Potent antitrypanosomal triterpenoid saponins from *Mussaenda luteola*

Shaymaa M. Mohamed ^{a,b}, Enaam Y. Bachkeet ^b, Soad A. Bayoumi ^b, Surendra Jain ^{a,c}, Stephen J. Cutler ^{a,c}, Babu L. Tekwani ^{a,c}, Samir A. Ross ^{a,c,*}

^a *National Center for Natural Products Research, The University of Mississippi, University, MS 38677, United states.*

^b *Department of Pharmacognosy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt.*

^c *Department of BioMolecular Sciences, School of Pharmacy, The University of Mississippi, University, MS38677, United states.*

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-662-915-1031, fax: +1-662-915-7989

E-mail address: sross@olemiss.edu

ABSTRACT

Five new triterpenoid saponins, heinsiagenin A 3-*O*-[α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)- β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)]- β -D-glucopyranoside (**1**), heinsiagenin A 3-*O*-[α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)- β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)]-[β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)]- β -D-glucopyranoside (**2**), 2 α -hydroxyheinsiagenin A 3-*O*-[α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)- β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)]- β -D-glucopyranoside (**3**), 2 α -hydroxyheinsiagenin A 3-*O*-[β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)]-[β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)]- β -D-glucopyranoside (**4**) and *N*-(2*S*, 3*R*, 4*R*-3-methyl-4-pentanolid-2-yl)-18-hydroxytanosta-8 (9), 22*E*, 24*E*-trien-27-amide-3-*O*-[α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)- β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)]-[β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)]- β -D-glucopyranoside (**5**) were isolated from the aerial parts of *Mussaenda luteola* Delile (Rubiaceae). Structural elucidation was based on the analysis of spectroscopic data (1D and 2D NMR) and HR-ESI-MS. Compound **1** showed potent antitrypanosomal activity with an IC₅₀ value of 8.80 μ M. Compounds **2-4** showed highly potent antitrypanosomal activity with IC₅₀ values ranging between (2.57-2.84 μ M) and IC₉₀ values ranging between (3.36-4.35 μ M), which are 5 fold greater than the positive control DFMO (IC₅₀ and IC₉₀ values of 13.06 and 28.99 μ M, respectively). Compounds **1** and **2** showed moderate affinity to μ -opioid receptors with K_i values of 9.936 μ M and 0.872 μ M, respectively compared to a K_i value of 1.958 nM for the positive control, naloxone HCl.

Keywords:

Mussaenda luteola

Rubiaceae

triterpenoid saponins

antitrypanosomal

μ -opioid receptor binding

1. Introduction

Mussaenda (Rubiaceae) is an important source of medicinal natural products. *Mussaenda* species are native to West Africa, the Indian sub-continent, South-East Asia, and Southern China [1]. There are more than 200 species of *Mussaenda* [1]. Some species have historically been used in Chinese and Fijian traditional medicine as a diuretic, antiphlogistic, antipyretic, abortifacient, expectorant and antimicrobial [1]. Non glycosidic iridoids like Mussaein, from *M. pubescens*, are cytotoxic [2]. This report is the first phytochemical and biological investigation on *Mussaenda luteola* Delile shrubs.

Several triterpenoid cycloartane saponins have been isolated from *M. pubescens* [3-5]. Most saponins possess a variety of bioactivities including cardiac, antifungal, hemolytic activities and the ability to affect metabolism and biosynthesis [6]. Mussaendoside F, isolated from *M. pubescens*, was considered as an antagonist of the M-Ach receptor. Additionally, it significantly promoted the proliferation of T-cells in mice in vitro [4]. In the continuation to search for new active metabolites, this paper reported the isolation and structure elucidation of five new saponins from *M. luteola*. Additionally, their antiprotozoal activities and cannabinoid, and opioid receptor binding affinities were evaluated.

Trypanosoma brucei brucei is a unicellular parasite transmitted by the bite of tsetse fly and is the causative agent of sleeping sickness in humans and related diseases in animals [7]. Current treatment of both African and American trypanosomiasis is unsatisfactory [8]. For the treatment of sleeping sickness only four drugs are available [9]. Suramin and pentamidine are effective against the early stages of *T. b. rhodesiense* and *T. b. gambiense* infections, respectively [10]. Melarsoprol is a trivalent arsenical agent and was introduced in 1949 for treating of late-stage sleeping sickness caused by *T. brucei* spp [10]. DFMO, a selective inhibitor of ornithine decarboxylase, is the only new drug for chemotherapy of sleeping sickness, which was first used in 1990 [10]. Thus, the identification of new agents with selective trypanocidal activity, that can serve as lead compounds for the development of future antitrypanosomal drugs, is of paramount importance.

2. Experimental

2.1. General procedures

Optical rotations were measured with an Autopol IV automatic polarimeter. IR spectra were obtained using a Bruker Tensor 27 IR spectrometer. UV spectra were recorded on Cary-50 Bio spectrophotometer. The ^1H , ^{13}C and 2D NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury 400 MHz spectrometer at 400 (^1H) and 100 (^{13}C) using TMS as internal standard. The HR-ESI-MS were obtained using a Bruker BioApex-FTMS with electrospray ionization (ESI). Column chromatography (CC) was performed on silica gel 60 F254 (0.2 mm, Merck), Diaion HP-20, SephadexTM LH-20 and MN-polyamide-SC-6.

2.2. Plant material

Aerial parts of *Mussaenda luteola* were collected from the El-Zohria Research Garden, Cairo, Egypt in May 2012. The plant material was identified by Professor Mo'men Mostafa Mahmoud, Professor of Taxonomy, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt. A voucher specimen (No. 36) has been deposited at the herbarium of the Pharmacognosy Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Assiut University, Egypt.

2.3. Extraction and isolation

The dried powdered plant material (600 g) was exhaustively extracted by maceration with 70% methanol (4 L $\times$ 3) at room temperature for three days. The combined extracts were evaporated under reduced pressure to afford a dry residue (50 g). Silica gel VLC was used for the initial fractionation of the methanolic extract eluted sequentially with *n*-hexane, EtOAc and MeOH to give three fractions (F1-F3). F3 (28.5 g) was subjected to Diaion-HP-20 CC and eluted with distilled water then methanol. The methanol subfraction (7.2 g) was subjected to MN-polyamide-SC-6 CC, which was eluted initially with water then gradient decreased polarities with H₂O-MeOH systems to give eight subfractions (Fr.1-8). Further purification for subfraction Fr.5 (652.6 mg), eluted by H₂O-MeOH (60:40), on silica gel (25 g) CC initially eluted with CHCl₃-MeOH (90:10) then (85:15) and (80:20) resulted in the isolation of compound **5** (6.8 mg). Subfraction Fr.6 (490.9 mg), eluted by H₂O-MeOH (1:1), was subjected to Sephadex LH-20 (50 g) CC [1(ID) $\times$ 80(L) cm], which was eluted with MeOH to give three subfractions Fr.6-A to Fr.6-C. Fr.6-B (305.6 mg) was subjected to silica gel (10 g) CC [1(ID) $\times$ 20(L) cm], which was eluted initially with EtOAc-DCM-MeOH-H₂O (80:40:11:2) to obtain subfractions (1-80) followed by EtOAc-DCM-MeOH-H₂O (15:8:4:1) to obtain subfractions (81-

140) to afford compounds **3** (5.4 mg) and **4** (4.7 mg). Subfraction Fr.7 (207 mg), eluted by H₂O-MeOH (40:60), was subjected to Sephadex LH-20 (25 g) CC [1(ID)× 40(L) cm], which was eluted with DCM-MeOH (1:1) to give three subfractions (Fr.7-A to Fr.7-C). Fr. 7A (118.5 mg) was subjected to silica gel (8.0 g) [1(ID)× 20(L) cm] CC, which was eluted initially with CHCl₃ followed by gradient CHCl₃-MeOH systems (95:5, 90:10 then 85:5) to afford compound **1** (11.0 mg) and compound **2** (15.0 mg).

Heinsiagenin A 3-*O*-[α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1→2)- β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1→2)]- β -D-glucopyranoside (**1**). Yellowish white amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_D^{20} +18.0$ (c 0.05, MeOH); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\max}$ 3330, 2924, 2861, 1767, 1735, 1646, 1069, 1023 cm⁻¹; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\max}$ (log ϵ) nm; 264.0 (4.29), 202.0 (4.10); for ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR (C₅D₅N, 400 MHz) see Tables 1 and 2; HR-ESI-MS m/z 1058.5658 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd. 1058.5664).

Heinsiagenin A 3-*O*-[α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1→2)- β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1→2)]- β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1→4)]- β -D-glucopyranoside (**2**). White amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_D^{20} +6.0$ (c 0.05, MeOH); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\max}$ 3305, 2924, 2871, 1645, 1068, 1025 cm⁻¹; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\max}$ (log ϵ) nm; 264.0 (4.04); for ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR (C₅D₅N, 400 MHz) see Tables 1 and 2; HR-ESI-MS m/z 1220.6163 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd. 1220.6192) and 1196.6216 [M-H]⁻ (calcd. 1196.6217).

2 α -Hydroxyheinsiagenin A 3-*O*-[α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1→2)- β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1→2)]- β -D-glucopyranoside (**3**). White amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_D^{20} +6.0$ (c 0.05, MeOH); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\max}$ 3337, 2924, 2866, 1766, 1649, 1071, 1039, 1023 cm⁻¹; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\max}$ (log ϵ) nm; 262.0 (4.78); for ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR (C₅D₅N, 400 MHz) see Tables 1 and 2; HR-ESI-MS m/z 1050.5730 [M-H]⁻ (calcd. 1050. 5637) and m/z 1086.5535 [M+Cl]⁻ (calcd. 1086. 5404).

2 α -Hydroxyheinsiagenin A 3-*O*-[β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1→2)]- β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1→4)]- β -D-glucopyranoside (**4**). Yellowish white amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_D^{20} +10.0$ (c 0.05, MeOH); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\max}$ 3347, 2921, 2889, 1769, 1640, 1069, 1038 cm⁻¹; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\max}$ (log ϵ) nm; 262.0 (3.92); for ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR (C₅D₅N, 400 MHz) see Tables 1 and 2; HR-ESI-MS m/z 1090.5656 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd. 1090.5562) and m/z 1066.5856 [M-H]⁻ (calcd. 1066.5586).

N-(2*S*, 3*R*, 4*R*-3-methyl-4-pentanolid-2-yl)-18-hydroxy-*lanosta*-8 (9), 22*E*, 24*E*-trien-27-amide-3-*O*-[α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)-*O*- β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)]-[β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)]- β -D-glucopyranoside (**5**). Yellowish white amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_D^{20} +10.0$ (*c* 0.02, CH₃OH); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\max}$ 3366, 2926, 1767, 1070, 1046 cm⁻¹; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\max}$ (log ϵ) nm; 261.0 (3.94), 255.0 (3.95); for ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR (C₅D₅N, 400 MHz) see Tables 1 and 2; HR-ESI-MS *m/z* 1236.6196 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd. 1236.6141).

2.4. Biological activities

2.4.1. Antiprotozoal assay

Compounds **1-5** were tested for their antiprotozoal activities against *Leishmania donovani* Promastigote, *L. donovani* Amastigote, *L. donovani* Amastigote/THP1 cells and *Trypanosoma brucei brucei* employing the methods described previously [11]. The in vitro antileishmanial and antitrypanosomal assays were done on cell cultures of *L. donovani* promastigotes, axenic amastigotes, THP1-amastigotes, and *Trypanosoma brucei* trypomastigotes by Alamar Blue assays as described earlier [11]. The assays have been adapted to 384 well micro-plate format. In a 384 well micro-plate, the samples with appropriate dilution were added to the *L. donovani* promastigotes or *L. donovani* axenic amastigotes or *T. brucei* trypomastigotes cultures (2x10⁶ cell/mL). The compounds were tested at three concentrations ranging from 40-1.6 μ g/mL or 10-0.25 μ g/mL. The plates were incubated at 26 °C for 72 h (37 °C for axenic amastigotes and *T. brucei* trypomastigotes) and growth of the parasites in cultures were determined by Alamar Blue assay [11]. The compounds were also tested against *L. donovani* intracellular amastigotes in THP1 cells employing a parasite-rescue and transformation assay [12]. The compounds were simultaneously tested for cytotoxicity against THP1 cell cultures. The conditions for seeding the THP1 cells, exposure to the test compounds and evaluation of cytotoxicity were the same as described in parasite-rescue and transformation assay [12]. IC₅₀ and IC₉₀ values were computed from the dose response curves using XLfit software. DFMO (difluoromethylornithine) was used as a positive control.

2.4.2. Opioid and cannabinoid receptor binding assay

This screen is designed to use a series of controls to determine the binding affinity of the test compounds using a 96-well format [13]. 10 μ M of a positive control [CP-55,940 for cannabinoid receptor binding screen] and [DPDPE (Delta), nor-Binaltorphimine dihydrochloride (Kappa) and DAMGO (Mu) for opioid receptor binding screen] were used to ascertain non-specific

binding (NSB) and 1% ethanol or DMSO in Tris-EDTA buffer was used to ascertain total binding. To eliminate the possibility of contamination in the test compounds or controls, wells with 1% ethanol or DMSO with no membrane were tested. Each test well contained 100 μ L of the control, 10 μ L of test compound, or vehicle and 100 μ L cell membrane. Data was analyzed by a non-linear curve fit model using Graph Pad Prizm 5.04 software (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA) and IC_{50} values were calculated. The reaction was terminated via rapid filtration with cold Tris-HCl buffer through a UniFilter GF/ B 96-well plate pre-soaked with 0.3% BSA. When the filters were dry, 25 μ L MicroScint was applied to each filter and the plates were read on a Top Count NXT HTS Microplate Scintillation Counter where the counts per minute (CPM) were recorded. Non-specific binding was subtracted from the total binding to find specific binding. Purified compounds exhibiting 50% or greater displacement of radioligand were screened for IC_{50} values which were calculated by a non-linear curve fit model using Graph Pad Prizm 5.0 software. Naloxone HCl was used as a positive control.

2.5. Acidic hydrolysis

About 2 mg of each saponin (**1-5**) were refluxed with 1 N HCl (0.5 ml) at 88 °C for 6 h. The reaction mixtures were partitioned with an equal amount of $CHCl_3$ (0.5 ml), and the aqueous layers of the hydrolysates were analyzed for their sugar components.

2.6. GC/FID trimethylsilyl derivatization

Dried aqueous layers of the hydrolysates of compounds **1-5** (approximately. 100 μ g each) were treated separately with pyridine (5 μ L, silylation grade, Pierce) and BSTFA (N,O-bis(trimethylsilyl)-trifluoroacetamide) (100 μ L + 98% Acros Organics), followed by heating at 75 °C for 1 h, after cooling to room temperature. CH_2Cl_2 (1 mL) was added to the reaction mixture. The solution analyzed by GC/FID and compared with standard D-glucose and L-rhamnose after derivatization.

3. Results and discussion

Compound **1** was isolated as a faint yellow amorphous powder. Its positive HR-ESI-MS data suggested the molecular formula $C_{54}H_{85}NO_{18}$ by providing a molecular ion peak at m/z 1058.5658 $[M+Na]^+$ (calcd. 1058.5664). In the 1H -NMR spectrum, three characteristic signals were observed at δ_H 9.002 (1H, *d*, 7.2 Hz, NH), δ_H 0.229 (1H, *d*, 4.4 Hz, H-19a), and δ_H 0.527 (1H, *d*, 4.0 Hz, H-19b) suggesting that the compound possessed an amide group and an upfield

cyclopropane group in its aglycone as found in several saponins from the same genus [3]. The spectrum also showed signals corresponding to four tertiary and three secondary methyl groups and one vinylic methyl group. Comparison of the ^1H - and ^{13}C -NMR spectral data of compound **1** with those of saponins previously reported from the genus confirmed heinsiagenin A, a cycloartane with an α -amino- γ -lactone in an amide form in the side chain, to be its aglycone with a saccharide chain connected to its C-3 position. The amino group forms part of a 4-hydroxyisoleucine residue present in the γ -lactone form [14]. This peculiar free amino acid 4-hydroxyisoleucine and its corresponding *cis* γ -lactone have been previously reported from nature [15]. The ^1H -NMR spectrum of compound **1** showed a doublet methyl signal at δ_H (1.84, J = 6.0 Hz), an anomeric proton singlet at δ_H 6.39, two anomeric proton doublets at δ_H (5.00, J = 7.2 Hz and 5.83, J = 7.6 Hz) arising from sugar units which suggested the presence of one L-rhamnose and two D-glucose moieties. Further support was obtained from the ^{13}C -NMR spectrum which showed three anomeric carbon signals appeared at δ_C 105.5, 102.52 and 102.50. Acidic hydrolysis of compound **1** yielded D-glucose and L-rhamnose as its sugar components as identified from their retention times (3.39 and 1.70, respectively) using GC after silylation with BSTFA as compared to standard sugars. The relative stereochemistry of the rhamnose unit was determined as α -L-rhamnose based on the broad singlet of its anomeric proton while the two D-glucose units were in β -glycosidic linkage by their large $^3J_{H1,H2}$ coupling constants (7.2, and 7.6 Hz). The structure of the saccharide moiety was established based on the significant HMBC correlations observed between $\text{H}_{G-1}/\text{C}-3$ of the aglycone, $\text{H}_{G-1}/\text{C}_{G-2}$ (δ_C 79.9), and $\text{H}_{R-1}/\text{C}_{G-2}$ (δ_C 79.1) (Fig. 2). The above results were consistent with the ^{13}C -NMR chemical shifts of the three sugar units reported in table 2. Therefore, the structure of compound **1** was determined to be heinsiagenin A 3-*O*-[α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)]- β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)]- β -D-glucopyranoside, which is a new natural compound.

Compound **2** was obtained as a white amorphous powder. Its positive HR-ESI-MS suggested the molecular formula of $\text{C}_{60}\text{H}_{95}\text{NO}_{23}$ by a molecular ion peak at m/z 1220.6163 [$\text{M}+\text{Na}$] $^+$ (calcd. 1220.6192) and confirmed by the negative HR-ESI-MS by providing a molecular ion peak at m/z 1196.6216 [$\text{M}-\text{H}$] $^-$ (calcd. 1196.6217). On the basis of ^1H - and ^{13}C -NMR spectra, the aglycone of compound **2** was identified as heinsiagenin A as that of compound **1**. In its ^{13}C -NMR spectrum, four anomeric carbon signals were observed at δ_C 106.0, 102.5, 101.8 and 105.2. Only D-glucose and L-rhamnose were identified in the aqueous layer of the hydrolysate as in

compound **1**. The structure of the saccharide moiety was established based upon the HMBC correlations observed between H_{G-1}/C-3 of the aglycone, H_{G'-1}/C_{G-2}, and H_{R-1}/C_{G'-2} (Fig. 2). Both of G-6 and G'-6 carbon resonances were not affected by glycosidic linkage so connection of the third glucosyl unit should be in C-4 of one of the already established two glucosyl units G or G'. In the HMBC spectrum of compound **2**, cross peak signal was observed between H_{G''-1} and C_{G-4} (Fig. 2). Therefore, the interglycosidic linkages among all the four sugar units were determined and the structure of compound **2** was identified as heinsiagenin A 3-*O*-[α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)- β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)]-[β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)]- β -D-glucopyranoside, which is being isolated for the first time from the nature.

Compound **3** was isolated as a white amorphous powder. Its positive HR-ESI-MS data suggested the molecular formula C₅₄H₈₅NO₁₉ by a molecular ion peak at m/z 1074.5639 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd. 1074.5613) and confirmed by the negative HR-ESI-MS by a molecular ion peak at m/z 1050.5730 [M-H]⁻ (calcd. 1050.5637) and m/z 1086.5535 [M+Cl]⁻ (calcd. 1086.5404). The ¹H-NMR spectrum showed the characteristic signals of heinsiagenin A except for the presence of an oxygen-bearing methine at δ_H 4.052 (δ_C 70.1), which showed a correlation with H-3 at δ_H 3.374 in the ¹H-¹H COSY spectrum. Also, an HMBC correlation from H-3 to this methine was observed which clearly suggested the presence of an α -hydroxy group at C-2. This finding was supported by the significant downfield shift of C-3 (appears at δ_C 96.0 compared to around δ_C 90.0 for saponins with heinsiagenin A as in compounds **1** and **2**). The configuration of C-2 hydroxy group was deduced from the ROESY spectrum and further supported by the comparison of its ¹³C chemical shifts to the previously reported data of the analogues [5]. To our knowledge, only one saponin which contains the same aglycone but linked to two glucose and two rhamnose units has been previously reported [5]. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound **3** showed a doublet methyl signal at δ_H (1.82, J = 5.6 Hz), an anomeric proton singlet at δ_H 6.40, two anomeric proton doublets at δ_H (5.01, J = 7.2 Hz and 5.89, J = 7.6 Hz) belonging to sugar units which suggested the presence of one L-rhamnose and two D-glucose moieties. The ¹³C-NMR spectrum of compound **3** showed signals for the three anomeric carbons appeared at δ_C 105.3, 102.6, and 102.7 and the acidic hydrolysis of compound **3** yielded D-glucose and L-rhamnose as its sugar components which were identified using the same method as for compounds **1** and **2**. The sequence and interglycosidic linkages of sugar units were determined based upon the HMBC correlations observed between H_{G-1}/C-3 of the aglycone, and H_{G'-1}/C_{G-2} which confirmed the

connection of another glucosyl unit at position 2 of the first glucose unit G (Fig. 2). The HMBC cross peak between H_{R-1} and C_{G'-2} confirmed the connection of the rhamnosyl unit at position 2 of the second G' (Fig. 2). Therefore, the structure of the compound was determined to be 2 α -hydroxyheinsiagenin A 3-*O*-[α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)]- β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)]- β -D-glucopyranoside, which is a new secondary metabolite.

Compound **4** was obtained as a faint yellow amorphous powder. Its positive HR-ESI-MS suggested the molecular formula C₅₄H₈₅NO₁₈ by a molecular ion peak at m/z 1090.5656 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd. 1090.5562) and confirmed by the negative HR-ESI-MS by a molecular ion peak at m/z 1066.5856 [M-H]⁻ (calcd. 1066.5586). Comparison of the ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra of compound **4** with those of compound **3**, confirmed 2 α -hydroxyheinsiagenin A to be its genin. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound **4** showed three anomeric proton doublets at δ_H (4.86, J = 7.2 Hz, 5.61, J = 7.6 Hz, and 5.07, J = 7.6 Hz) which suggested the presence of three D-glucose moieties and confirmed from the ¹³C-NMR spectrum which showed the three anomeric carbon resonances at δ_C 104.8, 105.3 and 106.2. Acidic hydrolysis of the compound yielded only D-glucose as its sugar component. The three D-glucose units were in β -glycosidic linkage as deduced by their large ³ $J_{H1,H2}$ coupling constants (7.2, and 7.6 Hz). The structure of the saccharide moiety was deduced from the significant HMBC cross peaks appeared between G-1/C-3 of the aglycone, H_{G'-1}/C_{G-2} (its assignment was clear from the ¹H-¹H COSY correlations), and between H_{G''-1}/C_{G-4} (Fig. 2) which revealed the presence of a 2,4-branched glucose and two terminal glucose units. The ¹³C-NMR chemical shifts of compound **4** was consistent with the above suggested interglycosidic linkages between the three glucose units. Accordingly, compound **4** was assigned as 2 α -hydroxyheinsiagenin A 3-*O*-[β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)]-[β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)]- β -D-glucopyranoside, which is a newly reported natural compound.

Compound **5**, a faint yellow amorphous powder, its positive HR-ESI-MS exhibited the molecular formula of C₆₀H₉₅NO₂₄ by a molecular ion peak at m/z 1236.6196 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd. 1236.6141). Comparison of its spectral data with those of compounds **1-4** revealed that compound **5** had a lanostene-type sapogenin with an identical side chain as that of **1-4** and the difference between them occurred in its triterpene skeleton functions. The ¹H-NMR spectrum showed the absence of the characteristic cyclopropane-methylene signals, the absence of a methyl signal for H-18 and the presence of a CH₂ signal at δ_C 62.9 correlated in HMQC with two protons at δ_H 3.787 and 3.892, which in turn showed a long-range coupling in HMBC with C-17

at δ_C 50.8. The last two protons were coupled only to each other ($J = 10.4$ and 11.2 Hz), which indicate the existence of a quaternary hydroxymethyl substituent. Moreover, two additional quaternary olefinic carbon signals (δ_C 134.4 and 136.8) were observed in the ^{13}C -NMR. From the NMR data, the tetra-substituted double bond was located at C8-C9. This assignment showed a similar pattern as that of the Mussaenoside Q sapogenin [5]. Four anomeric proton signals were observed in the ^1H -NMR spectrum including three β -D-glucosyl units and one α -L-rhamnosyl unit as confirmed by hydrolysis as with compounds **1-4**. The structure of the saccharide moiety was the same as that observed in compound **2** which clearly deduced from a matched ^{13}C -NMR sugar regions of both compounds. Additionally, the structure was confirmed through observation of the HMBC correlations between $\text{H}_{\text{G}-1}/\text{C}-3$, $\text{H}_{\text{G}'-1}/\text{C}_{\text{G}-2}$, and between $\text{H}_{\text{R}-1}/\text{C}_{\text{G}'-2}$ (Fig. 2). G''' unit's linkage was confirmed by the HMBC cross peaks between $\text{H}_{\text{G}'''-1}/\text{C}_{\text{G}-4}$ (Fig. 2). Therefore, the structure of compound **5** was elucidated as *N*-(2*S*, 3*R*, 4*R*-3-methyl-4-pentanolid-2-yl)-18-hydroxylnosta-8 (9), 22*E*, 24*E*-trien-27-amide-3-*O*-[α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)- β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)]-[β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)]- β -D-glucopyranoside, which is being reported from nature for the first time. To the best of our knowledge, only one saponin possessing the same sapogenin was previously isolated from *M. pubescens* [5].

The relative configurations of compounds (**1-5**) were determined based on the analysis of the coupling constants of relevant hydrogens, comparison with reported data of analogous compounds with configuration described in the literature and confirmed through analysis of the ROESY spectra, which showed significant cross peaks between H-3/ $\text{H}_{\text{G}-1}$, H-3'/H-4', H-22/H-24, NH/H-22, NH/H-24, H-24/H-4', H-22/H-4', H-22/H-3', and H-23/Me-26. Moreover, the absence of ROESY cross peaks between H-3 and H-2 in compounds **3** and **4** further confirmed the opposite orientations in these positions [3-5].

Heinsiagenin A saponins have been previously reported from *Heinsia crinata* [14], and *Mussaenda pubescens* [3-5] which might be useful for the chemotaxonomic evaluation and relationships within Rubiaceae family as both genera are closely related (the same tribe Mussaendeae) [16].

Compounds **1-5** were evaluated for their antiprotozoal activities and cannabinoid and opioid receptor binding affinities. Compound **1** showed potent antitrypanosomal activity with an IC_{50} value of $8.80\ \mu\text{M}$. Compounds **2-4** showed highly potent antitrypanosomal activity with IC_{50} values of 2.57, 2.61 and $2.84\ \mu\text{M}$, respectively and IC_{90} values of 3.56, 3.36, and $4.35\ \mu\text{M}$,

respectively while compound **5** showed no antitrypanosomal activity within the tested concentrations range (0.32-8.23 μM). The antitrypanosomal activities compared to the positive control DFMO, which has IC_{50} and IC_{90} values of 13.06 and 28.99 μM , respectively. Compounds **1** and **2** showed moderate activities in μ -opioid receptor binding assay with 65.9 and 61.0% radio ligand displacement, respectively (K_i values of 9.94 μM and 0.87 μM , respectively and IC_{50} values of 19.87 μM and 1.74 μM , respectively) compared to the K_i and IC_{50} values of 1.96 nM and 3.92 nM for the positive control, naloxone HCl.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Egyptian Government, the National Center for Natural Products Research, The University of Mississippi, USA, NIH NIGMS COBRE grant P20GM104932, USA-ARS scientific cooperative agreement and UD department of defense CDMRP grant W81XWH-09-0093 (BLT) for their financial support. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the aforementioned institutions. We are also thankful to Dr. Baharathi Avula for providing the HR-ESI-MS.

Supporting information

NMR and HR-ESI-MS spectra of compounds **1-5**.

References

- [1] Vidyalakshmi KS, Nagarajan S, Vasanthi HR, Rajamanickam V. Hepatoprotective and antioxidant activity of two iridoids from *Mussaenda 'dona aurora'*. Z. Naturforsch., C: Biosci 2009;64:329-34.
- [2] Vidyalakshmi KS, Vasanthi HR, Rajamanickam GV. Ethnobotany, Phytochemistry and Pharmacology of *Mussaenda* Species (Rubiaceae). J Ethnobot Leaflets 2008;12:469-75.
- [3] Zhao W, Wolfender JL, Iostettmann K, Cheng K, Xu R, Qin G. Triterpenes and triterpenoid saponins from *Mussaenda pubescens*. Phytochemistry 1997;45:1073-8.
- [4] Zhao W, Xu R, Qin G, Tang X, Li X. Chemical constituents from *Mussaenda pubescens*. Nat Prod Sci 1995;1:61-5.
- [5] Zhao W, Xu J, Qin G, Xu R. New triterpenoid saponins from *Mussaenda pubescens*. J Nat Prod 1994;57:1613-8.

- [6] Liu S, Cui M, Liu Z, Song F. Structural analysis of saponins from medicinal herbs using electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry. *J Am Soc Mass Spectrom* 2003;15:133-41.
- [7] Atawodi SE, Bulus T, Ibrahim S, Ameh DA, Nok AJ, Mamman M, Galadima M. *In vitro* trypanocidal effect of methanolic extract of some Nigerian savannah plants. *Afr J Biotechnol* 2004;2:317-21.
- [8] Brun R, Balmer O. New developments in human African trypanosomiasis. *Curr Opin Infect Dis* 2006, 19(5), 415-20.
- [9] Steverding D. The development of drugs for treatment of sleeping sickness: a historical review. *Parasit Vectors* 2010; 3:15.
- [10] Steverding D, Tyler KM. Novel antitrypanosomal agents. *Expert Opinion on Investigational Drugs* 2005;14:939-55.
- [11] Manda S, Khan SI, Jain SK, Mohammed S, Tekwani BL, Khan IA, Vishwakarma RA, Bharate SB. Synthesis, antileishmanial and antitrypanosomal activities of N-substituted tetrahydro- β -carboline. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 2014;24:3247-50.
- [12] Jain SK, Sahu R, Walker LA, Tekwani BL. A Parasite Rescue and Transformation Assay for Antileishmanial Screening Against Intracellular *Leishmania donovani* Amastigotes in THP1 Human Acute Monocytic Leukemia Cell Line. *J Vis Exp* 2012;70:4054.
- [13] Atta-ur-Rahman, Choudhary M, Thomsen WJ. Bioassay techniques for drug development. The Netherlands: Hawood Academic Publishers; 2001:16.
- [14] Babadybila, Kilonda A, Toppet S, Compennolle F, Hoornaert G. New triterpenes from *Heinsia crinata*. *Tetrahedron* 1989; 45:5907-16.
- [15] Broca C, Manteghetti M, Gross R, Baissac Y, Jacob M, Petit P, Ribes G. 4-Hydroxyisoleucine: effects of synthetic and natural analogues on insulin secretion. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2000;390:339-45.
- [16] Kainulainen K, Razafimandimbison SG, Bremer B. Phylogenetic relationships and new tribal delimitations in subfamily Ixoroideae (Rubiaceae). *Bot. J. Linn Soc.* 2013;173:387-406.

Table 1
¹H and ¹³C-NMR spectroscopic data of the aglycones for compounds **1-5** (C₅D₅N, 400, 100 MHz).

Position	1		2		3		4		5	
	δ_H (J in Hz)	δ_C type	δ_H (J in Hz)	δ_C type	δ_H (J in Hz)	δ_C type	δ_H (J in Hz)	δ_C type	δ_H (J in Hz)	δ_C type
1	1.15, 1.51, <i>m</i>	32.6 t	1.13, 1.50, <i>m</i>	32.7 t	1.86, 1.78, <i>m</i>	40.9 t	1.70, 1.83, <i>m</i>	40.9 t	1.10, 1.57, <i>m</i>	36.4 t
2	1.91, 2.36, <i>m</i>	30.2 t	1.28, 2.281, <i>m</i>	30.5 t	4.05, <i>m</i>	70.1 d	4.03, <i>m</i>	69.7 d	1.71, 2.04, <i>m</i>	28.9 t
3	3.51, <i>dd</i> (11.6, 4.0)	90.1 d	3.47, <i>dd</i> (11.2, 4.4)	90.4 d	3.37, <i>d</i> (9.2)	96.8 d	3.27, <i>d</i> (9.2)	96.0 d	3.30, <i>dd</i> , (10.8, 3.6)	90.5 d
4	-	41.9 s	-	41.9 s	-	41.8 s	-	42.7 s	-	40.2 s
5	1.34, <i>m</i>	48.2 d	1.36, <i>m</i>	48.2 d	1.51, <i>m</i>	48.3 d	1.48, <i>m</i>	48.1d	1.14, <i>m</i>	51.6 d
6	0.77, 1.60, <i>m</i>	21.7 t	0.75, 1.60, <i>m</i>	21.7 t	0.80, 1.58, <i>m</i>	21.7 t	0.71, 1.33, <i>m</i>	20.3 t	1.98, 1.32, <i>m</i>	18.9 t
7	1.21, 1.10, <i>m</i>	27.2 t	1.28, 1.09, <i>m</i>	27.1 t	1.28, 1.15, <i>m</i>	26.6 t	1.05, 0.92, <i>m</i>	26.5 t	2.60, 1.69, <i>m</i>	26.1 t
8	1.51, <i>m</i>	48.5d	1.47, <i>m</i>	48.5 d	1.57, <i>m</i>	48.0 d	1.47, <i>dd</i> (11.2, 4.4)	47.7 d	-	134.4 s
9	-	18.9 s	-	18.9 s	-	20.0 s	-	19.3 s	-	136.8 s
10	-	26.7 s	-	26.6 s	-	25.7 s	-	25.5 s	-	37.4 s
11	1.14, 1.90, <i>m</i>	26.9 t	1.07, 1.99, <i>m</i>	27.2 t	1.02, 2.00, <i>m</i>	27.3 t	1.95, 1.07, <i>m</i>	27.4 t	2.12, 2.29, <i>m</i>	21.6 t
12	1.60, <i>m</i>	33.6 t	1.58, <i>m</i>	33.6 t	1.59, <i>m</i>	33.5 t	1.51, <i>m</i>	33.3 t	2.01, 1.48, <i>m</i>	27.4 t
13	-	46.2 s	-	46.1 s	-	46.1 s	-	46.0 s	-	50.1 s
14	-	49.7 s	-	49.7 s	-	49.7 s	-	49.7 s	-	51.5 s
15	1.29, <i>m</i>	36.3 t	1.27, <i>m</i>	36.3 t	1.29, <i>m</i>	36.2 t	1.26, <i>m</i>	36.3 t	1.60, 1.29, <i>m</i>	31.8 t
16	1.58, 1.27, <i>m</i>	30.3 t	1.58, 1.27, <i>m</i>	29.3 t	1.52, 1.24, <i>m</i>	29.2 t	1.49, 1.20, <i>m</i>	29.2 t	1.55, 1.28, <i>m</i>	30.5 t
17	1.65, <i>m</i>	52.5 d	1.60, <i>m</i>	52.5 d	1.61, <i>m</i>	52.4 d	1.56, <i>m</i>	52.3 d	1.71, <i>m</i>	50.8 d
18	1.02, <i>s</i>	18.9 q	1.00, <i>s</i>	19.1 q	1.00, <i>s</i>	18.9 q	0.97, <i>s</i>	18.8 q	3.79, <i>d</i> (11.2) 3.89, <i>d</i> (10.4)	62.9 t
19	0.23, <i>d</i> (4.4) 0.53, <i>d</i> (4.0)	30.1 t	0.21, <i>br s</i> 0.50, <i>br s</i>	30.2 t	0.33, <i>d</i> (4.0) 0.57, <i>d</i> (4.4)	30.1 t	0.31, <i>d</i> (4.4) 0.52, <i>d</i> (4.4)	30.5 t	0.95, <i>s</i>	19.6 q
20	2.21, <i>m</i>	41.8 d	2.17, <i>m</i>	41.9 d	2.17, <i>m</i>	41.8 d	2.15, <i>m</i>	41.8 d	2.96, <i>m</i>	42.3 d
21	1.03, <i>d</i> (5.2)	20.4 q	1.01, <i>d</i> (4.8)	20.5 q	1.01, <i>d</i> (5.6)	19.8 q	0.98, <i>d</i> (5.6)	19.7 q	1.41, <i>d</i> (6.0)	21.9 q
22	5.71, <i>dd</i> (14.4, 8.4)	148.5 d	5.66, <i>dd</i> (15.2, 9.2)	148.5 d	5.68, <i>dd</i> , (13.6, 9.2)	148.4 d	5.62, <i>dd</i> , overlapped	148.3 d	5.78, <i>dd</i> (15.2, 9.6)	149.6 d
23	6.45, <i>dd</i> (14.8, 11.2)	124.3 d	6.44, <i>dd</i> (14.4, 11.6)	124.2 d	6.44, <i>dd</i> (15.2, 11.2)	123.5 d	6.44, <i>dd</i> (14.8, 11.2)	124.2 d	6.44, <i>dd</i> (14.4, 11.6)	123.5 d
24	7.30, <i>d</i> (10.8)	135.4 d	7.30, <i>d</i> (11.2)	135.4 d	7.29, <i>d</i> (10.8)	135.3 d	7.29, <i>d</i> (11.2)	135.3 d	7.32, <i>d</i> (10.8)	135.5 d
25	-	129.6 s	-	129.6 s	-	129.6 s	-	129.6 s	-	129.3 s
26	2.21, <i>s</i>	14.0 q	2.22, <i>s</i>	14.1 q	2.22, <i>s</i>	14.0 q	2.22, <i>s</i>	14.0 q	2.16, <i>s</i>	13.9 q
27	-	171.3 s	-	171.3 s	-	171.2 s	-	171.3 s	-	171.3 s
28	1.19, <i>s</i>	16.0 q	1.12, <i>s</i>	15.9 q	1.25, <i>s</i>	16.8 q	1.21, <i>s</i>	16.8 q	1.12, <i>s</i>	16.0 q
29	1.42, <i>s</i>	26.5 q	1.42, <i>s</i>	26.9 q	1.47, <i>s</i>	26.7 q	1.28, <i>s</i>	26.2 q	1.39, <i>s</i>	28.7 q
30	0.93, <i>s</i>	20.0 q	1.01, <i>s</i>	19.8 q	0.92, <i>s</i>	19.4 q	0.87, <i>s</i>	19.9 q	1.09, <i>s</i>	17.1 q
1'	-	176.2 s	-	176.3 s	-	176.2 s	-	176.3 s	-	176.2 s
2'	5.66, <i>dd</i> (7.6, 7.2)	56.0 d	5.70, <i>dd</i> (7.6, 7.2)	55.9 d	5.67, <i>dd</i> (7.6, 7.2)	56.0 d	5.74, <i>dd</i> (7.6, 7.2)	55.9 d	5.65, <i>dd</i> (7.6, 6.8)	56.0 d
3'	2.95, <i>m</i>	39.2 d	2.94, <i>m</i>	39.2 d	2.93, <i>m</i>	39.1d	2.92, <i>m</i>	39.1 d	2.92, <i>m</i>	39.2 d
4'	4.71, <i>m</i>	77.6 d	4.71, <i>m</i>	77.6 d	4.69, <i>m</i>	77.5 d	4.69, <i>m</i>	77.5 d	4.69, <i>m</i>	77.5 d
3'-Me	0.88, <i>d</i> (7.2)	8.6 q	0.89, <i>d</i> (8.4)	8.8 q	0.89, <i>d</i> (7.2)	8.6 q	0.88, <i>d</i> (6.8)	8.7 q	0.89, <i>d</i> (7.2)	8.6 q
4'-Me	1.21, <i>d</i> (6.4)	15.9 q	1.20, <i>d</i> (6.4)	15.8 q	1.21, <i>d</i> (6.4)	16.0 q	1.18, <i>d</i> (6.4)	15.9 q	1.21, <i>d</i> (6.4)	15.9 q
NH	9.00, <i>d</i> (7.2)	-	9.16, <i>d</i> (7.6)	-	9.01, <i>d</i> (7.2)	-	9.25, <i>d</i> (7.6)	-	8.97, <i>d</i> (7.2)	-

Table 2
¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopic data of the sugar moieties for compounds **1-5** (C₅D₅N, 400, 100 MHz)

Position	1		2		3		4		5	
	δ_H (J in Hz)	δ_C type	δ_H (J in Hz)	δ_C type	δ_H (J in Hz)	δ_C type	δ_H (J in Hz)	δ_C type	δ_H (J in Hz)	δ_C type
	G		G		G		G		G	
1	5.00, <i>d</i> (7.2)	105.5 d	4.92, <i>d</i> (7.2)	105.2 d	5.01, <i>d</i> (7.2)	105.3 d	4.86, <i>d</i> (7.2)	104.8 d	4.88, <i>d</i> (7.6)	105.4 d
2	4.44, <i>m</i>	79.9 d	4.48, <i>m</i>	78.5 d	4.53, <i>m</i>	79.8 d	4.35, <i>m</i>	81.6 d	4.41, <i>m</i>	78.6 d
3	4.57, <i>m</i>	78.4 d	4.58, <i>m</i>	77.6 d	4.57, <i>m</i>	78.5 d	4.20, <i>m</i>	76.8 d	4.57, <i>m</i>	77.6 d
4	4.09, <i>m</i>	72.5 d	4.16, <i>m</i>	82.1 d	4.11, <i>m</i>	72.2 d	4.24, <i>m</i>	80.6 d	4.18, <i>m</i>	82.3 d
5	3.90, <i>m</i>	78.0 d	3.79, <i>m</i>	76.5 d	4.08, <i>m</i>	78.3 d	3.92, <i>m</i>	77.2 d	3.81, <i>m</i>	76.5 d
6	4.35, 4.50, <i>m</i>	63.3 t	4.34, 4.57, <i>m</i>	62.2 t	4.26, 4.51, <i>m</i>	62.8 t	4.39, <i>m</i> , 4.50, <i>m</i>	62.0 t	4.33, 4.55, <i>m</i>	62.3 t
	G'		G'		G'		G'		G'	
1	5.83, <i>d</i> (7.6)	102.50 d	5.78, <i>d</i> (7.6)	101.8 d	5.89, <i>d</i> (7.6)	102.6 d	5.61, <i>d</i> (7.6)	105.3 d	5.74, <i>d</i> (7.2)	101.9 d
2	4.29, <i>m</i>	79.1 d	4.32, <i>m</i>	78.9 d	4.34, <i>m</i>	79.2 d	4.12, <i>m</i>	75.5 d	4.28, <i>m</i>	79.0 d
3	4.21, <i>m</i>	79.6 d	4.22, <i>m</i>	75.6 d	4.24, <i>m</i>	79.9 d	4.63, <i>m</i>	77.5 d	4.22, <i>m</i>	75.6 d
4	4.07, <i>m</i>	73.4 d	4.07, <i>m</i>	73.4 d	4.09, <i>m</i>	73.4 d	4.27, <i>m</i>	72.5 d	4.04, <i>m</i>	73.5 d
5	3.87, <i>m</i>	77.5 d	4.11, <i>m</i>	77.6 d	3.90, <i>m</i>	77.5 d	3.93, <i>m</i>	77.7 d	4.12, <i>m</i>	77.6 d
6	4.32, 4.48, <i>m</i>	64.1 t	4.31, 4.50, <i>m</i>	64.0 t	4.34, 4.57, <i>m</i>	64.0 t	4.37, <i>dd</i> (11.2, 4.0) 4.53, <i>m</i>	63.5 t	4.27, 4.48, <i>m</i>	64.0 t
	R		G''		R		G''		G''	
1	6.39, <i>br s</i>	102.52 d	5.18, <i>d</i> (7.2)	106.0 d	6.40, <i>br s</i>	102.7 d	5.07, <i>d</i> (7.6)	106.2 d	5.11, <i>d</i> , (7.6)	105.9 d
2	4.73, <i>br s</i>	72.9 d	4.46, <i>m</i>	73.0 d	4.77, <i>br s</i>	72.9 d	4.50, <i>m</i>	72.9 d	4.47, <i>m</i>	73.0 d
3	4.73, <i>m</i>	73.2 d	4.21, <i>m</i>	79.9 d	4.69, <i>m</i>	73.2 d	4.27, <i>m</i>	78.8 d	4.21, <i>m</i>	79.9 d
4	4.36, <i>m</i>	74.8 d	4.53, <i>m</i>	70.5 d	4.33, <i>m</i>	74.8 d	4.52, <i>m</i>	70.5 d	4.53, <i>m</i>	70.5 d
5	5.06, <i>m</i>	70.0 d	3.85, <i>m</i>	78.1 d	5.05, <i>m</i>	70.0 d	3.97, <i>m</i>	78.6 d	3.85, <i>m</i>	78.1 d
6	1.84, <i>d</i> (6.0)	19.5 q	4.42, 4.57, <i>m</i>	62.4 t	1.82, <i>d</i> (5.6)	19.4 q	4.38, <i>m</i> 4.57, <i>br d</i> (11.2)	62.4 t	4.34, 4.55, <i>m</i>	62.6 t
	R		R		R		R		R	
1			6.44, <i>br s</i>	102.5 d					6.40, <i>br s</i>	102.5 d
2			4.82, <i>br s</i>	73.3 d					4.79, <i>br s</i>	73.3 d
3			4.77, <i>m</i>	73.2 d					4.76, <i>m</i>	73.2 d
4			4.42, <i>m</i>	74.8 d					4.37, <i>m</i>	74.9 d
5			5.08, <i>m</i>	70.1 d					5.06, <i>m</i>	70.0 d
6			1.88, <i>d</i> (5.6)	19.7 q					1.82, <i>d</i> (6.0)	19.6 q

Table 3

In vitro antitrypanosomal activities of triterpenoid saponins isolated from *Mussaenda luteola* against *Trypanosoma brucei brucei*.

Compound	Antitrypanosomal Activity		THP1 cell Toxicity
	IC ₅₀ µg/mL (µM)	IC ₉₀ µg/mL (µM)	IC ₅₀ (µg/mL)
DFMO	2.38 (13.06)±0.43	5.28 (28.99)±0.24	>10
1	9.13 (8.80)±0.64	11.05 (10.60)±0.82	>10
2	3.08 (2.57)±0.64	4.27 (3.56)±1.12	>10
3	2.75 (2.61)±0.09	3.53 (3.36)±0.10	>10
4	3.04 (2.84)±0.39	4.64 (4.35)±1.70	>10
5	>10	>10	>10

DFMO, Difluoromethylornithine; IC₅₀ and IC₉₀ values are mean ± S.D. of duplicate observations. The IC₅₀ and IC₉₀ values were computed from dose-response curves presented in Fig. 3. The tested concentrations range (0.4-10 µg/mL).

	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃
1	H	H	Rha
2	H	Glc	Rha
3	OH	H	Rha
4	OH	Glc	H

Fig. 1. Structures of isolated compounds **1-5**

Fig. 2. Important HMBC (H→C) correlations among sugar units and aglycones of **1-5**

Fig. 3. In vitro antitrypanosomal activity of triterpenoid saponins (1-5) isolated from *Mussaenda luteola* against *Trypanosoma brucei brucei*. Difluromethylornithine, the clinically used antitrypanosomal drug, was tested as a positive control. Each point represents values mean of duplicate observations. IC₅₀ and IC₉₀ (µg/mL) values were computed with XLfit software.