Maternal salivary cortisone to cortisol ratio in late pregnancy: An improved method for predicting offspring birth weight

Meredith Wilson¹ and Zaneta Thayer^{2*}

¹Department of Anthropology
University of Colorado, Denver

²Department of Anthropology
Dartmouth College

*Corresponding author
Zaneta Thayer
Department of Anthropology
Dartmouth College
Hanover, NH

Zaneta.thayer@dartmouth.edu

ABSTRACT:

Overexposure to maternal cortisol *in utero* has been associated with lower birth weight of offspring. In order to regulate fetal exposure to this hormone, placental expression of 11- β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase-2, an enzyme that converts active cortisol to inactive cortisone, increases across pregnancy. Because of this increase in 11- β HSD2 activity, measuring maternal cortisol in isolation may not reflect actual fetal exposure to the hormone. Previous work by Hellgren et al. (2016) has shown that maternal serum cortisone:cortisol ratio was a better predictor of offspring birth weight than cortisol measured in isolation. This paper sought to replicate these results when examining maternal salivary cortisone:cortisol ratio. Data come from 55 pregnant women from Auckland, New Zealand. Cortisol and cortisone were measured in saliva samples collected at waking and prior to going to sleep on two consecutive weekdays between 34-36 weeks of gestation. We found that salivary cortisol and cortisone followed the expected diurnal rhythm and that cortisone was higher than cortisol at both times of day. Maternal bedtime cortisone:cortisol ratio was significantly and inversely related to offspring birth weight. However, waking and bedtime cortisol, as well as waking cortisone:cortisol ratio, were unrelated to birth weight. These results show that maternal salivary cortisone:cortisol ratio, like serum cortisone:cortisol ratio, is a more sensitive biomarker for predicting infant birth weight than cortisol measured in isolation. This ratio could be a valuable, minimally-invasive measurement for future studies interested in understanding the relationship between maternal HPA-axis function and offspring birth weight.

Keywords: Pregnancy; cortisone; cortisol; 11- β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase; offspring birth weight

1. INTRODUCTION

Elevated maternal cortisol levels influence offspring phenotypic outcomes. Previous research has demonstrated that higher maternal cortisol affects hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis function across generations (Constantinof et al., 2016), and is associated with reduced birth size (Field et al., 2006). The enzyme 11- β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase 2 (11- β HSD2) regulates fetal exposure to cortisol in the placenta by converting biologically active cortisol into inactive cortisone (Murphy et al., 1974).

The expression of 11- β HSD2, like maternal cortisol levels, increases during pregnancy (Meulenberg and Hofman, 1990). The enzyme 11- β HSD2 is expressed in several tissues, including the placenta and parotid (salivary) glands. Within the parotid gland, cortisone increases across pregnancy at a greater rate than cortisol levels (2-3 times increase versus 1-2.5 times, respectively), presumably due to increased 11- β HSD2 activity (Meulenberg and Hofman, 1990). During late pregnancy, 11- β HSD2 activity also increases in the placenta, where almost all maternal cortisol is converted into cortisone (Benediktsson et al., 1997). Due to this increase in 11- β HSD2 activity, examining maternal cortisol levels alone may not be the best index of fetal cortisol exposure. Instead, measuring cortisone:cortisol ratio (cortisone/cortisol), which takes into account 11- β HSD2 activity, may be a more sensitive biomarker (Ghaemmaghami et al., 2014). Past research examining both placental 11- β HSD2 activity and cord vein cortisone:cortisol ratio suggests that both measures are significant predictors of birth weight in preterm infants (Kajantie et al., 2003).

Hellgren et al. (2016) examined maternal serum cortisone:cortisol ratio in late pregnancy as a predictor of offspring birth weight. While they did not find a significant relationship between maternal serum cortisol and birth weight, they showed that serum cortisone:cortisol ratio had a weak but significant positive correlation with offspring birth weight in women with psychiatric morbidity. These findings suggest that serum cortisone:cortisol ratio is a better predictor of offspring birth weight than maternal cortisol measured in isolation. In light of this, we were interested in seeing if we could find a similar relationship in healthy women when evaluating maternal salivary cortisone:cortisol ratio in pregnancy. Specifically, we examined whether maternal salivary cortisone:cortisol ratio in late pregnancy was a better predictor of offspring birth weight than cortisol measured in isolation.

There are important differences between serum and salivary cortisol and cortisone that could impact the relationship between cortisone:cortisol ratio and birth weight in these different tissues. Firstly, serum cortisol reflects both bound and unbound cortisol, while salivary reflects only the bioactive, unbound form (Levine et al., 2007). Secondly, the relationship between cortisone and cortisol varies between blood and saliva samples. In pregnant women serum cortisol levels are much higher than cortisone, (Ghaemmaghami et al., 2014; Hellgren et al., 2016), while in saliva the opposite is true (Greaves and West, 1963; Meulenberg and Hofman, 1990). Therefore the predicted relationship between salivary cortisone:cortisol ratio and birth weight, if any, is unclear. That said, if our findings show that salivary cortisone:cortisol is associated with birth weight similar to Hellgren et al. (2016), this would suggest that saliva could be collected as a less invasive index of fetal exposure to glucocorticoids in pregnancy in future studies.

2. METHODS

2.1. Sample

Data come from pregnant women (34-36 weeks gestation) living in Auckland, New Zealand. Detailed recruitment and sample information is described in Thayer and Kuzawa (2014). All participants were interviewed by the same study author in their homes. Inclusion criteria for the study included having no pre-existing health conditions and being pregnant with a singleton. With regards to pre-existing health conditions, women were asked if they had ever been told by a general practitioner that they had: Type 1 diabetes, Type 2 diabetes, Gestational diabetes, High blood pressure, Heart disease, High Cholesterol, Asthma, and Bipolar disorder. They were also asked if they had any other serious health complications. Having any of these conditions excluded women from the study. This study was conducted under

conditions of written consent and approved by the Northwestern Institutional Review Board (IRB # STU00049286) and the New Zealand Upper South B Health and Disability Ethics Committee (Ethics ref: URB/11/09/036).

2.2. Maternal and offspring data

Maternal age was collected via self-report. Socioeconomic status was assessed with the NZ Individual Deprivation Index (NZiDep) questionnaire, which creates a continuous score of material deprivation ranging from 0 (least deprived) to five (most deprived) (Salmond et al., 2006). Infant sex, gestational age at birth, and birth weight were retrieved from infant health and wellness records.

2.3. Saliva collection

Saliva collection is a minimally invasive method for assessing cortisol that reflects the unbound, bioactive fraction of the hormone (Kirschbaum and Hellhammer, 1989). Following recruitment women were provided four 2.0 ml saliva tubes and instructions for saliva collection. Women passively drooled into each tube at waking (waking sample) and prior to going to sleep (bedtime sample) on two consecutive weekdays between 34–36 weeks gestation. Participants were instructed to not eat, drink or brush their teeth in the 30 minutes prior to collecting samples, and they recorded start and stop times for saliva collection, mood and, for morning samples, sleep quality the previous night. Participants stored samples in their freezer until they were retrieved by the interviewer during an interview the following week. Following retrieval by the researcher, samples were stored at -80°C at a laboratory until analysis. While 64 women were enrolled, sufficient saliva for cortisol measurement in both morning and evening samples was collected from 55 women.

2.5. Laboratory Analyses

Cortisol and cortisone samples were analyzed using triple quadrupole mass spectrometry at the Liggins Institute in Auckland, New Zealand. Samples were run in duplicate, with all samples from each participant run in the same assay. The internal standards were cortisol-d2 for cortisol; corticosterone-d8 for cortisone. Both steroids were extracted using 1 mL of ethyl acetate (Merck KGaA Darnstadt, Germany) (Rumball et al., 2008). After removal of the organic supernatant, samples were dried by vacuum concentration (Savant SC250EXP, Thermo Scientific, Asheville, NC, USA), resuspended in 60 μL of mobile phase 72% methanol (Merck) and 28% water, and transferred to HPLC injector vials. Twelve μL was injected onto an HPLC mass spectrometer system consisting of an Accela MS pump and autosampler followed by an Ion Max APCI source on a Finnigan TSQ Quantum Ultra AM triple quadrupole mass spectrometer all controlled by Finnigan Xcaliber software (Thermo Electron Corporation, San Jose, CA, USA) (Rumball et al., 2008). The mobile phase was isocratic, flowing at $250\text{ }\mu\text{L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ through a Luna HST 2.6 μm C18(2) 100 x 3.0mm column at 40°C (Phenomenex, Auckland, New Zealand). Cortisol and cortisone retention times were 6.1 minutes and 5.7 minutes, respectively, ionisation was in positive mode, and Q2 had 1.2 mTorr of argon. The mass transitions followed were 363.2–122.2 at 28 V for cortisol and 361.1–163.0 at 28 V for cortisone. Intra-assay coefficients of variation ranged from 3.4% to 12.1%.

2.6 Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to evaluate cortisol and cortisone values at waking and at bedtime. Cortisone:cortisol ratio was initially calculated as (cortisone /cortisol) (Hellgren et al., 2016). Multivariate regression was used to assess the relationship between cortisol and cortisone:cortisol ratio, respectively, with birth weight. Analyses were run separately for morning and evening values. Consistent with Hellgren et al. (2016), all analyses controlled for maternal height, parity, smoking, gestational age, offspring sex, and time of day of sample collection.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Summary of maternal and offspring characteristics.

The average age of participants was 30.8 years of age (SD = 4.8 years). Fifty-three percent of the sample had a NZiDep score of 0, indicating no material deprivation, while 18% had a score of 2 or above, indicating moderate to high material deprivation. The average gestational age of infants at birth was 39.2 weeks (SD = 1.4 weeks) and average weight was 3486.4 grams (SD = 530.9), both of which are considered healthy. Fifty-eight percent of the sample offspring were male.

3.2. Summary of relationship between cortisol, cortisone, and cortisone:cortisol ratio

There was an expected diurnal rhythm in both cortisol and cortisone, with morning values for each hormone being higher than evening values (mean (SD): cortisol AM : 3.23 (1.07) nmol/l, cortisol PM: 1.03 (0.72) nmol/l, cortisone AM: 12.89 (3.79) nmol/l, cortisone PM: 6.26 (2.41) nmol/l)(Figure 1). In addition, cortisone levels were higher than cortisol in both the morning and the evening.

3.3. Relationship between maternal cortisol, cortisone, and cortisone:cortisol ratio, respectively, with offspring birth weight

For the first cortisone:cortisol ratio calculation (cortisone/cortisol), waking cortisol and waking cortisone:cortisol ratio were unrelated to offspring birth weight (Table 1). Bedtime cortisol was also unrelated to offspring birth weight. However, maternal bedtime cortisone:cortisol ratio was significantly and inversely associated with offspring birth weight.

4. DISCUSSION

Salivary cortisone levels were higher than cortisol at each corresponding time of day, consistent with prior research (Meulenberg and Hofman, 1990). We found that bedtime salivary cortisone:cortisol ratio was inversely and significantly associated with birth weight, while bedtime salivary cortisol was not. This is consistent with Hellgren et al. (2016)'s finding that serum cortisone:cortisol ratio is a more sensitive predictor of birth weight than serum cortisol measured in isolation. That said, the direction of the relationship between cortisone:cortisol ratio differed between our study and Hellgren et al.'s study.

There are several potential explanations for the difference in our results. The first possibility is that this difference is related to the fact that serum levels of cortisone are lower than cortisol, unlike in salivary samples, where cortisone levels are higher. Second, serum cortisol reflects both bound and unbound portions of cortisol, while salivary cortisol represents only the unbound fraction of the hormone. Third, Hellgren et al. (2016) were studying a group of women with psychiatric morbidity, whereas our study population is made up of healthy individuals. Future studies are necessary to replicate these results in both serum and salivary samples to verify whether and why the direction of the relationship between cortisone:cortisol ratio varies between tissues.

Bedtime cortisone:cortisol ratio was the only significant predictor of birth weight in this small sample, and no association was found with waking and bedtime levels of cortisol or waking cortisone:cortisol ratio. It is likely that there may be a relationship between salivary cortisol and birth weight, but that we had insufficient statistical power to detect the relationship. However, the fact that cortisone:cortisol ratio significantly predicted birth weight while cortisol did not suggests that cortisone:cortisol ratio is a more sensitive indicator of offspring birth weight. It could therefore be a very useful biomarker for both studies with limited sample sizes, as may occur in field research settings or pilot studies, as studies with larger sample sizes.

These results are also consistent with a prior study conducted by Ghaemmaghani et al. (2014), which found that salivary cortisone:cortisol response to amniocentesis (an acute measure of HPA-axis function) was a stronger predictor of infant birth weight than cortisol analyzed in isolation. It is important to note that this study used a different formula for cortisone:cortisol ratio (cortisone/(cortisone+cortisol)) and that the formula used to calculate cortisone:cortisol ratio is inconsistent across studies (e.g Kajantie et

al., 2003; Ghaemmaghami et al., 2014; Hellgren et al., 2016). Notably, our results are consistent even with the use of this alternate formula (AM cortisone:cortisol ratio: $\beta = -1298.0$, $SE = 1589.6$, $P = 0.42$, $\text{adj. } R^2 = 0.22$; PM cortisone:cortisol ratio: $\beta = -3876.4$, $SE = 1612.5$, $P = 0.02$, $\text{adj. } R^2 = 0.34$). These past studies and our results suggest that measuring either serum or salivary cortisone:cortisol ratio provides a more robust representation of fetal cortisol exposure and is a better predictor of offspring birth weight than cortisol measured in isolation.

The finding that bedtime cortisone:cortisol ratio, but not waking cortisone:cortisol ratio, predicted birth weight could have implications for future studies. Hellgren et al. (2016) did not collect samples at a standardized time of day, although the majority were collected at either 10:30am or 2:30pm. With this method, they found a weak positive association between cortisone:cortisol ratio and birth weight. However, our results suggest that future studies may find a stronger relationship between cortisone:cortisol ratio and offspring birth weight in samples collected later in the day. Past studies have found elevated evening cortisol levels, specifically those collected just prior to going to sleep, to be associated with maternal stress and offspring birth weight, while finding no significant results for morning cortisol (Obel et al., 2005; Thayer et al., 2012). In addition, previous research has found that maternal anxiety traits are related to a flattening of the afternoon cortisol decline, but not to morning cortisol levels or morning cortisol decline (Kivlighan et al., 2008). While our study is not analyzing maternal anxiety traits specifically, these past studies and our own results suggest that evening cortisol and cortisone:cortisol levels may be more sensitive biomarkers of maternal stress and offspring birth weight than morning measurements.

While it is unlikely that salivary cortisone:cortisol ratio is functionally related to offspring birth weight in the same way that serum cortisone:cortisol ratio may be, one potential explanation for our results is that salivary 11- β HSD2 activity is correlated with placental 11- β HSD2 activity, as suggested by Meulenberg and Hofman (1990). However, this relationship has not been directly assessed, and future work should examine salivary and placental 11- β HSD2 activity in tandem to see if they are indeed correlated.

While this is a methods paper that was focused on the relationship between cortisone:cortisol ratio and birth weight, it is important to mention that many variables can affect cortisol levels in pregnant women, including poor nutrition, low socioeconomic status, experiencing racial discrimination, and experiencing depression (e.g. Thayer and Kuzawa, 2015; Thayer and Kuzawa, 2014; O'Connor et al., 2014). Therefore additional research is needed to explore whether or how differences in stress exposures may also differentially impact cortisone:cortisol ratio, particularly since there is evidence that the activity of 11- β HSD2 may vary in response to stress exposure (O'Donnell et al., 2012).

One important limitation of our study was the modest sample size. Small sample size and associated low power likely contributed to our inability to find a significant relationship between salivary cortisol and infant birth weight, which has been found in much larger studies (Field et al., 2006). Despite this limitation, our results still demonstrated that PM salivary cortisone:cortisol ratio was significantly related to infant birth weight. These results provide evidence that maternal salivary cortisone:cortisol ratio, similar to serum cortisone:cortisol ratio (Hellgren et al., 2016) is a more sensitive biomarker than cortisol analyzed in isolation and could be a valuable measurement for future studies on maternal cortisol and offspring birth weight.

Role of funding source

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (DDIG 1260659) and the Wenner Gren Foundation (Doctoral Improvement Gr. 8834). ZMT was supported by the National Institute of Health (P20 MD006871) while writing this manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Benediktsson, R., Calder, A.A., Edwards, C.R.W., Seckl, J.R., 1997. Placental 11 β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase: a key regulator of fetal glucocorticoid exposure. *Clin. Endocrinol. (Oxf)*. 46, 161-166.
- Constantinof, A., Moisiadis, V.G., Matthews, S.G., 2016. Programming of stress pathways: A transgenerational perspective. *J of Steroid Biochemistry & Molecular Biology*. 160, 175-180.
- Field, T., Hernandez-Reif, M., Diego, M., Figueiredo, B., Schanberg, S., Kuhn, C., 2006. Prenatal cortisol, prematurity and low birthweight. *Infant Behav. Dev.* 29, 268-275.
- Ghaemmaghami, P., Dainese, S.M., La Marca, R., Zimmermann, R., Ehlert, U., 2014. The association between the acute psychobiological stress response in second trimester pregnant women, amniotic fluid glucocorticoids, and neonatal birth outcome. *Dev. Psychobiol.* 56, 734-747.
- Greaves, M.S., and West, H.F., 1968. Cortisol and Cortisone in Saliva of Pregnancy. *J. Endocrinol.* 26, 189-195.
- Hellgren, C., Edvinsson, Å., Olivier, J.D., Fornes, R., Stener-Victorin, E., Kumari, S.J., Ubhayasekera, A., Skalkidou, A., Bergquist, J., Sundström-Poromaa, I., 2016. Tandem mass spectrometry determined maternal cortisone to cortisol ratio and psychiatric morbidity during pregnancy—interaction with birth weight. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 69, 142-149.
- Kajantie, E., Dunkel, L., Turpeinen, U., Stenman, U.H., Wood, P.J., Nuutila, M., Andersson, S., 2003. Placental 11 β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase-2 and fetal cortisol/cortisone shuttle in small preterm infants. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 88, 493-500.
- Kirschbaum, C., Hellhammer, D.H., 1989. Salivary cortisol in psychobiological research: an overview. *Neuropsychobiology*. 22, 150-169.
- Kivlighan, K.T., DiPietro, J.A., Costigan, K.A., Laudenslager, M.L., 2008. Diurnal rhythm of cortisol during late pregnancy: Associations with maternal psychological well-being and fetal growth. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 33, 1225–1235.
- Levine, A., Zagoory-Sharon, O., Feldman, R., Lewis, J.G., Weller, A., 2007. Measuring cortisol in human psychobiological studies. *Physiology & Behavior* 90, 43-53.
- Meulenberg, P.M.M., Hofman, J.A., 1990. Differences between Concentrations of Salivary Cortisol and Cortisone and of Free Cortisol and Cortisone in Plasma during Pregnancy and Postpartum. *Clin. Chem.* 36(136), 70-75.
- Murphy, B.E., Clark, S.J., Donald, I.R., Pinsky, M., Vedady, D., 1974. Conversion of maternal cortisol to cortisone during placental transfer to the human fetus. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 118, 538–541.
- Obel, C., Hedegaard, M., Henriksen, T.B., Secher, N.J., Olsen, J., Levine, S., 2005. Stress and salivary cortisol during pregnancy. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 30, 647–656.
- O'Connor, T.G., Tang, W., Gilchrist, M.A., Moynihan, J.A., Pressman, E.K., Blackmore, E.R., 2014.

Diurnal cortisol patterns and psychiatric symptoms in pregnancy: Short-term longitudinal study. *Biological Psychology* 96, 35-41.

O'Donnell, K.J., Bugge Jensen, A., Freeman, L., Khalife, N., O'Connor, T.G., Glover, V., 2012. Maternal prenatal anxiety and downregulation of placental 11 β -HSD2. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 37, 818-826.

Rumball, C., Oliver, M., Thorstensen, E., Jaquier, A., Husted, S., Harding, J., Bloomfield, F., 2008. Effects of twinning and periconceptional undernutrition on late-gestation hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis function in ovine pregnancy. *Endocrinology* 149, 1163-1172.

Salmond, C., Crampton, P., King, P., Waldegrave, C., 2006. NZiDep: a New Zealand index of socioeconomic deprivation for individuals. *Soc Sci Med* 62,1474–1485.

Thayer, Z.M., Feranil, A.B., Kuzawa, C.W., 2012. Maternal cortisol disproportionately impacts fetal growth in male offspring: Evidence from the philippines. *Am. J. Hum. Biol.* 24, 1–4.

Thayer, Z.M., Kuzawa, C.W., 2014. Early Origins of Health Disparities: Material Deprivation Predicts Maternal Evening Cortisol in Pregnancy and Offspring Cortisol Reactivity in the First Few Weeks of Life. *J. Hum. Biol* 26, 723-730.

Thayer, Z.M., Kuzawa, C.W., 2015. Ethnic discrimination predicts poor self-rated health and cortisol in pregnancy: Insights from New Zealand. *Social Science & Medicine* 128, 36-42

Figure 1. Diurnal rhythm in salivary cortisol and cortisone measurements (mean and SD) in late pregnancy (34-36 weeks gestation)

Table 1. Regression results predicting offspring birth weight, adjusting for gestational age, offspring sex, maternal height, parity, smoking, and time of cortisol sample collection.

	β	SE	P	Adj. R ²
Waking Cortisol	32.0	70.5	0.65	0.21
Waking Cortisone:Cortisol ratio	-55.3	63.7	0.39	0.23
Bedtime Cortisol	164.4	130.6	0.22	0.28
Bedtime Cortisone:Cortisol ratio	-62.8	30.0	0.04	0.32