ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Nuclear Physics A 00 (2014) 1–5

Nuclear
Physics A

Jet quenching within a hybrid strong/weak coupling approach

Jorge Casalderrey-Solana^a, Doga Can Gulhan^b, José Guilherme Milhano^{c,d}, Daniel Pablos^a, Krishna Rajagopal^b

^a *Departament d'Estructura i Constituents de la Matèria and Institut de Ciències del Cosmos (ICCUB), Universitat de Barcelona, Martí i Franquès 1, 08028 Barcelona, Spain*

^b *Laboratory for Nuclear Science and Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*

^c *CENTRA, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Av. Rovisco Pais, P-1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal*

^d *Physics Department, Theory Unit, CERN, CH-1211 Genève 23, Switzerland*

Abstract

We propose a novel hybrid model for jet quenching, including both strong and weak coupling physics where each seems appropriate. Branching in the parton shower is assumed to be perturbative and described by DGLAP evolution, while interactions with the medium result in each parton in the shower losing energy as at strong coupling, as realized holographically. The medium-modified parton shower is embedded into a hydrodynamic evolution of hot QCD plasma and confronted with LHC jet data.

Keywords: jets, quenching, AdS/CFT

1. Introduction

We describe a model for the energy loss of jets traversing a strongly coupled plasma [1]. This model relies on the separation of scales involved in the different physics regimes of relevance for the quenching dynamics. Since high energy jets are produced at a scale $Q \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$, the spectrum is assumed to be under good theoretical control. The consequent parton evolution consisting in the relaxation of this high virtuality parton through successive splittings is described by DGLAP equations. In between the splittings, the partons in the shower can interact with the medium, a plasma at a temperature $T \gtrsim \Lambda_{QCD}$ that is not high enough to ignore strong-coupling effects. The soft in-medium dynamics are modelled using insights obtained via gauge/gravity duality.

The energy loss rate of probes passing through a strongly coupled medium has been determined for several non-Abelian theories that have an holographic dual in terms of a gravitational description, to date not including QCD. For these theories, it is not yet possible to treat the hard splittings within the dual gravitational description, which means that the present lack of a holistic explanation motivates a phenomenological approach. We embed the jets from our hybrid model into an expanding hot QCD fluid and compare to LHC data for jet observables. For a detailed description of this hybrid approach see [1].

2. A Hybrid Model

We use PYTHIA [2] to generate hard processes at such high virtuality that changes in nuclear parton distribution functions can be neglected. Since the in-medium hadronization process is not under good theoretical control, we stay at the parton level. For the same reason, we will restrict ourselves to fully reconstructed high energy jet observables, which have limited sensitivity to hadronization effects.

Figure 1. Left: Jet R_{AA} for central events. The single free parameter in our hybrid model is fitted to the left-most data point in this panel. Data from Ref. [4]. Right: Dijet asymmetry for central events. The band is the prediction of our model, after its parameter has been fixed as in the left panel. We obtain a good description of the data, taken from Ref. [5]. (Systematic error not shown.)

Each parton travelling through the plasma will be given a formation time ($\tau_f = 2E/Q^2$), determining in this way the space-time structure of the complete shower. The expanding plasma is described by a hydrodynamic model whose realistic equation of state [3] does not have a precise critical temperature, the point below which the plasma is no longer strongly coupled and energy subtraction stops. Accordingly, the range $180 < T_c < 200$ MeV is taken to gauge our theoretical uncertainties. In our current implementation, we ignore quenching in the hadronic phase.

To every parton in the medium, we apply an energy loss rate based on the results of [6], where this quantity was calculated for a light quark traversing a slab of $N = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills plasma

$$\frac{1}{E_{in}} \frac{dE}{dx} = - \frac{4x^2}{\pi x_{stop}^2 \sqrt{x_{stop}^2 - x^2}}, \quad (1)$$

E_{in} being the initial energy of the parton at its production point and x_{stop} its stopping distance. We determine the latter upon assuming the same dependence on energy and temperature as in the holographic calculation:

$$x_{stop} = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{SC}} \frac{E_{in}^{1/3}}{T^{4/3}}. \quad (2)$$

where we have introduced a dimensionless parameter κ_{SC} whose value is not under good theoretical control. The classical string based computation of [6] yields $\kappa_{SC} = 1.05\lambda^{1/6}$, with $\lambda \equiv g^2 N_c$ the 't Hooft coupling. However, wave-packet based computations as in Ref. [7] yield a κ_{SC} that is a pure number of order unity, parametrically independent of λ . Furthermore, the purely numerical component of κ_{SC} should be less in QCD than in $N = 4$ SYM theory, since QCD has fewer degrees of freedom at the same temperature. For these reasons, we will treat κ_{SC} as a dimensionless fitting parameter to be constrained by data.

No calculation of the rate of energy loss for gluons at strong coupling, along the lines of that for quarks in Ref. [6], has yet been done. Nevertheless, since the parametric dependence of the gluon stopping length is the same as that for quarks, with a gluon with energy E having the same stopping length as a quark with energy $E/2$ at large N_c because the gluon is represented by two strings [8], we model the rate of energy loss for gluons using Eq. (1), but with

$$x_{stop}^G = x_{stop}^Q \left(\frac{C_F}{C_A} \right)^{1/3}, \quad (3)$$

where we have assumed that the factor of 2 is the ratio of the Casimirs in the large- N_c limit.

In the present model implementation of our hybrid approach, we assume that the energy lost by partons in the shower as they traverse the medium becomes soft particles whose direction of motion is isotropic, and thus uncorre-

Figure 2. Left: Scan of $\langle A_J \rangle$ versus R_{AA} for jets with $100 \text{ GeV} < p_T < 110 \text{ GeV}$ in central heavy ion collisions at the LHC, as the free parameter in each model is varied. Varying the value of the parameter corresponds to scanning along the corresponding colored band. The widths of the band correspond to the systematic uncertainty coming from varying T_c as described in the text. Right: Ratio of partonic fragmentation functions for jets with $100 \text{ GeV} < p_T < 300 \text{ GeV}$ in central heavy ion collisions to those in pp collisions. Data from Ref. [12].

lated with the direction of the jet. Such particles are removed by the background subtraction procedures in experimentalists' analyses of the jet observables that we compare our predictions to, and we therefore ignore the lost energy. This assumption could be improved upon in future, more sophisticated, implementations of the hybrid approach.

To gain control over the sensitivity of the several studied jet observables to the underlying energy loss mechanism, we have also investigated models in which we repeat our setup, but with a rate of energy loss inspired by perturbative calculations which result in two different path length dependences. First, we use

$$\frac{dE}{dx} = -\kappa_R \frac{C_R}{C_F} T^3 x, \quad (4)$$

which incorporates (to logarithmic accuracy) the path length dependence of radiative energy loss. Note that the computations based on this rate are only intended as a benchmark against which to compare our hybrid strong/weak coupling approach; we do not see them as superseding other more involved implementations of radiative energy loss, in particular those which keep track of the radiated gluons. The second benchmark energy loss rate that we use is

$$\frac{dE}{dx} = -\kappa_C \frac{C_R}{C_F} T^2, \quad (5)$$

inspired by collisional energy loss and its characteristic (lack of) path-length dependence. We treat κ_C and κ_R as parameters in each model, fitting them to the same data used to fit κ_{SC} in the hybrid strong/weak coupling model.

3. Comparison with Data

As is done in analyses of experimental data, we reconstruct jets using the FastJet [9] anti- k_T algorithm with $R = 0.3$ for the rapidity range $|y| < 2$. The single free parameter per model is constrained by fitting the jet R_{AA} for $100 < p_T < 110 \text{ GeV}$ in 0-10% centrality class. In the left panel of Figure 1, the jet R_{AA} for the strongly coupled model is shown together with preliminary CMS data [4]. We observe a weakly p_T -dependent shape. In the right panel, we show another inclusive jet observable, the dijet asymmetry, for the same centrality. Even though current data [10, 5] have not yet been fully unfolded, there is a smearing procedure used by the CMS collaboration [11] in which the main systematics of resolution effects are taken into account. Once this has been applied to our results, we compare to data and find highly satisfactory agreement.

The previous success is not exclusive to the strongly coupled model. To see this, in the left panel of Figure 2 we show the predictions of our hybrid model and of the two weakly coupled benchmarks for the mean dijet asymmetry as a function of the jet suppression, where for each point a different value of the fitting parameter was used. Each of the

Figure 3. Left: Number of quark initiated jets over number of gluon initiated vs centrality. Right: b-jet R_{AA} for the strongly coupled model compared to CMS data [13]. Note that the light quark energy loss rate Eq. (1) has been used for b-quarks, as appropriate at sufficiently high energy.

values of T_c leads to a different curve, which in turn end up constructing the observed theoretical bands. One can see how, within current systematic uncertainties, data cannot discriminate between the different models. At the same time a quantification of the needed improvement in precision in jet data can be inferred from the mild separation among the models.

In the right panel of Figure 2, we show the ratio between in medium and vacuum *partonic* fragmentation functions. Although fragmentation functions depend on hadronization, we have checked that the ratio in Figure 2 is relatively insensitive to the virtuality cut-off of the evolution, which suggests that a direct comparison with data is meaningful. The different behavior of the models motivates a more critical discussion. The collisional model is strongly disfavored, while the predictions of the strongly coupled model go through the data nicely up to the very soft part of the fragmentation functions. This softest region is where the background sits, and uncorrelated fluctuations can easily enhance the presence of very soft particles with respect to jets in vacuum. We have checked with a thermal distribution that this is the case. This is also the region where corrections to our present assumption that the energy lost by the jet isotropizes may have discernable effects.

We also compute the ratio of the number of quark initiated jets to the number of gluon initiated jets for each model with $100 \text{ GeV} < p_T < 150 \text{ GeV}$ as a function of centrality. This is shown in the left panel of Figure 3. In contrast to the perturbative models, in the strongly coupled model the ratio of the Casimirs arises suppressed by a power $1/3$, meaning that there is less difference between quark and gluon energy loss at strong coupling than at weak coupling. Although radiative and collisional energy loss have the same color charge dependence, the fact that gluon jets are fatter and softer makes them get more suppressed in the collisional model, which is very effective at quenching soft particles. This indicates that more differential color-charge-dependent observables may be more sensitive to the underlying properties of the medium.

Motivated by the discussion above, in the right panel in Figure 3 we show a first attempt to describe b-jet suppression within the hybrid approach. At strong coupling, low energy b-quarks lose energy via drag [14, 15, 16], not as in Eq. (1). However, at sufficiently high energy, the energy loss of heavy and light quarks should be indistinguishable and Eq. (1) will apply to b-quarks. This transition has not yet been studied quantitatively, even within the duality. Nevertheless, in our first attempt we will assume that the b-quarks are sufficiently energetic that their rate of energy loss is the same as that for light quarks. With this additional assumption, the strongly coupled model is again compatible with data. Note that, unlike for the other observables in these proceedings, the uncertainty band of our b-quark computations is at present sensitive to the statistical error in our set of Monte Carlo events. For this reason, we are currently unable to test the power of this observable to discriminate between models, which we leave for future work.

4. Conclusions

The simple approach explored here provides a satisfactory agreement between the hybrid strongly coupled model and jet data. The extracted values of the free parameter κ_{SC} , $0.3 < \kappa_{SC} < 0.4$ are of order one, consistent with holographic calculations and indicating that the stopping length in strongly coupled QCD plasma is about 3 to 4 times longer than that in strongly coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM plasma with the same temperature, a result that is not unreasonable given that QCD has fewer degrees of freedom. Inclusive observables have limited sensitivity to the underlying energy loss mechanism, while others such as fragmentation function ratios can better discriminate, supporting strongly coupled energy loss. Color-charge-dependent observables can also provide a further discrimination, given the different ways in which each model acts on gluon jets relative to quark jets.

Acknowledgements This contribution to these proceedings corresponds to two talks. The first talk, given by KR, reported on his work with P. Chesler in Ref. [6], including in particular the holographic calculations behind Eq. (1). As in the second talk, given by DP, this contribution to these proceedings is to a significant degree built upon Eq. (1). For the derivation of Eq. (1), as well as for further context and for thoughts about its other potential uses, see Ref. [6]. JCS is a Ramón y Cajal fellow. JCS and DP are supported by the grants FP7-PEOPLE-2012- GIG-333786, FPA2013-40360-ERC, FPA2010-20807, 2009SGR502 and by the Consolider CPAN project. JGM is supported by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (Portugal) under project CERN/FP/123596/2011 and contract 'Investigador FCT - Development Grant'. The work of DCG and KR was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under grant DE-SC0011090.

References

- [1] J. Casalderrey-Solana, D. C. Gulhan, J. G. Milhano, D. Pablos, K. Rajagopal, A Hybrid Strong/Weak Coupling Approach to Jet Quenching arXiv:1405.3864.
- [2] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna, P. Z. Skands, JHEP 0605 (2006) 026. arXiv:hep-ph/0603175.
- [3] T. Hirano, P. Huovinen, Y. Nara, Phys.Rev. C84 (2011) 011901. arXiv:1012.3955.
- [4] E. Appelt for the CMS collaboration, these proceedings.
- [5] S. Chatrchyan, et al., Phys.Lett. B712 (2012) 176–197. arXiv:1202.5022.
- [6] P. M. Chesler, K. Rajagopal, Phys.Rev. D90 (2014) 025033. arXiv:1402.6756.
- [7] P. Arnold, D. Vaman, JHEP 1010 (2010) 099. arXiv:1008.4023.
- [8] S. S. Gubser, D. R. Gulotta, S. S. Pufu, F. D. Rocha, JHEP 0810 (2008) 052.
- [9] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, G. Soyez, Eur.Phys.J. C72 (2012) 1896. arXiv:1111.6097.
- [10] G. Aad, et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 105 (2010) 252303. arXiv:1011.6182.
- [11] Y. Yilmaz, Jet quenching in heavy-ion collisions at LHC with CMS detector, PhD thesis.
- [12] S. Chatrchyan, et al., Measurement of jet fragmentation in PbPb and pp collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV. arXiv:1406.0932.
- [13] K. Jung for the CMS collaboration, these proceedings.
- [14] C. Herzog, A. Karch, P. Kovtun, C. Kozcaz, L. Yaffe, JHEP 0607 (2006) 013. arXiv:hep-th/0605158.
- [15] S. S. Gubser, Phys.Rev. D74 (2006) 126005. arXiv:hep-th/0605182.
- [16] J. Casalderrey-Solana, D. Teaney, Phys.Rev. D74 (2006) 085012. arXiv:hep-ph/0605199.