Effects of forest management on productivity and carbon sequestration: a review and hypothesis

Noormets A^{a,*}, Epron D^b, Domec JC^{a,c}, McNulty SG^{d,e}, Fox T^f, Sun G^d, King JS^a

^a North Carolina State University, Department of Forestry and Environmental Resources, 920 Main Campus Drive, Suite 300, Raleigh, NC 27606, USA

^b Université de Lorraine, UMR INRA-UL 1137 Ecologie et Ecophysiologie Forestières, 54506 Vandoeuvre-les-Nancy, France

^c University of Bordeaux, UMR 1220 TCEM ENITA/INRA, 1 Cours du Gal de Gaulle, 33175 Gradignan, France

^d USDA Forest Service, Eastern Forest Environmental Threat Assessment Center, 920 Main Campus Drive, Suite 300, Raleigh, NC 27606, USA

^e USDA Forest Service, Southeast Regional Climate Hub, 920 Main Campus Drive, Suite 300, Raleigh, NC 27606, USA

^f Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Department of Forest Resources and Environmental Conservation, 228 Cheatham Hall, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA

* Corresponding author

E-mail addresses: Noormets (anoorme@ncsu.edu), Epron (daniel.epron@univ-lorraine.fr), Domec (jdomec@ncsu.edu), McNulty (steve_mcnulty@ncsu.edu), Fox (trfox@vt.edu), Sun (ge_sun@ncsu.edu), King (john_king@ncsu.edu)

22 Abstract

23 With an increasing fraction of the world's forests being intensively managed for meeting humanity's
24 need for wood, fiber and ecosystem services, quantitative understanding of the functional changes in
25 these ecosystems in comparison with natural forests is needed. In particular, the role of managed
26 forests as long-term carbon (C) sinks and for mitigating climate change require a detailed assessment of
27 their carbon cycle on different temporal scales. In the current review we assess available data on the
28 structure and function of the world's forests, explore the main differences in the C exchange between
29 managed and unmanaged stands, and explore potential physiological mechanisms behind both
30 observed and expected changes. Two global databases that include classification for management
31 indicate that managed forests are about 50 years younger, include 25% more coniferous stands, and
32 have about 50% lower C stocks than unmanaged forests. The gross primary productivity (GPP) and total
33 net primary productivity (NPP) are the similar, but relatively more of the assimilated carbon is allocated
34 to aboveground pools in managed than in unmanaged forests, whereas allocation to fine roots and
35 rhizosymbionts is lower. This shift in allocation patterns is promoted by increasing plant size, and by
36 increased nutrient availability. Long-term carbon sequestration potential in soils is assessed through the
37 ratio of heterotrophic respiration to total detritus production, which indicates that (i) the forest soils
38 may be losing more carbon on an annual basis than they regain in detritus, and (ii) the deficit appears to
39 be greater in managed forests. While climate change and management factors (esp. fertilization) both
40 contribute to greater carbon accumulation potential in the soil, the harvest-related increase in
41 decomposition affects the C budget over the entire harvest cycle. Although the findings do not preclude
42 the use of forests for climate mitigation, maximizing merchantable productivity may have significant
43 carbon costs for the soil pool. We conclude that optimal management strategies for maximizing multiple
44 benefits from ecosystem services require better understanding of the dynamics of belowground
45 allocation, carbohydrate availability, heterotrophic respiration, and carbon stabilization in the soil.

46 **Keywords:** belowground allocation, carbon management, harvest disturbance, fertilization, soil carbon
47 sequestration, trade-offs

1. Background: The role of managed forests in land surface carbon exchange

Increasing global population and expanding land use mean that an ever greater percentage of human need for wood products is being met by managed forests (Foley *et al.*, 2005; see section 2.1. for definitions). Currently, about 7% of world's forests are plantations and 57% are secondary forests recovering from anthropogenic disturbance (FAO, 2010). From 2000 to 2005 the rate of increase in the area of planted forests was 2% yr⁻¹ and is accelerating (FAO, 2009), whereas total forest area decreased at a rate of about 2% per decade. A recent analysis of Landsat TM data series concluded that forest use is intensifying in time (Hansen *et al.*, 2013). For example, 30% of the forestland in the southeastern US was harvested and re-grown between 2000 and 2012. While the exact interplay between factors effecting forest cover change vary by region, and can respond to both local development and global economic forces (Drummond and Loveland, 2010), the trends described above are likely to continue unless the valuation of forest products and services changes dramatically.

As the primary metric of a forest's value has been its merchantable volume, plantation forestry has long selected species and genotypes to maximize productivity. For the most intensively studied species, such as loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*), it has been estimated that a typical plantation is about 3-5 times more productive than a natural stand, and that growth gains of up to 20-fold can be achieved in intensive culture and outside the species' natural range (Cubbage *et al.*, 2007; Ryan *et al.*, 2010). Fox and coworkers (Fox *et al.*, 2007a) estimated that, on average, the productivity of commercial *P. taeda* plantations is more than 4-fold higher than of natural *P. taeda* stands, with planting, site preparation, competition control, fertilization and genetic improvement contributing 13%, 10%, 13%, 17% and 23% of the total productivity, respectively. The productivity of eucalypts in Brazil has nearly doubled over the past 20 years, owing to intensive management techniques (Goncalves *et al.*, 2013). However, in global

databases the management effects are confounded with temperature (Litton *et al.*, 2007), and it remains unclear, whether or how the contribution of forests to global C cycling may change with their transition from natural to managed state (Piao *et al.*, 2009; Stinson *et al.*, 2011). It is the goal of the current study to review the evidence of the effects of management-induced changes on the shifting background driven by climate change factors, so as to allow for an improved mechanistic understanding of the causes of differences between the forests of the pasts and those of the future.

Of the explicit management-related effects, the increased frequency of disturbance makes for a very dynamic and rapidly changing biogeochemical exchange, such that where age-related variability may be the predominant source of spatial variation (Desai *et al.*, 2008), which on the global scale explains more than 90% of the variability in net ecosystem productivity (NEP; Pregitzer and Euskirchen, 2004). Furthermore, much of the high productivity of the forests in eastern USA over the past half a century is attributed to the wide-spread conversion of forests to and later abandonment from agricultural use (Birdsey *et al.*, 2006). The aggradation effect has been amplified by global change factors like increasing CO₂ concentration, temperature and nitrogen deposition, but harvesting and age-related recovery dominate as drivers of C fluxes in comparison with resource availability and genetic factors.

There are significant changes in forest structural and functional traits as related to age (Law *et al.*, 2001a; Law *et al.*, 2001b; 2006; Noormets *et al.*, 2007), which have been recognized as having far greater influence on forest productivity and C exchange than climate (King *et al.*, 1999a; Pregitzer and Euskirchen, 2004; Magnani *et al.*, 2007). However, it is not only productivity that is altered during the harvesting and management cycle. Long-term accumulation/sequestration of carbon in the ecosystem is determined by the magnitude and types of input (which is part of the management strategy), and the magnitude and pathway of losses, which in turn depend on various C stabilization mechanisms. The allocation of carbon to the production of different organs changes dramatically during stand development, with greater allocation belowground early in the development (King *et al.*, 1999a; King *et*

al., 2007; Genet *et al.*, 2010). Second, the stimulation of ecosystem respiratory losses following a harvest is well documented, and results from a number of causes, including (i) disturbance of soil (Diochon and Kellman, 2008; Diochon *et al.*, 2009; Diochon and Kellman, 2009), (ii) production of large amount of dead biomass (Harmon *et al.*, 1986), (iii) change in the stoichiometry of carbon pools (Harmon *et al.*, 2011), (iv) changes in the C:N stoichiometry of the detritus, and (v) changes in the microclimate (Chen *et al.*, 1993; Noormets *et al.*, 2007). These changes have both short- and long-term consequences, as they affect both the pool sizes, and fluxes of carbon between these pools. However, the decomposition of harvest residues sustains both tree growth and soil properties (Laclau *et al.*, 2010; Versini *et al.*, 2013) and thus contributes to maintaining ecosystem C stocks (Huang *et al.*, 2013). As none of these effects are included in the global land surface models, their estimates of allometric proportions between different C pools are often inconsistent with observations (Wolf *et al.*, 2011a and references therein), particularly in the young stands, and the allocation patterns may be outside the range of data (Malhi *et al.*, 2011). Although the process-level understanding of carbon partitioning has made strides in the past decade (section: Soil carbon dynamics), a cohesive modeling framework that would tie them all together is yet to emerge (Franklin *et al.*, 2012). Chen *et al.* (2014) analyzed a number of global ecosystem models, and traced the allocation submodels back to that used by Friedlingstein *et al.* (1999), who had acknowledged that the modeled biomass estimates were very sensitive to the allocation algorithms used – with nearly 6-fold range in the root:shoot ratio at low-NPP sites. Thus, it is critical that the dynamic responses in allocation, and disturbance-related changes in different C fluxes be realistically depicted in land-surface models.

Given the regular removal of stemwood during harvests, long-term carbon sequestration at the site can occur only in the soil and detritus (assuming fixed land use type, and stable mean aboveground biomass). A growing number of recent reviews have pointed to declining soil C stocks across the world (Bellamy *et al.*, 2005; Xie *et al.*, 2007), and the phenomenon is mostly attributed to land use change and

intensive agriculture (Maia *et al.*, 2010; Don *et al.*, 2011; Yan *et al.*, 2011). As forest management, too, represents intensified land use, its effects on soil C dynamics need to be understood. In an earlier study about the balance between detritus inputs to and heterotrophic respiration losses from soil and detritus pools in a loblolly pine chronosequence (Noormets *et al.*, 2012), we reported that R_h exceeded detritus inputs on year-to-year basis, and that the pulse of harvest residue may have barely offset those losses, if at all. We also showed that the ratio of respiration fluxes to gross primary productivity can serve as an indicator of the carbon sequestration potential, but much remains unknown about the magnitude and temporal dynamics of different components of respiration. Although both heterotrophic respiration (R_h) and the ratio of autotrophic respiration to gross primary productivity (R_a :GPP) are quite conservative across sites (Gifford, 1994, 1995; Tjoelker *et al.*, 1999; Templeton *et al.*, 2015 this issue), there is growing evidence of interannual variability in response to climate fluctuations and resource availability. There may also be a latitudinal gradient in R_h , possibly controlled by differences in belowground allocation and priming of soil C mineralization (de Vries, 2014). In fact, it has been hypothesized that the latitudinal gradient of NEP in European forests may be driven by higher R_h at higher latitudes (Valentini *et al.*, 2000). It remains unclear to what extent the patterns in R_h are driven by GPP, but correlative evidence does suggest dependence for both ecosystem respiration (R_e) and soil respiration (R_s) (Tang *et al.*, 2005; Vickers *et al.*, 2009 and references therein). It is curious that the continental patterns support this substrate-limitation model as proposed by Dewar *et al.* (1999), even though the vast majority of upscaled respiration estimates today have been derived through the admittedly imperfect temperature-based models (Vargas *et al.*, 2011). As the effect of the choice of a particular respiration model on R_a and NPP (and likely on carbon sequestration) can be significant (Kruijt *et al.*, 2004; Wythers *et al.*, 2005), it is critical that we refine the functional relationship between productivity and respiration in the ecosystem and land surface models, and properly characterize the key mechanisms affecting forest productivity and carbon sequestration. While well recognized, the GPP- R_e relationship has been viewed

cautiously among the eddy covariance community, because of the different assumptions involved and the interdependence of respiration and GPP estimates (Kruijt *et al.*, 2004; DeLucia *et al.*, 2007; Vickers *et al.*, 2009; Lasslop *et al.*, 2010). Nevertheless, conceptually these two fluxes are related to one another, defining ecosystem's carbon storage capacity, and it is only a question of how, not whether, to include the link explicitly in models.

The main management practices that have been identified as contributing to improved productivity (Fox *et al.*, 2007a; Goncalves *et al.*, 2013; see above) were reclassified in the current study as disturbance (later divided further into structural and soil disturbance), nutrition, and genetic factors (Figure 1). Their effect on soil carbon sequestration (or sequestration potential, as we do not consider the soil properties here) is expected to manifest through the following processes: (1) more frequent disturbance through harvesting and site preparation stimulates heterotrophic decomposition; (2) shorter disturbance interval makes the stand spend relatively more time in the post-disturbance recovery phase; (3) the altered allocation patterns by the selected species and genotypes and in response to nutrient availability may alter biomass partitioning, soil C inputs and the balance between auto- and heterotrophic respiration; (4) the changes in allocation may affect LAI:sapwood area ratio, and may thus change plant water dynamics and drought sensitivity. Specifically, the goals of this study are to review (i) available information on the controls of photosynthetic carbon gain, allocation, and respiration in forest ecosystems, (ii) the responses of these processes to disturbance and management-related drivers, (iii) evaluate the consistency of observations with broader evidence of physiological responses to management-related changes in site conditions, and (iv) assess opportunities for and obstacles to managing forests for long-term C sequestration.

Figure 1 goes here

2. Methods

2.1. Defining “managed forests”

Human activities have dramatically altered the environment, including the biogeochemical cycling of major elements (Schlesinger, 1997), which affects the growth and productivity of forests. Factors like temperature, CO₂ concentration and nitrogen deposition undoubtedly have large effects on forests (Caspersen *et al.*, 2000; Magnani *et al.*, 2007). Although these changes affect both natural and managed forests, the productivity of managed forests may already be maximized for a given temperature regime (Litton and Giardina, 2008), possibly leaving less room for further increase (Wynne, Burkard, Evans, personal communication).

Human influences on forests span a continuum, from assisted regeneration to intensive culture with regular irrigation, fertilizer application and competition control (Fox *et al.*, 2007a; FAO, 2009), and the predominance of different practices may vary by region and over time. Therefore, the terminology across and between large datasets may not always be consistent, yet uniformity is essential for attribution of effects to specific management practices. For example, the forest NPP database (Luyssaert *et al.*, 2007) includes more detailed management information than many others (including 6 primary categories: managed, unmanaged, recently disturbed, fertilized and irrigated, polluted, and no information). Of these, the managed, recently disturbed or unmanaged categories can each include plantations and burned stands. Thus, it is possible that despite the best efforts of database builders, the delineation between the categories may not always be clear or consistent, possibly confounding the detection of patterns. For the purposes of the current review, it is practical to *define “managed forests” as those with active cultivation practices and preplanned rotation cycles*, and fall under “planted forests” and “managed natural forests” in FAO classification (FAO, 2010; Birdsey and Pan, 2015). The discussion

is focused on aspects that are modified by management activities and that are expected to affect productivity, heterotrophic respiration and soil C pool (Figure 1).

2.2. Literature review

Developing a conceptual map of management effects on forest productivity and carbon sequestration as a guide (Figure 1), we review the current knowledge of the individual driving factors on stand productivity and carbon sequestration.

2.3. Global datasets

The compilation of different global datasets (Table 1) over the past decade has created unprecedented opportunities to ask questions about difficult-to-measure processes at a global scale. While some of the earlier analyses (Litton *et al.*, 2007) have considered some individual management activities as factors when analyzing the variance of pools or fluxes, the definition of “managed forest” varies widely across databases and analyses (see section 2.1.). In fact, only a fraction of the essential metadata about the effect of human activities of ecosystem processes is classified in these datasets.

However, understanding of how land-atmosphere interactions may change with the gradual transition from predominantly natural to intensively managed forests remains unclear. Here we will use two datasets that include explicit categorization of forests to “managed” and “unmanaged” or “natural” ones. We will not assess changes over time, though a land cover change, but simply compare forests based on their management status. Combining stands with different ages and land use histories smoothes over these drivers of variance, and will have to be considered when interpreting the results. The Global Soil Respiration Database (Bond-Lamberty and Thomson, 2010a) and Global Forest Ecosystem Structure and Function Data for Carbon Balance Research (Luyssaert *et al.*, 2009) contain similar data and allow testing of broad hypotheses, and identify other data and knowledge gaps. With improved mechanistic models, more insight may be gained through proxies (such as age and LAI) that

covary with management activities and are widely available. Specifically, we evaluate the consistency of explicit management-status based differences in C allocation with the general patterns reported above. Second, we will evaluate the carbon sequestration potential in the soil, and how it differs by management status by using the ratio of Rh:Detritus production, which summarizes carbon balance on annual scale.

Table 1 goes here

2.4. Data coverage and analyses

Of the 4707 data points in the SRDB, 2986 were forests, and 877 of them were managed. In the NPP database, 568 forests were managed (i.e., description contained mention of planting, thinning or harvesting), 142 recently disturbed (i.e., harvested or burned in the past 25 years), and 301 unmanaged (i.e., no management during past 50 years). Stands characterized as ‘no information’ (191), ‘fertilized or irrigated’ (37) and ‘high deposition’ (7) were excluded from the analysis due to the ambiguity about their management status. Although there is overlap between the “managed” and “recently disturbed” sites, and they could be grouped together, in the current study we reported the statistics for each category. In the SRDB database, the ‘unmanaged’ and ‘natural’ forests were grouped together, and contrasted to the ‘managed’ ones. Given that not all studies in the databases report all pools and fluxes, the means reported in Table 2 represent different subsets of sites. This, and differences in methodology may explain some internal inconsistencies between different estimates, like NPP_{fr} exceeding BNPP. However, this should not affect the comparison of managed and unmanaged forests. On the other hand, as the NPP database does not include age information, management effects may be obscured by stands of different ages being lumped together. The SRDB indicates that the managed stands were significantly younger (21 years) than unmanaged and natural stands (68 years; Table 4), a contrast slightly exaggerated by a few old-growth forests (200-450 years) in the temperate and tropical biomes. To

233 account for these differences, the analysis of variance was conducted both with and without age as a
234 covariate. However, as the age-normalized differences confirmed the patterns in unadjusted means,
235 they are not reported in the current study. The management effects were estimated with the MIXED
236 procedure in SAS (v9.4), using either biome or biome and age as covariates. However, the contrasts are
237 dominated by the temperate forests, as the boreal and tropical zones had limited number of "managed"
238 forests available. Tukey's honestly significant difference test was used for post-hoc tests. All differences
239 were considered significant at $p=0.05$ level, unless explicitly stated otherwise. Finally, the literature
240 review part of this study focuses solely on factors affected by management activities, and will not cover
241 other major drivers of plant growth and productivity like light and water availability.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Key differences between managed and unmanaged forests' carbon cycles

The differences between managed and natural forests were to a great extent structural – the unmanaged or natural stands were nearly 50 years older than managed ones (68 vs 21 years), and had roughly two-fold greater live carbon as well as soil carbon stocks (Table 2). The proportion of coniferous stands was greater among managed than unmanaged forests (70% vs 53%). The gross productivity (GPP) was similar, whereas aboveground net primary productivity (ANPP) and net ecosystem productivity (NEP) were higher in managed forests (+48%). In contrast, belowground net primary productivity (BNPP) did not differ, which may explain the similarity in total net primary productivity (TNPP). Total detritus production per year was greater in unmanaged forests, particularly due to greater fine root production. The apparent contradiction in the differences in respiratory fluxes based on different databases (with NPP database suggesting unmanaged stands having higher respiration rates, whereas the SRDB database indicated the opposite) could be due to the NPP database reporting ecosystem-scale fluxes, whereas the SRDB reports partitioning of the soil fluxes alone (see R_a in Table 2). Similarly, the greater $R_{h_{total}}$ in unmanaged forests is likely due to the contribution from coarse woody debris, as the $R_{h_{soil}}$ did not differ by management status. Greater NEP in managed than unmanaged forests while TNPP was unaffected by management status is consistent with a lower total R_h in managed than unmanaged forests. However, due to each flux being estimated from a different subset of studies, there remain several inconsistencies both between and within databases that require further evaluation and accounting for potential covariates, particularly for the belowground C dynamics. As actual belowground carbon flux is exceedingly challenging to measure accurately, and total belowground C flux (TBCF, estimated as the difference between soil CO_2 efflux and litterfall, and accounting for changes in forest floor, soil and root C pool sizes) cannot distinguish changes in allocation from those in belowground

pools, the variability and control of BGA, and the role of rhizosphere interactions in belowground pools warrant further research. ,

The respiratory costs in relation to GPP did exhibit some variability in relation to management, but the two databases gave opposite results as to the predominant pattern. Although the Rh_{soil} may be marginally greater in managed forests, we did not detect a broad trend with age (time since disturbance) as hypothesized (data not shown). Instead, Rh_{soil} scaled with belowground C pool size, peaking at around 1500-2000 g m⁻², and declining thereafter. It is also likely that given the lags in dead organic matter inputs to soil and subsequent lags in availability to the decomposer community following a disturbance event (Noormets *et al.*, 2012), and the likelihood of multimodal Rh dynamics in a stand's development (Harmon *et al.*, 2011), a monotonic Rh dynamic that characterizes the decay of a single sample, may not be applicable in a disturbed ecosystem. Yet, understanding the properties of disturbance-related Rh pulses like the magnitude, lags following a disturbance, and proportionality of the increase above baseline are all essential for simulating and projecting the implications of disturbances on carbon sequestration potential at a landscape scale. The consistency of the global Rh:Rs ratio is notable, but when normalized for age, it appears that heterotrophic activity may be higher in managed than unmanaged forests.

Table 2 goes here

The balance between annual soil carbon inputs and losses, as assessed by the ratio of Rh to total detritus production, exceeded unity in the majority of forests regardless of their management status (Table 2; Figure 2b, c). Although only the temperate zone had a sufficient number of “managed” stands to allow a comparison with “unmanaged” ones (Figure 2b, c), and the classification scheme differed between the two databases, a few consistent trends emerge. First, the difference between the “managed” and “recently disturbed” categories in the NPP database suggests that the management

effect apparent in the SRDB (Fig. 2b) is primarily age-related, and that combining these categories may be appropriate for some analyses. Second, the latitudinal differences in the Rh:Detritus ratio (Figure 2a) were consistent with broad patterns of the frequency of disturbance, soil carbon pool size, mean stand age and rate of warming. While “unmanaged” and “recently disturbed” forests exhibited a roughly 0.3 unit increase in the Rh:Detritus flux ratio for every 100 g increase in Rh, the change was about 10-fold smaller in “managed” stands due to some stands exhibiting higher Rh:Detritus ratios at low Rh values (data not shown). Broadly, the patterns in Rh:Detritus flux ratio were consistent with accumulating evidence of declines in soil C across the globe (Bellamy *et al.*, 2005; Xie *et al.*, 2007), and increase in soil CO₂ efflux (Bond-Lamberty and Thomson, 2010b) that are typically attributed to land use change and intensifying agriculture (Maia *et al.*, 2010; Don *et al.*, 2011; Yan *et al.*, 2011). Despite lower frequency and magnitude, there is the potential for forest management practices to contribute to global soil C loss, yet the C dynamics in forest soils has not received similar attention. However, the attribution of the 2-fold lower soil C stock in managed than unmanaged stands (Table 2) to repeat disturbance events cannot be made without knowing individual site histories. As there remain significant uncertainties about belowground carbon allocation, from the magnitude of interannual variability to its fate, they may translate to poorly defined errors in the Rh:Detritus flux ratio. Nevertheless, given our current understanding that leaf, fruit and fine root litter make 80-90 % of soil C inputs on annual basis, and the estimated mean Rh:Detritus flux ratios frequently exceed 1.25 (1/0.8; Rh:Detritus1 in Table 2), it seems likely that forest soils may run a C deficit on annual basis. Even when including annual coarse root production with detritus, which is an exceedingly conservative assessment (but could be viewed as accounting for root exudation), the global mean suggests a balanced budget based one dataset (NPP database), and soil C deficit based on another (SRDB; Rh:Total detritus flux, Table 2).

Figure 2 goes here

4. Literature review

4.1. Climate effects on productivity, belowground flux and soil carbon

The climate change factors (CO_2 and temperature), while secondary in effect size to stand age, disturbance and management history (Luyssaert *et al.*, 2007), influence plant physiology by modifying the availability of vital resources. These factors determine the shifting baseline against which the management effects will be evaluated. Elevated CO_2 is known to stimulate productivity (typically 20-30%; Norby *et al.*, 1999; King *et al.*, 2005; Norby *et al.*, 2005; Kubiske *et al.*, 2006; Liberloo *et al.*, 2006; Noormets *et al.*, 2010), increase allocation to woody tissues (including coarse roots; Palmroth *et al.*, 2006), primarily on the account of fine roots (Schäfer *et al.*, 2003; but see Norby *et al.*, 2004; Bader *et al.*, 2009; Wolf *et al.*, 2011b), whereas the increase in leaf area (Ward *et al.*, 2013) is isometric with changes in GPP, and the allocation coefficients for foliage remain unchanged (Wolf *et al.*, 2011b; Chen *et al.*, 2014). The net effect of elevated CO_2 on soil C stocks documented so far has been limited (+5.6%), although consistent across studies (Jastrow *et al.*, 2005). This could vary, of course, based on the symbionts and decomposer community (Gilbertson 1980 as cited by Harmon *et al.*, 2011), as well as by plant water and nutrient status (Lukac *et al.*, 2009; Wolf *et al.*, 2011b).

Temperature effects on productivity are generally more limited (broad temperature optimum of photosynthesis) than on respiration (but see Niu *et al.*, 2012). The response of respiration, however, is mediated by carbohydrate availability in plants. The dependence on substrate availability is thought to be the cause behind dynamic temperature sensitivity (Chen *et al.*, 2014), or ‘acclimation’, observed in short to medium term (Dewar *et al.*, 1999; Atkin *et al.*, 2000; Crous *et al.*, 2011), and is consistent with the lack of it in the long term and in regional analyses (Chen *et al.*, 2014). In fact, Chen *et al.* (2014) concluded that the temperature effect on C fluxes on global scale manifests primarily through day-length, which increased GPP and total belowground carbon input, whereas the kinetic properties of

temperature-driven decomposition of SOM changed little. The effect of temperature on Rh in the long term likely depends on the factors that affect productivity and allocation (Caprez *et al.*, 2012; Giardina *et al.*, 2014), as they determine the input of organic matter that fuels Rh and support the putative priming of the mineralization of the more recalcitrant soil C (Fontaine *et al.*, 2007; Crow *et al.*, 2009a). Although elevated temperature can decrease root lignin concentration, few effects have been detected on root turnover (but see King *et al.*, 1999b; Chen *et al.* 2008 as cited in Crow *et al.*, 2009b).

4.2. Factors altered by management and their effect on carbon cycling

4.2.1. Nutrient availability/fertilization

The growth of most ecosystems is limited by soil nutrient availability (LeBauer and Treseder, 2008), and forests are no exception. While many factors contribute to productivity enhancements in modern plantation forestry, in loblolly pine in SE-US about 17% has been attributed to fertilizer amendments (Fox *et al.*, 2007a; Fox *et al.*, 2007b). After age, disturbance and climate, nutrient availability is a major controller of forest productivity globally (Magnani *et al.*, 2007). However, nutrient amendments do not translate solely to bigger trees, nutrient availability also alters proportional allocation to different organs, the temporal dynamics of growth, the chemical composition of the synthesized biomass, and through various feedback loops can alter the functioning of a large part of the entire ecosystem (Giardina and Ryan, 2002; Janssens and Luyssaert, 2009; Hasselquist *et al.*, 2012; Vicca *et al.*, 2012). The effects of nitrogen addition include stimulation of photosynthesis and net primary productivity, increase of either total leaf area or the areal concentration of photosynthetic enzymes, and decreased allocation to fine roots and exudates to root symbionts (Albaugh *et al.*, 1998; Maier *et al.*, 2004; Janssens and Luyssaert, 2009). The allocation to coarse roots increase similarly to that to stemwood (King *et al.*, 1999a; Maier and Kress, 2000; Litton *et al.*, 2007; Vicca *et al.*, 2012; Chen *et al.*, 2013). In weathered tropical soils, potassium fertilization also strongly influences productivity and allocation, increasing GPP

and its partitioning to wood production at the expense of belowground sinks (Laclau *et al.*, 2009; Epron *et al.*, 2012). The proportional increase in coarse root production can thus be viewed as a potential mechanism for increased long-term carbon sequestration (Cseq) in the soil at improved N availability (Crow *et al.*, 2009b). The potential for greater Cseq is also favored by reduced microbial activity, which may be suppressed either by direct effect on microbial physiology and enzyme activity (Fog, 1988), or through the lower level of root exudates as well as by lower fine root area (and turnover) (Giardina *et al.*, 2003; Högberg *et al.*, 2003; Pregitzer *et al.*, 2008; Janssens *et al.*, 2010). In fact, Högberg *et al.* (2003) reported that allocation to fungal symbionts was the process most reduced by N addition. The declines in immediate root symbionts translate throughout the rest of soil fauna, typically resulting in lower microbial biomass and lower heterotrophic respiration (Janssens *et al.*, 2010). It has been argued that the differences in plant-available nutrients and the C:N ratio of organic matter inputs are sufficient to trigger a shift in the saprotrophic community (Högberg *et al.*, 2003; DeForest *et al.*, 2004), which in the long term could alter the competitive status of different species (Fog, 1988; Wallenstein *et al.*, 2006). Furthermore, elevated N may have direct effects on microbial physiology and enzyme activity (Fog, 1988) that could potentially account for the observed decline in mineralization without invoking changes in exudation. Nevertheless, as a whole, both autotrophic and heterotrophic components of soil respiration decrease in response to relieving nitrogen limitation, with root respiration being more responsive (Sun *et al.*, 2014). As the result of these shifts in production, allocation, C:N ratio of the litter, and microbial activity, increased nitrogen availability is likely to lead to increased accumulation of C in the soil (Li *et al.*, 2006; Magnani *et al.*, 2007 and references therein; Janssens *et al.*, 2010; Chen *et al.*, 2013), although the uncertainty of this increase is greater than for the aboveground stimulation (Li *et al.*, 2006; de Vries *et al.*, 2009) and the accumulation may be limited to surface soils (Li *et al.*, 2006; Hyvonen *et al.*, 2008; Pregitzer *et al.*, 2008). Furthermore, the increase in soil C has been observed only in mineral and not in organic soils (McNulty *et al.*, 2005; Nave *et al.*, 2009). As the result of the

combined effect of increased photosynthesis, decreased belowground allocation and decreased root respiration, higher nutrient availability results in higher biomass production efficiency (defined as the ratio of NPP to GPP; Vicca *et al.*, 2012). Nitrogen may also be an important factor modulating priming (Fontaine *et al.*, 2004) thus decreasing SOM decomposition. Finally, it is important to note that all these effects manifest not only in fertilized plantations, but also when nitrogen fixing species are introduced in forest plantations (Epron *et al.*, 2013; Forrester *et al.*, 2013; Koutika *et al.*, 2014), when large amounts of harvest residues are left on site providing substrate to the decomposer community (Mendham *et al.*, 2003; Walmsley *et al.*, 2009; Kumaraswamy *et al.*, 2014), and in any nitrogen-limited ecosystem exposed to anthropogenic atmospheric nitrogen deposition (Ndep), which now rivals that fixed by natural processes (Galloway, 1998). In fact, it has been postulated that anthropogenic Ndep may be responsible for much of the observed terrestrial C sink in recent decades (Magnani *et al.*, 2007), and the reduction in nitrogen deposition is viewed as one of the potential causes of the slowdown in stem volume increment in European forests (Nabuurs *et al.*, 2013). However, the effect of Ndep on Rh and Ra may be non-linear and exhibit a threshold response (Hasselquist *et al.*, 2012).

4.2.2. Soil disturbance

The physical disturbance of soil, and mixing of the litter layer with surface soil during harvesting and site preparation activities results in significant redistribution of C between different pools, and triggering accelerated carbon losses (Mallik and Hu, 1997). Mixing of litter layer with topsoil effectively removes this structural element and exposes it to diverse microbial communities (Yanai *et al.*, 2003; Nave *et al.*, 2010; Noormets *et al.*, 2012), whereas the breaking of the physical structure of soil aggregates exposes carbon that may previously have been protected (Six *et al.*, 2002b; Diachon and Kellman, 2009; Schmidt *et al.*, 2011). While the change in the relatively large soil C pool may not be detectable immediately following a single harvest (Nave *et al.*, 2010), land use conversion almost invariably results in soil C loss upon conversion from forest to agriculture (Guo and Gifford, 2002) and in an increase in soil C upon

405 reforestation of previously cultivated land (Paul *et al.*, 2002; Six *et al.*, 2002a; Six *et al.*, 2002b; Li *et al.*,
406 2012; Nave *et al.*, 2013; Chang *et al.*, 2014). Whether the roughly 2-fold difference in soil organic carbon
407 stock between managed and unmanaged forests (Table 2) is the result of increased mobilization
408 triggered by compounded disturbance requires the assessment of site history data in each case, but it is
409 consistent with the narrative of disturbance-driven change in SOC stock. Also consistent with the
410 intensity of disturbance is the observation that changes in SOC content are typically greater in the
411 surface than deep soils (Nave *et al.*, 2013), but in some boreal stands destabilization of deep (>20cm)
412 soil C has also been documented (Diochon and Kellman, 2008). This latter came primarily from the
413 destabilization of carbon in the organo-mineral fraction (Diochon and Kellman, 2009), which at the site
414 represented the greatest soil C pool (70%), and is often assumed to be the best protected from
415 mineralization (Conen *et al.*, 2008). These findings are corroborated by increased N mineralization in the
416 deep (>20cm) soil (Kellman *et al.*, 2014), and are consistent with the current understanding of soil C
417 dynamics, which recognizes the spatial heterogeneity in physical accessibility, sorption-desorption, and
418 solubility (Sollins *et al.*, 1996; Trumbore and Czimczik, 2008; Schmidt *et al.*, 2011), whereas the role of
419 chemical recalcitrance seems much more limited (Sollins *et al.*, 1996; Rasse *et al.*, 2005). This new
420 framework explicitly allows for interactions between surface and deep soil, including substitution of
421 older carbon with newer in the organic-mineral fraction (Baisden and Parfitt, 2007). As recent evidence
422 illustrates the dynamic nature of soil C stocks, our understanding is also improving about the
423 mechanisms that lead to soil C stabilization (Strukelj *et al.*, 2012; Strukelj *et al.*, 2013). While data on the
424 origins of soil C is exceedingly scarce, the presence of biochemical markers specific to roots and
425 ectomycorrhizal extramatrical mycelium suggests that root-derived organic matter is stabilized to a
426 greater extent than shoot-derived, and makes the majority of soil carbon (Rasse *et al.*, 2005; Godbold *et*
427 *al.*, 2006; Dijkstra and Cheng, 2007; Adair *et al.*, 2008; Mendez-Millan *et al.*, 2010; Zhu and Cheng, 2011;
428 Ekblad *et al.*, 2013). These findings are consistent with the recognition of the role of surface- and litter-

dwelling mesofauna in decomposition dynamics (Prescott, 2005; Wall *et al.*, 2008; Cotrufo *et al.*, 2010), as well as the chemical protections achieved through chemical interactions with mineral surfaces (Rasse *et al.*, 2005). It is not clear which properties contribute to carbon stabilization in soil, but the latest studies suggest that it is much more dynamic than previously recognized (Schmidt *et al.*, 2011).

4.2.3. Stand structural disturbance and age

Harvesting-related disturbances are the most visible, and also among the most functionally significant effects in managed forests, and on a landscape scale can account for over 90% of the variability in observed carbon exchange (Magnani *et al.*, 2007; Noormets *et al.*, 2007; Amiro *et al.*, 2010; Dangal *et al.*, 2014). The removal of stemwood, along with the conversion of foliage and branch biomass to detritus represents a greater redistribution of pools than any natural disturbance, even fire (Harmon *et al.*, 2011). The forest floor C pool decreases by about $30\pm6\%$ following a harvest, with slightly greater effect in angiosperms than in gymnosperms (but see Epron *et al.*, 2006; Nave *et al.*, 2010; Nouvellon *et al.*, 2012). Even in natural forests that experience disturbances at a much lower frequency, the associated increases in heterotrophic respiration (R_h) constitute up to a half of total carbon losses over time (Harmon *et al.*, 1986; Harmon *et al.*, 2011). In managed forests, where stand-replacing disturbance in the form of a harvest is not a rare event, but an integral part of the life cycle of the ecosystem, the effect is likely to be even greater. For example, in loblolly pine plantations in the Southeast of USA, with a 25-year rotation cycle, the recovery of leaf area index and carbon fluxes from the harvest to the preharvest level may take 10-15 years (compared to about 20 years in unmanaged forests, Amiro *et al.*, 2010), or 50% of the total stand rotation length (Noormets *et al.*, 2012). The recovery of pools and structural complexity, obviously, takes even longer. The canopies of fast-growing species cultivated on short rotation (e.g. eucalypts, poplars, willows) may never regain the structural complexity of a native pre-disturbance forest. Furthermore, often there is no native pre-disturbance reference, as anthropogenic land use change has shaped the landscape longer than we have monitored its carbon

exchange. As one possibility, the fluxes in managed forests could be considered in reference to the potential equilibrium state that the ecosystem may reach in the absence of future management-driven disturbances. For example, in tropical eucalypt plantations canopy closure occurs rapidly, whereas litterfall reaches about 90% and the mass of forest floor about 60% of documented maxima by the end of a regular rotation cycle (Nouvellon *et al.*, 2012).

As the result of major structural changes, the balance between fluxes also changes. The increase in R_h , associated with the inputs of dead organic matter into the soil and litter layer, may increase by up to 2-fold (e.g. Noormets *et al.*, 2012). While the pulse of harvest residue represents a major input to the litter layer, with potentially large effects on ecosystem C cycling, the cessation of fine root production and exudation may be equally important from a soil perspective, and could potentially compensate changes in R_h . Furthermore, the intricate feedbacks between nutrient status, rhizosphere activity, amounts and nature of detritus input, and soil mineralogy can trigger different responses of R_h in different forests (Crow *et al.*, 2009b). Nevertheless, in proportion to total soil CO_2 efflux (R_s), R_h increases from the typical 20-40% in mature forests to about 70-95% in young regenerating ones following the harvest (Wang *et al.*, 2002; Bond-Lamberty *et al.*, 2004b; Epron *et al.*, 2006; Noormets *et al.*, 2012). In addition to the decomposition of harvest residues, the increase results from a combination of physical disturbances affecting substrate availability to microbes, the microclimate at the soil surface, and the high C:N ratio of the woody litter, which has been shown to be a key factor affecting microbial activity (Fontaine *et al.*, 2004). It is notable that the suppression of R_h by nutrient addition that has been observed in mature stands is smaller or even non-existent in young ones (Janssens *et al.*, 2010), possibly due to high nutrient demand and ample substrate availability for microbes. Similarly, Cheng (2009) reported evidence of decoupling of C and N dynamics in high-demand situations, where nearly 4-fold stimulation of soil C mineralization did not lead to a similar increase in N mineralization. The net effect of priming on soil C pools in the longer term is not clear, however, as in a litter manipulation experiment

the increase in Rh has been documented simultaneously with an increase in soil C (Crow *et al.*, 2009b), and offsetting C:N ratio does not always lead to priming (Epron *et al.*, 2015).

It has been argued that understanding time trends of net ecosystem productivity (NEP) requires understanding of processes controlling Rh (Pregitzer and Euskirchen, 2004). The balance between NEP and Rh may vary in different systems, but is determined by mutual constraints of substrate and nutrient availability for both plants and microbes. While some understanding of chemical characteristics of organic compounds that confer recalcitrance to microbial decomposition has emerged from recent studies (Crow *et al.*, 2009a; Strukulj *et al.*, 2012; Strukulj *et al.*, 2013), quantitative characterization of the effect of substrate availability on Ra and Rh remains a challenge (Wutzler and Reichstein, 2008; Crow *et al.*, 2009b; Wutzler and Reichstein, 2013). The dynamics are further complicated by the time lags between the harvest/disturbance, the death of different plant parts, and their becoming available for Rh (Goulden *et al.*, 2011; Lambert 1980 as cited by Harmon *et al.*, 2011). These lags should be strongly climate dependent with faster decomposition in wet tropics where harvest residue decomposition may support initial tree growth (Versini *et al.*, 2013), whereas immobilization of nutrients may limit tree growth under colder or drier conditions (Palviainen *et al.*, 2010). The multiplicity of pools, the differences in their chemical composition, and delayed mortality and delayed decomposition (Harmon *et al.*, 2011) lead to a complex temporal dynamics of the compound heterotrophic CO₂ production on an interannual scale. Although few studies have quantified Rh explicitly through stand harvest and early regrowth (Law *et al.*, 2003; Epron *et al.*, 2006; Goulden *et al.*, 2011; Noormets *et al.*, 2012), the available data on total ecosystem respiration is consistent with the proposition that Rh continues to increase for a few years following the disturbance (as opposed to peaking immediately after) as more dead biomass becomes available for decomposers (Litvak *et al.*, 2003; Amiro *et al.*, 2010). As the available substrate is consumed, Rh then declines, until it begins increasing in later stages of stand development when the aboveground biomass and annual litter

production increase (although the ratio of $R_h:R_s$ is more stable since R_h is functionally dependent on root activity and R_a). However, modeling the bulk flux is associated with large uncertainties, as the factors controlling the delayed mortality and delayed decomposition of different pools are poorly characterized and understood. On short time-scales, the variability of R_h also appears to be tied to R_a and the availability of substrate, whereas its intrinsic temperature sensitivity seems to be low (Davidson *et al.*, 2006; Sampson *et al.*, 2007; Vargas *et al.*, 2010; Templeton *et al.*, 2015 this issue). Although the disturbance caused by the harvest and site preparation practices can move surface litter either to a more or less favorable environment for decomposition, the homogenization of surface horizons typically leads to a net increase in R_h . Large uncertainty surrounds the fate of coarse roots, with limited information about their turnover time (Harmon *et al.*, 2011; Wolf *et al.*, 2011b). Anecdotal evidence exists about very slow coarse root turnover (Yanai *et al.*, 2003 and citations therein), whereas most decomposition studies report similar decay constants to aboveground CWD (Harmon *et al.*, 2011). However, the chemical signature of soil C suggests that root- and mycorrhiza-derived C is retained preferentially to aboveground inputs, and constitutes the majority of long-lived soil C (Godbold *et al.*, 2006; Dijkstra and Cheng, 2007; but see Crow *et al.*, 2009b; Mendez-Millan *et al.*, 2010; Zhu and Cheng, 2011; Ekblad *et al.*, 2013).

In the process of recovery, young trees allocate new biomass differently than mature ones. Proportional to the existing live biomass, the role of maintenance respiration is lower in younger trees, whereas production of fine roots as a proportion of GPP or NPP is greater than in mature trees (Litton *et al.*, 2007). While the overall flux of carbon to root production and maintenance (total belowground carbon flux, TBCF) continues to increase with increasing GPP, the proportional allocation belowground (TBCF:GPP) typically decreases with increasing GPP (Chen *et al.*, 2013). As the proportional cost of maintenance increases with tree size, carbon production and carbon storage efficiencies (calculated as

the ratios of NPP:GPP and NEP:NPP, respectively) decrease with increasing biomass and age (Goulden *et al.*, 2011).

While stand thinning imposes similar effects on stand structure as harvesting, they are much more limited in scope, and most studies report that the effects on fluxes are indistinguishable from natural interannual variability (Vesala *et al.*, 2005; Granier *et al.*, 2008) or are very short-lived (Epron *et al.*, 2004; Magnani *et al.*, 2007; Lindroth *et al.*, 2009). Using Forest Inventory and Analysis (FIA) data for Eastern USA, Zhou *et al.* (2013) reported that in from 1973 to 2011, thinning more than doubled diameter growth, increased understory biomass 4-fold, and did not have a discernible effect on forest floor and mineral soil C pools.

4.2.4. Genetic and species selection

Plantation forestry targets a subset of species and genotypes with the greatest merchantable biomass production in the shortest time possible (Fox *et al.*, 2007b). The major pulp species are *Eucalyptus sp.*, *Populus sp.*, *Pinus taeda*, *Pinus radiata* and *Liquidambar styraciflua* (Palo *et al.*, 2001), and the main timber species are *Pinus taeda*, *Pseudotsuga menziesii*, *Eucalyptus sp.*, *Pinus taeda*, *Pinus radiata*, *Pinus patula* and *Picea abies* (Palo *et al.*, 2001; Cabbage *et al.*, 2007). The factors contributing to the selection are many, including high photosynthetic capacity, preferential allocation to stemwood, crown form, disease resistance and ease of cultivation (Tyree *et al.*, 2009). For loblolly pine, in the SE US, about 23% of overall productivity is attributed to genetic improvement over the past 50 years, and particularly in the past 20, as the seed from second-generation seed orchards and controlled pollination of elite parents became widely available (Fox *et al.*, 2007a; Fox *et al.*, 2007b). It is expected that another 50% growth enhancement may be possible with clonal material, and genetic engineering of disease resistance (Fox *et al.*, 2007b).

Although one might expect that the year-round active foliage may give evergreen species the advantage and exhibit a higher GPP compared to deciduous forests, other than a few exceptions, this does not seem to be the case (Luyssaert *et al.*, 2007). However, stem growth scales with overall productivity better in gymnosperms than in angiosperms (Wolf *et al.*, 2011b). The same study finds that gymnosperms allocate a greater fraction of photosynthate to coarse roots than do angiosperm species, whereas Chen *et al.* (2011) noted greater root contribution to soil CO₂ efflux in deciduous broadleaved than coniferous forests. Although the data are very sparse, some studies suggest that gymnosperm wood decomposes more slowly and forms more complex chemical structures than that of angiosperm species, potentially leading to greater accumulation of carbon in soils (Rock *et al.*, 2008; Strukulj *et al.*, 2013). On the other hand, the construction and maintenance costs, as expressed by the Ra:GPP ratio, are reportedly higher in gymnosperms than in angiosperms, although the absolute respiration rates are often higher in the latter (Tjoelker *et al.*, 1999). It may be that these higher construction costs and chemical composition of gymnosperms contribute to the lower loss of forest floor C following a harvest compared to angiosperms (-20% vs -36%; Nave *et al.*, 2010). Recent findings also point to the importance of the type of mycorrhizal symbionts in plant nutrient uptake and decomposer activity (Averill *et al.*, 2014).

4.3. Mechanisms

The broad patterns described above suggest that soil carbon balance in managed forests depends on both altered inputs and the loss dynamics compared to natural forests, and that the decomposition dynamics are partly predictable from the chemical composition of the litter. With increases in productivity (both GPP and NPP), increased allocation to foliage and stemwood due to climate forcing, fertilization, N fixation or Ndep, and lower decomposition due to fertilization and Ndep, managed forests could potentially sequester greater amounts of carbon belowground than their unmanaged counterparts. However, the temporal dynamics and variability of belowground carbon flux, and

disturbance-related losses of old C remain largely unknown, and could offset the tendencies established during the active growth phase.

4.3.1. Allocation, heterotrophic respiration and soil carbon

As we discussed in preceding sections, many of the management effects (e.g. fertilization, disturbance, and species selection) affect allocation patterns. Long-term Cseq in the soil could respond to (i) the belowground carbon flux, (ii) its specific breakdown between coarse and fine roots, symbionts, and exudates, (iii) allocation shifts among aboveground C pools, (iv) changes in detritus chemistry, and (v) changes in the soil environment. The latter could, in turn, be influenced directly by management-related disturbance, or by plant-mediated changes, and manifest in altered temperature, moisture, affecting microbial and microinvertebrate activity. In this section we will discuss what is known of the regulation of allocation patterns in the context of factors expected to change in managed forests.

4.3.1.1. Measuring belowground flux

Direct measurement of belowground flux is difficult, and over time different proxies have been used instead. A powerful and commonly used approach is the total belowground carbon flux (TBCF), originally proposed by Raich and Nadelhoffer (Raich and Nadelhoffer, 1989; Giardina and Ryan, 2002):

$$TBCF = F_s - F_a + F_e + dC_s + dC_r + dC_l + dS$$

where F_s is soil surface CO_2 efflux, F_a is aboveground litterfall, F_e is loss through leaching and erosion, dC_s is change in soil C, dC_r is change in root C, dC_l is change in litter layer C, and dS is change in plant C storage. Often, in actual applications, terms F_e , dC_s , dC_r , dC_l and dS are considered negligible, which may not always be justified. The error is likely to decrease as the integration period increases, but the TBCF estimates may not be reliable on short timescales (i.e. annual and shorter) over which the assumption of invariable C pools may not hold, and may be difficult to validate. To capture the short-term variability, quantification of several difficult-to-measure processes would be required. The

belowground carbon flux, as controlled by the plants' physiological state at any given point, would help to understand the belowground allocation (BGA) in functional terms and to better predict its response to environmental drivers. BGA is the ratio of belowground carbon flux to GPP, that is often approximated as BNPP:TNPP, which is true if the carbon use efficiency (CUE) is the same for roots and the whole plant. Although TBCF and BGA are strongly correlated (Raich and Nadelhoffer, 1989), the significant variance in the relationship could be seen as an indicator of violation of the assumption of invariance of the belowground pools on a year-to-year basis. Understanding of how belowground carbon flux translates to changes in different belowground pools remains unclear. Some studies have found that new C inputs accumulate in the litter layer or surface soil, whereas other times they do not (Giardina *et al.*, 2014), and were instead respired, fueled priming of old soil C mineralization or were transferred to deeper horizons as they get progressively processed (Baisden and Parfitt, 2007; Kalbitz *et al.*, 2007; as cited by Crow *et al.*, 2009b), possibly facilitated by fungi (Frey *et al.*, 2003; Williams *et al.*, 2006).

4.3.1.2. Biomass vs flux partitioning

The relative mass relationships between different tissue C pools have been the subject of extensive allometric research. To date, detailed species- and location-specific (sometimes management-specific) relationships between tree diameter at breast height and the mass and volume of different pools have been assembled (e.g. Perala and Alban, 1994; Ter-Mikaelian and Korzukhin, 1997; King *et al.*, 1999a; King *et al.*, 2007; Peichl and Arain, 2007; Feldpausch *et al.*, 2011). While the mass relationships are remarkably conserved (Ise *et al.*, 2010) and the component fluxes correlate with GPP and NPP (Litton *et al.*, 2007), the actual allocation of resources on an annual basis can vary significantly (Wolf *et al.*, 2011b). Although biomass ratios are often used as proxies for C allocation, they generally do not correlate with the latter (Litton *et al.*, 2007; Wolf *et al.*, 2011b), likely due to the longevity of the woody tissues, fluxes to the symbionts, and excretions to the rhizosphere. An exception to this rule is the tight relationship

between fine root biomass and fine root productivity (Finer *et al.*, 2011), as the short life cycle of fine roots removes the main confounding factor.

4.3.1.3. Allocation and GPP

Although allocation cannot be reliably estimated from biomass pools, strong relationships have been identified between relative partitioning and stand-level GPP and NPP (Litton *et al.*, 2007; Malhi *et al.*, 2011; Wolf *et al.*, 2011b; Chen *et al.*, 2013). Furthermore, clear prioritization and trade-offs between different plant parts have been identified (Chen *et al.*, 2013; Chen *et al.*, 2014). For example, as GPP increases, there is a strong prioritization of resources to woody support structures at the expense of fine roots, rhizosymbionts and exudates (Litton *et al.*, 2007; Vogel *et al.*, 2008; Wolf *et al.*, 2011b; Chen *et al.*, 2013; Chen *et al.*, 2014). However, total net production of biomass and foliage, and autotrophic respiration, remained a constant fraction of GPP across its range. Allocation to woody tissues increases along with GPP, and C allocation to foliage and autotrophic respiration are isometric with GPP (that is, the proportional allocation does not change) (Chen *et al.*, 2013; Chen *et al.*, 2014), likely due to the inverse relationship between average tree size and productivity, and the increasing competition for light as the canopy closes. To the extent that GPP varies latitudinally with mean annual temperature (MAT), the described allocation patterns correlate with MAT and MAP (Litton and Giardina, 2008).

At a single tree level, all components of productivity (total NPP, foliage NPP, wood NPP, stem NPP) scale proportionally with GPP, with the exception of fine root NPP (Chen *et al.*, 2013). There appears to be a threshold above which fine root biomass and productivity no longer increase, and remain invariant of productivity (although there remain hydraulic constraints, Magnani *et al.*, 2000). As GPP continues to increase, the fraction allocated to fine roots, as well as root exudates and support for symbionts must decrease (Chen *et al.*, 2013). In a follow-up study, Chen *et al.* (2014) identified three major trade-offs that in addition to allometric constraint explained the allocation of resources to different plant parts – (i) fine root *versus* woody biomass production trade-off, (ii) respiration *versus*

biomass production trade-off, and (iii) photosynthetic *versus* nonphotosynthetic biomass production trade-off. These conclusions confirm earlier work emphasizing the functional distinction between fine and coarse roots (Dybzinski *et al.*, 2011; Malhi *et al.*, 2011; Wolf *et al.*, 2011b), which had been combined in previous analyses (e.g. Litton *et al.*, 2007) and perhaps confounded the interpretation. A significant implication of this distinction pertains to the respiratory maintenance costs of fine roots (trade-off #2 above and Malhi *et al.*, 2011), such that BGA would not depend solely on resource limitation (as in most current ecosystem models, Friedlingstein *et al.*, 1999) but there would be a respiration cost, and new root production would be secondary to the maintenance of standing root stock and rhizosymbionts, which can consume a significant fraction of TBCF (Kuzyakov and Cheng, 2001; Högberg and Högberg, 2002; Robinson, 2004; Fahey *et al.*, 2005; Chen *et al.*, 2014 and references therein).

4.3.1.4. Carbon use efficiency and component respiration

As GPP-derived carbohydrates support both plant and microbial productivity and respiration, and microbial activity translates to decomposition of existing soil carbon (Migliavacca *et al.*, 2011), it should not be surprising that respiration may depend on GPP through both positive and negative feedbacks (Chen *et al.*, 2014). The balance between the belowground carbon inputs (through both belowground productivity, and exudation as well as aboveground litter inputs) and losses (primarily mineralization) is often expressed via biomass production and carbon storage efficiencies (NPP:GPP (also called carbon use efficiency, CUE) and NEP:NPP ratios, respectively). Both of these decrease with increasing biomass and stand age as the respiratory costs for maintaining existing biomass increase (Litton *et al.*, 2007; Goulden *et al.*, 2011). The extent to which carbon in dead biomass is stabilized varies greatly by ecosystem, and has been proposed as an intrinsic ecosystem property related to its species composition (Metcalf *et al.*, 2011; Schmidt *et al.*, 2011). Typically, autotrophic respiration consumes 30-80% of GPP (Litton *et al.*, 2007; Chen *et al.*, 2013), and heterotrophic respiration and exudation to rhizosphere may

consume another 10-40% (Bond-Lamberty *et al.*, 2004b; Noormets *et al.*, 2012). Given the rapid turnover of fine roots and the high metabolic cost of rhizosymbionts (belowground CUE=0.2-0.5, Litton and Giardina, 2008), much of the C allocated below ground returns to the atmosphere as respiration (Trumbore, 2006; Giardina *et al.*, 2014). However, there may be a significant temporal decoupling between transfer of carbon belowground, and its processing by heterotrophs. It has also been found that nutrient availability can significantly decrease plant respiratory costs and allow for higher carbon storage efficiency (Fernandez-Martinez *et al.*, 2014).

While the Ra:GPP ratio is generally conservative across plant functional types, there is also significant unexplained variability, and no universal dependence of Ra on GPP has been found (Chen *et al.*, 2013). This appears to be due, at least in part, to greater temperature- and precipitation-sensitivity of GPP at mid- and lower ranges of these variable (MAP<1500 mm and MAT<10°C), whereas above these thresholds Ra increases more than GPP, leading to a divergence in the global patterns of GPP and NPP (Luyssaert *et al.*, 2007). Curiously, changes in plant allocation patterns appear to be possible while maintaining constant CUE (Maier *et al.*, 2004). However, there are negative relationships (i) between the fine root NPP vs. Rr trade-off and Rs, and (ii) between the ratio of root respiration to total soil respiration (Rr:Rs) and the ratio of total autotrophic respiration to soil respiration (Ra:Rs) (Chen *et al.*, 2014) suggesting that although the relative respiratory cost may increase with increasing BGA, there may also be a growing fraction of GPP sequestered as soil organic matter. Nevertheless, it is not clear if there are parallel changes in root exudation and Rh. Typically, variations in Rs have been associated with those in Rr rather than Rh (Bond-Lamberty *et al.*, 2004a; Subke *et al.*, 2006), and the primary source of variation in the latter may be disturbance (Noormets *et al.*, 2012). If the rate of Rh and the extent of priming of soil carbon decomposition is determined by the equilibrium between plant carbohydrate status and the level of exudation, then the additional litterfall associated with greater biomass could contribute to greater long-term C sequestration, even though a part of it is lost through enhanced

respiration (Crow *et al.*, 2009a). Although our understanding of key mechanisms is still evolving, it is clear that plant carbohydrate status represents an important feedback loop that must be considered when attempting to manage forests (or other ecosystems) for long-term carbon sequestration in soil. Carbon can only accumulate in soil if progressively more C is deposited than decomposes, and until it reaches saturation (Six *et al.*, 2002b). Better understanding of the contribution of different litter fluxes to C accumulation and priming effects by variable TBCF is required, as these counteracting processes affect the long-term stability of soil C stocks.

4.3.2. Net ecosystem productivity and long-term carbon sequestration in soil

Understanding of the dynamic nature of plant allocation has evolved with the refinement of methods and growing body of data. For example, earlier conclusions based on C allocation estimates using the TBCF framework and other indirect methods that allocation was relatively conserved regardless of stand age, resource availability, aboveground biomass and competition, have since been revised (Wolf *et al.*, 2011b). Pregitzer and Euskirchen (2004) and Magnani *et al.* (2007) showed that variability in NEP was primarily associated with age, disturbance, and management, clearly trumping differences attributable to climate. Furthermore, they also pointed to the relationship between NEP and NPP that holds very well in all except the young stands, a difference attributable to deviations in the allocation patterns and disturbance-driven shift in the Rh:NPP relationship. Some studies have for this reason excluded young stands from global analyses (Luyssaert *et al.*, 2007). However, as new models are developed, capable of accounting for the feedbacks discussed above, it may be time to take another look at the disturbance-mediated variability in C dynamics, and the controls of long-term carbon sequestration.

4.4. Soil carbon dynamics

According to the current paradigm of soil C dynamics (Sollins *et al.*, 1996; von Lutzow *et al.*, 2006), the longevity and stability of organic matter in soil is determined by physical accessibility, stabilizing interactions with minerals, and chemical recalcitrance. This represents a shift away from earlier recalcitrance-centered perspective, which based on recent estimates may only contribute about 25% of total regulation (Rasse *et al.*, 2005). The chemical and physical interactions contributing to stability are reversible and co-occurring simultaneously (Sierra *et al.*, 2011), and both physical accessibility and stabilizing interactions could be sensitive to water movement in soil (Cardon *et al.*, 2013) which by solubilizing compounds could bring to contact previously nonadjacent microbes and substrates.

A second factor that is likely to play a major role in the dynamics and processing of soil C in managed forests is *priming*, which refers to the accelerated mineralization of more recalcitrant material by the infusion of small quantities of easily decomposable material from aboveground and root litter, and exudates (Kuzyakov *et al.*, 2000; Fontaine *et al.*, 2004). While priming is now understood to be a universal mechanism, affecting organic matter turnover in all ecosystems (Hamer and Marschner, 2005; Kuzyakov, 2010; but see Epron *et al.*, 2015), it is likely more variable in time and space in actively managed forests that experience dramatic changes of C allocation and detritus input associated with harvesting and subsequent regrowth. However, the effect of priming on soil C balance in the longer term remains uncertain because the presumably increasing recalcitrance of the remaining C could effect a different stoichiometric balance at a given rate of new C inputs. Whether the lack of detectable change in soil C content in harvest management studies (Olsson *et al.*, 1996; Huang *et al.*, 2013; Epron *et al.*, 2015) can be viewed as evidence in support of this hypothesis is too early to say, as quantifying the total soil C pool is complicated by the continual transformation and translocation by both physical and biological processes, and high inherent variability in SOC content and biochemistry. Yet, the role of live

731 roots and rhizosymbionts in the process is implied, as some studies have reported greater priming
732 effects in the presence of active roots than in their absence (Crow *et al.*, 2009a).

733 Most ecosystem and land surface models remain simplistic in their treatment of soil C
734 dynamics, using lumped pools, single rate constants, and ignoring feedbacks, particularly at broader
735 spatial scale (Manzoni and Porporato, 2009). However, Sulman *et al.* (2014) recently developed a
736 priming module for global C cycle models, which they then used to estimate the effect of elevated CO₂
737 on the balance between SOC stabilization and priming globally. Separating rhizosphere and bulk soil
738 processes, they allowed the same substrate to have different turnover rates depending on the
739 availability of root exudates, and the presence of different microbial taxa. This effort may be the first of
740 its kind to incorporate SOC stabilization and priming interactions in an integrated carbon cycle model.
741 With its novel capabilities, it would be interesting to review the simulations by Piao *et al.* (2009) in terms
742 of the attribution of their detected SOC change in some systems over recent decades, which most
743 models fail to capture. The implications for broader ecosystem C cycling might be rather different
744 depending on whether the increased soil CO₂ efflux is the result of warming (Piao *et al.*, 2009; Bond-
745 Lamberty and Thomson, 2010b) or priming (Sulman *et al.*, 2014).

5. Summary

The effect of management on forest C exchange manifests largely through age-related structural effects (e.g. LAI, allocation, live-dead balance), and secondarily through responses to altered environmental conditions (e.g. temperature, nutrient and water availability, atmospheric CO₂). Despite over a 3-fold age difference between the managed and unmanaged forests, their mean GPP is similar. The differences that emerge in NPP and particularly in NEP, are attributable to lower BGA, and lower expenses on rhizosymbionts, that result in greater aboveground growth efficiency and production efficiency in managed than unmanaged forests. However, while the ratio of both auto- and heterotrophic respiration in proportion to GPP was not found to differ significantly, the respiration fluxes in absolute terms were higher in managed forests. That is, the isometric increase in belowground carbon flux exceeds the proportional decrease in BGA. The suggestion from the literature review of potential increase in sequestration of C in soils with good nutrient availability is supported by the results of the NPP database, showing lower Rh in managed than unmanaged forests, whereas the SRDB indicates greater soil C losses in managed than unmanaged forests. Our reviewed literature suggests that this may, in part, be due to priming of soil C mineralization, thus undermining additional C sequestration potential by managed forests. The C losses are compounded by disturbances associated with management activities and shorter rotation lengths. As a result, the soils in managed forests could be in greater C deficit than those in unmanaged forests, even though over the past 2-3 decades the losses appear to exceed gains globally, regardless of the management status. Whether and how the annual imbalance correlates with the observed long-term changes in soil C stock is yet to be elucidated. It is important to acknowledge that the notion of C loss in forest soils has not been detected in earlier studies, and is typically associated with intensive and regular disturbances, like agriculture (see section 1). Yet, the current assessment of annual inputs and outputs may be more sensitive to detecting a change, as the metric is designed for this purpose.

Owing to the trade-offs in the C allocation to different plant parts (Wolf *et al.*, 2011b; Chen *et al.*, 2013; Chen *et al.*, 2014), the effects of the main climate change and management factors (temperature, CO₂ concentration, water availability, nutrient availability, age, soil disturbance, species) on productivity are generally positive, and on belowground allocation negative. The decline in relative BGA is partially offset by allometrically based increase in root growth, confounding the overall change in belowground carbon. As the decline comes from reduced allocation to fine roots, rhizosymbionts and exudation, priming is likely to decline, slowing soil C mineralization. The greater allocation to woody tissues (including coarse roots), and the greater chemical recalcitrance of litter in gymnosperms would be expected to potentially contribute to greater soil C accumulation potential. However, the meta-analysis by Guo and Gifford (2002) found that the conversion of native forests to gymnosperm plantation resulted in greater soil C loss than when converted to angiosperm plantation. Increased inputs of aboveground litter, on the other hand, may be promptly consumed and either result in limited net change in soil C (Pregitzer *et al.*, 2008; Crow *et al.*, 2009b) or even prime the accelerated decomposition of old soil C (Hamer and Marschner, 2005; Crow *et al.*, 2009b). However, it remains unclear, how much this contributes to the observed recent increase in Rs (Bond-Lamberty and Thomson, 2010b), and how fast the plant carbohydrate pool reaches a different equilibrium, which can be expected to stabilize respiration. The net long-term effect on soil C pool would be very different depending on whether the observed increase in Rs derived from an increased disturbance regime and consumed old soil C, or if it was supported by greater inputs due to increased productivity, in which case it would represent intensification of C cycling in soil with little net change in the pool size.

While some likely interactions of climate change and management forcing have been explored (e.g. CO₂ and nitrogen, Oren *et al.*, 2001), several surprises may await as management affects ever broader reaches of the world, or if climate variability increases. Modeling studies suggest that the efficiency of management may have taken trees to their physiological limits even without removing all

794 climatic constraints (Wynne, Burkard, Evans, personal communication). Conversely, the relative efficacy
795 of management activities may be reduced in future climate.

796 Finally, although the recent global analyses of allocation patterns and trade-offs have provided
797 invaluable and novel insight, the methods have often been explicitly tailored for resolving spatial
798 patterns (Wolf *et al.*, 2011b; Chen *et al.*, 2013; Chen *et al.*, 2014), suppressing site-level interannual
799 variation (e.g. averaging different years from a given site). However, it is the latter that is of interest
800 when projecting future changes in response to climate change, or shifts in management practices and
801 increasingly popular cultivation of species in novel locations.

6. Final remarks. Balancing forest productivity with carbon

sequestration in the soil

As anthropogenic pressure on the natural environment increases, the area under plantation forestry and the fraction of wood products, as well as environmental services appropriated from them is expected to grow. With the expansion of the suite of services expected from managed forests, i.e. moving beyond maximizing the merchantable biomass, several optimization questions arise. The questions addressed in the current study have focused on on-site carbon sequestration rather than the life-cycle analysis of forest products more commonly used to quantify the carbon benefits of forestry. However, tracing the fate of newly assimilated carbon from forest overlooks the fact that it can come at the expense of releasing carbon that had been previously sequestered in the soil.

The managed forests of tomorrow should strive to strike a balance that maximizes as many benefits as possible, but without an explicit valuation scheme of all components the optimum may be difficult to define. Regardless of whether the value of non-woody products is based on the extent of reduced productivity under the compromise scenario or some other metric, there remain several ecological questions to be answered. For example, (i) the interannual variation and functional regulation of belowground allocation, (ii) the extent and mechanism of priming of the decomposition of old soil C by new inputs, and (iii) the stabilization mechanisms of above- and belowground plant litter, and incorporation to long-lived soil C pools all remain significant unknowns. Furthermore, to what extent can interannual differences in allocation and fluxes be inferred from the spatial differences between sites described here? These questions address fundamental ecosystem properties that affect their stress tolerance (including drought), as well as the potential of managed forests to mitigate the increase in atmospheric CO₂ concentrations. The consideration of managed forests for bioenergy production also needs answers to the effect of different management activities on the plant and soil C pools, and which

rotation length and harvest residue management practices would allow maintaining long-term sustainability of a particular operation, without compromising the nutrient and water holding capacities of the soil. As both plant productivity and microbial respiration depend on nutrients released from decomposing harvest residue, it is important to understand how these processes relate to one another. In addition to the aspects already mentioned, the search for optimal management decisions will need to consider forests as complete ecosystems with multiple feedbacks. For example, while increasing nutrient availability may promote productivity along with C accumulation in soil (at least in the short term), it also has implications for plant drought sensitivity and fertilizer run-off. In all, the data clearly point to a trade-off between plant productivity and carbon sequestration in the soil, and future forest management needs to understand this relationship in quantitative terms to help forests provide a full range of the potential benefits. While Allen *et al.*'s (2005) conclusion that "long-term productivity of intensive silviculture is sustainable only if soil is cared for" is still true, there are aspects of soil condition that once compromised are nearly impossible to restore within the timeframe of modern forest management planning.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by DOE BER-TES awards number 7090112 and 11-DE-SC-0006700, USDA NIFA grant 2011-67009-20089, US Forest Service Eastern Forest Environmental Threat Assessment Center grant 08-JV-11330147-038, and the PINEMAP project. The Pine Integrated Network: Education, Mitigation, and Adaptation project (PINEMAP) is a Coordinated Agricultural Project funded by the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture, Award #2011-68002-30185.

846 Table 1. *Global datasets relevant for addressing questions of productivity and carbon sequestration in*
847 *managed forests. Abbreviations: NEE – net ecosystem exchange of CO₂, Re – ecosystem*
848 *respiration, GEP – gross ecosystem productivity, Rs – soil CO₂ efflux, Rh – heterotrophic*
849 *component of Rs, Ra – autotrophic component of Rs, [C] – carbon content, TBCF – total*
850 *belowground carbon flux (estimated as the difference between Rs and aboveground litterfall),*
851 *NPP – net primary productivity, ANPP – aboveground net primary productivity, R – respiration.*

Database	Temporal coverage	Key data	Source	Comments
FLUXNET	1990-2007 Active	NEE Re GEP	(Baldocchi <i>et al.</i> , 2001)	Limited management metadata
SRDB (version 20120510a)	1953-2011 Active	Rs, Rh, Ra [C] Litterfall Root biomass TBCF	(Bond-Lamberty and Thomson, 2010a)	3 management classes
NPP	1960-2006	NPP by component Rs, Rh, Ra	(Luyssaert <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	6 management classes
TBCF	1969-2006	ANPP (total, wood, foliage) R (total, wood, foliage) TBCF, GEP	(Litton <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	No management metadata. Age relationships
GlobAllomeTree	Active	Allometric	http://www.globalloometree.org/	No management

		partitioning coefficients		metadata
FIA database (USA only)	1930-current Active	Carbon pools, stand metadata, land use history	http://www.fia.fs.fed.us/	Detailed management and site history data

852

853

854 *Table 2. Global mean ($\pm$ SE) carbon pools, fluxes and their ratios in managed and unmanaged forests. The*
855 *significance of the differences is indicated with the superscript letters, and is considered*
856 *significant at $p < 0.05$ level. The analyses were based on the NPP (Luyssaert et al., 2009) and SRDB*
857 *(Bond-Lamberty and Thomson, 2010a) databases.*

Database	NPP		SRDB	
Metric (C pool, flux or flux ratio)	Managed	Unmanaged	Managed	Unmanaged
Aboveground biomass carbon (g m ⁻²)	n/a	n/a	3465 $\pm$ 1104 ^b	8870 $\pm$ 1042 ^a
Belowground biomass carbon (g m ⁻²)	n/a	n/a	821 $\pm$ 249 ^b	1463 $\pm$ 178 ^a
Coarse root carbon (g m ⁻²)	n/a	n/a	515 $\pm$ 191 ^a	599 $\pm$ 189 ^a
Fine root carbon (g m ⁻²)	n/a	n/a	235 $\pm$ 197 ^b	439 $\pm$ 176 ^a
Litter carbon (g m ⁻²)	n/a	n/a	1164 $\pm$ 366 ^a	1764 $\pm$ 258 ^a
Mineral soil carbon (g m ⁻²)	n/a	n/a	6246 $\pm$ 1749 ^b	11356 $\pm$ 1305 ^a
LAI (m ² m ⁻²)	n/a	n/a	3.4 $\pm$ 0.3 ^b	4.5 $\pm$ 0.2 ^a
Mean tree age (yr)	n/a	n/a	21 $\pm$ 3 ^b	68 $\pm$ 3 ^a
GPP (g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)	1817 $\pm$ 32 ^a	1806 $\pm$ 41 ^a	1989 $\pm$ 169 ^a	1887 $\pm$ 159 ^a

TNPP (g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)	668 ± 65 ^a	675 ± 68 ^a	674 ± 75 ^a	595 ± 32 ^a
NPPstem (g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)	196 ± 33 ^a	170 ± 35 ^a	n/a	n/a
NPPfr (g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)	n/a	n/a	181 ± 18 ^b	225 ± 13 ^a
ANPP (g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)	365 ± 51 ^a	357 ± 54 ^a	651 ± 51 ^a	373 ± 41 ^b
BNPP (g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)	n/a	n/a	171 ± 21 ^a	173 ± 17 ^a
NEP (g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)	261 ± 16 ^a	176 ± 22 ^b	444 ± 84 ^a	300 ± 84 ^b
Litter production (g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)	n/a	n/a	210 ± 11 ^a	221 ± 9.6 ^a
Root litter production (g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)	n/a	n/a	178 ± 35 ^a	225 ± 28 ^a
Total detritus production (g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)	n/a	n/a	377 ± 43 ^b	491 ± 35 ^a
Re (g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)	1562 ± 27 ^a	1617 ± 35 ^a	1698 ± 94 ^a	1384 ± 80 ^b
Ra _{total} (g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)	1133 ± 102 ^b	1460 ± 112 ^a	n/a	n/a
Ra _{soil} (g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)	n/a	n/a	457 ± 66 ^a	377 ± 66 ^b
Rh _{total} (g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)	471 ± 29 ^b	558 ± 34 ^a	n/a	n/a
Rh _{soil} (g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)	n/a	n/a	499 ± 40 ^a	458 ± 40 ^a

Rs (g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)	923 ± 46 ^a	1013 ± 61 ^a	1006 ± 39 ^a	834 ± 33 ^b
Rlitter (g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)	n/a	n/a	220 ± 33 ^b	308 ± 32 ^a
TBCF (g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)	n/a	n/a	531 ± 111 ^a	561 ± 97 ^a
BGA (BNPP:TNPP)	0.37 ± 0.04 ^a	0.33 ± 0.04 ^a	n/a	n/a
Rh:Litter_flux (unitless)	4.3 ± 2.4 ^a	2.2 ± 2.4 ^a	n/a	n/a
Rlitter:Litter_flux (unitless)	n/a	n/a	0.83±0.11 ^b	1.20±0.07 ^a
Rh:Detritus1 (unitless) ¹ Detritus=[leaves, fine roots]	1.4 ± 0.5 ^a	1.5 ± 0.6 ^a	3.4 ± 2.0 ^a	2.8 ± 1.6 ^a
Rh:Detritus2 (unitless) ¹ Detritus=[leaves, fine and coarse roots]	1.0 ± 0.4 ^a	1.0 ± 0.5 ^a	n/a	n/a
Rh:Total detritus flux (unitless) ¹	n/a	n/a	4.4 ± 2.3 ^a	3.8 ± 1.4 ^a
Soil C balance = Detritus1-Rh (g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)	-221 ± 42 ^a	-311 ± 44 ^b	n/a	n/a
Soil C balance = Detritus2-Rh (g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)	20 ± 43 ^a	-55 ± 53 ^b	n/a	n/a
Soil C balance = Total detritus flux-Rh (g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹)	n/a	n/a	-214 ± 48 ^b	-114 ± 30 ^a

¹ Detritus1 and Detritus2 were calculated from leaf and root litter production estimates reported in the databases. Total detritus flux is the value reported as the total in SRDB. It should equal the sum of leaf and fine root litter production. However, due to

Figure legends

Figure 1. Flow chart of management effects on forest productivity and carbon sequestration. Solid arrows indicate positive effect, and dashed arrows negative. Brown arrows mark processes affecting the recalcitrance of soil carbon. Orange arrows mark processes operating through soil disturbance that in the current study are discussed only superficially. Abbreviations: GPP – gross primary productivity, NPP – net primary productivity, [CHO] – carbohydrate concentration, TBCF – total belowground carbon flux, C:N – the ratio of carbon to nitrogen, BG-C – belowground carbon, SOC – soil organic carbon, R_H – heterotrophic respiration.

Figure 2. The ratio of heterotrophic respiration (R_H) to total detritus production (Detritus flux) as an estimate of soil carbon balance on an annual basis. (a) the global means of forests by biome, (b, c) means by management type – managed (M), unmanaged (UM) or recently disturbed (RD) – in the temperate biome (the only biome where data from managed forests was available). Panels (a) and (b) are based on SRDB database (Bond-Lamberty and Thomson, 2010a), and panel (c) is based on the NPP database (Luyssaert et al., 2009). Pairwise differences were considered significant at $p < 0.05$ level.

874 References

- 875 Adair, E.C., Parton, W.J., Del Grosso, S.J., Silver, W.L., Harmon, M.E., Hall, S.A., Burke, I.C., Hart, S.C.,
876 2008. Simple three-pool model accurately describes patterns of long-term litter decomposition
877 in diverse climates. *Global Change Biology* 14, 2636-2660.
- 878 Albaugh, T.J., Allen, H.L., Dougherty, P.M., Kress, L.W., King, J.S., 1998. Leaf area and above- and
879 belowground growth responses of loblolly pine to nutrient and water additions. *Forest Science*
880 44, 317-328.
- 881 Allen, H.L., Fox, T.R., Campbell, R.G., 2005. What is ahead for intensive pine plantation silviculture in the
882 south? *Southern Journal of Applied Forestry* 29, 62-69.
- 883 Amiro, B.D., Barr, A.G., Barr, J.G., Black, A.T., Brancho, R., Brown, M., Chen, J., Clark, K.L., Davis, K.J.,
884 Desai, A.R., Dore, S., Engel, V.C., Fuentes, J.D., Goldstein, A.H., Goulden, M.L., Kolb, T.E., Lavigne,
885 M.B., Law, B.E., Margolis, H.A., Martin, T., McCaughey, J.H., Misson, L., Montes-Helu, M.,
886 Noormets, A., Randerson, J.T., Starr, G., Xiao, J., 2010. Ecosystem carbon dioxide fluxes after
887 disturbance in forests of North America *Journal of Geophysical Research. G. Biogeosciences* 115,
888 G00K02, doi:10.1029/2010JG001390.
- 889 Atkin, O.K., Holly, C., Ball, M.C., 2000. Acclimation of snow gum (*Eucalyptus pauciflora*) leaf respiration
890 to seasonal and diurnal variations in temperature: the importance of changes in the capacity
891 and temperature sensitivity of respiration. *Plant Cell Environ* 23, 15-26.
- 892 Averill, C., Turner, B.L., Finzi, A.C., 2014. Mycorrhiza-mediated competition between plants and
893 decomposers drives soil carbon storage. *Nature* 505, 543-545.
- 894 Bader, M., Hiltbrunner, E., Körner, C., 2009. Fine root responses of mature deciduous forest trees to free
895 air carbon dioxide enrichment (FACE). *Funct Ecol* 23, 913-921.
- 896 Baisden, W.T., Parfitt, R.L., 2007. Bomb ¹⁴C enrichment indicates decadal C pool in deep soil?
897 *Biogeochemistry* 85, 59-68.

898 Baldocchi, D.D., Falge, E., Gu, L.H., Olson, R., Hollinger, D., Running, S., Anthoni, P., Bernhofer, C., Davis,
899 K.J., Evans, R., Fuentes, J.D., Goldstein, A.H., Katul, G., Law, B.E., Lee, X., Malhi, Y., Meyers, T.,
900 Munger, W., Oechel, W., Paw U, K.T., Pilegaard, K., Schmid, H.P., Valentini, R., Verma, S., Vesala,
901 T., Wilson, K., Wofsy, S., 2001. FLUXNET: A new tool to study the temporal and spatial variability
902 of ecosystem-scale carbon dioxide, water vapor, and energy flux densities. Bulletin of the
903 American Meteorological Society 82, 2415-2434.

904 Bellamy, P.H., Loveland, P.J., Bradley, R.I., Lark, R.M., Kirk, G.J.D., 2005. Carbon losses from all soils
905 across England and Wales 1978-2003. Nature 437, 245-248.

906 Birdsey, R., Pan, Y., 2015. Trends in management of the world's forests and impacts on carbon stocks.
907 Forest Ecol Manag, In press.

908 Birdsey, R., Pregitzer, K., Lucier, A., 2006. Forest carbon management in the United States: 1600-2100.
909 Journal of Environmental Quality 35, 1461-1469.

910 Bond-Lamberty, B., Thomson, A., 2010a. A global database of soil respiration data. Biogeosciences 7,
911 1915-1926.

912 Bond-Lamberty, B., Thomson, A., 2010b. Temperature-associated increases in the global soil respiration
913 record. Nature 464, 579-582.

914 Bond-Lamberty, B., Wang, C., Gower, S.T., 2004a. A global relationship between the heterotrophic and
915 autotrophic components of soil respiration? Global Change Biology 10, 1756-1766.

916 Bond-Lamberty, B., Wang, C.K., Gower, S.T., 2004b. Contribution of root respiration to soil surface CO₂
917 flux in a boreal black spruce chronosequence. Tree Physiology 24, 1387-1395.

918 Caprez, R., Niklaus, P.A., Korner, C., 2012. Forest soil respiration reflects plant productivity across a
919 temperature gradient in the Alps. Oecologia 170, 1143-1154.

920 Cardon, Z.G., Stark, J.M., Herron, P.M., Rasmussen, J.A., 2013. Sagebrush carrying out hydraulic lift
 921 enhances surface soil nitrogen cycling and nitrogen uptake into inflorescences. P Natl Acad Sci
 922 USA 110, 18988-18993.

923 Caspersen, J.P., Pacala, S.W., Jenkins, J.C., Hurtt, G.C., Moorcroft, P.R., Birdsey, R.A., 2000. Contributions
 924 of land-use history to carbon accumulation in US forests. Science 290, 1148-1151.

925 Chang, R., Jin, T., Lü, Y., Liu, G., Fu, B., 2014. Soil Carbon and Nitrogen Changes following Afforestation of
 926 Marginal Cropland across a Precipitation Gradient in Loess Plateau of China. Plos One 9, e85426.

927 Chen, G., Yang, Y., Robinson, D., 2013. Allocation of gross primary production in forest ecosystems:
 928 allometric constraints and environmental responses. New Phytologist 200, 1176-1186.

929 Chen, G.S., Yang, Y.S., Guo, J.F., Xie, J.S., Yang, Z.J., 2011. Relationships between carbon allocation and
 930 partitioning of soil respiration across world mature forests. Plant Ecology 212, 195-206.

931 Chen, G.S., Yang, Y.S., Robinson, D., 2014. Allometric constraints on, and trade-offs in, belowground
 932 carbon allocation and their control of soil respiration across global forest ecosystems. Global
 933 Change Biology 20, 1674-1684.

934 Chen, J., Franklin, J.F., Spies, T.A., 1993. Contrasting microclimates among clear-cut, edge and interior of
 935 old-growth Douglas-fir forest. Agr Forest Meteorol 63, 219-237.

936 Cheng, W.X., 2009. Rhizosphere priming effect: Its functional relationships with microbial turnover,
 937 evapotranspiration, and C-N budgets. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 41, 1795-1801.

938 Conen, F., Zimmermann, M., Leifeld, J., Seth, B., Alewell, C., 2008. Relative stability of soil carbon
 939 revealed by shifts in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and C:N ratio. Biogeosciences 5, 123-128.

940 Cotrufo, M.F., Ngao, J., Marzaioli, F., Piermatteo, D., 2010. Inter-comparison of methods for quantifying
 941 above-ground leaf litter decomposition rates. Plant Soil 334, 365-376.

942 Crous, K.Y., Zaragoza-Castells, J., LÖW, M., Ellsworth, D.S., Tissue, D.T., Tjoelker, M.G., Barton, C.V.M.,
 943 Gimeno, T.E., Atkin, O.K., 2011. Seasonal acclimation of leaf respiration in *Eucalyptus saligna*

944 trees: impacts of elevated atmospheric CO₂ and summer drought. *Global Change Biology* 17,
945 1560-1576.

946 Crow, S.E., Lajtha, K., Bowden, R.D., Yano, Y., Brant, J.B., Caldwell, B.A., Sulzman, E.W., 2009a. Increased
947 coniferous needle inputs accelerate decomposition of soil carbon in an old-growth forest. *Forest*
948 *Ecol Manag* 258, 2224-2232.

949 Crow, S.E., Lajtha, K., Filley, T.R., Swanston, C.W., Bowden, R.D., Caldwell, B.A., 2009b. Sources of plant-
950 derived carbon and stability of organic matter in soil: implications for global change. *Global*
951 *Change Biology* 15, 2003-2019.

952 Cubbage, F., Mac Donagh, P., Sawinski, J., Rubilar, R., Donoso, P., Ferreira, A., Hoeflich, V., Olmos, V.M.,
953 Ferreira, G., Balmelli, G., Siry, J., Baez, M.N., Alvarez, J., 2007. Timber investment returns for
954 selected plantations and native forests in South America and the Southern United States. *New*
955 *Forests* 33, 237-255.

956 Dangal, S.R.S., Felzer, B.S., Hurteau, M.D., 2014. Effects of agriculture and timber harvest on carbon
957 sequestration in the eastern US forests. *J Geophys Res-Bioge* 119, 35-54.

958 Davidson, E.A., Richardson, A.D., Savage, K.E., Hollinger, D.Y., 2006. A distinct seasonal pattern of the
959 ratio of soil respiration to total ecosystem respiration in a spruce-dominated forest. *Global*
960 *Change Biology* 12, 230-239.

961 de Vries, W., 2014. FOREST ECOLOGY Nutrients trigger carbon storage. *Nat Clim Change* 4, 425-426.

962 de Vries, W., Solberg, S., Dobbertin, M., Sterba, H., Laubhann, D., van Oijen, M., Evans, C., Gundersen, P.,
963 Kros, J., Wamelink, G.W.W., Reinds, G.J., Sutton, M.A., 2009. The impact of nitrogen deposition
964 on carbon sequestration by European forests and heathlands. *Forest Ecol Manag* 258, 1814-
965 1823.

966 DeForest, J.L., Zak, D.R., Pregitzer, K.S., Burton, A.J., 2004. Atmospheric nitrate deposition, microbial
 967 community composition, and enzyme activity in northern hardwood forests. *Soil Science Society*
 968 *of America Journal* 68, 132-138.

969 DeLucia, E.H., Drake, J.E., Thomas, R.B., Gonzalez-Meler, M., 2007. Forest carbon use efficiency: is
 970 respiration a constant fraction of gross primary production? *Global Change Biology* 13, 1157-
 971 1167.

972 Desai, A.R., Noormets, A., Bolstad, P.V., Chen, J., Cook, B.D., Davis, K.J., Euskirchen, E.S., Gough, C.,
 973 Martin, J.G., Ricciuto, D.M., Schmid, H.P., Tang, J., Wang, W., 2008. Influence of vegetation and
 974 seasonal forcing on carbon dioxide fluxes across the Upper Midwest, USA: Implications for
 975 regional scaling. *Agr Forest Meteorol* 148, 288-308.

976 Dewar, R.C., Medlyn, B.E., McMurtrie, R.E., 1999. Acclimation of the respiration photosynthesis ratio to
 977 temperature: insights from a model. *Global Change Biology* 5, 615-622.

978 Dijkstra, F.A., Cheng, W.X., 2007. Interactions between soil and tree roots accelerate long-term soil
 979 carbon decomposition. *Ecol Lett* 10, 1046-1053.

980 Diochon, A., Kellman, L., 2008. Natural abundance measurements of (13)C indicate increased deep soil
 981 carbon mineralization after forest disturbance. *Geophysical Research Letters* 35.

982 Diochon, A., Kellman, L., Beltrami, H., 2009. Looking deeper: An investigation of soil carbon losses
 983 following harvesting from a managed northeastern red spruce (*Picea rubens* Sarg.) forest
 984 chronosequence. *Forest Ecol Manag* 257, 413-420.

985 Diochon, A.C., Kellman, L., 2009. Physical fractionation of soil organic matter: Destabilization of deep soil
 986 carbon following harvesting of a temperate coniferous forest. *J Geophys Res-Bioge* 114.

987 Don, A., Schumacher, J., Freibauer, A., 2011. Impact of tropical land-use change on soil organic carbon
 988 stocks – a meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology* 17, 1658-1670.

989 Drummond, M.A., Loveland, T.R., 2010. Land-use Pressure and a Transition to Forest-cover Loss in the
990 Eastern United States. *Bioscience* 60, 286-298.

991 Dybzinski, R., Farrior, C., Wolf, A., Reich, P.B., Pacala, S.W., 2011. Evolutionarily stable strategy carbon
992 allocation to foliage, wood, and fine roots in trees competing for light and nitrogen: An
993 analytically tractable, individual-based model and quantitative comparisons to data. *American*
994 *Naturalist* 177, 153-166.

995 Ekblad, A., Wallander, H., Godbold, D.L., Cruz, C., Johnson, D., Baldrian, P., Bjork, R.G., Epron, D.,
996 Kieliszewska-Rokicka, B., Kjoller, R., Kraigher, H., Matzner, E., Neumann, J., Plassard, C., 2013.
997 The production and turnover of extramatrical mycelium of ectomycorrhizal fungi in forest soils:
998 role in carbon cycling. *Plant Soil* 366, 1-27.

999 Epron, D., Laclau, J.-P., Almeida, J.C.R., Gonçalves, J.L.M., Ponton, S., Sette, C.R., Delgado-Rojas, J.S.,
1000 Bouillet, J.-P., Nouvellon, Y., 2012. Do changes in carbon allocation account for the growth
1001 response to potassium and sodium applications in tropical *Eucalyptus* plantations? *Tree*
1002 *Physiology* 32, 667-679.

1003 Epron, D., Mouanda, C., Marechal, L., Koutika, L.-S., 2015. Impacts of organic residue management on
1004 the soil C dynamics in a tropical eucalypt plantation on a nutrient-poor sandy soil after three
1005 rotations. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 85, 183-189.

1006 Epron, D., Ngao, J., Granier, A., 2004. Interannual variation of soil respiration in a beech forest
1007 ecosystem over a six-year study. *Ann. For. Sci.* 61, 499-505.

1008 Epron, D., Nouvellon, Y., Deleporte, P., Ifo, S., Kazotti, G., Thongo M'Bou, A., Mouvondy, W., Andre, L.S.,
1009 Roupsard, O., Jourdan, C., Hamel, O., 2006. Soil carbon balance in a clonal *Eucalyptus* plantation
1010 in Congo: effects of logging on carbon inputs and soil CO₂ efflux. *Global Change Biology* 12,
1011 1021-1031.

1012 Epron, D., Nouvellon, Y., Mareschal, L., Moreira, R.M.E., Koutika, L.S., Geneste, B., Delgado-Rojas, J.S.,
 1013 Laclau, J.P., Sola, G., Goncalves, J.L.D., Bouillet, J.P., 2013. Partitioning of net primary production
 1014 in Eucalyptus and Acacia stands and in mixed-species plantations: Two case-studies in
 1015 contrasting tropical environments. *Forest Ecol Manag* 301, 102-111.

1016 Fahey, T.J., Tierney, G.L., Fitzhugh, R.D., Wilson, G.F., Siccama, T.G., 2005. Soil respiration and soil carbon
 1017 balance in a northern hardwood forest ecosystem. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 35, 244-
 1018 253.

1019 FAO, 2009. State of the world's forests 2009. In. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United
 1020 Nations, Rome, p. 152.

1021 FAO, 2010. Global Forest Resources Assessment 2010: Progress towards sustainable forest
 1022 management. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Rome. 320 pp. In,
 1023 Rome, p. 378.

1024 Feldpausch, T.R., Banin, L., Phillips, O.L., Baker, T.R., Lewis, S.L., Quesada, C.A., Affum-Baffoe, K., Arets,
 1025 E.J.M.M., Berry, N.J., Bird, M., Brondizio, E.S., de Camargo, P., Chave, J., Djangbletey, G.,
 1026 Domingues, T.F., Drescher, M., Fearnside, P.M., França, M.B., Fyllas, N.M., Lopez-Gonzalez, G.,
 1027 Hladik, A., Higuchi, N., Hunter, M.O., Iida, Y., Salim, K.A., Kassim, A.R., Keller, M., Kemp, J., King,
 1028 D.A., Lovett, J.C., Marimon, B.S., Marimon-Junior, B.H., Lenza, E., Marshall, A.R., Metcalfe, D.J.,
 1029 Mitchard, E.T.A., Moran, E.F., Nelson, B.W., Nilus, R., Nogueira, E.M., Palace, M., Patiño, S., Peh,
 1030 K.S.H., Raventos, M.T., Reitsma, J.M., Saiz, G., Schrod, F., Sonké, B., Taedoumg, H.E., Tan, S.,
 1031 White, L., Wöll, H., Lloyd, J., 2011. Height-diameter allometry of tropical forest trees.
 1032 *Biogeosciences* 8, 1081-1106.

1033 Fernandez-Martinez, M., Vicca, S., Janssens, I.A., Sardans, J., Luyssaert, S., Campioli, M., Chapin, F.S.,
 1034 Ciais, P., Malhi, Y., Obersteiner, M., Papale, D., Piao, S.L., Reichstein, M., Roda, F., Penuelas, J.,

1035 2014. Nutrient availability as the key regulator of global forest carbon balance. *Nat Clim Change*
1036 4, 471-476.

1037 Finer, L., Ohashi, M., Noguchi, K., Hirano, Y., 2011. Fine root production and turnover in forest
1038 ecosystems in relation to stand and environmental characteristics. *Forest Ecol Manag* 262, 2008-
1039 2023.

1040 Fog, K., 1988. The effect of added nitrogen on the rate of decomposition of organic-matter. *Biological*
1041 *Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* 63, 433-462.

1042 Foley, J.A., DeFries, R., Asner, G.P., Barford, C., Bonan, G., Carpenter, S.R., Chapin, F.S., Coe, M.T., Daily,
1043 G.C., Gibbs, H.K., Helkowski, J.H., Holloway, T., Howard, E.A., Kucharik, C.J., Monfreda, C., Patz,
1044 J.A., Prentice, I.C., Ramankutty, N., Snyder, P.K., 2005. Global consequences of land use. *Science*
1045 309, 570-574.

1046 Fontaine, S., Bardoux, G., Abbadie, L., Mariotti, A., 2004. Carbon input to soil may decrease soil carbon
1047 content. *Ecol Lett* 7, 314-320.

1048 Fontaine, S., Barot, S., Barre, P., Bdioui, N., Mary, B., Rumpel, C., 2007. Stability of organic carbon in
1049 deep soil layers controlled by fresh carbon supply. *Nature* 450, 277-280.

1050 Forrester, D.I., Pares, A., O'Hara, C., Khanna, P.K., Bauhus, J., 2013. Soil Organic Carbon is Increased in
1051 Mixed-Species Plantations of Eucalyptus and Nitrogen-Fixing Acacia. *Ecosystems* 16, 123-132.

1052 Fox, T.R., Allen, H.L., Albaugh, T.J., Rubilar, R., Carlson, C.A., 2007a. Tree nutrition and forest fertilization
1053 of pine plantations in the southernUnited States. *Southern Journal of Applied Forestry* 31, 5-11.

1054 Fox, T.R., Jokela, E.J., Allen, H.L., 2007b. The development of pine plantation silviculture in the southern
1055 United States. *Journal of Forestry* 105, 337-347.

1056 Franklin, O., Johansson, J., Dewar, R.C., Dieckmann, U., McMurtrie, R.E., Brännström, Å., Dybzinski, R.,
1057 2012. Modeling carbon allocation in trees: a search for principles. *Tree Physiology* 32, 648-666.

1058 Frey, S.D., Six, J., Elliott, E.T., 2003. Reciprocal transfer of carbon and nitrogen by decomposer fungi at
1059 the soil–litter interface. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 35, 1001-1004.

1060 Friedlingstein, P., Joel, G., Field, C.B., Fung, I.Y., 1999. Toward an allocation scheme for global terrestrial
1061 carbon models. *Global Change Biology* 5, 755-770.

1062 Galloway, J.N., 1998. The global nitrogen-cycle: Changes and consequences. *Environ Pollut*, 15-24.

1063 Genet, H., Breda, N., Dufrene, E., 2010. Age-related variation in carbon allocation at tree and stand
1064 scales in beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) and sessile oak (*Quercus petraea* (Matt.) Liebl.) using a
1065 chronosequence approach. *Tree Physiology* 30, 177-192.

1066 Giardina, C.P., Litton, C.M., Crow, S.E., Asner, G.P., 2014. Warming-related increases in soil CO₂ efflux
1067 are explained by increased below-ground carbon flux. *Nature Clim. Change* advance online
1068 publication, 1758-6798.

1069 Giardina, C.P., Na, G., Ryan, M.G., Binkley, D., Fownes, J.H., 2003. Primary production and carbon
1070 allocation in relation to nutrient supply in a tropical experimental forest. *Global Change Biology*
1071 9, 1438–1450.

1072 Giardina, C.P., Ryan, M.G., 2002. Total belowground carbon allocation in a fast-growing *Eucalyptus*
1073 plantation estimated using a carbon balance approach. *Ecosystems* 5, 487-499.

1074 Gifford, R.M., 1994. The Global Carbon-Cycle - a Viewpoint on the Missing Sink. *Australian Journal of*
1075 *Plant Physiology* 21, 1-15.

1076 Gifford, R.M., 1995. Whole plant respiration and photosynthesis of wheat under increased CO₂
1077 concentration and temperature: Long-term vs short-term distinctions for modelling. *Global*
1078 *Change Biology* 1, 385-396.

1079 Godbold, D.L., Hoosbeek, M.R., Lukac, M., Cotrufo, M.F., Janssens, I.A., Ceulemans, R., Polle, A.,
1080 Velthorst, E.J., Scarascia-Mugnozza, G., De Angelis, P., Miglietta, F., Peressotti, A., 2006.

1081 Mycorrhizal hyphal turnover as a dominant process for carbon input into soil organic matter.
 1082 Plant Soil 281, 15-24.

1083 Goncalves, J.L.D., Alvares, C.A., Higa, A.R., Silva, L.D., Alfenas, A.C., Stahl, J., Ferraz, S.F.D., Lima, W.D.P.,
 1084 Brancalion, P.H.S., Hubner, A., Bouillet, J.P.D., Laclau, J.P., Nouvellon, Y., Epron, D., 2013.
 1085 Integrating genetic and silvicultural strategies to minimize abiotic and biotic constraints in
 1086 Brazilian eucalypt plantations. Forest Ecol. Manag. 301, 6-27.

1087 Goulden, M.L., McMillan, A.M.S., Winston, G.C., Rocha, A.V., Manies, K.L., Harden, J.W., Bond-Lamberty,
 1088 B.P., 2011. Patterns of NPP, GPP, respiration, and NEP during boreal forest succession. Global
 1089 Change Biology 17, 855-871.

1090 Granier, A., Breda, N., Longdoz, B., Gross, P., Ngao, J., 2008. Ten years of fluxes and stand growth in a
 1091 young beech forest at Hesse, North-eastern France. Annals of Forest Science 65, DOI:
 1092 10.1051/forest:2008052.

1093 Guo, L.B., Gifford, R.M., 2002. Soil carbon stocks and land use change: a meta analysis. Global Change
 1094 Biology 8, 345-360.

1095 Hamer, U., Marschner, B., 2005. Priming effects in different soil types induced by fructose, alanine,
 1096 oxalic acid and catechol additions. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 37, 445-454.

1097 Hansen, M.C., Potapov, P.V., Moore, R., Hancher, M., Turubanova, S.A., Tyukavina, A., Thau, D.,
 1098 Stehman, S.V., Goetz, S.J., Loveland, T.R., Kommareddy, A., Egorov, A., Chini, L., Justice, C.O.,
 1099 Townshend, J.R.G., 2013. High-Resolution Global Maps of 21st-Century Forest Cover Change.
 1100 Science 342, 850-853.

1101 Harmon, M.E., Bond-Lamberty, B., Tang, J., Vargas, R., 2011. Heterotrophic respiration in disturbed
 1102 forests: A review with examples from North America. J. Geophys. Res. 116, G00K04.

1103 Harmon, M.E., Franklin, J.F., Swanson, F.J., Sollins, P., Gregory, S.V., Lattin, J.D., Anderson, N.H., Cline,
 1104 S.P., Aumen, N.G., Sedell, J.R., Lienkaemper, G.W., Cromack, K., Cummins, K.W., 1986. Ecology of
 1105 coarse woody debris in temperate ecosystems. *Advances in Ecological Research* 15, 133-302.
 1106 Hasselquist, N.J., Metcalfe, D.B., Högborg, P., 2012. Contrasting effects of low and high nitrogen
 1107 additions on soil CO₂ flux components and ectomycorrhizal fungal sporocarp production in a
 1108 boreal forest. *Global Change Biology* 18, 3596-3605.
 1109 Högborg, M.N., Bååth, E., Nordgren, A., Arnebrant, K., Högborg, P., 2003. Contrasting effects of nitrogen
 1110 availability on plant carbon supply to mycorrhizal fungi and saprotrophs – a hypothesis based on
 1111 field observations in boreal forest. *New Phytologist* 160, 225-238.
 1112 Högborg, M.N., Högborg, P., 2002. Extramatrical ectomycorrhizal mycelium contributes one-third of
 1113 microbial biomass and produces, together with associated roots, half the dissolved organic
 1114 carbon in a forest soil. *New Phytologist* 154, 791-795.
 1115 Huang, Z., He, Z., Wan, X., Hu, Z., Fan, S., Yang, Y., 2013. Harvest residue management effects on tree
 1116 growth and ecosystem carbon in a Chinese fir plantation in subtropical China. *Plant Soil* 364,
 1117 303-314.
 1118 Hyvonen, R., Persson, T., Andersson, S., Olsson, B., Agren, G.I., Linder, S., 2008. Impact of long-term
 1119 nitrogen addition on carbon stocks in trees and soils in northern Europe. *Biogeochemistry* 89,
 1120 121-137.
 1121 Ise, T., Litton, C.M., Giardina, C.P., Ito, A., 2010. Comparison of modeling approaches for carbon
 1122 partitioning: Impact on estimates of global net primary production and equilibrium biomass of
 1123 woody vegetation from MODIS GPP. *J Geophys Res-Bioge* 115.
 1124 Janssens, I.A., Dieleman, W., Luyssaert, S., Subke, J.A., Reichstein, M., Ceulemans, R., Ciais, P., Dolman,
 1125 A.J., Grace, J., Matteucci, G., Papale, D., Piao, S.L., Schulze, E.D., Tang, J., Law, B.E., 2010.

1126 Reduction of forest soil respiration in response to nitrogen deposition. *Nature Geoscience* 3,
1127 315-322.

1128 Janssens, I.A., Luyssaert, S., 2009. Nitrogen's carbon bonus. *Nature Geoscience* 2, 318-319.

1129 Jastrow, J.D., Miller, M.E., Matamala, R., Norby, R.J., Boutton, T.W., Rice, C.W., Owensby, C.E., 2005.
1130 Elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide increases soil carbon. *Global Change Biology* 11, 2057-
1131 2064.

1132 Kellman, L., Kumar, S., Diochon, A., 2014. Soil nitrogen dynamics within profiles of a managed moist
1133 temperate forest chronosequence consistent with long-term harvesting-induced losses. *Journal*
1134 *of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences* 119, 2013JG002469.

1135 King, J.S., Albaugh, T.J., Allen, H.L., Kress, L.W., 1999a. Stand-level allometry in *Pinus taeda* as affected by
1136 irrigation and fertilization. *Tree Physiology* 19, 769-778.

1137 King, J.S., Giardina, C.P., Pregitzer, K.S., Friend, A.L., 2007. Biomass partitioning in red pine (*Pinus*
1138 *resinosa*) along a chronosequence in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan. *Canadian Journal of*
1139 *Forest Research* 37, 93-102.

1140 King, J.S., Kubiske, M.E., Pregitzer, K.S., Hendrey, G.R., McDonald, E.P., Giardina, C.P., Quinn, V.S.,
1141 Karnosky, D.F., 2005. Tropospheric O₃ compromises net primary production in young stands of
1142 trembling aspen, paper birch and sugar maple in response to elevated atmospheric CO₂. *New*
1143 *Phytologist* 168, 623-636.

1144 King, J.S., Pregitzer, K.S., Zak, D.R., 1999b. Clonal variation in above- and below-ground growth
1145 responses of *Populus tremuloides* Michaux: Influence of soil warming and nutrient availability.
1146 *Plant Soil* 217, 119-130.

1147 Koutika, L.S., Epron, D., Bouillet, J.P., Mareschal, L., 2014. Changes in N and C concentrations, soil acidity
1148 and P availability in tropical mixed acacia and eucalypt plantations on a nutrient-poor sandy soil.
1149 *Plant Soil* 379, 205-216.

1150 Kruijt, B., Elbers, J.A., von Randow, C., Araujo, A.C., Oliveira, P.J., Culf, A., Manzi, A.O., Nobre, A.D.,
1151 Kabat, P., Moors, E.J., 2004. The robustness of eddy correlation fluxes for Amazon rain forest
1152 conditions. *Ecological Applications* 14, S101-S113.

1153 Kubiske, M.E., Quinn, V.S., Heilman, W.E., McDonald, E.P., Marquardt, P.E., Teclaw, R.M., Friend, A.L.,
1154 Karnosky, D.F., 2006. Interannual climatic variation mediates elevated CO₂ and O₃ effects on
1155 forest growth. *Global Change Biology* 12, 1054-1068.

1156 Kumaraswamy, S., Mendham, D.S., Grove, T.S., O'Connell, A.M., Sankaran, K.V., Rance, S.J., 2014.
1157 Harvest residue effects on soil organic matter, nutrients and microbial biomass in eucalypt
1158 plantations in Kerala, India. *Forest Ecol Manag* 328, 140-149.

1159 Kuzyakov, Y., 2010. Priming effects: Interactions between living and dead organic matter. *Soil Biology*
1160 *and Biochemistry* 42, 1363-1371.

1161 Kuzyakov, Y., Cheng, W., 2001. Photosynthesis controls of rhizosphere respiration and organic matter
1162 decomposition. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 33, 1915-1925.

1163 Kuzyakov, Y., Friedel, J.K., Stahr, K., 2000. Review of mechanisms and quantification of priming effects.
1164 *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 32, 1485-1498.

1165 Laclau, J.P., Almeida, J.C.R., Goncalves, J.L.M., Saint-Andre, L., Ventura, M., Ranger, J., Moreira, R.M.,
1166 Nouvellon, Y., 2009. Influence of nitrogen and potassium fertilization on leaf lifespan and
1167 allocation of above-ground growth in *Eucalyptus* plantations. *Tree Physiology* 29, 111-124.

1168 Laclau, J.P., Levillain, J., Deleporte, P., Nzila, J.D., Bouillet, J.P., Saint Andre, L., Versini, A., Mareschal, L.,
1169 Nouvellon, Y., M'Bou, A.T., Ranger, J., 2010. Organic residue mass at planting is an excellent
1170 predictor of tree growth in *Eucalyptus* plantations established on a sandy tropical soil. *Forest*
1171 *Ecol Manag* 260, 2148-2159.

1172 Lasslop, G., Reichstein, M., Detto, M., Richardson, A.D., Baldocchi, D.D., 2010. Comment on Vickers et
 1173 al.: Self-correlation between assimilation and respiration resulting from flux partitioning of
 1174 eddy-covariance CO₂ fluxes. *Agr Forest Meteorol* 150, 312-314.

1175 Law, B.E., Sun, O.J., Campbell, J., Van Tuyl, S., Thornton, P.E., 2003. Changes in carbon storage and fluxes
 1176 in a chronosequence of ponderosa pine. *Global Change Biology* 9, 510-524.

1177 Law, B.E., Thornton, P.E., Irvine, J., Anthoni, P.M., Van Tuyl, S., 2001a. Carbon storage and fluxes in
 1178 ponderosa pine forests at different developmental stages. *Global Change Biology* 7, 755-777.

1179 Law, B.E., Van Tuyl, S., Cescatti, A., Baldocchi, D.D., 2001b. Estimation of leaf area index in open-canopy
 1180 ponderosa pine forests at different successional stages and management regimes in Oregon. *Agr*
 1181 *Forest Meteorol* 108, 1-14.

1182 LeBauer, D.S., Treseder, K.K., 2008. Nitrogen limitation of net primary productivity in terrestrial
 1183 ecosystems is globally distributed. *Ecology* 89, 371-379.

1184 Li, D., Niu, S., Luo, Y., 2012. Global patterns of the dynamics of soil carbon and nitrogen stocks following
 1185 afforestation: a meta-analysis. *New Phytologist* 195, 172-181.

1186 Li, Y., Xu, M., Zou, X., 2006. Effects of nutrient additions on ecosystem carbon cycle in a Puerto Rican
 1187 tropical wet forest. *Global Change Biology* 12, 284-293.

1188 Liberloo, M., Calfapietra, C., Lukac, M., Godbold, D., Luo, Z.-B., Polle, A., Hoosbeek, M.R., Kull, O., Marek,
 1189 M., Raines, C., Rubino, M., Taylor, G., Scarascia-Mugnozza, G., Ceulemans, R., 2006. Woody
 1190 biomass production during the second rotation of a bio-energy *Populus* plantation increases in a
 1191 future high CO₂ world. *Global Change Biology* 12, 1094-1106.

1192 Lindroth, A., Lagergren, F., Grelle, A., Klemetsson, L., Langvall, O., Weslien, P., Tuulik, J., 2009. Storms
 1193 can cause Europe-wide reduction in forest carbon sink. *Global Change Biology* 15, 346-355.

1194 Litton, C.M., Giardina, C.P., 2008. Below-ground carbon flux and partitioning: global patterns and
 1195 response to temperature. *Funct Ecol* 22, 941-954.

1196 Litton, C.M., Raich, J.W., Ryan, M.G., 2007. Carbon allocation in forest ecosystems. *Global Change*
1197 *Biology* 13, 2089-2109.

1198 Litvak, M., Miller, S., Wofsy, S.C., Goulden, M., 2003. Effect of stand age on whole ecosystem CO₂
1199 exchange in the Canadian boreal forest. *Journal of Geophysical Research. D. Atmospheres* 108,
1200 art. no. 8225.

1201 Lukac, M., Lagomarsino, A., Moscatelli, M.C., De Angelis, P., Cotrufo, M.F., Godbold, D.L., 2009. Forest
1202 soil carbon cycle under elevated CO₂ - a case of increased throughput? *Forestry* 82, 75-86.

1203 Luyssaert, S., Inglima, I., Jung, M., 2009. Global Forest Ecosystem Structure and Function Data for
1204 Carbon Balance Research. Data set. Available on-line [<http://daac.ornl.gov/>] from Oak Ridge
1205 National Laboratory Distributed Active Archive Center, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, U.S.A. . In.

1206 Luyssaert, S., Inglima, I., Jung, M., Richardson, A.D., Reichstein, M., Papale, D., Piao, S.L., Schulze, E.D.,
1207 Wingate, L., Matteucci, G., Aragao, L., Aubinet, M., Beer, C., Bernhofer, C., Black, K.G., Bonal, D.,
1208 Bonnefond, J.M., Chambers, J., Ciais, P., Cook, B., Davis, K.J., Dolman, A.J., Gielen, B., Goulden,
1209 M., Grace, J., Granier, A., Grelle, A., Griffis, T., Grunwald, T., Guidolotti, G., Hanson, P.J., Harding,
1210 R., Hollinger, D.Y., Huttyra, L.R., Kolari, P., Kruijt, B., Kutsch, W., Lagergren, F., Laurila, T., Law,
1211 B.E., Le Maire, G., Lindroth, A., Loustau, D., Malhi, Y., Mateus, J., Migliavacca, M., Misson, L.,
1212 Montagnani, L., Moncrieff, J., Moors, E., Munger, J.W., Nikinmaa, E., Ollinger, S.V., Pita, G.,
1213 Rebmann, C., Rouspard, O., Saigusa, N., Sanz, M.J., Seufert, G., Sierra, C., Smith, M.-L., Tang, J.,
1214 Valentini, R., Vesala, T., Janssens, I.A., 2007. CO₂ balance of boreal, temperate, and tropical
1215 forests derived from a global database. *Global Change Biology* 13, 2509-2537.

1216 Magnani, F., Mencuccini, M., Borghetti, M., Berbigier, P., Berninger, F., Delzon, S., Grelle, A., Hari, P.,
1217 Jarvis, P.G., Kolari, P., Kowalski, A.S., Lankreijer, H., Law, B.E., Lindroth, A., Loustau, D., Manca,
1218 G., Moncrieff, J.B., Rayment, M., Tedeschi, V., Valentini, R., Grace, J., 2007. The human footprint
1219 in the carbon cycle of temperate and boreal forests. *Nature* 447, 848-850.

1220 Magnani, F., Mencuccini, M., Grace, J., 2000. Age-related decline in stand productivity: the role of
1221 structural acclimation under hydraulic constraints. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 23, 251-263.

1222 Maia, S.M.F., Ogle, S.M., Cerri, C.E.P., Cerri, C.C., 2010. Soil organic carbon stock change due to land use
1223 activity along the agricultural frontier of the southwestern Amazon, Brazil, between 1970 and
1224 2002. *Global Change Biology* 16, 2775-2788.

1225 Maier, C.A., Albaugh, T.J., Allen, H.L., Dougherty, P.M., 2004. Respiratory carbon use and carbon storage
1226 in mid-rotation loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) plantations: the effect of site resources on the
1227 stand carbon balance. *Global Change Biology* 10, 1335-1350.

1228 Maier, C.A., Kress, L.W., 2000. Soil CO₂ evolution and root respiration in 11 year-old loblolly pine (*Pinus*
1229 *taeda*) plantations as affected by moisture and nutrient availability. *Canadian Journal of Forest*
1230 *Research* 30, 347-359.

1231 Malhi, Y., Doughty, C., Galbraith, D., 2011. The allocation of ecosystem net primary productivity in
1232 tropical forests. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* 366, 3225-
1233 3245.

1234 Mallik, A.U., Hu, D., 1997. Soil respiration following site preparation treatments in boreal mixedwood
1235 forest. *Forest Ecol Manag* 97, 265-275.

1236 Manzoni, S., Porporato, A., 2009. Soil carbon and nitrogen mineralization: Theory and models across
1237 scales. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 41, 1355-1379.

1238 McNulty, S.G., Boggs, J., Aber, J.D., Rustad, L., Magill, A., 2005. Red spruce ecosystem level changes
1239 following 14 years of chronic N fertilization. *Forest Ecol Manag* 219, 279-291.

1240 Mendez-Millan, M., Dignac, M.F., Rumpel, C., Rasse, D.P., Derenne, S., 2010. Molecular dynamics of
1241 shoot vs. root biomarkers in an agricultural soil estimated by natural abundance C-13 labelling.
1242 *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 42, 169-177.

1243 Mendham, D.S., O'Connell, A.M., Grove, T.S., Rance, S.J., 2003. Residue management effects on soil
1244 carbon and nutrient contents and growth of second rotation eucalypts. *Forest Ecol Manag* 181,
1245 357-372.

1246 Metcalfe, D.B., Fisher, R.A., Wardle, D.A., 2011. Plant communities as drivers of soil respiration:
1247 pathways, mechanisms, and significance for global change. *Biogeosciences* 8, 2047-2061.

1248 Migliavacca, M., Reichstein, M., Richardson, A.D., Colombo, R., Sutton, M.A., Lasslop, G., Tomelleri, E.,
1249 Wohlfahrt, G., Carvalhais, N., Cescatti, A., Mahecha, M.D., Montagnani, L., Papale, D., Zaehle, S.,
1250 Arain, A., Arneth, A., Black, T.A., Carrara, A., Dore, S., Gianelle, D., Helfter, C., Hollinger, D.,
1251 Kutsch, W.L., Lafleur, P.M., Nouvellon, Y., Rebmann, C., Da Rocha, H.R., Rodeghiero, M.,
1252 Roupsard, O., Sebasti, M.-T., Seufert, G., Soussana, J.-F., Van Der Molen, M.K., 2011.
1253 Semiempirical modeling of abiotic and biotic factors controlling ecosystem respiration across
1254 eddy covariance sites. *Global Change Biology* 17, 390-409.

1255 Nabuurs, G.J., Lindner, M., Verkerk, P.J., Gunia, K., Deda, P., Michalak, R., Grassi, G., 2013. First signs of
1256 carbon sink saturation in European forest biomass. *Nat Clim Change* 3, 792-796.

1257 Nave, L.E., Swanston, C.W., Mishra, U., Nadelhoffer, K.J., 2013. Afforestation Effects on Soil Carbon
1258 Storage in the United States: A Synthesis. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 77, 1035-1047.

1259 Nave, L.E., Vance, E.D., Swanston, C.W., Curtis, P.S., 2009. Impacts of elevated N inputs on north
1260 temperate forest soil C storage, C/N, and net N-mineralization. *Geoderma* 153, 231-240.

1261 Nave, L.E., Vance, E.D., Swanston, C.W., Curtis, P.S., 2010. Harvest impacts on soil carbon storage in
1262 temperate forests. *Forest Ecol Manag* 259, 857-866.

1263 Niu, S., Luo, Y., Fei, S., Yuan, W., Schimel, D., Ammann, C., Arain, M.A., Arneth, A., Aubinet, M., Barr, A.,
1264 Beringer, J., Bernhofer, C., Black, A.T., Buchmann, N., Cescatti, A., Chen, J., Davis, K.J., Dellwik, E.,
1265 Desai, A.R., Dolman, H., Etzold, S., Francois, L., Gianelle, D., Gielen, B., Goldstein, A.,
1266 Groenendijk, M., Gu, L., Hanan, N., Helfter, C., Hirano, T., Hollinger, D.Y., Jones, M.B., Kiely, G.,

1267 Kolb, T.E., Kutsch, W.L., Lafleur, P., Law, B.E., Lawrence, D.M., Li, L., Lindroth, A., Litvak, M.,
 1268 Loustau, D., Lund, M., Ma, S., Marek, M., Martin, T.A., Matteucci, G., Migliavacca, M.,
 1269 Montagnani, L., Moors, E., Munger, J.W., Noormets, A., Oechel, W., Olejnik, J., Paw U, K.T.,
 1270 Pilegaard, K., Rambal, S., Raschi, A., Saleska, S., Scott, R.L., Seufert, G., Spano, D., Stoy, P.,
 1271 Sutton, M.A., Varlagin, A., Vesala, T., Weng, E., Wohlfahrt, G., Yang, B., Zhang, Z., Zhou, X., 2012.
 1272 Thermal optimality of net ecosystem exchange of carbon dioxide and underlying mechanisms.
 1273 New Phytologist 194, 775-783.

1274 Noormets, A., Chen, J., Crow, T.R., 2007. Age-dependent changes in ecosystem carbon fluxes in
 1275 managed forests in northern Wisconsin, USA. Ecosystems 10, 187-203.

1276 Noormets, A., Ewers, B.E., Sun, G., Mackay, D.S., Zheng, D., McNulty, S.G., Chen, J., 2006. Water and
 1277 carbon cycles in heterogeneous landscapes: an ecosystem perspective. In: Chen, J., Saunders,
 1278 S.C., Brosofske, K.D., Crow, T.R. (Eds.), Ecology of Hierarchical Landscapes: From Theory to
 1279 Application. Nova Publishers, New York, pp. 89-123.

1280 Noormets, A., Kull, O., Sôber, A., Kubiske, M.E., Karnosky, D.F., 2010. Elevated CO₂ response of
 1281 photosynthesis depends on ozone concentration in aspen. Environ Pollut 158, 992-999.

1282 Noormets, A., McNulty, S.G., Domec, J.C., Gavazzi, M.J., Sun, G., King, J.S., 2012. The role of harvest
 1283 residue in rotation cycle carbon balance in loblolly pine plantations. Respiration partitioning
 1284 approach. Global Change Biology 18, 3186–3201.

1285 Norby, R.J., DeLucia, E.H., Gielen, B., al., e., 2005. Forest response to elevated CO₂ is conserved across a
 1286 broad range of productivity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 102, 18052-
 1287 18056.

1288 Norby, R.J., Ledford, J., Reilly, C.D., Miller, N.E., O'Neill, E.G., 2004. Fine-root production dominates
 1289 response of a deciduous forest to atmospheric CO₂ enrichment. Proceedings of the National
 1290 Academy of Sciences 101, 9689–9693.

1291 Norby, R.J., Wullschleger, S.D., Gunderson, C.A., Johnson, D.W., Ceulemans, R., 1999. Tree responses to
 1292 rising CO₂ in the field experiments: implications for the future forest. *Plant, Cell and*
 1293 *Environment* 22, 683-714.

1294 Nouvellon, Y., Epron, D., Marsden, C., Kinana, A., Le Maire, G., Deleporte, P., Saint-Andre, L., Bouillet,
 1295 J.P., Laclau, J.P., 2012. Age-related changes in litter inputs explain annual trends in soil CO₂
 1296 effluxes over a full *Eucalyptus* rotation after afforestation of a tropical savannah.
 1297 *Biogeochemistry* 111, 515-533.

1298 Olsson, B.A., Staaf, H., Lundkvist, H., Bengtsson, J., Rosen, K., 1996. Carbon and nitrogen in coniferous
 1299 forest soils after clear-felling and harvests of different intensity. *Forest Ecol Manag* 82, 19-32.

1300 Oren, R., Ellsworth, D.S., Johnsen, K.H., Phillips, N., Ewers, B.E., Maier, C., Schafer, K.V.R., McCarthy, H.,
 1301 Hendrey, G., McNulty, S.G., Katul, G., 2001. Soil fertility limits carbon sequestration by forest
 1302 ecosystems in a CO₂-enriched atmosphere. *Nature* 411, 469-472.

1303 Palmroth, S., Oren, R., McCarthy, H.R., Johnsen, K.H., Finzi, A.C., Butnor, J.R., Ryan, M.G., Schlesinger,
 1304 W.H., 2006. Aboveground sink strength in forests controls the allocation of carbon below
 1305 ground and its [CO₂] - induced enhancement. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* 103, 19362-19367.

1306 Palo, M., Uusivuori, J., Mery, G., 2001. *World forests, markets, and policies* Kluwer Academic Publishers,
 1307 Dordrecht, Boston.

1308 Palviainen, M., Finer, L., Laiho, R., Shorohova, E., Kapitsa, E., Vanha-Majamaa, I., 2010. Carbon and
 1309 nitrogen release from decomposing Scots pine, Norway spruce and silver birch stumps. *Forest*
 1310 *Ecol Manag* 259, 390-398.

1311 Paul, K.I., Polglase, P.J., Nyakuengama, J.G., Khanna, P.K., 2002. Change in soil carbon following
 1312 afforestation. *Forest Ecol Manag* 168, 241-257.

1313 Peichl, M., Arain, M.A., 2007. Allometry and partitioning of above- and belowground tree biomass in an
 1314 age-sequence of white pine forests. *Forest Ecol Manag* 253, 68-80.

1315 Perala, D.A., Alban, D.H., 1994. Allometric biomass estimators for aspen-dominated ecosystems in the
 1316 Upper Great Lakes. Research Paper NC-314. In. U.S. Forest Service, North Central Forest
 1317 Experiment Station, St. Paul, Minnesota, p. 38.

1318 Piao, S., Ciais, P., Friedlingstein, P., de Noblet-Ducoudré, N., Cadule, P., Viovy, N., Wang, T., 2009.
 1319 Spatiotemporal patterns of terrestrial carbon cycle during the 20th century. *Global Biogeochem.*
 1320 *Cycles* 23, GB4026.

1321 Pregitzer, K.S., Burton, A.J., Zak, D.R., Talhelm, A.F., 2008. Simulated chronic nitrogen deposition
 1322 increases carbon storage in Northern Temperate forests. *Global Change Biology* 14, 142-153.

1323 Pregitzer, K.S., Euskirchen, E.S., 2004. Carbon cycling and storage in world forests: biome patterns
 1324 related to forest age. *Global Change Biology* 10, 2052-2077.

1325 Prescott, C.E., 2005. Do rates of litter decomposition tell us anything we really need to know? *Forest*
 1326 *Ecol Manag* 220, 66-74.

1327 Raich, J.W., Nadelhoffer, K.J., 1989. Belowground Carbon Allocation in Forest Ecosystems - Global
 1328 Trends. *Ecology* 70, 1346-1354.

1329 Rasse, D.P., Rumpel, C., Dignac, M.F., 2005. Is soil carbon mostly root carbon? Mechanisms for a specific
 1330 stabilisation. *Plant Soil* 269, 341-356.

1331 Robinson, D., 2004. Scaling the depths: below-ground allocation in plants, forests and biomes. *Funct Ecol*
 1332 18, 290-295.

1333 Rock, J., Badeck, F.W., Harmon, M.E., 2008. Estimating decomposition rate constants for European tree
 1334 species from literature sources. *European Journal of Forest Research* 127, 301-313.

1335 Ryan, M.G., Harmon, M.E., Birdsey, R.A., Giardina, C.P., Heath, L.S., Houghton, R.A., Jackson, R.B.,
 1336 McKinley, D.C., Morrison, J.F., Murray, B.C., Pataki, D.E., Skog, K.E., 2010. A Synthesis of the
 1337 Science on Forests and Carbon for U.S. Forests. In, *Issues in Ecology*.

1338 Sampson, D.A., Janssens, I.A., Curiel Yuste, J., Ceulemans, R., 2007. Basal rates of soil respiration are
 1339 correlated with photosynthesis in a mixed temperate forest. *Global Change Biology* 13, 2008-
 1340 2017.

1341 Schäfer, K.V.R., Oren, R., Ellsworth, D.S., Lai, C.T., Herrick, J.D., Finzi, A.C., Richter, D.D., Katul, G.G., 2003.
 1342 Exposure to an enriched CO₂ atmosphere alters carbon assimilation and allocation in a pine
 1343 forest ecosystem. *Global Change Biology* 9, 1378-1400.

1344 Schlesinger, W.H., 1997. *Biogeochemistry: An analysis of global change*. Academic Press, San Diego.

1345 Schmidt, M.W.I., Torn, M.S., Abiven, S., Dittmar, T., Guggenberger, G., Janssens, I.A., Kleber, M., Kogel-
 1346 Knabner, I., Lehmann, J., Manning, D.A.C., Nannipieri, P., Rasse, D.P., Weiner, S., Trumbore, S.E.,
 1347 2011. Persistence of soil organic matter as an ecosystem property. *Nature* 478, 49-56.

1348 Sierra, C.A., Harmon, M.E., Perakis, S.S., 2011. Decomposition of heterogeneous organic matter and its
 1349 long-term stabilization in soils. *Ecological Monographs* 81, 619-634.

1350 Six, J., Callewaert, P., Lenders, S., De Gryze, S., Morris, S.J., Gregorich, E.G., Paul, E.A., Paustian, K.,
 1351 2002a. Measuring and understanding carbon storage in afforested soils by physical
 1352 fractionation. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 66, 1981-1987.

1353 Six, J., Conant, R.T., Paul, E.A., Paustian, K., 2002b. Stabilization mechanisms of soil organic matter:
 1354 Implications for C-saturation of soils. *Plant Soil* 241, 155-176.

1355 Sollins, P., Homann, P., Caldwell, B.A., 1996. Stabilization and destabilization of soil organic matter:
 1356 Mechanisms and controls. *Geoderma* 74, 65-105.

1357 Stinson, G., Kurz, W.A., Smyth, C.E., Neilson, E.T., Dymond, C.C., Metsaranta, J.M., Boisvenue, C.,
 1358 Rampley, G.J., Li, Q., White, T.M., Blain, D., 2011. An inventory-based analysis of Canada's
 1359 managed forest carbon dynamics, 1990 to 2008. *Global Change Biology* 17, 2227-2244.

1360 Strukelj, M., Brais, S., Quideau, S.A., Angers, V.A., Kebli, H., Drapeau, P., Oh, S.-W., 2013. Chemical
 1361 transformations in downed logs and snags of mixed boreal species during decomposition.
 1362 Canadian Journal of Forest Research 43, 785-798.

1363 Strukelj, M., Brais, S., Quideau, S.A., Oh, S.-W., 2012. Chemical transformations of deadwood and foliar
 1364 litter of mixed boreal species during decomposition. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 42,
 1365 772-788.

1366 Subke, J.A., Inglima, I., Cotrufo, M.F., 2006. Trends and methodological impacts in soil CO₂ efflux
 1367 partitioning: A metaanalytical review. Global Change Biology 12, 921-943.

1368 Sulman, B.N., Phillips, R.P., Oishi, A.C., Shevliakova, E., Pacala, S.W., 2014. Microbe-driven turnover
 1369 offsets mineral-mediated storage of soil carbon under elevated CO₂. Nat Clim Change 4, 1099-
 1370 1102.

1371 Sun, Z., Liu, L., Ma, Y., Yin, G., Zhao, C., Zhang, Y., Piao, S., 2014. The effect of nitrogen addition on soil
 1372 respiration from a nitrogen-limited forest soil. Agr Forest Meteorol 197, 103-110.

1373 Tang, J., Baldocchi, D.D., Xu, L., 2005. Tree photosynthesis modulates soil respiration on a diurnal time
 1374 scale. Global Change Biology 11, 1-7.

1375 Templeton, B.S., Seiler, J.R., Peterson, J.A., Tyree, M.C., 2015. Environmental and stand variables
 1376 influencing soil CO₂ efflux across the managed range of loblolly pine. Forest Ecol Manag.

1377 Ter-Mikaelian, M.T., Korzukhin, M.D., 1997. Biomass equations for sixty-five North American tree
 1378 species. Forest Ecol Manag 97, 1-24.

1379 Tjoelker, M.G., Oleksyn, J., Reich, P.B., 1999. Acclimation of respiration to temperature and CO₂ in
 1380 seedlings of boreal tree species in relation to plant size and relative growth rate. Global Change
 1381 Biology 5, 679-691.

1382 Trumbore, S., 2006. Carbon respired by terrestrial ecosystems - recent progress and challenges. Global
 1383 Change Biology 12, 141-153.

1384 Trumbore, S.E., Czimczik, C.I., 2008. An uncertain future for soil carbon. *Science* 321, 1455-1456.

1385 Tyree, M.C., Seiler, J.R., Maier, C.A., Johnsen, K.H., 2009. *Pinus taeda* clones and soil nutrient availability:
 1386 effects of soil organic matter incorporation and fertilization on biomass partitioning and leaf
 1387 physiology. *Tree Physiology* 29, 1117-1131.

1388 Valentini, R., Matteucci, G., Dolman, A.J., Schulze, E.D., Rebmann, C., Moors, E.J., Granier, A., Gross, P.,
 1389 Jensen, N.O., Pilegaard, K., Lindroth, A., Grelle, A., Bernhofer, C., Grunwald, T., Aubinet, M.,
 1390 Ceulemans, R., Kowalski, A.S., Vesala, T., Rannik, Ü., Berbigier, P., Loustau, D., Guomundsson, J.,
 1391 Thorgeirsson, H., Ibrom, A., Morgenstern, K., Clement, R., Moncrieff, J., Montagnani, L., Minerbi,
 1392 S., Jarvis, P.G., 2000. Respiration as the main determinant of carbon balance in European
 1393 forests. *Nature* 404, 861-865.

1394 Vargas, R., Carbone, M.S., Reichstein, M., Baldocchi, D.D., 2011. Frontiers and challenges in soil
 1395 respiration research: from measurements to model-data integration. *Biogeochemistry* 102, 1-
 1396 13.

1397 Vargas, R., Detto, M., Baldocchi, D.D., Allen, M.F., 2010. Multiscale analysis of temporal variability of soil
 1398 CO₂ production as influenced by weather and vegetation. *Global Change Biology* 16, 1589-1605.

1399 Versini, A., Nouvellon, Y., Laclau, J.P., Kinana, A., Mareschal, L., Zeller, B., Ranger, J., Epron, D., 2013. The
 1400 manipulation of organic residues affects tree growth and heterotrophic CO₂ efflux in a tropical
 1401 *Eucalyptus* plantation. *Forest Ecol Manag* 301, 79-88.

1402 Vesala, T., Suni, T., Rannik, U., Keronen, P., Markkanen, T., Sevanto, S., Gronholm, T., Smolander, S.,
 1403 Kulmala, M., Ilvesniemi, H., Ojansuu, R., Uotila, A., Levula, J., Makela, A., Pumpanen, J., Kolari, P.,
 1404 Kulmala, L., Altimir, N., Berninger, F., Nikinmaa, E., Hari, P., 2005. Effect of thinning on surface
 1405 fluxes in a boreal forest. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 19.

1406 Vicca, S., Luyssaert, S., Penuelas, J., Campioli, M., Chapin, F.S., 3rd, Ciais, P., Heinemeyer, A., Högberg, P.,
 1407 Kutsch, W.L., Law, B.E., Malhi, Y., Papale, D., Piao, S.L., Reichstein, M., Schulze, E.D., Janssens,
 1408 I.A., 2012. Fertile forests produce biomass more efficiently. *Ecol Lett* 15, 520-526.
 1409 Vickers, D., Thomas, C.K., Martin, J.G., Law, B., 2009. Self-correlation between assimilation and
 1410 respiration resulting from flux partitioning of eddy-covariance CO₂ fluxes. *Agr Forest Meteorol*
 1411 149, 1552-1555.
 1412 Vogel, J.G., Bond-Lamberty, B.P., Schuur, E.A.G., Gower, S.T., Mack, M.C., O'Connell, K.B., Valentine,
 1413 D.W., Ruess, R.W., 2008. Carbon allocation in boreal black spruce forests across regions varying
 1414 in soil temperature and precipitation. *Global Change Biology* 14, 1503-1516.
 1415 von Lutzow, M., Kogel-Knabner, I., Ekschmitt, K., Matzner, E., Guggenberger, G., Marschner, B., Flessa,
 1416 H., 2006. Stabilization of organic matter in temperate soils: mechanisms and their relevance
 1417 under different soil conditions - a review. *European Journal of Soil Science* 57, 426-445.
 1418 Wall, D.H., Bradford, M.A., John, M.G.S., Trofymow, J.A., Behan-Pelletier, V., Bignell, D.E., Dangerfield,
 1419 J.M., Parton, W.J., Rusek, J., Voigt, W., Wolters, V., Gardel, H.Z., Ayuke, F.O., Bashford, R.,
 1420 Beljakova, O.I., Bohlen, P.J., Brauman, A., Flemming, S., Henschel, J.R., Johnson, D.L., Jones, T.H.,
 1421 Kovarova, M., Kranabetter, J.M., Kutny, L.E.S., Lin, K.-C., Maryati, M., Masse, D., Pokarzhevskii,
 1422 A., Rahman, H., Sabara, M.G., Salamon, J.-A., Swift, M.J., Varela, A., Vasconcelos, H.L., White,
 1423 D.O.N., Zou, X., 2008. Global decomposition experiment shows soil animal impacts on
 1424 decomposition are climate-dependent. *Global Change Biology* 14, 2661-2677.
 1425 Wallenstein, M.D., McNulty, S., Fernandez, I.J., Boggs, J., Schlesinger, W.H., 2006. Nitrogen fertilization
 1426 decreases forest soil fungal and bacterial biomass in three long-term experiments. *Forest Ecol*
 1427 *Manag* 222, 459-468.
 1428 Walmsley, J.D., Jones, D.L., Reynolds, B., Price, M.H., Healey, J.R., 2009. Whole tree harvesting can
 1429 reduce second rotation forest productivity. *Forest Ecol Manag* 257, 1104-1111.

1430 Wang, C., Bond-Lamberty, B.P., Gower, S.T., 2002. Environmental controls on carbon dioxide flux from
1431 black spruce coarse woody debris. *Oecologia* 132, 374-381.

1432 Ward, E.J., Oren, R., Bell, D.M., Clark, J.S., McCarthy, H.R., Kim, H.S., Domec, J.C., 2013. The effects of
1433 elevated CO₂ and nitrogen fertilization on stomatal conductance estimated from 11 years of
1434 scaled sap flux measurements at Duke FACE. *Tree Physiology* 33, 135-151.

1435 Williams, M.A., Myrold, D.D., Bottomley, P.J., 2006. Carbon flow from C-13-labeled straw and root
1436 residues into the phospholipid fatty acids of a soil microbial community under field conditions.
1437 *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 38, 759-768.

1438 Wolf, A., Ciais, P., Bellassen, V., Delbart, N., Field, C.B., Berry, J.A., 2011a. Forest biomass allometry in
1439 global land surface models. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 25.

1440 Wolf, A., Field, C.B., Berry, J.A., 2011b. Allometric growth and allocation in forests: a perspective from
1441 FLUXNET. *Ecological Applications* 21, 1546-1556.

1442 Wutzler, T., Reichstein, M., 2008. Colimitation of decomposition by substrate and decomposers - a
1443 comparison of model formulations. *Biogeosciences* 5, 749-759.

1444 Wutzler, T., Reichstein, M., 2013. Priming and substrate quality interactions in soil organic matter
1445 models. *Biogeosciences* 10, 2089-2103.

1446 Wythers, K.R., Reich, P.B., Tjoelker, M.G., Bolstad, P.B., 2005. Foliar respiration acclimation to
1447 temperature and temperature variable Q₁₀ alter ecosystem carbon balance. *Global Change*
1448 *Biology* 11, 435-449.

1449 Xie, Z., Zhu, J., Liu, G., Cadisch, G., Hasegawa, T., Chen, C., Sun, H., Tang, H., Zeng, Q., 2007. Soil organic
1450 carbon stocks in China and changes from 1980s to 2000s. *Global Change Biology* 13, 1989-2007.

1451 Yan, X., Cai, Z., Wang, S., Smith, P., 2011. Direct measurement of soil organic carbon content change in
1452 the croplands of China. *Global Change Biology* 17, 1487-1496.

1453 Yanai, R.D., Currie, W.S., Goodale, C.L., 2003. Soil carbon dynamics after forest harvest: An ecosystem
1454 paradigm reconsidered. *Ecosystems* 6, 197-212.

1455 Zhou, D.C., Liu, S.G., Oeding, J., Zhao, S.Q., 2013. Forest cutting and impacts on carbon in the eastern
1456 United States. *Scientific Reports* 3.

1457 Zhu, B., Cheng, W., 2011. Rhizosphere priming effect increases the temperature sensitivity of soil
1458 organic matter decomposition. *Global Change Biology* 17, 2172-2183.

1459

1460

Figure2eps