This manuscript has been authored by UT-Battelle, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC05-00OR22725 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The United States Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes. The Department of Energy will provide public access to these results of federally sponsored research in accordance with the DOE Public Access Plan (<http://energy.gov/downloads/doe-public-access-plan>).

**Primary and Secondary Precipitates in a Hierarchical-Precipitate-Strengthened Ferritic
Alloy**

Gian Song^{1*}, Zhiqian Sun¹, Jonathan Poplawsky², Xiandong Xu³, Mingwei Chen³,
and Peter K. Liaw^{1*}

Abstract

The microstructures of a hierarchical-precipitate-strengthened ferritic alloy are characterized, using transmission-electron microscopy (TEM) and atom-probe tomography (APT). The alloy shows duplex precipitates. The primary precipitate with an average edge length of 90 nm consists of NiAl- and Ni₂TiAl-type phases, while the secondary precipitate with an average radius of 2 nm is a NiAl-type phase. Based on the APT results, the volume fractions of the primary and secondary precipitates were calculated, using the lever rule to be 17.3 and 2.3 %, respectively.

Keywords: Atom probe tomography; Ferritic steels; Heusler phases; Precipitation; Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

Introduction

Ferritic steels have been widely employed for high-temperature applications, due to their low cost, high thermal conductivity, and low thermal expansion, as compared to austenitic steels [1-4]. High-Cr ferritic steels are strengthened by nano- and micro-scaled particles, have a small grain size, and contain a high density of dislocations [1, 2, 5, 6]. However, the microstructural instability during the long-term exposure to elevated temperatures, characterized by the coarsening behavior of the precipitate/particle and grain size, as well as a reduction in the dislocation density, restricts their operating temperature to below 900 K [7-9]. There have been extensive efforts to develop creep-resistant ferritic steels with higher temperature capabilities, according to the current US project for the development of ultra-supercritical (USC) steam turbines [10-12], which requires an increase of the steam temperature to 1,033 K and the steam pressure to 35 MPa [13].

Along the same lines, the NiAl-precipitate-strengthened ferritic alloys have received recent attention as candidate materials for numerous high-temperature applications, due to their promising creep properties and oxidation resistance [14-16]. Moreover, the structural similarity between the B2-NiAl precipitates and the body-centered-cubic (bcc) Fe matrix leads to the coarsening resistance of the NiAl precipitates during creep deformation [17, 18]. However, as the temperature is increased to higher than 923 K, the NiAl-strengthened ferritic alloys exhibit the limited creep resistance, especially at high stresses [19]. The elevated-temperature deformation mechanisms of a NiAl-strengthened ferritic alloy have been investigated, using in-situ neutron-diffraction [20-22], the results of which suggest that the intense diffusion flow along the interfaces between the Fe matrix and NiAl precipitates plays a significant role in affecting the creep deformation [22].

Recently, we have developed a new ferritic alloy consisting of $L2_1$ -Ni₂TiAl precipitates reinforced by narrow B2-NiAl zones, which is referred to as the hierarchical-precipitate-strengthened ferritic alloy and is denoted as HPSFA in the present study [23]. The HPSFA was designed by adding the 2.2 atomic percent (at. %) Ti to a previously-studied NiAl-strengthened ferritic alloy (Fe-12.7Al-10.2Cr-9.0Ni-1.9Mo-0.14Zr-0.024B, at. %, denoted as FBB8 in the present study) [16]. This previous study revealed that the significant improvement in the creep resistance of HPSFA at 973 K was achieved by the presence of the hierarchical precipitates, which formed a coherent interface between the Fe matrix and precipitate phases with optimized elastic strains [23]. Figure 1 shows the Larson–Miller parameter (LMP) of HPSFA [23], and is compared with FBB8 [24] and conventional steels [P92; Fe-9.09Cr-1.83W, P122; Fe-10.15Cr-1.94W, T122; Fe-10.65Cr-1.87W, and 12CR; Fe-12.1Cr-1.82W in weight percent (wt. %)] [25-28]. More recently, the coarsening behavior of HPSFA has been systematically studied during long-term thermal treatment at 973 K up to 500 hours, which reveals the duplex precipitates, such as, the primary and secondary, and the morphological transition of the hierarchical precipitates, which is associated with the elastic coherency of the interface between the primary precipitate and matrix [29]. However, a detailed microstructural characterization of the precipitates is necessary to elucidate the creep mechanism(s) and to achieve the further optimization of the creep properties. Critical precipitate parameters, such as the volume fraction, the composition, and the partitioning behavior of the alloying elements, should be quantified and correlated with the alloy chemistry [30, 31].

Figure 1. Larson-Miller parameters (LMP) for HPSFA [24], FBB8 [25], and several conventional steels (P92, P122, T122, and 12CR) [26-29], where the LMP is calculated from $T(36.11 + \log t)/1,000$ (T = temperature in Kelvin, and t = time to rupture in hours).

Thus, the main objective of the current work is to study the microstructures of the HPSFA with a nominal composition of Fe-12.7Al-10.2Cr-9.0Ni-2.2Ti-1.9Mo-0.14Zr-0.024B (in at. %) that exhibits superior creep properties for fossil-fuel power-plant applications. The above-mentioned microstructural features will be determined, using transmission-electron microscopy (TEM) and atom-probe tomography (APT).

Method

A plate ingot of HPSFA with a dimension of $12.7\text{ cm} \times 25.4\text{ cm} \times 1.9\text{ cm}$ was prepared by the Sophisticated Alloys, Inc., using a vacuum-induction-melting facility. The ingot was hot-isostatically pressed (HIPed) at 1,473 K and 100 MPa for 4 hours. Chemical analyses were conducted on the ingot to obtain the composition. The alloy was homogenized at 1,473 K for 30 minutes, followed by air cooling, and then aged at 973 K for 100 hours. The sharp-tip needle specimens with approximate dimensions of $50 \sim 150\text{ nm}$ in diameter and $300 \sim 700\text{ nm}$ in length for APT were prepared, using lift-out methods with a FEI Nova focused ion beam (FIB) [32]. The data acquisition was performed, employing a CAMECA local electrode atom probe (LEAP) 4000XHR equipped with an energy-compensated reflectron lens. The APT runs were performed in voltage and laser modes. For the voltage-mode runs, the acquisition parameters were described as follows: 50 K, 200 kHz, 20% pulse fraction, and 0.5 % detection rate. For the laser-mode runs, the acquisition parameters were as follows: 30 K, 200 kHz, 25 pJ laser energy, and a 0.5 ~ 2.0 % detection rate. The TEM samples were prepared in a Zeiss Auriga 40 equipped with a dual electron and FIB. A lamella having dimensions of $12\text{ }\mu\text{m} \times 6\text{ }\mu\text{m} \times 1\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ was cut from a heat-treated bulk alloy sample with 30 keV Ga ions. The lamella was lifted out from the bulk sample, transferred to a Cu-grid, and thinned to a thickness of $\sim 100\text{ nm}$ via FIB milling, which was, then, followed by a 5-kV final ion polish to remove the FIB-damaged surface and oxide layer. The TEM was conducted, using a Zeiss Libra 200 MC TEM at an acceleration voltage of 200 kV.

Results and Discussions

Figures 2(a)-(b) show the dark-field (DF) TEM images acquired with the specimen oriented along the $[110]$ zone axis using two different reflections in the same region of the sample aged at 973 K for 100 hours. The inset in Figure 2 shows the $[110]$ zone-axis diffraction pattern, where two superlattice reflections were used (marked by red and white circles) to form the DF images. The $\langle 111 \rangle$ reflection (a red circle) is unique to the $L2_1$ structure, and, thus, the bright phases in Figure 2(a) are the $L2_1$ phases. In contrast, when imaged using the $\langle 020 \rangle$ reflection (a white circle), which is a common reflection to both $L2_1$ and B2 structures, a slightly-different morphology of the primary precipitate with an average width of 90 nm [23] is observed, as shown in Figure 2(b). By comparing two images, the B2 and $L2_1$ phases within the precipitate can be differentiated, as marked by white and red arrows, respectively. Specifically, the contrast difference within the precipitate can be observed in Figure 2(a), which results from the presence of either an anti-phase boundary or another phase in the primary $L2_1$ precipitate, as observed in a similar Fe alloy [33]. Previously, the DF-TEM imaging was used to reveal the formation of the nano-scaled B2 zones in the primary $L2_1$ -precipitates [23], and, thus, the narrow zones are likely the B2-type phases.

Figure 2. Dark-field transmission-electron microscopy (DF-TEM) images acquired with the sample oriented along the [110] zone axis using (a) $\langle 111 \rangle$ and (b) $\langle 020 \rangle$ superlattice reflections with an inset of the [110] selected-area-diffraction pattern.

To verify the TEM results, APT was conducted, the results of which are shown in Figure 3. Figure 3(a) shows two iso-concentration surfaces, 10 at. %-Ti and 10 at. %-Ni, which clearly indicate the presence of the B2 and $L2_1$ phases in the precipitates. The compositions of the B2 and $L2_1$ phases derived from the APT analysis are $\text{Ni}_{42.8}\text{Al}_{38.2}\text{Fe}_{14.0}\text{Ti}_{4.4}\text{Cr}_{0.4}\text{Mo}_{0.1}$ and $\text{Ni}_{36.2}\text{Al}_{29.3}\text{Fe}_{18.1}\text{Ti}_{15.4}\text{Cr}_{0.6}\text{Mo}_{0.5}$ in at. %, respectively. A proximity-histogram composition profile between the B2 and $L2_1$ phases is presented in Figure 3(b), and clearly reveals the Ni, Al, Ti, and Fe partitioning behavior within the primary precipitate. The APT results revealed the formation of secondary nm-size precipitates in the matrix, as was also observed in alloy FBB8 [19]. A 7.6 at. %-(Ni + Ti) iso-concentration surface is shown in Figure 3(c), which clearly shows the distribution of ultra-fine secondary precipitates in the matrix. The compositions of the matrix, $L2_1$, B2, and secondary precipitates, obtained from the APT results, are summarized in Table 1. Similar duplex precipitates were observed in the NiAl-strengthened ferritic and Ni-based alloys [15, 34, 35]. Moreover, the microstructural evolution of the HPSFA was studied during a systematic heat-treatment at 973 K up to 500 hours using TEM and APT [29], which exhibits that the size of the secondary precipitate does not vary (no coarsening). Also, similar observation was reported in a NiAl-strengthened ferritic alloy which indicates that the size of the secondary precipitates is independent on aging time at 973 K, but on aging temperatures (higher temperatures give rise to the larger secondary precipitates) [36]. Based on these observations, it is believed that the ultra-fine precipitates are formed during air cooling and completely dissolved

in the matrix at 973 K [19]. Thus, these secondary precipitates significantly contribute to the room-temperature strengthening behavior, but could not influence the creep properties at 973 K. The effect of the secondary precipitates on the mechanical properties is beyond the scope of this paper, and will be investigated in the future study.

The size and volume fraction of the secondary precipitates were derived from the analysis of the APT needle specimen that only included the matrix and secondary precipitates and was performed by subtracting the primary precipitates, as shown in Figure 3(c). The average size and volume fraction of the secondary precipitates were determined to be 2.05 ± 0.85 nm and 2.785 %, respectively.

Figure 3. Atom-probe-tomography (APT) results: (a) iso-concentration surfaces of 10 at. %-Ti (yellow) and 10 at. %-Ni (green), (b) a composition profile of the B2 and L2₁ phases along the one-dimensional (1-D) profile in (a), and (c) a 7.6 at. %-(Ni + Ti) iso-concentration surface (green).

By considering the secondary precipitates in the matrix, the volume fraction of the primary precipitates can be calculated, as follows,

$$V'_m + V_{po} = 1, \quad (1)$$

$$\text{where } V'_m = V_m + V_{S,P}, V_{S,P} = V'_m \times V'_{S,P}, V_{po} = V_{L21} + V_{B2}$$

where V'_m is the volume fraction of the matrix, including the secondary precipitate, V_{po} is the volume fraction of the primary precipitate including L2₁ (V_{L21}) and B2 (V_{B2}) phases, V_m is the volume fractions of the matrix, and $V_{S,P}$ and $V'_{S,P}$ are the volume fractions of the secondary precipitates in the bulk material and in the matrix, respectively. The V_{po} can be described by the lever rule,

$$V_{po} = \frac{C_o - C_m}{C_{po} - C_m} \quad (2)$$

where C_o , C_m , and C_{po} are the compositions of the bulk sample, matrix, and primary precipitate, respectively. The C_{po} can be given by,

$$C_{po} = \frac{C_o - C'_m}{V_{po}} + C'_m \quad (3)$$

$$\text{where } C'_m = V'_{S,P} \times (C_{S,P} - C_m) + C_m$$

where C'_m and $C_{S,P}$ are the combined compositions of the secondary precipitates and matrix, and the secondary precipitates, respectively. C_{po} and, thus, V_{po} cannot be determined from the APT results, since the primary precipitate contains the B2 and L2₁ phases with unknown volume fractions. Thus, in order to derive C_{po} and V_{po} , a similar equation was adopted, defined as,

$$\frac{V_{B2}}{V_{L21}} = \frac{C_{po} - C_{L21}}{C_{B2} - C_{L21}} \quad (4)$$

where C_{L21} and C_{B2} are the compositions of the L2₁ and B2 phases, respectively. In order to acquire C_{po} , V_{B2} , and V_{po} , and, thus, V_m and V_{L21} , reasonable values of V_{po} ranging from 15.0 to

20.0 %, which bracket the value (16.3 %) determined from the SEM-image analysis [23], the volume fraction of the primary precipitates of $V_{po} = 17.3$ % from the best linear fit of a plot of $(C_{po} - C_{L21})$ vs. $(C_{B2} - C_{L21})$ in Figure 4 can be achieved, and V_{B2} accounts for 49.9 % of V_{po} . The calculated compositions of C'_m and C_{po} are also summarized in Table 1. It should be noted that the calculated C_{po} of Cr and Mo has negative values in Table 1, which could result from the formation of additional minor phases. Indeed, minor phases are often observed within grains and along grain boundaries, as shown in the inset of Figure 4. The scanning-electron-microscopy (SEM) energy-dispersive X-ray analysis indicates that these minor phases are enriched in Zr, Cr, and Mo, which could affect the current calculation. Therefore, four elements (Fe, Al, Ni, and Ti), which constitute the primary matrix and precipitate phases, were used for the current plots. From these data, values of volume fractions of the phases in the system were deduced: $V_m = 80.4$ %, $V_{S.P.} = 2.3$ %, $V_{B2} = 8.6$ %, and $V_{L21} = 8.7$ %. Note that $V'_{S.P.}$ (2.785 %) was derived from the volume of the APT-needle specimen only containing the matrix and secondary precipitates [Figure 3(c)]. Thus, the overall fraction of the secondary precipitate in the bulk material ($V_{S.P.}$) was derived, using Equation (1), and is 2.3 %. The volume fraction of the primary precipitates ($V_{po} = 17.3$ %) derived from the APT-composition analysis is in good agreement with that determined by the SEM-imaging analysis (16.3 %) [23].

Figure 4. A plot of $(C_{p0} - C_{L21})$ vs. $(C_{B2} - C_{L21})$ used to calculate the volume fractions of B2 and L_{21} phases within the primary precipitate. An inset scanning-electron-microscopy (SEM) image shows Mo-, Cr-, and Zr-rich phases.

Table 1. Chemical compositions of phases in a Fe-12.6Al-10.1Cr-8.9Ni-2.2Ti-1.8Mo (at. %) alloy obtained, using the chemical analysis (bulk) and APT [24]. (C_o ; bulk composition, C_{L21} ; L2₁ composition, C_{B2} ; B2 composition, C_m ; Fe matrix composition, $C_{S.P}$; secondary precipitate composition, C'_m ; combined compositions of the secondary precipitates and matrix, C_{po} ; primary precipitate compositions)

Composition	Material	Phase	Al	Fe	Ni	Ti	Mo	Cr
Experimental	Bulk	(C_o)	12.518	64.243	8.977	2.157	1.834	10.134
	Primary precipitate	L2 ₁ (C_{L21})	29.253 ± 0.230	18.057 ± 0.188	36.235 ± 0.209	15.399 ± 0.188	0.466 ± 0.037	0.591 ± 0.048
		B2 (C_{B2})	38.206 ± 0.039	14.030 ± 0.028	42.826 ± 0.037	4.428 ± 0.015	0.075 ± 0.003	0.432 ± 0.005
	Matrix	Fe (C_m)	7.096 ± 0.018	75.859 ± 0.033	1.548 ± 0.009	0.407 ± 0.005	2.283 ± 0.010	12.807 ± 0.026
	Secondary precipitate	B2 ($C_{S.P}$)	34.449 ± 1.109	17.788 ± 0.939	41.580 ± 1.260	3.105 ± 0.437	0.698 ± 0.241	2.376 ± 0.372
Calculated	Combining matrix	Fe (C'_m)	7.858 ± 0.044	74.242 ± 0.036	2.663 ± 0.032	0.482 ± 0.162	2.239 ± 0.152	12.517 ± 0.044
	Primary precipitate	L2 ₁ + B2 (C_{po})	34.795 ± 0.044	16.445 ± 0.036	39.160 ± 0.032	10.163 ± 0.162	-0.101 ± 0.152	-1.255 ± 0.044

Conclusions

The aged microstructure of a hierarchical-precipitate-strengthened ferritic alloy has been characterized, using TEM and APT. HPSFA consists of duplex precipitates embedded in the Fe matrix; primary precipitates with an average width of 90 nm, and secondary precipitates with an average radius of 2 nm. The primary precipitate contains a mixture of the B2-type NiAl and L2₁-type Ni₂TiAl phases. The compositions of the B2 and L2₁ phases are Ni_{42.8}Al_{38.2}Fe_{14.0}Ti_{4.4}Cr_{0.4}Mo_{0.1} and Ni_{36.2}Al_{29.3}Fe_{18.1}Ti_{15.4}Cr_{0.6}Mo_{0.5} in at. %, respectively, while that of the Fe matrix is Fe_{75.8}Cr_{12.8}Al_{7.1}Ti_{0.4}Ni_{1.5}Mo_{2.3} in at. %. The composition of the secondary precipitates is measured to be Ni_{41.6}Al_{34.4}Fe_{17.8}Ti_{3.1}Cr_{2.3}Mo_{0.7} in at. %, which is close to that of the B2 phase in the primary precipitate. Based on the APT-composition analysis, the volume fractions of the matrix, secondary precipitate, L2₁ and B2 phases in the primary precipitate are derived, using the lever rule, and are 80.4, 2.3, 8.7, and 8.6 %, respectively.

Acknowledgements

The present research is supported by the Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Fossil Energy Program, under Grants of DE-09NT0008089, DE-FE0005868, DE-FE-0011194, and DE-FE-0024054 with Mr. Richard Dunst, Mr. Vito Cedro, Dr. Patricia Rawls, Mr. Steven Markovich, and Dr. Jessica Mullen as the program managers. The research was supported as part of a user proposal through ORNL's Center for Nanophase Materials Sciences (CNMS), which is a DOE Office of Science User Facility.

References

- [1] Viswanathan R, Bakker W. Materials for ultrasupercritical coal power plants—Boiler materials: Part 1, J. Mater. Eng. Perform. 2001;10:81-95.
- [2] Viswanathan R, Bakker W. Materials for ultrasupercritical coal power plants—Turbine materials: Part II, J. Mater. Eng. Perform. 2001;10:96-101.
- [3] Kim SH, Kim H, Kim NJ. Brittle intermetallic compound makes ultrastrong low-density steel with large ductility, Nature 2015;518:77-79.
- [4] Yamamoto Y, Brady MP, Lu ZP, Maziasz PJ, Liu CT, Pint BA, More KL, Meyer H, Payzant E.A. Creep-Resistant, Al₂O₃-forming austenitic stainless steels, Science 2007;316:433-436.
- [5] Kaybyshev R, Skorobogatykh V, Shchenkova I. New martensitic steels for fossil power plant: Creep resistance, Phys. Met. Metallogr. 2010;109:186-200.
- [6] Taneike M, Abe F, Sawada K. Creep-strengthening of steel at high temperatures using nano-sized carbonitride dispersions, Nature 2003;424:294-296.
- [7] Ennis PJ, Czyrska-Filemonowicz A. Recent advances in creep-resistant steels for power plant applications, Sadhana 2003;28:709-730.
- [8] Abe F, Nakazawa S, Araki H, Noda T. The role of microstructural instability on creep behavior of a martensitic 9Cr-2W steel, Metall. Trans. A 1992;23:469-477.
- [9] Eggeler G. The effect of long-term creep on particle coarsening in tempered martensite ferritic steels, Acta Metall. 1989;37:3225-3234.
- [10] Masuyama F, Shingledecker JP. Recent status of ASME code on creep strength enhanced ferritic steels, Procedia Eng. 2013;55:314-325.

- [11] Hsiung LL, Fluss MJ, Tumey SJ, Choi BW, Serruys Y, Willaime F, Kimura A. Formation mechanism and the role of nanoparticles in Fe-Cr ODS steels developed for radiation tolerance, *Phys. Rev. B* 2010;82:184103.
- [12] Jiang Y, Smith JR, Odette GR. Formation of Y-Ti-O nanoclusters in nanostructured ferritic alloys: A first-principles study, *Phys. Rev. B* 2009;79:064103.
- [13] Viswanathan R, Coleman K, Rao U. Materials for ultra-supercritical coal-fired power plant boilers, *Int. J. Pres. Ves. Pip.* 2006;83:778-783.
- [14] Zhu SM, Tjong SC, Lai JKL. Creep behavior of a β' (NiAl) precipitation strengthened ferritic Fe-Cr-Ni-Al alloy, *Acta Mater.* 1998;46:2969-2976.
- [15] Stallybrass C, Schneider A, Sauthoff G. The strengthening effect of (Ni,Fe)Al precipitates on the mechanical properties at high temperatures of ferritic Fe-Al-Ni-Cr alloys, *Intermetallics* 2005;13:1263-1268.
- [16] Sun Z, Liebscher CH, Huang S, Teng Z, Song G, Wang G, Asta M, Rawlings M, Fine ME, Liaw PK. New design aspects of creep-resistant NiAl-strengthened ferritic alloys, *Scr. Mater.* 2013;68:384-388.
- [17] Calderon H, Fine ME. Coarsening kinetics of coherent NiAl-type precipitates in Fe-Ni-Al and Fe-Ni-Al-Mo alloys, *Mater. Sci. Eng.* 1984;63:197-208.
- [18] Calderon H, Fine M, Weertman J. Coarsening and morphology of β' particles in Fe-Ni-Al-Mo ferritic alloys, *Metall. Mater. Trans. A* 1988;19:1135-1146.
- [19] Teng ZK, Miller MK, Ghosh G, Liu CT, Huang S, Russell KF, Fine ME, Liaw PK. Characterization of nanoscale NiAl-type precipitates in a ferritic steel by electron microscopy and atom probe tomography, *Scr. Mater.* 2010;63:61-64.

- [20] Huang S, Brown D, Clausen B, Teng Z, Gao Y, Liaw PK. In situ neutron-diffraction studies on the creep behavior of a ferritic superalloy, *Metall. Mater. Trans. A* 2011;43:1497-1508.
- [21] Teng ZK, Ghosh G, Miller MK, Huang S, Clausen B, Brown DW, Liaw PK. Neutron-diffraction study and modeling of the lattice parameters of a NiAl-precipitate-strengthened Fe-based alloy, *Acta Mater.* 2012;60:5362-5369.
- [22] Huang S, Gao Y, An K, Zheng L, Wu W, Teng Z, Liaw PK. Deformation mechanisms in a precipitation-strengthened ferritic superalloy revealed by in situ neutron diffraction studies at elevated temperatures, *Acta Mater.* 2015;83:137-148.
- [23] Song G, Sun Z, Li L, Xu X, Rawlings M, Liebscher CH, Clausen B, Poplawsky J, Leonard DN, Huang S, Teng Z, Liu CT, Asta MD, Gao Y, Dunand DC, Ghosh G, Chen M, Fine ME, Liaw PK. Ferritic alloy with extreme creep resistance via coherent hierarchical precipitates, *Sci. Rep.* 2015;5:16327.
- [24] Vo NQ, Liebscher CH, Rawlings MJ, Asta M, Dunand DC. Creep properties and microstructure of a precipitation-strengthened ferritic Fe–Al–Ni–Cr alloy, *Acta Mater.* 2014;71:89-99.
- [25] Yoshizawa M, Igarashi M, Moriguchi K, Iseda A, Armaki HG, Maruyama K. Effect of precipitates on long-term creep deformation properties of P92 and P122 type advanced ferritic steels for USC power plants, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 2009;510–511:162-168.
- [26] Sawada K, Kubo K, Abe F. Creep behavior and stability of MX precipitates at high temperature in 9Cr–0.5Mo–1.8W–VNb steel, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 2001;319–321:784-787.

- [27] Masuyama F, Komai N. Evaluation of long-term creep rupture strength of tungsten-strengthened advanced 9-12% Cr steels, *Key Eng. Mater.* 2000;171:179-188.
- [28] Kimura K, Sawada K, Kushima H., Toda Y. Long-term creep strength of creep strength enhanced ferritic steels. in: Cen K, Chi Y, Wang F, (Eds.). *Challenges of power engineering and environment*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2007. pp. 1059-1065.
- [29] G. Song, Z. Sun, J. Poplawsky, Y. Gao, P.K. Liaw. Microstructural Evolution of Single Ni_2TiAl or Hierarchical $\text{NiAl}/\text{Ni}_2\text{TiAl}$ Precipitates in Fe-Ni-Al-Cr-Ti Ferritic Alloys during Thermal Treatment for Elevated-Temperature Applications, *Acta Mater.* doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2017.01.011> (2017).
- [30] Zhang ZW, Liu CT, Wang XL, Littrell KC, Miller MK, An K, Chin BA. From embryos to precipitates: A study of nucleation and growth in a multicomponent ferritic steel, *Phys. Rev. B* 2011;84:174114.
- [31] Srinivasan R, Banerjee R, Hwang JY, Viswanathan GB, Tiley J, Dimiduk DM, Fraser HL. Atomic scale structure and chemical composition across order-disorder interfaces, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2009;102:086101.
- [32] Larson DJ, Prosa TJ, Ulfig RM, Geiser BP, Kelly TF. *Local electrode atom probe tomography*, Springer, New York, 2013.
- [33] Liebscher CH, Radmilovic V, Dahmen U, Asta M, Ghosh G. On the formation of hierarchically structured $\text{L}_{21}\text{-Ni}_2\text{TiAl}$ type precipitates in a ferritic alloy, *J. Mater. Sci.* 2013;48:2067-2075.

- [34] Kakehi K. Effect of primary and secondary precipitates on creep strength of Ni-base superalloy single crystals, Mater. Sci. Eng. A 2000;278:135-141.
- [35] Z. Sun, G. Song, J. Ilavsky, P.K. Liaw. Duplex precipitates and their effects on the room-temperature fracture behaviour of a NiAl-strengthened ferritic alloy, Mater. Res. Lett. 2015;3:128-134.
- [36] Z. Sun, G. Song, J. Ilavsky, G. Ghosh, P.K. Liaw. Nano-sized precipitate stability and its controlling factors in a NiAl-strengthened ferritic alloy, Sci. Rep. 2015;5:16081.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Fe-12.7Al-10.2Cr-9.0Ni-2.2Ti-1.9Mo-0.14Zr-0.024B (at. %)

Figure 3

Figure 4