High-Surface-Area, Iron-Oxide Films Prepared by Atomic Layer Deposition on γ -Al₂O₃

Tzia Ming Onn ^a, Matteo Monai ^b, Sheng Dai ^c, Lisandra Arroyo-Ramirez ^a, Shuyi Zhang ^c,
Xiaoqing Pan ^{c,d}, George W. Graham ^c, Paolo Fornasiero ^b, Raymond J. Gorte ^{a,*}.

*Corresponding Author, Email: gorte@seas.upenn.edu

Abstract:

High-surface-area iron oxides were prepared by Atomic Layer Deposition (ALD) on 130-m²/g γ -Al₂O₃ for use as a catalyst support. Measurements of the sample mass, surface area, and pore-size distribution as a function of the number of ferrocene-O₂ ALD cycles at 623 K suggested that the iron oxide grew as a dense, conformal film with a growth rate similar to 0.016-nm per cycle. While films with 20 ALD cycles (20Fe₂O₃-Al₂O₃, 0.25 g Fe₂O₃/g Al₂O₃) were difficult to distinguish by HAADF STEM, EDS mapping indicated the Al₂O₃ was uniformly coated. Raman Spectroscopy showed the films were in the form of Fe₂O₃; but XRD measurements on samples with as many as 100 ALD cycles (100Fe₂O₃-Al₂O₃, 0.84 g Fe₂O₃/g Al₂O₃) showed no evidence for crystalline iron-oxide phases, even after calcination at 1073 K. Specific rates for the water-gas-shift (WGS) reaction on the ALD-coated samples were significantly lower than those on bulk Fe₂O₃. However, addition of 1 wt.% Pd to Fe₂O₃/Al₂O₃ supports prepared by ALD exhibited specific rates that were much higher than that observed when 1 wt.% Pd was added to Fe₂O₃/Al₂O₃ prepared by conventional impregnation of Fe salts, suggesting more uniform contact between the Pd and FeO_x phases on samples prepared by ALD.

Keywords: Iron Oxide, Atomic Layer Deposition, Palladium, Water Gas Shift, Redox Properties, Thermal Stability

Introduction:

Reducible oxides are of great interest as both catalysts and as functional catalyst supports. An important example of this is ceria-zirconia mixed oxides, which are used as the oxygen-storage component in automotive, three-way catalysts [1,2]. Another is iron oxide, which is used as a high-temperature, water-gas-shift (WGS) catalyst, either by itself or together with a metal promoter, such as Cu [3,4], Ru [5,6], or Pd [7]. Iron oxide has also been reported to promote other reactions when used as a support [8-14]. A major problem with these and other reducible oxides is that their surface areas tend to be low and to decrease with time. A typical surface area for ceria-zirconia mixed oxides under working conditions is only $2 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ [15]. Commercial iron-oxide, WGS catalysts can have initial surface areas between 30 and $100 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ due to the addition of Cr_2O_3 or Al_2O_3 structural promoters; but loss of iron-oxide surface area remains a major cause for deactivation [16]. Furthermore, chromia toxicity is a cause for concern during catalyst manufacture and handling, so that there would be benefits to avoiding its use [16].

A common strategy for achieving high-surface-area functional oxides is to disperse them on a more stable support, such as alumina or zirconia [17,18]. When these functional oxides are added by conventional impregnation, they tend to form larger crystallites, so that the actual active surface area may not be greatly enhanced. However, some of us have recently shown that uniform, thin ceria or zirconia films can be deposited onto high-surface-area alumina by Atomic Layer Deposition (ALD) [19,20]. These films showed remarkable thermal stability, apparently due to interactions between the alumina and the supported oxides. Furthermore, when the ALD-prepared, ceria-alumina was used as a support for Pd, the catalytic activities for WGS and CO-oxidation reactions were similar to that of a Pd/ceria catalyst, but with much better thermal stability. These results suggest that it may be possible to prepare composite supports for other oxides, such as iron oxide.

In ALD, an organometallic precursor is first allowed to react with the substrate, alumina in our case, at a temperature below that at which Chemical Vapor Deposition occurs. Reaction stops at the monolayer coverage because the precursor does not react with itself. After removing excess precursor from the gas phase, the adsorbed precursor is oxidized. A film of desired thickness is prepared by repeating this cycle as many times as desired [21,22]. With high-surface area supports, the practical film thickness cannot be greater than one or two nanometers. For example, the Fe_2O_3 loading corresponding to a 1-nm film with bulk properties on a $100 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$

substrate would be 0.52 g Fe₂O₃/g of substrate. Even if decreases in pore size were not an issue, the added mass of the sample would significantly decrease the specific surface area of the catalyst.

In the present work, we investigated the formation and catalytic properties of FeO_x films prepared by ALD on a high-surface-area Al₂O₃. We demonstrate that growth of conformal oxide films is possible when ALD is performed in a static system. Furthermore, these films can be used as high-surface area supports for metal catalysts.

Experimental Methods:

The high-surface-area, iron-oxide films were prepared by ALD using a home-built apparatus that has been described in detail previously [23,24]. The apparatus is essentially a high-temperature adsorption system that can be evacuated with a mechanical vacuum pump to approximately 10⁻³ Torr. It consists of two chambers, one containing the ferrocene (Fe(Cp)₂, Sigma Aldrich) precursor and the other the Al₂O₃ substrate. These chambers were separated from each other and from the vacuum pump and an O₂ source by high-temperature valves. The chamber containing the Al₂O₃ substrate is a quartz tube with dimensions 0.25 inch in diameter and 3 inch in length. The deposition conditions were adopted from previous publications [25]. After evacuating the chamber containing the Fe(Cp)₂ precursor at room temperature, it was heated to 393 K to produce a Fe(Cp)₂ vapor pressure of about 5 Torr. During the deposition cycle, the Fe(Cp)₂ vapor was introduced to the evacuated sample chamber, which contained approximately 0.5 g Al₂O₃. The alumina substrate was exposed to the precursor vapor at 623 K for 300 s to ensure that reaction with the surface was complete but pressure changes indicated that adsorption was complete in about 10 s. In one experiment, the growth rate was shown to be unchanged when the sample was exposed to Fe(Cp)₂ vapor, then evacuated, multiple times between oxidation steps. After evacuation, the alumina substrate was oxidized by exposing it to 200 Torr of O₂ for 300 s. The high temperature was required primarily to ensure that the adsorbed precursor was completely oxidized upon exposure to O₂. Throughout this paper, ALD-prepared samples will be designated by the number of ALD cycles that were used, e.g. 40Fe₂O₃-Al₂O₃ refers to a sample exposed to 40 Fe(Cp)₂-O₂ cycles.

The alumina substrate in this study was a γ -Al₂O₃ (Strem Chemicals, Inc.), stabilized by calcination in air to 1173 K for 24 h. The BET surface area after this pretreatment was 130 m²/g.

A bulk Fe_2O_3 powder was prepared by precipitating an aqueous solution of $\text{Fe}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$ with excess ammonium hydroxide (NH_4OH , Fisher Scientific), followed by calcination to 673 K for 6 h. For comparison to ALD-prepared samples, we also prepared a $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(\text{IMP})/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ sample by impregnation of $\text{Fe}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$, followed by calcination to 673 K for 6 h to remove all nitrates. Catalysts containing 1 wt.% Pd were synthesized by incipient wetness using aqueous solutions of tetraaminepalladium(II) nitrate (Sigma Aldrich). Metal-containing catalysts were dried overnight at 333 K and calcined at 773 K in air for 6 h to remove any organics and nitrates.

The Pd dispersions were determined volumetrically using CO adsorption uptakes at room temperature. In all cases, the samples were pretreated by oxidation in 200 Torr O_2 at 673 K, followed by reduction in 200 Torr H_2 at 423 K. To characterize film growth during ALD, we measured the sample weights and BET surface areas as a function of the number of ALD cycles using N_2 adsorption at 78 K in a home-built adsorption system. Pore-size distribution measurements on a few selected samples were obtained from N_2 adsorption-desorption isotherms at 78 K using a Micrometrics ASAP 2020 system. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on a Rigaku Smartlab diffractometer equipped with a Cu $\text{K}\alpha$ source ($\lambda = 0.15416$ nm). The elemental compositions of selected samples were measured by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Optical Emission Spectrometry (ICP-OES) performed on a Spectro Genesis spectrometer with a concentric nebulizer. For the ICP-OES measurement, each sample (~50 mg) was dissolved in a 5 mL solution of Aqua Regia overnight. The solutions were then diluted with a 10 wt.% HNO_3 solution to the appropriate concentration before the ICP analysis.

Ex-situ scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) was performed on powder specimens that had been sonicated in methanol and dropped onto carbon support films on copper TEM grids (Ted Pella, Inc.) for TEM examination. Specimens were initially examined with a JEOL 3100R05 electron microscope with double spherical aberration-correctors operated at 300 kV. Subsequently, elemental mapping via energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) was performed with a JEOL 2800 STEM, operated at 200 kV, with a large angle dual dry solid-state 100 mm^2 detector. Raman spectra were recorded with an Invia Renishaw microspectrometer equipped with He-Ne laser at 532 nm. Raman measurements were carried out with a laser power of 1 mW at the sample and a collection time of 20 s. At least 5 spectra per sample were recorded in order to check the uniformity of the materials.

Steady-state water-gas-shift reaction rates were measured in a 0.25 inch, quartz, tubular reactor, using He as the carrier gas, with partial pressures of CO and H₂O both at 25 Torr (3.3%). The total flow rate of He was kept at 60 mL/min. Before testing, each sample was activated by heating the catalysts to 673 K in the reaction mixture before cooling back to the desired reaction temperature. The mass of catalyst used in every rate measurement was 0.10 g and the products were analyzed using a gas chromatograph (SRI8610C) equipped with a Hayesep Q column and a TCD detector. Differential conversions were maintained in all cases, and the rates were normalized to the mass of the catalyst.

Transient pulse experiments were performed using equipment that has been described elsewhere [26]. The system consists of a tubular reactor equipped with computer-controlled solenoid valves to allow step changes in the composition of the inlet gases. Reactant gases were passed over 200 mg samples in a 0.25 inch quartz tube. The reactor effluent was monitored continuously using an online quadrupole mass spectrometer. The total flow rate of the He carrier gas was kept constant at 25 mL/min, while the concentrations of the reactive component (either CO or O₂) was chosen to be 10% of the total gas stream. Integration of the partial pressures as a function of time allowed accurate determination of the amounts of CO₂ formed during a CO pulse. Prior to taking the pulse data, the samples were treated in 10% O₂ at 673 K for 15 min. This treatment was followed by reduction in 10% CO at 673 K for 10 min and then re-oxidation in 10% O₂ for an additional 15 min. No attempt was made to analyze the shapes of the pulses because coupling between desorption, re-adsorption, reaction, and diffusion does not allow for a unique determination of rate processes in transient experiments of this type.

Results:

Characterization of Fe₂O₃ films on Al₂O₃

The growth of the Fe₂O₃ film was first characterized by measuring the changes in the mass and BET surface area of samples as a function of the number of Fe(Cp)₂-O₂, ALD cycles, with the mass increase shown in Figure 1(a) and the surface areas reported in Figure 1(b). The mass of the iron-oxide films increased with the number of cycles for $N < 50$, but the growth rate decreased at higher coverages. To ensure that the added mass was due to Fe₂O₃, the composition of the samples was verified by ICP-OES. Assuming that Fe₂O₃ forms a dense, uniform film with

bulk density in the low coverage regime, the initial weight gain per cycle corresponded to a growth rate of 0.016 nm/cycle. This value is similar to that reported in the literature for the growth rate of Fe_2O_3 using $\text{Fe}(\text{Cp})_2$ on flat surfaces [27]. After 100 cycles, the $\text{Fe}(\text{Cp})_2$ uptakes dropped to near zero, implying that we were not able to grow Fe_2O_3 films beyond that point.

Figure 1(b) demonstrates that the surface area of the sample decreased in a regular manner with the number of ALD cycles. Initially, most of the loss in specific surface area was due to the increase in sample mass. For example, after 20 ALD cycles, the mass increase was roughly 0.25 g Fe_2O_3 /g Al_2O_3 and the specific surface area decreased from 130 m^2/g to 93 m^2/g . The mass increase alone would change the specific surface area to 104 m^2/g ($130 \text{ m}^2/1.25 \text{ g}$) and the additional decrease is likely due to a decrease in average pore radius. However, after 100 cycles, the same point at which $\text{Fe}(\text{Cp})_2$ uptakes stopped, there was a dramatic decrease in specific surface area to 3 m^2/g . Since the entire pore volume cannot have been filled with Fe_2O_3 , the entrance to the nanopores must have been blocked after 100 cycles, making any internal volume inaccessible to either N_2 or $\text{Fe}(\text{Cp})_2$. That this was the case was further demonstrated by the fact that calcination of this sample in air to 1073 K restored the surface area from 3 m^2/g to 70 m^2/g . Apparently, high-temperature treatment caused some sintering which opened these smaller pores. It is interesting to notice that high-temperature calcination of the 20 Fe_2O_3 - Al_2O_3 sample had only small effect on the surface area, increasing from 93 to 102 m^2/g . This would argue that there is only minimal porosity in the deposited FeO_x films.

To further understand how the ALD films affect the mesopores, the pore-size distributions were measured from the N_2 adsorption isotherm for samples after deposition of 0, 10, 20, and 40 ALD cycles. The isotherms are shown in the Supporting Information section, Figure S1, while average pore sizes and pore size distributions are reported in Table 1 and Figure 2. All the samples showed type IV isotherms, with a bimodal trend, which is most evident for the calcined Al_2O_3 . With the exception of the loss of very large, ~30-nm pores that were present on the initial Al_2O_3 , there were no dramatic changes in the pore sizes with either increasing calcination temperature or increasing number of ALD cycles. The peak in pore-size distribution for the starting Al_2O_3 occurred at 9.6 nm and decreased to 8.5 nm on the 40 Fe_2O_3 - Al_2O_3 sample. From the weight increase after 40 ALD cycles, a uniform, dense Fe_2O_3 film would be 0.72 nm thick, so that cylindrical pores should decrease from 9.6 nm to 8.4 nm, in reasonable agreement with our experimental observations.

XRD results for selected samples are shown in Figure 3, together with the expected peak positions for α -Fe₂O₃ at the bottom. The pattern for the unmodified Al₂O₃ is shown in Figure 3(a) for reference, while diffraction patterns for samples prepared by ALD with low (20Fe₂O₃-Al₂O₃, 0.25 g Fe₂O₃/g Al₂O₃) and high coverages (100Fe₂O₃-Al₂O₃, 0.84 g Fe₂O₃/g Al₂O₃), calcined in air to 1073 K, are shown in Figures 3(b) and 3(c). Even with the addition of these large amounts of Fe₂O₃, there are no obvious diffraction features that can be associated with the Fe. For comparison, Figure 3(d) shows the XRD pattern for the Fe₂O₃(IMP)/Al₂O₃ sample, prepared by impregnation to have 0.84 g Fe₂O₃/g Al₂O₃, similar to the high-loading ALD sample. The XRD pattern of the impregnated sample showed clear features associated with α -Fe₂O₃ after calcination to 1073 K. With the ALD-prepared samples, the films are apparently thinner than the coherence length of the x-rays, while the results for the impregnated sample are consistent with the formation of relatively large crystallites.

Additional evidence for the formation of thin films in the ALD-prepared samples came from High Angle Annular Dark Field (HAADF) STEM imaging and EDS elemental mapping, shown in Figure 4. Results are shown for samples with 0.25 g Fe₂O₃/g Al₂O₃ made by infiltration, (Fe₂O₃(IMP)/Al₂O₃, Figure 4(a)), and by ALD (20Fe₂O₃-Al₂O₃, Figure 4(b)). Due to the small difference in the atomic number between Fe and Al, HAADF images alone were not sufficient to clearly show how Fe is distributed on the Al₂O₃ in either case, even when using the aberration-corrected STEM (see Figure S2 in Supporting Information). However, EDS elemental maps for Al, Fe, and O confirmed that relatively large Fe₂O₃ agglomerates were present on the infiltrated sample while thin layers of Fe appeared to uniformly coat Al₂O₃ for the ALD-coated sample (See Figure S3 in Supporting Information for overlaid elemental mapping of Al and Fe.).

Raman spectra, shown in Figure 5, provided additional evidence about how the FeO_x films evolved with coverage. The spectra in Figures 5(a) to 5(c) were obtained on samples prepared by ALD with 10, 20, and 50 cycles, while the spectrum in Figure 5(d) was obtained from the Fe₂O₃(IMP)/Al₂O₃ sample prepared by impregnation with 0.25-g Fe₂O₃/g Al₂O₃. Each of the samples was calcined to 773 K. The sample with 10 ALD cycles, 10Fe₂O₃-Al₂O₃, exhibited only a single, sharp band at 250 cm⁻¹, which is also the most intense band observed for lepidocrocite. This suggests that the very thin ALD film retained a γ -FeO(OH) structure even after calcination to 773 K. By comparison, the Raman spectra of the ALD-prepared samples with higher Fe₂O₃ coverages, 20Fe₂O₃-Al₂O₃ and 50Fe₂O₃-Al₂O₃, along with the impregnated sample,

$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(\text{IMP})/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$, all exhibit broad bands at 365, 720-730 and 1390-1430 cm^{-1} . These peaks are consistent with the formation of $\gamma\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ (maghemite) [28,29]. (The presence of $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ in the XRD measurements of an impregnated sample was likely due to the higher calcination temperature used in those measurements.)

Catalytic Properties:

Since Fe_3O_4 is the catalytically active phase in high-temperature WGS catalysts, we examined how modification of the Al_2O_3 support by ALD of Fe_2O_3 at different coverages compares to bulk Fe_2O_3 and a conventional supported catalyst, $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(\text{IMP})/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$. Figure 6 shows specific rates, measured under differential conditions at 25 Torr each of H_2O and CO , as a function of temperature for bulk Fe_2O_3 , $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(\text{IMP})/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ with 0.13 g $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3/\text{g Al}_2\text{O}_3$, and two ALD-prepared samples, $10\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ and $40\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$. Rates have been normalized to the BET surface areas, which were 41 m^2/g for bulk Fe_2O_3 , 110 m^2/g for $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(\text{IMP})/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$, 104 m^2/g for $10\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$, and 77 m^2/g for $40\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$. While we expect the exposed surfaces of the ALD-prepared samples to consist of iron oxide, a significant fraction of the exposed surface on the $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(\text{IMP})/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ sample will almost certainly be alumina, so that this normalization will underestimate the specific activity of the FeO_x on that sample.

Bulk Fe_2O_3 showed by far the highest specific rates, roughly ten times higher than those on the $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(\text{IMP})/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ sample and 20 times higher than that on the $10\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ sample. The lower specific rates on $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(\text{IMP})/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ can be explained by the fact that only a fraction of the surface area is FeO_x ; however, this cannot explain the lower rates on the ALD-prepared samples. It has been reported that the active sites on Fe_3O_4 correspond to $\text{Fe}^{3+}\text{-Fe}^{2+}$ pairs [30]; therefore, it is possible that the concentration of these sites is lower in two-dimensional films. For example, one study of supported Fe_2O_3 particles reported that the $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ equilibrium shifted to higher $P(\text{O}_2)$ on supported particles [17]. The fact that specific rates were higher on the $40\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ compared to $10\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ suggests that the catalytic activity may increase as one approaches bulk conditions. It is important to recognize that the WGS reaction on Fe_3O_4 is complex and affected by various factors [30], a fact that is demonstrated here. As shown in Table 2, the specific rates on bulk Fe_2O_3 increased by a factor of 10 after calcination 1073 K. Clearly, WGS rates on Fe_2O_3 -based catalysts are not a simple function of surface area.

Because WGS rates could depend on the detailed surface structure, a possibly better indication of the fraction FeO_x exposed at the catalyst surface is obtained by measuring WGS rate after impregnation of 1 wt.% Pd. Contact between Pd and FeO_x results in reaction rates that are much higher than those observed on either $\text{Pd}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ or FeO_x catalysts individually [7], so that reaction must occur at the Pd- FeO_x interface. This in turn implies that ALD-prepared catalysts should be more effective if all of the surface consists of FeO_x . This is indeed the case, as shown in Figure 7. Because reaction is expected to occur at the interface between Pd and FeO_x and the Pd dispersions in all Fe_2O_3 -containing samples were similar (See Table 3) when the samples were initially calcined to 773 K, rates for these catalysts have been normalized to the mass of the catalysts, since each contained 1 wt.% Pd. Also, because the Pd-containing samples were so much more active, rates were measured at lower temperatures. For calcination at 773 K, specific rates on 1 wt.% Pd on $20\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ were essentially identical to those on $\text{Pd}/\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$, implying that all of the Pd is in contact with Fe_2O_3 in both cases. Rates for $\text{Pd}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ were roughly a factor of 10 lower, with those on $\text{Pd}/\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(\text{IMP})/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ (with 0.25 g $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3/\text{g Al}_2\text{O}_3$) in between. The lower rates for $\text{Pd}/\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(\text{IMP})/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ are consistent with much of the Pd not being in contact with FeO_x despite having a similar Pd dispersion.

Calcination to 1073 K had little effect on the 1 wt.% $\text{Pd}/20\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ because the surface area of the ALD sample and its Pd dispersions were maintained, while rates on $\text{Pd}/\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ decreased by a factor of about two due to the fact that the BET surface area dropped from 41 m^2/g to 2 m^2/g and its Pd dispersion dropped from 9% to 2%. This decrease in activity is not proportional to the decrease in surface area and Pd dispersion, which implies that other factors affect WGS for catalysts based on bulk Fe_2O_3 [30]. Rates on $\text{Pd}/\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(\text{IMP})/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ decreased dramatically, presumably due to further loss in interfacial contact between the Pd and the iron oxide component of the support.

To verify Fe_2O_3 films prepared by ALD are reducible, CO-O_2 pulse measurements were performed on the 1 wt.% $\text{Pd}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$, 1 wt.% $\text{Pd}/20\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$, and 1 wt.% $\text{Pd}/\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(\text{IMP})/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ (with the same Fe_2O_3 loading as the $20\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ sample) samples at 673 K. The data are shown in Figure 8. The regions between the dashed lines in the figure correspond to periods of time during which 10% O_2 ($m/e = 32$), 10% CO ($m/e = 28$), or pure He was passing over the catalyst. The observation of CO_2 ($m/e = 28, 44$) upon exposure of the catalyst to CO is due to reduction of the catalyst and the reducibility can be calculated from the

amount of CO_2 that is formed. It is qualitatively apparent that less reduction occurred in the 1 wt.% Pd/ Al_2O_3 sample, Figure 8(a) than in the 1 wt.% Pd/ $20\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ sample, Figure 8(b). Based on the amount of CO_2 produced during the reduction cycles, 90 μmol of atomic oxygen could be reversibly removed per gram of catalyst on 1 wt.% Pd/ Al_2O_3 , 380 $\mu\text{mol/g}$ on 1 wt.% Pd/ $20\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$, and 310 $\mu\text{mol/g}$ on 1 wt.% Pd/ $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(\text{IMP})/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$. Theoretically, 1 wt.% Pd/ Al_2O_3 sample can give up 94 $\mu\text{mol/g}$ of atomic oxygen by reduction of PdO, in reasonable agreement with the experimental results. Bulk reduction of Fe_2O_3 to Fe_3O_4 would yield 2100 $\mu\text{mol/g}$ of oxygen, so that the $20\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ sample (0.25 g $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3/\text{g Al}_2\text{O}_3$) could provide 420 $\mu\text{mol/g}$ of oxygen from reduction of Fe_2O_3 . Since the reduction conditions used in our measurements were relatively mild, it is not surprising that we did not achieve complete reduction of Fe_2O_3 . The reduction of $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(\text{IMP})/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$, with a similar Fe_2O_3 loading, was weaker, most likely because of the poor interfacial contact between Pd and Fe_2O_3 . The experiments demonstrate that a very large fraction of the Fe_2O_3 from the film could be reduced when Pd was in good contact with Fe_2O_3 .

Discussion:

The work here demonstrates that ALD can be used to prepare high-surface-area, functional oxides for application to porous, catalytic materials. The Fe_2O_3 deposited on Al_2O_3 in the present study appears to form dense, conformal films. The fact that the films remain invisible to XRD to very high temperatures, even for loadings greater than 40 wt.% Fe_2O_3 , suggests that these films are also thermally stable. The low specific rates we observed for the water-gas-shift (WGS) reaction seem to indicate that the structure and properties of ALD-prepared oxide films can be different from that of the bulk oxides. However, given that commercial WGS catalysts are promoted with additional additives, it is very likely that the thin film oxides could be modified to increase their activity. Finally, a comparison of the present results for ALD-grown Fe_2O_3 films on Al_2O_3 with previous results for CeO_2 films on Al_2O_3 [19] and ZrO_2 films on Al_2O_3 [20] and CeO_2 [24] implies that the application of ALD for preparing conformal oxide films is applicable for a wide range of materials.

It is important to recognize that the methods used in performing ALD can result in very different types of materials when the substrate is porous. Most previous studies have used an inert carrier gas to provide contact between the substrate and the organometallic precursor [21].

Because gas-phase diffusion is a relatively slow process, this can result in concentration gradients. For non-porous powders, these concentration gradients can be reduced by performing the ALD in a fluidized bed [31]; however, most of the surface area in catalytic materials exists within small pores and gradients in these pores cannot be removed by fluidization. Exposing the substrate to the precursor and oxidant under static conditions largely eliminates these problems and allows the use of relatively large, high-surface-area samples. Static conditions also minimize the loss of potentially expensive organometallic precursor, since essentially all the precursor is used in the films and very little is lost during the evacuation cycle.

The nature of the oxide films is also different depending on how ALD is performed. Some previous reports of ALD films grown in porous materials have shown that the oxide films were porous [32,33]. While the production of nanopores may be desirable [34,35], it is clearly important to control the process. Exactly why ALD films are sometimes porous is uncertain and may not always be the same. Simple shrinkage of low-density films is likely responsible for pores in many cases. However, in at least one example where porous ZrO_2 films were produced, the observed growth rates were unexpectedly high, greater than 0.5-nm per cycle [33]. Using the same organometallic precursor, the ZrO_2 growth rate observed by some of us on CeO_2 [24] and Al_2O_3 [30] substrates was only 0.02 nm per cycle. It is unlikely that the higher growth rate was due to chemical vapor deposition (CVD), since the deposition temperature was not high and CVD would likely have resulted in thicker films near the external surface of the sample. An alternative possible explanation is that excess precursor may condense on the porous substrate and then not be completely removed prior to the oxidation cycle due to slow diffusion of the precursor in the inert carrier. The exact reason for differences in the oxide-film morphologies remains unknown but the differences clearly demonstrate the need for a better understanding of the processes involved for ALD in porous materials.

The high thermal stability of oxide films prepared by ALD was reported previously for CeO_2 [19] and ZrO_2 [20] films and was again observed here for Fe_2O_3 on Al_2O_3 . In part, this is due to the relatively lower surface energy of oxides compared to metals. There may also be attractive interactions between the substrate and film. Assuming that is the case, it would be interesting to explore the effect of substrate composition on the stability and properties of the films. It may even be desirable to grow multiple layers with different compositions. For example, Fe_2O_3 layers could be grown on $\text{CeO}_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ substrates in which the CeO_2 was grown by ALD.

These multilayer systems may allow one to build in specific chemical interactions between various oxides.

We regard the use of ALD for catalyst preparation as still in its early stages. There are obviously many ways in which it can be applied and the preparation of high-surface-area functional oxides is only one of those. Given the flexibility for preparing a range of interesting materials, ALD could be widely applied for producing novel catalytic materials.

Conclusions:

The growth of Fe_2O_3 films by ALD on high-surface-area $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ was studied in a static system. The sample mass was found to increase almost linearly with the number of ALD cycles at lower coverages, with a growth rate corresponding to 0.016 nm per cycle. Surface-area and pore-size-distribution measurements, along with TEM-EDS mapping, were consistent with formation of dense, conformal films on the Al_2O_3 . The catalytic properties for the water-gas-shift reaction were different from that of bulk Fe_2O_3 but the films were reducible and could be promoted to provide high activity by addition of Pd. The results demonstrate that ALD can be an important tool for catalyst synthesis.

Acknowledgements:

TMO and RJG are grateful to the Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences, Geosciences and Biosciences Division, Grant No. DE-FG02-13ER16380 for support of this work. SZ, SD, GWG, and XP are grateful to the National Science Foundation for their support through Grants CBET-1159240 and DMR-0723032.

Abstract Figure:

Figure Captions:

- Figure 1:** (a) Mass change and (b) BET surface area as a function of the number of Fe_2O_3 ALD cycles on $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ after calcination to 773 K. The surface areas in the two open symbols were measured after calcining the samples to 1073 K.
- Figure 2:** Pore-size distributions determined from N_2 adsorption isotherms on (a) the $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ support, (b) $10\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ (c) $20\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$, and (d) $40\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ after calcination at 773 K and 1073 K.
- Figure 3:** XRD patterns of (a) the $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ support after heating to 1173 K and two ALD-coated samples after calcination to 1073 K: (b) $20\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ and (c) $100\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$. The pattern in (d) was obtained on $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(\text{IMP})/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ with the same Fe_2O_3 loading as $100\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ heated to 1073 K. Characteristic peaks for hematite, $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$, are shown by the bold lines and marked by *.
- Figure 4:** High angle annular dark field STEM image of (a) $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(\text{IMP})/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ (0.25 g $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3/\text{g Al}_2\text{O}_3$) and (b) $20\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ after calcination at 773 K. EDS mapping of Al, O, and Fe shows rich Fe signals on the edges on the ALD-modified Al_2O_3 support, while there was no preferential distribution of Fe on the impregnated sample.
- Figure 5:** Raman spectra of various $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ supports: (a) $10\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$, (b) $20\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$, and (c) $40\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ and (d) $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(\text{IMP})/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ (0.25 g $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3/\text{g Al}_2\text{O}_3$). All samples were calcined to 773 K.
- Figure 6:** Steady-state, differential reaction rates for the water-gas-shift (WGS) reaction with partial pressures of 25 Torr CO and 25 Torr H_2O : (■) – $10\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$; (●) – $40\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$; (▲) – bulk Fe_2O_3 ; and (□) – $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(\text{IMP})/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ (0.13 g $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3/\text{g Al}_2\text{O}_3$). The rates were normalized to the BET surface areas and all catalysts were calcined to 773 K. The uncertainty of the temperature is within ± 5 K for our experimental setup, while the uncertainty of the TOF is less 5% for measurements on multiple samples.
- Figure 7:** Steady-state, differential reaction rates for the water-gas-shift (WGS) reaction with partial pressures of 25 Torr CO and 25 Torr H_2O after addition of 1 wt.% Pd. Rates were measured after calcination to (a) 773 K or (b) 1073 K: (●) – Pd/ $20\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$, (▲) – Pd/ Fe_2O_3 , (■) Pd/ $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(\text{IMP})/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ (0.25 g $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3/\text{g Al}_2\text{O}_3$), and (◆) – Pd/ Al_2O_3 . The uncertainty of the temperature is within ± 5 K for our experimental setup, while the uncertainty of the TOF is less than 5% for measurements on multiple samples.
- Figure 8:** CO- O_2 pulse measurements on a) 1 wt.% Pd/ Al_2O_3 , b) 1 wt.% Pd/ $20\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$, and c) 1 wt.% Pd/ $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(\text{IMP})/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ at 673 K. The loading of Fe_2O_3 for the ALD-modified and impregnated samples were 0.25 g $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3/\text{g Al}_2\text{O}_3$. The data shows CO (m/e = 28), O_2 (m/e = 32), and CO_2 (m/e = 28, 44) pulses.

Figure 1:

Figure 2:

Figure 3:

Figure 4:

Figure 5:

Figure 6:

Figure 7:

Figure 8:

Table 1: Pore Size from N₂ Isotherm in the Mesopore Region as a function of ALD Cycles after the samples were heated to 773 K.

Number of ALD Cycles	Mesopore Size (nm)
0	9.6
10	9.6
20	9.3
40	8.5

Table 2: Steady-state, water-gas-shift activity normalized to BET surface area for bulk Fe₂O₃ support as a function of calcination temperature.

Calcination Temperature (K)	BET Surface Area (m²/g)	WGS Activity per area at 673 K (10¹⁷ CO molecules/s/m²)
773	41	4
1073	2	40

Table 3: Dispersion Measurement as a function of Calcination Temperature.

Calcination Temperature (K)	Pd/20Fe ₂ O ₃ -Al ₂ O ₃	Pd/Fe ₂ O ₃	Pd/Fe ₂ O ₃ (IMP)/Al ₂ O ₃
773	13	9	16
1073	11	2	7

Citations:

1. H.-W. Jen, G. Graham, W. Chun, R. McCabe, J.-P. Cuif, S. Deutsch, O. Touret, , Catal. Today 50 (1999) 309-328.
2. M. Sugiura, Catal. Surv. from Asia 7 (2003) 77-87.
3. C. Rhodes, G.J. Hutchings, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 5 (2003) 2719-2723.
4. L. Zhang, X. Wang, J.-M.M. Millet, P.H. Matter, U.S. Ozkan, Appl. Catal. A: Gen. 351 (2008) 1-8.
5. A. Basińska, A. Nowacki, F. Domka, React. Kinet. and Catal. Lett. 66 (1999) 3-11.
6. A. Basińska, F. Domka, Catal. Lett. 17 (1993) 327-332.
7. S. Zhao, R.J. Gorte, Catal. Lett. 92 (2004) 75-80.
8. N. Mimura, I. Takahara, M. Saito, T. Hattori, K. Ohkuma, M. Ando, Catal. Today 45 (1998) 61-64.
9. T. Mattisson, A. Lyngfelt, P. Cho, Fuel. 80 (2001) 1953-1962.
10. M.A. Uddin, H. Tsuda, S. Wu, E. Sasaoka, Fuel. 87 (2008) 451-459.
11. B. Zhang, X.-W. Guo, H. Liang, H. Ge, X. Gu, S. Chen, H. Yang, Y. Qin, ACS Catal. 6 (2016) 6560-6566.
12. G. Carraro, D. Barreca, M. Cruz- Yusta, A. Gasparotto, C. Maccato, J. Morales, C. Sada, L. Sánchez, ChemPhysChem. 13 (2012) 3798-3801.
13. G. Carraro, D. Barreca, E. Comini, A. Gasparotto, C. Maccato, C. Sada, G. Sberveglieri, CrystEngComm. 14 (2012) 6469-6476.
14. M.E.A. Warwick, K. Kaunisto, D. Barreca, G. Carraro, A. Gasparotto, C. Maccato, E. Bontempi, C. Sada, T.-P. Ruoko, S. Turner, ACS Appl. Mater. & Interfaces. 7 (2015) 8667-8676.
15. B.J.-J. He, C.-X. Wang, T.-T. Zheng, Y.-K. Zhao, Johns. Matthey Technol. Rev. 60 (2016) 196-203.
16. C. Ratnasamy, J.P. Wagner, Catal. Rev. 51 (2009) 325-440.
17. I. Baldychev, J.M. Vohs, R.J. Gorte, Appl. Catal. A: Gen. 356 (2009) 225-230.
18. T. Popa, G. Xu, T.F. Barton, M.D. Argyle, Appl. Catal. A: Gen.379 (2010) 15-23.

19. T.M. Onn, S. Zhang, L. Arroyo-Ramirez, Y. Xia, C. Wang, X. Pan, G.W. Graham, R.J. Gorte, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* 201 (2017) 430-437.
20. T.M. Onn, S. Zhang, L. Arroyo-Ramirez, Y.-C. Chung, G.W. Graham, X. Pan, R.J. Gorte, *ACS Catal.* 5 (2015) 5696-5701.
21. B.J. O'Neill, D.H. Jackson, J. Lee, C. Canlas, P.C. Stair, C.L. Marshall, J.W. Elam, T.F. Kuech, J.A. Dumesic, G.W. Huber, *ACS Catal.* 5 (2015) 1804-1825.
22. J. Lu, J.W. Elam, P.C. Stair, *Surf. Sci. Rep.* 71 (2016) 410-472.
23. S.Y. Anthony, R. Küngas, J.M. Vohs, R.J. Gorte, *J. of the Electrochem. Soc.* 160 (2013) F1225-F1231.
24. T.M. Onn, L. Arroyo-Ramirez, M. Monai, T.-S. Oh, M. Talati, P. Fornasiero, R.J. Gorte, M.M. Khader, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* 197 (2015) 280-285.
25. A.B. Martinson, M.J. DeVries, J.A. Libera, S.T. Christensen, J.T. Hupp, M.J. Pellin, J.W. Elam, *The J. of Phys. Chem. C*, 115 (2011) 4333-4339.
26. T. Luo, R.J. Gorte, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* 53 (2004) 77-85.
27. M. Rooth, A. Johansson, K. Kukli, J. Aarik, M. Boman, A. Hårsta, *Chem. Vap. Depos.* 14 (2008) 67-70.
28. P. Colomban, S. Cherifi, G. Despert, *J. of Raman Spectrosc.* 39 (2008) 881-886.
29. M. Hanesch, *Geophys. J. Int.* 177 (2009) 941-948.
30. M. Zhu, I.E. Wachs, *ACS Catal.* 6 (2015) 722-732.
31. D.M. King, J.A. Spencer, X. Liang, L.F. Hakim, A.W. Weimer, *Surf. and Coat. Technol.* 201 (2007) 9163-9171.
32. T. Li, S. Karwal, B. Aoun, H. Zhao, Y. Ren, C.P. Canlas, J.W. Elam, R.E. Winans, *Chem. of Mater.* 28 (2016) 7082-7087.
33. Y. Chen, K. Gerdes, X. Song, *Sci. Rep.* 6 (2016).
34. J. Lu, B. Fu, M.C. Kung, G. Xiao, J.W. Elam, H.H. Kung, P.C. Stair, *Sci.* 335 (2012) 1205-1208.
35. B.J. O'Neill, D.H. Jackson, A.J. Crisci, C.A. Farberow, F. Shi, A.C. Alba- Rubio, J. Lu, P.J. Dietrich, X. Gu, C.L. Marshall, *Angew. Chem.* 125 (2013) 14053-14057

Abstract Figure: