Ni-based Structured Catalyst for Selective 3-Phase Hydrogenation of Nitroaromatics

**Oliver Beswick¹, Daniel Lamey¹, Félicien Muriset¹, Thomas
LaGrange², Loïc Oberson², Songhak Yoon³, Esther Sulman⁴, Paul
J. Dyson¹ and Liubov Kiwi-Minsker^{1,4*}**

¹Group of Catalytic Reaction Engineering, ²Interdisciplinary Centre for Electron Microscopy
(CIME), Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL),
Station 6, Lausanne CH-1015, Switzerland

³Laboratory for Solid State Chemistry and Catalysis, Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials
Science and Technology (EMPA), 8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland

⁴ Regional Technological Centre, Tver State University, 170100 Tver, Russian Federation

***corresponding authors:**

tel.: +41(0) 21 693 31 82, e-mail: liubov.kiwi-minsker@epfl.ch

Abstract

We report herein on the development of Ni-based catalyst using activated carbon fibres (ACFs) as a structured support and its application for the three-phase hydrogenation of nitroarenes ($T = 353\text{ K}$; $P = 10\text{ bar}$). It was shown that metallic Ni^0 nanoparticles (NPs) with a mean diameter of $\sim 2.0\text{ nm}$ stabilized by the ACF microporous network were responsible for the catalytic transformation. To obtain optimum catalytic activity, the Ni/ACF catalyst must be freshly prepared and activated *in situ* by H_2 at $T > 353\text{ K}$. Pre-treatment of the ACFs by nitric acid boosted the activity of the Ni/ACF catalyst, which exhibits high performance in hydrogenation of nitrobenzene to aniline (yield, $Y \sim 100\%$). The catalyst was tested for the reuse attaining a quasi-steady-state after the sixth reaction thereafter remaining relatively stable over seven consecutive runs. Near-quantitative transformation ($Y > 99\%$) of *p*-chloronitrobenzene to *p*-chloroaniline was achieved under mild conditions over the Ni/ACF catalyst with a ca. 20-fold higher activity than conventional Raney Ni. Thus, new catalyst reported here represents a significant step forward towards a simple, heterogeneous catalytic selective hydrogenation of nitroarenes that employs H_2 as the hydrogen source.

Key words: selective hydrogenation; nitrobenzene; *p*-chloroaniline; Ni nanoparticles; activated carbon fibres; structured catalyst.

1 Introduction

Functionalized anilines are valuable intermediates used in the synthesis of agrochemicals, pharmaceuticals, pigments and dyes [1]. They are generally prepared by hydrogenation of the corresponding nitroarenes. Traditional non-catalytic processes have been largely abandoned, being replaced by catalytic hydrogenation processes [2]. The catalytic route allows high yields without the generation of toxic wastes and smaller amount of by-products with cost efficient separation and treatment processes. For substituted anilines the preservation of functional groups is one of the main challenges, with the exclusive reduction of the nitro-group while not affecting other groups being crucial [1,3].

The majority of heterogeneous catalytic systems used to hydrogenate nitroarenes are based on precious metals such as Au, Pd, Pt, Rh or Ru [4-14]. Nevertheless, catalysts based on Fe, Co, Cu or Ni [1, 9, 11, 15-19] show promising activity in both liquid and gas-phases [1, 18] while Raney nickel is the only commercial catalyst used on the industrial scale for Cl-nitroarenes hydrogenation [1]. The influence of metal nanoparticles (NPs) size on catalytic response including the catalyst selectivity and stability has been widely reported [20-25], although the control of the NP size during the preparation of supported catalysts is difficult to ensure. In general, the highest dispersion of active metal is targeted in order to have a sufficient catalytic performance per mole of active metal.

The majority of hydrogenation catalysts are used as powders to avoid transport limitations, but these powders lead to problems during downstream separation. Possible solutions lie in the use of structured catalysts that allow simple handling and controlled fluid dynamics [14, 26]. ACFs in the form of tissues are ideal catalytic structured supports, characterized by a highly

porous network, high specific surface areas (SSAs) (up to 3000 m² g⁻¹) and low resistance to fluid flow [10, 27-30]. ACFs have been used for the deposition of noble metal NPs and exhibit good performances in the multi-phase hydrogenation [31-34]. The carbonaceous surface can be pre-treated in acidic media generating different oxygen-containing groups [35, 36]. In this way, the morphology of the supported Me NPs and their catalytic response can be controlled.

Herein, we present a facile preparation of ACF-based structured catalysts with supported Ni-NPs of high dispersion (1-2 nm) as an active phase. Different pre-treatments of ACF were applied and the influence of preparation conditions on catalytic response has been assessed in nitroarenes hydrogenation. A battery of physicochemical techniques, such as N₂ physisorption, XRD, XPS, AAS, SEM, STEM-HAADF imaging, temperature programmed decomposition (TPD) and reduction by hydrogen (TPR) was applied for catalysts characterization. The activity/selectivity of the various Ni/ACF catalysts was compared to industrial Raney nickel which serves as a benchmark catalyst.

2 Experimental

2.1 Materials

Nickel(II) nitrate hexahydrate (Fluka, ≥98.0%), nitric acid (VWR chemicals, 65%), hydrogen peroxide (reactolab SA, 30%), nitrobenzene (99%, Acros Organics), 1-chloro-4-nitrobenzene (99%, Acros Organics), 1,3-dinitrobenzene (Tokyo Chemical Industry, ≥99.0%), dodecane (99%, Acros Organics), methanol (MeOH, ≥99.8%, Sigma-Aldrich), ethanol (EtOH, 99.8%, Sigma-Aldrich), toluene (> 99%, AppliChem) were used as received. The solvent used for the reaction was technical EtOH (95% + 5% MeOH, Brenntag). All gases (H₂, N₂, and Ar)

were of high purity (Carbagas Switzerland, >99.9%). The ACF K-20 ($\sim 2'000 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$) was purchased from Kynol Europa GmbH.

2.2 Catalyst Preparation

2.2.1 Structured Ni/ACF

The Ni/ACF catalysts were prepared as follows. Commercial ACF was pre-treated in 15 wt.% HNO_3 aq. solution for 15 min at 373 K ($\text{ACF}_{\text{HNO}_3-373}$) and for 3 min at 298 K ($\text{ACF}_{\text{HNO}_3-298}$) to increase the concentration of oxygen-containing groups on the carbon surface [35, 37]. The supports denoted as ACF were not pre-treated and used as received. The ACF was impregnated with an ethanolic solution of the Ni precursor ($\text{Ni}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$) ensuring complete filling of the pores. The Ni loading was adjusted by varying the precursor concentration. The impregnated ACF samples were dried at room temperature (RT) overnight. The precursor decomposition was performed *via* thermal treatment in a flow reactor (50 cm x 3 cm i.d.) from RT to 673 K, 6 K min^{-1} under Ar flow ($280 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ min}^{-1}$), maintained at 673 K (1 h) under 17% v/v H_2/Ar flow ($340 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ min}^{-1}$) and cooled to RT under Ar. To prevent oxidation of the pyrophoric Ni/ACF, the samples were passivated (1 h) at RT in 2.8% v/v air/Ar flow ($145 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ min}^{-1}$). Gas flows were controlled using an *Agilent Technologies* ADM1000 Universal Gas Flowmeter, values are given in IUPAC STP [40].

2.2.2 Raney Nickel catalyst

1 g of Ni-Al (50:50 wt% alloy, Alfa Aesar) was treated with an aq. solution of KOH (10wt.%) and stirred at RT until bubbling (H_2) stopped ($\sim 1 \text{ h}$), then at 333 K for 0.5 h. The resulting solid (Raney Ni) was decanted, washed with distilled water and the ethanol.

2.3 Catalyst Characterization

The Ni content was determined by absorption atomic spectroscopy (AAS) using a Shimadzu AA-6650 spectrometer with an air-acetylene flame. Temperature-programmed reduction in hydrogen (H₂-TPR) was carried out on a Micromeritics Autochem II 2920 by heating the sample in 17 cm³ min⁻¹ 5% v/v H₂/N₂ from RT to 973 K at 2 K min⁻¹. The exit gas was passed through a liquid N₂ trap and changes in H₂ consumption/release were monitored by TCD with data acquisition/manipulation using the TPR WinTM software.

BET surface areas were measured using a Micromeritics 3Flex. Prior to analysis, the samples were degassed at 393 K for 3 h under vacuum ($<1 \cdot 10^{-5}$ bar). N₂ adsorption/desorption isotherms were recorded over the range $0.000002 \leq P/P_o \leq 0.99$. The specific surface area and the total pore volume were obtained using the BET method [38].

Temperature Programmed Decomposition (TPD) was conducted in a He flow (50 cm³ min⁻¹) from RT K to 835 K at 5 K min⁻¹ in a tubular reactor inside an oven (Carbolite MTF 10/25/130) and the outlet flow was continuously monitored using a Pfeiffer Vacuum ThermoStarTM GSD 300 T2. The NO₂ signal was mainly detected as a NO fragment ($m/z = 30$).

Powder X-ray diffractograms (XRD) were recorded on a Bruker/Siemens D500 incident X-ray diffractometer using Cu K α radiation. The samples were scanned at $0.004^\circ \text{ s}^{-1}$ over the range $20^\circ \leq 2\theta \leq 90^\circ$ (scan time = 5 s step⁻¹). Diffractograms were identified using the JCPDS-ICDD reference standard, *i.e.* Ni (89-7129), NiO (89-5881) and NiO₂ (89-8397). *In situ* XRD patterns were obtained using a PANalytical X'Pert PRO θ – θ scan system with CuK α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418 \text{ \AA}$). The diffraction patterns were recorded at a scanning rate of $0.015^\circ \text{ s}^{-1}$. The

Ni(NO₃)₂/ACF_{HNO3-373} sample was placed in the heating chamber (XRK 900, Anton Paar) and gas was applied through the mass flow controller (5850 TR, Brooks instrument). About 0.03 g of sample was heated under a 60 cm³ min⁻¹ flow of N₂ up to 723 K with a heating rate of 2 K min⁻¹. Long scans ($30^\circ \leq 2\theta \leq 85^\circ$) were acquired on the fresh sample directly after heating to 723 K. In a separate experiment on the same starting material, the procedure was modified by replacing the N₂ by 10% v/v H₂/N₂. A long scan was performed at the end of the procedure. Peak fitting was performed using the *EVA (DIFFRAC.Suite)* software.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis was conducted on PHI VersaProbe II (Physical Instruments AG). The monochromatic Al K α X-ray source power was maintained at 24.8 W and the emitted photoelectrons were obtained from a 100 $\mu\text{m} \times 100 \mu\text{m}$ samples. The spherical capacitor analyser was set at a 45° take-off angle with respect to the sample surface. The analyser pass energy was 188 eV for survey spectra (0–1300 eV) and 47 eV for high resolution spectra (Ni 2p_{3/2} and Ni 2p_{1/2}). For the latter resolution a full width at half maximum of 0.91 eV for the Ag 3d 5/2 peak was obtained. The adventitious C (284.8 eV) 1s peak was used as an internal standard to compensate for any charging effects. Sputtering was realized using a 20 kV Ar₂₅₀₀⁺ cluster source on a 2 nm $\times$ 2 nm sample surface at a rate of 0.45 nm/min (referenced to SiO₂). Curve fitting was performed using the CasaXPS software.

The Ni NPs size distribution was measured from “Z-contrast” or high angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscope (HAADF STEM) images acquired on a FEI Talos F200S instrument operating at 200 keV. The Ni/ACF catalysts were infiltrated-embedded in an EPON 812 epoxy resin and polymerized at 333 K for 24 h, cut (20 nm) by ultramicrotomy to

analyse the fibre cross-section. The TEM samples were subjected to mild (10 eV) plasma cleaning for 1 min using a Fischone 8070 plasma cleaner operated with a forward power of 9 W.

Up to 1400 individual metal particles were counted for the investigated catalyst and the circular diameter (d_i) was determined from the area measured using imageJ software from images of different magnifications. The mean circular diameter (d) was calculated using eqn (1):

$$d = \frac{\sum_i n_i d_i}{\sum_i n_i} \quad (1)$$

where n_i is the number of particles of diameter d_i .

2.4 Hydrogenation of nitroaromatic compounds: set-up and analytics

Liquid phase hydrogenation reactions ($T = 353\text{--}423\text{ K}$; $P_{H_2} = 10\text{ bar}$) were carried out in a commercial semi-batch stirred reactor (150 cm³ stainless steel autoclave, Büchi AG, Uster, Switzerland) equipped with baffles. The reactor temperature was controlled using a water heating bath (Colora K4, Lorch, Germany) connected to the reactor jacket. Mixing was achieved with a stirrer with a 6-blade disk turbine impeller and four wire-mesh blades onto which the structured ACF catalyst was fixed. The pressure and temperature inside the reactor as well as the stirring speed were monitored *via* a control unit (bpc 6002/bds mc, Büchi AG, Uster, Switzerland). Prior to each experiment, the reactor was charged with the catalyst and 100 cm³ of an ethanolic solution of dodecane 1 g L⁻¹ (internal standard) and flushed with N₂. The reactor was then heated to 353 K under stirring (2000 rpm) and stabilized for 5 min. H₂ was introduced into the reactor to a total pressure of 10 bars (sum of the partial pressures of EtOH and H₂), which was maintained throughout the reaction. The catalyst was reduced *in-situ* for 30 min. The substrate was subsequently injected (time $t = 0$) *via* a gas-tight syringe.

An initial NO₂/Ni molar ratio of 50 was targeted. A non-invasive liquid sampling system allowed the controlled removal of aliquots ($\leq 0.4 \text{ cm}^3$) which were analysed using a Perkin-Elmer Clarus 500 GC equipped with programmed split/splitless injector, FID and Stabilwax (Cross-bond Carbowax-PEG, Restek, USA) capillary column (i.d. = 0.32 mm, length 30 m, film thickness 0.25 μm). Data acquisition/manipulations were performed using TotalChrom Workstation v.6.3.2. The concentrations of organic species and the conversion of the substrate, A, is defined using eqn. (2):

$$X_A(\%) = \frac{C_{A,0} - C_{A,i}}{C_{A,0}} \times 100 \quad (2)$$

where $C_{A,0}$ and $C_{A,i}$ represent the substrate molar concentrations at the beginning and time t , respectively. The selectivity towards product B is calculated using eqn. (3):

$$S_B(\%) = \frac{C_B}{C_{A,0} - C_{A,i}} \times \frac{v_A}{v_B} \times 100 \quad (3)$$

where $C_{B,0}$ and C_B represent the molar concentrations of product B at the beginning and time t , respectively, and v_A and v_B correspond to the stoichiometric coefficients.

The yield towards the product B with respect to substrate A is defined from eqn. (4):

$$Y_B(\%) = \frac{C_{B,0} - C_{B,i}}{C_{A,0}} \cdot \frac{v_A}{v_B} \times 100 \quad (4)$$

Repeated reaction runs with the same batch of the catalyst delivered values of the reaction mixture compositions that were reproducible to within $\pm 4\%$. The catalytic activity was quantified in terms of the initial substrate consumption rate ($-R_{A,0}$) determined from a linear regression of the temporal substrate concentration profile, eqn. (5):

$$-R_{A,0} (\text{mol}_A \text{mol}_{\text{Ni}}^{-1} \text{min}^{-1}) = \frac{(C_{A,0} - C_A) \cdot V}{n_{\text{Ni}} \cdot t_R} \quad (5)$$

where V is the reaction volume, n_{Ni} is the number of moles of Ni, and t_{R} the reaction time.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Catalyst Characterization

In order to rationalize the observed catalytic results, all samples were characterised by different physico-chemical methods.

3.1.1 BET

BET analyses were performed with different ACF samples and the associated SSAs and pore volumes are summarized in **Table 1**. A very high SSA ($> 2000 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$) was found for the as received, non-treated ACF (entry 1). Nitric acid treatment at 373 K decreased both the SSA and the pore volume. This effect has been already reported by Shim *et al.* [39] and is due to: *i*) the increased amount of functional O-groups on the surface and *ii*) a change in the carbon morphology. By heating under an inert gas the HNO_3 pre-treated ACF recovered the initial SSA and the total pore volume. It is plausible that the O-containing groups rendered part of the porous structure inaccessible for nitrogen adsorption. The deposition of 5 wt.% Ni (entries 4 and 5) changed only slightly the SSA (by 5-8 %) and the pore volume of the ACF compared to the initial value, indicating that the deposition of the metal salt does not lead to pore blocking [40].

3.1.2 Temperature Programmed Decomposition (TPD) and reduction (TPR)

The ACF freshly impregnated by the Ni precursor, after drying, was studied by TPD to determine the decomposition of the precursor. The NO signal at the reactor outlet was monitored and the results are presented in **Figure 1**. The NO peak at 432 K corresponds to the decomposition

(323 – 473 K) of the surface nitrates leading to the formation of NO₂. [41]. The second NO peak at 521 K results exclusively from the decomposition of the HNO₃ vapour (473 K – 573 K) into NO₂ [42]. At the end of the calcination step NiO NPs are formed. Therefore, during catalyst preparation, the calcination step was at 673 K to ensure complete decomposition of the nitrates. The surface O-groups are decomposed giving CO and CO₂ during TPD runs [37].

In order to choose a suitable reduction temperature, after the calcination step the catalyst was studied by TPR. The H₂-TPR profile of the NiO_x/ACF_{HNO3-373} material exhibits two peaks at 602 and 778 K (**Figure 2A**). The first one (602 K) is attributed to the reduction of NiO_x NPs and the second one is due to methanation of ACF. Performing the same procedure with MS instead of TCD confirmed the peak attribution to CH₄, H₂ and H₂O signals. Therefore, the reduction step during the catalyst preparation was set at 673 K for 1 hour to ensure formation of metallic Ni NPs while minimizing detrimental methanation of the ACF support.

Catalytic testing has demonstrated that *in-situ* activation under H₂ of freshly prepared catalysts was necessary to obtain significant catalytic activity. Since the catalyst was passivated after reduction, this activation seems to be responsible for the removal of thin oxide layer from the Ni NPs surface. To confirm this assumption and to get a better insight on the dynamics of oxidation of the Ni NPs, the passivated samples were exposed to air for different periods of time and analysed by H₂-TPR. Without exposure to air (0 day, **Figure 2B**) the hydrogen consumption was lower with the maximum at 426 K. Therefore, the Ni-oxides species are readily reducible during *in-situ* activation. The longer was the air exposure time, the higher the temperature and the larger the hydrogen consumption. The H₂-TPR profile of the sample exposed for to air 24 hours

exhibited a peak maximum at 486 K and for the sample exposed for 6 days a peak maximum was at 501 K. After 47 days of air exposure the profile has two peaks at 521 and 564 K, suggesting that to activate the catalyst *in-situ* a temperature of 353 K is not enough.

3.1.3 *In-situ* XRD analysis

The nature of the Ni phase was studied *in-situ* by XRD analysis following each step of the precursor decomposition/reduction until no more changes were observed to the diffraction pattern. Pattern **A** shown in **Figure 3** represents the initial ACF support and pattern **B** corresponds to the ACF after deposition of the $\text{Ni}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ precursor. A sample of impregnated ACF was subjected to a thermal treatment (298 K to 723 K, 2 K min^{-1}) under a $60 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ min}^{-1}$ flow of N_2 and left during one hour at the final temperature (**Figure 3C**). Finally, pattern **D** represents the impregnated sample subjected to a thermal treatment (298 K to 723 K, 2 K min^{-1}) under a $60 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ min}^{-1}$ flow of 10% v/v H_2/N_2 . Diffraction patterns **C** and **D** show additional components compared to patterns **A** and **B**, suggesting that both N_2 and H_2/N_2 treatments lead to the formation of a $\text{Ni}^{(0)}$ phase by comparison with the JCPDS-ICDD reference standard, *i.e.* fcc Ni (04-0850).

The XRD analysis of the passivated $\text{Ni}/\text{ACF}_{\text{HNO}_3-373}$ catalyst exposed to air over 6 days generated the pattern shown in **Figure 4**. The profile exhibits four main peaks over the 2θ range 20° - 90° , corresponding to the (222), (400), (440) and (440) planes of face-centred cubic NiO (JCPDS-ICDD 89-5881), and confirming the presence of nickel oxide after the standard treatment and air exposure.

3.1.4 XPS analysis

Freshly prepared passivated Ni/ACF_{HNO3-373} was studied by XPS before and after argon sputtering to gain information on the Ni NPs composition. Before sputtering the profile exhibits a symmetric Ni 2p_{3/2} peak at 855.7 eV and its satellite at 861.3 eV, respectively (**Figure 5A**). The contributions of the main peak of NiO produce two visible maxima (at 853.7 and 855.4 eV) whereas a single symmetric peak is detected corresponding to Ni(OH)₂ [43]. The higher intensity of the Ni 2p_{1/2} peak measured at 873.7 eV compared to the satellite at 880.1, indicates that the NPs surface consists mainly of Ni(OH)₂. A contribution of NiO cannot be completely ruled out since the carbonaceous nature of catalyst results in low signal-to-noise.

After 5 min of Ar sputtering (2 nm) the position of the Ni 2p_{3/2} peak maxima was found to be shifted by 1.5 eV to 854.2 eV (**Figure 5B**). The shape presents an asymmetry visible on the left side of the peak that is characteristic of a NiO contribution. The position of the Ni 2p_{1/2} peak satellite at 878.1 eV, half-way from NiO (879 eV) and Ni⁰ (874 eV), could indicate some contributions from Ni⁰.

In summary, XRD and XPS studies confirm that the conditions of Ni/ACF preparation lead to the formation of metallic Ni⁰ NPs during the reduction under hydrogen. These particles were covered by a thin Ni-oxide/hydroxide layer formed during the passivation step.

3.1.5 STEM

HAADF STEM measurements were performed to observe the size and morphology of the Ni NPs. In the images they appear as bright contrast within the ACF support composed of a lower density carbon material (Z-contrast).

Figure 6 shows the image of Ni/ACF_{HNO3-373} (**A**) and outlines of the Ni NPs of the same sample (**B**). The STEM derived histogram for this sample (**C**) is characterized by a distribution of the NP size between 1.6 – 2.6 nm with 53 % within the range. The mean particle diameter was found 2.1 ± 1 nm. Analysis of NiO_x/ACF (with non-treated by HNO₃ support) reveals NPs in the range of 1.4 - 2.3 nm for 52 % of the NPs with a mean particle size of 1.7 ± 0.8 nm. The mean diameter of NiO_x NPs on acid pre-treated support, ACF_{HNO3-373}, formed before the reduction in H₂-flow (images not shown) is 1.8 ± 0.9 nm, being similar to those without acid treatment.

Thus, the thermal treatment in the presence of hydrogen leads to a slight increase of mean NPs size. Note that the presence of particles with sizes below the detection threshold, not visible with the high magnification (1.3 Mx), cannot be excluded. Imaging with the aberration corrected microscope (FEI Titan Themis) of the Ni/ACF_{HNO3-373} specimen suggested that a larger proportion of NPs in the sub-nm range (0.5 – 1 nm) exist than detected with the FEI Talos F200S. The enhanced catalytic properties of these sub-nm range NPs could arise from their irregular shape and dangling chains of atoms on the surface, increasing the number active sites. Also, the distribution of the Ni/ACF_{HNO3-373} specimen (**Figure 6 C**) suggests that the Ni-NPs are attached rather than stacked. Therefore, the effective NPs surface available for catalysis might be greater for this sample, despite its larger mean diameter.

In summary, the oxidative pre-treatment of ACF support by HNO₃ weakly affects the NiO_x NPs size. In contrast, the reductive treatment at 673 K slightly diminishes the Ni-NPs dispersion with a concomitant increase of average diameter from 1.8 ± 0.9 to 2.1 ± 1.0 nm.

3.2 Catalytic results

3.2.1 Effect of the ACF acidic pre-treatment on catalytic performance

It is well-known that the concentration of oxygen-containing (O-) groups on an ACF surface can be increased by acidic treatments [37]. In this study we applied a HNO₃ treatment at 298 K or 373 K to modify the ACF (see experimental section for details). Nickel (5 wt.%) was deposited on pre-treated and non-treated ACFs and the obtained catalysts were tested in *p*-chloronitrobenzene (*p*-CNB) to *p*-chloroaniline (*p*-CAN) hydrogenation. **Figure 7** shows that the selectivity of all the catalysts was close to 100% while the activity drastically increases (3-fold) for the ACF treated at 373 K. It is worth to noting that a yield of *p*-CAN close to 100% was obtained for all catalysts before dechlorination (cleavage C-Cl) starts. Catalytic tests also demonstrated that *in-situ* activation under H₂ of freshly prepared/passivated catalysts was necessary to obtain catalytic activity.

The effect of the ACF oxidative treatment can be related to the Ni NPs size and to the concentration and the nature of functional O-groups on the carbon surface. The HNO₃-treated carbon was previously shown to display a high density of weak and strong acid sites [44]. During the calcination step in the catalyst preparation it is likely that the strong O-containing groups (rendering CO₂ during TPD runs) were removed whereas majority of weak groups (rendering CO in TPD) remain. In fact, during TPD performed over the catalysts after the calcination step, a 60 % higher amount of CO was detected from Ni/ACF_{HNO₃-373} as compared to Ni/ACF (4.3 vs 2.7 mmol g⁻¹). These weak O-groups were reported by Toebes *et al.* [45] to maintain the dispersion of Pt NPs on ACF while the activity towards cinnamaldehyde hydrogenation was attributed to electronic effects. Also Ramaker *et al.* demonstrated that the lower electron density of the oxygen

atoms of weak O-groups leads to the higher ionization potential of the supported Pt NPs, increasing the TOF during hydrogenation of a tetralin ring [46]. Therefore, we suggest that the larger number of weak O-groups of Ni/ACF_{HNO3} as compared to Ni/ACF favor substrate adsorption on Ni NPs via the NO₂ group and thereby increasing the catalytic activity [47].

3.2.2 Reaction scope: Nitrobenzene hydrogenation

The Ni/ACF_{HNO3-373} catalyst is the most active and also has been tested in the hydrogenation of nitrobenzene (NB). Representative concentration *vs* time profiles are shown in **Figure 8**. Linear dependency of NB consumption was observed until close to 100% conversion. The aniline formation passes via azo- and azoxy-intermediates indicative of the condensation route established by Haber [1]. It is important to note that the selectivity and yield of the desired aniline product continues to increase even after the full conversion of NB due to the completion of consecutive transformations of intermediates. Therefore, the maximum yield (and not the selectivity at close to full conversion) is the most important parameter to assess the catalytic performance.

The 5wt.% Ni/ACF_{HNO3-373} catalyst was also evaluated in other challenging hydrogenations (**Table 2**). For the nitro-aromatics containing functional groups which are sensitive to the reduction conditions (e.g. alkenes, halogens, etc.), the maximum yields of the desired anilines are the main issue. These yields were compared to ones obtained using Raney Ni under the same reaction conditions. The developed 5wt.% Ni/ACF_{HNO3-373} catalyst outperformed, by ca. 20-fold, the Raney Ni (industrial non-noble metal catalyst used for this reaction) in terms of activity in *p*-CNB hydrogenation and the maximum yield was also slightly higher (99.0 ± 0.5 *vs.* $98.0 \pm$

0.5%). It is worth to note that dechlorination after reaction completion (100% conversion of *p*-CNB) was much higher over Raney Ni resulting in its rapid deactivation. At reaction completion ($X = 100\%$), the selectivity towards aniline of 0.25 % and 1.50 % were obtained for the Ni/ACF_{HNO3-373} and the Raney nickel catalysts, respectively. The 5wt.% Ni/ACF_{HNO3-373} catalyst was also highly efficient in *m*-dinitro-benzene hydrogenation affording a high yield of *m*-nitro-aniline (99.0±0.5%).

Catalyst stability was assessed via 13 consecutive reaction runs using the same 5wt.% Ni/ACF_{HNO3-373} catalyst. Deactivation was more pronounced during the first run with a tendency towards stabilization after the sixth run. The total activity drop (about 45 %) may be due to leaching of loosely attached Ni NPs during the first run, some mechanical losses and slow accumulation of intermediates on the catalyst surface during the following reaction runs. The post-reaction mixture was analysed using AAS and no traces of Ni were detected. Therefore, the deactivation is probably due to contamination from some residuals or due to reconstructions of active sites in the course of the hydrogenation reactions, leading to the quasi-steady-state attained after 6-7 runs.

In summary, the structured 5wt.% Ni/ACF catalyst is highly efficient for the 3-phase hydrogenation of nitro-aromatics tolerating different functionalities. In addition to obtaining near-quantitative yields of the desired anilines, the catalyst is reusable and simple to handle.

4 Conclusions

Structured catalysts consisting of small Ni NPs (~2.0 nm) supported on activated carbon fibers (ACF) have been prepared which efficiently catalyze the chemoselective hydrogenation of

nitro-aromatics under relatively mild conditions ($T = 353\text{ K}$; $P = 10\text{ bar}$). The method used to prepare the catalyst was optimised, with pre-treatment of the ACF by nitric acid (prior to the impregnation by Ni-precursor) not affecting the Ni^0 dispersion, but creating a high concentration of functional O-groups leading to the enhanced (3-fold) activity of the resulting catalyst in the hydrogenation of *p*-CNB.

In-situ XRD and XPS analysis showed that the Ni NPs (generated during the calcination-reduction of the nickel salt) are metallic. The TPD and H_2 -TPR data allowed the optimal reduction temperature in H_2 -flow of 673 K to be established and indicated the necessity for the passivated catalyst to undergo *in-situ* activation in the reaction mixture at 353 K . Although deactivation of the catalyst was observed following storage in ambient air, catalytic activity could be regenerated by reduction at temperatures $> 560\text{ K}$.

The best catalytic performance was observed for 5wt.% $\text{Ni}/\text{ACF}_{\text{HNO}_3-373}$, which is ca. 20-fold more active than the benchmark Raney Ni catalyst in the hydrogenation of *p*-CNB. Thus, the new catalyst reported here represents a significant step forward towards a simple, heterogeneous catalytic selective hydrogenation of nitroarenes that employs H_2 as the hydrogen source.

Acknowledgments

Danièle Laub and Colette Vallotton of CIME are acknowledged for the samples preparation for STEM analysis. The financial support from the Swiss National Science Foundation (Grant 200020-149869) and the Russian Science Foundation (Grant 15-19-20023) is highly appreciated.

References

- [1] H. U. Blaser, H. Steiner, and M. Studer, *ChemCatChem*. 1 (2009) 210-221.
- [2] R. S. Downing, P. J. Kunkeler, and H. vanBekkum, *Catal Today*. 37 (1997) 121-136.
- [3] A. Corma and P. Serna, *Science*. 313 (2006) 332-334.
- [4] A. Corma, P. Concepcion, and P. Serna, *Angew Chem Int Edit*. 46 (2007) 7266-7269.
- [5] F. Cardenas-Lizana, X. D. Wang, D. Lamey, M. S. Li, M. A. Keane, and L. Kiwi-Minsker, *Chem Eng J*. 255 (2014) 695-704.
- [6] F. Cardenas-Lizana, D. Lamey, N. Perret, S. Gomez-Quero, L. Kiwi-Minsker, and M. A. Keane, *Catal Commun*. 21 (2012) 46-51.
- [7] F. Cardenas-Lizana, S. Gomez-Quero, and M. A. Keane, *Chemsuschem*. 1 (2008) 215-221.
- [8] F. Cardenas-Lizana, S. Gomez-Quero, and M. A. Keane, *Catal Commun*. 9 (2008) 475-481.
- [9] A. Corma, P. Serna, P. Concepcion, and J. J. Calvino, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 130 (2008) 8748-8753.
- [10] E. Joannet, C. Horny, L. Kiwi-Minsker, and A. Renken, *Chem Eng Sci*. 57 (2002) 3453-3460.
- [11] R. Raja, V. B. Golovko, J. M. Thomas, A. Berenguer-Murcia, W. Z. Zhou, S. H. Xie, and B. F. G. Johnson, *Chem Commun*. (2005) 2026-2028.
- [12] F. Cardenas-Lizana, C. Berguerand, I. Yuranov, and L. Kiwi-Minsker, *J Catal*. 301 (2013) 103-111.
- [13] B. Coq, A. Tijani, and F. Figueras, *J Mol Catal*. 68 (1991) 331-345.
- [14] V. Holler, D. Wegracht, I. Yuranov, L. Kiwi-Minsker, and A. Renken, *Chem Eng Technol*. 23 (2000) 251-255.
- [15] R. V. Jagadeesh, A. E. Surkus, H. Junge, M. M. Pohl, J. Radnik, J. Rabeah, H. M. Huan, V. Schunemann, A. Bruckner, and M. Beller, *Science*. 342 (2013) 1073-1076.
- [16] F. A. Westerhaus, R. V. Jagadeesh, G. Wienhofer, M. M. Pohl, J. Radnik, A. E. Surkus, J. Rabeah, K. Junge, H. Junge, M. Nielsen, A. Bruckner, and M. Beller, *Nat Chem*. 5 (2013) 537-543.

- [17] K. Bhaumik and K. Akamanchi, *Can J Chem.* 81 (2003) 197-198.
- [18] F. Cardenas-Lizana, S. Gomez-Quero, and M. A. Keane, *Appl Catal a-Gen.* 334 (2008) 199-206.
- [19] M. V. Twigg and M. S. Spencer, *Appl Catal a-Gen.* 212 (2001) 161-174.
- [20] L. Z. Nikoshvili, A. S. Makarova, N. A. Lyubimova, A. V. Bykov, A. I. Sidorov, I. Y. Tyamina, V. G. Matveeva, and E. M. Sulman, *Catal Today.* 256 (2015) 231-240.
- [21] G. C. Bond, *Chem Soc Rev.* 20 (1991) 441-475.
- [22] N. Semagina and L. Kiwi-Minsker, *Catal Rev.* 51 (2009) 147-217.
- [23] A. Borodzinski, *Catal Lett.* 71 (2001) 169-175.
- [24] N. Semagina and L. Kiwi-Minsker, *Catal Lett.* 127 (2009) 334-338.
- [25] M. Crespo-Quesada, A. Yarulin, M. S. Jin, Y. N. Xia, and L. Kiwi-Minsker, *Journal of the American Chemical Society.* 133 (2011) 12787-12794.
- [26] L. Kiwi-Minsker, I. Yuranov, V. Holler, and A. Renken, *Chem Eng Sci.* 54 (1999) 4785-4790.
- [27] S. R. de Miguel, J. I. Villella, E. L. Jablonski, O. A. Scelza, C. S. M. de Lecea, and A. Linares-Solano, *Appl Catal a-Gen.* 232 (2002) 237-246.
- [28] M. C. M. Perez, C. S. M. deLecea, and A. L. Solano, *Appl Catal a-Gen.* 151 (1997) 461-475.
- [29] V. Gaur, A. Sharma, and N. Verma, *Carbon.* 43 (2005) 3041-3053.
- [30] J. Economy, M. Daley, and C. Mangun, *Abstr Pap Am Chem S.* 211 (1996) 84-Fuel.
- [31] C. Wang, J. S. Qiu, C. H. Liang, L. Xing, and X. M. Yang, *Catal Commun.* 9 (2008) 1749-1753.
- [32] N. Mahata, A. F. Cunha, J. J. M. Orfao, and J. L. Figueiredo, *Appl Catal a-Gen.* 351 (2008) 204-209.
- [33] N. Mahata, A. F. Cunha, J. J. M. Orfao, and J. L. Figueiredo, *Catal Commun.* 10 (2009) 1203-1206.
- [34] V. Holler, D. Wegracht, I. Yuranov, and L. Kiwi-Minsker, *Chem. Eng. Sci. & Tech.* 23 (2000) 251-255.
- [35] D. Rosenthal, M. Ruta, R. Schlögl, and L. Kiwi-Minsker, *Carbon.* 48 (2010) 1835-1843.

- [36] C. Subrahmanyam, D. A. Bulushev, and L. Kiwi-Minsker, *Appl Catal B-Environ.* 61 (2005) 98-106.
- [37] J. L. Figueiredo, M. F. R. Pereira, M. M. A. Freitas, and J. J. M. Orfao, *Carbon.* 37 (1999) 1379-1389.
- [38] S. Brunauer, P. H. Emmett, and E. Teller, *Journal of the American Chemical Society.* 60 (1938) 309-319.
- [39] J. W. Shim, S. J. Park, and S. K. Ryu, *Carbon.* 39 (2001) 1635-1642.
- [40] G. B. Baur, I. Yuranov, and L. Kiwi-Minsker, *Catal Today.* 249 (2015) 252-258.
- [41] W. Brockner, C. Ehrhardt, and M. Gjika, *Thermochim Acta.* 456 (2007) 64-68.
- [42] H. S. Johnston, L. Foering, Y. S. Tao, and G. H. Messerly, *Journal of the American Chemical Society.* 73 (1951) 2319-2321.
- [43] M. C. Biesinger, B. P. Payne, L. W. M. Lau, A. Gerson, and R. S. C. Smart, *Surf Interface Anal.* 41 (2009) 324-332.
- [44] G. C. Torres, E. L. Jablonski, G. T. Baronetti, A. A. Castro, S. R. deMiguel, O. A. Scelza, M. D. Blanco, M. A. P. Jimenez, and J. L. G. Fierro, *Appl Catal a-Gen.* 161 (1997) 213-226.
- [45] M. L. Toebe, Y. H. Zhang, J. Hajek, T. A. Nijhuis, J. H. Bitter, A. J. van Dillen, D. Y. Murzin, D. C. Koningsberger, and K. P. de Jong, *J Catal.* 226 (2004) 215-225.
- [46] D. E. Ramaker, J. de Graaf, J. A. R. van Veen, and D. C. Koningsberger, *J Catal.* 203 (2001) 7-17.
- [47] B. Coq, A. Tijani, R. Dutartre, and F. Figueras, *J Mol Catal.* 79 (1993) 253-264.

Table 1: BET analysis for ACF support with and without acid treatment and Ni/ACF catalysts.

N°	Sample	Ni content (wt%)	Comment	SSA ± 50 (m ² g ⁻¹)	Specific pore volume ± 0.02 (cm ³ g ⁻¹)
1	ACF	0	as received	2120	0.88
2	ACF _{HNO3-373}	0	HNO ₃ treated ^a	1750	0.73
3	ACF _{HNO3-373-Δ}	0	HNO ₃ ^a + thermal treatment in Ar at 673 K	2000	0.84
4	Ni/ACF	4.8	Calcination 673 K - reduction 673 K - passivation at RT	1960	0.83
5	Ni/ACF _{HNO3-373}	3.8	Calcination 673 K - reduction 673 K - passivation at RT	2000	0.79

^a treated with nitric acid: 15% HNO₃, 373 K, 15 min.

Table 2: Activity and maximum yield of the amine for the hydrogenation of nitro-aromatics (NC) over 5wt.%Ni/ACF_{HNO3} and Raney Ni. *Reaction conditions:* T = 353 K; P_{H2} = 10 bar; C_{NC,0} = 1.2×10⁻¹ mol L⁻¹, molar NC:Ni ratio = 50.

N°	Catalyst	Substrate (S)	Activity, r ₀ ·10 ² (mol _{NC} mol ⁻¹ _{Ni} s ⁻¹)	Maximum Yield ± 0.5 (%)	Time to reach X = 98 % (min)*
1**		NB	0.24	100.0	171
2	Ni/ACF _{HNO3-373}	NB	3.2	100.0	15
3		<i>m</i> -DNB***	0.15	97.0	409
4		<i>p</i> -CNB	2.8	99.0	23
5	Raney Ni	<i>p</i> -CNB	0.13	98.0	672

*Without in-situ activation

**Normalized according to molar NC:Ni ratio towards the NB reduction (entry n°2)

***Catalyst was tested at 423 K

Figure Captions

Figure 1: TPD profile of $\text{Ni}(\text{NO}_3)_2/\text{ACF}_{\text{HNO}_3-373}$ (■ CO; ▲ CO_2 ; ◆ NO).

Figure 2: TPR- H_2 profile of (A) $\text{NiO}_x/\text{ACF}_{\text{HNO}_3-373}$ (after nitrates decomposition) and (B) $\text{Ni}/\text{ACF}_{\text{HNO}_3-373}$ after reduction, passivation and exposition in air during different time.

Figure 3: *In-situ* XRD profile of ACF (A), $\text{Ni}(\text{NO}_3)_2/\text{ACF}_{\text{HNO}_3-373}$ before (B) and after treatments in N_2 (C) and N_2/H_2 (D) at 723 K with JCPDS-ICDD reference diffractogram for Ni^0 (standard card 04-0850).

Figure 4: XRD pattern of $\text{Ni}/\text{ACF}_{\text{HNO}_3-373}$ after 6 days of exposure in air with JCPDS-ICDD reference diffractogram for NiO (standard card 89-5881).

Figure 5: XPS profiles of freshly prepared $\text{Ni}/\text{ACF}_{\text{HNO}_3-373}$ (A) before and (B) after 5 min of Ar sputtering.

Figure 6: Representative STEM images (A), outlines of the particles isolated after ImageJ processing (B) and related particles size distribution (C) for 5% $\text{Ni}/\text{ACF}_{\text{HNO}_3-373}$ after reduction in H_2 followed by passivation.

Figure 7: Effect of ACF pre-treatment by HNO_3 on the selectivity to *p*-CAN (hatched bars) and activity (open bars) for the hydrogenation of *p*-CNB. *Reaction conditions:* $T = 353 \text{ K}$; $P_{\text{H}_2} = 10 \text{ bar}$; $C_{p\text{-CNB},0} = 1.2 \times 10^{-1} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$, molar *p*-CNB:Ni ratio = 50.

Figure 8: Concentrations vs reaction time during NB hydrogenation over $\text{Ni}/\text{ACF}_{\text{HNO}_3-373}$ (□ NB; ○ AN; △ azobenzene; ▽ azoxybenzene; ◇ nitrosobenzene). *Reaction conditions:* $T = 353 \text{ K}$; $P_{\text{H}_2} = 10 \text{ bar}$; $C_{\text{NB},0} = 1.2 \times 10^{-1} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$, molar ratio NB:Ni = 50.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 8

TOC