1 **Carbodiimide stabilizes the ultrasound-pretreated camelina protein**
2 **structure with improved water resistance**

3 Xiangwei Zhu^a, Donghai Wang^b, Xiuzhi Susan Sun^{a*}

4
5 ^a *Bio-Materials and Technology Laboratory, Department of Grain Science and Industry,*

6 *Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506*

7 ^b *Department of Biological and Agricultural Engineering, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS*
8 *66506*

9 ^{*} *Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 785 532 4077; Fax: +1 785 532 7193; E-mail address:*
10 *xss@ksu.edu (X.S. Sun).*

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22 **Abbreviations:**

DCM: Defatted camelina meal; CP: Camelina protein; CPI: Camelina protein isolate; UCPI: Ultrasound-modified camelina protein isolate; EDC: Ethyl-3-(3-dimethyl-aminopropyl-1-carbodiimide); NHS: N-Hydroxysuccinimide

Abstract:

Camelina protein showed poor water resistance, which restrained its industrial application, such as for adhesives or coatings. In this research, the effect of ultrasound pretreatment and carbodiimide coupling on water resistance of camelina protein isolate (CPI) was discussed. Camelina protein was extracted from defatted camelina meal by alkali solubilization and acid precipitation and treated by high intensity ultrasound. Both CPI and ultrasound-modified CPI (UCPI) were cross-linked by Ethyl-3-(3-dimethyl-aminopropyl-1-carbodiimide) (EDC). The cross-linked CPI exhibited increased molecular weight and particle size due to the amide bond formation between free amino groups and carboxyl groups. Accordingly, microstructures of the coupled protein became rigid and condensed with increased aqueous stability. The crosslink degree of UCPI was higher than CPI, leading to UCPI's better water resistance, more compact microstructures and larger particle size.

Key words: camelina protein; crosslink; EDC; ultrasound treatment; intermolecular protein interaction; water resistance

1. Introduction

To date, the limited fuel resources and corresponding environmental concerns caused by the petroleum manufacturing has urged us to develop alternative bio-based materials (Sun, 2011). Compared with other natural polymers, plant proteins, exhibiting a wide range of functional properties, was potentially utilized for bio-based resins or composites. Some popular proteins such as soy, canola, and zein have already been developed into applied biopolymers (Teng et al., 2012). However, large-scale production of those edible proteins obviously depletes the human food supplies. Consequently, alternative non-edible protein sources were expected.

Proteins from camelina provide such potential. *Camelina sativa*, a member of the mustard family, is a widely grown dryland oilseed crop in the North America and Europe. It was mostly utilized as biodiesel sources in the past few decades (Nguyen et al., 2013). In addition, it has drawn increasing attention due to the abundant polyunsaturated fatty acids in the camelina oil, offering superior food and health benefits (Kasetaite et al., 2014). Accordingly, the accumulating interests for camelina oil resulted in increased production of camelina meal (CM) that contained 40% crude protein (Li et al., 2014). As we previously reported, camelina protein (CP) contained four major fractions, albumins (water-soluble), globulins (5% NaCl-soluble), prolamins (70% ethanol-soluble), and glutelins (0.1 N NaOH-soluble) (Zhu et al., 2016), but the potential antinutritional toxic compound (glucosinolates) in the meal restricted the proteins for food uses (Matthäus and Zubr, 2000). Thus, the non-edible camelina protein became a suitable source for non-food bioproducts such as adhesives.

Similar to other plant proteins, research effort has focused on turning CP into useful biopolymers. Zhao et al developed CP-based film and discussed the cysteine-induced unfolding to its physiochemical properties (Zhao et al., 2014). Our group also investigated the CP's adhesion properties on wood by different isolation processes (Li et al., 2015). However, these results indicated that like many other pristine proteins, CP exhibited poor water resistance, which was due to CP's limited molecular weight and non-stabilized structures (Li et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2016). In addition, in aquatic environment, hydrophilic amino acids tended to absorb water, and protein-

protein interactions were then **weakened** (Sun, 2011). In order to resolve this problem, scientists have utilized many coupling reagents, such as glutaraldehyde, PEG, or carbodiimide to stabilize the protein structures to improve water-resistance. These investigations have been limited to proteins from soy, canola, and zein et al (Chien et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2014). Thus, this triggered us to symmetrically investigate the coupling effect on CP structure with aiming to improve CP's water resistance for alternative biopolymers.

Ethyl-3-(3-dimethyl-aminopropyl-1-carbodiimide) (EDC), a zero-length cross-linker, was used to stabilize protein structure for bio-based materials (Nam et al., 2008; Usha et al., 2012). In addition to selecting the appropriate coupling agents, unfolding was important to protein's reactivity and further crosslink (Puppo et al., 2004; Renkema et al., 2002). Ultrasound technique, a widely used green approach, was effective in unfolding the protein structure with increased free functional groups for further stabilizations (Hu et al., 2013a; O'Donnell et al., 2010; Xue et al., 2013). Thus, the objective of this study was to investigate the EDC coupling effects on water resistance of camelina protein isolated (CPI) with ultrasound pretreatment. The coupling processes effects were characterized by analyzing the free amino groups, molecular weight, particle size, and the microstructures of the treated camelina proteins.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

Defatted camelina meal (DCM) with 32.4% crude protein (db), and 11.0% moisture content (db) was provided by Field Brothers Inc. (Pendroy, MT, US). N-(3-Dimethylaminopropyl)-N'-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC), N-Hydroxysuccinimide (NHS), ninhydrin reagent, SDS Gel Preparation Kit, hydrochloric acid (HCl), and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA).

2.2 High-intensity ultrasound treatment of camelina protein

CPI was separated from DCM as previously described (Zhu et al., 2016) and characterized (Supporting information). CPI dispersion (2.5%, w/v) was prepared to pH 8 with gently stirring at ambient temperature for 4 h. An ultrasound processor model VCF 1500 (SONICS & MATERIALS INC, Newtown, CT, USA) was used to sonicate 100 mL of CPI dispersions in an ice-water bath at 20 kHz at 375 W for 20 min (pulse duration of on-time 1 min and off-time 1 min). After that, samples were lyophilized and stored at 4 °C refrigerator in air tight containers until used.

2.3 Preparation of CPI and UCPI dispersions

CPI and UCPI were dissolved in deionized water at a solid/liquid ratio of 1:20 (w/v) with pH adjusting to 8, and gently stirred for 1 h. EDC was added with NHS as the catalyst in the ratio of EDC (mmol)/ NHS (mmol)/protein (g) = 0:0:1; 0.1:0.1:1; 0.25:0.25:1; 0.4:0.4:1, namely CPI-0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.4 or UCPI-0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.4. The protein dispersions were adjusted to pH 8 again and conditioned 30 min, followed by the oil bath at 75 °C for 20 min to stimulate the crosslink reaction. After that, CPI and UCPI dispersions were gently stirred and cooled at room temperature for 6 hours before further characterizations.

2.4 Characterization

Based on the reaction between the amino group and ninhydrin, the concentration of the amino group can be tested by the changes in absorbance at 570 nm (Moore et al., 1958; Sarin et al., 1981). Crosslink degree (CD) was calculated using the equation:

$$CD = \frac{A_0 - A_t}{A_0} \times 100\% \quad (1)$$

A_0 : free amino groups of heated CPI or UCPI solution (no crosslink reagent)

A_t : free amino groups of EDC modified CPI or UCPI solution

SDS-PAGE was performed on a 4% stacking gel and 12% separating gel with a discontinuous buffer system, as described by Laemmli (LAEMMLI, 1970). EDC coupled CPI and UCPI samples

(5 mg/mL in buffer containing 2% SDS, 25% glycerol, and 0.01% bromphenol blue) were incubated for 1 h at room temperature, then heated at 95 °C for 5 min and cooled in the ice bath for 3 min. Aliquots (15 mL) of the prepared samples were loaded onto the gels.

Particle sizes of samples were measured by light scattering using Horiba Laser Scattering Particle Size Distribution Analyzer LA-910 and reported as volume-mean diameter.

2.5 Water resistance

Water resistance was determined by measuring the protein-loss in soaking test. CPI and UCPI dispersions were prepared for the water soaking test. In a typical experiment, dispersion was uniformly brushed onto an aluminum lids and cured at 110 °C for 2 hours. After recovering to room temperature, the protein-covered container was soaked in distilled water for 20 hours, followed by 100 °C drying for 30 min. Protein lost could be calculated as

$$Protein\ loss = \frac{W_i - W_f}{W_i - W_g} \times 100\% \quad (2)$$

where W_g is the weight of the aluminum lids, W_i is the weight of the aluminum lids and protein after 110 °C curing, and W_f is the weight of the aluminum lids and protein after 100 °C drying.

The soaked proteins were collected and lyophilized. The morphology of soaked and non-soaked camelina protein was observed with a Hitachi S-3500N scanning electron microscope (Ltd., Tokyo, Japan)

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Protein crosslink degree

The crosslink degree was evaluated by the levels of free amino groups of CPIs and UCPIs. Expectedly, with increasing EDC content, the amount of free amino groups kept decreasing for both CPI (1.94 to 1.18 mmol/ml) and UCPI (1.89 to 1.02 mmol/ml) (Table1). After the ultrasound

treatment, the amount of CPI's free amino groups increased from 1.27 to 1.54 mmol/ml, and it confirms the protein's unfolding effect. The crosslink degree of UCPI-0.25, 0.4 were higher than CPI-0.25, 0.4. It demonstrates the stimulating effect of ultrasound treatment on UCPI coupling. Increased free amino groups were created for UCPI during the ultrasound treatment, and thus the reactivity of UCPI with EDC increased. Similar observation was also reported for other proteins. During the high-intensity ultrasound processing, strong cavitation force could unfold protein's secondary and tertiary structures, and thus the protein would be physically denatured and exhibit increased free sulfhydryl groups, increased surface hydrophobicity, and reduced particle size (Hu et al., 2013a, 2013b; Xue et al., 2013). In addition, the characteristics of the denatured proteins varied under different ultrasound intensities. For example, Hu et al (Hu et al., 2013b) found that soy protein particle size was reduced after ultrasound treatment at 400W for 40 mins; however, they found the particle size increased when ultrasound intensity further increased, due to the excessive free radical present in the solution, which caused aggregation. For camelina protein, we found that it exhibits smallest particle size (7.68 μm) when it was treated at 375 W for 20min. The reduced time and intensity also suggest that CPI's secondary and tertiary structure were more liable to dissociate than soy protein.

In the presence of EDC, the cross-linked CPI and UCPI also exhibited increased molecular weight (Fig. 1a). There are four major subunits for pristine CPI and UCPI (Lane1 and Lane5), distributing at 14 KDa, 17KDa, 30KDa, and 50 KDa. As EDC content increased, band intensities became weaker for both CPI and UCPI, suggesting that all the four subunits were involved in the formation of high-molecular weight aggregates. Accordingly, the particle size of CPI and UCPI increased (Fig.1b). Pristine CPI exhibited a diameter at 11.59 μm , while it increased to 44.77 μm at 0.1mmol/g EDC and kept relatively stable with more EDC addition. However, different aggregation phenomenon was observed for UCPI. UCPI had a reduced diameter at 7.68 μm . With increasing crosslink degree, its particle size gradually rised to 23.32 μm at UCPI-0.25, followed by a sharp increase to 89.15 μm at UCPI-0.4, which was obviously larger than CPI-0.4 (51.62 μm). As previously discussed, EDC stimulated the formation of amide bond between subunits and increased protein's molecular weight, the protein turned into larger aggregates. By denaturing the CPI with ultrasound pretreatment, the increased coupling efficiency further stimulated protein's aggregation behavior.

3.2 Water resistance

In order to investigate the water resistance of modified CPIs and UCPIs, the protein-loss of cured protein films were determined after water soaking (Fig. 2a). As expected, the protein-loss decreased with the increasing EDC content for both CPIs (from 20 to 13%) and UCPIs (from 17 to 6%). Proteins with high crosslink degree were aqueous stable, while proteins with weak intermolecular interaction exhibited poor water resistant. Moreover, less protein-loss were observed for UCPIs than CPIs, suggesting that ultrasound-induced unfolding stimulated UCPI's coupling reaction and resulted in improved water resistance. This result could be further explained by their microstructures.

In Fig. 2b, the cured CPI and UCPI fractions were loosely connected to each other (Sample 1, and Sample 5). After introducing EDC, the protein fractions were stabilized to be dense, compact, and uniform. After water soaking (Fig 2c), we observed porous structure of CPI-0, 0.1, and UCPI-0, 0.1. Due to the hydrophilic property of protein surface, water was dragged gradually into the core of protein matrix during water soaking and the protein structures became swollen. After water evaporation, porous network formed. As the EDC content increased (Sample 2 to Sample 5), the CPI structure was becoming more cross-linked with less porous structure, indicating that protein structures were less disrupted after water soaking. Similar coupling effect was also stabilized the structures of UCPI. The porous structures of UCPIs were more condensed and rigid than CPIs after water soaking, and this observation was in consistent with previous water soaking test. The macroscopic image of soaked CPIs and UCPIs (Fig. S1) exhibited corresponding morphology to the SEM profiles shown in Fig 2c. Protein structure of non-crosslinked CPI and UCPI was destroyed into pieces, while increasing EDC content stabilized the CPI and UCPI's structure and keeping the protein film intact in water.

4. Conclusion:

EDC was effective in improving the water resistance of camelina protein by stabilizing its structures. The molecular weight and particle size of CPI increased due to the formation of amide bond. The stabilized camelina protein formed a compact and rigid microstructure and hence exhibited improved water resistance. Moreover, ultrasound pretreatment stimulated the crosslink effect by breaking CPI into small particles with increased free amino groups. Therefore, UCPIs had better water resistance and more stabilized structure in the aqueous condition than CPIs. Overall, the EDC-induced coupling could be considered as an effective method to improve the water resistance of camelina protein together with the ultrasound-induced unfolding pretreatment.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Biomass Research and Development Initiative Program with grant number of 2012-10006-20230 from the US Department of Agriculture National Institute of Food and Agriculture. This is contribution number 16-210-J from the Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station.

Reference:

- Arzeni, C., Martínez, K., Zema, P., Arias, A., Pérez, O.E., Pilosof, A.M.R., 2012. Comparative study of high intensity ultrasound effects on food proteins functionality. *J. Food Eng.* 108, 463–472. doi:10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2011.08.018
- Chen, L., Chen, J., Ren, J., Zhao, M., 2011. Effects of ultrasound pretreatment on the enzymatic hydrolysis of soy protein isolates and on the emulsifying properties of hydrolysates. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 59, 2600–2609. doi:10.1021/jf103771x
- Chien, K.B., Makridakis, E., Shah, R.N., 2012. Three Dimensional Printing of Soy Protein Scaffolds for Tissue Regeneration. *Tissue Eng. Part C Methods*. doi:10.1089/ten.TEC.2012.0383
- Hu, H., Fan, X., Zhou, Z., Xu, X., Fan, G., Wang, L., Huang, X., Pan, S., Zhu, L., 2013a. Acid-induced gelation behavior of soybean protein isolate with high intensity ultrasonic pre-treatments. *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 20, 187–195. doi:10.1016/j.ultsonch.2012.07.011
- Hu, H., Li-Chan, E.C.Y., Wan, L., Tian, M., Pan, S., 2013b. The effect of high intensity ultrasonic pre-treatment on the properties of soybean protein isolate gel induced by calcium sulfate. *Food Hydrocoll.* 32, 303–311. doi:10.1016/j.foodhyd.2013.01.016
- Kasetaite, S., Ostrauskaite, J., Grazuleviciene, V., Svediene, J., Bridziuviene, D., 2014. Camelina

oil- and linseed oil-based polymers with bisphosphonate crosslinks. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* 131. doi:10.1002/app.40683

Kim, S., Sessa, D.J., Lawton, J.W., 2004. Characterization of zein modified with a mild cross-linking agent. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 20, 291–300. doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2003.10.013

LAEMMLI, U.K., 1970. Cleavage of Structural Proteins during the Assembly of the Head of Bacteriophage T4. *Nature* 227, 680–685.

Li, N., Qi, G., Sun, X.S., Wang, D., Bean, S., Blackwell, D., 2014. Isolation and Characterization of Protein Fractions Isolated from Camelina Meal. *Trans. ASABE* 57, 169–178. doi:10.13031/trans.57.10455

Li, N., Qi, G., Sun, X.S., Xu, F., Wang, D., 2015. Adhesion properties of camelina protein fractions isolated with different methods. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 69, 263–272. doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2015.02.033

Matthäus, B., Zubr, J., 2000. Variability of specific components in Camelina sativa oilseed cakes. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 12, 9–18. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0926-6690(99)00040-0

Moore, S., Spackman, D.H., Stein, W.H., 1958. Chromatography of Amino Acids on Sulfonated Polystyrene Resins. An Improved System. *Anal. Chem.* 30, 1185–1190. doi:10.1021/ac60139a005

Nam, K., Kimura, T., Kishida, A., 2008. Controlling coupling reaction of EDC and NHS for preparation of collagen gels using ethanol/water co-solvents. *Macromol. Biosci.* 8, 32–37. doi:10.1002/mabi.200700206

Nguyen, H.T., Silva, J.E., Podicheti, R., Macrander, J., Yang, W., Nazareus, T.J., Nam, J.-W., Jaworski, J.G., Lu, C., Scheffler, B.E., Mockaitis, K., Cahoon, E.B., 2013. Camelina seed transcriptome: a tool for meal and oil improvement and translational research. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 11, 759–69. doi:10.1111/pbi.12068

O'Donnell, C.P., Tiwari, B.K., Bourke, P., Cullen, P.J., 2010. Effect of ultrasonic processing on food enzymes of industrial importance. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 21, 358–367. doi:10.1016/j.tifs.2010.04.007

Peiretti, P.G., Meineri, G., 2007. Fatty acids, chemical composition and organic matter digestibility of seeds and vegetative parts of false flax (*Camelina sativa* L.) after different lengths of growth. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 133, 341–350. doi:10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2006.05.001

Puppo, C., Chapleau, N., Speroni, F., De Lamballerie-Anton, M., Michel, F., Añón, C., Anton, M., 2004. Physicochemical modifications of high-pressure-treated soybean protein isolates. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 52, 1564–71. doi:10.1021/jf034813t

Renkema, J.M.S., Gruppen, H., van Vliet, T., 2002. Influence of pH and ionic strength on heat-induced formation and rheological properties of soy protein gels in relation to denaturation and their protein compositions. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 50, 6064–6071. doi:10.1021/jf020061b

Sarin, V.K., Kent, S.B.H., Tam, J.P., Merrifield, R.B., 1981. Quantitative monitoring of solid-phase peptide synthesis by the ninhydrin reaction. *Anal. Biochem.* 117, 147–157. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(81)90704-1

Song, F., Tang, D.-L., Wang, X.-L., Wang, Y.-Z., 2011. Biodegradable soy protein isolate-based

285 materials: a review. *Biomacromolecules* 12, 3369–80. doi:10.1021/bm200904x

286 Sun, X.S., 2011. Soy Protein Polymers and Adhesion Properties. *J. Biobased Mater. Bioenergy* 5,
287 409–432. doi:10.1166/jbmb.2011.1183

288 Teng, Z., Luo, Y., Wang, Q., 2012. Nanoparticles synthesized from soy protein: preparation,
289 characterization, and application for nutraceutical encapsulation. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 60,
290 2712–20. doi:10.1021/jf205238x

291 Usha, R., Sreeram, K.J., Rajaram, a., 2012. Stabilization of collagen with EDC/NHS in the
292 presence of l-lysine: A comprehensive study. *Colloids Surfaces B Biointerfaces* 90, 83–90.
293 doi:10.1016/j.colsurfb.2011.10.002

294 van Vliet, T., Martin, A.H., Bos, M.A., 2002. Gelation and interfacial behaviour of vegetable
295 proteins. *Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci.* 7, 462–468. doi:10.1016/s1359-0294(02)00078-x

296 Wang, C., Wu, J., Bernard, G.M., 2014. Preparation and characterization of canola protein isolate–
297 poly(glycidyl methacrylate) conjugates: A bio-based adhesive. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 57, 124–131.
298 doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2014.03.024

299 Xue, F., Li, C., Zhu, X., Wang, L., Pan, S., 2013. Comparative studies on the physicochemical
300 properties of soy protein isolate-maltodextrin and soy protein isolate-gum acacia conjugate
301 prepared through Maillard reaction. *Food Res. Int.* 51, 490–495.
302 doi:10.1016/j.foodres.2013.01.012

303 Zhang, J., Jiang, L., Zhu, L., Jane, J.-L., Mungara, P., 2006. Morphology and properties of soy
304 protein and polylactide blends. *Biomacromolecules* 7, 1551–61. doi:10.1021/bm050888p

305 Zhao, Y., Jiang, Q., Xu, H., Reddy, N., Xu, L., Yang, Y., 2014. Cytocompatible and water-stable
306 camelina protein films for tissue engineering. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. B. Appl. Biomater.* 102,
307 729–36. doi:10.1002/jbm.b.33053

308 Zhu, X., Wang, D., Sun, X.S., 2016. Physico-chemical properties of camelina protein altered by
309 sodium bisulfite and guanidine-HCl. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 83, 453–461.
310 doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2015.12.085

311

Figure captions

Fig.1. (a) Non-reducing SDS-PAGE profiles of camelina protein fractions.

Lane 1-4: CPI-0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.4; Lane 5-8: UCPI-0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.4; Lane 9: marker

(b) Particle size of CPI and UCPI dispersions.

Fig.2. (a) Weight loss of cured CPI and UCPI dispersions after water soaking.

(b) SEM image of cured CPI and UCPI dispersions.

(1) CPI-0, (2) CPI-0.1, (3) CPI-0.25, (4) CPI-0.4; (5) UCPI-0, (6) UCPI-0.1, (7) UCPI-0.25, (8) UCPI-0.4

(c) SEM image of cured CPI and UCPI dispersions after water soaking.

(1) CPI-0, (2) CPI-0.1, (3) CPI-0.25, (4) CPI-0.4; (5) UCPI-0, (6) UCPI-0.1, (7) UCPI-0.25, (8) UCPI-0.4

Table 1. Free amino group content and crosslink degree of CPIs and UCPIs modified by various EDC/NHS concentrations

	CPI		UCPI	
EDC content (mmol/g)	Free amino acid content (mmol/ml)	Crosslink degree (%)	Free amino acid content (mmol/ml)	Crosslink degree (%)
¹ 0	1.27±(0.01)	--	1.54±(0.03)	--
² 0	1.94±(0.02)	0	1.89±(0.02)	0
² 0.1	1.54±(0.02)	19.69	1.60±(0.05)	14.82
² 0.25	1.30±(0.03)	32.44	1.21±(0.03)	35.68
² 0.4	1.18±(0.05)	38.41	1.02±(0.01)	45.29

¹: non-heated CPI and UCPI solution; ²: heated EDC/NHS modified CPI and UCPI solution.

a

b

c

EDC coupling

Ultrasound

EDC coupling

— : formed amide bond

— NH_3^+ : free amino group

— COO^- : free carboxyl group

● : protein molecule