Insights into the structure and function of membrane-integrated processive glycosyltransferases

Yunchen Bi, Caitlin Hubbard, Pallinti Purushotham, Jochen Zimmer*

*Center for Membrane Biology
Department of Molecular Physiology and Biological Physics
University of Virginia
480 Ray C. Hunt Dr.
Charlottesville, VA 22908*

Corresponding author: jochen_zimmer@virginia.edu

Abstract

Complex carbohydrates perform essential functions in life, including energy storage, cell signaling, protein targeting, quality control, as well as supporting cell structure and stability. Extracellular polysaccharides (EPS) represent mainly structural polymers and are found in essentially all kingdoms of life. For example, EPS are important biofilm and capsule components in bacteria, represent major constituents in cell walls of fungi, algae, arthropods and plants, and modulate the extracellular matrix in vertebrates. Different mechanisms evolved by which EPS are synthesized. Here, we review the structures and functions of membrane-integrated processive glycosyltransferases (GTs) implicated in the synthesis and secretion of chitin, alginate, hyaluronan and poly-N-acetylglucosamine (PNAG).

Introduction

Different mechanisms evolved to polymerize sugar molecules into high molecular weight polysaccharides. Polymer assembly by a GT requires the activation of the monomeric sugar units, often as nucleotide-bound form, and the transfer of these “donor” sugars to an acceptor, a specific hydroxyl group of the growing polysaccharide chain [1].

GTs come in many forms with finely tuned specificities for different donors and acceptors [1]. Most GTs catalyze only a single transfer after which the enzyme-product complex dissociates. However, some GTs are highly processive enzymes that do not release the polymer product, thereby achieving astonishing polymerization efficiencies with thousands of sugar units per polymer.

Here we focus on current insights into the mechanisms of chitin, hyaluronan (HA), PNAG and alginate biosyntheses by processive GTs. Cellulose biosynthesis in plants and bacteria has recently been reviewed [2,3] and we use insights gained from bacterial cellulose synthase [4,5] to highlight differences and commonalities among processive GTs.

Processive GTs form linear high molecular weight polymers

The GTs discussed below share several traits, Fig. 1. The enzymes belong to family-2 of GTs [6], are membrane-integrated, and share a common cytosolic GT domain for donor and acceptor binding [1]. These GTs transfer sugars from cytosolic nucleotide-activated sugars and generate nucleoside diphosphates (mostly UDP or GDP) as second reaction product [1], which often competitively inhibit the synthase at elevated concentrations [7,8]. Glycosyl transfer is believed to occur via an S_N2 -like nucleophilic displacement reaction in which the acceptor attacks the donor's anomeric C1 carbon, thereby inverting its configuration from a to b [1]. Coupled to polymer synthesis, the enzymes translocate the nascent polysaccharide across the plasma membrane through a pore formed by their transmembrane (TM) region [4,9-11].

The GT domain contains several sequence motifs that are required for donor and acceptor binding (reviewed in detail in reference [3]). Three variably spaced aspartates are crucial for catalytic activity, Fig. 2 [14]. The first Asp, frequently belonging to a “DDG” motif (text box 1 in Fig. 2), contributes to nucleotide binding [4]. The second Asp, located in the consensus “DxD” motif (text box 2 in Fig. 2), coordinates a Mg^{2+} or Mn^{2+} required for GT activity [4]. The third Asp is also part of a tripeptide motif (“TED” in cellulose and “GDD” in HA synthase, text box 3 in Fig. 2) and likely functions as the general base that facilitates acceptor deprotonation during glycosyl transfer [4,5]. A fourth sequence motif particularly characteristic of processive GTs is a “Q/LxxRW” pentapeptide (text box 4 in Fig. 2) [12]. Based on the cellulose synthase structure, the Trp residue forms van-der-Waals contacts with the polymer's acceptor glucose unit while the preceding Arg residue contacts the substrate's pyrophosphate group [5].

Linear polysaccharides carry reducing and non-reducing ends, referring to the termini with an unmodified and linked hydroxyl group at the anomeric carbon, respectively. To date, all processive GT-2 enzymes synthesize linear polymers, Fig. 3, which can be modified by soluble proteins after translocation across the plasma

membrane. With only a few known exceptions, the enzymes form homopolysaccharides in which all sugar units are connected by the same glycosidic bond.

Chitin Synthase

Chitin is one of the most abundant biopolymers and occurs in various contexts across a broad range of species. It is a linear, β -1,4-linked polymer of *N*-acetylglucosamine (NAG), Fig. 3, that is best known for its strengthening and protective role in body wall cuticles of arthropods and several other invertebrates. Insect chitin synthases (CHSs) have recently been reviewed in detail by Merzendorfer [9]. The enzymes can be divided into three distinguishable domains termed A, B and C. Domain A is located at the N-terminus and has limited sequence conservation among different species. In fungal class I–III and VI CHSs, the A domains do not contain any transmembrane helices (TMHs), whereas class IV+V and VII enzymes contain 2–3 TMHs [13]. The B domain forms a central cytosolic GT domain that is followed by the C domain containing 3–7 TMHs [9].

Substrate, chain elongation and primer hypothesis

Biochemical data on CHSs and related GTs, including the bacterial lipo-chito-oligosaccharide synthase NodC [14], led to a general reaction scheme for chitin biosynthesis. The polymer is formed from UDP-activated NAG and is extended at its non-reducing end [15,16]. Similar to the arrangement of glucose units in cellulose, the individual NAG units of chitin are rotated by approximately 180 degrees relative to their neighbors [17]. Initial mechanistic models thus assumed that CHS binds its substrate in alternating orientations [18], resembling discussions on cellulose biosynthesis [19]. Recent insights into cellulose biosynthesis, however, explained that this alternating arrangement can be established by a simple rotation of the terminal sugar unit around the glycosidic bond, eliminating the need for a dual substrate binding site [4].

Chitin biosynthesis has primarily been studied in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, which differentially expresses three *chs* genes [20]. CHS1 is responsible for only 10% of the *in vivo* chitin pool, but accounts for most of the chitin synthase activity recovered *in vitro* [21]. Interestingly, this activity is enhanced in the presence of NAG as well as N-acetylchitooses, which may prime chitin biosynthesis [22].

Regulation of Chitin Biosynthesis

Chitin biosynthesis is regulated on multiple levels. Regulation can directly affect CHS, its cellular localization, or the activities of enzymes involved in substrate biosynthesis [18].

The intracellular localization and stability of CHS can be controlled by phosphorylation. *S. cerevisiae* CHS2 synthesizes chitin for the primary septum [23] and is phosphorylated by Cdk1 at four positions near its N-terminus. Phosphorylation leads to the ER retention of CHS2 until mitotic kinases are degraded during cell cycle progression [24–26]. As observed for some fungal and insect CHSs, chitin biosynthesis may be stimulated by endopeptidases, such as trypsin [25]. This observation led to the suggestion that CHS enzymes exist in two

states, either zymogenic or active. Yet, the biological significance of these observations is still unclear [18].

Hyaluronan Synthase

Hyaluronan (HA) is ubiquitously expressed among vertebrates and is one of the most abundant glucosaminoglycans in the human body where it represents a major component of the extracellular matrix [27,28]. HA is particularly enriched in soft connective tissues aiding in osmo-regulation, differentiation and cell adhesion and migration, among other functions [27]. It is a linear polysaccharide of strictly alternating NAG and glucuronic acid (GA) units linked via β -1,3 and β -1,4 glycosidic linkages, respectively, Fig. 3. The polymer can reach astonishing lengths, ranging from several hundred to tens of thousand disaccharide units. Importantly, the physiological effects of HA are modulated by its length. As has recently been reviewed [27,29], low and high molecular weight HA exerts opposing physiological effects, including pro or anti-inflammatory and angiogenic properties. However, it is also possible that low molecular weight HA is a consequence, rather than the cause, of inflammation as it can be degraded by reactive oxygen species [30].

HA is also produced by some bacteria and even viruses [31,32]. The identification of bacterial hyaluronan synthases (HAS) by Weigel and colleagues paved the way for a detailed biochemical characterization [33-35]. While bacterial HA (primarily produced by vertebrate pathogens) forms a non-immunogenic capsule component likely to avoid host immune responses, the biological significance of viral HA is currently unclear. Although most bacterial HASs are membrane-integrated enzymes like their eukaryotic counterparts, a second class of bacterial HAS has been identified in *Pasteurella multocida*, that is non-processive and not membrane-integrated [36].

HAS are small membrane-integrated GTs

Compared to cellulose, chitin and alginate synthases, HASs are remarkable enzymes with unique properties. First, HAS recognizes two different substrates, UDP-NAG and -GA; second, the enzyme catalysis the formation of β -1,3 and β -1,4 linkages; third, HA has a strictly alternating NAG-GA sequence; and fourth, HAS is necessary and sufficient to catalyze the synthesis and membrane translocation of HA [10].

Bacterial and vertebrate HAS differ with respect to their predicted TM topologies, containing 4-5 and 6-7 TM regions, respectively. The enzymes share a conserved cytosolic GT domain, usually located between TMHs 2 and 3. Radiation inactivation analyses on *Streptococcal* HAS showed that the enzyme functions as a monomer [34], however, recent immuno-precipitation analyses on human HAS suggest homo-oligomerization of the enzyme [36].

Studies on bacterial HAS provided the first biochemical evidence for the dual functionality of processive GTs. Hubbard and colleagues reconstituted purified *Streptococcal* HAS into proteoliposomes for HA translocation studies [10]. By initiating HA biosynthesis with substrates added to the outside of the lipid vesicles, this study demonstrated that the newly synthesized HA indeed accumulated in the vesicle lumen, thereby corroborating that bacterial HAS is necessary and sufficient for the synthesis and translocation of HA [10,11].

In contrast to most other processive family-2 GTs characterized to date, HAS appears to elongate the reducing end of HA. This was demonstrated using primarily pulse-chase labeling of HA produced by bacterial and vertebrate enzymes and requires that the growing HA polymer is attached to UDP [37-41]. Hence, UDP-activated GA and NAG would function as the acceptors during glycosyl transfer and not as donors [10]. Further structural and functional analyses are required to corroborate this mechanism and to delineate architectural adaptations of HAS that distinguish it from other processive family-2 GTs.

Regulation of HAS activity

Human HAS isoforms 1, 2 and 3 have been reported to produce HA polymers of different molecular weight [42], while evidence for length regulation of bacterial HA is sparse. Transcriptional control of *has* genes and the availability of substrates might be one mechanism by which HA polymerization is controlled [43]. The biosyntheses of UDP-activated GA and NAG depend on the cytosolic glucose concentration as well as the regulation of enzymes required for their biosyntheses. For example, over-expression of *S. equisimilis* HAS together with UDP-glucose 6-dehydrogenase, glucose-1-P uridylyltransferase, and NAG-uridylyltransferase in *E. coli* led to the accumulation of high titers of HA in the growth medium [44]. In addition, Kumari and colleagues identified two conserved polar amino acids in *Streptococcal* HAS TMH2 and TMH4 that are crucial for the biosynthesis of high MW HA [45] and Pummil and DeAngelis identified Ser77 in *Xenopus* HAS1 as a critical residue for HA length regulation [46]. HAS1 mutants carrying a point mutation at position 77 either produced lower or higher MW HA, depending on the amino acid introduced.

Posttranslational modifications

In vivo and *in vitro* studies on vertebrate HAS have shown that the enzymes can be post-translationally phosphorylated, glycosylated and ubiquitinated [47-49]. For example, human HAS2 is glycosylated on Ser221 with NAG (O-GlcNAc), which increases the enzyme's activity and stability [50]. Human HAS3 expressed in COS-7 cells is phosphorylated at one or more serine positions with unknown impact on enzymatic function [49]. In another study, mouse HAS2, which is essential for normal fetal development, was shown to be mono-ubiquitinated on Lys190 [48]. Replacing Lys190 with Arg abolished the *in vitro* activity of the enzyme. Lys190 belongs to a "GKR" motif of the GT domain that is conserved among bacterial and eukaryotic HAS, supporting its putative regulatory role, Fig. 2.

Alginate Synthase

Alginate is a major component of the cell wall in brown algae but is also produced by some bacteria as a biofilm component. In particular, alginate production by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis patients correlates with poor prognosis and increased morbidity.

Alginate is a hetero-polysaccharide of β -1,4 linked D-mannuronic acid interspersed with L-guluronic acid units [51], Fig. 3. Guluronic acid is the C5 epimer of mannuronic acid. In bacteria and algae, it is introduced into the polymer by epimerization of mannuronic acid units by a soluble epimerase after the secretion of

the polymer across the plasma membrane [52,53]. The distribution of these two units in the polymer varies between species [54], but usually consists of homogenic regions of the same sugar joined by heterogenic regions. The polymer can further be acetylated in the periplasm on the mannuronic acid's C2 and C3 hydroxyl groups [55].

Alginate biosynthesis in gram-negative bacteria requires a multi-component complex that likely spans the inner and outer membrane (recently reviewed by Howell and Whitney [56]). At the inner membrane, a complex of the GT Alg8 and the non-catalytic Alg44 subunits forms the active synthase that binds the substrate GDP-mannuronic acid and appears to be sufficient for alginate synthesis and membrane translocation [57]. Transport across the outer membrane is achieved by a complex of the outer membrane porin AlgE and periplasmic AlgK subunits [58]. It is currently unknown whether the Alg8/Alg44 complex directly interacts with the outer membrane AlgK/E components [59]. An attractive model would be that alginate interacts with an ensemble of modifying enzymes within the periplasm and that these subunits chaperone the polymer from the inner to the outer membrane [56,60].

Regulation of alginate biosynthesis

Alg8 contains 5 predicted TMHs with the GT domain between TMH2 and 3 [57]. The subunit is catalytically inactive in the absence of Alg44 [61], which contains a single TMH framed by an intracellular N-terminal PilZ domain and a periplasmic C-terminal domain. PilZ domains, first identified as regulatory components of cell motility, bind the bacterial signaling molecule cyclic-di-GMP, which affects many cellular processes, including biofilm formation [62]. Similar to bacterial alginate production, synthesis of bacterial cellulose is allosterically activated by cyclic-di-GMP [7,63]. Here, the activator binds to a PilZ domain located at the C terminus of the catalytic cellulose synthase subunit BcsA. Cyclic-di-GMP binding affects the accessibility of BcsA's active site via a "gating loop" [3-5]. How cyclic-di-GMP regulates alginate biosynthesis is currently unknown but is particularly important for the development of novel antimicrobial therapeutics.

PNAG Synthase

Poly-NAG (PNAG) is an extracellular homo-polysaccharide frequently found in bacterial biofilms [64,65]. It is produced by the Pga machinery consisting of the PgaABCD proteins [66,67] and is formed from UDP-activated NAG that is polymerized via β -1,6 linkages, Fig. 3.

Similar to bacterial alginate and cellulose synthases, the Pga complex likely spans the inner and outer bacterial membrane [56]. PgaC and PgaD form an inner membrane-integrated complex. PgaC is the catalytic GT containing 4-5 predicted TMHs. PgaD likely contains two TMHs with a short periplasmic loop and small cytosolic C terminus. PgaA is an outer membrane porin similar to AlgE [58] and might associate with PgaB, a periplasmic lipoprotein that is likely anchored to the outer membrane. PgaB deacetylates a small fraction of the polymer's NAG units [68]. Production of PNAG strongly depends on the presence of cyclic-di-GMP, similar to alginate and cellulose biosynthesis [69]; however, PgaC or D lack classical cyclic-di-

GMP-binding domains [62]. With a clever cross-linking and mutagenesis approach, Steiner and colleagues demonstrated that cyclic-di-GMP interacts with and stabilizes a PgaCD complex [69]. In the absence of cyclic-di-GMP, PgaCD dissociates, rendering PgaD prone to proteolysis. It is thus likely that allosteric activation of PNAG biosynthesis by cyclic-di-GMP is based on stabilization of the PgaCD interaction [5].

BcsA as a model for processive GTs?

Biochemical and structural analyses suggest that processive family-2 GTs directly couple polysaccharide synthesis and secretion, Fig. 1. Structural analyses on bacterial cellulose synthase revealed that this is achieved by a tight association of the GT-domain with pore forming TMHs [3]. The cellulose synthase structure demonstrates that the binding site for the acceptor (i.e. the cellulose's non-reducing terminal glucose unit) is formed directly at the entrance to the TM-channel, such that the elongated polysaccharide translocates into the TM pore rather than dissociates from the enzyme [5], Fig. 4. The interface between the GT domain and TM channel is formed by amphipathic interface helices, one of which contains the Q/LxxRW motif implicated in acceptor binding [3].

A sequence comparison of cellulose, chitin, HA, alginate and PNAG synthases is difficult based on primary sequences alone. However, considering the sequence conservation of the GT domains, predicted TM topologies and secondary structures, as well as experimental topology studies [57,70], we aligned the sequences of selected GT-2 family members, Fig. 2.

Interestingly, TMH predictions [70] of the region directly C-terminal of the GT domains of HA, alginate and chitin synthases predict either a long TMH or two TMHs linked via a tight 2-3 residue long extracellular loop. This region corresponds to TMH5 in BcsA [4], which forms a cytosolic interface helix over its N terminal half and inserts into the membrane past a conserved Pro residue. The amphipathic portion of the TMH is part of the TM channel entrance. Thus, it is possible that a curved TMH is a common feature of processive GTs. The sequence alignment shown in Fig. 2 can only be a cautious attempt to compare BcsA with other processive enzymes but should aid in the design of biochemical experiments to further characterize these exceedingly important enzymes.

The limited space available precluded discussing many other polysaccharides formed by processive GTs, including bacterial acetylated cellulose, mixed linkage glucans in plants and bacteria, as well as curdlan.

Acknowledgements

We apologize to all colleagues whose work was not cited in this review, due to space limitations. P.P. and J.Z. are supported by the Center for LignoCellulose Structure and Formation, Energy Frontier Research Center, US Department of Energy, Office of Science, Grant DE-SC0001090. Y.B. is supported by the National Institutes of Health, Grant 1R01GM110143 and C.H. received financial support from a Cell and Molecular Biology Training Grant, National Institutes of Health, T32 GM008136.

Abbreviations

GT: Glycosyltransferase

CHS: Chitin Synthase

HAS: Hyaluronan synthase

GA: Glucuronic acid

NAG: N-acetylglucosamine

PNAG: poly-N-acetylglucosamine

Figure Legends

Figure 1

Membrane-integrated processive GTs synthesize and secrete diverse polysaccharides. The synthases may be part of multi-component complexes or function on their own. The catalytically active subunits (colored brown) share an intracellular GT and a membrane-integrated domain. Alginate consists of mannuronic (yellow) and guluronic acid (green), cellulose of glucose (beige), PNAG of NAG (gray), HA of NAG and GA (magenta), and chitin of NAG units. A dashed circle indicates the binding site for the signaling molecule cyclic-di-GMP. Lower panel: The enzymes catalyze the transfer of a nucleotide diphosphate (NDP)-activated sugar (black hexagon) to another glycosyl unit, thereby generate NDP as a second reaction product. Among the synthases shown, HAS is the only enzyme that appears to elongate the polymer at its reducing end, thereby generating an UDP-attached polysaccharide.

Figure 2

Sequence alignment and predicted secondary structure of selected family-2 GTs. A: Predicted TM topology of *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* BcsA, *Homo sapiens* HAS2, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Alg8, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* PgaC, and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* CHS3. Topology diagrams are shown from the N to the C terminus, labeled N and C for BcsA. GT: Glycosyltransferase domain. The membrane region is shown as a blue rectangle. Topologies were predicted with TOPCONS [71]. B: Multiple sequence alignment of the sequences used in A. The sequences were aligned in CLUSTALW [72] and predicted TM topologies and secondary structures were used to manually refine the alignment. Predicted TM helices and secondary structure elements are shown as gray bars (TM helices) and green columns and yellow arrows for α -helices and β -strands, respectively. Conserved sequence motifs are framed with a red box and numbered 1 through 4.

Figure 3

Chemical diversity of polysaccharides. Coordinates for the shown oligosaccharides were obtained and adjusted from pdb entries 1P00 (cellulose), 3AFL (alginate), 2JCQ (HA), 4P7R (PNAG), and 3WH1 (chitin). The carbon atoms of the individual sugars are colored as in Figure 1, oxygen and nitrogen atoms are colored red and blue, respectively. The polymer's reducing ends are labeled with an asterisk.

Figure 4

Pore organization of bacterial cellulose synthase subunit BcsA. BcsA's TMHs are shown as a gray surface with the exception of TMH5 and IF2, which contains the "QxxRW" motif. The GT domain is shown as a blue cartoon and cellulose and UDP are shown as sticks with blue and yellow carbon atoms, respectively. Selected residues belonging to the conserved DDG (D180), DxD (D246 and 248), TED (D343), and Q/LxxRW (W383) motifs are shown as sticks. Cellulose synthase elongates the polymer at the non-reducing end, which is stabilized at the acceptor site within the catalytic pocket.

References

- 1.●● Lairson LL, Henrissat B, Davies GJ, Withers SG: **Glycosyltransferases: structures, functions, and mechanisms.** *Annu Rev Biochem* 2008, **77**:521-555.
A comprehensive review of the structures, functions and reaction mechanisms of glycosyltransferases.
2. McFarlane HE, Döring A, Persson S: **The Cell Biology of Cellulose Synthesis.** *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 2014, **65**:69-94.
3. McNamara J, Morgan, JLW., Zimmer, J.: **A molecular description of cellulose biosynthesis.** *Annu Rev Biochem* 2015, **84**:17.11-17.27.
- 4.●● Morgan J, Strumillo J, Zimmer J: **Crystallographic snapshot of cellulose synthesis and membrane translocation.** *Nature* 2013, **493**:181-186.
The crystal structure of bacterial cellulose synthase provides the first insights into the mechanism of processive GTs.
- 5.●● Morgan JLW, McNamara JT, Zimmer J: **Mechanism of activation of bacterial cellulose synthase by cyclic di-GMP.** *Nature Struct Mol Biol* 2014, **21**:489-496.
The structure of cyclic-di-GMP bound to bacterial cellulose synthase reveals how cyclic-di-GMP allosterically activates cellulose biosynthesis.
6. Cantarel B, Coutinho P, Rancurel C, Bernard T: **The Carbohydrate-Active EnZymes database (CAZy): an expert resource for Glycogenomics.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 2009, **37**:D233-238.
- 7.● Omadjela O, Narahari A, Strumillo J, Mérida H, Mazur O, Bulone V, Zimmer J: **BcsA and BcsB form the catalytically active core of bacterial cellulose synthase sufficient for in vitro cellulose synthesis.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2013, **110**(44):17856-17861.
A detailed in vitro biochemical analysis of bacterial cellulose biosynthesis.
- 8.● Tlapak-Simmons VL, Baron CA, Weigel PH: **Characterization of the purified hyaluronan synthase from *Streptococcus equisimilis*.** *Biochemistry* 2004, **43**:9234-9242.
A detailed characterization of purified bacterial HAS.
9. Merzendorfer H: **Insect chitin synthases: a review.** *J Com Physiol B* 2006, **176**:1-15.
- 10.●● Hubbard C, McNamara J, Azumaya C, Patel M, Zimmer J: **The hyaluronan synthase catalyzes the synthesis and membrane translocation of hyaluronan.** *J Mol Biol* 2012, **418**:21-31.
A HA biosynthesis assay is described that reveals that HAS is necessary and sufficient for HA synthesis and membrane translocation.
11. Tlapak-Simmons VL, Baggenstoss BA, Clyne T, Weigel PH: **Purification and lipid dependence of the recombinant hyaluronan synthases from *Streptococcus pyogenes* and *Streptococcus equisimilis*.** *J Biol Chem* 1999, **274**:4239-4245.
- 12.● Saxena IM, Brown RM, Dandekar T: **Structure-function characterization of cellulose synthase: relationship to other glycosyltransferases.** *Phytochemistry* 2001, **57**:1135-1148.

- A primary sequence comparison reveals commonalities and differences between processive GTs and highlights the conservation of the Q/LxxRW motif.
13. Ruiz-Herrera J, Gonzalez-Prieto JM, Ruiz-Medrano R: **Evolution and phylogenetic relationships of chitin synthases from yeasts and fungi.** *FEMS Yeast Res* 2002, **1**:247-256.
 14. Dorfmueller HC, Ferenbach AT, Borodkin VS, van Aalten DM: **A structural and biochemical model of processive chitin synthesis.** *J Biol Chem* 2014, **289**:23020-23028.
 15. Kamst E, Bakkers J, Quaedvlieg NE, Pilling J, Kijne JW, Lugtenberg BJ, Spalink HP: **Chitin oligosaccharide synthesis by rhizobia and zebrafish embryos starts by glycosyl transfer to O4 of the reducing-terminal residue.** *Biochemistry* 1999, **38**:4045-4052.
 16. Sugiyama J, Boisset C, Hashimoto M, Watanabe T: **Molecular directionality of beta-chitin biosynthesis.** *J Mol Biol* 1999, **286**:247-255.
 17. Minke R, Blackwell J: **The structure of alpha-chitin.** *J Mol Biol* 1978, **120**:167-181.
 18. Merzendorfer H: **The cellular basis of chitin synthesis in fungi and insects: common principles and differences.** *Eur J Cell Biol* 2011, **90**:759-769.
 19. Carpita NC: **Update on mechanisms of plant cell wall biosynthesis: how plants make cellulose and other (1->4)-beta-D-glycans.** *Plant Physiol* 2011, **155**:171-184.
 20. Bulawa CE: **Genetics and molecular biology of chitin synthesis in fungi.** *Annu Rev Microbiol* 1993, **47**:505-534.
 21. Silverman SJ: **Similar and different domains of chitin synthases 1 and 2 of *S. cerevisiae*: two isozymes with distinct functions.** *Yeast* 1989, **5**:459-467.
 22. Becker HF, Piffeteau A, Thellend A: **Saccharomyces cerevisiae chitin biosynthesis activation by N-acetylchitooses depends on size and structure of chito-oligosaccharides.** *BMC Res Notes* 2011, **4**:454.
 23. Shaw JA, Mol PC, Bowers B, Silverman SJ, Valdivieso MH, Duran A, Cabib E: **The function of chitin synthases 2 and 3 in the Saccharomyces cerevisiae cell cycle.** *J Cell Biol* 1991, **114**:111-123.
 24. Teh EM, Chai CC, Yeong FM: **Retention of Chs2p in the ER requires N-terminal CDK1-phosphorylation sites.** *Cell Cycle* 2009, **8**:2964-2974.
 25. Martinez-Rucobo FW, Eckhardt-Strelau L, Terwisscha van Scheltinga AC: **Yeast chitin synthase 2 activity is modulated by proteolysis and phosphorylation.** *Biochem J* 2009, **417**:547-554.
 26. Roncero C: **The genetic complexity of chitin synthesis in fungi.** *Curr Genet* 2002, **41**:367-378.
 27. • Girish KS, Kemparaju K: **The magic glue hyaluronan and its eraser hyaluronidase: a biological overview.** *Life Sci* 2007, **80**:1921-1943.
A detailed review of HA's many physiological functions and its implication in diseases.
 28. • Weigel PH, Deangelis PL: **Hyaluronan synthases: a decade-plus of novel glycosyltransferases.** *J Biol Chem* 2007, **282**:36777-36781.
A comprehensive review of HA biosynthesis.

29. Toole BP: **Hyaluronan: from extracellular glue to pericellular cue.** *Nat Rev Cancer* 2004, **4**:528-539.
30. Menzel EJ, Farr C: **Hyaluronidase and its substrate hyaluronan: biochemistry, biological activities and therapeutic uses.** *Cancer Lett* 1998, **131**:3-11.
31. DeAngelis PL: **Microbial glycosaminoglycan glycosyltransferases.** *Glycobiology* 2002, **12**:9R-16R.
32. DeAngelis P, Jing W, Graves M, Burbank D, Van Etten J: **Hyaluronan synthase of chlorella virus PBCV-1.** *Science* 1997, **278**:1800-1803.
The first biochemical characterization of viral HA biosynthesis highlights differences and commonalities with bacterial and eukaryotic HASs.
33. Kumari K, Weigel PH: **Molecular cloning, expression, and characterization of the authentic hyaluronan synthase from group C Streptococcus equisimilis.** *J Biol Chem* 1997, **272**:32539-32546.
34. Tlapak-Simmons VL, Kempner ES, Baggenstoss BA, Weigel PH: **The active streptococcal hyaluronan synthases (HASs) contain a single HAS monomer and multiple cardiolipin molecules.** *J Biol Chem* 1998, **273**:26100-26109.
35. DeAngelis PL, Papaconstantinou J, Weigel PH: **Molecular cloning, identification, and sequence of the hyaluronan synthase gene from group A Streptococcus pyogenes.** *J Biol Chem* 1993, **268**:19181-19184.
The identification and biochemical characterization of bacterial HAS is described, representing the first characterization of a HAS overall.
36. DeAngelis PL, Jing W, Drake RR, Achyuthan AM: **Identification and molecular cloning of a unique hyaluronan synthase from Pasteurella multocida.** *J Biol Chem* 1998, **273**:8454-8458.
37. Prehm P: **Synthesis of hyaluronate in differentiated teratocarcinoma cells. Mechanism of chain growth.** *Biochem J* 1983, **211**:191-198.
38. Tlapak-Simmons VL, Baron CA, Gotschall R, Haque D, Canfield WM, Weigel PH: **Hyaluronan biosynthesis by class I streptococcal hyaluronan synthases occurs at the reducing end.** *J Biol Chem* 2005, **280**:13012-13018.
39. Prehm P: **Biosynthesis of hyaluronan: direction of chain elongation.** *Biochem J* 2006, **398**:469-473.
40. Weigel PH, West CM, Zhao P, Wells L, Baggenstoss BA, Washburn JL: **Hyaluronan synthase assembles chitin oligomers with -GlcNAc(alpha1-->)UDP at the reducing end.** *Glycobiology* 2015, **25**(6):632-643.
41. Bodevin-Authelet S, Kusche-Gullberg M, Pummill PE, DeAngelis PL, Lindahl U: **Biosynthesis of hyaluronan: direction of chain elongation.** *J Biol Chem* 2005, **280**:8813-8818.
42. Itano N, Sawai T, Yoshida M, Lenas P, Yamada Y, Imagawa M, Shinomura T, Hamaguchi M, Yoshida Y, Ohnuki Y, et al.: **Three isoforms of mammalian hyaluronan synthases have distinct enzymatic properties.** *J Biol Chem* 1999, **274**:25085-25092.
43. Jacobson A, Brinck J, Briskin MJ, Spicer AP, Heldin P: **Expression of human hyaluronan synthases in response to external stimuli.** *Biochem J* 2000, **348 Pt 1**:29-35.

44. Yu H, Stephanopoulos G: **Metabolic engineering of Escherichia coli for biosynthesis of hyaluronic acid.** *Metabolic Engineering* 2008, **10**:24-32.
45. Kumari K, Baggenstoss BA, Parker AL, Weigel PH: **Mutation of two intramembrane polar residues conserved within the hyaluronan synthase family alters hyaluronan product size.** *J Biol Chem* 2006, **281**:11755-11760.
46. Pummill PE, DeAngelis PL: **Alteration of polysaccharide size distribution of a vertebrate hyaluronan synthase by mutation.** *J Biol Chem* 2003, **278**:19808-19814.
47. Tammi RH, Passi AG, Rilla K, Karousou E, Vigetti D, Makkonen K, Tammi MI: **Transcriptional and post-translational regulation of hyaluronan synthesis.** *FEBS J* 2011, **278**:1419-1428.
48. Karousou E, Kamiryo M, Skandalis SS, Ruusala A, Asteriou T, Passi A, Yamashita H, Hellman U, Heldin C-H, Heldin P: **The activity of hyaluronan synthase 2 is regulated by dimerization and ubiquitination.** *J Biol Chem* 2010, **285**:23647-23654.
49. Goentzel BJ, Weigel PH, Steinberg RA: **Recombinant human hyaluronan synthase 3 is phosphorylated in mammalian cells.** *Biochem J* 2006, **396**:347-354.
50. Vigetti D, Deleonibus S, Moretto P, Karousou E, Viola M, Bartolini B, Hascall VC, Tammi M, De Luca G, Passi A: **Role of UDP-N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) and O-GlcNAcylation of hyaluronan synthase 2 in the control of chondroitin sulfate and hyaluronan synthesis.** *J Biol Chem* 2012, **287**:35544-35555.
51. Haug A, Larsen B: **Biosynthesis of alginate. Epimerisation of d-mannuronic to l-guluronic acid residues in the polymer chain.** *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1969, **192**:557-559.
52. Jain S, Franklin MJ, Ertesvåg H, Valla S, Ohman DE: **The dual roles of AlgG in C-5-epimerization and secretion of alginate polymers in Pseudomonas aeruginosa.** *Mol Microbiol* 2003, **47**:1123-1133.
53. Nyvall P, Corre E, Boisset C, Barbeyron T, Rousvoal S, Scornet D, Kloareg B, Boyen C: **Characterization of Mannuronan C-5-Epimerase Genes from the Brown Alga Laminaria digitata.** *Plant Physiol* 2003, **133**:726-35.
54. Haug A, Larsen B, Smidsrød O: **Uronic acid sequence in alginate from different sources.** *Carbohydrate Res* 1974, **32**:217-225.
55. Davidson IW, Sutherland IW, Lawson CJ: **Localization of O-Acetyl Groups of Bacterial Alginate.** *J Gen Microbiol* 1977, **98**, 603-606.
56. Whitney JC, Howell PL: **Synthase-dependent exopolysaccharide secretion in Gram-negative bacteria.** *Trends Microbiol* 2013, **21**:63-72.
57. Oglesby LL, Jain S, Ohman DE: **Membrane topology and roles of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Alg8 and Alg44 in alginate polymerization.** *Microbiology* 2008, **154**:1605-1615.
PhoA fusion constructs are used to determine the TM topology of Alg8.
58. Whitney JC, Hay ID, Li C, Eckford PD, Robinson H, Amaya MF, Wood LF, Ohman DE, Bear CE, Rehm BH: **Structural basis for alginate secretion across the bacterial outer membrane.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2011, **108**:13083-13088.

59. Jain S, Ohman DE: **Deletion of algK in Mucoïd Pseudomonas aeruginosa Blocks Alginate Polymer Formation and Results in Uronic Acid Secretion.** *J Bacteriol* 1998, **180**(3):634-641.
60. Rehman ZU, Wang Y, Moradali MF, Hay ID, Rehm BHA: **Insights into the Assembly of the Alginate Biosynthesis Machinery in Pseudomonas aeruginosa.** *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2013, **79**:3264-3272.
61. Remminghorst U, Rehm BHA: **Alg44, a unique protein required for alginate biosynthesis in Pseudomonas aeruginosa.** *FEBS letters* 2006, **580**:3883-3888.
62. Römling UU, Galperin MYM, Gomelsky MM: **Cyclic di-GMP: the First 25 Years of a Universal Bacterial Second Messenger.** *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 2013, **77**:1-52.
A comprehensive review of cyclic-di-GMP signaling and its cellular effects.
63. Ross P, Weinhouse H, Aloni Y, Michaeli D, Weinberger-Ohana P, Mayer R, Braun S, de Vroom E, van der Marel GA, van Boom JH, et al.: **Regulation of cellulose synthesis in Acetobacter xylinum by cyclic diguanylic acid.** *Nature* 1987, **325**:279-281.
Discovery of cyclic-di-GMP based on its ability to activate bacterial cellulose biosynthesis.
64. Choi AHK, Slamti L, Avci FY, Pier GB, Maira-Litrán T: **The pgaABCD locus of Acinetobacter baumannii encodes the production of poly-beta-1-6-N-acetylglucosamine, which is critical for biofilm formation.** *J Bacteriol* 2009, **191**:5953-5963.
65. Arciola CR, Campoccia D, Ravaioli S, Montanaro L: **Polysaccharide intercellular adhesin in biofilm: structural and regulatory aspects.** *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 2015, **5**:7.
66. Itoh Y, Rice J, Goller C, Pannuri A, Taylor J, Meisner J, Beveridge T, Preston Jr, Romeo T: **Roles of pgaABCD genes in synthesis, modification, and export of the Escherichia coli biofilm adhesin poly-beta-1,6-N-acetyl-D-glucosamine.** *J Bacteriol* 2008, **190**:3670-3680.
67. Wang X, Preston JF, 3rd, Romeo T: **The pgaABCD locus of Escherichia coli promotes the synthesis of a polysaccharide adhesin required for biofilm formation.** *J Bacteriol* 2004, **186**:2724-2734.
Identification of the genes involved in PNAG biosynthesis in E. coli.
68. Little DJ, Bamford NC, Pokrovskaya V, Robinson H, Nitz M, Howell PL: **Structural Basis for the De-N-acetylation of Poly-β-1,6-N-acetyl-d-glucosamine in Gram-positive Bacteria.** *J Biol Chem* 2014, **289**:35907-35917.
69. Steiner S, Lori C, Boehm A, Jenal U: **Allosteric activation of exopolysaccharide synthesis through cyclic di-GMP-stimulated protein-protein interaction.** *EMBO J* 2013, **32**:354-368.
Characterization of the activation of PNAG biosynthesis by cyclic-di-GMP and its interaction with and stabilization of the PgaCD complex.
70. Heldermon C, DeAngelis PL, Weigel PH: **Topological organization of the hyaluronan synthase from Streptococcus pyogenes.** *J Biol Chem* 2001, **276**:2037-2046.

71. Bernsel A, Viklund H, Hennerdal A, Elofsson A: **TOPCONS: consensus prediction of membrane protein topology.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 2009, **37**:W465-468.
72. Larkin MA, Blackshields G, Brown NP, Chenna R, McGettigan PA, McWilliam H, Valentin F, Wallace IM, Wilm A, Lopez R, et al.: **Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0.** *Bioinformatics* 2007, **23**:2947-2948.

Figure_1

Figure_2

Figure_3

Figure_4