The Sensitivity of the Regional Coupled Ocean-Atmosphere Simulations over the Intra-Americas Seas to the prescribed bathymetry

Vasubandhu Misra^{1,2,3}, A. Mishra¹, and Haiqin Li^{4,5}

Key words: Coupled downscaling; Intra-Americas Seas; Warm Pool; Loop Current, SST bias

Abstract

This study examines the sensitivity of the coupled ocean-atmosphere climate of the Intra-Americas Seas (IAS; which includes the Gulf of Mexico and the Caribbean Sea) and parts of the western tropical and sub-tropical Atlantic Ocean to the prescribed bathymetry in three independent multi-decadal, high-resolution (15 km grid interval), regional coupled ocean-atmosphere model (RCM) integrations centered over the IAS. All of these RCM integrations with different prescribed bathymetries are forced by identical global atmospheric and oceanic reanalysis at the lateral boundaries. It is observed that the model integration with a smoother and coarser bathymetry in the region (RCM-C) results in more widespread sea surface temperature (SST) bias across the IAS. We also note that the bias displayed by the RCM-C simulation is analogous to the bias in the IAS ocean circulation of some Coupled Model Intercomparison Project version 5 (CMIP5) models.

The models with an intermediate bathymetry (RCM-I) and finest bathymetry (RCM-F) rectify the bias in ocean transport through the Yucatan Channel relative to RCM-C but display mixed results with respect to SST bias. The RCM-C integration uses a bathymetry with a shallower Yucatan Channel, which tends to produce unrealistically weak flow through the Yucatan Channel and a weak Loop Current. However, the stronger heat transport through the Yucatan Channel in RCM-F results in significant warming of the northwestern tropical Atlantic Ocean and associated weakening of the surface easterly atmospheric winds relative to the other RCM integrations. Due to the weaker surface wind-induced shelf currents, the area comprised of western Gulf of Mexico and southern Caribbean Sea have a severe cold SST bias over the IAS in RCM-F

relative to either RCM-I or RCM-C. RCM-I on the other hand significantly warms the western Gulf of Mexico. RCM-I displays the least SST bias over the IAS and the ocean transport through the Yucatan Channel is most comparable to the ocean reanalysis.

We contend that RCM-I produces the most accurate simulation as a result of the coupled response to changes in bathymetry that optimizes the response of the modulation of the surface easterlies, which triggers sufficient ocean eddy activity in the western Gulf of Mexico and energizes the shelf currents. However, the uniform cold SST bias in the regional domain of all three simulations is also associated with a corresponding underestimation of the net heat flux into the ocean. The findings of this study clearly suggest that ameliorations of the SST bias in the IAS have their origins both in the atmospheric and oceanic components of the climate system.

1. Introduction

The Intra-Americas Seas (IAS), which includes the Gulf of Mexico (GoM) and the Caribbean Sea, is a major source of moisture for continental North America throughout the year (Ruiz-Barradas and Nigam 2005; Mo et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2006; Chan and Misra 2010; Misra et al. 2014). For example, Chang and Oey (2010a) assert that without the effects of the GoM, the U.S. “Corn Belt” region would not likely be so named. Even some of the most severe winter storms that affected the eastern United States originated in the GoM (Bosart and Lin 1984; Businger et al. 1990). Similarly, the ocean heat content in the IAS plays an important role in the intensity changes of several Atlantic tropical cyclones (Shay et al. 2000; Mainelli et al. 2008; Shay 2009; Rappaport et al. 2010). Therefore, the importance of the IAS in regulating the climate and weather over a major part of continental North America cannot be overemphasized.

The IAS is also a region that hosts a major fraction of the largest western hemisphere warm pool (Wang and Enfield 2001). This Atlantic Warm Pool (AWP) is objectively defined as the area enclosed by 28.5°C isotherm in the IAS region and in the western tropical and subtropical Atlantic Ocean (Wang and Enfield 2001, 2003). The AWP is a seasonal feature that appears in the boreal summer season, which peaks in middle of summer and disappears by late fall or early winter (Lee et al. 2005; Misra et al. 2013, 2014). The size of the AWP exhibits distinct interannual (Wang and Enfield 2001; 2003; Wang et al. 2006, 2008a) and decadal variability (Wang et al. 2008b; Zhang et al. 2012). Diagnostic studies of the surface heat budget from ocean reanalysis and Ocean General Circulation Model (OGCM) simulations suggest that the cloud radiative fluxes

82 play a dominant role in the seasonal cycle and interannual variability of the AWP (Lee et
83 al. 2007; Misra et al. 2013).

84 In a related study, Clement et al. (2005) showed that ocean dynamics play a very
85 important role in sustaining the warm pool in the western Pacific Ocean. They showed
86 that the ocean dynamics, through poleward Ekman transport with the compensatory
87 underlying Sverdrup dynamics of equatorward geostrophic flow and linked by equatorial
88 upwelling, negate the homogenizing effects of the atmospheric processes to sustain the
89 warm pool in the tropical Pacific. While their study could be generalized for all tropical
90 warm pools, the geography and the bathymetry of the IAS is too different from the
91 tropical Pacific to exactly relate it to the AWP. For example, Liu et al. (2012a) suggested
92 that the dominating terms in the upper ocean heat budget of the GoM are the contrasting
93 warming tendency of the upper ocean heat transport and cooling tendency of the net
94 surface atmospheric fluxes. Nonetheless, the ocean transport of heat into and out of the
95 IAS is fundamental for the regulation of its SST (Jayne and Marotzke 2002; Chang and
96 Oey 2010a, b; Liu et al. 2012b). The Loop Current essentially brings the warm and saline
97 water from the equatorial Atlantic to the GoM (Chang and Oey 2010b). It should be
98 mentioned that the prevailing Loop Current that enters through the Yucatan Channel
99 (YC) and exits through the Florida Straits represents the upper limb of the global
100 thermohaline circulation in the North Atlantic, a major source of the Gulf Stream, and
101 constitutes a major part of the western boundary current in the North Atlantic Subtropical
102 Gyre (Schmitz and Richardson 1991).

103 The purpose of this study is to demonstrate the sensitivity of model simulations of
104 the IAS climate and its variations to changes in the prescribed ocean bathymetry in a

regional coupled ocean-atmosphere model. Three regional model integrations that are identical to each other except for the bathymetry employed are analyzed for their differences in this study. The motivation for this study is presented in the following section, which discusses the bias over the IAS in contemporary global climate models. A brief discussion of the model is provided in Section 3. The experiment design is presented in Section 4. The model simulations are then compared with each other and with observations (where available) and reanalysis that are used in forcing the regional model in the results Section 5. This is followed in Section 6 with a discussion of the lessons learned from the regional model integrations and potential relation to the bias displayed by the global models. The summary and conclusions are presented in Section 7.

2. Bias in global climate models

Several studies have shown that invariably all current and earlier versions of Coupled ocean-atmosphere General Circulation Models (CGCMs) display a very cold bias in the IAS region resulting in much smaller AWP than are observed (Misra et al. 2009; Kozar and Misra 2012; Liu et al. 2012a, 2013). In fact, Kozar and Misra (2012) showed that even the seasonal cycle of the AWP has significant errors in the 20th century simulations of the CMIP5 suite of models. Many of these studies point to erroneous cloud-radiative feedbacks as a potential cause for this rather systematic cold SST bias displayed by general circulation models.

A complementary theory for this pervasive cold bias in the IAS region displayed by the CGCMs suggests that it could also be a result of not resolving the ocean currents sufficiently in the region (e.g. Liu et al. 2013). There is a growing consensus that a grid spacing of 20 km or less is required at the very least to resolve the Loop Current and the

129 eddies it spawns (Hurlburt and Thompson 1980; Cherubin et al. 2005, 2006). Liu et al.
130 (2012b, 2015) point to significant ocean circulation bias in the CMIP5 models over the
131 IAS that potentially contribute to the cold bias in SST. To illustrate this, we show the
132 annual mean climatology of SST and its bias from two of the CMIP5 20th century
133 simulations in Fig. 1: CCSM4 (Gent et al. 2011) and GFDL-ESM2G (Dunne et al. 2012).
134 Both of these model integrations are representative of the pervasive cold SST bias over
135 the IAS that exists across the CMIP5 suite of models (Kozar and Misra 2012). It should
136 however be noted that, despite showing large differences in the magnitude of their cold
137 SST bias (Fig. 1), the two CMIP5 models do not cover the range displayed by the rest of
138 the CMIP5 models. Nonetheless, it is apparent that the cold bias in GFDL-ESM2G over
139 the AWP region is far more severe than in the CCSM4.

140

141 **Figure 1:** Annual mean SST climatology from a) OISSTv2, and 20th century simulations
 142 from b) CCSM4, and c) GFDL-ESM2G. The corresponding SST bias from d) CCSM4,
 143 and e) GFDL-ESM2G. f) The difference in the annual mean climatology between
 144 CCSM4 and GFDL-ESM2G ([CCMS4]-[GFDL-ESM2G]) simulations. The units are in
 145 °C.

146 The corresponding monthly mean volume flux through the YC from these two
 147 CMIP5 20th century simulations are presented in Fig 2. For validation, we show the
 148 corresponding monthly mean volume flux from the Simple Ocean Data Assimilation
 149 version 2.2.4 (SODA; Carton and Giese 2008). While this is not an ideal validation

dataset, it is the closest proxy for observations of ocean transport through the YC available for ~100 years of the model simulations (1901-2005). SODA assimilates temperature and salinity profiles from the World Ocean Atlas (Mechanical Bathythermograph [MBT], Expendable Bathythermograph [XBT], Conductivity-Temperature-Depth Sonde [CTD], and station data), as well as additional hydrography, SST, and altimeter sea surface height anomalies (Carton and Giese 2008). Moreover, SODA ocean reanalysis is generated by forcing the ocean model with ERA-40 daily atmospheric winds and QuikSCAT winds. Therefore there is a restraint on the model drift from both remote and local ocean observations that results in improved fidelity of SODA. In fact, the volume flux through the YC for a limited time (~5 years) observed from ocean moorings is comparable to the volume flux displayed in SODA ocean reanalysis (Candela et al. 2003; Athie et al. 2015; Fig. 2). Moreover, within these studies of non-overlapping observing periods there is a large disparity in the estimates of observed volume flux through the YC of over 10%, which is attributed to interannual variability (Athie et al. 2015). This called for the use of longer records for model validation of ocean volume flux through YC, which led us to apply data assimilated SODA ocean reanalysis.

Figure 2: The monthly mean volume transport (Sverdrups [Sv]) through the Yucatan Channel from GFDL-ESM2G and CCSM4 20th century simulations along with the SODA ocean reanalysis from SODA. The corresponding mean (MN) and standard deviation (SD) are also indicated.

The climatological monthly mean volume flux through the YC in CCSM4 (GFDL-ESM2G) is 31.72 Sv (15.85 Sv) while in the SODA ocean reanalysis it is 23.96 Sv (Fig. 2). These figures indicate large deviations in the ocean transport through the YC yielded by the two models. It may be noted that the size of the climatological AWP in CCSM4 is almost 60% more than that in the GFDL-ESM2G (Kozar and Misra 2012), which may be partially a result of the stronger transport through the YC. Furthermore, both models underestimate the volume flux through YC, although GFDL-ESM2G displays slightly higher variability than CCSM4. In Fig. 3 we show the corresponding

178 heat transport through the YC from the two global models and the SODA ocean
 179 reanalysis. The heat flux (HF) through the channel is computed as:

$$180 \quad HF = c_p \int_{z_{bot}}^{z_{surf}} \rho V_n q dz \text{ --- (1)}$$

181 where, C_p is the heat capacity of seawater ($=3993 \text{ JKg}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$), ρ is density of seawater,
 182 which follows from Jackett et al. (2006), V_n is the component of the ocean current normal
 183 to the channel, and θ is the potential temperature. This figure clearly shows that the heat
 184 transported through the YC in CCSM4 (GFDL-ESM2G) is significantly over-estimated
 185 (under-estimated) relative to the SODA ocean reanalysis. However both global models
 186 considerably underestimate the size of the AWP relative to the observed SST analysis
 187 (Kozar and Misra 2012) and display significant SST bias in the IAS (Fig. 2) despite this
 188 diverging bias of ocean heat transport through the YC (Fig. 3). This discussion clearly
 189 suggests that the global model bias in ocean circulation in the IAS is substantial. In this
 190 study we aim to analyze the response of the SST bias to changes in the IAS ocean
 191 circulation in a regional coupled ocean-atmosphere framework.

Figure 3: Same as Fig.2 for ocean heat transport (in PetaWatts [PW]= 10^{15} W) through the Yucatan Channel.

3. Model Description

Here we provide a brief overview of the regional coupled ocean-atmosphere model (RCM), which follows from the Regional Spectral Model-Regional Ocean Modeling System (RSM-ROMS; Li and Misra 2014). The reader is referred to Li et al. (2012) for a more detailed description of the model and the references therein. This model has been previously adopted in several other regional climate simulations (Li et al. 2012, 2013, 2014; Li and Misra 2014; Misra and Mishra 2016). The atmospheric component of the RCM is the RSM that has its origins in Juang and Kanamitsu (1994). It has gone through several updates and revisions and its most current version is described in Kanamitsu et al. (2010). The RSM has 28 terrain following sigma vertical coordinates. An outline of the physics used in the RSM for this study is provided in Table 1.

Table 1: Outline of the physics in RSM

Atmospheric Model (RSM) Physics	Reference
Land Model	Ek et al. (2003)
Longwave radiation	Chou and Suarez (1994)
Shortwave radiation	Chou and Lee (1996)
Deep convection	Moorthi and Suarez (1992)
Shallow convection	Tiedtke (1983)
Boundary layer	Hong and Pan (1996)
Gravity wave drag	Alpert et al. (1988)

Similarly, the ROMS version 3.0 uses a stretched terrain following (S) coordinate for vertical discretization on a horizontal staggered Arakawa-C grid (Haidvogel et al. 2000; Shchepetkin and McWilliams 2005). There are 30 sigma-levels in ROMS. The local closure scheme for mixing is based on the level 2.5 turbulent kinetic energy equations following Mellor and Yamada (1982) and generic length scale parameterization of Umlauf and Burchard (2003). The nonlocal closure scheme is based on the K-profile, boundary layer formulation developed by Large et al. (1994).

4. Experiment Design

The regional domain over which the RCMs are integrated at 15 km grid interval is shown in Fig. 4. The three RCM integrations are conducted independent of each other but over identical domain and resolution (Fig. 4): The first integration, which follows the use of a smoother bathymetry, is RCM-C; The second, RCM-F, uses a finer bathymetry; and the third, RCM-I, has a bathymetry of intermediary realism between RCM-C and RCM-F. The bathymetries for all of these model integrations stem from ETOPO5 (<http://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/global/etopo5.HTML>), which is available at 5-minute

222 resolution. All three RCM simulations are conducted for a 32-year period from 1979
223 through 2010. They are forced at the lateral boundaries of the atmosphere with the 6
224 hourly atmospheric fields of the National Centers for Environmental Prediction-
225 Department of Energy atmospheric reanalysis (NCEP-R2; Kanamitsu et al. 2002) and the
226 monthly mean SODA ocean reanalysis as the lateral boundary forcing for ROMS.

227

228 **Figure 4:** The regional domain overlaid with bathymetry used in a) RCM-F with
 229 important features named, b) RCM-I, and c) RCM-C. The differences in bathymetry
 230 between them are shown in d) (RCM-F)-(RCM-I), e) (RCM-F)-(RCM-C), and f) (RCM-I)-
 231 (RCM-C). The units are in meters.

We also use the SODA ocean reanalysis and the World Ocean Atlas (WOA; Locarnini et al. 2013) to compare with the multi-decadal RCM model integrations. Despite its prevalent uncertainties, the SODA ocean reanalysis serves as a good verification dataset given its availability for a relatively long time record (Giese and Ray 2011). There are, however, extensive field programs such as CANEK (Sheinbaum et al. 2002) that have yielded useful information on the seasonal cycle and quantitative estimates of the discharge through the YC. But considering the uncertainty of the IAS from the natural variations (e.g. Loop Current variations; Leben 2005; Dukhovskoy et al. 2014; Athie et al. 2015), we believe it is reasonable to use the data assimilated SODA ocean reanalysis to verify the ocean climate from the conducted multi-decadal model simulations. The WOA data available at 0.25° grid spacing is an objectively analyzed annual mean temperature profile using six decades of data (1955-2012) available from the National Oceanographic Data Center and the World Data Center for Oceanography at standard depth levels from surface to a maximum depth of 5500m (Locarnini et al. 2013). The initial conditions for RSM-ROMS are interpolated from the corresponding global atmospheric and oceanic reanalysis for 1 January 1979. These initial conditions are identical for all three RCM integrations, however we neglect the first five years of the model integration for the analysis of the results to account for spin-up issues. We use the 1984-2010 (27 year) period for the analysis of the results discussed in this paper.

In RCM-I, we smooth the bathymetry from ETOPO5 by first running a 5-point smoother prior to linearly interpolating to the 15 km grid of the ROMS; in the RCM-C, we linearly interpolate the original bathymetry to ~ 200 km grid resolution (or T62 spectral truncation) before we interpolate it to the 15 km ROMS grid. The smoothing in

the RCM-I is necessary to avoid numerical instability in the middle of the integration. The bathymetry for RSM-F is obtained by taking the average of the original ETOPO5 bathymetry and the bathymetry of RSM-I. The most notable impact of such a change is that the depth and extent of the continental shelf regions in RSM-F increases relative to RSM-I. In ROMS, which has a terrain following vertical coordinate system, the usual practice is to smooth the bathymetry to reduce errors in the computation of the horizontal pressure gradient (Mellor et al. 1994; Haidvogel et al. 2000; Martinho and Batteen 2006; Sikiric et al. 2009). Haney (1991) showed that ocean models with terrain following vertical coordinate system could have large errors in computing the horizontal pressure gradient, especially in regions of steep terrain. Therefore, based on the choice of the vertical coordinate system, the bathymetry may have to be altered to accommodate numerically stable solutions (Sikiric et al. 2009). More sophisticated approaches to smooth the bathymetry than what is adopted here do exist (Sikiric et al. 2009) and we will pursue these alternative methods in future studies. The differences in bathymetries (Figs. 4d, e, and f) are apparent over several regions including: over the Colombian Basin, the Cayman Basin, the Nicaraguan Rise, the YC, the Sigsbee Deep, the Bay of Campeche, the Continental Shelf areas of the GoM, and over the Florida Straits (see Fig. 1a for their geographical location). In all of these regions the differences in the bathymetry range from a few meters to 1500m or more. It should be noted that in Fig. 4e the YC in RCM-F is narrower in width and deeper in depth than in the RCM-C bathymetry. Furthermore, in Fig. 4e we see that the bathymetry in the Florida Straits between Florida and Cuba is deeper in RCM-F as compared to RCM-C. In addition, the continental shelf along Louisiana and Texas (known as the LATEX shelf) and along

278 Tamaulipas-Veracruz in Mexico (the TAVE shelf) is deeper and extends further out in
279 the GoM in RCM-C as compared to RCM-F. Similarly, the Windward and Mona
280 passages are very shallow in RCM-C as compared to RCM-F. The differences between
281 RCM-F and RCM-I (Fig. 4d) are comparatively smaller but share similar sign as the
282 differences of bathymetry between RCM-F and RCM-C. Likewise, the differences
283 between RCM-I and RCM-C (Fig. 4f) are similar in sign but larger in magnitude to those
284 between RCM-F and RCM-I (Fig. 4d). However, an important difference is that the
285 Windward and Mona passages in the bathymetry of RCM-F are a bit more realistic (and
286 deeper) than that of RCM-I and RCM-C. As will be discussed in the following section,
287 these differences in the bathymetry lead to some significant changes in the ocean
288 simulation despite the identical grid resolution of RCM-C, RCM-I, and RCM-F.

289 For verification of the ocean surface currents we make use of the Ocean Surface
290 Current Analysis (OSCAR; Bonjean and Lagerloef 2002; Helber et al. 2007), which is
291 available at $1/3^\circ$ grid resolution from 1993 to the present and is derived from satellite
292 altimeter and scatterometer winds. It is worth noting that when comparisons of the model
293 simulations are made with OSCAR it is based on the overlapping period (1993-2010)
294 only. Similarly, we use the optimally interpolated SST version 2 (OISSTv2; Reynolds et
295 al. 2007) available at 0.25° grid resolution for verification of the simulated SST. We also
296 employ the Coordinated Ocean-ice Reference Experiments Phase 2 hindcast simulations
297 (COREII; Large and Yeager 2009) to verify the atmospheric fluxes from the model.
298 COREII makes use of NCEP reanalysis for near surface meteorological fields along with
299 a variety of satellite based radiation, SST, sea-ice concentration, and precipitation
300 products to arrive at the atmospheric fluxes for the 1984-2006 period at 1° grid spacing.

The balance of this paper’s discussion focuses on the IAS and the western tropical and subtropical-Atlantic Ocean (AWP region) despite the fact that the regional domain covers parts of the tropical eastern Pacific Ocean.

5. Results

a) Ocean Temperature

The climatological annual mean SST from RCM-F, RCM-I, and RCM-C are shown in Figs. 5a, b, and c respectively. The relatively large-scale meridional gradient of the SST from the warm equatorial waters to the subtropical region of the Atlantic can easily be seen in all three panels. That said, significant biases along the coastal regions of the domain (e.g., over Bay of Campeche, the Florida Shelf, along the Mesoamerican coasts, the northern coast of South America, and along the southern limb of the Gulf Stream) are apparent (Figs. 5d, e, and f). As evidenced in Fig. 5, all three RCM simulations display a pervasive cold SST bias over the IAS. However it is important to note that the SST bias along the coasts in Figs. 5d, e, and f may also be partly on account of the differences in the resolution of the RSM-ROMS and OISSTv2. Nonetheless, Fig. 5h shows that for large portions of the IAS, the cold bias in RCM-C seems to be more acute than in RCM-F, especially along the southern limb of the Gulf Stream as well as over the Loop Current region of the GoM and in parts of tropical and subtropical western Atlantic Ocean. There are regions over the southern Caribbean Sea, along the LATEX shelf, along the northeast coast of GoM, and along western shelf of peninsular Florida where the RCM-C is warmer and depicts less bias than RCM-F (Fig. 5h). We note that RCM-I improved upon the cold bias of the RCM-F in the western GoM and over the southern Caribbean Sea (Fig. 5g). In the tropical western Atlantic Ocean and along the

325 Gulf Stream (off the coast of the Carolinas) the RCM-F is seen as an improvement over
 326 RCM-I (Fig. 5g).

327

328 **Figure 5:** The climatological annual mean SST (°C) from a) RCM-F, b) RCM-I, and c)
 329 RCM-C simulations. The corresponding SST bias (°C) from d) RCM-F (RCM-F-
 330 OISSTv2), e) RCM-I (RCM-I-OISSTv2), and f) RCM-C (RCM-C-OISSTv2) simulations.

331 The difference in the climatological annual mean SST between g) RCM-F and RCM-I, h)
 332 RCM-F and RCM-C, and i) RCM-I and RCM-C simulations (°C).
 333

334

Figure 6: The root mean square error (RMSE) of the climatological annual mean SST from a) RCM-F, b) RCM-I and c) RCM-C simulations. The corresponding differences of RMSE between d) RCM-F and RCM-I, e) RCM-F and RCM-C and f) RCM-I and RCM-C. and c) their corresponding RMSE difference (RCM-C-RCM-F). The units are in °C.

The root mean square error (RMSE) of SST from RCM-F, RCM-I, and RCM-C relative to OISSTv2 are illustrated in Figs. 6a, b and c respectively. The differences between these RMSEs and the RCM simulations are shown in Figs. 6d, e, and f. As mentioned with regards to Fig. 5, we see in Fig. 6 that RCM-F improves upon RCM-I and RCM-C over parts of the tropical and subtropical western Atlantic Ocean while RCM-I improves upon RCM-C and RCM-F over northern Caribbean Sea and western GoM (Figs. 6e and f). In other words, a more realistic bathymetry will not necessarily alleviate (and can even further deteriorate) the SST biases of a model simulation in isolated regions that could be emanating from other sources of model errors. These systematic differences in SST between RCM-F, RCM-I, and RCM-C merit further investigation. First, the different bathymetries are expected to change the circulation especially through the YC and other passages in the region. These changes will potentially provide a good source for investigation of the importance of ocean circulation on the regulation of SST over the IAS. Second, understanding the differences in the SST between RCM-F, RCM-I, and RCM-C, which is similar to the pervasive cold bias displayed over the IAS by Coupled General Circulation Models (CGCM's; Misra et al. 2009; Kozar and Misra 2012; Liu et al. 2012, 2013), may provide some insights for improving future generations of CGCM.

357

358 **Figure 7:** Vertical cross-section of the climatological annual mean temperature (°C) at
 359 25°N (along central GoM) from a) RCM-F and b) WOA. The corresponding difference of
 360 RCM-F from c) RCM-I (RCM-F-RCM-I), e) RCM-C (RCM-F-RCM-C) and g) the
 361 difference (RCM-I)-(RCM-C). Similarly the corresponding systematic errors from d)
 362 RCM-F, f) RCM-I and h) RCM-C.

363 In Fig. 7, a cross section along 25°N in the central GoM from RCM-F (Fig. 7a)
 364 and its corresponding differences with RCM-I (Fig. 7c), RCM-C (Fig. 7e) can be seen.
 365 Similarly, the corresponding observed cross section from WOA (Fig. 7b) and the

systematic errors in RCM-F (Fig. 7d), RCM-I (Fig. 7f), and RCM-C (Fig. 7h) can also be seen. The figure clearly indicates that the relative warming of RCM-F compared to RCM-I and RCM-C in the Loop Current flow is rather large. However, the temperatures in RCM-F in the first 200m from the surface are cooler to the west of the Loop Current when compared to both WOA (Fig. 7d) and the other two model simulations (Figs. 7e and g). Interestingly, below 200m RCM-F is significantly warmer than RCM-I (Fig. 7c) but it is still cooler than RCM-C (Fig. 7e). In addition, the warming in the western GoM (west of 88W) in RCM-I relative to RCM-C (Fig. 7g) is rather shallow near the surface of the ocean. Overall, the systematic errors of RCM-I in this cross section is less than in the other two simulations.

b) Atmospheric fluxes

As seen in Fig. 8a, the annual mean climatological atmospheric net heat flux in RCM-F is largely positive in the AWP region (south of around 23°N). A positive (negative) net heat flux in this case would mean that the heat flux is directed downward (upward) to (from) the ocean surface. Therefore, in RCM-F there is more net heat flux entering the ocean surface from the atmosphere in the IAS and over western tropical Atlantic Ocean relative to RCM-I (Fig. 8b) and RCM-C (Fig. 8c). Despite this excess heat flux entering the ocean surface (Fig. 8b), RCM-F displays a more severe cold bias over the western GoM and in the Caribbean Sea as compared to RCM-I (Fig. 5g). On the other hand, RCM-I has less heat flux going into the ocean over the central GoM, Bay of Campeche, northern Caribbean Sea, and the northwestern subtropical Atlantic Ocean relative to RCM-F (Fig. 8b) and RCM-C (Fig. 8d). Yet over these regions the SST is

389 warmer in RCM-I relative to both RCM-F (Fig. 5g) or RCM-C (Fig. 5i). Therefore, these
 390 contrarian differences in atmospheric fluxes between the model integrations are clearly
 391 insufficient to explain the differences in SST between the model simulations (Fig. 5).

392

393 **Figure 8:** a) The net heat climatological annual mean atmospheric flux from the RCM-F
 394 simulation and the corresponding difference with b) RCM-I and c) RCM-C simulations.

d) *The net het climatological annual mean atmospheric flux difference between RCM-I and RCM-C. The units are in Wm^{-2} .*

c) *Upper Ocean Heat Content*

Figs. 9a, b and c show the annual mean climatological depth of the 20°C (a proxy for the upper ocean heat content) from the RCM-F, RCM-I, and RCM-C integration respectively. The corresponding bias in RCM-F, RCM-I, and RCM-C are illustrated in Figs. 9d, e, and f respectively, while the differences between RCM simulations are shown in Figs 9g, h, and i. In relation to the SODA ocean reanalysis, all three RCM simulations underestimate the heat content in the GoM, the Caribbean Sea, and parts of the western tropical Atlantic Ocean (Figs. 9d-f). These errors are most exacerbated in RCM-F over the western GoM and the western Caribbean Sea (Figs. 9g-i). We observe a relative deepening of the 20°C isotherm in RCM-F over the tropical and subtropical western Atlantic Ocean relative to RCM-I and RCM-C (Figs. 9g and h), however RCM-I displays the largest improvement in this bias over the IAS relative to the other two RCM simulations.

411

412 **Figure 9:** Same as Fig. 5 but for the annual mean climatological depth of the 20°C
 413 isotherm. SODA ocean reanalysis is used for validation. The units are in m.

Figure 10: Same as Fig. 5 but for surface ocean currents and OSCAR (Bonjean and Lagerloef 2002) is used for validation. The units are in m/s.

d) Ocean Currents

The climatological annual mean surface ocean currents from RCM-F, RCM-I, and RCM-C are shown in Figs. 10a, b, and c respectively. The biases of these currents in the RCM simulations indicate that the surface westward Caribbean currents are stronger than the observations (Figs. 10d-f). But unlike the other two simulations, RCM-C has a considerably weaker surface Loop Current and surface flow along the Florida Current (Figs. 10f, h, and i). RCM-F displays the strongest surface Loop Current of all three RCM simulations, although its difference from RCM-I is comparably far smaller (Fig. 10g) than that from RCM-C (Fig. 10h). Furthermore, the northward extension of the Loop Current is extremely weak in RCM-C while the meridional current of the Florida Current is shifted further eastward in RCM-C relative to the observations and the other RCM simulations.

Figs. 11 a, b, c, and d show the cross section of the climatological annual mean flow through the YC in SODA ocean reanalysis, RCM-F, RCM-I, and RCM-C integrations respectively. The differences in the bathymetry of the YC between the SODA, RCM-F and RCM-C simulations are quite apparent in the figure, although the differences of the flow through YC and the bathymetry between RCM-F (Fig. 11b) and RCM-I (Fig. 11c) are comparably much smaller. In RCM-C (Fig. 11d) the channel depth is appreciably shallower than in the RCM-F, RCM-I and SODA ocean reanalysis. Therefore the meridional flow through the channel is also significantly different in RCM-C relative to RCM-F and SODA. For example, the meridional current through the YC shows the asymmetric maximum northward flow on the westward side of the channel in SODA ocean reanalysis (Fig. 11a) and RCM-F simulation (Fig. 11b). This asymmetry in

441 the flow near the surface through the YC is progressively reduced in RCM-I (Figs. 11c
 442 and e) and RCM-C (Figs. 11d, f, and g).

443
 444 **Figure 11:** Vertical cross section of the meridional flow (ms^{-1}) through the Yucatan
 445 Channel from a) SODA ocean reanalysis, b) RCM-F, c) RCM-I, and d) RCM-C
 446 integrations. The corresponding differences (ms^{-1}) between e) (RCM-F)-(RCM-I),
 447 f) (RCM-F)-(RCM-C), and g) (RCM-I)-(RCM-C) are also shown.

448 The maximum surface meridional speed in the RSM-F is approximately 0.7ms^{-1} (Fig.
449 11b), which is less than the maximum in SODA ocean reanalysis that display a maximum
450 of around 1.1ms^{-1} (Fig. 11a). The RCM-F (Fig. 11b) and RCM-I (Fig. 11c) integrations
451 also produce counter currents on both the Yucatan and Cuban sides of the channel, below
452 around 400m as is mentioned in the observational study of Candela et al. (2003). The
453 SODA reanalysis, however, shows the counter current across the channel at around
454 1000m below the surface, which is contrary to the observations made by Candela et al.
455 (2003), who asserted that there is a persistent weak flow toward the GoM in the central
456 deepest part of the channel surrounded by the counter currents on either side. In Fig. 11a,
457 we also note a surface counter current identified in Candela et al. (2003) as the Cuban
458 counter current, which extends to 200m in depth. The RCM-F (Fig. 11b) and RCM-I
459 (Fig. 11c) integrations reveal this feature, although far more confined to the eastern edge
460 of the channel. However the RCM-C integration does not show this feature of the surface
461 Cuban counter current (Fig. 11d).

Figure 12: The monthly mean a) volume (in Sv) and b) heat flux (in PW) from the three RCM integrations and the SODA ocean reanalysis.

The monthly mean volume flux and heat flux through the YC from the three RCM simulations and SODA ocean reanalysis is shown in Figs. 12a and b respectively. We

467 clearly observe from the figures that RCM-C (RCM-F) has the least (largest) volume and
 468 heat fluxes through the YC, with RCM-I displaying the closest match to estimates from
 469 the SODA ocean reanalysis. In comparison to the corresponding estimates from the
 470 global models in Figs. 1 and 2, the bias in RCM-C (of underestimation of flow through
 471 YC) resembles the corresponding bias in GFDL-ESM2G while the bias in RCM-F (of
 472 overestimation) is closest to the corresponding bias in CCSM4. It should also be noted
 473 that there is considerable variability in the estimates of these fluxes through YC from
 474 SODA ocean reanalysis from Figs. 1 and 2 to Fig. 12, which was computed over different
 475 time periods. This is not surprising given similar disparity from in situ observations of the
 476 flow through the channel over different periods (Athie et al. 2015). Furthermore, it should
 477 be taken into account that all three RCM simulations overestimate the standard deviation
 478 of the volume and heat flux through the YC relative to SODA ocean reanalysis and the
 479 global models.

480 Similarly, we also computed the annual mean climatology of the vertically
 481 integrated heat transport (HT) vectors from all three RCM simulations in Fig. 13. This
 482 HT is computed as:

$$\overrightarrow{HT} = c_p \int_{bot}^{surf} \rho \vec{V} \theta dz \text{ --- (1)}$$

484

485 **Figure 13:** Same as Fig. 5 but for ocean heat transport vector (equation 1). We use the
 486 SODA ocean reanalysis for validation. The units are TerraWatt [TW] ($=1 \times 10^{12} \text{ W}$).

487 In Figs. 13a-c, the heat transport by the warm Caribbean Current and the Loop Current,

488 the Gulf Stream, and the return flow of the deep western boundary current is evident in

all three RCM simulations. The heat transport by the upper ocean currents are reasonably well represented in the RCM-F and RCM-I simulations. But the heat transport by the return flow of the deep western boundary current is much stronger in the RCM simulations than SODA ocean reanalysis (Figs. 13d-f). The heat transport by the Loop Current in RCM-F and RCM-I also display a stronger northeastward tilt north of the YC as compared to SODA, while the RCM-C simulation displays a very weak heat transport through the YC (Fig. 13f). The differences in the heat transport in the AWP region between RCM-F and RCM-I are much smaller (Fig. 13g) relative to their corresponding difference from RCM-C (Figs. 13h and i). The RCM-C also has minimal heat transport through the Windward and Mona passages relative to the other two RCM simulations and the SODA ocean reanalysis.

e) Interannual variability

The contemporaneous correlation between the sea surface height and the volume flux through the YC is shown in Fig. 14. In the SODA ocean reanalysis (Fig. 11a), we observe that the heat content in northern Caribbean Sea around Cuba and the Bahamas increases when the flow through the YC is stronger. However, stronger flow through the YC is also associated with a reduction in the heat content around the GoM coast along the Florida Current, as well as the western and southern coast of the Caribbean Sea (Fig. 14a). These features are reasonably well captured by the RCM-I (Fig. 14c) with subtle differences (e.g. over northwestern tropical Atlantic Ocean, the Caribbean coast of Central America, and northern South America). On the other hand, the RCM-F integration (Fig. 14b) is comparable to RCM-I but the relationship along the GoM coasts and the Caribbean Sea coast along Central America and northern South America is weak

512 and even contrary to the SODA ocean reanalysis and RCM-I. But RCM-C correlations
 513 (Fig. 14d) show a far worse fidelity with respect to the SODA ocean reanalysis both in
 514 the magnitude and spatial extent of the correlations.

515
 516 **Figure 14:** The contemporaneous correlations of the flow through the Yucatan Channel
 517 with sea surface height anomalies from a) SODA, b) RCM-F, c) RCM-I and d) RCM-C
 518 integrations. Only significant values at 10% significance level according to t-test are
 519 plotted.

520
 521 In fact, the correlations displayed in Figs. 14a and c are similar to those obtained in Lin et
 522 al. (2009), which were acquired from a model integration data over a much shorter period

of time using a very high resolution ($1/6^\circ$) ocean model. Their study showed that the transport through the YC is a minimum when the Loop Current intrudes strongly into the GoM. Furthermore, they suggested that variations of the sea surface height around Cuba is a result of a “compensation effect” in which the variability of the flow through the YC is partially compensated by the variability of the flow around Cuba (through the Old Bahama Channel).

6. Discussion

In this section we will relate the bias in the two 20th century simulations from the suite of CMIP5 models (GFDL-ESM2G and CCSM4) analyzed earlier to the results from our RCM integrations. Although GFDL-ESM2G grossly underestimated the ocean transport through the YC, the SST bias was similar to that exhibited by CCSM4, which grossly overestimated the flow through the YC. It may however be noted that the severity of the cold bias in GFDL-ESM2G is more acute. We obtain a similar result from the three RCM integrations analyzed in this study. RCM-F overestimates the transport through the YC and yet it exhibits a cold SST bias over the IAS, which is comparable to RCM-C that displays a gross underestimation of the flow through the YC. Our third RCM-I integration ameliorates some of this cold SST bias relative to RCM-F and RCM-C. The RCM-I simulation shows the flow through the YC is closest to the observed estimates (Athie et al. 2015) and the SODA ocean reanalysis as compared to the other two RCM simulations (Fig. 12).

544

545 **Figure 15:** a) Annual mean climatology of the 1000 hPa winds from RCM-F run and its
 546 corresponding difference from b) RCM-I, c) RCM-C. Similarly, the climatological annual
 547 mean difference of the 1000hPa winds between RCM-I and RCM-C integrations.

548 The increased flow through the YC has a significant impact on raising the upper ocean
 549 heat content and SST in the tropical and subtropical western Atlantic Ocean (Figs. 4i, 15a
 550 and b). Therefore RCM-F, which has the strongest flow through the YC, displays SST
 551 warming over a considerable region across tropical-subtropical western Atlantic Ocean
 552 relative to both RCM-I (Fig. 4h) and RCM-C (Fig. 4i). This is also associated with
 553 considerable weakening of the atmospheric surface tropical easterlies across the IAS in
 554 RCM-F (especially over the GoM and the Caribbean Sea; Fig. 15). In a related modeling

study Chang and Oey (2010a) suggested that heat is transported to the western part of the GoM primarily by wind-induced westward shelf currents. These currents converge in the western GoM (along the TAVE and LATEX shelves) where they downwell and spread heat to the interior of the ocean. As noted in Figs. 14a, b, and c, the negative correlations of the sea surface height variations in the Bay of Campeche and along the TAVE, LATEX and Florida shelves with the transport through the YC is consistent with this feature. In fact, similar correlations are also observed south of the YC, along the eastern continental shelf of Mexico in Figs. 14a and c. In RCM-C (Fig. 14d), where the flow through the YC is very weak, the associated sea surface height variations along the shelf in the western GoM and Caribbean Sea are weak and contrary to the SODA ocean reanalysis. The absence of negative correlations in RCM-F along the TAVE and LATEX shelves and along the western Caribbean coast is a result of significant weakening of the shelf currents from the corresponding weakening of the atmospheric tropical easterlies. This weakening of the low-level atmospheric easterlies results from the coupled air-sea feedback mechanism. One of the air-sea coupled feedback mechanisms is preferentially fostered in the boreal summer season by a Gill-type atmospheric response to off equatorial forcing of AWP induced heating (Wang et al. 2007; Wang et al. 2008; Lee et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2010). These studies clearly demonstrate that atmospheric latent heating induced by the warm SSTs of the seasonal AWP induce both baroclinic and barotropic responses that have a tendency to weaken the easterly Atlantic trade winds. In addition, the coupled feedback of wind-induced evaporation can also affect the trade winds and SST in the tropical-subtropical Atlantic (Moura and Shukla 1981; Hastenrath and Greischar 1993; Chang et al. 1997). This mechanism involves changes in the

atmospheric boundary layer pressure gradient induced by changes in SST (e.g. from seasonal evolution or variability) affecting the overlying atmospheric surface winds (Lindzen and Nigam 1987) that feeds back to SST through wind induced evaporation (Chang et al. 1997). Furthermore, Tanimoto and Xie (2002) suggested cloud feedback on SST that manifest in coupled feedback in the tropical and subtropical Atlantic Ocean.

In Fig. 16 we show the climatological cross-section of zonal heat flux (equation 2) across 90°W following Chang and Oey (2010a) from the SODA and three RCM simulations.

$$HF = c_p \int_{bot}^{surf} \rho u q dz \quad (2)$$

where u is the zonal current. In the SODA ocean reanalysis heat is transported westward into the western GoM both on the southern and northern shelf, while in the middle of the basin heat is transported eastward as described in Chang and Oey 2010b. However, in the three RCM simulations heat is transported westward only in the southern shelf while heat is transported eastward in the northern shelf and in the upper ocean in middle of the basin. It is also quite apparent that the eastward flow of heat to western GoM is strongest in RCM-I (Fig. 16c) and least in RCM-F (Fig. 16b). Chang and Oey (2010a) indicated from their idealized modeling studies that wind-induced currents along the northern and southern shelves of the GoM play an important role in the westward heat redistribution, which is also reaffirmed from the three RCM simulations conducted in this study. The eastward heat transport in the northern shelf is part of the Gulf-scale anticyclonic gyre that dominates over the shelf currents under weak wind situation (Chang and Oey 2010b). Chang and Oey (2010a) further suggested that these shelf currents induce downwelling as

600 they flow off the shelf edge after converging in the western coast, thereby transporting
 601 heat eastward in the central GoM as is seen in Figs. 16a-d.

602

Figure 16: Annual mean climatological heat flux across 90°W from a) SODA, b) RCM-F, c) RCM-I, and d) RCM-C. The units are (10^8Wm^{-2}).

This study clearly shows that overcompensation of the flow through the YC (e.g. in RCM-F) can result in SST bias, at least over the western GoM and even over western Caribbean Sea, that resemble the SST bias from model simulations that undercompensate the flow through the YC (e.g. RCM-C). Notably, 1000hPa climatological winds in the 20th century simulations of CCSM4 and GFDL-ESM2G show similar differences as RCM-F and RCM-C, respectively, suggesting a similar mechanism for the SST bias in the western part of IAS (not shown). That said, it should also be noted that all three RCM integrations display a cold SST bias in the IAS despite their varied representation of the IAS ocean circulation, which would suggest that other sources of error (e.g. atmospheric fluxes; remote teleconnections) are likely in play. This is further elaborated by verifying the atmospheric fluxes in the simulation (Fig. 17).

The observed annual mean climatological atmospheric fluxes suggest that in the northern parts of the AWP region there is a net upwelling atmospheric flux while in the southern Caribbean Sea and southwestern GoM there is a net downwelling atmospheric flux (Fig. 17a). The COREII analysis suggests that the upwelling longwave fluxes (Fig. 17b) and the enthalpy (sensible and latent heat) fluxes (Fig. 17d) compensate for the downwelling shortwave flux (Fig. 17c) in the AWP region. The corresponding systematic errors of the fluxes in RCM-F (Figs 17e-h), RCM-I (Figs. 17i-l), and RCM-C (Figs. 17m-p) are comparable. Furthermore, it seen that these model simulations overestimate the downwelling shortwave flux significantly, which is over compensated by the overestimation of the upwelling longwave flux and enthalpy fluxes to result in a net heat flux that is upwelling in the AWP region. It is interesting to note that despite the decrease

627 in the easterly trades in RCM-F the upwelling enthalpy fluxes are still large, which stems
628 from a dry bias in the boundary layer (not shown). This dry bias increases the humidity
629 gradient between the surface and the boundary layer thereby raising the enthalpy fluxes.
630 The dry bias in the boundary layer also contributes to increased upward longwave flux as
631 well as a lower shallow cloud fraction relative to corresponding the observed cloud
632 fraction (Rossow et al. 1996; not shown), which results in higher downwelling shortwave
633 flux in all three model simulations. Furthermore, it seems clear that the bias in the net
634 heat flux from the model simulations is far larger over the open oceans than the inter-
635 model differences, which are significantly large in the coastal and over broad (shallower)
636 continental shelf regions (see Fig. 8).

Figure 17: The annual mean climatological a) net heat flux, b) upwelling longwave flux, c) downwelling shortwave flux, and d) enthalpy (sensible and latent) fluxes from COREII. Positive (negative) sign of fluxes indicate into (out of) the ocean surface. The corresponding systematic errors of the fluxes from RCM-F (e-h), RCM-I (i-l), and RCM-C (m-p). The units are in Wm^{-2} .

7. Summary and conclusions

In this study we conduct three multi-decadal integrations (for a duration of 32 years) with a relatively high resolution (15 km) regional coupled ocean-atmosphere model (RCM) centered over the IAS. These model integrations are conducted with the same numerical model at identical spatial resolution and with the same lateral boundary conditions for the atmosphere and the ocean. However, the important difference in these integrations is that they are prescribed uniquely different bathymetries. As a result, some of the topographical features including the depth of the YC, Florida Straits, and the slope of the continental shelves were different in these RCM integrations. In terrain following models such as ROMS, smoothing of the bathymetry is customary to avoid large errors in the computation of the horizontal pressure gradient in the presence of steep gradient in bathymetry. An important part of this study is understanding the potential sources of error in simulating the climate of a region such as the IAS, which displays complex bathymetry and hosts a very large and very warm pool of water in part of the year that elicits strong atmospheric response.

These model experiments highlight the importance of ocean circulation in the maintenance of the heat content and SST structure in the IAS region. Our results suggest that differences in bathymetry, primarily those that affect the flow through the YC, can have a significant impact on the ocean circulation and temperature in the IAS region. However, a sobering and a counter-intuitive result is that a more realistic bathymetry does not uniformly improve the simulation over the IAS owing to other sources of model errors. It is noted that all model simulations of the study systematically underestimate the net heat atmospheric flux into the ocean.

667 This study draws attention to the general surface manifestation of colder SSTs and
668 the underestimation of upper ocean heat content generated by the smoother bathymetry
669 over most parts of the IAS, similar to the bias displayed by majority of the general
670 circulation models. In the case of the RSM-ROMS integration with the smoother
671 bathymetry (RCM-C), we show that this surface manifestation of a colder SST is more
672 acute than in RCM-I or RCM-F over the southern limb of the Gulf Stream, followed then
673 by that over the GoM, the northern Caribbean Sea, and parts of tropical and subtropical
674 western Atlantic Ocean. There are, however, regions over the southern Caribbean Sea,
675 along the LATEX shelf, and along the northeast GoM coast where the RCM-C is warmer
676 than RCM-F.

677 As a result of the shallower depth of the YC in the RCM-C there is a clear
678 reduction in the mean meridional flow through the Channel. Furthermore, the Windward
679 Passage in RCM-C exhibits direction of heat transport contrary to either RCM-F or
680 SODA reanalysis. Both of these factors affect the heat transport by the Gulf Stream in the
681 RCM-C resulting in larger biases of SST and heat content in the IAS and western tropical
682 and subtropical Atlantic Ocean.

683 The flow through the YC clearly impacts the heat content locally and remotely in
684 a large section of the IAS region by affecting the heat transport by the prevailing upper
685 ocean currents in the region. But RCM-C significantly underestimates the flow through
686 the YC and RCM-F overestimates the flow through the YC. Yet, both exhibit a cold SST
687 bias that is similar to the bias displayed by GFDL-ESM2G and CCSM4 20th century
688 simulations over the IAS. We find that the warming of the upper ocean in the western
689 tropical and sub-tropical Atlantic Ocean in RCM-F from the increased Loop Current

circulation results in significant weakening of the overlying atmospheric easterlies. This, in turn, weakens the wind-induced shelf currents in the western GoM and the Caribbean Sea, thus exacerbating the SST bias in RCM-F relative to RCM-I and RCM-C. Notably, although alike in RCM-C and RCM-F, the cause of SST bias is quite different — the former is a consequence of a weakened Loop Current while the latter results from a coupled ocean-atmosphere response to a stronger Loop Current. The former (RCM-C) would benefit from an improved bathymetry while the latter (RCM-F) would likely improve from better coupled response of the atmosphere to SST anomalies in the IAS. And all three RCM simulations would benefit from improved parameterization of the atmospheric fluxes as they uniformly overestimate the downwelling shortwave flux, upwelling longwave flux, and the enthalpy fluxes. In the meantime, despite its slightly inferior bathymetry to RCM-F, RCM-I shows a comparatively smaller SST bias in the IAS through a likely compensation of errors in the ocean and atmospheric components of the RCM.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Ms. Tracy Ippolito of the Center for Ocean-Atmospheric Studies, Florida State University for the editorial assistance. This work was supported by grants from NOAA (NA12OAR4310078, NA11OAR4310110) and USGS G13AC00408. The supercomputing facility provided by XSEDE under grant number ATM10010 was used to complete the model integrations used in this study.

References

- Alpert, J.C., Kanamitsu, M., Caplan, P.M., Sela, J.G., White, G., Kalnay, E., 1988. Mountain induced gravity wave drag parameterization in the NMC medium-range model. Preprints, Eighth conference on Numerical Weather Prediction, American Meteorological Soc., Baltimore, MD, pp. 726–733.
- Athie, G., Sheinbaum, J., Leben, R., Ochoa, J., Shannon, M.R., Candela, J., 2015. Interannual variability in the Yucatan Channel flow. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 42, 1496–1503, doi:10.1002/2014GL062674.
- Bonjean, F., Lagerloef, G.S.E., 2002. Diagnostic Model and Analysis of the Surface Currents in the Tropical Pacific Ocean. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* 32, 2938–2954, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(2002)032<2938:DMAAOT>2.0.CO;2.
- Bosart, L.F., Lin, S.C., 1984. A diagnostic analysis of the Presidents’ Day storm of February 1979. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 112, 2148–2177, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1984)112<2148:ADAOTP>2.0.CO;2.
- Businger, S., Knapp, D.I., Watson, G.F., 1990. Storm Following Climatology of Precipitation Associated with Winter Cyclones Originating Over the Gulf of Mexico. *Wea. Forecasting*, 5, 378–403, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0434(1990)005<0378:SFCOPA>2.0.CO;2.
- Candela, J., Tanahara, S., Crepon M., Barnier, B., Sheinbaum, J., 2003. Yucatan Channel flow: Observations versus CLIPPER ATL6 and MERCATOR PAM models, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(C12), 3385–3408, doi:10.1029/2003JC001961.

733 Carton, J.A., Giese, B.S., 2008. A reanalysis of ocean climate using simple ocean data
 734 assimilation (SODA), *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 136, 2999–3017,
 735 doi:10.1175/2007MWR1978.1.

736 Chan, S., Misra, V., 2010. A diagnosis of the 1979-2005 extreme rainfall events in the
 737 southeastern United States with Isentropic Moisture Tracing. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 138,
 738 1172-1185, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/2009MWR3083.1>.

739 Chang, P., L. Ji, and H. Li, A decadal climate variation in the tropical Atlantic ocean
 740 from thermodynamic air-sea interactions, *Nature*, 385, 516-518, 1997.

741 Chang, Y.-L., Oey, L.-Y., 2010a. Why can wind delay the shedding of Loop Current
 742 Eddies? *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 40 (11), 2481–2495. doi:
 743 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/2010JPO4460.1>.

744 Chang, Y.-L., Oey, L.-Y., 2010b. Eddy and wind forced heat transports in the Gulf of
 745 Mexico. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 40 (12), 2727-2742,
 746 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/2010JPO4474.1>

747 Cherubin, L.M., Morel, Y., Chassignet, E.P., 2006. Loop current ring shedding: the
 748 formation of cyclones and the effect of topography. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 36, 569-591.

749 Chérubin, L.M., Sturges, W., Chassignet, E.P., 2005. Deep flow variability in the vicinity
 750 of the Yucatan Straits from a high-resolution MICOM simulation. *J. Geophys. Res.*,
 751 110, C04009, doi:10.1029/2004JC002280.

752 Chou, M.-D., Lee, K.-T., 1996. Parameterizations for the absorption of solar radiation by
 753 water vapor and ozone. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 53, 1203–1208,
 754 doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469\(1996\)053<1203:PFTAOS>2.0.CO;2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1996)053<1203:PFTAOS>2.0.CO;2).

755 Chou, M.-D., Suarez, M.J., 1994. An efficient thermal infrared radiation parameterization
756 for use in general circulation models. Technical report series on global modeling and
757 data assimilation, NASA/TM-1994-104606, 3, pp. 85.

758 Clement, A.C., Seager, R., Murtugudde, R., 2005. Why are there tropical warm pools? *J.*
759 *Clim.* 18, 5294–5311, doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JCLI3582.1>.

760 Dukhovskoy, D.S., Leben, R.R., Chassignet, E.P., Hall, C., Morey, S.L., Nedbor-Gross,
761 R., 2014. Characterization of the uncertainty in the Gulf of Mexico loop current
762 description using a multi-decadal numerical simulation and altimeter observations.
763 *Deep-Sea Res., Part I Oceanographic Research Papers*, 100, 140-158,
764 doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2015.01.005.

765 Dunne, J.P., John, J.G., Adcroft, A.J., Griffies, S.M., Hallberg, R.W., Shevliakova, E.,
766 Stouffer, R.J., Cooke, W., Dunne, K.A., Harrison, M.J., Krasting, J.P., Malyshev,
767 S.L., Milly, P.C.D., Phillipps, P.J., Sentman, L.T., Samuels, B.L., Spelman, M.J.,
768 Winton, M., Wittenberg, A.T., Zadeh, N., 2012. GFDL's ESM2 Global Coupled
769 Climate–Carbon Earth System Models. Part I: Physical Formulation and Baseline
770 Simulation Characteristics. *J. Climate*, 25, 6646–6665, doi:
771 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00560.1>.

772 Ek, M.B., Mitchell, K.E., Lin, Y., Rogers, E., Grunmann, P., Koren, V., Gayno, G.,
773 Tarpley, J.D., 2003. Implementation of Noah land surface model advances in the
774 National Centers for Environmental Prediction operational mesoscale Eta model, *J.*
775 *Geophys. Res.*, 108 (D22), 8851-8866, doi:10.1029/2002JD003296.

776 Gent, P.R., Danabasoglu, G., Donner, L.J., Holland, M.M., Hunke, E.C., Jayne, S.R.,
777 Lawrence, D.M., Neale, R.B., Rasch, P.J., Vertenstein, M., Worley, P.H., Yang, Z.-

778 L., Zhang, M., 2011. The Community Climate System Model Version 4. *J.*
 779 *Climate*, 24, 4973–4991, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/2011JCLI4083.1>.
 780 Giese, B.S., Ray, S., 2011. El Niño variability in Simple Ocean Data Assimilation
 781 (SODA), 1871–2008, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, C02024, doi:10.1029/2010JC006695.
 782 Haidvogel, D.B., Arango H.G., Hedstrom K., Beckmann A., Malanotte-Rizzoli P.,
 783 Shchepetkin A.F., 2000. Model evaluation experiments in the North Atlantic Basin:
 784 simulations in nonlinear terrain-following coordinates. *Dyn Atmos Oceans* 32: 239-
 785 281, [doi:10.1016/S0377-0265\(00\)00049-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0265(00)00049-X).
 786 Haney, R.L., 1991: On the pressure gradient force over steep topography in sigma
 787 coordinate ocean models. *J. Phys. Oceanogr*, 21, 610-619, doi:
 788 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485\(1991\)021<0610:OTPGFO>2.0.CO;2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1991)021<0610:OTPGFO>2.0.CO;2).
 789 Hastenrath, S., and L. Greischar, 1993. Further work on the prediction of northeast Brazil
 790 rainfall anomalies, *J. Clim.*, 6, 743-758.
 791 Helber, R.W., Weisberg, R.H., Bonjean, F., Johnson, E.S., Lagerloef, G.S.E., 2007.
 792 Satellite-Derived Surface Current Divergence in Relation to Tropical Atlantic SST
 793 and Wind. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 37, 1357–1375,
 794 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JPO3052.1>.
 795 Hong, S.Y., Pan, H.L., 1996. Nonlocal boundary layer vertical diffusion in a medium-
 796 range forecast model. *Mon Weather Rev* 124, 2322–2339, doi:
 797 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(1996\)124<2322:NBLVDI>2.0.CO;2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1996)124<2322:NBLVDI>2.0.CO;2).
 798 Hurlburt, H.E., Thompson, J.D., 1980. A numerical study of Loop Current intrusions and
 799 eddy shedding. *J. Phys. Oceanography*, 10, 1611-1651,
 800 doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485\(1980\)010<1611:ANSOLC>2.0.CO;2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1980)010<1611:ANSOLC>2.0.CO;2).

801 Jackett, D.R., McDougall, T.J., Feistel, R., Wright, D.G., Griffies, S.M., 2006.
802 Algorithms for Density, Potential Temperature, Conservative Temperature, and the
803 Freezing Temperature of Seawater. *J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol.*, 23, 1709–1728, doi:
804 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JTECH1946.1>.

805 Jayne, S.R., Marotzke, J., 2002. The oceanic eddy heat transport. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 32,
806 3328-3345.

807 Juang, H.-M.H., Kanamitsu, M., 1994. The NMC nested regional spectral Model. *Mon*
808 *Wea Rev* 122, 3-26, doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1994)122<0003:TNNRSM>2.0.CO;2)
809 [0493\(1994\)122<0003:TNNRSM>2.0.CO;2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1994)122<0003:TNNRSM>2.0.CO;2).

810 Kanamitsu, M., Ebisuzaki, W., Wollen, J., Yang, S.-K., Hnilo, J.J., Fiorino, M., Potter,
811 G.L., 2002. NCEP-DOE AMIP-II Reanalysis. *Bull. Amer. Met. Soc.* 83, 1631-1643,
812 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-83-11-1631>.

813 Kanamitsu, M., Yoshimura, K., Yhang, Y.-B., Hong, S.-Y., 2010. Errors of Interannual
814 variability and Multi-Decadal Trend in Dynamical Regional Climate Downscaling
815 and its Corrections. *J. Geophys. Res.* 115, D17115, doi:10.1029/2009JD013511.

816 Kozar, M., Misra, V., 2012. Evaluation of Twentieth-Century Atlantic Warm Pool
817 Simulations in Historical CMIP5 Runs. *Clim. Dyn.*, 41(9-10), 2375-2391,
818 doi:10.1007/s00382-012-1604-9.

819 Large, W.G., McWilliams, J.C., Doney, S.C., 1994. Oceanic vertical mixing: A review
820 and a model with a nonlocal boundary layer parameterization, *Rev. Geophys.*, 32,
821 363-403, doi:10.1029/94RG01872.

822 Large, W.G., Yeager, S.G., 2009. The global climatology of an internationally varying
823 air–sea flux data set. *Clim Dyn* 33, 341–364, doi:10.1007/s00382-008-0441-3.

824 R.R., 2005. Altimeter-derived loop current metrics. In: Sturges, W., Lugo-Fernandez
825 (Eds.):Circulation in Gulf of Mexico: Observations and models, Geophysical
826 Monograph Series, American Geophysical Union, Volume 161, pp. 181-201,
827 doi:10.1029/161GM15.

828 Lee, S.-K., Enfield, D.B., Wang, C., 2005. Ocean general circulation model sensitivity
829 experiments on the annual cycle of Western Hemisphere Warm Pool. *J. Geophys.*
830 *Res.* 110, C09004, doi:10.1029/2004JC002640.

831 Lee, S.-K., Enfield, D.B., Wang, C., 2007. What Drives Seasonal Onset and Decay of the
832 Western Hemisphere Warm Pool? *J. Clim.*, 20, 2133-2146, doi:
833 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JCLI4113.1>.

834 Lee, S.-K., C. Wang, and B.E. Mapes, 2009. A simple atmospheric model of the local
835 and teleconnection responses to tropical heating anomalies. *Journal of Climate*,
836 22(2):227-284.

837 Li, H., Kanamitsu, M., Hong, S.-Y., 2012. California reanalysis downscaling at 10 km
838 using an ocean-atmosphere coupled regional model system. *J. Geophys. Res.*
839 (Atmospheres), 117, D12118, doi:10.1029/2011JD017372.

840 Li, H., Kanamitsu, M., Hong, S.-Y., Yoshimura, K., Cayan, D.R., Misra, V., Sun, L.,
841 2014. Projected climate change scenario over California by a regional ocean-
842 atmosphere coupled model system. *Climatic Change*, 122(4), 609-619,
843 doi:10.1007/s10584-013-1025-8.

844 Li, H., Kanamitsu, M., Hong, S.-Y., Yoshimura, K., Cayan, D.R., Misra, 2013. A high-
845 resolution ocean-atmosphere coupled downscaling of the present climate over
846 California. *Clim. Dyn.*, doi:10.1007/s00382-013-1670-7.

847 Li, H., Misra, V., 2014. Thirty-two year ocean-atmosphere coupled downscaling of
848 global reanalysis over the Intra-American Seas. *Clim. Dyn.*, 43(9), 2471-2489,
849 doi:10.1007/s00382-014-2069-9.

850 Lin, Y., Greatbatch, R.J., Sheng, J., 2009. A model study of the vertically integrated
851 transport variability through the Yucatan Channel: Role of Loop Current Evolution
852 and flow compensation around Cuba. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, C08003,
853 doi:10.1029/2008JC005199.

854 Lindzen, R. S. and S. Nigam, 1987: On the role of sea surface temperature gradients in
855 forcing low-level winds and convergence in the tropics. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 44, 2418–
856 2436.

857 Liu, H., Wang, C., Lee, S.-K., Enfield, D.B., 2012a. Atlantic warm pool variability in the
858 IPCC AR4 CGCM simulations. *J. Climate*, 25, 5612-5628, doi:
859 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00376.1>.

860 Liu, H., Wang, C., Lee, S.-K., Enfield, D.B., 2013. Atlantic warm pool variability in the
861 CMIP5 simulations. *J. Climate*, 26, 5315-5336, doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00556.1)
862 [D-12-00556.1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00556.1).

863 Liu, Y., Lee, S.-K., Enfield, D.B, Muhling, B.A., Lamkin, J.T., Muller-Karger, F., Roffer,
864 M.A., 2015. Potential impact of climate change on the Intra-Americas Seas: Part-1. A
865 dynamic downscaling of the CMIP5 model projections. *J. Marine Syst.*, 148, 56-69,
866 doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2015.01.007.

867 Liu, Y., Lee, S.-K., Muhling, B.A., Lamkin, J.T., Enfield, D.B., 2012b. Significant
868 reduction of the Loop Current in the 21st century and its impact on the Gulf of
869 Mexico. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, C05039, doi:10.1029/2011JC007555.

870 Locarnini, R. A., Mishonov, A.V., Antonov, J.I., Boyer, T.P., Garcia, H.E., Baranova,
 871 O.K., Zweng, M.M., Paver, C.R., Reagan, J.R., Johnson, D.R., Hamilton, M.,
 872 Seidov, D., 2013. *World Ocean Atlas 2013, Volume 1: Temperature*. S. Levitus, S.,
 873 (Ed.), Mishonov, A., (Technical Ed.); NOAA Atlas NESDIS 73, pp. 40.
 874 Mainelli, M., DeMaria, M., Shay, L.K., Goni, G., 2008. Application of oceanic heat
 875 content estimation to operational forecasting of recent Atlantic category 5 hurricanes.
 876 Wea. Forecasting, 23(1), 3-16, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/2007WAF2006111.1>.
 877 Martinho, A.S., Batteen, M.L., 2006. On reducing the slope parameter in terrain
 878 following numerical ocean models. Ocean Modell., 13(2), 166-175,
 879 doi:[10.1016/j.ocemod.2006.01.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2006.01.003).
 880 Mellor, G.L., Ezer, T., Oey, L.-Y., 1994. The pressure gradient conundrum of sigma
 881 coordinate ocean models. J. Atmos. Ocean Tech., 11(4), 1126-1134, doi:
 882 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426\(1994\)011<1126:TPGCOS>2.0.CO;2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426(1994)011<1126:TPGCOS>2.0.CO;2).
 883 Mellor, G.L., Yamada, T., 1982. Development of a turbulence closure model for
 884 geophysical fluid problems, Rev. Geophys., 20, 851–875,
 885 doi:[10.1029/RG020i004p00851](https://doi.org/10.1029/RG020i004p00851).
 886 Misra, V., Chan, S., Wu, R., Chassignet, E., 2009. Air-sea interaction over the Atlantic
 887 warm pool in the NCEP CFS. Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L15702,
 888 doi:[10.1029/2009GL038525](https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL038525).
 889 Misra, V. and A. Mishra, 2016: The oceanic influence on the rainy season of Peninsular
 890 Florida. J. Geophys. Res. (Atmospheres), doi:[10.1002/2016JD024824](https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD024824). In press.

891 Misra, V., Li, H., Kozar, M., 2014. The precursors in the Intra-Americas Seas to seasonal
892 climate variations over North America. *J. Geophys. Res. (Oceans)*, 119 (5), 2938-
893 2948, doi:10.1002/2014JC009911.

894 Misra, V., Stroman, A., DiNapoli, S., 2013. The rendition of the Atlantic Warm Pool in
895 the reanalyses. *Clim. Dyn.*, 41, 517-532, doi:10.1007/s00382-012-1474-1.

896 Mo, K.C., Chelliah, M., Carrera, M., Higgins, R.W., Ebisuzaki, W., 2005. Atmospheric
897 moisture transport over the United States and Mexico as evaluated in the NCEP
898 regional reanalysis. *J. Hydromet*, 6, 710-728.

899 Moorthi, S., Suarez, M.J., 1992. Relaxed Arakawa-Schubert. A parameterization of moist
900 convection for general circulation models. *Mon. Wea. Rev.* 120, 978–1002, doi:
901 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(1992\)120<0978:RASAPO>2.0.CO;2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1992)120<0978:RASAPO>2.0.CO;2).

902 Moura, A. D. and Shukla, J. 1981. On the dynamics of droughts in northeast Brazil:
903 observations, theory and numerical experiments with a general circulation model. *J.*
904 *Atmos. Sci* 38, 3653–2675.

905 Rappaport, E.N., Franklin, J.L., Schumacher, A.B., DeMaria, M., Shay, L.K., Gibney,
906 E.J., 2010. Tropical intensity change before U. S. Gulf coast landfall. *Wea.*
907 *Forecasting*, 25, 1380-1396, doi:10.1175/2010WAF2222369.1.

908 Reynolds, R.W., Smith, T.M., Liu, C., Chelton, D.B., Casey, K.S., Schlax, M.G., 2007.
909 Daily High-Resolution-Blended Analyses for sea surface temperature. *J Climate* 20,
910 5473-5496, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/2007JCLI1824.1.

911 Rossow, W. B., Walker A. W., Beusichel D. E., Roiter M. D., 1996. International Satellite
912 Cloud Climatology Project (ISCCP) Documentation of new cloud datasets.
913 WMO/TD-No. 737, World Meteorological Organization, 115 pp

914 Ruiz-Barradas, A., Nigam, S., 2005. Warm season rainfall variability over the US great
 915 plains in observations, NCEP and ERA-40 Reanalyses, and NCAR and NASA
 916 Atmospheric Model Simulations, *J. Climate*, 18(11), 1808-1830, doi:
 917 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JCLI3343.1>.

918 Schmitz, W.J., Richardson, P.L., 1991. On the sources of the Florida Current. *Deep Sea*
 919 *Res., Part A*, 38, S379 – S409, doi:10.1016/S0198-0149(12)80018-5.

920 Shay, L.K., 2009. Upper ocean structure: Response to strong forcing events.
 921 *Encyclopedia of Ocean Sciences*. 2nd ed. J. Steele et al., (Eds.), Elsevier, 192-210,
 922 doi:10.1016/B978-012374473-9.00628-7.

923 Shay, L.K., Goni, G.J., Black, P.G., 2000. Effects of a warm oceanic feature on
 924 Hurricane Opal. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 128(5), 1366–1383,
 925 doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(2000\)128<1366:EOAWOF>2.0.CO;2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(2000)128<1366:EOAWOF>2.0.CO;2).

926 Shchepetkin, A.F., McWilliams, J.C., 2005. The Regional Oceanic Modeling System
 927 (ROMS): A split-explicit, free-surface, topography-following-coordinate ocean
 928 model. *Ocean Modell.*, 9, 347-404.

929 Sheinbaum, J., Candela, J., Badan, A., Ochoa, J., 2002. Flow structure and transport
 930 through the Yucatan Channel. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29(3), 1040,
 931 doi:10.1029/2001GL013990.

932 Sikiric, M.D., Janekovic, I., Kuzmic, M., 2009. A new approach to bathymetry
 933 smoothing in sigma-coordinate ocean models. *Ocean Modell.*, 29(2), 128-136,
 934 doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2009.03.009.

935 Tanimoto, Y., and S.-P. Xie, Inter-hemispheric decadal variations in SST, surface wind,
 936 heat flux and cloud cover over the Atlantic Ocean, *J. Meteorol. Soc. Jpn.*, 80, 1199-
 937 1219, 2002.

938 Tiedtke, M., 1983. The sensitivity of the time-mean large-scale flow to cumulus
 939 convection in the ECMWF model. In: Proceedings of ECMWF workshop on
 940 convective in large-scale models, Reading, United Kingdom, European Centre for
 941 Medium-Range Weather Forecasts, pp. 297–316

942 Umlauf, L., Burchard, H., 2003. A generic length-scale equation for geophysical
 943 turbulence models. *J. Mar. Res.*, 61(2), 235–265, doi:10.1357/002224003322005087.

944 Wang, C., and D. B. Enfield, 2001. The tropical Western Hemisphere warm
 945 pool. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 28, 1635-1638.

946 Wang, C., Enfield, D.B., 2003. A further study of the tropical Western Hemisphere
 947 Warm Pool. *J. Climate*, 16(10), 1476-1493, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442-
 948 16.10.1476.

949 Wang, C., Enfield, D.B., Lee, S.-K., Landsea, C., 2006. Influences of the Atlantic warm
 950 pool on Western Hemisphere summer rainfall and Atlantic hurricanes. *J. Climate*,
 951 19(12), 3011-3028, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JCLI3770.1.

952 Wang, C., S.-K. Lee, and D. B. Enfield, 2007. Impact of the Atlantic warm pool on the
 953 summer climate of the Western Hemisphere. *J. Climate*, 20, 5021–5040.

954 Wang, C., Lee, S.-K., Enfield, D.B., 2008a. Climate response to anomalously large and
 955 small Atlantic Warm pools during the summer. *J. Climate*, 21(11), 2437-2450, doi:
 956 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/2007JCLI2029.1>.

957 Wang, C., Lee, S.-K., Enfield, D.B., 2008b. Atlantic Warm Pool Acting as a Link
958 between Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation and Atlantic Tropical Cyclone Activity.
959 *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.*, 9(5), Q05V03, doi:10.1029/2007GC001809.

960 Zhang, L., Wang, C., Xiu, L., 2012. Low frequency modulation of the Atlantic warm
961 pool by the Atlantic multidecadal oscillation. *Clim. Dyn.*, 39(7), 1661-1671,
962 doi:10.1007/s00382-011-1257-0.

963