracrystalline, I_α , I_β , $\text{I}_{\alpha+\beta}$ and the amorphous domains in the line fitting were found to be ± 3.0 , ± 1.5 , ± 1.3 , ± 2.0 and $\pm 3.1\%$, respectively.

Fig. 4. a. Weight average degree of polymerization (DP_w) of cellulose **b.** hemicellulose isolated from transgenic and control plants in *Populus*.

Fig. 5a. Representative ^{13}C - ^1H 2D HSQC spectra of enzyme treated control *Populus* in perdeuterated pyridinium chloride-DMSO d_6 system

Fig. 5b. Identified *Populus* lignin sub-units

Characterization of cellulose structure of *Populus* plants modified in candidate cellulose

biosynthesis genes

Garima Bali^{a,b}, Ratayakorn Khunsupat^a, Hannah Akinosho^{a,b}, Raja S Payyavula^b, Reichel Samuel^a, Gerald A. Tuskan^b, Udaya C. Kallurib[§], and Arthur J. Ragauskas^{a,b*}

^aSchool of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Institute of Paper Science and Technology, Georgia Institute of Technology, 500 10th St., Atlanta, GA, 30332, ^bBioEnergy Science Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, 37831

Table1: Nonlinear spectral fitting results of C-4 region for ^{13}C CPMAS spectra of isolated cellulose from control poplar. The values in parentheses are the standard deviation of 5 replicate

Assignment			Chemical shift (ppm)	Relative Intensity (%)	Full width at half maximum (Hz)	control samples
I_α			89.7	4.01 (2.5)	76 (7.2)	
I_β			88.1	9.70 (3.0)	126 (11.1)	
$I_{\alpha+\beta}$			88.9	11.37 (2.2)	85 (20.0)	
Para-crystalline cellulose			88.6	28.69 (4.2)	201(35.2)	
Accessible surfaces I	fibril	84.4		2.01 (0.9)	103(15.0)	
Accessible surfaces II	fibril	83.6		1.65 (0.8)	315(42.0)	
Inaccessible			83.9	42.56(3.6)	88 (12.9)	

Table 2. Lateral fibril dimension and lateral aggregate fibril dimension for transgenic and control *Populus* samples. An error analysis was conducted by performing three experimental trials. Errors associated with line fit procedure as reported previously (Foston and Ragauskas, 2010). Literature values for LFD=4-5 nm, LFAD=15-40 nm (Foston and Ragauskas, 2010).

Line	LFD (nm)± 0.2	LFAD (nm)± 1.5
Control	4.4	38.9
<i>PdKOR1-1</i>	4.7	37.0
<i>PdKOR1-2</i>	4.7	38.5
<i>PdKOR2-1</i>	4.7	34.5
<i>PdKOR2-2</i>	4.5	36.7