Nitrogen-doped graphene nanosheets/sulfur composite as lithium-sulfur batteries cathode

Yong Hao^a, Xifei Li^{b, c}, Xueliang Sun^{b, c}, and Chunlei Wang^{a, *}

Abstract

Lithium-sulfur batteries have been receiving unprecedented attentions in recent years due to their exceptional high theoretical capacity and energy density, low cost and environmental friendliness. Yet their practical applications are still hindered by short cycle life, low efficiency and poor conductivity which are mainly caused by the insulating nature of sulfur and dissolution of polysulfides. Here, a nitrogen-doped graphene nanosheets/sulfur (NGNSs/S) composite was synthesized via a facile chemical reaction deposition. In this composite, NGNSs was employed as a conductive host to entrap S/polysulfides in the cathode part. The NGNSs/S composite delivered an initial discharge capacity of 856.7 mAh g⁻¹ and a reversible capacity of 319.3 mAh g⁻¹ at 0.1C with good recoverable rate capability.

Keywords: Lithium-sulfur batteries; Polysulfides; Cathode; Nitrogen-doped graphene; Sulfur composite

1. Introduction

Recently lithium-sulfur (Li-S) batteries are becoming one of the most attractive next-generation lithium batteries. As one of the most abundant, low-cost and environmental friendly elements on earth, sulfur has a theoretical capacity and energy density of 1675 mAh g⁻¹ and 2600 Wh kg⁻¹ (vs. 272 mAh g⁻¹ and 387 Wh kg⁻¹ for LiCoO₂), respectively, which are much higher than typical commercial lithium-ion battery cathodes such as LiCoO₂, LiMn₂O₄ and LiFePO₄ [1, 2]. However, low active material utilization, capacity degradation, poor Coulombic efficiency and poor cycle life are the challenges of Li-S cells using sulfur cathodes. During the electrochemical reaction, both sulfur and its discharge product Li₂S have high electrical resistivities [3]. Moreover, dissolution of polysulfides in the electrolyte and precipitation of polysulfides on the electrodes result in the so-called shuttle effect [4], which leads to the decrease of the active mass utilization during discharge process and strain field which degrades the cycle life [5-7]. It was also reported that volume expansion and crack formation of the sulfur electrodes take place during cycling which could lead to the failure of batteries [8]. In recent years, intensive efforts have been exerted in improving Li-S batteries by developing sulfur composite cathode materials (such as sulfur/carbon materials composite [9-13], sulfur/conductive polymers composite [14-17] and sulfur/metal oxide additives composite [18-20]), modifying electrolytes to manipulate the solubility of polysulfides (such as changing lithium salt and solvent [21, 22], employing polymer electrolyte [23], adding electrolyte additive [24] and using polysulfides containing electrolyte [25, 26]), and designing various cell

1 configurations (such as placing carbon-based interlayer [27-30], coating modification
2
3 of separator [31-33], fabricating lithium metal free anode [34], and binder or metal
4
5
6 current collector free cathode [35-37]).
7

8
9 Graphene, a two-dimensional (2D) crystalline allotrope of carbon, has been
10
11 considered as a promising conductive matrix for Li-S batteries due to its superior
12
13 electrical conductivity, high specific surface area and excellent chemical tolerance.
14
15 Graphene/sulfur composites with different structures such as graphene-wrapped sulfur
16
17 particles [38-41] or sandwich type composites [42-44] have been reported. However,
18
19 these close-type structures only provide physical barriers by which polysulfides
20
21 dissolution in the electrolyte is hardly prevented in long term cycling and constrain
22
23 Li^+ transporting across graphene in the lateral direction. Chemical adsorption of sulfur
24
25 and polysulfides by the host is another attractive strategy to effectively eliminate the
26
27 polysulfide dissolution. Several studies have been reported on the chemical
28
29 interactions between functional group (e.g. oxygen group or hydroxyl group) on
30
31 graphene and polysulfides which are beneficial to the enhancement of polysulfides
32
33 immobilization [45, 46]. Nitrogen- (N-) doping by introducing nitrogen groups and
34
35 generating the extrinsic defects on carbon lattice is proved to be an effective way to
36
37 improve the electrochemical performance of electrodes [47-54]. There are three major
38
39 types of N-doped groups: pyridinic-N, pyrrolic-N and graphitic-N [55]. It has been
40
41 found that these N-doped active sites can adsorb Li^+ and also serve as tunnels for Li^+
42
43 transportation [56]. Recently, several studies have been reported on developing
44
45 N-doped graphene/sulfur (NG/S) composite for Li-S batteries. X. Wang et al.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 synthesized two types of NG/S composite: pyridinic-N enriched and pyrrolic-N
2
3 enriched, respectively. Pyridinic-N has been proved to contribute more efficiently on
4
5 confining the diffusion of soluble polysulfides [57]. In another work, C. Wang et al.
6
7 reported a NG/S composite with 3D structure. The NG with the pyridinic-, pyrrolic-
8
9 and graphitic-N groups exhibited a better performance comparing to undoped
10
11 graphene, which was attributed to the 3D conductive network and reactive N
12
13 functional groups [58]. Y. Qiu et al. further studied the role of N-doping in
14
15 immobilizing polysulfides and compared the binding energies for primitive-, pyridine-
16
17 and pyrrole-graphene with atomic Li and polysulfides by a computational method. It
18
19 has been revealed that the enhancement is mainly due to the ionic attractions between
20
21 N and Li cations, instead of N and S anions [59]. All the work above so far has been
22
23 successfully introduced basic N functional groups in the NG sheets. It has to be noted
24
25 that the performance of NG can be determined by several factors, such as the type of
26
27 N functional groups, the N content in the NG, and structure and morphology of NG
28
29 induced by N-doping, indicating more studies related to NG/S composites need to be
30
31 further addressed.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 In order to study the contribution of other N-doped functional groups, in this
46
47 work, we have successfully synthesized the N-doped graphene nanosheets with
48
49 amino-N and pyridine-N-oxide functional groups. The N-doped graphene
50
51 nanosheets/sulfur (NGNSs/S) composite was prepared and evaluated as cathode
52
53 materials in Li-S batteries. At 0.1 C, the NGNSs/S composite exhibited discharge
54
55 capacities of 857 mAh g⁻¹ and 319.3 mAh g⁻¹ at the first and 20th circles, respectively.
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 It is suggested that the NGNSs provided a conductive matrix with high surface area
2
3 and high conductivity and N functional groups facilitated immobilization of the
4
5 polysulfides.
6
7

8 9 **2. Experimental**

10 11 12 **2.1. Synthesis of NGNSs**

13
14
15
16 NGNSs were synthesized from natural flake graphite, as reported in our previous
17
18 work [55, 60]. First, graphite oxide was obtained by the oxidation of natural graphite
19
20 in a modified Hummers method [55]. The graphene nanosheets (GNSs) were obtained
21
22 by the thermal exfoliation of graphite oxide at 1050 °C for 30 s under nitrogen
23
24 atmosphere. NGNSs were produced by treating the pristine GNSs at the mixed gas of
25
26 ammonia and argon at 900 °C for 5 min [60].
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 34 **2.2. Synthesis of NGNSs/S composite**

35
36
37 NGNSs/S composite was synthesized by a facile chemical reaction deposition
38
39 method [58]. The procedures are as illustrated in Fig. 1a. First, a 0.04M $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$
40
41 solution was prepared by dissolving 1.58 g $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ in 250 ml distilled water,
42
43 followed by magnetic stirring for 30 min. Next, the as-synthesized 0.4 g NGNSs were
44
45 dispersed in the $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ solution to produce composite. The mixture was under
46
47 sonication for 1 h and it was continuously stirred. Then, 20 ml 10M hydrochloric acid
48
49 was dropped into the solution. After stirring for 24 h to let the reaction proceed
50
51 completely, the product was filtered and washed with distilled water several times to
52
53 eliminate salt products as well as until pH reached 7 and finally dried in a vacuum
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

oven at 60 °C for 24h. GNSs/S composite was synthesized by the same procedures as NGNSs/S composite. Pure S particles were obtained via the same chemical reaction route as described above and in the chemical Equation (1) as below [61]:

2.3. Characterization

The microstructure and morphology characterization of obtained NGNSs, S particles and NGNSs/S composite was carried out by using a JEOL FE6330 field-emission scanning electron microscope (SEM). The nitrogen contents of NGNSs were determined by XPS analysis with a Kratos Axis Ultra Al (α) X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy at 14 kV. The crystal structures of the products were examined by X-ray diffraction (XRD) on a Siemens D5000 X-ray diffractometer via Cu K α radiation between 10° and 90° at a scan rate of 2°/min. The surface area was characterized by nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms at 77K using a TriStar II Micromeritics and calculated by Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) measurement. Detailed surface information of NGNSs was obtained by using a JASCO Fourier transform-infrared spectrometer (FTIR)-4100. The weight percentage of sulfur in the composite was determined by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) conducting on a SDT Q600 at a heating rate of 5 °C/min from room temperature to 400°C under nitrogen protection.

2.4. Electrochemical measurement

Electrochemical characterization was carried out in CR2032-type coin cells. The NGNSs/S working electrodes were constructed from 80 wt. % NGNSs/S composite,

1 10 wt. % poly(vinylidene difluoride) (PVDF) binder, and 10 wt. % Carbon black in an
2
3 N-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP) solution. GNSs/S, sulfur particles and NGNSs
4
5 working electrodes were also prepared and evaluated as control samples. The well
6
7 mixed slurry was uniformly casted onto aluminum foil current collectors and the
8
9 electrodes were dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C for 12 hrs. The coin cells were
10
11 assembled in an argon-filled glove box with lithium metals as the counter and
12
13 reference electrodes and Celgard 2400 polypropylene film was used as separator. The
14
15 electrolyte was a 1 M lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (LiTFSI) in
16
17 1,2-Dimethoxyethane (DME) and 1,3-dioxolane (DOL) (1:1 v/v) with 1 wt. % LiNO₃
18
19 mixed solution. The cyclic voltammetry (CV) data were collected with a biologic
20
21 VMP3 potentiostat at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹ at 1.0 - 3.0 V (vs. Li/Li⁺).
22
23 Galvanostatic Charge/Discharge cycling profiles of the cells with constant current
24
25 densities and rate capability at various current densities were conducted at a cut-off
26
27 potential of 1.0 - 3.0 V (vs. Li/Li⁺) on a Neware BTS-610 instrument. Electrochemical
28
29 impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was conducted on the VMP3 in the frequency range
30
31 from 100 kHz to 0.1 Hz with an input AC voltage amplitude of 10 mV. All the
32
33 electrochemical tests were performed at room temperature.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 **3. Results and discussion**

48 **3.1. Characterization**

Fig. 1. (a) Illustration of synthesis process of NGNSs/S composite; SEM images of (b) S particles, (c) NGNSs, (d) NGNSs/S composite (inset at low magnification) and (e) a typical TEM image of NGNSs.

The SEM results of S particle, NGNSs and NGNSs/S composite are compared in Fig. 1b-d. It can be seen in Fig. 1b that irregular shaped sulfur particles formed by precipitation of chemical reaction are in the particle size of several microns. Fig. 1c displays the morphology of NGNSs which was randomly orientated agglomerate of

planar sheets. Fig. 1d shows the morphologies of NGNSs/S composite at different magnifications. It can be observed that the sulfur particles are pretty homogeneously mixed with the NGNSs together (Fig. 1d inset). Fig. 1e shows the TEM image of NGNSs, in which the 2D layered structure of NGNSs can be observed.

Fig. 2. XPS spectra of NGNSs: (a) wide scan and (b) N 1s.

XPS was applied for analyzing the surface chemistry and measuring the elemental composition of NGNSs. Fig. 2a shows XPS analysis spectrum of NGNSs. It can be seen that there is one sharp peak at 284.35 eV, corresponding to C 1s as expected. The peak at 399.15 eV represents N 1s, indicating nitrogen has been successfully doped in the NGNSs. Besides the presence of C1s and N1s, O1s peaks at 532.85 eV can also be observed in the XPS spectrum of NGNSs. The relative atomic percentages of C 1s, N 1s and O 1s are calculated from the XPS spectrum as 95.5%, 1.2% and 3.3%, respectively. The coverage of N on the graphene surface could be estimated as about 1.3%. The XPS N 1s spectrum of NGNSs is shown in Fig. 2b. It can be observed there are two fitting peaks in the N 1s spectrum with binding energies

of 399.02 eV and 402.10 eV, corresponding to amino-N and pyridine-N-oxide, respectively [49, 62].

Fig. 3. (a) XRD patterns of Sulfur, NGNSs and NGNSs/S composite, (b) N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms of NGNSs and NGNSs/S composite, (c) FTIR spectra of NGNSs and (d) TGA analysis of NGNSs/S composite.

The XRD patterns of elemental sulfur particles, NGNSs and as-synthesized NGNSs/S composite are presented in Fig. 3a. It is observed that the pristine NGNSs exists two broad diffraction peaks around 26° and 42°, corresponding to the general characteristic (002) and (100) diffraction peaks of multi-layered graphene. The XRD pattern of elemental sulfur particles generally exhibits a series of sharp and strong

peaks, indicating a well-defined orthorhombic crystalline structure of sulfur (JCPDS#: 08-0247). The XRD pattern of NGNSs/S composite shows most sharp and strong sulfur peaks as well, indicating the existing of sulfur in the composite. The broad peaks of NGNSs are barely obvious in the NGNSs/S composite with sulfur loading. Fig. 3b shows the surface area measurement results of NGNSs and NGNSs/S composite. For NGNSs, the surface area is around $330 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$. After sulfur loading, the surface area of NGNSs/S composite turns out to be $10 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$. This massive decrease after sulfur loading reveals that the loading of sulfur particles occupies the most surface area of NGNSs [50].

The nitrogen functionalization on NGNSs was also characterized by FTIR, as shown in Fig. 3c. It can be seen that there is a broad band centered at 2340 cm^{-1} , indicating the presence of adsorbed CO_2 and/or vibration of $\text{C}=\text{N}=\text{O}$ asymmetric stretching. The vibration peaks at $\sim 1530 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $\sim 1670 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ can be indexed to amine groups of N-H stretching and scissoring [53, 63]. The FTIR matches the obtained results of XPS analysis and further confirms that nitrogen has been successfully doped into graphene structure. TGA was conducted on as-synthesized NGNSs/S sample and the result is shown in Fig. 3d. The NGNSs/S composite has a weight loss of 45 wt. % with the temperature increasing from 150 to 250°C , indicating that there is a content of 45 wt. % sulfur in the NGNSs/S composite.

3.2 Electrochemical properties

Fig. 4. (a) Cyclic voltammograms of NGNSs/S composite as working electrode at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s^{-1} between 1.0 and 3.0 V (vs. Li/Li^+), (b) the 1st, 2nd and 5th cycles of discharge/charge profile of NGNSs/S composite at 0.1C, (c) cycling performances of NGNSs/S composite, GNSs/S composite, NGNSs and S at 0.1C and (d) rate performance of NGNSs/S composite at various rates.

The electrochemical properties of NGNSs/S composite were investigated as shown in Fig. 4. Fig. 4a shows the cyclic voltammetry (CV) profiles of the NGNSs/S cathode for the initial 1st, 2nd and 3rd cycles between 1.0 and 3.0 V (vs. Li/Li^+). In the cathodic scan of the first cycle, the NGNSs/S electrode exhibits a small shoulder at 2.11 V and a broad peak starting at 1.83 V. The small shoulder around 2.11 V could be

assigned to the formation of soluble polysulfides (Li_2S_x , $4 \leq x \leq 8$) during lithiation , while the low voltage peak around 1.83 V is most likely associated to the formation of insoluble lithium disulfide Li_2S_2 and lithium sulfide Li_2S [51]. During the anodic process, there is only one oxidation peak observed and 2.62 V (vs. Li/Li^+). This can be assigned to delithiation process of Li_2S back to polysulfides and eventually to S [57]. In the second and third cycle, there are two reduction peaks observed at 2.29 V and 1.97 V, which shift toward more positive direction in comparison to the first cycle. The change of kinetics after the polysulfides formation could be related to the voltage shift. Meanwhile, similar voltage shift behavior was also reported and attributed to strain/stress generation during polysulfides formation [64]. Further study needs to be conducted to clarify the voltage shift mechanism. For the oxidation peaks in the second and third cycle, the currents of the peaks decrease which may be due to the dissolution of polysulfides. Fig. 4b shows the galvanostatic charge/discharge curves of NGNSs/S composite cathode at the 1st, 2nd and 5th cycles. The first discharge curve suggests that there are two plateaus which correspond well with two apparent reduction peaks in CV results. The first plateau observed at 2.3 V is attributed to the formation of soluble polysulfides. The second plateau at 2.1 V corresponds to the reduction of soluble polysulfides to insoluble Li_2S_2 and Li_2S . In the following cycles, the discharge processes follow the steps as the first cycle. From the first charge curve, it can be observed that there is a sharp rise at the beginning and follow by a shallow dip. The initial sharp rise is due to the polarization of Li_2S passivation layer on the surface of cathode and the shallow dip may be attributed to the depolarization of

insoluble Li_2S to soluble polysulfides by electrochemical oxidation [6, 65]. The one major plateau is assigned to the oxidation of polysulfides to element S [7, 66]. Based on the CV and charge/discharge profiles, the reaction occurring at NGNSs/S cathode during discharge proceeds through a series of steps can be described as below (Equations (2)-(6)) [67, 68].

Fig. 4c compares the cycling performance of the NGNSs/S composite, control samples **GNSs/S composite**, NGNSs and S (CV and charge/discharge profiles of NGNSs and S are shown in Fig. S1 and S2, respectively) at a current rate of 0.1C. The NGNSs/S shows a discharge capacity of 856.7 mAh g^{-1} in the first cycle which is higher than the capacity of 489.9 mAh g^{-1} of NGNSs and capacity of 437.1 mAh g^{-1} of S. The capacity of NGNSs/S fades to 319.2 mAh g^{-1} after 20 cycles while the capacity of bare sulfur electrode decreases to 4.1 mAh g^{-1} . **Although the GNSs/S exhibits the first discharge capacity of 949.2 mAh g^{-1} , the capacity fades faster compared to the NGNSs/S composite and decreases to 69.3 mAh g^{-1} at the 20th cycle.** The enhanced cycling performance could be attributed to the **N-doped functional groups, which has beneficial effect on the** confinement of polysulfides in the NGNSs/S composite.

1 However, the capacity fading with cycling still can be observed due to the polysulfides
2 inevitably dissolving into the electrolyte. Fig. 4d shows the rate performance of
3 NGNSs/S at various C rates. The 20th cycle discharge capacities are 321.8, 139.0, 15.9,
4 4.1, 1.2 and 173.0 mAh g⁻¹, respectively, at discharge rates of 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2 and 0.1
5 C, respectively. For each rate performance, it can be seen that there is capacity fading
6 during the cycling performance which may due to the polysulfides dissolve into the
7 electrolyte discussed above. However, it is noticed that when the rate turns back to 0.1
8 C, the specific capacity recovers to 173.0 mAh g⁻¹, indicating that NGNSs/S composite
9 still presents a good rate capability. It has to be noted that there are only few published
10 Li-S works using NGNSs/S composite. The enhanced electrochemical performance so
11 far were mainly attributed to the three common types of N-doped functional groups,
12 which are pyridinic-N, pyrrolic-N and graphitic-N. In this work, we demonstrated that
13 the NGNSs with amino-N and pyridine-N-oxide can also contribute to the
14 enhancement of the electrochemical performance in Li-S cells. We believe that the
15 electrochemical performance can be further improved by modifying the coverage of
16 N-doped functional groups, which needs to be investigated in the future work.

17 To further investigate charge transfer resistance of NGNSs/S composite cathode
18 during cycling, EIS of Li-S cell before and after the 1st cycle (i.e., test at the end of the
19 1st cycle), and after the 5th cycle (i.e., test at the end of the 5th cycle) were conducted,
20 as shown in Fig. 5. The impedance plots are composed of one semicircle in the high
21 frequency region and near linear line in the low frequency region. The semicircles are
22 assigned to the solid-electrolyte interface resistance and the charge transfer resistance

of the NGNSs/S electrode. It can be seen that radii of the semicircles after the 1st and 5th cycles are larger than the radius before cycling, indicating that charge transfer kinetics become lower which may be due to the resistance of the irreversible deposition and aggregation of insulated $\text{Li}_2\text{S}_2/\text{Li}_2\text{S}$ layer on the surface of NGNSs/S electrode after cycling. The resistance of NGNSs/S changes from about $100\ \Omega$ before the 1st cycle to about $150\ \Omega$ in the following cycles. However, the semicircle radii after the 1st and 5th cycles are almost unchanged, which implies that NGNSs/S cathode achieves a stable interfacial charge-transfer process during cycling [52]. The linear line relates to the diffusion kinetics of Li^+ within the electrodes. It can be seen that the slope of the linear line after 5th cycle turns to be steeper compared to the slopes before and after the 1st cycle, which indicates NGNSs/S cathode is in favor of rapid Li^+ transport with cycling.

Fig. 5. Nyquist plots of the Li-S cell with NGNSs/S composite cathode before the

1st, after the 1st and 5th cycles.

4. Conclusion

In summary, the NGNSs/S composite has been successfully synthesized by a facile chemical reaction deposition method. The NGNSs/S cathode exhibits good rate capability and good specific discharge capacity of 319.3 mAh g⁻¹ at 0.1 C after 20 cycles. The NGNSs apply as a framework formed by the 2D graphene layer could anchor sulfur particles, improve the conductivity of the electrode and enlarge the contact surface area to allow fast Li⁺ transport.

Acknowledgments

This work is partially supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) projects (No. 1506640 and No.1509735) and NERC ASSIST center seed funding. Y. Hao acknowledges Doctoral Evidence Acquisition (DEA) fellowship from UGS at FIU and facilities of AMERI at FIU.

Reference

- [1] Y.X. Yin, S. Xin, Y.G. Guo, L.J. Wan, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 52 (2013) 13186-13200.
- [2] B. Papandrea, X. Xu, Y. Xu, C.-Y. Chen, Z. Lin, G. Wang, Y. Luo, M. Liu, Y. Huang, L. Mai, *Nano Research*, 9 (2016) 240-248.
- [3] Y. Fu, C. Zu, A. Manthiram, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 135 (2013) 18044-18047.
- [4] J.-Q. Huang, Q. Zhang, H.-J. Peng, X.-Y. Liu, W.-Z. Qian, F. Wei, *Energy & Environmental Science*, 7 (2014) 347-353.
- [5] R. Elazari, G. Salitra, Y. Talyosef, J. Grinblat, C. Scordilis-Kelley, A. Xiao, J. Affinito, D. Aurbach, *Journal of The Electrochemical Society*, 157 (2010) A1131-A1138.
- [6] S.S. Zhang, *Journal of Power Sources*, 231 (2013) 153-162.
- [7] N.A. Cañas, S. Wolf, N. Wagner, K.A. Friedrich, *Journal of power sources*, 226 (2013) 313-319.
- [8] Y. Yang, G. Zheng, Y. Cui, *Chemical Society Reviews*, 42 (2013) 3018-3032.
- [9] T. Lin, Y. Tang, Y. Wang, H. Bi, Z. Liu, F. Huang, X. Xie, M. Jiang, *Energy & Environmental Science*, 6 (2013) 1283-1290.
- [10] J.-Q. Huang, Q. Zhang, S.-M. Zhang, X.-F. Liu, W. Zhu, W.-Z. Qian, F. Wei, *Carbon*, 58 (2013) 99-106.
- [11] X.a. Chen, Z. Xiao, X. Ning, Z. Liu, Z. Yang, C. Zou, S. Wang, X. Chen, Y. Chen, S. Huang, *Advanced Energy Materials*, 4 (2014) 1301988.

- [12] X. Li, X. Li, M.N. Banis, B. Wang, A. Lushington, X. Cui, R. Li, T.-K. Sham, X. Sun, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 2 (2014) 12866-12872.
- [13] X. Li, Q. Sun, J. Liu, B. Xiao, R. Li, X. Sun, *Journal of Power Sources*, 302 (2016) 174-179.
- [14] J. Fanous, M. Wegner, J. Grimming, A.n. Andresen, M.R. Buchmeiser, *Chemistry of Materials*, 23 (2011) 5024-5028.
- [15] Y. Zhang, Z. Bakenov, Y. Zhao, A. Konarov, T.N.L. Doan, M. Malik, T. Paron, P. Chen, *Journal of Power Sources*, 208 (2012) 1-8.
- [16] W. Zhou, Y. Yu, H. Chen, F.J. DiSalvo, H.c.D. Abruña, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 135 (2013) 16736-16743.
- [17] W. Li, Q. Zhang, G. Zheng, Z.W. Seh, H. Yao, Y. Cui, *Nano letters*, 13 (2013) 5534-5540.
- [18] X. Ji, S. Evers, R. Black, L.F. Nazar, *Nature communications*, 2 (2011) 325.
- [19] S. Evers, T. Yim, L.F. Nazar, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 116 (2012) 19653-19658.
- [20] Z.W. Seh, W. Li, J.J. Cha, G. Zheng, Y. Yang, M.T. McDowell, P.-C. Hsu, Y. Cui, *Nature communications*, 4 (2013) 1331.
- [21] C. Barchasz, J.-C. Leprêtre, S. Patoux, F. Alloin, *Journal of The Electrochemical Society*, 160 (2013) A430-A436.
- [22] L. Suo, Y.-S. Hu, H. Li, M. Armand, L. Chen, *Nature communications*, 4 (2013) 1481.
- [23] J. Jin, Z. Wen, X. Liang, Y. Cui, X. Wu, *Solid State Ionics*, 225 (2012) 604-607.

- [24] Z. Lin, Z. Liu, W. Fu, N.J. Dudney, C. Liang, *Advanced Functional Materials*, 23 (2013) 1064-1069.
- [25] D.J. Lee, M. Agostini, J.W. Park, Y.K. Sun, J. Hassoun, B. Scrosati, *ChemSusChem*, 6 (2013) 2245-2248.
- [26] S. Chen, F. Dai, M.L. Gordin, D. Wang, *Rsc Advances*, 3 (2013) 3540-3543.
- [27] Y.-S. Su, A. Manthiram, *Nature communications*, 3 (2012) 1166.
- [28] Y.-S. Su, A. Manthiram, *Chemical Communications*, 48 (2012) 8817-8819.
- [29] C. Zu, Y.-S. Su, Y. Fu, A. Manthiram, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 15 (2013) 2291-2297.
- [30] R. Singhal, S.-H. Chung, A. Manthiram, V. Kalra, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 3 (2015) 4530-4538.
- [31] I. Bauer, S. Thieme, J. Brückner, H. Althues, S. Kaskel, *Journal of Power Sources*, 251 (2014) 417-422.
- [32] J. Balach, T. Jaumann, M. Klose, S. Oswald, J. Eckert, L. Giebeler, *Advanced Functional Materials*, 25 (2015) 5285-5291.
- [33] U. Stoeck, J. Balach, M. Klose, D. Wadewitz, E. Ahrens, J. Eckert, L. Giebeler, *Journal of Power Sources*, 309 (2016) 76-81.
- [34] J. Hassoun, J. Kim, D.-J. Lee, H.-G. Jung, S.-M. Lee, Y.-K. Sun, B. Scrosati, *Journal of Power Sources*, 202 (2012) 308-313.
- [35] R. Demir-Cakan, M. Morcrette, F. Nouar, C. Davoisne, T. Devic, D. Gonbeau, R. Dominko, C. Serre, G. Férey, J.-M. Tarascon, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 133 (2011) 16154-16160.

- [36] H. Yao, G. Zheng, P.-C. Hsu, D. Kong, J.J. Cha, W. Li, Z.W. Seh, M.T. McDowell, K. Yan, Z. Liang, Nature communications, 5 (2014) 3943.
- [37] S. Thieme, J. Brückner, I. Bauer, M. Oschatz, L. Borchardt, H. Althues, S. Kaskel, Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 1 (2013) 9225-9234.
- [38] H. Wang, Y. Yang, Y. Liang, J.T. Robinson, Y. Li, A. Jackson, Y. Cui, H. Dai, Nano letters, 11 (2011) 2644-2647.
- [39] S. Evers, L.F. Nazar, Chemical communications, 48 (2012) 1233-1235.
- [40] N. Li, M. Zheng, H. Lu, Z. Hu, C. Shen, X. Chang, G. Ji, J. Cao, Y. Shi, Chemical Communications, 48 (2012) 4106-4108.
- [41] Y. Liu, J. Guo, J. Zhang, Q. Su, G. Du, Applied Surface Science, 324 (2015) 399-404.
- [42] Y. Cao, X. Li, I.A. Aksay, J. Lemmon, Z. Nie, Z. Yang, J. Liu, Physical chemistry chemical physics, 13 (2011) 7660-7665.
- [43] Y.-X. Wang, L. Huang, L.-C. Sun, S.-Y. Xie, G.-L. Xu, S.-R. Chen, Y.-F. Xu, J.-T. Li, S.-L. Chou, S.-X. Dou, Journal of Materials Chemistry, 22 (2012) 4744-4750.
- [44] R. Chen, T. Zhao, J. Lu, F. Wu, L. Li, J. Chen, G. Tan, Y. Ye, K. Amine, Nano letters, 13 (2013) 4642-4649.
- [45] L. Ji, M. Rao, H. Zheng, L. Zhang, Y. Li, W. Duan, J. Guo, E.J. Cairns, Y. Zhang, Journal of the American Chemical Society, 133 (2011) 18522-18525.
- [46] C. Zu, A. Manthiram, Advanced Energy Materials, 3 (2013) 1008-1012.
- [47] K. Chang, D. Geng, X. Li, J. Yang, Y. Tang, M. Cai, R. Li, X. Sun, Advanced Energy Materials, 3 (2013) 839-844.

- [48] L.-S. Zhang, X.-Q. Liang, W.-G. Song, Z.-Y. Wu, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 12 (2010) 12055-12059.
- [49] Z. Wen, X. Wang, S. Mao, Z. Bo, H. Kim, S. Cui, G. Lu, X. Feng, J. Chen, *Advanced materials*, 24 (2012) 5610-5616.
- [50] X.G. Sun, X. Wang, R.T. Mayes, S. Dai, *ChemSusChem*, 5 (2012) 2079-2085.
- [51] F. Sun, J. Wang, H. Chen, W. Li, W. Qiao, D. Long, L. Ling, *ACS applied materials & interfaces*, 5 (2013) 5630-5638.
- [52] J. Yang, J. Xie, X. Zhou, Y. Zou, J. Tang, S. Wang, F. Chen, L. Wang, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 118 (2014) 1800-1807.
- [53] P. Zhu, J. Song, D. Lv, D. Wang, C. Jaye, D.A. Fischer, T. Wu, Y. Chen, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 118 (2014) 7765-7771.
- [54] J. Song, T. Xu, M.L. Gordin, P. Zhu, D. Lv, Y.B. Jiang, Y. Chen, Y. Duan, D. Wang, *Advanced Functional Materials*, 24 (2014) 1243-1250.
- [55] X. Li, D. Geng, Y. Zhang, X. Meng, R. Li, X. Sun, *Electrochemistry Communications*, 13 (2011) 822-825.
- [56] H.M. Jeong, J.W. Lee, W.H. Shin, Y.J. Choi, H.J. Shin, J.K. Kang, J.W. Choi, *Nano letters*, 11 (2011) 2472-2477.
- [57] X. Wang, Z. Zhang, Y. Qu, Y. Lai, J. Li, *Journal of Power Sources*, 256 (2014) 361-368.
- [58] C. Wang, K. Su, W. Wan, H. Guo, H. Zhou, J. Chen, X. Zhang, Y. Huang, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 2 (2014) 5018-5023.
- [59] Y. Qiu, W. Li, W. Zhao, G. Li, Y. Hou, M. Liu, L. Zhou, F. Ye, H. Li, Z. Wei,

1 Nano letters, 14 (2014) 4821-4827.

2
3 [60] Y. Li, J. Wang, X. Li, D. Geng, M.N. Banis, R. Li, X. Sun, Electrochemistry
4
5 Communications, 18 (2012) 12-15.
6

7
8 [61] R.G. Chaudhuri, S. Paria, Journal of colloid and interface science, 343 (2010)
9
10 439-446.
11

12 [62] C. Zhang, R. Hao, H. Liao, Y. Hou, Nano Energy, 2 (2013) 88-97.
13

14 [63] D. Geng, S. Yang, Y. Zhang, J. Yang, J. Liu, R. Li, T.-K. Sham, X. Sun, S. Ye, S.
15
16 Knights, Applied Surface Science, 257 (2011) 9193-9198.
17

18 [64] S. Zheng, F. Yi, Z. Li, Y. Zhu, Y. Xu, C. Luo, J. Yang, C. Wang, Advanced
19
20 Functional Materials, 24 (2014) 4156-4163.
21

22 [65] C. Barchasz, F. Molton, C. Duboc, J.-C. Leprêtre, S. Patoux, F. Alloin, Analytical
23
24 chemistry, 84 (2012) 3973-3980.
25

26 [66] S. Xiong, X. Kai, X. Hong, Y. Diao, Ionics, 18 (2012) 249-254.
27

28 [67] K. Kumaresan, Y. Mikhaylik, R.E. White, Journal of The Electrochemical
29
30 Society, 155 (2008) A576-A582.
31

32 [68] S. Chen, X. Huang, H. Liu, B. Sun, W. Yeoh, K. Li, J. Zhang, G. Wang,
33
34 Advanced Energy Materials, 4 (2014) 1301761.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65