Graded and discontinuous EphA-ephrinB expression patterns in the developing auditory brainstem.

Matthew M. Wallace¹, James A. Harris¹, Donald Q. Brubaker¹, Caitlyn A. Klotz¹, Mark L. Gabriele¹

¹James Madison University
Department of Biology
Harrisonburg, VA 22807, USA

Running title: Continuous and discrete auditory guidance maps

Text Pages: 34 Figures: 10

Abstract: 298 words Introduction: 891 words Discussion: 1,410 words

* Mail Correspondence to:

Dr. Mark L. Gabriele, Ph.D.
Professor
James Madison University
Department of Biology, MSC 7801
951 Carrier Drive
Harrisonburg, Virginia 22807
phone: (540) 568-6333
fax: (540) 568-3333
email: gabrieml@jmu.edu

Keywords: ephrin, Eph receptor, topography, inferior colliculus, superior olivary complex, cochlear nucleus, lateral lemniscus

ABSTRACT

Eph-ephrin interactions guide topographic mapping and pattern formation in a variety of systems. In contrast to other sensory pathways, their precise role in the assembly of central auditory circuits remains poorly understood. The auditory midbrain, or inferior colliculus (IC) is an intriguing structure for exploring guidance of patterned projections as adjacent subdivisions exhibit distinct organizational features. The central nucleus of the IC (CNIC) and deep aspects of its neighboring lateral cortex (LCIC, Layer 3) are tonotopically-organized and receive layered inputs from primarily downstream auditory sources. While less is known about more superficial aspects of the LCIC, its inputs are multimodal, lack a clear tonotopic order, and appear discontinuous, terminating in modular, patch/matrix-like distributions. Here we utilize X-Gal staining approaches in *lacZ* mutant mice (ephrin-B2, -B3, and EphA4) to reveal EphA-ephrinB expression patterns in the nascent IC during the period of projection shaping that precedes hearing onset. We also report early postnatal protein expression in the cochlear nuclei, the superior olivary complex, the nuclei of the lateral lemniscus, and relevant midline structures. Continuous ephrin-B2 and EphA4 expression gradients exist along frequency axes of the CNIC and LCIC Layer 3. In contrast, more superficial LCIC localization is not graded, but confined to a series of discrete ephrin-B2 and EphA4-positive Layer 2 modules. While heavily expressed in the midline, much of the auditory brainstem is devoid of ephrin-B3, including the CNIC, LCIC Layer 2 modular fields, the dorsal nucleus of the lateral lemniscus (DNLL), as well as much of the superior olivary complex and cochlear nuclei. Ephrin-B3 LCIC expression appears complementary to that of ephrin-B2 and EphA4, with protein most concentrated in presumptive extramodular zones. Described tonotopic gradients and seemingly complementary

modular/extramodular patterns suggest Eph-ephrin guidance in establishing juxtaposed continuous and discrete neural maps in the developing IC prior to experience.

1. INTRODUCTION

Centrally located in the mesencephalon, the inferior colliculus (IC) receives a complex combination of extrinsic inputs (Winer and Schreiner, 2005) amongst a dense network of intrinsic connections (Sturm et al., 2014). Projections from a host of auditory nuclei converge on its central nucleus (CNIC), terminating in a tonotopic manner as afferent layers that extend along its laminar continuum and into deep aspects of the neighboring lateral cortex (LCIC, Layer 3). In contrast to these primarily auditory areas, more superficial LCIC regions are multimodal and lack a clear frequency order (Aitkin et al., 1981; Gruters and Groh, 2012). In lieu of layers or fibrodendritic laminae, a series of neurochemically-distinct modules define the multimodal LCIC (Mugnaini and Oertel, 1985; Chernock et al., 2004; Lesicko and Llano, 2015) with afferents preferentially targeting Layer 2 modular fields or surrounding extramodular domains. While not fully characterized, patterned inputs to these areas include auditory projections from the dorsal cochlear nucleus (Shore and Zhou, 2006; Zhou and Shore, 2006), the CNIC (Saldaña and Merchán, 1992; Noftz et al., 2014), and auditory cortex (Saldaña et al., 1996; Torii et al., 2013; Stebbings et al., 2014; Barnstedt et al., 2015), as well as a diverse array arising from nonauditory sources (Olazábal and Moore, 1989; Shammah-Lagnado et al., 1996), including somatosensory projections from the spinal trigeminal (Sp5, Shore and Zhou, 2006; Zhou and Shore, 2006) and dorsal column nuclei (Li and Mizuno, 1997).

Previously, we demonstrated that topographic layered inputs to the CNIC emerge prior to experience in a variety of species (Gabriele et al., 2000a, b; Henkel et al., 2005; Gabriele et al.,

2007; Fathke and Gabriele, 2009; Gabriele et al., 2011; Wallace et al., 2013). Distinct axonal plexuses are evident by postnatal day 4 (P4) and exhibit highly refined adult-like projection patterns by hearing onset (P12, in rat and mouse). The early spatial alignment of alternating and partially overlapping layered inputs provides evidence that highly precise CNIC maps form in the absence of experience (Fathke and Gabriele, 2009). While less is known about LCIC circuit assembly, preliminary findings from our laboratory suggest a similar accuracy in initial targeting of multimodal discontinuous modular/extramodular fields (Noftz et al., 2014; Balsamo and Gabriele, 2015).

The Eph-ephrin signaling family fulfills all criteria for topographic guidance molecules (McLaughlin and O’Leary 2005) and is well-documented for its instructive role in the establishment of two kinds of neural maps, *continuous* and *discrete* (Luo and Flanagan, 2007). Eph-ephrin gradients provide positional information necessary for continuous maps that preserve nearest neighbor relationships from source to target (e.g. retinotectal multiaxes mapping: Triplett and Feldheim, 2012). Discontinuous or segregated Eph-ephrin expression, on the other hand, is consistent with discrete mapping, whereby connections are arranged according to input type as opposed to spatial position (e.g. striosome/matrix map: Gerfen, 1992; Janis et al., 1999, olfactory glomerular map; Strotmann and Breer, 2006). Typically, auditory maps are categorized as continuous with their emphasis on tonotopic order and preservation of cochlear place code. Tonotopic gradients of Eph family proteins at various levels suggest their involvement in constructing orderly frequency-specific circuits (Person et al., 2004; Miko et al., 2007; Gabriele et al., 2011). Manipulations of certain Eph-ephrin members indeed affect *in vivo* mapping of tonotopic circuits at the level of the auditory brainstem (Cramer, 2005; Huffman and Cramer, 2007; Nakamura et al., 2012; Wallace et al., 2013) and even shape aspects of cortical auditory

1 response properties (Intskirveli et al., 2011). Even with this recent progress, considerable work
2 remains in identifying the full spectrum of signaling members, expression gradients, and
3 mechanisms that drive continuous auditory map formation. Multimodal targets like LCIC
4 modular/extramodular fields exhibit unique organizational features, and thus, appear more in
5 keeping with discrete neural maps (Cramer and Gabriele, 2014). The presence of both
6 continuous and discrete maps within a single system would not be unprecedented, given the
7 somatosensory system's respective elements of body surface representation and whisker-specific
8 barreloids/barrel fields.

9 Functional roles for ephrin-B2, -B3 and EphA4 in aspects of the developing auditory system
10 downstream of the IC have been previously reported in the literature (Bianchi and Gale, 1998;
11 Pickles et al., 2002; Brors et al., 2003; Cramer, 2005; Miko et al, 2007; Defourny et al., 2013),
12 although less is known about their expression and involvement in midbrain mapping (Gabriele et
13 al., 2011; Wallace et al., 2013; Cramer and Gabriele, 2014). Transgenic lines with *lacZ* reporter
14 gene manipulations in coding regions of Eph-ephrin genes of interest provide the means for
15 mapping endogenous gene expression in developing auditory structures of animals carrying a
16 mutant allele (Bianchi et al., 2002; Miko et al., 2007; Miko et al., 2008). The present expression
17 study provides the first step in exploring the notion that continuous and discrete guidance maps
18 exist juxtaposed in neighboring IC subdivisions. Quantification of X-Gal staining in *lacZ*
19 mutants reveals clear EphA4 and ephrin-B2 gradients in the tonotopic CNIC and LCIC Layer 3.
20 Within the multimodal LCIC, periodic modular (ephrin-B2, EphA4) and extramodular (ephrin-
21 B3) expression patterns appear complementary. Despite belonging to different Eph-ephrin
22 subfamilies, EphA4 has strong binding affinities for both ephrin-A and ephrin-B ligands (Gale et
23 al., 1996; Pasquale, 1997; Bergemann et al., 1998). Expression patterns are also noted for other

major auditory brainstem nuclei and relevant midline structures. The potential instructive role of the described graded and modular expression patterns in establishing continuous tonotopic and discrete multimodal neural maps within the CNIC and LCIC are discussed.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Animal subjects

Early postnatal mice (P0, P4, P8, P12) were studied leading up to hearing onset (P12). Timepoints were chosen to directly correlate with stages from previous studies documenting the development of multiple topographic and patterned inputs to the IC (Gabriele et al., 2000a, 2000b, 2007, 2011; Henkel et al., 2005; Fathke and Gabriele, 2009; Wallace et al., 2013). Results include data from 36 heterozygous mice of three different Eph-ephrin *lacZ* mutations (ephrin-B2, CD1/129 background, n = 19; EphA4, C57BL/6J background, n = 8; ephrin-B3, CD1 background n = 9). Breeding pairs for ephrin-B2 and ephrin-B3 colonies were provided by Dr. Mark Henkemeyer; EphA4 colony was generated from breeding pairs acquired from the Mutant Mouse Regional Resource Center (MMRRC, NCRR-NIH; donated by Marc Tessier-Lavigne). *LacZ* insertions afford β -galactosidase or X-Gal histochemical staining (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- β -D-galactopyranoside; X-Gal, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), faithfully reporting gene expression for the proteins of interest. Positive X-Gal staining results in a blue reaction product for visualization with brightfield microscopy.

Visualized β -galactosidase in our mutant strains results from *lacZ* reporter gene manipulations in coding regions for the genes of interest. In the ephrin-B2 and -B3 strains, mutant alleles encode for membrane-bound ephrin-B2 (or -B3) - β -galactosidase fusion proteins in which the cytoplasmic domain has been deleted and replaced with β -gal (Dravis et al., 2004).

Affixing β -gal to truncated ephrin-B2 or ephrin-B3 enables precise spatial and subcellular visualization of these proteins (Yokoyama et al., 2001). In contrast, the mutant allele for the EphA4 gene trap strain produces no EphA4 protein and expresses cytoplasmic β -galactosidase (Leighton et al., 2001).

2.2. Genotyping procedures

Ephrin-B2 mice were genotyped as previously described (Gabriele et al., 2011; Wallace et al., 2013). Similar methods were employed for EphA4 and ephrin-B3 genotyping. In short, EphA4 and ephrin-B3 tail samples were digested, isolated, and precipitated with an Easy-DNA kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). EphA4 (EphA4-forward 5' GTTTCCGCTCTGAGCTTATACTGC-3', EphA4-reverse 5' ACAGTGAGTGGACAAAGAGACAGG-3', lacZ 5'-CGCTCTTACCAAAGGGCAAACC-3') and ephrin-B3 primers (EB3-forward 5'-GACGGCGGGCCAAGCCTTCGGAGAG-3', EB3-reverse 5'-ATAGCCAGGAGGAGCCAAAGAG-3', lacZ 5'-AGGCGATTAAGTTGGGTAACG-3') were used for PCR amplification (Dravis et al., 2004; Miko et al., 2007). Visualization of PCR product via gel electrophoresis results in EphA4 wild-type (WT; 639-bp) and/or mutant (800-bp) allele bands, and ephrin-B3 WT (401-bp) and/or mutant (142-bp) allele bands. All experimental procedures were performed in compliance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NIH Publications No. 80-23, revised 1996) and received prior approval from the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at James Madison University (Gabriele IACUC Protocol No. A14-15).

2.3. X-Gal staining

Following an overdose of ketamine (200 mg/kg) and xylazine (20 mg/kg) ephrin-B2^{lacZ/+}, EphA4^{lacZ/+}, and ephrin-B3^{lacZ/+} heterozygous mice were perfused with physiological rinse

1 followed by 4% paraformaldehyde fixative (pH 7.4). Following perfusion, brains were
2 immediately cryoprotected in 30% sucrose solution at 4°C. Once equilibrated, brains were
3 blocked, sectioned on a sliding freezing microtome at 50 µm, and mounted on gelatin-subbed
4 slides. Slides were transferred to mailers with 2% paraformaldehyde solution at 4°C for five
5 minutes, and then rinsed twice for ten minutes at 4°C in PBS with 2mM MgCl₂. Slides were then
6 incubated in the dark in a final X-Gal working solution pre-warmed to 37°C (5 mM potassium
7 ferricyanide, 5 mM potassium ferrocyanide, 2 mM Mg Cl₂, and 1 mg/mL X-Gal, Sigma-Aldrich,
8 St. Louis, MO). After 24 hours at 37°C, slides were rinsed for five minutes in PBS, transferred
9 into 4% paraformaldehyde for five minutes, and then rinsed again in PBS for five minutes.
10 Sections were dehydrated with increasing EtOH concentrations and cleared in three successive
11 xylene steps at ten minute intervals. Sections were coverslipped with DPX mountant (Sigma-
12 Aldrich, St. Louis, MO).

13 It is worth noting that initial X-Gal staining attempts included an overnight postfixing step
14 prior to cryoprotection. Although never explicitly stated in the literature to our knowledge, we
15 noticed that every case processed with an overnight postfix yielded no significant X-Gal staining,
16 while omission or substantial abbreviation of this step (10 minute postfix) consistently resulted
17 in a robust and reliable reaction. Wild-type tissue reliably lacked any *lacZ* reactivity, even when
18 left in working solution for 72 hours.

19 2.4. Microscopy and image acquisition

20 Brightfield images were captured on a Nikon C1si system (Nikon Eclipse TE2000-E, Nikon,
21 Melville, NY) using a Nikon Digital Sight DS-U2 color camera. Image acquisition was
22 conducted utilizing NIS-Elements Software (Nikon). Captured images were white balanced and
23 raw image data files were saved as uncompressed TIFFs for quantification purposes. Images

were modified slightly for brightness and contrast for consistency purposes (Adobe Photoshop, San Jose, CA). Nuclear boundaries were determined paying attention to regional variations in cellular packing densities. Darkfield microscopy was also utilized to reveal fibrous layers and aid in delineating LCIC lamination.

2.5. Sampling of graded and modular expression pattern plot profiles

Quantitative CNIC gradient data was compiled and grouped to facilitate comparisons between two established early postnatal periods of projection shaping. The two earlier timepoints (P0/P4) were grouped as they correspond to the initial period of axonal invasion and elaboration within the IC. Similarly, the latter timepoints (P8/P12) were grouped as this period leading up to hearing onset coincides with axonal pattern formation within the developing IC (Gabriele et al., 2011). For quantification, raw uncompressed TIFFs were imported into ImageJ software (NIH, Bethesda, MD). A 2σ -factor Gaussian blur was applied to each image following conversion to an 8-bit grayscale in ImageJ. For CNIC sampling, representative images from mid-rostrocaudal aspects of the CNIC were rotated approximately 45° to facilitate sampling along its tonotopic axis. A rectangular sampling box was drawn and positioned accordingly to cover the entirety of the frequency axis. Brightness profile values generated from each sampling were plotted as a function of distance across the CNIC. For every distance (x) along the sampling area, the corresponding single column of grayscale data were averaged to calculate the brightness profile value (y). Normalized values were calculated by dividing brightness values corresponding to every x-value (distance) by the average brightness value for each respective case. Each case's normalized values were plotted against their distance within both age groups to form compiled graphs in Excel (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA). **Linear regressions were performed on**

1 normalized plot profiles. A set criteria for graded expressions was defined as those having a slope
2 greater than 1.0×10^{-04} .

3 A freehand tool in ImageJ set to a line thickness of 20 pixels was used to draw curved
4 contours for sampling LCIC Layer 2 and 3 image data. Each was sampled from ventral to dorsal,
5 bisecting presumptive Layer 2 modules or traversing the tonotopic axis of Layer 3. Unless tissue
6 quality warranted fewer, a minimum of three sections were sampled that were representative of
7 each protein's rostrocaudal IC expression.

9 3. RESULTS

10 3.1. Graded and modular ephrin-B2 and EphA4 IC expression patterns

11 X-Gal staining reveals graded ephrin-B2 and EphA4 expression in the tonotopic CNIC and
12 LCIC Layer 3 during the early postnatal period of projection shaping leading up to hearing onset
13 (Fathke and Gabriele, 2009; Gabriele et al., 2011; Wallace et al., 2013). Sampling along the
14 frequency axis of the CNIC yields continuous gradients, with each protein most concentrated in
15 ventromedial, high-frequency regions (Fig. 1A-D). The similarly layered and tonotopically
16 organized LCIC Layer 3 (Saldaña and Merchán, 1992, Loftus et al., 2008) exhibits comparable
17 ephrin-B2 and EphA4 gradients, with strong expression ventrally in high frequency areas that
18 diminishes dorsally in lower frequency domains (Fig. 1A, B; E, F).

19 -----
20 *Place FIGURE 1 approximately here*
21 -----

22 A contrasting discontinuous expression pattern is observed for both ephrin-B2 and EphA4 in
23 LCIC Layer 2 (Fig. 2A, B, *dashed contours*) during the same developmental period. Sampling

1 along the curved LCIC Layer 2 contour in each case generates brightness plot profiles that
2 consistently highlight this periodic modular distribution (Fig. 2C, D, *arrows*). Discrete
3 expression modules, while absent from caudal extremes of the LCIC, are distinct at mid-
4 rostrocaudal levels and extend into rostral aspects of the LCIC.

5 -----
6 *Place FIGURE 2 approximately here*
7 -----

8 *3.2. Ephrin-B3 expression localized to LCIC extramodular zones*

9 Unlike ephrin-B2 and EphA4, ephrin-B3 is conspicuously absent from the CNIC (Fig. 3A-
10 C). Sampling along the CNIC frequency axis yields no evidence of a graded expression and
11 consistently low levels of X-Gal labeling (Fig. 3B). LCIC Layer 2 modules are likewise devoid
12 of ephrin-B3 (Fig. 3A, C), whereas neuropil in presumptive surrounding extramodular zones is
13 distinctly positive. Curved contour sampling bisecting LCIC Layer 2 modular fields (Fig. 3C)
14 reliably emphasizes the discontinuous periodic pattern of ephrin-B3 negative patches (Fig. 3D,
15 *arrows*). The dorsal cortex of the IC (DCIC) exhibits ephrin-B3 expression levels comparable to
16 that of LCIC extramodular zones. Fibers coursing through aspects of the IC commissure into the
17 DCIC are distinctly ephrin-B3 positive (*Fig 3A, C, arrowheads; see also Fig. 9C*).

18 -----
19 *Place FIGURE 3 approximately here*
20 -----

21 Periodic and discrete EphA/ephrinB expression fields are clearly delineated by P8. Ephrin-
22 B2 and EphA4 expression is restricted to Layer 2 modules (Fig. 4A, B), while ephrin-B3
23 extramodular labeling appears complementary, with hollow areas of expression in presumptive

modular zones embedded within a surrounding network of homogeneous Layer 1 and 3 neuropil labeling (Fig. 4C). Higher magnification reveals ephrin-B2 cellular and neuropil labeling that is almost exclusively confined to Layer 2 modules (Fig. 4A). Despite evidence of labeled somata and neuropil throughout Layer 3 as well as in intermodular gaps of Layer 2, EphA4 staining is similarly most concentrated in Layer 2 modular domains and equally sparse in fibrous aspects of Layer 1 (Fig. 4B). The consistent cellular appearance of EphA4 LCIC labeling apparent with X-Gal staining methods, however, was not observed in previous immunocytochemical findings from our lab that showed more fibrous EphA4 staining in age-matched animals (Gabriele et al., 2011). This discrepancy might be explained by the fact that our null EphA4 mutant produces no protein, thereby only expressing cytoplasmic β -galactosidase. Beyond seemingly complementary patterns, ephrin-B3 staining differed from that of EphA4 and ephrin-B2 in that there was little evidence of positively-labeled cell bodies throughout the CNIC and its surrounding shell nuclei (Fig. 3A, C; Fig. 4C).

Place Figure 4 approximately here

3.3. CNIC gradients at two early postnatal periods of projection shaping

Plots of normalized CNIC data were compiled and organized into two developmental groups (Fig. 5) corresponding to known periods of axonal invasion/elaboration (P0, P4, Kandler and Friauf, 1993; Gabriele et al., 2000a, b; Fathke and Gabriele, 2009) and subsequent refinement (P8, P12; Gabriele et al., 2000a, b; Fathke and Gabriele, 2009; Wallace et al., 2013). According to our set linear regression slope criteria, significant CNIC gradients were consistently observed for both ephrin-B2 (Fig 5A, B; $n = 11$, $n = 8$; $m = 4 \times 10^{-04}$, $m = 5 \times 10^{-04}$ respectively) and

EphA4 (Fig 5C, D; $n = 3$, $n = 5$; $m = 9 \times 10^{-04}$, $m = 7 \times 10^{-04}$ respectively) at each defined developmental category. Slopes of linear regressions for multiple ephrin-B3 cases were negligible during both periods, supporting the observation that this protein is largely absent from the CNIC leading up to hearing onset (Fig. 5E, F; $n = 4$, $n = 5$; $m = -5 \times 10^{-05}$, $m = 1 \times 10^{-05}$ respectively).

Place FIGURE 5 approximately here

3.4. Additional auditory brainstem nuclei and midline structure expression

Ventral and dorsal cochlear nuclei (VCN, DCN) expression patterns are dissimilar for ephrin-B2, EphA4, and ephrin-B3 during the first postnatal week. Ephrin-B2 is uniformly expressed throughout the VCN and DCN at P4, showing no gradient along its ventrodorsal frequency axis (Fig. 6A, B). The superficial molecular layer, in contrast, is distinctly negative for ephrin-B2. X-Gal histochemistry in EphA4^{lacZ/+} mutants was not homogeneous, but rather graded in the VCN and DCN during this same developmental period. EphA4 expression is most concentrated in dorsal, high-frequency aspects, as compared to lower expression in more ventral, low frequency regions (Fig. 6C, D, white arrows; plot profiles not shown). Findings for ephrin-B2 and EphA4 are consistent with that previously reported by Miko et al. (2007). Ephrin-B3 expression is not graded, but complementary to that of ephrin-B2. Ephrin-B3 is absent from VCN and DCN, except for the molecular layer that is consistently positive (Fig 6E, F).

Place FIGURE 6 approximately here

Many of the principal nuclei of the superior olivary complex (SOC) and surrounding periolivary nuclei exhibit early postnatal EphA/ephrinB expression. During the first postnatal week, ephrin-B2 expression (Fig. 7A) appears uniform in the lateral superior olive (LSO), superior paraolivary nucleus (SPON), medial nucleus of the trapezoid body (MNTB), and dorsal periolivary nucleus (DPO). While lacking from DPO, homogeneous EphA4 expression (Fig. 7B) is also observed in the LSO, SPON, and MNTB, as well as the medioventral and lateroventral periolivary nuclei (MVPO, LVPO). During this same developmental period leading up to hearing onset, ephrin-B3 is largely absent from the SOC (Fig. 7C).

Place FIGURE 7 approximately here

The nuclei of the lateral lemniscus exhibit varied expression patterns for ephrin-B2, EphA4, and ephrin-B3. While ephrin-B2 is strongly expressed in the ventral nucleus of the lateral lemniscus (VNLL, see Gabriele et al., 2011), its expression in the dorsal nucleus of the lateral lemniscus is comparatively moderate (DNLL, Fig. 8A). EphA4, on the other hand, is most heavily concentrated in the DNLL (Fig. 8B). The DNLL is completely devoid of ephrin-B3, as are fibers of the lateral lemniscus and commissure of Probst (Fig. 8C, *arrowheads*).

Place FIGURE 8 approximately here

Eph-ephrin interactions are known to play a role in midline decisions in a variety of sensory systems (Cramer et al., 2002; Williams et al., 2004; Petros et al., 2008). Ephrin-B2 is expressed along the dorsal midline where colliculo-collicular fibers cross (Fig. 9A, *arrow*), whereas EphA4

is absent here, as well as in the ventral tegmental midline (Fig. 9B, *arrow*). Ephrin-B3 exhibits the most concentrated midline expression during this early developmental period (Fig. 9C, *arrow*) and is also present in intercollicular fibers crossing in the IC commissure (Fig. 9C, *arrowhead*).

Place FIGURE 9 approximately here

4. DISCUSSION

By utilizing X-Gal staining in *lacZ* mutants, the present study reveals continuous and discrete Eph-ephrin IC expression maps during the postnatal period leading up to hearing onset. Coincident with shaping of topographic afferents along tonotopic IC dimensions (Brunso-Bechtold and Henkel, 2005), ephrin-B2 and EphA4 gradients span CNIC and LCIC Layer 3 frequency axes, with protein most concentrated in high-frequency domains. Inputs to more superficial aspects of the LCIC, however, are multimodal (Aitkin et al., 1981; Gruters and Groh, 2012) and discontinuous, exhibiting either discrete modular or extramodular terminal fields (Saldaña and Merchán, 1992; Saldaña et al., 1996; Shore and Zhou, 2006; Torii et al., 2013; Stebbings et al., 2014). These highly localized afferent arrangements share a striking resemblance to modular (ephrin-B2, EphA4) and extramodular (ephrin-B3) LCIC expression patterns presented here. In sum, this study provides a necessary foundation for defining the precise alignment of developing auditory brainstem connections with Eph-ephrin expression patterns, and ultimately determining their role in guiding frequency-specific (continuous) and multimodal (discrete) circuits in the nascent IC.

4.1. Support for LCIC compartmentalized architecture

Compared to the extensive detail that exists concerning the CNIC, its cytoarchitecture, and its well-described afferent and efferent pathways (Oliver, 2005), considerably less is known about analogous LCIC features. A series of neurochemical staining experiments in adult rat and mouse provide preliminary insights about its presumed compartmentalized organization. Periodic LCIC Layer 2 neuronal clusters or modules are clearly evident after immuno- and histochemical staining for parvalbumin, cytochrome oxidase, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate-diaphorase, and acetylcholinesterase (Chernock et al., 2004; Lesicko and Llano, 2015). Surrounding extramodular domains while negative for these markers, are strikingly positive for calretinin in developing rat (Lohmann and Friauf, 1996).

Here we provide guidance molecule expression data in developing mouse that underscores the notion of a compartmentalized LCIC. EphA4 and ephrin-B2 are expressed in discrete patches in LCIC Layer 2, while ephrin-B3 expression is consistently extramodular in its appearance. These expression patterns are evident by birth and persist during the early postnatal period preceding hearing onset. The seemingly complementary patterns of EphA4/ephrin-B2 and ephrin-B3 labeling appear to correlate nicely not only with the aforementioned neurochemical LCIC substrate, but also with multimodal innervation of these areas. LCIC receives ascending inputs from somatosensory centers including the dorsal column and spinal trigeminal nuclei (Li and Mizuno, 1997; Zhou and Shore, 2006) that preferentially **terminate as a series of discontinuous patches spanning Layer 2**. LCIC afferents also arise from the ipsilateral and contralateral CNIC (Saldaña and Merchán, 1992), as well as descending projections from auditory cortex (Coleman and Clerici, 1987; Druga et al., 1997; Torii et al., 2013). These intracollicular, colliculocollicular, and corticocollicular inputs **putatively target LCIC zones**

surrounding the described “patchy” Layer 2 substrate. Furthermore, studies in the adult rat describe patchy GABAergic output modules (Mugnaini and Oertel, 1985) that target the auditory thalamus, with particularly strong connections to the neighboring posterior limitans and posterior intralaminar nuclei (PLi and PIN, Saldaña, 2013). Elucidating specific afferent-efferent circuits and directly correlating their development with LCIC Eph-ephrin expression patterns will be instrumental in uncovering mechanisms responsible for shaping the three-dimensional LCIC mosaic architecture.

4.2. Continuous and discrete maps in the developing IC?

Continuous CNIC and LCIC Layer 3 gradients along defined frequency axes are reminiscent of those observed in the developing visual system across target tectal anterior-posterior dimensions (McLaughlin and O’Leary, 2005; Suetterlin et al., 2012). In the analogous optic tectum or superior colliculus, countergradients of EphAs and ephrin-As control aspects of retinotectal topographic mapping and axonal branching patterns. While both ephrin-B2 and EphA4 expression in tonotopic regions of the IC exhibit consistent gradients, additional Eph-ephrins are also present and likely influential during this early period of projection shaping (Fig. 10). Similar trends of ephrin-B2, EphA4, and ephrin-B3 expression are observed downstream of the IC, in that ephrin-B2 and EphA4 are highly expressed as compared to minimal ephrin-B3 expression. While EphA4 cochlear nuclear expression exhibits a gradient matching that of the IC, most nuclei in the SOC and lateral lemniscal nuclei positive for EphA4 and/or ephrin-B2 were ostensibly homogeneous in their expression. It remains to be seen which other members of the Eph-ephrin family are present in downstream sources of input to the IC, and whether corresponding presynaptic expression molecules exhibit matching or countergradients to those described in the tonotopic IC. Such findings might suggest chemoattractive/repellent

mechanisms working in tandem for establishing frequency-specific circuits in the IC prior to experience. Indeed, coupling of permissive and repulsive mechanisms are integral in the establishment of retinotectal topography (McLaughlin and O’Leary, 2005), as one drives patterning in the anterior-posterior axis (repulsive, EphAs/ephrin-As), while the other instructs medial-lateral mapping (attractive; EphBs/ephrinBs). Perhaps an analogous system exists in the CNIC and LCIC Layer 3 such that gradients like those presented here help provide positional information along the tonotopic axis, while other gradients yet to be discovered instruct targeting of specific fibrodendritic functional zones in the rostrocaudal dimension.

Place FIGURE 10 approximately here

Unlike the tonotopic CNIC and LCIC Layer 3, graded expressions do not prevail in other aspects of the LCIC, but rather a mosaic architecture of modular and extramodular domains. Such a periodic arrangement of complementary expression patterns is reminiscent of that seen in several other systems. In early olfactory glomerular development terminal fields are guided through EphA-ephrinA interactions (Vassar et al., 1994; St. John et al., 2002). The compartmentalized LCIC modular Layer 2 arrangement also harkens back to EphA-ephrinA interactions and patterning of somatosensory cortical barrel fields (Garel and Rubenstein, 2004; Vanderhaeghen and Polleux, 2004; Uziel et al., 2006). LCIC modular organization, its multimodal connections, and its role in sensorimotor reflexes may most closely parallel that of the striatum and its EphA-ephrinA dependent signaling for the segregation of striatal projection neurons into patch/matrix functional compartments (Janis et al., 1999; Passante et al., 2008).

4.3. CNIC vs. LCIC: An intriguing developmental model

1 The LCIC and its innervation scheme differ considerably from the CNIC. In addition to
2 compartmentalized terminal fields that integrate ascending information, the LCIC also receives
3 descending cortical influences as well as extramodal inputs from the somatosensory system. The
4 LCIC thus shares many characteristics with the similarly compartmentalized and
5 neurochemically-distinct intermediate/deep layers of the multisensory superior colliculus (SC;
6 Jeon and Mize, 1993; Graybiel and Illing, 1994; Gabriele et al., 2006). Multisensory integration
7 encoded here requires the precise alignment of developing visual, auditory, and somatosensory
8 maps. This spatial registry appears to involve both space-matching mechanisms for same-system
9 inputs, whereby relative levels of spontaneous activity achieve retinocollicular and corticocollicular
10 alignment (Triplett et al., 2009), as well as activity-independent mechanisms (EphA-ephrinA
11 interactions) for multimodal alignments (descending somatosensory mapping within deep SC;
12 Triplett et al., 2012). It seems plausible that the developing LCIC may employ an analogous
13 combination of endogenous activity and guidance-matching mechanisms for driving its
14 functional architecture that also ensures accurate orientation and reflexive behaviors.

15 *4.4. Eph-ephrin expression in midline structures*

16 In our examination of developing auditory nuclei we noted complementary expression
17 patterns in both tectal and tegmental midline structures (Fig. 9). These findings are of particular
18 interest given the sheer number of crossed auditory projections at the level of the IC and below.
19 Ephrin-B2, -B3 are heavily expressed at the midline, in contrast to EphA4 which is notably
20 absent here. It is likely that Eph-ephrin midline expression is involved in midline decisions for
21 crossed and uncrossed auditory fibers. Additional studies are needed to discern whether this
22 midline expression is transient, how it correlates with the time course of known crossing fibers,
23 and the potential interactions Eph-ephrins may have with various transcription factors and

midline radial glia as is the case for crossing decisions in other systems (e.g. optic chiasm; Petros et al., 2008).

4.5. Conclusion

With new developmental resources such as comprehensive *in situ* hybridization databases (Fig. 10, www.brainmap.org), it is clear that additional Eph-ephrin members play a role in the complex puzzle of interactions that shape both topographic and patterned arrangements in the developing IC. With its rich array of inputs, each perhaps with its own unique Eph-ephrin expression patterns, considerable work remains to uncover the precise mechanisms that instruct fine-structure targeting of CNIC frequency layers (Schreiner and Langer 1997; Gabriele et al., 2000b; Fathke and Gabriele, 2009) and LCIC modular/extramodular fields. Taken together, the IC provides a promising model for study of both continuous (CNIC and LCIC Layer 3) and discrete (LCIC Layer 2) map formation in a single structure. Future experiments that directly address how established developmental blueprints translate into mature auditory and multisensory functional maps are needed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (DC012421-01) and the National Science Foundation (DBI-0619207). The authors also thank Dr. Mark Henkemeyer and the Mutant Mouse Regional Resource Centers (MMRRC) for their help generating the Eph-ephrin lines, Dr. Thomas Gabriele for his guidance with statistical analyses, and Devon Cowan for programming of quantitative ImageJ macros.

REFERENCES

- Aitkin, L.M., Kenyon, C.E., Philpott, P., 1981. The representation of the auditory and somatosensory systems in the external nucleus of the cat inferior colliculus. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 196, 25-40.
- Balsamo, J.A., Gabriele, M.L., 2015. Somatosensory inputs to the lateral cortex of the inferior colliculus prior to experience in mouse. *Assoc. Res. Otolaryng. Mtg.* PS-565.
- Barnstedt, O., Keating, P., Weissenberger, Y., King, A.J., Dahmen, J.C., 2015. Functional Microarchitecture of the Mouse Dorsal Inferior Colliculus Revealed through In Vivo Two-Photon Calcium Imaging. *J. Neurosci.* 35, 10927-39.
- Bianchi, L.M., Dinsio, K., Davoli, K., Gale, N.W., 2002. Lac z histochemistry and immunohistochemistry reveal ephrin-B ligand expression in the inner ear. *J. Histochem. Cytochem.* 50, 1641-5.
- Bianchi, L.M., Gale, N.W., 1998. Distribution of Eph-related molecules in the developing and mature cochlea. *Hear. Res.* 117, 161–172.
- Bergemann, A.D., Zhang, L., Chiang, M.K., Brambilla, R., Klein, R., Flanagan, J.G., 1998. Ephrin-B3, a ligand for the receptor EphB3, expressed at the midline of the developing neural tube. *Oncogene.* 16, 471-480.
- Brors, D., Bodmer, D., Pak, K., Aletsee, C., Schäfers, M., Dazert, S., Ryan, A.F., 2003. EphA4 provides repulsive signals to developing cochlear ganglion neurites mediated through ephrin-B2 and -B3. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 462, 90-100.

1 Brunso-Bechtold, J.K., Henkel, C.K., 2005. Development of auditory afferents to the central
2 nucleus of the inferior colliculus. In: Winer J.A., Schreiner C.E., (eds). The Inferior
3 Colliculus. New York: Springer, pp. 537-558.

4 Chernock, M.L., Larue, D.T., Winer, J.A., 2004. A periodic network of neurochemical modules
5 in the inferior colliculus. *Hear. Res.* 188, 12-20.

6 Coleman, J.R., Clerici, W.J., 1987. Sources of projections to subdivisions of the inferior
7 colliculus in the rat. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 262, 215-226.

8 Cramer, K.S., 2005. Eph proteins and the assembly of auditory circuits. *Hear. Res.* 206, 42-51.

9 Cramer, K.S., Gabriele, M.L., 2014. Axon guidance in the auditory system: Multiple functions of
10 Eph receptors. *Neurosci.* 277, 152-162.

11 Cramer, K.S., Karam, S.D., Bothwell, M., Cerretti, D.P., Pasquale, E.B., Rubel, E.W., 2002.
12 Expression of EphB receptors and EphrinB ligands in the developing chick auditory
13 brainstem. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 452, 51-64.

14 Defourny, J., Poirrier, A.L., Lallemand, F., Mateo Sanchez, S., Neef, J., Vanderhaeghen, P.,
15 Soriano, E., Peuckert, C., Kullander, K., Fritsch, B., Nguyen, L., Moonen, G., Moser, T.,
16 Malgrange, B., 2013. Ephrin- A5/EphA4 signalling controls specific afferent targeting to
17 cochlear hair cells. *Nat. Commun.* 4, 1438.

18 Dravis, C., Yokoyama, N., Chumley, M.J., Cowan, C.A., Silvany, R.E., Shay, J., Baker, L.A.,
19 Henkemeyer, M., 2004. Bidirectional signaling mediated by ephrin-B2 and EphB2 controls
20 urorectal development. *Dev. Biol.* 271, 272-290.

1 Druga, R., Syka, J., Rajkowska, G., 1997. Projections of auditory cortex onto the inferior
2 colliculus in the rat. *Physiol. Res.* 46, 215-222.

3 Fathke, R.L., Gabriele, M.L., 2009. Patterning of multiple layered projections to the auditory
4 midbrain prior to experience. *Hear. Res.* 249, 36-43.

5 Gabriele, M.L., Brubaker, D.Q., Chamberlain, K.A., Kross, K.M., Simpson, N.S., Kavianpour,
6 S.M., 2011. EphA4 and ephrin-B2 expression patterns during inferior colliculus projection
7 shaping prior to experience. *Dev. Neurobiol.* 71, 182-199.

8 Gabriele, M.L., Brunso-Bechtold, J.K., Henkel, C.K., 2000a. Development of afferent patterns in
9 the inferior colliculus of the rat: projection from the dorsal nucleus of the lateral lemniscus. *J.*
10 *Comp. Neurol.* 416, 368-382.

11 Gabriele, M.L., Brunso-Bechtold, J.K., Henkel, C.K., 2000b. Plasticity in the development of
12 afferent patterns in the inferior colliculus of the rat after unilateral cochlear ablation. *J.*
13 *Neurosci.* 20, 6939-6949.

14 Gabriele, M.L., Shahmoradian, S.H., French, C.C., Henkel, C.K., McHaffie, J.G., 2007. Early
15 segregation of layered projections from the lateral superior olivary nucleus to the central
16 nucleus of the inferior colliculus in the neonatal cat. *Brain Res.* 1173, 66–77.

17 Gabriele, M.L., Smoot, J.E., Jiang, H., Stein, B.E., McHaffie, J.G., 2006. Early establishment of
18 adult-like nigrotectal architecture in the neonatal cat: a double-labeling study using
19 carbocyanine dyes. *Neurosci.* 137, 1309-1319.

- 1 Gale, N.W., Holland, S.J., Valenzuela, D.M., Flenniken, A., Pan, L., Ryan T.E., Henkemeyer,
2 M., Strebhardt, K., Hirai, H., Wilkinson, D.G., Pawson, T., Davis, S., Yancopoulos, G.D.,
3 1996. Eph receptors and ligands comprise two major specificity subclasses and are
4 reciprocally compartmentalized during embryogenesis. *Neuron*. 19, 9-19.
- 5 Garel, S., Rubenstein, J.L., 2004. Intermediate targets in formation of topographic projections:
6 inputs from the thalamocortical system. *Trends Neurosci*. 27, 533-539.
- 7 Gerfen, C.R., 1992. The neostriatal mosaic: multiple levels of compartmental organization in the
8 basal ganglia. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci*. 15, 285-320.
- 9 Graybiel, A.M., Illing, R.B., 1994. Enkephalin-positive and acetylcholinesterase-positive patch
10 systems in the superior colliculus have matching distributions but distinct developmental
11 histories. *J. Comp. Neurol*. 340, 297-310.
- 12 Gruters, K.G., Groh, J.M., 2012. Sounds and beyond: multisensory and other non-auditory
13 signals in the inferior colliculus. *Front. Neural. Circuits*. Dec 11; 6,96. doi:
14 10.3389/fncir.2012.00096.
- 15 Henkel, C.K., Gabriele, M.L., McHaffie, J.G., 2005. Quantitative assessment of developing
16 afferent patterns in the cat inferior colliculus revealed with calbindin immunohistochemistry
17 and tract tracing methods. *Neurosci*. 136, 945-955.
- 18 Huffman, K.J., Cramer, K.S., 2007. EphA4 misexpression alters tonotopic projections in the
19 auditory brainstem. *Dev. Neurobiol*. 67, 1655-1668.

- 1 Intskirveli, I., Metherate, R., Cramer, K.S., 2011. Null mutations in EphB receptors decrease
2 sharpness of frequency tuning in primary auditory cortex. *PLoS One*. 6, e26192.
- 3 Janis, L.S., Cassidy, R.M., Kromer, L.F., 1999. Ephrin-A binding of EphA receptor expression
4 delineate the matrix compartment of the striatum. *J. Neurosci.* 19, 4962-4971.
- 5 Jeon, C.J., Mize, R.R., 1993. Choline acetyltransferase-immunoreactive patches overlap specific
6 efferent cell groups in the cat superior colliculus. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 337, 127-150.
- 7 Kandler, K., Friauf, E., 1993. Pre- and postnatal development of efferent connections of the
8 cochlear nucleus in the rat. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 328,161-84.
- 9 Leighton, P.A., Mitchell, K.J., Goodrich, L.V., Lu, X., Pinson, K., Scherz, P., Skarnes, W.C.,
10 Tessier-Lavigne, M., 2001. Defining brain wiring patterns and mechanisms through gene
11 trapping in mice. *Nature*. 410, 174-9.
- 12 Lesicko, A.M.H., Llano, D.A., 2015. Connectional and neurochemical modularity of the mouse
13 inferior colliculus. *Assoc. Res. Otolaryng. Mtg.* PS-564.
- 14 Li, H., Mizuno, N., 1997. Single neurons in the spinal trigeminal and dorsal column nuclei
15 project to both the cochlear nucleus and the inferior colliculus by way of axon collaterals: a
16 fluorescent retrograde double-labeling study in the rat. *Neurosci. Res.* 29, 135-142.
- 17 Loftus, W.C., Malmierca, M.S., Bishop, D.C., Oliver, D.L., 2008. The cytoarchitecture of the
18 inferior colliculus revisited: A common organization of the lateral cortex in rat and cat.
19 *Neurosci.* 154, 196-205.

- 1 Lohmann, C., Friauf, E., 1996. Distribution of the calcium-binding proteins parvalbumin and
2 calretinin in the auditory brainstem of adult and developing rats. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 367, 90-
3 109.
- 4 Luo, L., Flanagan, J.G., 2007. Development of continuous and discrete neural maps. *Neuron.* 56,
5 284-300.
- 6 McLaughlin, T., O'Leary, D.D., 2005. Molecular gradients and development of retinotopic maps.
7 *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 28, 327-355.
- 8 Miko, I.J., Nakamura, P.A., Henkemeyer, M., Cramer, K.S., 2007. Auditory brainstem neural
9 activation patterns are altered in EphA4- and ephrin-B2-deficient mice. *J. Comp. Neurol.*
10 505, 669-681.
- 11 Miko, I.J., Henkemeyer, M., Cramer, K.S., 2008. Auditory brainstem responses are impaired in
12 EphA4 and ephrin-B2 deficient mice. *Hear. Res.* 235, 39-46.
- 13 Mugnaini, E., Oertel, W.H., 1985. An atlas of the distribution of GABAergic neurons and
14 terminals in the rat CNS as revealed by GAD immunocytochemistry. In: Björklund, A.,
15 Hökfelt, T., (eds). *Handbook of Chemical Neuroanatomy.* Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier
16 Science, pp. 436-608.
- 17 Nakamura, P.A., Hsieh, C.Y., Cramer, K.S., 2012. EphB signaling regulates target innervation in
18 the developing and deafferented auditory brainstem. *Dev. Neurobiol.* 72, 1243-55.

- 1 Noftz, W.A., Gray, L.C., Gabriele, M.L., 2014. Converging midbrain afferent patterns and
2 auditory brainstem responses in ephrin-B3 mutant mice. Assoc. Res. Otolaryng. Mtg. PS-
3 070.
- 4 Olazábal, U.E., Moore, J.K., 1989. Nigrotectal projection to the inferior colliculus: horseradish
5 peroxidase, transport and tyrosine hydroxylase immunohistochemical studies in rats, cats,
6 and bats. J. Comp. Neurol. 282, 98-118.
- 7 Oliver, D.L., 2005. Neuronal organization in the inferior colliculus. In: Winer J.A., Schreiner
8 C.E., (eds). The Inferior Colliculus. New York: Springer, pp. 69-114.
- 9 Pasquale, E.B., 1997. The Eph family of receptors. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 9, 608-615.
- 10 Passante, L., Gaspard, N., Degraeve, M., Frisé, J., Kullander, K., De Maertelaer, V.,
11 Vanderhaeghen, P., 2008. Temporal regulation of ephrin/Eph signalling is required for the
12 spatial patterning of the mammalian striatum. Development. 135, 3281-3290.
- 13 Person, A.L., Cerretti, D.P., Pasquale, E.B., Rubel, E.W., Cramer, K.S., 2004. Tonotopic
14 gradients of Eph family proteins in the chick nucleus laminaris during synaptogenesis. J.
15 Neurobiol. 60, 28-39
- 16 Petros, T.J., Rebsam, A., Mason, C.A., 2008. Retinal axon growth at the optic chiasm: to cross or
17 not to cross. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 31, 295-315.
- 18 Pickles, J.O., Claxton, C., Van Heumen, W.R., 2002. Complementary and layered expression of
19 Ephs and ephrins in developing mouse inner ear. J. Comp. Neurol. 449, 207-216.

- 1 Saldaña, E., 2013. Ascending projections to the non-lemniscal auditory thalamus. Assoc. Res.
2 Otolaryngol. Mtg. 856.
- 3 Saldaña, E., Feliciano, M., Mugnaini, E., 1996. Distribution of descending projections from
4 primary auditory neocortex to inferior colliculus mimics the topography of intracollicular
5 projections. J. Comp. Neurol. 37, 15-40.
- 6 Saldaña, E., Merchán, M.A., 1992. Intrinsic and commissural connections of the rat inferior
7 colliculus. J. Comp. Neurol. 319, 417-437.
- 8 Schreiner, C.E., Langner, G., 1997. Laminar fine structure of frequency organization in auditory
9 midbrain. Nature. 388, 383-386.
- 10 Shammah-Lagnado, S.J., Alheid, G.F., Heimer, L., 1996. Efferent connections of the caudal part
11 of the globus pallidus in the rat. J. Comp. Neurol. 376, 489-507.
- 12 Shore, S., Zhou, J., 2006. Somatosensory influence on the cochlear nucleus and beyond. Hear.
13 Res. 216-217, 90-99.
- 14 Stebbings, K.A., Lesicko, A.M., Llano, D.A., 2014. The auditory corticocollicular system:
15 molecular and circuit-level considerations. Hear. Res. 314, 51-59.
- 16 St John, J.A., Pasquale, E.B., Key, B., 2002. EphA receptors and ephrin-A ligands exhibit highly
17 regulated spatial and temporal expression patterns in the developing olfactory system. Brain
18 Res. Dev. Brain Res. 138, 1-14.
- 19 Strotmann, J., and Breer, H., 2006. Formation of glomerular maps in the olfactory system.
20 Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 17, 402-410.

- 1 Sturm, J., Nguyen, T., Kandler, K., 2014. Development of intrinsic connectivity in the central
2 nucleus of the mouse inferior colliculus. *J. Neurosci.* 34, 15032-46.
- 3 Suetterlin, P., Marler, K.M., Drescher, U., 2012. Axonal ephrinA/EphA interactions, and the
4 emergence of order in topographic projections. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 23, 1-6.
- 5 Torii, M., Hackett, T.A., Rakic, P., Levitt, P., Polley, D.B., 2013. EphA signaling impacts
6 development of topographic connectivity in auditory corticofugal systems. *Cereb. Cortex.* 23,
7 775-785.
- 8 Triplett, J.W., Feldheim, D.A., 2012. Eph and ephrin signaling in the formation of topographic
9 maps. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 23, 7-15.
- 10 Triplett, J.W., Owens, M.T., Yamada, J., Lemke, G., Cang, J., Stryker, M.P., Feldheim, D.A.,
11 2009. Retinal input instructs alignment of visual topographic maps. *Cell.* 139, 175-185.
- 12 Triplett, J.W., Phan, A., Yamada, J., Feldheim, D.A., 2012. Alignment of multimodal sensory
13 input in the superior colliculus through a gradient-matching mechanism. *J. Neurosci.* 32,
14 5264-5271.
- 15 Uziel, D., Garcez, P., Lent, R., Peuckert, C., Niehage, R., Weth, F., Bolz, J., 2006. Connecting
16 thalamus and cortex: the role of ephrins. *Anat. Rec. A Discov. Mol. Cell Evol. Biol.* 288,
17 135-142.
- 18 Vanderhaeghen, P., Polleux, F., 2004. Developmental mechanisms patterning thalamocortical
19 projections: intrinsic, extrinsic and in between. *Trends in Neurosci.* 27, 384-391.

- 1 Vassar, R., Chao, S.K., Sitcheran, R., Nuñez, J.M., Vossahl, L.B., Axel, R., 1994. Topographic
2 organization of sensory projections to the olfactory bulb. *Cell*. 79, 981-991.
- 3 Wallace, M.M., Kavianpour, S.M., Gabriele, M.L., 2013. Ephrin-B2 reverse signaling is required
4 for topography but not pattern formation of lateral superior olivary inputs to the inferior
5 colliculus. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 521, 1585-1597.
- 6 Williams, S.E., Mason, C.A., Herrera, E., 2004. The optic chiasm as a midline choice point.
7 *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 14, 51-60.
- 8 Winer, J.A., Schreiner, C.E., 2005. The central auditory systems: A functional analysis. In:
9 Winer J.A., Schreiner C.E., (eds). *The Inferior Colliculus*. New York: Springer, pp. 1-60.
- 10 Yokoyama, N., Romero, M.I., Cowan, C.A., Galvan, P., Helmbacher, F., Charnay, P., Parada,
11 L.F., Henkemeyer, M., 2001. Forward signaling mediated by ephrin-B3 prevents
12 contralateral corticospinal axons from recrossing the spinal cord midline. *Neuron*. 29, 85-97.
- 13 Zhou, J., Shore, S., 2006. Convergence of spinal trigeminal and cochlear nucleus projections in
14 the inferior colliculus of the guinea pig. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 495, 100-112.

17 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT**

18 The authors declare all research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial
19 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

20 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

Figure 1. Early neonatal IC X-Gal labeling for ephrin-B2 (A) and EphA4 (B) at P8. Brightness profiles generated via *rectangular* (CNIC; C, D) and *curved contour* (LCIC Layer 3; E, F) sampling using ImageJ software. Areas of high protein expression and dark reaction product yield lower brightness values, whereas regions with low protein expression and less X-Gal staining correspond to higher brightness values. Linear regressions show similar slopes/gradients in ephrin-B2 (C) and EphA4 (D) CNIC expression. LCIC Layer 3 brightness plots reveal ephrin-B2 (E) and EphA4 (F) gradients comparable to those observed in the CNIC. In both instances, expression gradients followed known frequency axes (CNIC: ventromedial-to-dorsolateral, LCIC Layer 3: ventral-to-dorsal), with protein levels most concentrated in high-frequency regions. Scale bars = 200 μ m.

Figure 2. Discontinuous ephrin-B2 (A) and EphA4 (B) LCIC Layer 2 expression during the first postnatal week (P8). Curved sampling through LCIC Layer 2 (*solid contours* in A, B) reveals periodic ephrin-B2 and EphA4 modules (*dashed contours* in A, B; corresponding *arrows* in C, D plot profiles). Scale bars = 200 μ m.

Figure 3. CNIC (A) and LCIC Layer 2 (C) ephrin-B3 X-Gal labeling at P8 with corresponding brightness profiles (B, D; respectively). Rectangular sampling and linear regression analysis of CNIC (B) show an absence of ephrin-B3 protein prior to hearing onset. While positive in extramodular regions, curved contour sampling along LCIC Layer 2 reveal distinct ephrin-B3-negative modules (*arrows* in D). **Ephrin-B3 positive fibers are apparent coursing through the IC commissure (*arrowheads* in A, C).** Scale bars = 200 μ m.

Figure 4. Higher magnification LCIC expression of ephrin-B2 (A) EphA4 (B) and ephrin-B3 (C) at P8. Ephrin-B2 and EphA4 expression includes somata and neuropil labeling that is most

heavily concentrated within periodic, discontinuous presumptive modular fields (A, B, *dashed contours*). In contrast, ephrin-B3 labeling is low in presumptive modular zones (C, *dashed contours*) and more uniformly distributed in surrounding extramodular domains. Scale bars = 50 μm .

Figure 5. Normalized linear regression plots of CNIC expression for compiled ephrin-B2 (A, B), EphA4 (C, D), and ephrin-B3 (E, F) data. Plots were grouped into two developmental categories (P0/P4: A, C, E and P8/P12: B, D, F). Clear CNIC gradients are observed for both ephrin-B2 (A, B) and EphA4 (C, D) at each of the defined developmental pairings. In contrast, ephrin-B3 (E, F) is conspicuously absent from the nascent CNIC.

Figure 6. Ventral and dorsal cochlear nuclei expression patterns at P4 for ephrin-B2 (A, B), EphA4 (C, D), and ephrin-B3 (E, F). Ephrin-B2 is uniformly expressed throughout the VCN and DCN, albeit lacking in the overlying molecular layer (A, B). EphA4 expression is not uniform, but graded, with protein increasingly concentrated in more dorsal, high-frequency regions (C, D; *white arrows*). Complementary to ephrin-B2, VCN and DCN are largely devoid of ephrin-B3 protein (E, F), aside from positive label confined to the molecular layer and nonuniform expression in the auditory nerve root (VIII). Dashed contours represent nuclear boundaries. Scale bars = 200 μm .

Figure 7. X-Gal staining of the superior olivary complex (SOC) for ephrin-B2 (A), EphA4 (B), and ephrin-B3 (C) at P4. A. Ephrin-B2 is uniformly expressed throughout the LSO, SPON, MNTB, and DPO. B. EphA4 exhibits similar expression in the LSO, SPON, and MNTB, as well as prominent labeling throughout the MVPO and LVPO. C. Noteworthy ephrin-B3 expression is lacking in the early postnatal SOC. DPO = dorsal periolivary nucleus, LSO = lateral superior

1 olivary nucleus, LVPO = lateroventral periolivary nucleus, MNTB = medial nucleus of the
2 trapezoid body, MVPO = medioventral periolivary nucleus, SPON = superior paraolivary
3 nucleus. Dashed contours represent nuclear boundaries. Scale bars = 200 μ m.

4 **Figure 8.** Ephrin-B2 (A), EphA4 (B), and ephrin-B3 (C) expression at P8 in the dorsal nucleus
5 of the lateral lemniscus (DNLL, *dashed contours*). Ephrin-B2 and EphA4 patterns appear
6 complementary to ephrin-B3 labeling, which is absent from the DNLL, as well as fibers of the
7 lateral lemniscus and commissure of Probst (*arrowheads* in C). Dashed contours denote DNLL
8 boundaries. Scale bars = 200 μ m.

9 **Figure 9.** Complementary midline expression of ephrin-B2 (A), EphA4 (B), and ephrin-B3 (C)
10 at P4. The dorsal midline is ephrin-B2-positive (*arrow* in A) as is the entire midline for ephrin-
11 B3 (*arrow* in C), while devoid of EphA4 (*arrow* in B). IC commissural fibers **are** consistently
12 positive for ephrin-B3 (*arrowhead* in C). **cIC = commissure of the inferior colliculus, SC =**
13 **superior colliculus.** Scale bars = 500 μ m.

14 **Figure 10.** Summary of ephrin-B2, EphA4, and ephrin-B3 auditory brainstem expression prior to
15 experience. Continuous expression gradients along the tonotopic CNIC and LCIC Layer 3 are
16 juxtaposed with discontinuous and complementary LCIC Layer 2 modular/extramodular
17 expression. In addition to these three proteins, other members of the Eph-ephrin signaling family
18 are likely involved in guiding continuous and discrete neural maps in the developing IC (*see*
19 *www.brain-map.org* for *in situ* hybridization data). **Considerable work remains identifying**
20 **corresponding presynaptic guidance molecules and the precise mechanisms by which they**
21 **influence IC projection mapping.**

Other Eph-ephrin members present in the developing IC leading up to hearing onset:

EphA6	ephrin-A2
EphA7 *	ephrin-A5
EphA8	
EphB1	
EphB2	www.brain-map.org
EphB3	* Torii et al., 2013
EphB6