X-ray diffraction and spectroscopy study of nano-Eu₂O₃ structural transformation under high pressure

Zhenhai Yu,¹ Qinglin Wang^{1,2}, Yanzhang Ma^{1,3}, Lin Wang^{1*},

¹Center for High Pressure Science and Technology Advanced Research, Shanghai, 201203, China

²Shandong Key Laboratory of Optical Communication Science and Technology, School of Physics Science & Information Technology, Liaocheng University, Liaocheng 252059, China

³Department of Mechanical Engineering, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas 79409, United States of America

*Corresponding Author E-mail: wanglin@hpstar.ac.cn (Dr. Lin Wang)

Keywords:

Rare Earth Sesquioxides; Nanocrystalline Materials; High pressure; Structural phase transition;

Abstract

Nanoscale materials exhibit properties that are quite distinct from those of bulk materials because of their size restricted nature. Here, we investigated the high-pressure structural stability of cubic (C-type) nano-Eu₂O₃ using *in situ* synchrotron X-ray diffraction (XRD), Raman and luminescence spectroscopy, and impedance spectra techniques. Our high-pressure XRD experimental results revealed a pressure-induced structural phase transition in nano-Eu₂O₃ from the C-type phase (space group: *Ia*-3) to a hexagonal phase (A-type, space group: *P*-3*m*1). Our reported transition pressure (9.3 GPa) in nano-Eu₂O₃ is higher than that of the corresponding bulk-Eu₂O₃ (5.0 GPa), which is contrary to the preceding reported experimental result. After pressure release, the A-type phase of Eu₂O₃ transforms into a new monoclinic phase (B-type, space group: *C*2/*m*). Compared with bulk-Eu₂O₃, C-type and A-type nano-Eu₂O₃ exhibits a larger bulk modulus. Our Raman and luminescence findings and XRD data provide consistent evidence of a pressure-induced structural phase transition in nano-Eu₂O₃. To our knowledge, we have performed the first high-pressure impedance spectra investigation on nano-Eu₂O₃ to examine the effect of the structural phase transition on its transport properties. We propose that the resistance inflection exhibited at ~ 12 GPa results from the phase boundary between the C-type and A-type phases. Besides, we summarized and discussed the structural evolution process by the phase diagram of lanthanide sesquioxides (Ln₂O₃) under high pressure.

1. Introduction

Lanthanide sesquioxides (Ln₂O₃, Ln = lanthanide) possessing partially filled *f* shells, have attracted much attention during the past decades due to their various physical properties and wide applications [1]. Some of these oxides have potential application as the nuclear waste host materials [2,3]. Therefore, it is crucial to understand their structural stabilities at extreme conditions of pressure and temperature. From the perspective of crystallography, Ln₂O₃ mainly crystallize into three types of

crystal polymorphisms at ambient conditions. For example, Ln_2O_3 with larger La, Ce, Pr and Nd cations crystallize into a hexagonal structure (Space group: $P-3m1$, hereafter A-type). The medium Sm, Eu or Gd cations containing Ln_2O_3 mainly present a monoclinic (Space group: $C2/m$, hereafter B-type) or cubic (Space group: $Ia-3$, hereafter C-type) structure. The schematic crystal models (C-, A-, and B-types) are shown in Fig. 1 (a). While the smaller cations (from Tb to Lu) containing Ln_2O_3 mainly crystallize into a C-type structure. Nanoscale materials exhibit properties that are quite distinct from those of bulk materials because of their size restricted nature. The difference in structural evolution behavior of nanoscale materials compared to bulk materials was mostly believed to be a result of the competition between the specific surface area, grain boundary and the internal energy differences. To compare the similarities and differences between bulk and nanoscale materials, here we have studied structural phase transition in nano- Eu_2O_3 using a combined high pressure synchrotron X-ray diffraction (XRD) and spectroscopy techniques. Furthermore, the phase diagram of the structural evolution process for Ln_2O_3 under high pressure was summarized and discussed.

The temperature dependence of the lanthanide sesquioxides polymorphisms has been thoroughly studied for fundamental and applied reasons [4]. In addition, external pressure is a well-known powerful method to decrease the interatomic distance and increase the density of materials, eventually changing their crystal polymorphisms. At ambient conditions, the density sequences of these three typical polymorphisms for A-, B- and C-types of Ln_2O_3 are $\rho_A > \rho_B > \rho_C$. Therefore, it is expected that the structural phase transition sequences in Ln_2O_3 under high pressure will follow the order $C \rightarrow B \rightarrow A$. Previous *in-situ* high pressure experiments on these Ln_2O_3 have confirmed this speculation, such as the phase transition of $B \rightarrow A$ in Sm_2O_3 [5], the phase transition of $C \rightarrow A$ in Gd_2O_3 [6] and the phase transition of $C \rightarrow B \rightarrow A$ in Dy_2O_3 [7]. Sc and Y are considered as rare earth elements because they tend to occur in the same ore deposits as the lanthanides and exhibit similar chemical properties. Recently, Gd_2S_3 -type phase (Space group: $Pnma$) was discovered in Sc_2O_3 and Y_2O_3 under high

pressure and high temperatures [8,9]. This also evoked further studies on the structural phase transitions in rare earth sesquioxides under extreme conditions.

Eu_2O_3 , which is one of the most important rare-earth oxides, has been extensively utilized as high performance luminescent devices, neutron absorbers, catalysts etc. based on its unique optical and chemical characteristics arising from its $4f$ electrons [10]. Due to these interesting applications, it is crucial to understand the characteristics of its different phases under external conditions. The pressure-induced phase transitions in Eu_2O_3 have previously been studied using XRD, Raman spectroscopy and other techniques. However, the phase transition in Eu_2O_3 from the reported results was inconsistent. A pressure-induced $C \rightarrow B$ structural phase transition in bulk- Eu_2O_3 was reported by Chen *et al.* by the luminescence spectra of Eu ions [11]. Later, a pressure-induced structural phase transition from a B- to A-type in bulk- Eu_2O_3 was discovered around 4.7 GPa using energy dispersive XRD technique [12]. The high-pressure structural evolution of bulk- Eu_2O_3 was investigated by Jiang *et al.* using an angle dispersive XRD (AD-XRD) technique. Their experimental results showed that bulk- Eu_2O_3 undergoes a C-type $\rightarrow$ A-type structural phase transition with a coexist pressure range of 5.0 GPa $\sim$ 13.0 GPa [13]. From these preceding investigations, there are still significant discrepancies between the pressure-induced structural phase transition sequence in bulk- Eu_2O_3 by different authors. Here, we extended the investigation on pressure-induced structural phase transition in nano- Eu_2O_3 . Our motivation was to discover if nano- Eu_2O_3 presents a different phase transition sequence from bulk- Eu_2O_3 under high pressure.

Comparative investigations on pressure-induced structural phase transition in bulk- and nanoscale-materials have attracted extensive attention during the past decades [14, 15]. To the best of our knowledge, nano-materials with a smaller particle size will exhibit higher transition pressures, such as the particle size dependence of the phase transition pressure investigated in Y_2O_3 [16]. Smaller particle sizes resulting in a significant reduction of the phase transition pressure were only reported in

CeO₂, TiO₂ and γ -Fe₂O₃ [17-19]. The pressure-induced structural phase transition in nano-Eu₂O₃ was studied by Dilawar *et al.* using a laboratory rotating anode generator X-ray source [20]. The authors suggested that nano-Eu₂O₃ transforms from a C-type to B-type phase around 2.0 GPa, which is remarkably lower than the phase transition point of 5.0 GPa that occurs in the corresponding bulk-Eu₂O₃. For the transition pressure reported in Ref. [20], there is still no other literature to refer to. The purpose of this paper is to report our detailed results of the pressure-induced transition in nano-Eu₂O₃ and compare our results with preceding investigations on these structural transitions. The morphology of the present sample was investigated with transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Ln₂O₃ compounds are important materials for different technological application requiring specific optical, magnetic, and electronic properties. This makes it crucial to understand the transport behavior of Ln₂O₃ at different conditions. We have performed the first high-pressure impedance spectra investigation on nano-Eu₂O₃, to examine the effect of the structural phase transition on its transport properties. It is remarkable to note that the resistance exhibits inflection around of the C-type $\rightarrow$ A-type transition pressure.

2. Experimental methods

2.1. High-pressure synchrotron angle dispersive XRD

We performed our high-pressure synchrotron XRD experiment using a symmetric diamond anvil cell (DAC) with a 300 μ m culet. T301 stainless steel with a thickness of 310 μ m and a pre-indented thickness of 20 μ m served as our gasket. The 120 μ m diameter sample chamber was filled with a mixture of the nano-Eu₂O₃ compound, a ruby chip, and silicone oil as a pressure-transmitting medium. Angle dispersive powder XRD patterns were taken with a Mar3450 detector using synchrotron radiation beams monochromatized to a wavelength of 0.3875 Å at the 16 BM-D beamline of the Advanced Photon Source (APS), Argonne National Laboratory (ANL). The two-dimensional (2-D)

image plate patterns were converted to one-dimensional versus intensity data using the Fit2D software package [21]. The experimental pressures were determined by the pressure-induced fluorescence ruby shift [22].

2.2. Raman and photoluminescence (PL) spectra under high-pressure

We carried out our Raman spectroscopy investigation on nano-Eu₂O₃ under high pressure using a commercial Renishaw Raman spectroscopy system in the backscattering configuration excited with a He/Ne laser ($\lambda = 632.8$ nm) and a spectra resolution of around 1 cm⁻¹. We used a methanol and ethanol mixture as our pressure-transmitting medium. High-pressure PL spectra were also performed using the commercial Renishaw Raman spectroscopy system and excitation sources 532 nm. The pressure of the sample (both for Raman and luminescence measurements) was measured using well established ruby fluorescence techniques [22].

2.3. High-pressure impedance spectroscopy properties measurement

A symmetric DAC with an anvil culet of 400 μ m in diameter was utilized to generate high pressure. A two-electrode (Pt electrodes) configuration was used for *in-situ* electrical impedance spectroscopy measurement on the DAC under high pressure. The manufacturing process of the microcircuit was similar to that described in our previous works [23]. We did not use a pressure-transmitting medium to ensure that the impedance spectroscopy reflected the sample information. A ruby ball was used as the pressure calibrator by using the wavelength shift of its R1 line [22]. The impedance spectra were measured by a Solartron 1260 impedance analyzer equipped with Solartron 1296 dielectric interface. A 3.0 V sine signal was input into the sample, and its frequency ranged from 10⁻² to 10⁷ Hz.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. The morphology of nano-Eu₂O₃

The nano-Eu₂O₃ sample used in the present study was commercially purchased from pearl river rare earth smelter. The phase purity of sample was confirmed by using XRD measurement. The sample was used for high-pressure measurements without further process. First, the morphology of nano-Eu₂O₃ was analyzed using TEM. The TEM image of the nano-Eu₂O₃ is shown in Fig. 1 (b). The sample and the background present an apparent contrast. The grain size of the nano-Eu₂O₃ sample was analyzed, and the size of the grain ranges from 6 to 18 nm. The diameters of the nano-Eu₂O₃ crystallite size were also estimated using the Debye–Scherrer formula $d = 0.89\lambda/(\beta\cos\theta)$, where λ is the X-ray wavelength, β is the width of the diffraction peak at full width at half maximum (FWHM) and θ is the Bragg diffraction angle. We deduced that the nano-Eu₂O₃ nanoparticles have an average crystallite size of ~ 18 nm by analysis of the XRD peak at $2\theta = 7.07^\circ$ (hkl : (222)), as shown in Fig. 2 (a).

3.2. High pressure angle dispersive XRD patterns of nano-Eu₂O₃

Fig. 2 (a) demonstrates the selected AD-XRD patterns of nano-Eu₂O₃ under various pressures during compression. As the pressure gradually increases, the diffraction peaks of the C-type phase shift steadily to a higher angle. Apart from the peak shift, the diffraction peaks do not undergo any significant variation up to the pressure of 9.3 GPa. Above 11.2 GPa, the appearance of new diffraction peaks at $2\theta = 6.8^\circ$ (hkl : (100)) and 7.9° (hkl : (101)) from C-type ((222) and (312)) indicates a pressure-induced structural phase transition. As the pressure further increases, the C-type phase disappears and the peaks corresponding to the A-type phase become progressively more defined. XRD patterns of nano-Eu₂O₃ were analyzed with Rietveld refinement using the GSAS program package [24] with the user interface EXPGUI [25]. We obtained the lattice parameter value for C-type ($a = 10.884$ Å at 0 GPa) and A-type phases ($a = b = 3.685$ Å, $c = 5.616$ Å at 17.6 GPa) by Rietveld refinement. The pressure-induced structural phase transition (C-type $\rightarrow$ A-type) in nano-Eu₂O₃ results into the appearance of a new vibrational mode and variation of the energy band structure, which we analyzed in the subsequent Raman and luminescence measurements. Further pressure increase up to 43.0 GPa did

not lead to any new diffraction peaks but peaks broadening, which indicates that the A-type phase could stably exist up to the highest pressure.

It should be emphasized that the AD-XRD data obtain in Ref. [20] are seriously affected by the gasket signal because of the large beam size (100 μm) of the laboratory X-ray radiation ($\lambda = 0.71069$ Å) which simultaneously impinges the sample and gasket. Furthermore, Dilawar *et al.* in Ref. [20] suggested that nano-Eu₂O₃ exhibits a pressure-induced structural phase transition according to the intensity change of a diffraction peak at $2\theta = 12.965^\circ$. However, the weakening diffraction patterns suffered from several factors such as (i) the decreasing depth of the sample; (ii) the quasihydrostatic or nonhydrostatic pressure environment; and (iii) strong crystallographic distortion. Therefore, we suggested that the phase transition drawn from XRD data should be complemented with other characterized technique such as Raman spectroscopy. N. Dilawar *et al.* [20] used a 4:1 mixture of methanol-ethanol, gold, and ruby as the pressure transmitting medium, the pressure marker in XRD and Raman measurement, respectively. The starting sample used in Dilawar's experiment was found to be predominantly cubic type Eu₂O₃ or in Eu³⁺ state with a trace of Eu²⁺ (non-stoichiometric Eu_{1-x}O phase). We speculated that the above mentioned may cause the difference between Dilawar *et al.* and present work.

In order to check whether or not the pressure-induced structural phase transition in nano-Eu₂O₃ is reversible, we also collected the XRD patterns during pressure release. We found that A-type Eu₂O₃ transforms into B-type after pressure release to ambient pressure, as shown in Fig. 2 (b). This indicates that the pressure-induced structural phase transition in nano-Eu₂O₃ is irreversible. The process of structural phase transition depends on several factors such as energy, kinetic barrier, nucleation, phase transition path etc.; thus, A-type may transform into C- or B-type as the pressure decreases. From an energy landscape perspective, B-type Eu₂O₃ has higher energy than C-type under ambient pressure. However, our experimental results show that nano-Eu₂O₃ undergoes a structural phase transition of

A-type $\rightarrow$ B-type as pressure releases to ambient pressure. This phenomenon could stem from the lower kinetic barrier of the A-type $\rightarrow$ B-type than the A-type $\rightarrow$ C-type phase transition, which may originate from the reconstructive nature of the A-type $\rightarrow$ C-type phase transition.

Preceding high-pressure XRD studies on bulk-Eu₂O₃ indicated that the C-type $\rightarrow$ A-type phase transition begins at 5.0 GPa [13], which is lower than our present transition pressure of 9.3 GPa. Here, our experimental results are consistent with the general model for most nanomaterials (including oxides and sulfides), which exhibit higher transition pressures with a decrease in particle size [26,27]. We suggest that the reduction of particle size dramatically enhances the structure stability of Eu₂O₃. Fig. 3 (a) exhibits the pressure dependence of the normalized lattice parameters of the C- and A-type phases for nano-Eu₂O₃. We found that the axial compressibilities of the A-type phase are remarkably anisotropic, which was characterized by kappa parameter κ ($\kappa = (a_0 - a_p)/a_0$, a_0 is lattice parameter at ambient pressure, while a_p is lattice parameter under high pressure). The compressibility along a -axis is about one order of magnitude smaller than along the c -axis. The axial compressibility of the C-type phase is similar to the c -axis compressibility of the A-type phase. The axial ratio (c/a) of the A-type nano-Eu₂O₃, which decreases with the increasing pressure is illustrated as a function of pressure in the inset of Fig. 3 (a). These results are in good qualitative agreement with the normalized lattice parameter data.

Fig. 3 (b) illustrates the pressure-dependent unit cell volumes of the C-type and A-type phases of Eu₂O₃, where the solid lines are the fitting results of the C-type and A-type phases [28]. The equation of state (EOS) parameters V_0 (unit cell volume at ambient pressure), B_0 (isothermal bulk modulus), and B_0' (pressure derivative of B_0) were determined by a 2nd order Birch–Murnaghan equation fitting [29]. With B_0' fixed as 4, we obtained the ambient pressure isothermal bulk modulus of $B_0 = 178$ (5) and 229 (2) GPa for the C-type and A-type phases, respectively. The bulk modulus of C-type bulk-Eu₂O₃ is $\sim$

145 GPa according to previous high pressure XRD investigations and theoretical simulations [13,30]. The B_0 of nano-Eu₂O₃ is ~ 20% larger than its bulk counterpart. This phenomenon may be due to the higher surface to volume ratio of nano-Eu₂O₃ than bulk-Eu₂O₃. The C-type → A-type phase transition is accompanied by a drastic decrease of about 12.1% in its volume. The C-type → A-type phase transformation is reconstructive and the volume change should be large. Zinkevich [1] summarized that the relative volume changes of the C-type → A-type phase transitions for most Ln₂O₃ were about 8%.

3.3. Raman and photoluminescence (PL) spectra of nano-Eu₂O₃ under high-pressure

To further verify our proposed structural phase transition sequence of nano-Eu₂O₃ under high pressure, we employed Raman scattering spectroscopy to characterize its pressure-induced structural phase transition. Our nano-Eu₂O₃ sample crystallizes into a cubic structure with space group *Ia*-3 at ambient conditions from our XRD data analysis. The unit cell of the C-type phase has a bixbyite structure, which contains 16 molecules of Eu₂O₃. Twenty-two Raman modes ($4A_g+4E_g+14F_g$) have been predicted for the C-type Eu₂O₃ according to group theory analysis [31]. At ambient conditions, only 12 Raman peaks at (94, 109, 119, 134, 145, 175, 289, 337, 385, 425, 559, and 671 cm⁻¹) for the cubic phase were observed clearly. It is clear from Fig. 4 that the strongest Raman peak for nano-Eu₂O₃ is observed at 337 cm⁻¹ at ambient conditions. As reported, the sample shows characteristic peaks that correspond to the cubic phase of bulk-Eu₂O₃. The most prominent peak assigned as the F_g band occurs at 337 cm⁻¹. Our experimental results are in good agreement with previous reported results [32]. A-type Eu₂O₃ is hexagonal (space group: *P*-3 m 1), and contains one molecule per unit cell. B-type Eu₂O₃ is monoclinic (space group: *C*2/*m*), and contains six molecules per unit cell. Our group theory analysis yields 21 Raman modes: $14A_g+7B_g$ for the B-type phase, and A-type phase shows four Raman modes: $2A_{1g}+2E_g$.

With increasing pressure, all Raman modes show continuous shifts to higher wavenumbers, indicating Eu–O bonding contraction under high pressure. However, as the pressure further increases,

only the strong Raman modes are clearly observable. Above 9.7 GPa, the relative intensity of the Raman peaks exhibit a remarkable variation compared to ambient pressure, and the Raman peaks become broader and new Raman peaks appeared. Considering our XRD, Raman data and literature results [6,33], we think a structural change occurs above this pressure point. Dilawa *et al.* [20] suggested that the C-type $\rightarrow$ A-type phase transition occurred at 2.94 $\sim$ 8.08 GPa by Raman spectra. The Raman signal of the A-type phase of nano-Eu₂O₃ is very weak and only a broad peak is observed at frequency $\sim$ 500 cm⁻¹. As mentioned above, the pressure-induced structural phase transition in nano-Eu₂O₃ was irreversible according to the XRD results. Therefore, we also collected the Raman spectrum of Eu₂O₃ after decompressing the sample to ambient conditions as shown in Fig. 4. The nano-Eu₂O₃ quenched from 43.0 GPa shows a completely different Raman spectrum from the starting sample. As compared with the reported Raman spectrum of other rare-earth sesquioxides, the quenched nano-Eu₂O₃ has a B-type structure. The observed pressure-induced structural phase transition using Raman spectrum is in good agreement with the XRD experimental observations.

Eu³⁺ is often studied as a useful structural probe, which is sensitive to the surrounding crystal environment. Hence, we used PL measurement as a unique probe to investigate the effect of the nano-Eu₂O₃ phase transition under high pressure. The C-type Eu₂O₃ crystal structures possess *Ia-3* symmetry at ambient conditions with a large band gap ($\sim$ 4.4 eV) [34]. There are two Eu³⁺ sites (two Wyckoff position 8(b) and 24 (d)), and these sites possess C2 and S6 symmetry. The sites occur in a 3:1 ratio in the unit cell and the C2 site is more abundant [35], and therefore, the ⁵D₀–⁷F₂ transition is prominent. Our C-type phase of nano-Eu₂O₃ under an excitation wavelength (532 nm) displays characteristics sharp peaks in the 550–720 nm region associated with the ⁵D₀–⁷F_J (J = 0, 1, 2, 3). Fig. 5 (a) shows selected luminescence spectra obtained from C-type nano-Eu₂O₃ under various pressures up to 30 GPa. The luminescence located around 572 nm originates from the diamond and is labeled with dashed lines. Furthermore the luminescence peak of the diamond shows a feeble pressure shift due to

the large bulk modulus (~ 445 GPa) and band gap (5.5 eV) [36]. The luminescence spectra obtained under ambient pressure and up to 12.1 GPa exhibit features of C-type symmetry. The luminescence spectra experienced minor red shift as the pressure increases from ambient pressure to 12.1 GPa. The luminescence spectrum obtained at 12.1 GPa differs from that at ambient pressure in the compression process. Furthermore, the relative loss of intensity in band I with respect to band II is further evidence that the structural phase transition of C-type $\rightarrow$ A-type has occurred as shown in Fig. 5 (b). It is known that the luminescent properties of inorganic materials can be greatly affected by tailoring their crystallographic symmetry, morphology, and size etc. During the transformation of the crystallographic symmetry (such as cubic $\rightarrow$ monoclinic), the crystallographic environment experiences a variation leading to the emission spectrum variation.

The crystal structure of B-type Eu_2O_3 possesses $C2/m$ symmetry with Eu ions occupying three separate and distinct Wyckoff positions, each of which possess Cs symmetry. Within the resolution of these experiments, the emission lines could not be completely resolved. The luminescence spectrum obtained when releasing pressure to 0 GPa is very similar to the profile in the luminescence spectrum of monoclinic Eu_2O_3 [37,38], but with an unresolved fine profile, which may result from the pressure effect. The luminescence spectrum obtained at 0 GPa (decompression cycle) supports the speculation of a pressure-induced phase transition of A-type $\rightarrow$ B-type deduced from our XRD results. This reveals that the structural C-type $\rightarrow$ A-type phase transition as the pressure decreases is irreversible. In addition, the relative intensity of luminescence of diamond compared to nano- Eu_2O_3 increases as the pressure decreases from 30 GPa to 0 GPa.

3.4. Impedance spectroscopy of nano- Eu_2O_3 under high pressure

Under high pressure, a structural phase transition is often accompanied by an electronic phase transition. The decreasing atomic distance and variation of the crystal field of nano- Eu_2O_3 are expected to alter its electronic properties, which are reflected in the electronic transport property measurements.

We now explore the effect of pressure on the transport behavior of nano-Eu₂O₃. The electronic configuration of Eu in Eu₂O₃ is 4f⁶ (Eu³⁺), which belongs to the inner electron (subjected to shielding effect of the 5s²5p⁶ electrons) and characterized by its localized feature. Therefore, in general the rare earth oxides exhibit larger resistivity ($\sim 10^9 \Omega\cdot\text{cm}$ [39], the resistivity of typical metal copper is $\sim 10^{-6} \Omega\cdot\text{cm}$). The resistivities of the rare earth sesquioxides become larger as the atomic number increase [40] according to reported literature results. The electron–electron interactions of 4f electrons are strong and thus Eu₂O₃ is a strongly correlate system [41].

Impedance spectroscopy measurements on nano-Eu₂O₃ under high pressure demonstrated that the resistance of grains possesses a larger magnitude than the grain boundaries, and therefore one semicircle is seen clearly in the complex plane (inset of Fig. 6). The semicircle representing the transport properties of the grain boundaries cannot be observed. This may result from the comprehensive high pressure (compacting) and small particle size of our sample (nano size). From the Z'-intercept of semicircles, the resistance of grains (R) at certain pressures can be deduced as shown in Fig. 6. The resistance decreases as the pressure increases from 0 to 12 GPa. A discontinuous change can be found at ~ 12 GPa as clearly seen in the inset of Fig. 6. These results show that the structural phase transition accompanies detectable changes in the electrical transport behavior. We attribute the unusual changes of resistance at ~ 12 GPa to the phase transitions of C-type $\rightarrow$ A-type. The change of the microstructure of grain boundaries (coming from different crystallographic phases, such as C-type and A-type Eu₂O₃ that coexist ~ 12 GPa), affects the carrier scattering in the grain boundary conduction process. In our high pressure impedance spectrum experiment, we did not use a pressure-transmitting medium leading to a nonhydrostatic pressure environment in the sample. Compared with our XRD studies conducted under hydrostatic conditions, the transition pressure under non-hydrostatic compression has about a 3 GPa shift to higher pressure. The anomalous variation ~ 12 GPa may occur for the following reasons: (i) The grain boundary microstructure rearranged during the structural phase

transition. (ii) The electrons are subjected to stronger scattering from the A-type phase that emerged at ~ 12 GPa than the C-type phase. As the pressure further increasing, the resistance decreases up to 22 GPa. A one order of magnitude decrease in the resistance value was detected in the pressure range of 5 $\sim$ 20 GPa by in-situ resistance measurements. We propose the nano-Eu₂O₃ compressed to 20 GPa is an insulator from our impedance spectra measurements.

3.5. The phase diagrams of the structural evolution process under high pressure for Ln₂O₃

Ln₂O₃ exhibit interesting structural, physical and chemical properties and have important technological applications. Therefore, it is crucial to understand their structural stability at extreme conditions of pressure and temperature. During the past decades the rare earth sesquioxides have been studied by numerous workers who have determined the crystal structures and investigated the phase relationships among them. In order to systematically compare the structural evolution information on the compression behavior of Ln₂O₃, the structure phase diagrams of Ln₂O₃ under high pressure are reviewed and plotted in Fig. 7. The hashed bars extend upward to the highest pressure measurement made for each respective compound. Since XRD, Raman spectroscopy and other techniques could characterize structural phase transitions, it is reminded that the pressure-induced structural phase transition in Ln₂O₃ summarized here are merely adapted to using XRD techniques. And the corresponding text descriptions abstracted from the phase diagram of Ln₂O₃ are as follows.

(I) For most of the Ln₂O₃, the B-type structure has been shown to be favored over the C-type, and the A-type over both of B- and C-types as the pressure increasing. However it is interesting to note that La₂O₃ and Ce₂O₃ were stable up to 18 GPa and 70 GPa, respectively.

(II) It is found from Fig. 7 that the transition pressure (C-type $\rightarrow$ A-type, or B-type $\rightarrow$ A-type) is related to the atomic radii of lanthanides namely, and the transition pressure of Ln₂O₃ increases as the cationic radius decreases.

(III) The C-type $\rightarrow$ A- and B-type phase transition possesses large coexistence pressure scope, while the B-type $\rightarrow$ A-type phase transition shows relatively clear phase boundary.

(IV) As Fig. 7 shows, for most of the Ln_2O_3 , the C-type to B-type phase transition or to A-type have be observed as increasing pressure. While the full C-type $\rightarrow$ B-type $\rightarrow$ A-type structural phase transition sequence was only observed in Sm_2O_3 , Dy_2O_3 , and Ho_2O_3 .

(V) For the light lanthanide sesquioxides such as Sm_2O_3 and Eu_2O_3 , the C-type or B-type transformed into A-type as the pressure increases, and the high-pressure phase was unquenchable.

(VI) For the heavy lanthanide sesquioxides such as Dy_2O_3 , Ho_2O_3 , Er_2O_3 , Yb_2O_3 and Lu_2O_3 and Eu_2O_3 , the C-type transformed into B-type or/and A-type with pressure increasing, and the high-pressure phase was quenchable. Though Gd belongs to heavy earth element, the structural evolution behavior for Gd_2O_3 is more similar with light rare earth sesquioxides.

4. Conclusions

To summarize, we found a structural phase transition in nano- Eu_2O_3 under high pressure through in-situ high-pressure AD-XRD and Raman/luminescence spectroscopy measurements. A C-type $\rightarrow$ A-type phase transformation was observed in nano- $\text{Eu}_2\text{O}_3 \sim 9$ GPa. The A-type phase of nano- Eu_2O_3 was stable up to at least 43.0 GPa. Our reported nano- Eu_2O_3 transition pressure is higher than that of its corresponding bulk- Eu_2O_3 . After decompression back to ambient pressure, the A-type phase was unquenchable and transformed into a B-type phase. Compared with the bulk- Eu_2O_3 , nano- Eu_2O_3 exhibited a larger bulk modulus. The structural phase transition observed from Raman and luminescence measurements were in good agreement with our XRD data analysis conclusion. The impedance spectrum measurement demonstrated that the anomaly; at ~ 12 GPa was likely due to the structural C-type $\rightarrow$ A-type phase transition. Furthermore, the general law and unique case for Ln_2O_3

under high pressure were clearly demonstrated by the summarized phase diagram of the structural evolution process for Ln_2O_3 .

Acknowledgements

Portions of this work were performed at HPCAT (Sector 16), Advanced Photon Source (APS), Argonne National Laboratory. HPCAT is supported by CIW, CDAC, UNLV, and LLNL through funding from DOE-NNSA, DOE-BES, and NSF. Use of the Advanced Photon Source, an Office of Science User Facility operated for the US DOE Office of Science by Argonne National Laboratory, was supported by the US DOE under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. The authors acknowledge the support of NSAF (Grant No: U1530402) and Science Challenging Program. This work was supported by the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET-10-0444) project by Professor Lin Wang.

REFERENCES

1. M. Zinkevich, *Prog. Mater. Sci.* 52 (2007) 597.
2. M. Tang, J. A. Valdez, K. E. Sickafus, P. Lu, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 90 (2007) 151907.
3. L. Kittiratanawasin, R. Smith, B. P. Uberuaga, K. E. Sickafus, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* 21 (2009) 115403.
4. G. Y. Adachi, N. Imanaka, *Chem. Rev.* 98 (1998) 1479.
5. S. Jiang, J. Liu, C. L. Lin, X. D. Li, and Y. C. Li, *J. Appl. Phys.* 113 (2013) 113502.
6. F. X. Zhang, M. Lang, J. W. Wang, U. Becker, and R. C. Ewing, *Phys. Rev. B* 78 (2008) 064114.
7. S. Jiang, J. Liu, C. L. Lin, L. G. Bai, Y. F. Zhang, X. D. Li, Y. C. Li, L. Y. Tang, H. Wang, *Solid State Commun.* 169 (2013) 37.
8. H. Yusa, T. Tsuchiya, N. Sata, and Y. Ohishi, *Inorg. Chem.* 48 (2009) 7537.
9. H. Yusa, T. Tsuchiya, N. Sata, and Y. Ohishi, *Inorg. Chem.* 49 (2010) 4478.
10. D. Richard, L. A. Errico, M. Rentería, *J. Alloy. Compd.* 664 (2016) 580.
11. G. Chen, N. A. Stump, R. G. Haire, and J. R. Peterson, *J. Alloy. Compd.* 181 (1992) 503.
12. G. Chen, J. R. Peterson, and K. E. Brister, *J. Solid State Chem.* 111 (1994) 437.
13. S. Jiang, L. G. Bai, J. Liu, W. S. Xiao, X. D. Li, Y. C. Li, L. Y. Tang, Y. F. Zhang, and D. C. Zhang, *Chin. Phys. Lett.* 26 (2009) 076101.
14. Z. W. Wang, L. L. Daemen, Y. S. Zhao, C. S. Zha, R. T. Downs, X. D. Wang, Z. L. Wang, and R. J. Hemley, *Nat. Mater.* 4 (2005) 922.
15. R. S. Kumar, A. L. Cornelius, M. F. Nicol, *Curr. Appl. Phys.* 7 (2007) 135.
16. L. Wang, W. G. Yang, Y. Ding, Y. Ren, S. G. Xiao, B. B. Liu, S. V. Sinogeikin, Y. Meng, D. J. Gosztola, G. Y. Shen, R. J. Hemley, W. L. Mao, and H. K. Mao, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 105 (2010) 095701.
17. Z. W. Wang, S. K. Saxena, V. Pischedda, H. P. Liermann, and C. S. Zha, *Phys. Rev. B* 64 (2001) 012102.
18. J. S. Olsen, L. Gerward, and J. Z. Jiang, *J. Phys. Chem Solids* 60 (1999) 229.
19. J. Z. Jiang, J. S. Olsen, L. Gerward, and S. Morup, *Europhys. Lett.* 44 (1998) 620.
20. N. Dilawar, D. Varandani, V. P. Pandey, M. Kumar, S. M. Shivaprasad, P. K. Sharma, and A. K. Bandyopadhyay, *J. Nanosci Nanotechnol.* 6 (2006) 105.
21. A. Hammersley, S. Svensson, M. Hanfland, A. Fitch and D. Hausermann, *High Pressure Res.* 14 (1996) 235.
22. H. K. Mao, J. Xu, P. Bell, *J. Geophys. Res.* 91 (1986) 4673.
23. M. Xu, Z. H. Yu, L. Wang, R. Mazzarello, and M. Wuttig, *Adv. Electron. Mater.* 1 (2015) 1500240.
24. A. C. Larson, R. B. Von Dreele, *General Structure Analysis System (GSAS)*, Los Alamos National Laboratory Report LAUR 86-748, 1994.
25. B. H. Toby, *J. Appl. Crystallorg.* 34 (2001) 210.
26. S. B. Qadri, J. Yang, B. R. Ratna, E. F. Skelton, and J. Z. Hu, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 69 (1996) 2205.
27. J. Z. Jiang, J. S. Olsen, L. Gerward, D. Frost, D. Rubie, and J. Peyronneau, *Europhys. Lett.* 50 (2000) 48.
28. R. J. Angel, J. Gonzalez-Platas, and M. Alvaro, *Z. Kristallogr.* 229 (2014) 405.
29. F. Birch, *Phys. Rev.* 71 (1947) 809.
30. G. Concas, J. K. Dewhurst, A. Sanna, S. Sharma, and S. Massidda, *Phys. Rev. B* 84 (2011) 014427.
31. W. B. White, V. G. Keramidas, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A* 28 (1972) 501.
32. L. A. Tucker, F. J. Carney, P. McMillan, S. H. Lin, and L. Eyring, *Appl. Spectrosc.* 38 (1984) 857.

33. N. Dilawar, D. Varandani, S. Mehrotra, H. K. Poswal, S. M. Sharma and A. K. Bandyopadhyay, *Nanotechnology* 19 (2008) 115703.
34. A. V. Prokofiev, A. I. Shelykh, B. T. Melekh, *J. Alloys Compd.* 242 (1996) 41.
35. K. C. Sheng, G. M. Korenowski, *J. Phys. Chem.* 92 (1988) 50.
36. F. Occelli, P. Loubeyre, R. LeToullec, *Nature materials* 2 (2003) 151.
37. B. Bihari, H. Eilers, B. M. Tissue, *J. Lumin.* 75 (1997) 1.
38. J. Dexpert-Ghys, M. Faucher, and P. Caro, *Phys. Rev. B* 23 (1981) 607.
39. V. M. Koleshko, N. V. Babushkina, *Thin Solid Films*, 62 (1979) 1.
40. G. V. Subba Rao, S. Ramdas, P. N. Mehrotra, C. N. R. Rao, *J. Solid State Chem.* 2 (1970) 377.
41. R. Gillen, S. J. Clark, and J. Robertson, *Phys. Rev. B* 87 (2013) 125116.
42. S. Jiang, J. Liu, C. L. Lin, L. G. Bai, W. S. Xiao, Y. F. Zhang, D. C. Zhang, X. D. Li, Y. C. Li, and L. Y. Tang, *J. Appl. Phys.* 108 (2010) 083541.
43. C. M. Lin, K. T. Wu, T. L. Hung, H. S. Sheu, M. H. Tsai, J. F. Lee, J. J. Lee, *Solid State Commun.* 150 (2010) 1564.
44. C. Meyer, J. P. Sanchez, J. Thomasson, and J. P. Itié, *Phys. Rev. B* 51 (1995) 12187.
45. S. D. Pandey, K. Samanta, J. Singh, N. D. Sharma, and A. K. Bandyopadhyay, *AIP Advances* 3 (2013) 122123.
46. P. Ch. Sahu, D. Lonappan and N. V. Chandra Shekar, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* 377 (2012) 012015.
47. X. T. Ren, X. Z. Yan, P. Wang, Y. Li, S. M. Wang, F. Peng, L. Xiong, J. Liu & D. W. He, *High Press Res.* 34 (2014) 70.
48. Q. X. Guo, Y. S. Zhao, C. Jiang, W. L. Mao, Z. W. Wang, J. Z. Zhang, and Y. J. Wang, *Inorg. Chem.* 46 (2007) 6164.
49. S. Jiang, J. Liu, X. D. Li, L. G. Bai, W. S. Xiao, Y. F. Zhang, C. L. Lin, Y. C. Li, and L. Y. Tang, *J. Appl. Phys.* 110 (2011) 013526.
50. D. Lonappan, N. V. Chandra Shekar, T. R. Ravindran, P. Ch. Sahu, *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 120 (2010) 65.
51. L. G. Bai, J. Liu, X. D. Li, S. Jiang, W. S. Xiao, Y. C. Li, L. Y. Tang, Y. F. Zhang, and D. C. Zhang, *J. Appl. Phys.* 106 (2009) 073507.
52. Q. X. Guo, Y. S. Zhao, C. Jiang, W. L. Mao, Z. W. Wang, *Solid State Commun.* 145 (2008) 250.
53. M. J. Lipp, J. R. Jeffries, H. Cynn, J.-H. Park Klepeis, W. J. Evans, D. R. Mortensen, G. T. Seidler, Y. Xiao, and P. Chow, *Phys. Rev. B* 93 (2016) 064106.
54. J. P. McClure, PhD thesis, University of Nevada, Las Vegas (2009).

Figure captions

Fig. 1. Schematic crystal structures of polymorphism for Eu_2O_3 , (a) C-type, space group: $Ia-3$ (No. 206), A-type, space group: $P-3m$ (No. 164), and B-type space group: $C2/m$ (No. 12). (b) TEM image of nano- Eu_2O_3 . The scale bar is also presented for convenient estimation of the sample particle size.

Fig. 2 (a). AD-XRD pattern of nano- Eu_2O_3 under various pressures up to 43.0 GPa at room temperature during the compression process. (b) The XRD pattern collected in the releasing pressure process.

Fig. 3 (a). Pressure dependence of the normalized lattice parameters for C- and A-type nano- Eu_2O_3 . The inset shows the axial ratio for the A-type phase as a function of pressure. The axial compressibilities of the A-type phase are remarkably anisotropic; the compressibility along the a -axis is about one order of magnitude smaller than the c -axis. (b) Pressure-dependent volume of nano- Eu_2O_3 (this work) and previous data from Jiang *et al.* (bulk Eu_2O_3 , Ref. [13]). The bulk moduli of the C-type and A-type phases of bulk- and nano- Eu_2O_3 are presented for convenient comparison.

Fig. 4. Raman spectra of nano- Eu_2O_3 under various pressures at ambient temperature. Top panel, the Raman spectrum collected after sample release to ambient conditions is marked with R0 GPa.

Fig. 5 (a). Selected luminescence ($^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_0$, ~ 580 nm) spectra of nano- Eu_2O_3 under different pressures. The luminescence spectrum obtained under releasing pressure to ambient pressure is marked with R0. The luminescence from diamond is also labeled with dashed lines. (b) The relative loss of intensity in band I with respect to band II under high pressure.

Fig. 6. The pressure dependence of nano- Eu_2O_3 resistance; an anomalous variation was observed at ~ 12 GPa. The inset shows the impedance spectra (in the complex plane) of nano- Eu_2O_3 under different pressures. The solid lines in the inset are a visual aid.

Fig. 7. The phase diagrams of Lanthanide sesquioxides under room temperature with increasing pressure referred from several adapted literatures (Ref. 5-7, 12, 13, 20, 42-54) and present work. The data plotted in this figure was merely carried out using experimental XRD techniques. The right edge of the bars indicates the maximum experimental pressure. The sample morphology was marked with “Bulk”, “Submicron”, and “Nano”.