Coral indicators of past sea-level change: a global repository of U-series dated benchmarks

Fiona D. Hibbert (a,b), Eelco J. Rohling (a,b), Andrea Dutton (c), Felicity H. Williams (b), Peter M. Chutcharavan (c), Cheng Zhao (b), Mark E. Tamisiea (d)

a. Research School of Earth Sciences, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia (fiona.hibbert@anu.edu.au)

b. Ocean and Earth Sciences, University of Southampton, National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, SO14 3ZH, UK

c. Department of Geological Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA.

d. National Oceanography Centre, 6 Brownlow Street, Liverpool, L3 5DA, UK

Abstract

Fossil corals provide valuable data for reconstructing past sea levels, as they are often well preserved in the fossil record and can be dated with U-series methods. Here we present a global and internally consistent database of U-Th dated fossil coral sea-level indicators, including full consideration of all (known) associated uncertainties (both vertical and chronological). We include carefully determined taxon-specific depth distributions, rather than blanket depth uncertainty terms as used in most previous work. This is based on a synthesis of extensive modern ecological information on depth ranges. These ranges are found to be spatially variable (between ocean basins, between regions, and on sub-regional scales) because depth itself is not limiting – instead, depth distributions arise from complex physical, chemical, and biological interactions with coral-reef growth, distribution, and composition. One of the main causes for recognition of the greater depth-variability of coral taxa has been the routine inclusion of deep-diving and ROV surveys in coral ecological studies over the past few decades, which has broken through the “shallow-water” bias of early surveys by adding frequent observations on deeper occurrences (although more are needed). It is also clear from our assessment that coral habitat-depth distributions must be determined on the species level to reduce uncertainties in reconstructions of past sea levels, and that application to sea-level studies then requires these studies also to identify fossil corals to the species level. Most existing data were determined only to the genus level, which gives rise to wide uncertainties in habitat depth and, hence, sea level. Our database contains extensive metadata to assist evaluations of dating quality, as well as geomorphic and stratigraphic metadata. We demonstrate with examples how such metadata can help to evaluate sea-level reconstructions, for example by identifying outlier

35 points. One example discusses the Last Interglacial (LIG), where we use the available data with their
36 uncertainties to assess probabilistically the time at which local sea levels exceed that of the present,
37 which yields a mean age of 124.6 ka with 95% probability bounds at 118.5 and 129.5 ka. We
38 conclude with identification of key outstanding issues relating to: (i) current incomplete
39 understanding of tectonic setting (including the current lack of independent verification of
40 uplift/subsidence rates and reliance of somewhat unsatisfactory, and circular, use of the elevation of
41 Last Interglacial deposits); (ii) the depth-distributions of coral taxa and; (iii) the complete
42 documentation of stratigraphic, geomorphological information and other contextual information,
43 with suggestions for strategies to address these issues.

44

45

46

1. INTRODUCTION

Fossil corals have provided valuable insights into past changes in sea level, from the early studies that tested Milankovitch pacing of glacial-interglacial cycles (e.g., Broecker et al., 1968; Mesolella et al., 1969), to more recent work on detailed, high-resolution reconstructions of past sea levels (e.g. Deschamps et al., 2012) that provide constraints for investigations of past ice-sheet dynamics (e.g. Clark et al., 2002; Stanford et al., 2011; Lambeck et al., 2014).

Fossil corals offer distinct advantages for reconstructing past sea levels, principally their good preservation potential, and the potential for obtaining precise, numerical ages using U-series dating. They also have a wide distribution in the tropical/subtropical regions and many of these sites are far-field (i.e. far away from the centres of the former ice sheets), where glacio-isostatic adjustment (GIA) influences are minimised (e.g. Clark et al., 2002; Bassett et al., 2005). However, the coral data distribution is heterogeneous in space and time; i.e., they are limited to tropical/subtropical regions and represent periods of reef construction, and provide discrete data points rather than continuous sea-level records. In addition, taphonomic and diagenetic factors influence coral preservation, and the relationship between the present elevation of the fossil coral and former sea levels often remains insufficiently constrained. In this paper, we first review modern ecological studies of the main controls on coral growth, and formulate from this a comprehensive assessment of depth-distributions. Thereafter, we present and discuss a new compilation of U-series dated fossil coral data, which is quality-checked and internally consistent, includes relevant contextual metadata, and gives full consideration of uncertainties.

2. PRINCIPAL CONTROLS ON REEF DEVELOPMENT AND DISTRIBUTION

Scleractinian ('hard') corals are composed of polyps that secrete an aragonitic skeleton using calcium and carbonate ions precipitated from seawater. Zooxanthellate scleractinian corals have endosymbiotic photosynthetic algae. Scleractinian corals may take various growth forms (branching, massive, encrusting, solitary, free-living i.e. not attached to the substrate etc.) and in this review we concentrate on the reef-forming (hermatypic) corals.

Coral reefs are complex structures that consist of both primary (skeletogenesis) and secondary (e.g., marine (re)cementation) structures with a potential for significant biomineralisation (e.g., Barnes and Devereux, 1984). The exact mechanisms of calcification and the role of symbionts remain debated, and - despite considerable progress in recent decades (e.g., Cohen and McConnaughey, 2003) - understanding of how major and trace elements are incorporated into the coralline

aragonite remains incomplete (Allemand et al., 2004, 2011). The principal components required for coral growth are: light, carbon dioxide and inorganic nutrients for photosynthesis; organic food for organic tissue and organic matrix synthesis; and calcium and carbonate ions for skeleton formation.

Coral reefs can be separated into three main geomorphological zones: (i) the back-reef and reef flat zone; (ii) the reef crest, and (iii) the fore-reef (which includes the reef slope), within which different benthic assemblages make statistically distinct contributions to reef framework construction (e.g., Hopley et al., 2007; Woodroffe and Webster, 2014). The back-reef zone is a low-energy zone with lagoons featuring sea-grass beds, patch reefs and sand plains. The reef flat and reef crest (or algal ridge where encrusting coralline algae replace corals in the highest wave-energy settings) is formed from consolidated calcareous material, corals, and coralline algae. This is a high-energy zone with potential for breakage, desiccation through exposure at low tide, and ultra violet (UV) light stress. The fore-reef continues seaward of the reef crest to depth. This lower-energy zone has steep gradients in light and temperature, with the greatest coral diversity typically at intermediate depths of 15 to 30 m (e.g., Burns, 1985; Huston, 1985; Cornell and Karlson, 2000) and decreasing with increasing depth. We refer the reader to Woodroffe and Webster (2014), Kennedy and Woodroffe (2002) and Montaggioni and Braithwaite (2009) for detailed considerations of reef morphology.

The interplay of physical, biological and chemical factors (e.g., substrate, incidence of severe storms, predation, disease, etc.) determines the structure and composition of coral reefs, including their growth form, taxonomic composition, distribution, and their preservation potential within the fossil record. These factors operate on a variety of geographic scales: some affect the global distribution of reefs; others control the dimensions and geometry of individual reefs; yet other factors influence – at the ecosystem level – the community composition, zonation, and habitat availability (including the distribution and abundance of populations/taxa); and, finally, several factors combine to affect corals at the individual level, through recruitment, growth rates, size, form, reproduction, and mortality.

In this section we review the main influences on coral growth (Figure 1), with a focus on the ecological and hydrological factors.

2.1. Temperature

Sea surface temperature is a major determinant in the growth and distribution of modern coral reefs (Macintyre and Pilkey, 1969; Andrews and Gentien, 1982; Johannes et al., 1983; Veron and Minchin,

1992), influencing the composition and structure of reef communities, and regulating the aragonite saturation state of the surface waters (growth is optimal in warm waters that are super-saturated with respect to aragonite; Kleypas, 1997; Kleypas et al., 1999). Temperature also exerts a control on the latitudinal extent (geographical range) of species, and comparison between fossil and modern coral ranges suggests a substantial poleward expansion of the range of many coral taxa during the Last Interglacial (LIG) relative to the present as a result of increased temperatures, although equatorial diversity was reduced (Kiessling et al., 2012). Most modern coral reef growth is limited to waters with temperatures between ~18 and 31 °C for most of the year (Hubbard, 1997; Kleypas et al., 2008), but some reef corals are able to tolerate temperatures as low as 11 °C (Veron, 2000). Prolonged exposure to temperatures outside this range may lead to reduced photosynthesis, coral bleaching, and mortality.

Coral reef growth is generally confined to tropical latitudes, although warm surface currents can enable growth outside the tropical latitudes (e.g., Kuroshio, Leeuwin, and Agulhas Currents). In addition, thermal gradients within basins, such as the Red Sea, may determine local/regional coral reef distribution and diversity (Veron, 1995, 2000). Changes in these local or regional conditions or currents and their associated temperature regimes can influence coral distributions resulting in expansion or contraction of the latitudinal range of coral species (e.g., Roberts et al., 1982; Veron, 1992; Abram et al., 2001). For example, Greenstein and Pandolfi (2008) demonstrate a contraction in geographic range and a change in assemblage composition within modern reefs compared to fossil Last Interglacial reefs of Western Australia, in response to decreased temperatures from a weakened Leeuwin Current, given that this current brings warm equatorial waters to higher southern latitudes. Similarly, Muhs et al., (2002a, b, 2006) use molluscs and corals as part of the wider reef assemblage, to infer changing thermal and ocean current changes (for California, Hawaii and Bermuda).

2.2. Salinity

Corals are generally thought to be tolerant of salinity variations, generally growing within a range of 30 to 38 psu with some species tolerating salinities of ~40 psu. Extended exposure to low-salinity waters may reduce growth rates, reproductive success, photosynthesis, and respiration (Coles and Jokiel, 1992; Muthiga and Szmant, 1987; Richmond, 1993; Moberg et al., 1997; Porter et al., 1999; Lirman et al., 2003) although the effects are often species dependent. Past changes in sea surface salinities (e.g., due to altered precipitation regimes associated with changing monsoon dynamics)

are relatively poorly constrained, but salinity changes since the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) are not thought to have been a limiting factor on subsequent coral reef development (Montaggioni, 2005).

2.3. Nutrient Availability

Coral reefs are often found in what are considered to be nutrient-poor regions where an increase in nutrient availability can increase macro-algal growth, which increases competition for space within the reef system. In addition, the often-associated phytoplankton blooms increase water turbidity and decrease light penetration (Hallock, 1988; Hallock and Schlager, 1986; Chazottes et al., 2002; Sanders and Baron-Szabo, 2005).

In detail, the situation is more nuanced: coral reefs span a wide range of nutrient levels (Kleypas et al., 1999, Atkinson et al., 1995), and nutrient flux affects coral zonation in multiple ways. Upwelling as well as terrestrial and riverine inputs affect coral distribution via the transport of nutrients to sites (e.g., Maxwell and Swinchart, 1970; Andrews and Gentien, 1982; D'Elia and Wiebe, 1990; Leichter et al., 2003). Increased nutrient supply can be beneficial (promoting reef growth or enhancing calcification) as well as deleterious – reducing rates of skeletogenesis (Marubini and Davies, 1996), and/or increasing bioerosion (Hallock, 1988; Hallock and Schlager, 1986), and/or increasing the incidence and severity of coral disease (Bruno et al., 2003, 2007). Overall, the resulting changes in coral distribution reflect changing community structures as a whole due to several factors (turbidity, light availability, etc.), rather than just changing nutrient availability (Szmant, 2002).

2.4. Turbidity

Fluctuations in turbidity regimes can occur at the local and/or regional scale, with turbid environments 'compressing' the habitable vertical range of corals to a few metres (Veron, 1995). High inputs of sediment can have detrimental effects on reef frameworks, in part through the reduction in light penetration. High sediment environments are often associated with fewer coral species, reduced live cover and growth rates, decreased calcification and net productivity, and reduced rates of reef accumulation (Rogers, 1990). Increased turbidity often coincides with elevated nutrient levels, which result in a further decrease in light penetration (see section 2.5 for impacts of irradiance levels). High sediment inputs also affect reef frameworks through abrasion and particle deposition. This results in changes in reef zonation, growth forms, and rates of mass mortality, through smothering or burial (Loya, 1976; Acevedo et al., 1989; Rogers, 1990; Shin 2000; Montaggioni, 2005). However, some coral species and communities can tolerate a wide range of turbidity and light levels, forming sites of relatively high diversity (Veron, 1995), for example in

Jamaica (Mallela and Perry, 2007) and in nearshore turbid zones of the Great Barrier Reef (Woolfe and Larcombe, 1998; Larcombe et al., 2001; DeVantier, et al., 2006; Browne et al., 2012). Thus, some communities can become established and persist in environments dominated by persistent fine-grained sediment deposition (Perry et al., 2008). Coral polyps themselves can be effective in removing clays and silts (e.g., through ciliary currents, tissue expansion, direct tentacle manipulation and pulsed partial contraction of the polyp; Stafford-Smith and Ormond, 1992). Waves and current action provide an additional means of removing suspended and settled material.

Experiments suggest that some symbiotic corals can alter their balance between autotrophy and heterotrophy, making heterotrophic carbon a significant source of energy when photosynthetic carbon is unavailable due to bleaching events or in deep and/or turbid waters. This allows corals to broaden their ecological niche and tolerate turbid conditions (Anthony and Fabricius, 2000; Antony and Connolly, 2004). In addition, so-called phenotypic (shape) plasticity enables corals to regulate light capture across a large spectrum of light conditions (Anthony et al., 2005).

Turbidity increases have been implicated as a major factor in the demise of coral reefs in the geological record (e.g., Lighty et al., 1978; Kleypas, 1996), although changing sediment regimes may be only one of a multitude of related influences that led to cessations of reef formation (e.g., Perry and Smithers, 2011).

2.5. Irradiance Levels

The amount of light received by corals varies spatially and temporally, and is influenced by cloud cover, turbidity, tidal changes, reef topography, and depth. The depth of light penetration varies with latitude and distance from the shore (Kleypas et al., 1999), with many coastal reefs receiving reduced light levels due to high turbidity. For example, in the most turbid regions of the Great Barrier Reef, corals are found only within the upper 3 to 4 m of the water column, while on the outer shelf, corals are found to depths up to 100 m (van Woesik and Done 1997, Hopley, 1994, Cooper et al., 2007). At some mid-ocean Pacific atolls, irradiance levels can be compatible with coral growth even at ~160 m depth (Montaggioni, 2005).

Irradiance and coral growth are often positively correlated, with a strong link to calcification in many scleractinian corals (Goreau, 1959; Chalker, 1981; Marubini et al., 2001; Reynaud et al., 2004; Schlacher et al., 2007; Schutter et al., 2008). As stated by Veron (1995), *“light, not temperature, is by far the most ecologically limiting of all physical-environmental parameters”*. As light intensity and

penetration depth decrease, the habitable depth range of many corals becomes compressed and there is a decrease in the depth at which reef building ceases (Hallock and Schlager, 1986, Veron 1995). For corals with symbiotic algae, production of photosynthates is reduced when irradiance levels are low (Titlyanov et al., 2001), and a decrease in internal pH may develop due to reduced photosynthesis, which in turn may lead to less favourable conditions for calcification (Schneider and Erez, 2006). Similarly, the increased energy required to repair light damage may exceed the increase in photosynthetic energy received at high irradiance levels (photoinhibition; Iglesias-Prieto et al., 1992). Corals may adapt to changing light levels by altering their growth form (e.g., from mounds to plates in order to maximise exposure of photoreceptors (Hubbard, 1997), altering the density of zooxanthellae (endosymbionts) or pigment (Titlyanov et al., 2001), or altering the composition of pigment according to the spectrum of the available light (Dustan, 1982). In light-limited conditions, corals will rely on heterotrophy for their energy needs, to compensate for reduced photosynthetic activity (Anthony and Fabricius, 2000; Antony, 2006).

2.6 Substrate availability and antecedent topography

The availability of suitable substrate is *“the most biogeographically limiting of all physical-environmental parameters”* (Veron, 1995). The eastward attenuation of coral species richness across the Pacific is considered to be primarily due to substrate availability coupled with the survival and dispersal capacity of recruits (Veron, 1995). Substrate type can also influence coral distributions, with colonisation occurring preferentially on karst or rough lava flows, whereas unconsolidated or smooth surfaces are less favoured sites for coral growth (Cabioch et al., 1995). Additionally, highly reflective substrates such as carbonate-rich sands amplify light intensity surrounding coral colonies and increase stress levels, particularly during bleaching events (Oztiz et al., 2009).

Coral recolonisation (e.g., during high sea levels after episodes of low sea level) may be inhibited by the contraction or absence of suitable nurseries (shelf edges, banks, seamounts etc.). These provide potential refugia and centres of dispersal once ocean circulation is conducive to larval transport, e.g., after episodes of lowered sea levels (Montaggioni and Braithwaite, 2009). Topographic highs or other elevated regions offer advantages to coral reef formation, for example by optimising larval recruitment (Hubbard, 1997); the general distribution of such highs can directly constrain reef locations; e.g., for Florida see Lidz et al. (1997), for Belize see Purdy (1974), Gischler and Hudson (2004), and for Palau Islands see Kayanne et al. (2002). However, topographic lows, such as those found in some Hawaiian locations (e.g., a drowned stream valley within a morphologically complex antecedent substrate), provided a refuge for Holocene reef growth in a region of high wave energy

that would otherwise limit most Holocene reef growth to a generally thin veneer (Grigg, 1998; Grossman and Fletcher, 2004).

2.7 Hydrodynamics (waves, tides and disturbances)

Wave energy and tidal regimes exert a control on the ecological zonation, habitat availability and morphology of modern reefs (Veron, 2000; Rasser and Riegl, 2002), with disturbances (sometimes catastrophic; e.g., storms, cyclones, hurricanes/ typhoons, or tsunamis) altering sedimentary inputs, temperatures, salinity, and hydrodynamic patterns that result in breakage and redistribution of coral debris, in effect remodelling the reef surface.

Waves can promote the exchange of nutrients, larvae, and particulate food (Pineda, 1991). In high-energy environments, the enhanced circulation of nutrients and/or the removal of metabolic waste products can promote coral growth (Atkinson and Bilger, 1992; Atkinson et al., 1994; Hearn et al., 2001), but at higher energy levels, community structure can change toward more stress-tolerant growth forms (e.g., encrusting or massive corals) to compensate for the increased mechanical erosion (Done, 2011). For example, the community structure of modern reefs in Hawaii is primarily a function of wave energy and depth: in wave-exposed environments, coral growth is frequently disturbed by wave-induced breakage, scour, and abrasion, which results in frequent turnover and re-growth of fragmented colonies that are rarely thicker than a single living community (Grigg, 1998).

Tidal regimes impact corals over a wide range of habitats, not just those within the intertidal zone. For example, the reduced tidal range of the open ocean, relative to continental margins, often results in fewer inter-tidal habitats, whereas high tidal ranges generally result in a wider range of habitats and maximise the area available for coral growth (Veron, 2000). Within the tidal zone, there is a potential for periodic subaerial exposure of reefs, strong wave action, large temperature fluctuations, and high solar irradiation, as well as the tidal modulation of currents and waves. However, the reef crest is one of the most biologically productive parts of a coral reef (Chisholm, 2003) and accounts for more than half the species richness (Karlson et al., 2004), which indicates that such extremes in environmental conditions are generally within the tolerance of modern corals (Anthony and Kerswell, 2007). Extreme low tides may, however, lead to coral mortality, particularly when coupled with high irradiance levels (Anthony and Kerswell, 2007). This is not necessarily detrimental, as it helps to maintain high species diversity due to periodic removal of competitive taxa, as also occurs with storm-induced coral mortality (Connell, 1978; Rogers, 1993).

Pulsed upwelling can promote coral growth and diversity (e.g., Leichter and Genovese, 2006; Schmidt et al., 2012). However, in regions of strong upwelling, these positive effects may be counteracted by low temperatures, low pH, and high nutrient loading, which favour algal rather than coral growth (McCook et al., 2001).

The effect of hydrodynamics on coral distribution and diversity may be local (e.g., wave scour producing resistant reef spurs orientated towards high-energy coastlines; Shinn, 1966), and may extend to the reef/regional scale, with ecological zones dependent upon wave exposure (Geister, 1977) and the tendency for reefs to become more developed on windward as opposed to leeward island aspects (Grigg, 1998; Veron, 2000; Yamano et al., 2001). Storms and/or hurricanes can also change sea surface temperatures and turbidity regimes (potentially leading to coral mortality), or cause clearing of reef surfaces that then become available for re-colonisation (e.g., Scoffin, 1993). Finally, changing hydrodynamics can alter the accommodation space available for reef growth (Grossman and Fletcher, 2004).

Within the geological record, one of the most important hydrodynamic influences is breakage and redistribution of debris due to permanent wave agitation (Hughes 1999) and major storms, with potential implications for stratigraphic integrity of the fossil reef (Scoffin, 1993). Such events may result in severe modification of the reef by mechanical destruction and reforming surface morphology, displacement of blocks downslope, and/or landward redistribution of sediments from reef margins (i.e., accelerating the process of backreef infilling) (Hubbard, 1992; Scoffin, 1993; Blanchon et al., 1997; Braithwaite et al., 2000; Macintyre et al., 2001; Yamano et al., 2001; Rasser and Riegl, 2002). Reef rubble may accumulate as talus on steep slopes and cause the displacement of material to depth, while on gentle slopes this displacement may result in onshore transport and formation of large piles of rubble (Rasser and Riegl, 2002). Within the geological record, such disturbance events may be recognised by a change in lithology (Dunham, 1962; Insalaco, 1998), although there may be a permanent cycle of destruction and regeneration of coral leading to a constantly reworked substrate (Blanchon et al., 1997) and reef interiors that are *“more garbage pile than an in-place assemblage of corals, cemented together into a rigid framework”* (Hubbard et al., 1990).

Storms or other hydrodynamic disturbances may be evident within the fossil record. For example, an ‘extreme wave event’, probably as a result of a tropical cyclone or tsunami, is thought to be responsible for the abrupt infilling of the Paraoir reef (Philippines) by reef rubble and bioclastics

(Gong et al., 2013). Recognition of such acute disturbances within the geological records can only be achieved by thorough examination of stratigraphic (i.e., consistency within each stratigraphic unit), geomorphic, lithologic, and geochemical (i.e., petrography of the reef structure) information (for a review, see Scoffin, 1993), as well as detailed consideration of the coral assemblages themselves. For example, some Pleistocene terraces of Barbados contain distinct ~2 m high *in-situ Montastraea annularis* colonies separated by piles of *Acropora* debris. Although the coeval growth of both species on the reef is possible, growth considerations suggest that *Acropora* only existed for a relatively short period time, or else the massive *M. annularis* forms would have been unable to reach their large dimensions due to shading effects (Scoffin, 1993). More extreme hydrodynamic origins (a tsunami or large wave) have been controversially suggested for the elevated (at ~ +20 m) boulders of Eleuthera Island (Bahamas) (Hearty, 1997), although these may also have resulted from cliff erosion or be relict features associated with karst towers (Panuska et al., 2002; Mylroie, 2007). Tsunami or giant wave origins have also been suggested for deposits on Hawaii (~ +190 m deposits of coral and coralline algae fragments) (Moore and Moore, 1984, 1988), but again there are alternative explanations for the origin of these deposits (e.g., lithospheric deformation) (Keating and Helsley, 2002).

2.8 Population dynamics, predation, competition and disease

Coral reproduction may occur through broadcast or brooding behaviour. Broadcast spawning releases eggs and sperm in mass spawning events and fertilisation occurs within the water column. The gametes may remain within the water column for several weeks and can distribute offspring over a wide geographic area (Veron, 2000). In coral brooding reproduction, fertilisation and embryogenesis occur internally and the larvae may be ready for settlement immediately. Once settled on a suitable substrate, coral growth is rapid in order to avoid overgrowth by algae or burial by sedimentation (Barnes and Hughes, 1999). Reproduction may also occur asexually through budding (i.e., division into clones; Sumich, 1996) and fragmentation, where such fragments may attach and develop into new colonies.

Larval recruitment influences both distribution and diversity of coral populations, reflecting variations in stock size, larval survival, and settlement behaviour (Hughes and Tanner, 2000; Hughes et al., 2003). Macroalgae and cyanobacteria (Kuffner et al., 2006) can have a detrimental impact on coral recruitment and survival of juvenile corals (Tanner, 1995; Jompa and McCook, 2002), as well as on the growth, reproduction, and survival of established corals (Kuffner et al., 2006, Burkepile and Hay 2006, 2008; Carpenter, 1986, Williams and Polunin, 2001; Hughes et al., 2007), and on the

prevalence of coral disease (Nugues et al., 2004). Along with availability of suitable substrates, limits on survival and dispersal capacities are thought to account for the eastward attenuation of coral species diversity across the Pacific (Veron, 2000; Montaggioni, 2005).

Biological interactions govern the population density of coral species (Montaggioni and Braithwaite, 2009). Examples include: (a) grazing influences on the diversity and growth of coral (Burkepile and Hay, 2010; Bellwood et al., 2004), and on the establishment and maintenance of coral-dominated communities by removing competitively ‘superior’ macroalgae (Birkeland, 1977; McClanahan and Muthiga, 1988; Burkepile and Hay, 2006); (b) bioerosion controls on reef dynamics by reshaping reef topography and/or weakening colony structures of live branching corals (Hutchings, 1986, Sammarco, 1996); (c) predation impacts on coral fitness and rates of coral decline (Knowlton et al., 1990; Rotjan et al., 2006), and on coral colony growth and survival (including reproductive potential) (Veghel and Bak, 1994; Rotjan, 2007; Rotjan and Lewis, 2008); and (d) coral disease, which can change the community structure of a reef (Willis et al., 2004; Page and Willis, 2008; Haapkyla et al., 2011). Stress factors, such as increased temperature, nutrient inputs, etc., may both increase the prevalence of coral pathogens, and weaken coral resistance to disease (Aronson and Precht, 2001). Increased ocean temperature is thought to be a main driver of the incidence of coral disease (Harvell et al., 2002, 2007; Rosenberg and Ben-Haim, 2002; Bruno et al., 2003, 2007; Miller et al., 2009). Coral disease may impair zooxanthellae cell division (e.g., yellow band disease), result in tissue necrosis and/or depression of the colony surface (e.g., dark spot disease) (Cervino et al., 2001), and cause weakening of skeletons (Aronson and Precht, 2001).

2.9 Depth

Most species of zooxanthellate corals are generalists; they can occupy a wide range of habitats, reef and non-reef, with vertical ranges from sub-tidal to substantial depths (Veron, 1995). The influence of biotic factors on reef zonation is highly complex, and there is no simple relationship with bathymetry (Perrin et al., 1995). There is relatively little published research on the *direct* relationship between coral distribution and depth; instead coral diversity and/or distributions are assessed in terms of factors such as light, temperature, and nutrient availability, for which depth may often serve as a (rough) proxy.

The literature suggests that corals are “depth-generalists” that occur in both shallow and deep water, with most species occurring within very shallow to -40 m water depth (Carpenter et al., 2008). Indeed, based on the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List data

(currently the most comprehensive global compilation of the depth distribution of coral species), the maximum global coral species occurrence was at mean depth of -27 ± 17 m (Carpenter et al., 2008). Coral diversity generally peaks at intermediate depth (-15 to -30 m) (Burns, 1985; Huston, 1985; Cornell and Karlson, 2000). Most studies, however, do not directly investigate coral distribution and depth. Surveys are generally undertaken to monitor/ascertain coral reef 'health' in terms of community composition, and any change through time. Typically, such surveys are undertaken by divers, although in recent years there has been an increasing use of autonomous underwater vehicles, which – coupled with technological improvements in diving – have allowed greater depths to be reached (approx. -150 m depth; Hinderstein et al., 2010). This has enabled more extensive investigation and documentation of deeper-living coral species, including a burgeoning literature on mesophotic reefs. These are defined as deep fore-reef communities that occur in low-light habitats and are composed of zooxanthellate and azooxanthellate scleractinian corals, macroalgae and sponge communities (Lesser et al., 2009). They typically have a depth range of -30 to -40 m, and can extend to over -150 m in tropical and subtropical regions, depending on water quality, light penetration, etc. (Hinderstein et al., 2010; Kahng et al., 2010). Mesophotic reefs are considered extensions of shallow reef ecosystems (with biological, physical and chemical connectivity with these reefs and communities; e.g., Bongaerts et al., 2010; Bridge et al., 2012), although they have coral assemblages that are generally of lower diversity (Liddell et al., 1997; Jarrett et al., 2005; Armstrong et al., 2006; Hinderstein et al., 2010). The genetic and ecological linkages between shallow and deeper reefs remain unclear (Van Oppen et al., 2011). Mesophotic reefs are thought to have acted as refuges during past instances of environmental disturbance (e.g., Bongaerts et al., 2010), but they are poorly documented within the fossil record and potentially difficult to recognise without precise dating and a comprehensive assemblage approach (e.g., Abbey et al., 2013).

Technological advancements are extending the maximum documented depth for many species of zooxanthellate corals. For example: *Agaricia grahamae* was documented at -119 m in the Caribbean (Reed, 1985), *Leptoseris fragilis* at -145 m in the Red Sea (Fricke et al., 1987), and *Leptoseris* sp. at >-100 m in the Indo-Pacific (e.g., Kahng and Kelley 2007; Maragos and Jokiel 1986; Fricke et al., 1987), with observations of the latter reaching 153 m in Hawaii (Kahng and Maragos, 2006) and including abundant cover at -90 m depth on the Great Barrier Reef (GBR; Hopley et al., 2007). Also notable is the documentation of coralline algae at -268 m in the Bahamas (Littler et al., 1985, 1986), given that association of coralline algae with corals is increasingly being used in sea-level reconstructions (Cabioch et al., 1999b; Iryu et al., 2010; Camoin et al., 2012; Descamps et al., 2012).

The vertical ecological zonation of corals is well documented (e.g., for the Atlantic see Goreau, 1959; Mesolella 1967; Lighty et al., 1982; for the Pacific see Maragos, 1974; for the Indian Ocean see Loya, 1972) and is a function of intrinsic and extrinsic factors, and their interactions. In addition, morphological variation can occur across a depth range. Again this variation is correlated with environmental conditions (light depth, wave energy, etc.) rather than depth *sensu stricto* (Veron, 1995). Such community structure and species diversity can be retained in the fossil record (e.g., Mesolella 1967; Lighty et al., 1982; Edinger et al., 2001; Pandolfi and Jackson, 2006), although the accuracy and resolution of fossil corals for sea-level reconstructions may be limited by time-averaging (i.e., temporal mixing of different coral cohorts within the fossil coral assemblage; see section 1.1.12 for further discussion) (Edinger et al., 2007).

Changes in accommodation space (the vertical and lateral space available for coral reef growth) occur through processes such as tectonic movements (uplift/subsidence, etc.) and sea-level changes that alter the water depth above a reef. With an increase in accommodation space, reef growth may fill the space (i.e., 'keep up' or 'catch up' depending upon the rate of relative sea-level rise; Neumann and Macintyre, 1985), but local processes (e.g., larval supply and survival, hydrodynamics, sediment supply) may prevent reef growth from filling any additional space (e.g., the high wave energy settings of Hawaii; Grigg, 1998). A modern example from Heron Island, Australia, demonstrates the ability of coral reefs to respond to changes in accommodation space in response to rising sea levels and other, coincident hydrodynamic factors (Scopéltis et al., 2009). Reef growth may also 'turn off' when the increase in accommodation space ceases and vertical accumulation reaches dynamic equilibrium with other factors (wave energy, disturbances, etc.). In some instances, growth may be arrested and 'drowning' occurs as vertical accretion can no longer track the increasing water depth and concomitant decrease in light, temperature, etc. (i.e., 'give up' response, cf. Neumann and Macintyre, 1985).

These different responses to changing accommodation space have been identified within the fossil record. For example, Holocene sea-level stillstands have been inferred from the elevation of upper (dead) surfaces of micro-atolls (e.g., Smithers and Woodroffe, 2001; Kench et al., 2009; Yu et al., 2009), while instances of 'keep up' reef growth and limited aggradation due to accommodation-space reduction (e.g., Strasser et al., 1992; Braithwaite et al., 2000; Grossman and Fletcher 2004), as well as reef 'drowning' (e.g., Ludwig et al., 1991; Blanchon and Shaw 1995; Webster et al., 2009) have been inferred from changes in sedimentary facies and benthic communities. Rather than relating to depth *per se*, the effect on coral growth and diversity reflects instead the changing

physio-chemical conditions brought about by external factors (sea-level change, tectonic subsidence, etc.). The following sections (2.10 and 2.11) present further discussion of the impacts of sea-level change and tectonics.

2.10 Sea-level change

High species diversity within the central Indo-Pacific is thought, in part, to be due to the interaction of long-term tectonic activity and changes in sea level. Bathymetry of this region was such that, even though reefs were repeatedly aerially exposed during Pleistocene sea-level lowstands, the complex coastline and creation of diverse shallow habitats in close proximity to deep (>150 m) waters meant that there was minimal broad-scale species dislocation during intervals of rapid sea-level change (Veron et al., 2009).

Changes in sea level may lead to severe (or catastrophic) ecological disruption; e.g., via changing sedimentary regimes, loss of accommodation space (a lack of accommodation space being a limiting factor for reef growth in some instances, Kennedy and Woodroffe, 2002), and disruption of coral dispersal due to changing oceanographic patterns. In addition, the rate of sea-level change may affect the composition of coral reefs; Neumann and Macintyre (1985) suggest that reef growth is a balance between rate of sea-level rise and the ability of the reef to keep pace. The differing responses proposed (“keep-up”, “catch-up” and “give-up”) should be recognisable within the fossil record: (i) “keep-up”, where reefs maintain crests at or close to sea level, are preserved as shallow-water growth forms; (ii) “catch-up” – where reef growth initially occurs in deeper water as the rate of sea-level rise exceeds the rate of growth and where reefs later caught up, often due to a decrease in the rate of sea-level rise – produces a successive change from deeper to shallower water assemblages; and finally (iii) the “give-up” scenario, where there is a sudden cessation in growth possibly due to a sudden rise in sea level or a sudden change in environmental or oceanographic conditions and accretion can no longer keep pace, ‘drowning’ the reef.

In the fossil record, the successive highstand reefs of the Huon Peninsula (Papua New Guinea) were found to have similar coral taxonomic composition and species richness, and it was also noted that the spatial differences in community composition within reefs of the same age were greater than between reefs of different ages (Pandolfi, 1996, 1999). In contrast, the lowstand species compositions of the Huon Peninsula reefs look significantly different. This has been ascribed to changes in the relative influence of ecological processes between the highstands and lowstands, or community response to changed environmental conditions (Tager et al., 2010).

2.11 Tectonics

The tectonic history of a region may have a profound influence on the diversity and distribution of modern coral species. This may occur through: subsidence (e.g., Darwin, 1874); folding and faulting (e.g., Purdy et al., 2003); crustal rifting, differential tilting and uplift of tectonic blocks (e.g., Plaziat et al., 1998; Dullo and Montaggioni, 1998); coseismic uplift (e.g., Ota et al., 1993; Ota and Chappell 1996; Tudhope et al., 2000; Sieh et al., 2008) and earthquake-related displacement of reef rubble (Rasser and Riegl, 2002; Zachariasen et al., 1999); thermal subsidence of mid-plate hot-spot volcanoes (e.g., Moore and Campbell 1987; Moore and Clague 1992); and flexure forebulges resulting from localised landmass loading (e.g., Faichney et al., 2010).

In tectonically unstable regions, coral reefs may suffer repeated environmental perturbations, which can create a variety of coral habitats and high species diversity (Pandolfi, 1992; Veron, 1995; Wilson and Rosen, 1998; Veron et al., 2009). Tectonic uplift (subsidence) can also decrease (increase) the accommodation space available for coral growth (see section 1.1.9). The very high species diversity of the “coral triangle” (a region of the western Pacific stretching from the Philippines to the Solomon Islands in which over 600 species of reef-building coral species have been recorded) is the product of interplay between repeated tectonic and environmental disturbance, and sea-level variations (e.g., Keith et al., 2013). Specifically, proximity of deep refugia and complex island shorelines meant that a diversity of habitats was available for recolonisation, and ensured minimal dislocation during rapid sea-level change, thus forming a geographic location that promotes larval recruitment and favourable environmental conditions (Veron et al., 2009).

Exposure of fossil reef material to enhanced diagenetic effects may occur through tectonic uplift (i.e., exposure of the uplifted coral terrace to percolating waters; e.g., Hamelin et al., 1991, Henderson et al., 1993), with sustained and prolonged uplift further increasing the potential for diagenetic alteration. These diagenetic effects are further discussed below (section 2.12).

2.12 Taphonomy and Diagenesis of coral reefs

The fossil record represents past reef communities after significant modifications. The two main issues to be considered are potential non-preservation, and selective removal or alteration of material by physical, chemical, or biological processes.

Reefs are complex systems that comprise primary and secondary growth frameworks, marine cementation, mechanical and biological erosion, and post-depositional diagenesis. Their complex structure may result in differing susceptibility of the coral reef and individuals within the reef to diagenesis, time averaging and transport of material, and the potential for preferential preservation of certain species or adults/size classes within species. For example, dense fine-grained coral skeletons are most physically durable (Chave, 1962; Brett, 1990), and corals with a branching linear growth form such as *Acropora cervicornis* are more susceptible to storm damage than massive head corals such as *Montastraea annularis* (Scoffin, 1992).

Fossil coral reef frameworks typically comprise *in situ* corals and coral rubble bound by calcareous encrusters and cements. Skeletons are frequently reworked in reef settings, with selective destruction of certain growth forms, individuals and age-classes, as well as a mixing of successive generations (also known as time averaging) (Scoffin, 1992; Pandolfi and Greenstein, 1997a; Greenstein and Pandolfi, 2003). Ecological time averaging is the spatial or temporal mixing of organisms that occupied the same location at different times into a single deposit (Kowalewski and Bambach, 2003; Kidwell and Holland, 2002; Kidwell and Flessa, 1995; Kowalewski 1996). This accumulation and mixing of successive cohorts (Scoffin, 1992; Edinger et al., 2001) directly constrains the temporal resolution achievable from fossil coral reef records, especially given that geological sections rarely provide full 3-dimensional information of coral reef structure.

Moreover, the fossil record may not be a faithful representation of the living coral assemblage (Pandolfi and Michin, 1995; Greenstein and Pandolfi, 2003). For example, rare or slow-growing corals may be under-represented in the fossil record due to dilution by other fast-growing taxa or rubble inherited from the death assemblage. This loss in fidelity was highlighted by comparison of raised Pleistocene reefs with their modern counterparts in Barbados, which indicated that the fossil corals confirmed to be in growth position represented less than a quarter of the primary reef framework visible in their modern equivalents (Stearn et al., 1977; Scoffin et al., 1980).

Finally, post-depositional alteration can significantly alter the chemical characteristics of the fossil coral (e.g. the replacement of aragonite with calcite by subaerial weathering, freshwater leaching or percolation through the reef of waters supersaturated with CaCO_3). For example, exposed fossil reefs (e.g., uplifted coral terraces) are prone to diagenesis and loss of sample integrity through contact with meteoric waters. The rate of alteration is dependent upon: the composition of the interstitial waters; the permeability of the framework; and the potential for complex interplay

between meteoric, vadose, and phreatic waters with mixing of fresh- and seawater. The latter is governed by *inter alia*: permeability; oxidation of organic matter; and surface and subsurface hydrogeology. These effects are not necessarily confined to exposed corals and long-term submergence is not a guarantee against alteration or dissolution (e.g., Sherman et al., 1999; Montaggioni and Braithwaite, 2009).

2.13 Summary and implications

Within coral reefs, the interactions between physical, chemical and biological factors are numerous and complex, which can hinder the identification of straightforward cause and effect relationships. Coupled with the fact that most coral species are generalists (i.e., they can occupy a wide range of diverse habitats and vertical ranges; Veron, 1995), this leads to heterogeneous reef structures and spatial variations in their distribution and composition (Figure 2).

These complications can be compounded in the fossil record, where additional processes (taphonomy and diagenesis, etc.) along with a limited 2-dimensional (section) or 1-dimensional (drill-core) perspective may render physical, chemical, and biological relationships virtually indistinguishable from one another. That is they fail to fully capture the heterogeneous reef structure evident in most modern coral reefs.

When reconstructing past sea levels from fossil coral reefs, the relationship between sample elevation and the position of sea level at the time of growth is a fundamental parameter. Unfortunately, modern ecological studies suggest that there is no direct relationship between coral growth and depth *per se*. Instead, depth can be considered a proxy for a multitude of factors that determine both species diversity and distribution. Sea-level reconstructions commonly also assume that the modern depth distribution is stable/constant through time. Given the spatial and temporal variability evident in modern coral communities, this assumption requires further testing.

3. CORAL DISTRIBUTION AND DIVERSITY

Variations in coral communities between different geographic regions (e.g., variations in coral diversity, growth forms, species composition, spatial coverage, etc.) result in local and regional coral-community zonation. These zones result from factors discussed in the preceding sections, which induce variations 'within species' (i.e., morphological changes, often in response to environmental gradients and which can lead to the emergence of geographic subspecies), and 'between species' (e.g., presence/absence of species, or lack of common species between regions) (Veron, 1995).

These variations in coral communities reflect, in the broadest sense: (i) the degree of geographic separation (e.g., the evolutionary history and degree of genetic connectivity, as well as changes in oceanic circulatory regimes, or the degree of dispersal success by coral species); (ii) latitude-correlated environmental changes (e.g., temperature gradients); and (iii) the degree of isolation and size of each distinct region (Veron, 1995). Additionally, the generalist nature of most corals means: (a) that a species commonly found in one type of habitat in one region may be absent from similar habitats in another, or found in completely different habitats; and/or (b) that species that in one region occupy a wide range of habitats may be confined to just one particular habitat type elsewhere (Veron, 1995).

Attempts to delineate modern faunal distributions (Wallace, 1863; Wells, 1954; Stehli and Wells, 1971; Veron 1993, 1995) have resulted in a variety of biogeographic maps. A recent update of these yields a comprehensive evaluation of species distribution (Veron, 2000; Veron et al., 2009), in which 141 coral 'ecoregions' were defined (Figure 3). Below, we discuss relevant aspects for key regions covered by our coral sea-level database.

3.1. Caribbean

In high to moderate wave-energy settings, robust, branching *Acropora palmata* is the primary framework builder (reef-crest and upper-reef zones), while *Acropora cervicornis* dominates in more protected environments (fore- and back-reef settings) (Montaggioni and Braithwaite, 2009). The table- and plate-forms of *Acropora palmata* in the Caribbean are particularly well suited to rapid exploitation of a wide range of environments as growth rate, substrate coverage, and exposure to sunlight are maximised (Veron, 1995). *Montastraea annularis* is the third of the principal species; it exhibits a high degree of phenotypic plasticity and a wide depth distribution (Knowlton and Jackson, 1994).

The biogeographic distribution of corals in the Caribbean is fairly uniform relative to that in the Indo-Pacific, which may reflect good connections between locations and generally uniform environmental conditions (e.g., generally low terrigenous inputs) (Veron 1995), although local variations are evident in the ecoregions identified by Veron et al. (2009). From comparison with Pleistocene reefs, the structure of Caribbean coral communities appears, in general, to have changed little through the Plio-Pleistocene (e.g. Budd et al., 1994, 1996; Mesolella, 1967, 1968; Jackson, 1992; Budd, 2000), with only five species becoming extinct in the Caribbean during the past 1.5 million years (Budd et al., 1994). Notable examples are the once common and widely distributed *Pocillopora cf. palmata*

and *Montastraea nancyi*. *M. nancyi* was once as abundant and as widely distributed throughout the Caribbean region as other species of the *M. annularis* complex for the half a million years before its extinction at ca. 82 ka (Pandolfi et al., 2001; Pandolfi 2007). The general similarity of Caribbean Pleistocene reef communities suggests a degree of community stasis despite repeated sea-level oscillations (Hunter and Jones, 1996; Greenstein et al., 1998a, b; Pandolfi and Jackson, 2007).

3.2. Indo-Pacific

Species diversity is approximately an order of magnitude greater in the Indo-Pacific than in the Caribbean (Veron, 2000). However, reef growth and carbonate production are similar between the two regions (Dullo, 2005), which highlights that diversity is not a control on reef growth. Latitudinal attenuations in species distributions are evident along the coastline of east Africa, both eastern and western Australia, northward along the Japanese coast, and northeast towards Hawaii (Figure 3). In high-latitude areas, species composition is primarily controlled by boundary currents, which determine the thermal regimes and create a 'one-way genetic connectivity' with upstream (tropical) communities (Veron, 1995).

Within the Indian Ocean, species diversity is fairly uniform with distinct longitudinal variations only in the eastern Indo-Pacific region. The central equatorial Indo-Pacific exhibits the highest species diversity and has been named the 'coral triangle' (605 zooxanthellate coral species, representing approx. 76% of the global complement; Veron et al., 2009). Reasons for this high species diversity include the complex interplay between repeated environmental perturbations, habitat complexity (and assumed evolutionary change) created by the unstable tectonics of the region, and the influence of repeated Pleistocene sea-level changes, as well as patterns of coral larval dispersion and oceanographic regimes (Veron et al., 2009). In contrast, the Hawaiian and far eastern Pacific are somewhat peripheral regions of species diversity. Persistence of taxa and coral community composition also characterise the fossil coral communities of the Pacific (Pandolfi, 1996, 1999; Webster and Davies, 2003). As mentioned in section 2.10, Pandolfi (1996) demonstrated greater diversity within fossil coral sites of the same age from the Huon Peninsula, than between reefs of different ages, which suggests that local environmental conditions exert a greater influence on diversity than differences in global factors such as sea level and atmospheric CO₂ concentrations between successive highstands (Pandolfi, 1999).

4. CORALS AS INDICATORS OF PAST SEA LEVELS

4.1 Objectives

Here, we compile a comprehensive fossil coral database, to facilitate evaluation of the data in a 'holistic' manner that spans all major geological and ecological aspects that affect the use of coral benchmarks in studies of past sea level. Thus, the benchmarks possess well-expressed and substantiated uncertainties.

This effort is needed to address current problems within Quaternary science (e.g. the relationship between sea level, ice sheets and changes in climate; the variability, rates and magnitude of sea-level change; the evidence and implications of a potential two-stage highstand during the last interglacial), which cannot be thoroughly addressed by looking at a single study, or a handful of studies, in isolation. Note that when this database is used, the contributions of the original authors should also be given due credit (e.g., Düsterhus et al., 2016).

Our overall aim is to create one harmonised (i.e., all data treated in a similar way) resource that serves not only to support sea-level reconstructions, but also highlights the state of the art and the remaining challenges, and so foster in-depth discussions about strategies to address the challenges.

To achieve this, our specific objectives are:

1. to facilitate assessment of available U-series dated coral sea-level markers within their proper uncertainties;
2. to improve and widen access of user groups to the currently available coral data (and sea-level reconstructions); and
3. to flag outstanding issues, illustrated with examples.

In order to reconstruct past sea levels using fossils corals, there are four main requirements:

- i) preservation within the fossil record (preferably with stratigraphic and geomorphological context);
- ii) determination of the modern elevation of the fossil (including any uplift corrections);
- iii) precise dating of the fossil coral (with due consideration of the diagenetic history of the sample); and
- iv) understanding the relationship between the likely position of the fossil and the sea surface at the time of its growth (i.e., the palaeo-water depth).

The latter term is critically important for refining sea-level reconstructions, in addition to properly accounting for all (known) uncertainties. However, the relationship between the modern distribution of corals and the depths at which they occur is far from straightforward. As seen in

section 2, modern ecological studies suggest that depth by itself exerts little direct control on the distribution of coral taxa. Instead, other biotic and abiotic factors influence modern coral distributions, and some of these factors vary with depth. Furthermore, the depth relationships vary spatially, and possibly also temporally. Nonetheless, information on the depth-distribution of the fossil coral taxa is fundamental to the calculation of past sea levels and their uncertainties. We therefore identify from modern census studies the species-level (where possible) depth distributions for use in our sea-level calculations. We thus assess the available geological data within a well-documented modern biological/ecological context.

We ensure that all appropriate fields within the database are ‘harmonised’ (i.e., treated the same throughout), so that they are internally consistent. Examples of such harmonisation are: (1) the single approach applied to all locations (where possible) for deriving the appropriate regional uplift term (any exceptions are clearly indicated); and (2) the recalculation of radiometric ages using the same decay constants for all samples, while also noting the originally determined values in the database. Although we inspect the degree of coherence in reconstructed sea-level records between sites (within uncertainties), we refrain from making glacio-isostatic adjustments (this is not a trivial exercise, and is being addressed in a separate study).

Section 4.2 briefly outlines the main parameters within the fossil coral database. It builds upon the compilations of Dutton and Lambeck (2012) and Medina-Elizalde (2012), and currently contains >2,500 data points (a greater than three-fold increase on both compilations) from 37 locations (Figure 4). Data from the sources involved in these previous compilations are incorporated in our database, but we have recalculated: the ages; corrected coral position (Z_{cp}); and the reconstructed relative sea-level probabilities (P_{RSL}) following our harmonisation. Our P_{RSL} reconstructions include the re-evaluated taxon-specific habitat-depth distributions from section 4.2.4.

We highlight that comparison of U-Th ages for a given sample between the three databases (i.e., Dutton and Lambeck, 2012; Medina-Elizalde, 2012; this study) may reveal some age differences. Also, ages in the databases may not be the same as those originally reported in the primary source. These discrepancies arise for two reasons: (1) the use of different decay constants for ^{230}Th and ^{234}U ; and (2) the consideration (or lack thereof) of the method of spike calibration during recalculation of the ages. Differences between this study and the Dutton and Lambeck (2012) compilation can be attributed solely to the current application of updated decay constants for ^{230}Th and ^{234}U by Cheng et al. (2013) *versus* previous use of earlier decay constants after Cheng et al. (2000). The difference

in absolute value of these decay constants is very small and in many cases has a negligible effect on age, but the precision is greatly improved in the most recent generation of decay constants (Cheng et al., 2013). Differences between the Medina-Elizade (2012) and Dutton and Lambeck (2012) compilations arise because the method of spike calibration was not considered by Medina-Elizade (2012). This has an effect on isotope activity ratios, and hence calculated age, when a gravimetric standard was used to calibrate the isotopic spike. Our recalculated ages also include the decay constant error within the final age uncertainty.

As a rule, we use only published information to compile our database (to date, only data published prior to January 2014 are included). We include only information readily available within the published literature and include the following studies within the database: Andersen et al., 2008, 2010a,b; Ayling et al., 2006; Bard et al., 1990a, b, c, 1996a, b, 2010; Blanchon and Eisenhauer, 2001; Blanchon et al., 2002, 2009; Bruckner and Radtke, 1989; Cabioch et al., 2003, 2008; Camoin et al., 1997, 2001, 2004; Chappell et al., 1996; Chen et al., 1991; Chiu et al., 2005; Cobb et al., 2003a; Collins et al., 2003, 2006; Colonna et al., 1996; Coyne et al., 2006; Cutler et al., 2003, 2004; Delanghe et al., 2002; Deschamps et al., 2012; Dia et al., 1992, 1997; Edwards et al., 1987b, 1993, 1997; Eisenhauer et al., 1993, 1996; Esat and Yokoyama, 2006; Esat et al., 1999; Fairbanks et al., 2005; Frank et al., 2006; Fruijtier et al., 2000; Galewky et al., 1996; Gallup et al., 1994, 2003; Grün et al., 1992; Hamelin et al., 1991; Hearty et al., 1999, 2002, 2007a; Israelson and Wohlfarth, 1999; Kennedy et al., 2012; Ludwig et al., 1996; McCulloch and Mortimer, 2008; McMurty et al., 2010; Montaggioni and Hoang, 1988; Muhs et al., 2002a,b, 2006, 2011, 2012a,b; Multer et al., 2002; Nunn et al., 2002; O'Leary et al., 2008a,b, 2013; Peltier and Fairbanks, 2006; Pirazzoli et al., 1993; Potter et al., 2004; Riker-Coleman et al., 2006; Schellmann et al., 2004; Scholz et al., 2009; Sherman et al., 1999; Speed and Cheng, 2004; Stein et al., 1993; Stirling, 1996; Stirling et al., 1995, 1998, 2001; Sun et al., 2005; Szabo et al., 1994; Thomas et al., 2009, 2012; Thompson and Goldstein, 2005; Thompson et al., 2003, 2011; Toscano and Lundberg, 1999; Toscano et al., 2012; Vezina et al., 1999; Walter et al., 2000; White et al., 2001; Yokoyama et al., 2001; Zazo et al., 2007; Zhao and Yu, 2002; Zhu et al., 1993.

Most of the data within our fossil coral database are from the last deglacial interval (~20%) and the last interglacial (~30%). Obtaining reliable age determinations becomes increasingly difficult the further back in time, due to the lack of well-preserved specimens and the influence of diagenetic processes. Theoretically, the U-Th method enables age determinations of up to ~600 ka but in practice there are few coral data older than about 200 ka. Improvements in analytical techniques (in

particular the increased precision and accuracy offered by TIMS and MC-ICP-MS techniques over α -counting techniques) have enabled local sea-level reconstructions on millennial rather than just orbital timescales. Uncertainties increase with increasing age, so that in older periods, millennial-scale sea-level reconstructions are not possible for comparison with those of the last deglaciation. However, the older data remain valuable, for example, for the distinction of older interglacial periods.

This presents a first step toward a comprehensive database of all coral U-Th data generated on mass spectrometers (excluding alpha-counting data) that both legacy data and future data can be added to. Alpha analyses tend to have larger uncertainties and are not included in the current version of the database but are nonetheless valuable and will be incorporated into future versions. The database presented here incorporates all available metadata (e.g., contextual information on geomorphology, taxonomy and stratigraphy of the sample) to enable users to assess the reliability of each sample for reconstructing past sea level. In section 4.3, we evaluate some of the major implications of the collated information in more detail.

4.2 Principal database parameters

4.2.1 Sample identifiers and location

Each data point has been assigned a unique identifier within the database, along with the original sample identifier by which it was first published. Sample locations are as originally reported, with the proviso that many of the original publications lack detailed sample location information so that latitude and longitude are estimated.

4.2.2 Tectonic setting (and uplift/subsidence rate)

We initially intended to find independent estimates of uplift/subsidence rates for each location that requires a tectonic correction. Unfortunately, it was not possible to obtain such independent estimates for the vast majority of sites. One way to perform this correction would be to obtain a GIA prediction of relative sea level at each site in the database for the LIG and use this value in combination with the age and elevation of peak sea level for each site to calculate an uplift rate (e.g., Creveling et al., 2015). In the absence of GIA modelling in this paper, we use a simple approach using the age and elevation of the LIG terrace, which is less desirable as it is both circular and it ignores that geographic variability in sea level that is due, in part, to GIA effects. We recalculated all regional uplift/subsidence rates using the maximum elevation given for the LIG terrace at each site, and assuming a LIG sea level of 6.6 ± 2 m (Kopp et al., 2009, 2013) and an age of 125 ± 5 ka (equation

1). Where no elevation was given for a regional last interglacial terrace, we have used uplift rates as presented in the original publication (while ensuring that a consistent value is used for all data from such a site) (Table 1). We calculate uplift rates (in m/ka) as follows:

$$\Delta H/\Delta t = (H_{(loc)LIG} - H_{LIG})/t_{LIG} \quad (Equation 1)$$

where

$H_{(loc)LIG}$ = maximum elevation of the local LIG terrace (in m, relative to modern sea level; + is above modern sea level);

H_{LIG} = 6.6 ± 2 m (Kopp et al., 2009, 2013); and

t_{LIG} = 125 ± 5 ka.

This approach assumes that uplift/subsidence has been linear through time, although we recognise that this assumption may not hold for all locations or time periods (cf. Schellman and Radtke, 2004). Additionally, although internally consistent, our procedure remains open to considerable uncertainties (cf. Creveling et al., 2015) and introduces additional uncertainties to any sea level reconstruction. It does, however, enable a first-order estimation of sea-level but it is an unsatisfactory approach for studies that wish to precisely constrain the position of sea levels through time. Little or no independent information exists to verify the uplift/subsidence rates – this remains one of the most important parameters to require further attention.

4.2.3 Sample elevation and stratigraphic context

We record the method that was used to determine the present elevation of the sample (GPS, levelling, or interpolation from map contours), and assign elevation uncertainty where none was specified in the original publication; for example, all drill-core samples are assigned a 1 m elevation uncertainty. We could not correct elevations to any specific datum (e.g., mean high water) because there commonly is insufficient information to do so (i.e., no information on the datum relative to which elevation was measured). Also, we could not (yet) confidently determine past tidal ranges at each location. To date, only few models offer estimates of local or regional variations in palaeo-tidal range (e.g., Hinton 1996; Uehara et al., 2006; Hill et al., 2011). Instead, we provide (modern) indicative ranges (Table 2) from a global tidal model.

Geological and geomorphological context details were obtained from source publications, when reported (e.g., facies information, details of whether the sample is *in situ* or displaced, etc.). Terrace

designation is that of the original authors (where reported) with any subsequent reanalysis or change in accepted local nomenclature also noted. Incorporating these metadata allows assessment of the stratigraphic integrity of sample series. For example, it allows evaluation of whether sample ages obtained from within a stratigraphic unit are consistent with each other (including data from multiple studies). However, note that – unlike sediment layers – coral reefs grow in 3-dimensions, so that a prograding reef may contain a wide range of ages along the same elevation (or terrace). Such age structure has been reported, for example, for Holocene growth on the Great Barrier Reef (Marshall and Davies, 1982). The implication is that data should not be rejected where multiple ages are observed for a single elevation. Likewise, age inversions that are identified on the basis of age and elevation data alone should not be rejected out of hand because such relationships may be entirely consistent with 3-dimensional development of the reef.

4.2.4 Taxonomic identification and depth distribution

The identification of coral is as given in the original papers; identification to species level is available in ~60 % of the total fossil data, while ~34 % is identified to genus level only, and 6 % is unidentified.

Many previous studies tended to assume a 6 m depth habitat for many species (e.g., Lighty et al., 1982; Toscano and Macintyre, 2003; Gischler and Hudson, 2003; Peltier and Fairbanks, 2006; Medina-Elizalde, 2012). Some studies use a local modern analogue (i.e., incorporating the characteristics of the modern coral assemblage at a site) to constrain the depth preference of fossil corals (e.g., Montaggioni et al., 1997; Cabioch et al., 1999; Webster et al., 2009; Deschamps et al., 2012). Apart from such local studies, however, there is sparse published research on the direct relationship between coral distribution and depth. As discussed in section 2, corals are “depth-generalists”, with most species occurring within very shallow waters down to depths of as much as 40 m (Carpenter et al., 2008). Other controls such as temperature, salinity, nutrients, predation, and local environmental and substrate conditions, were found to exert a greater influence on the depth limit of species than irradiance levels or depth *per se* (section 2). In addition, depth zonations for coral taxa are less well defined in the Indo-Pacific than in the Caribbean (Woodroffe and Webster, 2014). In the Indo-Pacific, coral assemblages and associated coralline algae assemblages may give better indicators of depth (Pandolfi, 1996; Montaggioni and Braithwaite, 2009).

It is therefore difficult to justify a blanket assumption that past sea level stood 6 m above the elevation of the coral for all taxa at all locations. This is reflected in the increasing use of coral or coral/coralline-algal assemblages (cf. Cabioch et al., 1999; Pandolfi and Jackson, 2001; Webster and

Davies, 2003; Webster et al., 2004; Abbey et al., 2011; Deschamps et al., 2012) to refine depth relationships.

Here we use data from modern ecological studies to assign modern depth relationships to each fossil coral sample identified to genus or species level. Specifically, we use information from the Ocean Biogeographical Information System (OBIS) (OBIS, 2014; a full list of extracted data and references can be found in online supplementary material) and assign a median depth habitat and uncertainties based on the modern depth distributions of each genus and species, respectively. The modern ecological data within OBIS are derived from credible and authoritative biological research initiatives and have passed internal quality control measures prior to release (e.g., verification of species name). The database contains over 700 datasets and more than 22 million records of marine life. For this study, we have extracted only coral depth data for species currently included in the fossil sea-level database (the exercise may be expanded for any new species added to the reconstructions). The modern studies include information from both shallow and deep (diver/automated underwater vehicle) surveys, often spanning several years.

We use only direct observations with a vertical precision ≤ 0.25 m in our analyses. Examples of the modern depth distributions of the main coral taxa used in sea-level reconstructions are given in Figure 5. The depth distributions are clearly variable, and tend toward skewed distributions (i.e., a long tail to greater depths). There are significant differences between species, with some resembling a lognormal distribution while others exhibit bi- or multi-modal distributions (e.g., *Montastraea annularis*). For each species, we derive an estimate of the median water depth in which the species lives using all locations available. We have chosen the median rather than the mean because the depth distributions are not Gaussian or symmetrical (Figure 5), and because the mean is more sensitive to outliers. The lower and upper bounds of the 95 and 68 % confidence intervals were also determined using the 2.5, 97.5, 16 and 84 percentiles, respectively (Table 3, depth distributions can be found in the online supplementary material).

For some species, no or very limited observational data were available. In these instances, we have used the genus relationship (e.g., *Acropora danaei*, *Favia speciosa*, *Pocillopora guadalupensis*) (marked with an asterisk in Table 3). However, the number of fossil corals from these groups is small in our database. We highlight in Table 3 those depth relationships that are based on relatively few (<300) observations (e.g., *Alveopora* sp., *Gardinerosis planulata*), and we recommend increased caution when using these in sea-level reconstructions. For *Oculina* sp. and *Oulophyllia* sp., there

were insufficient observational data to determine a robust depth relationship (33 and 183 observations, respectively). In these two instances only, we relaxed the acceptable depth precision of the modern observations to ≤ 5 m and included data derived from museum specimens (this increased the number of data to 287 and 240 for *Oculina* sp. and *Oulophyllia* sp., respectively). There are no direct observations and only few museum specimens available for the solitary coral *Balanophyllia elegans* ($n = 88$) and we therefore use data from the study of Gerrodette (1979) for this species. It should be noted that most *B. elegans* specimens are from Californian emerged, erosional terraces (e.g. Muhs et al., 2002b, 2006, 2012b) and are not in growth position, which results in spurious relationships between fossil elevation and former sea levels (and so this depth distribution is not used in our reconstructions). The authors use shoreline angles etc. to constrain the vertical position of past sea levels for these locations (see sections 5.1.2 and 5.3.1 for further discussion).

Using our synthesis of modern, ecologically derived, and species-specific depth distributions, we have evaluated the relationship between each modern coral taxon and its modern habitat-depth distribution. For example, species of the genus *Acropora* have been observed in water depths ranging from 0 to -91 m: *A. cytherea* was observed at a depth of -91 m in the Pacific (CRED Rapid Ecological Assessments of Coral Population in the Pacific Ocean 2007-2010) and down to depths of -55 to -60 m on the Great Barrier Reef (Bridge et al., 2012; Abbey et al., 2013). Our analysis suggests that most (95% probability) *Acropora* sp. live between -0.5 and -16.4 m (Figure 5, Table 3). Within the genus, *Acropora cervicornis* has a wider depth range (-0.2 to -48 m) (Figure 5, Table 3): it was observed at -48 m in Puerto Rico (La Parguera, Puerto Rico Benthic Composition and Monitoring Data, 2002 - Present); at -35 m in St Croix (St. Croix, USVI Benthic Composition and Monitoring Data, 2002 - Present) and at -21 m in Cuba (Atlantic and Gulf Rapid Reef Assessment – Benthic; Marks, 2007). *Acropora palmata* is generally found between -0.35 and -9.4 meters water depth (95 % confidence limits) (Figure 5, Table 3)), with a maximum observed depth of -22 m at St Croix, US Virgin Islands (St. Croix, USVI Benthic Composition and Monitoring Data, 2002 - Present), but this increases to a maximum of -41 m at this location if we allow depth precision of the observations ≥ 0.25 m (St. Croix, USVI Benthic Composition and Monitoring Data, 2002 - Present). This accords with the compilation in Lighty et al. (1982), which suggested a narrow range of <-1 m to -5 m for this species in the Caribbean (note that most studies used in Lighty et al. (1982) occurred prior to the advent of routine deep-water surveys), and with the range of -0.1 to -40 m from the more recent IUCN Red List (Carpenter et al., 2008) (Table 3). Comparisons of our depth relationships with

previous assessments (e.g., Carpenter et al., 2008; Bridge et al., 2013) are shown in Figure 6 and Table 3.

Most of the depth distributions (this study and Carpenter et al., 2008, Figures 5 and 6, Table 3) corroborate the “depth generalist” tendency of most coral species, with the majority confined to the upper ~20 m water depth, and a few species extending to depths of >50 m (e.g., *Siderastrea radians*). This has implications for the sea-level precision achievable from fossil corals. For example, use of a fossil *Acropora palmata* sample, which has a vertical range of ~ 9 m (95% probability range from -0.4 to -9.4 m, median depth of -1.5 m), will result in smaller uncertainties than use of *Montastraea annularis*, which has a ~16 m range (95% range from -1.1 to -17 m, median depth -9.7 m). This is not a new finding, but it is here more precisely quantified by synthesis of the – as yet – most complete biological/ecological database. Also, our synthesis emphasises that depth ranges are much wider and more complex than commonly assumed in fossil coral-based sea-level studies.

Some species are not well constrained by modern observations (e.g. *Oculina* sp.) and caution should be used when using these corals for sea level reconstructions. *Oculina* includes both zooxanthellate and azooxanthellate species, but the two groups are not morphologically distinct (Veron, 1995). Three species of *Oculina* are considered to be facultative symbiont bearers (Cairns, 1999). In Florida, *Oculina* reefs have been identified at depths of -50 to -60 m (Reed, 2002, 2006) and *O. varicosa* was observed at -50 to -152 m (Reed, 1980, 2006). On the Flower Garden Banks (Gulf of Mexico), *O. diffusa* has been observed at -95 m (Sammarco et al., 2014). The present lack of depth constraints for this species (given its wide depth distribution), in conjunction with the lack of distinguishable morphological feature for the facultative species (Veron, 1995), implies that *Oculina* is unsuitable for precise relative sea level (RSL) reconstructions from fossil corals.

Our synthesis gives a ‘maximum’ species depth preference that applies when considering points without further context; the vertical uncertainties may be reduced where stratigraphic, geomorphic, or other biological information exists. For example, a fossil coral sample that can be firmly associated with reef crest facies will have a much narrower vertical range than a coral of the same species without a reef facies context. Unfortunately, records within our modern synthesis (and many fossil records) have not differentiated between the different reef environments/facies.

Starting from section 4.3, we apply the new depth-distributions and their uncertainties in sea-level reconstructions. This includes further assessment of habitat-depth complexities, in particular the

substantial habitat-depth differences that appear to exist within single taxa on a variety of local (reef), regional, and inter-oceanic scales. Prior to such applications, however, we must highlight some caveats to our assessment of habitat-depth preferences. First, the information is limited to regions where modern ecological surveys have been undertaken, and which have been included in the OBIS database. Second, we are constrained by survey-methodologies included in the OBIS database, many of which remain strongly focussed on the uppermost water column. Third, there are limited observations in OBIS for some species (for example, there are no observations of the solitary coral *Balanophyllia elegans* within the OBIS database, although it is an important species in fossil studies, represented by 274 samples in our database, although the majority of these are not in growth position, see section 5.1.2 for further discussion of the utility of these fossils for sea level reconstructions). Finally, we note that results may be refined as further information becomes available in OBIS, or through the addition of other studies, but care must be taken to avoid duplication when combining other studies along with the OBIS compilation, as that would bias the statistics.

4.2.5 Sample age

Comprehensive reviews of U-Th dating systematics in corals are available elsewhere (Edwards et al., 2003a; Stirling and Andersen, 2009; Dutton, 2015). All U-Th geochemical data reported in the initial publications are included in the database, including ^{238}U and ^{232}Th concentrations, $^{230}\text{Th}/^{232}\text{Th}$ activity or atomic ratios, and activity ratios of $^{230}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U}$ (or $^{230}\text{Th}/^{234}\text{U}$) and $^{234}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$. Uncertainties are included where possible. We record the instrument used for analysis, decay constants used, and method of spike calibration. Where available, we also note the calcite content and the methodology used for this determination. Wherever possible, missing data not included in the initial publications were obtained from the original authors.

Activity ratios were recalculated using the Cheng et al. (2013) decay constants for ^{234}U and ^{230}Th in cases where we were able to establish that the spike was calibrated gravimetrically. All ages and initial $\delta^{234}\text{U}$ values were recalculated using Isoplot version 3.5 (Ludwig, 2003) assuming closed-system behaviour and using the decay constants of Cheng et al. (2013). The reported uncertainties include the error associated with the decay constants.

$$1 - \left[\frac{^{230}\text{Th}}{^{238}\text{U}} \right]_{act} = e^{-\lambda_{230}T} - \left(\frac{\delta^{234}\text{U}_{(meas)}}{1000} \right) \left(\frac{\lambda_{230}}{\lambda_{230} - \lambda_{234}} \right) (1 - e^{(\lambda_{234} - \lambda_{230})T}) \quad (\text{Equation 2})$$

where

$[^{230}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U}]_{act}$ is the $^{230}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U}$ activity ratio;

λ_{238} , λ_{234} , λ_{230} are the decay constants of ^{238}U , ^{234}U and ^{230}Th respectively (Cheng et al., 2000, 2013);

$\delta^{234}\text{U}_{(\text{meas})}$ is the measured value of the activity ratio of $^{234}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$ relative to secular equilibrium in per mille ($\delta^{234}\text{U} = ([^{234}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}] - 1) \times 1000$); and

T is the age of the sample in years.

The initial value of ^{234}U at the time that the coral grew is calculated by:

$$\delta^{234}\text{U}_{\text{initial}} = (\delta^{234}\text{U}_{\text{measured}})e^{(\lambda_{234}T)} \quad (\text{Equation 3})$$

We include ages reported in the original sources for comparison, as well as the originally reported isotope ratios and associated geochemical data, to enable users to perform their own calculations, if desired. The potential for open-system behaviour (i.e., remobilisation of nuclides) is recognised as a major limitation of the U-series dating method with the accuracy of ages limited to a greater degree by diagenetic effects than by analytical precision (Bard et al., 1992; Stirling et al., 1995). The potential for open-system behaviour increases with age (e.g., with exposure), but has been recognised even in younger samples that appear to be well preserved at the macro- and microscopic level (e.g. Henderson et al., 1993; Anderson et al., 2008). Diagenetic alteration may be episodic or occur over a prolonged period; it can occur upon deposition or at some interval later (e.g. upon exposure) with potentially multiple and differing modes of alteration (post-depositional gain and/or loss of U and or Th) even within the same fossil coral (e.g., Scholz et al., 2009) (Figure 7).

Methods have been proposed to correct for open-system behaviour (e.g., Thompson et al., 2003; Villemant and Feuillet, 2003; Scholz et al., 2004). However, there is debate within the community regarding how and when such models may be appropriately applied to fossil coral U-Th data (e.g., Stirling and Andersen, 2009). The ages listed in the database are all closed-system ages. However, some of these corals have experienced open-system behaviour of the U and/or Th isotopes. To detect and remove samples which have been altered, a variety of screening criteria can be used (Section 5). The disadvantage of screening out these altered data is that it produces a much smaller pool of samples from which to make interpretations. Another approach would be to evaluate the open-system behaviour of corals study by study (site by site) and use an open-system model such as that of Thompson et al. (2003) to make corrections to a subset of the data in cases where it is appropriate.

There are at least two pre-requisites to applying an open-system model to correct U-Th ages (Dutton, 2015). The first of these is whether the coral(s) have been modified in a way that is consistent with the geochemical array predicted by the the open-system model (compare diagenetic trends in Thompson et al., 2011 with Scholz et al., 2009). If subsamples of the coral or multiple corals from the same outcrop display an array of ^{230}Th - ^{234}U - ^{238}U compositions that are consistent with the pattern predicted by the open-system model, this would provide some justification for an open-system modelling approach. In contrast, applications of the model to isolated data points (e.g., single analyses of corals or where the diagenetic pattern has not been established) are dubious and become misleading for corals that have been altered along a different diagenetic pathway. The second pre-requisite is that the initial value of seawater $\delta^{234}\text{U}$ is known (for the time when the coral grew); as this seawater value is an input parameter that defines the point at which the model 'stops' and calculates an age, the accuracy of the seawater value will directly translate into the accuracy of the interpreted age.

In reality, determining whether application of an open-system model is even more complicated. For example, there are cases where the geochemical array of data produced by comparing the U and Th isotope compositions between coral heads follows the slope predicted by an alpha-recoil mechanism such as that of the Thompson et al. (2003) model, but the array of subsamples within each coral does not (e.g., Thompson et al., 2011). It is not clear that averaging the subsamples from each coral and then applying the model is appropriate if each individual data subsample has been affected differently. Also, rates and mechanisms of open-system alteration will be a function of climate hydrology, lithology, taxon (and related porosity and thickness of skeletal elements), as well as other site- or sample-specific factors. The overall implication is that a one-size-fits all open-system model is unlikely to capture all the observed modes of open system alteration in corals. For this reason, we explicitly discourage any attempt to apply an open-system model to the database as a whole.

4.2.6 Reconstructed sea level and uncertainties

In the above, we ensured consistency of the four principal parameters by: (1) assigning appropriate uncertainties to the modern elevation of each sample; (2) applying empirically derived species-specific depth-distributions and their associated uncertainties (note, we use only the taxon-specific depth-distributions not palaeo-water depths derived from, for example, assemblage information); (3) determining uplift/subsidence rates for each geographical region using the same assumptions;

and (4) recalculated all ages using the same procedure and decay constants. This allows us to recalculate both a corrected coral position (Z_{cp}) and past sea levels with full consideration of the associated uncertainties.

In order to reconstruct past sea levels, we must consider the relationship between the position of the coral and the position of sea level at the time of coral growth. Without this, we only have a (tectonically) corrected coral position (Z_{cp}) and associated uncertainties.

$$Z_{cp} = H_{sam} - (\Delta H/\Delta t) * t_{sam} \quad (Equation 4)$$

where

Z_{cp} is corrected coral position (in m, negative values are below sea level);

H_{sam} is the modern elevation of the sample (in m, positive is above and negative values are below sea level);

$\Delta H/\Delta t$ is the recalculated (equation 1) uplift/subsidence rate (in m/ka, increasing positive ages in kilo-years before present); and

t_{sam} is the recalculated conventional age of the sample (in ka, increasing positive ages in kilo-years before present).

To reconstruct past sea level, we must also consider the relationship between the position of the coral and the position of sea level at the time of coral growth (i.e., where the coral was living the the water column). In order to do this, we need to change the frame of reference from mean sea level (i.e. the modern elevation at which the coral is measured with respect to mean sea level) to the position of the fossil coral (i.e., we know the present elevation of the coral but want to determine past sea levels) (Figure 8). For example, a living *Acropora palmata* coral occurs at a depth of -1.5 m below present mean sea level (i.e., we know the depth of the coral relative to sea level), so that sea level is +1.5 m above the position of the coral. In the fossil record, we know the elevation of the coral (corrected for any tectonic change), but we do not know the position of sea level at the time of its growth. Instead we can reconstruct the probability of sea level at the time the coral was living (P_{RSL}) by assuming that the coral occurs at the median depth (i.e., that the elevation of the coral, Z_{cp} , occurs at the median of the modern depth distribution) and combining the corrected coral position (Z_{cp}) uncertainties with the inverted depth probability distribution (Figure 8). We combine these using a Monte Carlo approach of 350,000 simulations to derive a probability maximum (P_{RSL}) associated with each coral position (Z_{cp}) and an uncertainty distribution around that point. For each

coral, we obtain a set of randomly sampled values from the corrected coral position (Z_{cp}) uncertainty, and a set of randomly sampled values from the modern taxon depth distribution and sum across the two errors. For each individual coral, we then have multiple instances across a combined error distribution. From this set we can generate the probability distribution, and extract a probability maximum and the (usually asymmetrical) associated 1-, 2- and 3- sigma equivalent levels (68%, 95%, and 99% probability intervals).

The result is a probability distribution of *relative* sea level (P_{RSL}) for each coral, which represents the local sea level position and comprises both a eustatic and local glacio-isostatic component. As we include a more comprehensive suite of input uncertainties (most notably the depth-distribution ranges as derived from modern studies), our new P_{RSL} calculations come with increased total vertical uncertainties relative to those in previous compilations (cf. Dutton and Lambeck 2012; Medina-Elizalde 2012). Our calculation of P_{RSL} results in a probability distribution of past sea level (through which a line can be drawn); this is in contrast to all previous calculations where the depth-distribution term was not included (i.e., the output was in terms of tectonically corrected elevations, and the position of former sea levels was estimated to be above these).

The inclusion of detailed metadata in our database allows users to assess for themselves the ‘most reliable’ age determination and sea-level reconstructions. It also facilitates an assessment of the available U-series dated corals within both their geological and ecological settings, and a first order assessment of the degree of coherence of reconstructed sea levels within a site, as well as between sites. Again, we emphasise that we have not incorporated GIA-corrections (e.g., Farrell and Clark, 1976; Milne and Mitrovica, 1998; Lambeck and Chappell, 2001; Mitrovica and Milne, 2003; Peltier, 2004) in the database. This means that the data should not be expected to directly overlap, since GIA effects will cause site-specific sea-level data to depart from the eustatic signal. In the remainder of this paper, we briefly explore the general features of RSL variations in the database, and assess its utility for interrogating data relative to one another to highlight some outstanding (and solvable) limitations to sea-level reconstructions.

5. INTERPRETATION AND SCREENING OF DATA

Obtaining a reliable and precise RSL reconstruction from the numerous fossil samples requires choices by the user (i.e. what screening criteria are most appropriate to the question they wish to investigate). For example, for regional RSL it may be more appropriate to use regional subsets rather than the global dataset (and there will also be fewer GIA concerns), whereas a global dataset is

needed for eustatic sea level (ESL) estimation, where GIA corrections become more important. Other considerations include: how well constrained the tectonic history of a site is; the sensitivity of sites, especially in the near-field, to glacio-isostatic processes (with uncertainties); the reliability of age determinations; how well constrained the modern coral depth-distribution is for the location(s) studied; and whether metadata such as facies or stratigraphic constraints can inform screening choices. The following section outlines such criteria and their impacts upon the data.

5.1. Location: tectonic and depositional setting

5.1.1 Tectonic setting and uplift rate constraints

In regions of high uplift/subsidence, the record of changing sea levels becomes expanded in the vertical, which facilitates high-resolution studies. On stable margins, no correction for uplift is required, but stable margins present a superimposition of successive sea level variations and coastal processes (e.g. erosion and post-depositional diagenesis) that complicates interpretation.

The tectonic setting of many sites is complex and often imperfectly described. For example, the islands of Vanuatu are the product of island-arc volcanism with a complex uplift history. Tasmaloum has experienced subsidence (-4mm/yr for ~220 to 130 ka) followed by uplift (3 mm/yr), while Urelapa has been uplifting at a mean rate of 1.9 mm/yr since 130 ka (Taylor et al., 2005 and references therein). These phases of uplift and subsidence have been attributed to pinning of submarine features during subduction (Taylor et al., 2005). Similarly, Barbados (where 85% of the island is covered by a Pleistocene coral reef limestone cap) evolved as an accretionary prism. Anticline warping and episodic uplift (i.e., non-uniform rates of uplift) have been documented (e.g. Donovan and Harper, 2005), leading to locally different uplift rates (Schellmann and Radtke, 2004; Radtke and Schellmann, 2006). Any such uncertainties in our (and all preceding) assumptions for calculating uplift rates for the various locations would lead to vertical inaccuracies in RSL. Complex tectonic histories are not limited to uplifting or subsiding sites: tectonic warping has been documented for the Perth and Carnarvon Basins of Western Australia (e.g. Hocking et al., 1987; Whitney and Hengesh, 2015). Coseismic events (e.g. Ota et al., 1993; Ota and Chappell 1996; Tudhope et al., 2000; Sieh et al., 2008) are also problematic for palaeo-RSL reconstructions.

One distinct advantage afforded by sites that are rapidly uplifting or subsiding is that the spacing and ages of individual terraces may provide the potential to identify short-term sea-level oscillations that are not well-expressed on stable coastlines due to overprinting and lack of accommodation space. Unfortunately, tectonically affected sites are not well suited for reconstructing the absolute position

of sea level in the past. Due to a lack of independent estimates of uplift/subsidence, we have recalculated rates using the highest elevation of the regional LIG terrace and assumed LIG age and eustatic sea level values (equation 1). Although this offers a consistent application of uplift/subsidence rates for each site, it remains distinctly unsatisfactory: the values for both the age and LIG eustatic component are ill defined (and most likely incorrect), partly due to spatial and temporal variability between sites arising from site-specific GIA effects. Additionally, there is an inherent circularity to using the elevation of the LIG corals to determine an uplift rate that is subsequently used to calculate P_{RSL} . The advantage of this approach is that it allows for an approximation of relative sea-level changes from tectonically affected sites, though the uncertainty may be significantly larger than quoted in the database. In addition, the pragmatic but unlikely assumption that uplift/subsidence rates were constant through time (cf. Schellmann and Radtke, 2004; Taylor et al., 2005; Radtke and Schellmann, 2006) further complicates any tectonic correction required for reconstructing past sea levels (Creveling et al., 2015). Clearly there is an urgent need for independent verification of uplift/subsidence rates, especially because age determinations and their uncertainties interact with uplift/subsidence rates and their uncertainties, to produce complex RSL reconstructions.

5.1.2 Constructional versus erosional marine terraces

Fossil corals contained within emergent, primarily erosional, marine terraces have been used to provide information on past sea levels. These environments, such as the coasts of California (e.g., Muhs et al., 2006, 2012), Bermuda (e.g., Vacher and Hearty, 1989; Ludwig et al., 1996; Muhs et al., 2002a; Rowe and Bristow, 2015), Japan (e.g., Radtke et al., 1996), and the Mediterranean region (e.g., Brancaccio et al., 1978; Poole et al., 1990; Leeder et al., 2003; Muhs et al., 2014, 2015), are generally high energy environments and, in contrast to many constructional reefs, the terraces are formed by erosional processes. The fossils within these deposits are generally poorly sorted and are rarely in growth position; consequently they cannot, in themselves, provide information on past sea levels. Rather, they provide information on the age of the deposit, and past environmental conditions (i.e. from their faunal composition). Former sea levels can be reconstructed from these environments only with additional information, such as the elevation of the terrace shoreline angle or other such feature, where a sound relationship to sea level can be established. Where no such information is available, the corrected elevation (Z_{cp}) of the fossil provides a minimum estimate of former sea levels (i.e., sea level must have been at, or above Z_{cp}).

Constructional and abrasional terraces may both be present in the landscape. For example, Speed and Cheng (2004) emphasised the role of marine erosion in the deposition of carbonates in Barbados. In Japan, emerged marine terraces were found to be of both erosive and biological construction (Ota et al., 1983). In Hawaii, Muhs et al (2002a) highlight that for some locations, reef facies are absent and replaced by coral-basalt conglomerate resting on shore platforms cut into Tertiary basalt. Hence, thorough and complete documentation of the sample context is essential in establishing the fidelity of the fossil record for reconstructing past sea levels.

Emerged marine terraces of California

In California, flights of emerged marine terraces are preserved (e.g., Alexander, 1953, Vedder and Norris, 1963), documenting past sea levels superimposed on tectonic uplift. These erosional landforms develop in high energy environments and usually retain a veneer of marine sands and gravels that often contains molluscs and solitary corals (e.g. *Balanophyllia elegans*), which can be used to date the deposit (and constrain the timing of past sea level fluctuations; e.g., Muhs and Szabo, 1982; Stein et al., 1991; Muhs et al., 1994, 2002a, 2012). These fossil corals cannot be used to reconstruct sea levels as few, if any, remain in growth position, so that the relationship between coral elevation and sea level is 'lost'. Instead, the elevation of past sea levels can be derived from the elevation of shoreline angles, or other such geomorphological features (e.g. Muhs et al., 2012b).

Emerged marine deposits of Bermuda

The surficial deposits of Bermuda consist of Quaternary carbonates, mostly eolianite with isolated sublittoral marine and beach deposits (e.g., Vacher et al., 1989). Hermatypic corals are presently found, due to the influence of the Gulf Stream, but only limited emergent fossil patch reefs have been documented (e.g., Harmon et al., 1983) and "their existence has subsequently been difficult to verify" (Rowe et al., 2014). The higher than present fossil corals contained in the marine littoral deposits from the high energy, south shore environment (e.g., Fort St Catherine) sparked considerable debate regarding the processes governing their formation, with implications for their utility for reconstructing former sea levels. Both storm processes (e.g., Harmon et al., 1983) and higher than present sea levels (e.g., Vacher and Hearty, 1989; Ludwig et al., 1996) have been invoked. The first naturally renders these fossils unusable for reconstructing past sea levels. The relatively few, *in situ* patch reefs and lack of in growth position fossils coupled with the modern high energy environment suggest that the poorly sorted marine fossils of Bermudan sublittoral deposits are useful for temporal constraints and palaeozoogeography, but less useful for reconstructing sea levels. However, additional geomorphological evidence (tidal notches, shoreline angles etc.) with a

clear relationship to sea level, would enable past sea levels to be reconstructed. In the absence of such a clear relationship between the fossil/feature and sea level, the information remains limited.

5.2 Determining reliable ages – chemical screening

The effect of diagenesis can be significant, resulting in erroneous age and hence, RSL reconstructions. There are several screening criteria often applied to identify corals that have been geochemically altered and to additionally identify those that suffer from contaminating effects of detrital Th (^{232}Th). Geochemical alteration, also referred to in the U-series literature as open-system behaviour, can be recognised by: (1) conversion of coralline aragonite to calcite; (2) anomalous ^{238}U concentration (or other trace elements that indicate partial conversion to calcite such as Sr/Ca or Mg/Ca ratios), and; (3) $\delta^{234}\text{U}_{\text{initial}}$, which is back-calculated from the measured $\delta^{234}\text{U}$ ratio and the age of the coral to determine the $\delta^{234}\text{U}$ value of the coral at the time it grew (Equation 3). Anomalous ^{230}Th concentrations may also be an indicator of open-system behaviour; expected ^{230}Th concentrations are a function of prior knowledge of age of the sample, and; Th is less mobile in oxidising solutions such as groundwater or seawater, so is considered unlikely to experience significant loss or gain in the way that the more soluble U may behave. To monitor for the contaminating effects of initial ^{230}Th in the coral, ^{232}Th concentration is also typically measured when U-series measurements are made. Pa-Th dating is another cross-check that can be used to determine the age of the coral, but is less commonly applied owing to the more difficult laboratory procedures involved. Here we explore the basis for each of these criteria and discuss issues involved with applying them to a dataset. We also refer the reader to several in-depth reviews of the systematics of U-series dating of corals (Edwards et al., 2003a; Edwards et al., 2003b; Stirling and Andersen, 2009; Dutton, 2015).

5.2.1 Mineralogy

Coralline aragonite is metastable and hence prone to conversion to calcite over time. This conversion will be a function of the water/rock ratio, the porosity of the coral taxa, temperature, exposure history, as well as other factors. During conversion to calcite, some of the primary U-Th geochemistry may be altered. For this reason, it is good practice to screen all coral samples for even trace amounts of calcite that may bias the calculated U-Th age of a sample. Recently, X-ray diffraction (XRD) techniques have been applied with increasing resolution and detection limits for trace amounts of calcite (e.g., Sepulchre et al., 2009) to screen corals prior to radiometric dating. Calcite conversion is also associated with changes in Sr/Ca (lower) and Mg/Ca (higher) (e.g.,

McGregor and Gagan, 2003) but has been less commonly applied to screen corals prior to U-series measurements.

5.2.2. Anomalous ^{238}U concentration

The measured concentration of uranium ($[\text{}^{238}\text{U}]$) in a fossil can be used as an additional age reliability screening criterion (cf. Broecker and Thuber, 1965; Thompson et al., 2003; Scholz and Mangini, 2007). In principle, the addition/loss of uranium since the time of formation may be detected by comparing the concentration of uranium in a fossil coral to its modern counterpart (i.e., if there has been no addition/loss of uranium, then the uranium concentration of the fossil should be similar to that in modern corals of the same species). In modern corals, the concentration of uranium is species dependent (e.g., Cross and Cross, 1983) and its distribution is heterogeneous within the coral skeletal structure (e.g., Schroeder et al., 1970; Robinson et al., 2006). The incorporation of uranium into the skeleton may also vary seasonally as U/Ca is correlated with sea surface temperatures. For example, concentrations of uranium within a single coral can vary by around 20 % (e.g., Shen and Dunbar, 1995; Min et al., 1995). ^{238}U concentration is routinely measured during U-series measurements, but this parameter is not always used for screening purposes. There are relatively few studies that report the uranium concentration of modern corals (data for modern and young (<1 ka) fossil corals is compiled in Table 4). We recommend the use of modern uranium concentration data as an additional screening criterion, although we recognise that the data on modern corals is limited at present and should be addressed by the community.

5.2.3. Initial uranium isotope composition ($\delta^{234}\text{U}_{\text{initial}}$)

Corals are thought to precipitate their skeletons with no fractionation of ^{234}U relative to ^{238}U , and hence should record the same $\delta^{234}\text{U}$ value as that of ambient seawater at the time of growth (e.g., Edwards et al., 2003a). In contrast, corals that have behaved as open-systems tend to display elevated values of $\delta^{234}\text{U}$ and anomalously old ages (e.g., Bard et al., 1991, Hamelin et al., 1991, Henderson et al., 1993). This pattern was systematically described by Gallup et al. (1994), although other forms of open-system behaviour may produce different geochemical arrays and even anomalously low $\delta^{234}\text{U}$ values (see discussion in Stirling and Andersen, 2009). Laser ablation mass spectrometry methods are showing promise as a rapid, first indicator of open system behaviour (as the $\delta^{234}\text{U}_{\text{initial}}$ of a sample may be determined rapidly, Spooner et al., 2016). However, the precision and accuracy of this method are currently lower than for conventional TIMS or MC-ICPMS methods, (e.g., Eggins et al., 2005; Hoffmann et al., 2009; McGregor et al., 2011).

As seawater $\delta^{234}\text{U}$ (~147 ‰) was thought to be homogenous in the open ocean and relatively invariant across the timescales relevant to U-series dating, the $\delta^{234}\text{U}_{\text{initial}}$ value of corals became widely used as a screening parameter to reject altered corals if the value was significantly different from that of modern seawater. We note that the accepted value for seawater $\delta^{234}\text{U}$ has been revised several times, in part related to the revision of the decay constant of ^{234}U (Cheng et al., 2000; Cheng et al., 2013). The most recent, and most precise, determination of seawater $\delta^{234}\text{U}$ was performed by Andersen et al. (2010b), who reported a seawater value of 146.8 ± 0.1 ‰ (2σ). As these data were calculated relative to a secular equilibrium standard, the seawater $\delta^{234}\text{U}$ does not need to be revised, despite subsequent revision of the ^{234}U decay constant (Cheng et al., 2013). Using this benchmark, coral U-series data are often screened for compliance with a $\delta^{234}\text{U}_{\text{initial}}$ value of 147 ‰ (i.e. within the range of values consistent with modern seawater and living corals), though the tolerance for the magnitude of departure from the seawater value varies between publications.

One potential complication of this approach is the assumption that seawater composition has remained the same over the last several 100,000 years. It has been proposed that seawater $\delta^{234}\text{U}$ may vary on glacial-interglacial timescales (on the order of ~10-15 ‰, Henderson, 2002; Robinson et al., 2004; Esat and Yokoyama, 2006), with lower than modern values (by 7 to 10 ‰) during the last glacial (Culter et al., 2004; Esat and Yokoyama, 2006; Durand et al., 2013) meaning that screening of corals using a narrow range around modern seawater may reject some high quality data, if seawater $\delta^{234}\text{U}$ has indeed varied in the past. On the other hand, using a range that is too wide will increase the inclusion of altered samples that will bias the analysis. One option is to use a scheme similar to that developed by the radiocarbon IntCal working group, which has defined a seawater $\delta^{234}\text{U}$ screening value for the last glacial period that is lower (142 ± 8 ‰, Reimer et al., 2013) and use a value more similar to the modern value of 147 ‰ for the interglacial periods. If $\delta^{234}\text{U}$ has varied on glacial-interglacial timescales, it would be inappropriate to apply a constant value for screening the data if the seawater composition is temporally variable. Unfortunately, the precise evolution of seawater $\delta^{234}\text{U}$ and the magnitude of the possible changes are still debated, making it difficult to derive a robust screening approach that would capture such variability.

5.2.4. Detrital Th (^{232}Th)

The use of detrital Th as a screening criterion relies on the fact that ^{232}Th is virtually absent from seawater, but is sometimes found in very small concentrations within coral skeletons during growth if there is detrital contamination (Edwards et al., 1987; Chen et al., 1991). As all isotopes of Th have identical chemical properties, if ^{232}Th is present, then some amount of ^{230}Th is likely to have also

been introduced. The amount of this ^{230}Th contamination is a function both of the concentration of ^{232}Th and the $^{230}\text{Th}/^{232}\text{Th}$ composition of the contaminating source. This allows an assessment of the initial ^{230}Th of the sample, as the assumption of ingrowth of ^{230}Th is the basis for the U-Th dating method. Most modern corals have low to negligible initial ^{230}Th concentrations (e.g., Edwards et al., 1987; Cobb et al., 2003a) although values up to ~7ppb have been reported for modern corals from the Bahamas (Robinson et al., 2004) and Sumatra (Zachariasen et al., 1999). During diagenesis, voids within the coral skeleton may be filled with detrital material, thereby adding extraneous ^{230}Th , ^{234}U , ^{238}U and ^{232}Th to the coral system.

Initial ^{230}Th can have a significant effect on the age determination of young corals, but less impact on samples older than a few thousand years. For example, coral samples with a ^{232}Th concentration of 1 ppb (and assuming a bulk crustal value for $^{230}\text{Th}/^{232}\text{Th}$ of 2.0×10^{-5}) would require an adjustment of up to ~40 years, which is significant for very young corals, but only a <1 % error for a 5,000-year old coral (Cobb et al., 2003a). In previous studies, low ^{232}Th concentrations such as <2 ppb is considered acceptable (cf. Dutton and Lambeck, 2012) due to the relatively small error this introduces when dating corals older than a few thousand years. The ^{232}Th concentration, however, is not always reported so this criterion cannot always be applied. We note that it is preferable to use the $^{230}\text{Th}/^{232}\text{Th}$ activity ratio, as this parameter can be better applied to screen out samples with ^{232}Th contamination where the influence of this contamination is effectively scaled to its age (the ^{230}Th activity). In this way, corals with a similar $^{230}\text{Th}/^{232}\text{Th}$ activity ratio can be considered to have a more comparable influence on the age of the sample, even across a dataset with corals that have a wide range of ages. This parameter, however, is even less frequently reported than ^{232}Th . In our compiled database, we have back-calculated the $^{230}\text{Th}/^{232}\text{Th}$ activity ratio wherever possible so that this parameter can be used to screen for detrital Th contamination in the future instead of ^{232}Th concentration. As this parameter is not reported as often (<25 % of samples in the database report this parameter whereas ~87 % of samples have reported ^{232}Th concentration), a well-defined, accepted value to use for screening the corals is currently lacking. However, we note that lower values of $^{230}\text{Th}/^{232}\text{Th}$ represent more significant age biases introduced by detrital Th contamination.

5.2.5. *Pa-Th dating*

Another method for detection of post-depositional alteration combines U-Th dating with $^{231}\text{Pa}/^{235}\text{U}$ dating, which is based on the decay of ^{235}U to ^{231}Pa assuming, (i) the initial $^{231}\text{Pa}/^{235}\text{U}$ ratio is zero and, (ii) that the changes in the $^{231}\text{Pa}/^{235}\text{U}$ ratio occurs only through radioactive ingrowth and decay. If the system has remained closed since the time of formation, combining $^{231}\text{Pa}/^{235}\text{U}$ and U-Th

methods, the ages obtained by each technique should agree within analytical precision (as both methods rely upon the assumption of changes in the ratio results only from radioactive ingrowth and decay). In addition, using concordia plots enables some constraints on the nature of diagenetic process and the possibility of obtaining the 'true' age (e.g., Rosholt, 1967; Szabo and Vedder, 1971; Edwards et al., 1997; Gallup et al., 2002; Cutler et al., 2003). The combination of the two methods is perhaps the most robust method for assessing if the system has remained closed since the time of formation. However, practically, the ^{233}Pa spike required for $^{231}\text{Pa}/^{235}\text{U}$ dating is more difficult to handle and to calibrate.

5.2.6 Implications of chemical screening choice

Although these screening criteria may successfully remove a subset of altered samples from further consideration, the signature of carbonate diagenesis may be subtle and not fully captured by this approach. In particular, the $\delta^{234}\text{U}_{\text{initial}}$ value appears to be a more sensitive indicator of open-system behaviour than X-ray diffraction assessments of mineralogy (% calcite) or other geochemical indicators of carbonate diagenesis. As such, this parameter has been heavily relied upon to screen out altered coral samples. However, corals may undergo subtle diagenetic alteration that results in erroneous ages without apparent disturbance to the $\delta^{234}\text{U}_{\text{initial}}$ ratio, or undergo variable degrees of alteration (causing shifts to both lower and higher $\delta^{234}\text{U}_{\text{initial}}$ within the same sample; Henderson et al., 1993, Scholz et al., 2009).

The choice of screening criteria has a large effect upon the number of samples available for further analysis (Dutton and Lambeck, 2012; Medina-Elizalde, 2012) (Figure 9). Placing tight limits on the acceptable $\delta^{234}\text{U}_{\text{initial}}$ values is effective only if there is sufficient precision in the measurement of $\delta^{234}\text{U}$. Modern corals display some variability around the mean open-ocean seawater value, so that overly tight screening may reject high-quality data. In addition, if seawater $\delta^{234}\text{U}$ has varied through time, use of a constant range of 'acceptable' values may exclude samples that are 'reliable' (i.e., have not experienced open system behaviour or post depositional diagenesis).

Hence, screening data will successfully remove some, but not all, of the altered samples. This is where additional criteria can help, such as reproducibility of measurements for corals that have been measured multiple times or stratigraphic relationships that can be used to evaluate relative ages (see discussion in Dutton, 2015). Users of the database may assess the data with respect to the replication of analyses within a coral, where replicate analyses that are consistent in terms of both their calculated age and their calculated $\delta^{234}\text{U}_{\text{initial}}$ values are considered most reliable.

5.2.7. Replicate age determinations

Ages that have been affected by open-system remobilisation of U and/or Th isotopes can have a significant impact on subsequent sea-level reconstructions. For example, a large proportion of the Scholz et al. (2009) report data from Barbados based on multiple dates from the same coral specimen, as well as from multiple short cores in close proximity to one another from the same stratigraphic unit. The authors demonstrate the effects of U-redistribution within these samples, even though they would pass a screening criteria of $\delta^{234}\text{U}_{\text{initial}}$ within 5 ‰ of modern, $\leq 2\%$ calcite and ^{232}Th concentrations ≤ 2 ppb and similar screening criteria employed by Dutton and Lambeck (2012) and Medina-Elizalde (2012). Of the 54 samples dated in this study, 15 would even pass a stricter criteria ($\delta^{234}\text{U}_{\text{initial}} = 147 \pm 1\%$ and $\leq 2\%$ calcite), and these samples also have ^{232}Th concentrations < 2 ppb, similar to those found in some modern corals; cf. Chen et al., 1986; Muhs et al., 2002a; Robinson et al., 2004; Stirling and Andersen, 2009). The screened data appear as a linear trend in the reconstructed Barbados P_{RSL} record (Figure 10), even though all are from the same stratigraphic unit, the Last Interglacial/Rendezvous Hill Terrace (some separated by only 30 cm). The expectation would be that ages for each coral from within this single unit (and especially the replicates of single coral specimens) yield closely replicating U-Th ages and hence a close cluster of tectonically corrected coral positions (Z_{cp}) after multiplication with the site's uplift rate. However, the samples instead show a spread of ages, which through multiplication with the site's uplift rate result in a linear trend of tectonically corrected coral positions (Z_{cp}) (Equation 4). Averaging all the measurements for one coral head would be one way to overcome this, but is not warranted if the initial measurements are not within analytical error of each other. Another reason that averaging the data is not recommended is that the average of a diagenetic trend may represent the average composition of alteration whereas one end member is more likely to be a better indication of the primary geochemistry.

Where many replicates are available, outlier analysis can be undertaken and an average age provided (e.g., ADU-3, xA4-2 and BB02-5-1; Figure 10). Unfortunately, this is not an appropriate procedure for most of the replicate analyses in the database, especially when only a few 'survive' the initial geochemical screening (only a few repeat analyses are consistent i.e., with some overlap of their 2σ ranges). Where only two replicates pass the initial screening, both should be excluded if age and $\delta^{234}\text{U}_{\text{initial}}$ are inconsistent (at a 4σ distance) because one, or both, of the ages are clearly incorrect but we cannot determine which one. Further refinements of past sea-level records from fossil corals may be achieved through agreement on a statistically sound method for dealing with

replicates to reduce the instances of several (different) P_{RSL} values for the same sample, and eliminate the spurious linear trends from the plots. Clearly, meticulous inclusion of contextual metadata is essential.

5.2.8 Example of chemical screening approach

Here we introduce an example application of how the database can be screened, as described in the following, but emphasise that this is an example only and that there are multiple ways to screen the data as previously discussed. The data were screened for reliability using the commonly applied $\delta^{234}\text{U}_{\text{initial}}$, % calcite, and ^{232}Th concentration criteria. As noted previously, it would be preferable to also include a screening criterion for ^{238}U concentration, which may be a tractable solution for a site-specific study with a limited number of taxa with known modern ^{238}U baselines, but was not feasible in this example. We do not exclude data from our analysis on the basis of ^{238}U , rather we highlight (circled in the figures) samples that have uranium concentrations outside the modern range of modern corals of the three genera with the most modern [^{238}U] determinations: 2 to 4 ppm for *Acropora* sp., 2 to 3.5 ppm for *Montastraea* sp. and, 2 to 4 ppm for *Porites* sp. As previously mentioned, use of the $^{230}\text{Th}/^{232}\text{Th}$ activity ratio is probably preferable to use of the ^{232}Th concentration, which is now possible using the back-calculated $^{230}\text{Th}/^{232}\text{Th}$ values provided in the database. We opted to use three different $\delta^{234}\text{U}_{\text{initial}}$ screening criteria to account for the possibility of variations in seawater composition on glacial-interglacial timescales. For interglacials, we use the modern $\delta^{234}\text{U}_{\text{initial}}$ value of $147 \pm 5 \text{ ‰}$, and for glacial intervals we follow the IntCal Working Group (Reimer et al., 2013) recommendation of $\delta^{234}\text{U}_{\text{initial}}$ values of $142 \pm 8 \text{ ‰}$. The IntCal Working Group (Reimer et al., 2013) use this lower value for samples older than 17 ka but do not define an older age limit (because the radiocarbon timescale does not extend past the last glacial period). We use the LR04 (Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005) age of the transition from Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 5 to MIS 4 (71 ka) as the transition between glacial and interglacial screening criteria (i.e. between 71 and 130 ka, a screening criterion of $147 \pm 5 \text{ ‰}$ is used). For samples older than 130 ka (age of the transition from MIS 6 to 5 defined in LR04; Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005), we use a value of $147 +5/-10 \text{ ‰}$ in order to account for possible variations in seawater (Figure 9).

Further to this, we opted to exclude data with a reported calcite percentage $>2 \%$ or when this information has not been reported. This particular cut off has been used in some previous studies either due to detection limits (where $\% < 2$ could not be evaluated) or because more outliers appear when values above 2% are used. In theory, there is no percentage of calcite that is “ideal”. The effect of recrystallization on the age will depend on many site-specific factors, including the age of

the coral, so a 5 % calcite coral may have an age that is as robust as a 1 % coral at another location. We also chose to exclude data where the ^{232}Th concentration is > 2 ppb or where this has not been reported. Despite these screening criteria, we emphasise that multiple diagenetic episodes, such as the exposure of uplifted reef terraces to meteoric waters (with potentially varying effects; i.e., the addition or loss of nuclides) may not necessarily be recognisable using the $\delta^{234}\text{U}_{\text{initial}}$ and percentage calcite screening criteria (Scholz et al., 2009). We have used our screening criteria in such a way as to retain samples with most likely reliable ages, while also retaining a reasonable number of samples for further analysis; this resulted in a 70 % reduction in the number of samples relative to the full database.

After screening ($\delta^{234}\text{U}_{\text{initial}}, \text{calcite} \leq 2\%$, ^{232}Th concentration ≤ 2 ppb) ~750 samples remain from the original database (for which we can calculate Z_{cp} and P_{RSL}) representing 31 locations, both near-field sites (proximal to former ice sheets, where influence of glacio-isostatic adjustment (GIA) processes on RSL records is large) and far-field sites (far from former ice sheets and where GIA influences are smaller): Bahamas (Chen et al., 1991); Barbados (Edwards et al., 1987; Gallup et al., 1994, 2002; Cutler et al., 2003; Thompson et al., 2003; Bard et al., 1990a,b,c; Hamelin et al., 1991; Blanchon and Eisenhauer, 2001; Peltier and Fairbanks, 2006; Fairbanks et al., 2005; Potter et al., 2004; Scholz et al., 2007; Andersen et al., 2010); Bermuda (Ludwig et al., 1996; Muhs et al., 2002a); southern China (Zhao and Yu, 2002); Comoro Islands (Camoin et al., 2004); Curacao (Muhs et al., 2012a); Mururoa atoll, French Polynesia (Camoin et al., 2001); Tahiti (Thomas et al., 2009; Yokoyama et al., 2001; Deschamps et al., 2012; Bard et al., 1996b); Haiti (Bard et al., 1990a); Sumba Island, Indonesia (Bard et al., 1996a); Madagascar (Camoin et al., 2004); Yucatan Peninsula (Blanchon et al., 2009); Huon Peninsula, Papua New Guinea (Yokoyama et al., 2001; Esat and Yokoyama, 2006; Cutler et al., 2004; Bard et al., 1996b; Cutler et al., 2003; Stein et al., 1993); Henderson Island (Pitcairn Islands) (Anderson et al., 2010; Stirling et al., 2001); Seychelles (Israelson and Wolfarth, 1999; Camoin et al., 2004); Hawaii (Muhs et al., 2002; Szabo et al., 1994); Vanuatu (Edwards et al., 1987b; Taylor et al., 1985; Cutler et al., 2004); and Western Australia (Stirling et al., 1995, 1998, 2001; Zhu et al., 1993; Eisenhauer et al., 1996; O'Leary et al., 2008; Collins et al., 2006) (Figure 11). Only these data are used in subsequent analyses. Most data are concentrated within the last deglacial and the LIG periods, with relatively few samples older than >200 ka passing our screening (note that the choice of screening criteria has a dramatic influence upon the number of samples available for subsequent analysis, Figure 9).

5.2.9. Overview of reconstructed sea levels using screened data

Despite some degree of scatter in the data, the various records provide, in general, a consistent (i.e., within 95% bounds of one another) picture of sea level during the last deglacial and interglacial periods (see also, for subsets of the data: Stanford et al., 2011; Medina-Elizalde, 2012; Dutton and Lambeck, 2012) (Figure 11). The principal difference between our compilation and previous efforts is the greater vertical uncertainty associated with the sea-level reconstructions, which in our case are based on a synthesis of extensive modern ecological surveys.

While there is general agreement between P_{RSL} records from the various sites, some significant differences are also evident. For example, data from the far-field site Mururoa atoll (French Polynesia; Camoin et al., 2001) between ~15.5 and 18 ka appear 'anomalously' low, relative to other records for this interval, although the youngest Mururoa samples overlap with the Tahiti dataset within their respective vertical uncertainties (Figure 11). The P_{RSL} values from both Vanuatu and Tahiti agree well (within uncertainties) with P_{RSL} values from Barbados in this time interval even without incorporating corrections for GIA effects at each site. These differences will reflect in part GIA influences (cf., Dutton and Lambeck, 2012), but the magnitude of the observed differences (Figure 11) is often greater than the size (and uncertainty) of typical GIA corrections (Figure 12). Figure 12 was generated using a version of the ICE-5G ice history (Peltier, 2004) extended to cover two glacial cycles with a 4 ka duration interglacial at near present day ice volume, and a VM2-like earth model. We model sea level in multiple locations using a GIA model based on principles detailed in Kendall et al., (2005), which incorporate variations in sea-level resulting from changes to the Earth's rotation vector, and time dependent shorelines. These are plotted with reference to a global mean sea level. These should not be interpreted as "the" glacial isostatic correction for these points in time, but they serve to illustrate the variability in local relative sea levels that is possible.

For the Holocene, values of P_{RSL} sit a few metres (+1.5 for Western Australia and +0.7 m for New Caledonia) above 0 m (Figure 11). This can be accounted for by: (i) the tidal component of RSL at each location - as the modern elevation of the sample was measured to mean sea level (see Table 2 for estimated ranges for these sites) - and; (ii) glacio-isostatic processes (where the correction is on the order of 1 to 2 m).

A striking difference between the corrected coral position (Z_{cp}) and our reconstructed sea level probabilities are the large vertical uncertainties associated with the latter (compare Figures 11a, c with Figures 11b, d). The majority of this vertical uncertainty derives from our updated taxon-specific depth distributions.

Aside from habitat-depth uncertainties and GIA effects, vertical uncertainties and differences in RSL reconstructions between sites may result from an incomplete understanding of influences such as local and regional tectonic histories, and from insufficient application of contextual information from geology, stratigraphy, and geomorphology. There also remain considerable dating uncertainties in many cases, and these affect Z_{cp} and P_{RSL} through interaction with uplift/subsidence rates. Important improvements in the vertical resolution of sea-level reconstructions may be achieved by comprehensively addressing these issues. The following sections use examples from the database to illustrate the extent and importance of these issues.

5.3. Stratigraphic and sedimentological constraints

In addition to age, the facies and stratigraphic context of samples provides vital information for RSL assessments. Our fossil coral database incorporates fields that indicate whether the original authors assessed the sample to be in growth position and/or *in situ*. Coral fragments and rubble are included in the database and can provide bounding ages on deposits but unlike the *in situ* samples, they are not, in themselves, reliable indicators for past sea level. Regardless, they can provide valuable information where additional, complementary data, such as the elevation of shoreline angle associated with these deposits is available (section 5.1.2). Stratigraphic constraints can also help reduce the vertical uncertainty that applies to sea-level trends reconstructed for sites that are stratigraphically coherent (section 5.2.4).

5.3.1. *In situ* samples

A simple means for refining RSL records could be to include only *in situ* or in growth data. Classically, *in situ* relates to material found in the geological unit in which they formed or were deposited whereas in growth means that the fossil coral as remained in the same position as it was in life. An in growth designation means the fossil coral is *in situ* but *in situ* does not necessarily mean that the fossil is in growth position. For reefs, these are complicated issues. Reefs are complex structures that comprise both primary growth frameworks and coral rubble, with variable degrees of reworking (section 2.12). Ascertaining if a fossil coral remained in the same position as at the time of growth (e.g., by a lack of severe surface abrasion/rounding; orientation of well-preserved corallites; orientation of branches; orientation of encrusting algae on the upper surface of the coral; geopetals or other ‘way up’ structures) is key for establishing if a faithful record of elevation has been retained, which is required for reconstructing Z_{cp} and P_{RSL} . However, in some erosional settings/high wave energy settings such as the marine terraces of California and Bermuda, fossil corals rarely remain in

life or growth position but they are still *in situ*, i.e., they are found within the unit formed at the time of their growth and death. The nature of these deposits renders the fossil coral elevation unreliable and additional sources of information are needed to reconstruct past sea levels (section 5.1.2), but as they are *in situ*, ages derived from these fossils provide temporal constraints. In growth position designation therefore provides further clarification on the stratigraphic integrity of the fossil coral. It should be noted that this distinction is not always made the literature (*in situ* and in growth position have been used interchangeably). Within the database, we have noted both *in situ* and in growth position designations and, for primarily constructional reef environments, assumed that these are synonymous (note, a sample is labelled as *in situ* or in growth only where this was explicitly stated by the original authors).

5.3.2. Samples from the reef crest (or close to)

Some studies explicitly state that they targeted (close to) the reef crest during sampling (e.g. Dodge et al., 1983; Chen et al., 1991; Gallup et al., 1994; Potter et al., 2004. However, in some cases apparent contradictions between the reconstructed coral position (Z_{cp}) and this stratigraphic constraint are evident. For example, reef-crest samples from Barbados of similar age have Z_{cp} values (coloured symbols; Figure 13a) that are separated vertically by approximately 30 m at ~104 ka. The samples concern different taxa; those with Z_{cp} of -15 to -23 m are *A. palmata*, except for one *Montastraea* sp. sample. The samples at -43 to -53 m are *Montastraea* sp., which has a much wider modern depth distribution than *A. palmata*. Interestingly, the samples have overlapping reconstructed P_{RSL} values (within uncertainty) (Figure 13b). This suggests that: (i) the *Montastraea* sp. samples were misdiagnosed as reef crest, given that identification was to genus level only, and that no other assemblage information was given; (ii) there is an artefact due to reef heterogeneity; (iii) the samples are not *in situ* (only the *A. palmata* samples from Barbados were confidently determined as *in situ* by the original authors); (iv) the ages of the samples are incorrect, which results in erroneous reconstructions due to the age-dependent uplift correction; or (v) a combination of some or all of these.

5.3.3. Other stratigraphic constraints: Drill cores and vertically coherent sections

Vertically coherent stratigraphic sequences (e.g. sections, vertical transects or drill cores) allow the age-elevation relationship of a set of samples to be interrogated. For example, one can test whether, for the same stratigraphic unit, all ages and reconstructed sea levels are consistent. However, note that ages from a single stratigraphic unit may reflect variability within the reef structure as well as changes in prevailing environmental (including sea-level) conditions. Coral reefs do not grow as

continuous horizontal layers; they have 3-dimensional structures. Hence, a given elevation is not indicative of a certain age. Caution is therefore needed, as reversals in the age-depth relationships may occur due to: (i) erroneous age determinations; (ii) inherent complexity of a reef structure and the multitude of factors influencing coral zonation and formation; (iii) taphonomic processes (e.g. vertical/time averaging etc.; section 2.12); or (iv) a combination of some or all of these. . In summary, age reversals within a core do not invalidate the data, because of the 3-dimensional structure of reefs. Hence, age-elevation relationships can only be used where there is evidence that one coral nucleated directly on top of another.

Several key RSL records were obtained by drilling (e.g., Barbados: Bard et al., 1990a, c; Fairbanks et al., 2005; Peltier and Fairbanks, 2006; Chiu et al., 2005. Tahiti: Bard et al., 1990b, 2010; Thomas et al., 2009, 2012; Deschamps et al., 2012) and the inherent stratigraphic constraints offer valuable information for refining RSL records. However, while drill cores provide useful stratigraphic ordering, they may lack other contextual information. For example, it may be difficult to assess if the samples are *in situ* or in growth position, and the restricted dimensions of cores can also make palaeo-assemblage and facies description more difficult. In addition, there are potential difficulties in resolving notoriously complex reef structures using drill cores (and even using 2-dimensional sections), particularly when drilling is at an angle, or where backstepping reef architecture dominates.

Stratigraphic information has the potential to provide valuable constraints on the vertical uncertainties associated with reconstructed P_{RSL} , especially in a relative sense between consecutive samples. The stochastic component of the P_{RSL} uncertainty, in particular the depth-distributions, can be modified by such contextual constraints. Unfortunately, information on the stratigraphic ordering of samples is not available for all samples (and all sites), and – as yet – inclusion and subsequent modification of the vertical error terms would therefore introduce undue bias between the various sites in the database. We invite authors to submit to us scans of contextual field notes for inclusion into the database.

As yet, drill-core results offer the best examples of stratigraphic constraints to larger numbers of samples. For the last deglaciation, a large proportion of the data derives from Barbados and Tahiti drill cores. When identified by core, the Barbados data (Figure 14) are remarkably consistent both within each core and between cores, and a coherent temporal evolution is evident. For Tahiti, the P_{RSL} records are highly consistent within cores between 14 and 9 ka (cores P6 to P9, Figure 15). For

the older portion of the Tahiti records, some discrepancies appear between the various cores, but robust P_{RSL} inflections seem to be visible in the sequences of several cores between 16 and 14 ka. Offsets between the different cores reflect changes in assemblages and the depth-distributions of the various taxa (Deschamps et al., 2012). Discrepancies may also have arisen from variable hydrodynamic and oceanographic conditions between the southwestern and northeastern sides of the island, due to different levels of turbidity and seawater chemistry (Abbey et al., 2011), and/or progressive flooding of the shelf and increasing accommodation space (i.e. the sequential drowning of corals in cores from the outer to the inner reefs) (Camoïn et al., 2012). Figure 15(c) reveals a 'line' of maximum probability, and a 'ghost maximum' above it. This 'ghost' is directly caused by the use of many observations that are identified only to the genus-level, which leads to bimodal habitat-depth distributions. For the Tahiti data presented here, detailed coral assemblage information is available, which allowed the authors to provide a palaeowater depth estimates based on modern analogues (resulting in uncertainties that are much smaller than those plotted here). This example is intended only to show the result of using depth distributions strictly limited to taxonomic identification of the coral (without consideration of assemblage or stratigraphic information), which in some cases is only to the genus level. This example is not intended to be a new reinterpretation of the Tahiti dataset.

Identification of corals to species level as well as inclusion of site-specific depth-distributions (e.g. from modern assemblage studies) would help to reduce uncertainties around the main reconstructed level. Where possible, P_{RSL} reconstructions need to include not only the data and uncertainties, but also the more qualitative of contextual constraints. Going forward, statistical treatment of the data will also need to deal with such more qualitative knowledge.

5.4 Palaeodepth

The vertical resolution and precision achievable in determining past relative sea level from fossil corals depends upon several conditions. Foremost among these is the need for identification to species level and the application of appropriate depth-habitat ranges. For example, the median depth of growth for a taxon with genus-only identification is inevitably a reflection of many different species (e.g. *Acropora* sp. has a median depth of -2.4 m, whilst *A. palmata* and *A. cervicornis* have median depths of -1.5 m and -4.6 m respectively). Similarly, at the genus level, the total depth-distribution incorporates species with narrow and large depth ranges (e.g. *Acropora* sp. has a depth range of 0 to -18 m, whilst *A. palmata* and *A. humilis* have ranges of -0.4 to -9.4 m and 0 to -40 m respectively). Hence, using habitat-depth data for exactly the right species will increase both accuracy and precision of the calculated RSL.

Additional constraints to the depth-habitat values used can come from modern assemblage analogues that can be derived, for example: from the composition and vertical zonation of corals, corals and coralline algae (coralgal associations), and foraminifera; or from the presence/absence of indicator taxa (for example, vermetid gastropods: Morton and Chalis, 1969; Safriel, 1974; Antonioli et al., 1999; Cabioch et al., 1999a,b; Schiparelli et al., 2008). It requires an adequate assemblage description of the fossil record, which may be difficult given sampling constraints, such as drill cores, or limited exposures. Unfortunately, relatively few studies in the database undertook a local, modern assemblage survey at their study location but some considered palaeo-depth of samples by applying local and/or regional (i.e., from the same ocean basin) modern analogues to their palaeo-assemblage, zonation, or species (e.g. Bard et al., 1996b, 2010; Yokoyama et al., 2001; Deschamps et al., 2012).

Given the current paucity of local modern analogue studies, we have adopted a uniform approach of describing the depth-distribution of the commonly used taxa from a synthesis of modern data (OBIS, 2014; for a full list of extracted data and references, see online supplementary material). As noted in section 4.2.4, there are gaps in the spatial coverage (e.g., Western Australia) and possibly also with depth due to methodological limitations and focus on the upper water column. As yet, this precludes the incorporation of the well-documented variations in coral composition and distribution both within and between ocean basins (see section 5.3.2), as well as variations on local scales that arise from differences in sediment load, temperature, etc. However, as further modern ecological information becomes available, our 'global' depth-distributions will likely change, and regional and/or local depth ranges will eventually become available for all sites and species found in the fossil database. Of course, the use of local, modern assemblage data is preferable, as these generally best describe the modern vertical zonation of the reef under consideration. One caveat to this is recent human influence; in Barbados the modern fringing reefs (Tomascik and Sander, 1987) are dominated by *Porites astreoides*, with very little *Acropora palmata* cover (<1%), even though branching *Acropora* corals dominated the tropical western Atlantic for the last 500 ka (Geister, 1977; Pandolfi and Jackson, 2006). Recent human influence has changed the coral community structure of Barbados reefs in ways that have not been observed in the last ~ 200 ka (Pandolfi and Jackson, 2006).

In the following sections we consider examples of how our ecologically derived depth-distributions compare with those accounting for assemblage information, and how this may offer improvements to RSL calculations.

5.4.1. Comparison of the ecologically derived depth distributions with assemblage palaeo-depths:

A. palmata is the most frequent taxon within the fossil database (accounting for ~20% of all samples). This species is highly characteristic of reef environments in the Caribbean with a common depth range of -0.5 to -5 m (Goreau and Wells, 1967; Lighty et al., 1982), but it is also found at depths up to -40 m (Carpenter et al., 2008). Our synthesis of ecological (depth) data gives similar values with 68% of OBIS observational data (OBIS, 2014) between -0.7 and -3 m (95% between -0.4 and -9.4 m) (Figure 5a). The increase in the reported vertical range of *A. palmata* between older surveys and more recent work reflects methodological developments, with ROV and diving improvements extending depths at which observations have been made.

For *Porites* sp., our synthesis of depth distributions indicates that most specimens are found in the uppermost water column (Figure 5) but that there is a significant proportion of observations at depth as well (median depth -4 m; 68% between 0 and -45 m, 95% between 0 and -71 m). Carpenter et al (2008) give a range of 0 to -50 m, but the depth range of some species remains unknown. Studies within the fossil database report a variety of palaeodepths for this genus, including: < 5 m (Comoro Islands; Camoin et al., 2004); 0 to -35 m (with 'most likely' 0 to -20 m, Mururoa Atoll; Camoin et al., 2001); >-20 m, 0 to -25 m and 0 to -6 m depending upon assemblage (Tahiti: Thomas et al., 2009, with palaeodepths derived from Montaggioni, 2005); -2 to -5 m, or 0 to -15 m (Huon Peninsula: Chappell et al., 1996; Yokoyama et al., 2001 citing Pandolfi and Chappell, 1994). The most recent Tahiti dataset (Deschamps et al., 2012) assigns a palaeodepth to *Porites* sp. of -5 to -25 m, 0 to -20 m and -5 to -15 m dependent upon corallgal assemblage. Fossil assemblages used were after Camoin et al. (2007), Abbey et al. (2011), and Camoin et al. (2012), with depositional environments inferred from modern studies from Tahiti (Sugihara et al., 2006; Montaggioni et al., 1997; Camoin et al., 1999; Cabioch et al., 1999a) and other Indo-Pacific reefs (Camoin et al., 1997, 2004; Montaggioni and Faure, 1997; Cabioch et al., 1999; Sagawa et al., 2001).

Our depth distributions – which do not take into account the assemblage information, and which are based on global data – adequately capture the majority of assigned palaeodepths within the literature, albeit with larger vertical uncertainties. As *Porites* sp. is determined only to the genus level, the median depth and vertical uncertainties are increased relative to other assessments (cf.,

Deschamps et al., 2012). These vertical uncertainties may be substantially reduced if new identifications could reduce it to the species level (Figure 5), and if stratigraphic ordering of samples is taken into account (section 5.2.4). Still, the somewhat narrow depth-distribution uncertainties allowed in some of the cited palaeo-studies seem to be at odds with the wide range of depth habitats for *Porites* sp. in modern ecological data.

The third most common species in the fossil database is *Montastraea annularis* (~ 9 % of all samples). Unfortunately few of the original studies included consideration of the modern (or palaeo) depth range for this species (e.g., Shinn et al., 1989; Muhs et al., 2011). Other studies calculated RSL for these specimens with only a 'generic' depth uncertainty applied, if at all, and should therefore be treated with caution. Our recalculation specifically accounts for the depth-distribution within both the calculated P_{RSL} and the vertical uncertainties.

5.4.2 Global, regional, and local variations in depth habitat

Modern coral distributions (e.g. 'ecoregions' of Veron 2000, Veron et al., 2009) vary at both the inter- and intra- ocean basin scales. Hence, we might expect differences in both the regional and local drivers of species diversity and distribution between locations to be reflected in the depth-distribution of each coral species (see Figure 3, section 3). In this section, we evaluate this expectation.

Variation at the genus level: an example from the Pacific

Differences in coral community composition and zonation result from the complex interplay of biological and environmental factors (section 3). These modern faunal distributions (cf. Veron et al., 2009) reflect the generalist nature of most corals; for example, a species may occupy a wide range of habitats in one region, and be confined to a specific habitat elsewhere, or be absent entirely (Veron, 1995). From detailed scrutiny of modern depth ranges, we observe that the depth-distribution of single taxa can differ between ocean basins. For example, on a global scale, *Porites* sp. observations are concentrated within the uppermost few metres for all species (except *P. asteroides* for which a greater range of depths is observed), although the maximum depth reaches nearly -100 m. In the Pacific alone, most *Porites* observations are in the top metre, but there is a considerable peak also between -30 and -55 m (median depth virtually 0 m, 95% range of 0 to -71 m). In the Atlantic Ocean, however, *Porites* is found at a median depth of -7.3 m, with a 95% range of -0.8 m to -21 m (Figure 16b).

In addition to global differences between ocean basins, taxa also show regional differences in their depth distribution. To gauge the potential impacts on RSL reconstructions, we use our synthesis of modern ecological data to identify any regional variations in the depth distribution of two genera that are commonly used in sea-level reconstructions (*Acropora* sp. and *Porites* sp.). We focus on the Pacific, since there are generally good records within the modern coral database for that basin, for each of these taxa. Specifically, we examine regional depth distributions for the Great Barrier Reef, the 'NW Pacific' and three 'central' Pacific regions (1-3) (Figures 16 and 17).

The genus *Acropora* shows strikingly different depth distributions between the NW Pacific (multi-modal with large numbers close to the surface and at ~40 m), the Great Barrier Reef (skewed distribution with a long tail to greater depths), and three central Pacific regions (similar to NW Pacific but with fewer observations at depth) (Figure 17). Even within the central Pacific, there are distinct differences between the three sub-regions, with the most southerly region 3 (Figure 17) possessing a substantial number of *Acropora* observations at depths of -50 m. We observe similar variability in the depth distributions of *Porites* (Figure 16). There are very few recorded observations for *Acropora* sp. and *Porites* sp. below about -11 to -15 m on the Great Barrier Reef (Figures 16b and 17b).

The observed differences may be due to different species compositions within each genus at the various sites (which is why a strict species-specific approach would be vital), but it may also include a component of truly contrasting depth preferences of individual species between sites. To test this, we investigate the sub-regional depth-distribution variability within a single species at an even finer (sub-regional) scale.

Variation at the sub-regional scale: a species-specific example from the Caribbean

Intra-species variation is well illustrated by the modern depth distribution of *A. palmata* within the wider Caribbean (including Florida and Gulf of Mexico). We assigned the modern synthesis data to one of ten geographic regions (Figure 18) and determined *A. palmata* depth preferences for each separate sub-region. *A. palmata* is found within the upper 3 m in the Caribbean region (excluding the Gulf of Mexico and Florida regions; median depth of -1.5 m; 95% range of -0.35 to -9.4 m) which accords with Lighty et al. (1978, 1982). Around Florida, *A. palmata* is not recorded in the upper 2.5 m, but is mostly confined to the 0 to -4 m depth. The Gulf of Mexico is represented by too few observations in our modern synthesis to make a significant case (n = 12). The Bahamas and Cuba share similar depth profiles, with most *A. palmata* within the upper 5 m of the water column and

with limited numbers at -5 to -15m (median depths of -1.5 and -1 m respectively) (Figure 18). This contrasts with the Cayman Islands and the Puerto Rico to Virgin Islands sub-regions, where *A. palmata* tends towards greater depths (median depths of -7 m and -4 m respectively).

Such local variations in the depth distribution of a single species (*A. palmata*) could cause bias of the order of several metres when applied to fossil coral-based P_{RSL} reconstructions (Figures 18 and 19). In Figure 19, we investigate how calculated P_{RSL} values (and uncertainties) would change if such sub-regional (or local) depth-distributions were used rather than global depth-distribution. For the Bahamas and Yucatan Peninsula (Chen et al., 1991 and Blanchon et al., 2009 respectively), using the sub-regional depth distribution does not alter calculated P_{RSL} values, but it does alter the size of the vertical uncertainties (95% bounds). These ‘offsets’ in P_{RSL} uncertainties are not trivial, and the complexity of reconstructed P_{RSL} is best highlighted when full consideration of the modern depth-distribution is incorporated into our P_{RSL} reconstructions (Figure 19). Still, we have not been able to systematically apply such sub-regional depth-habitat corrections in the fossil coral database, because modern habitat constraints remain insufficiently documented for many areas.

5.3.3. *Palaeodepth summary*

Using a few key examples, we have found that there is excellent scope for improving RSL reconstructions by further documentation of modern species-specific depth distributions at regional to sub-regional scales. To avoid “shallow-water” bias, such new ecological survey work should include deep-diving and ROV surveys.

Unfortunately, there is a more fundamental hurdle to overcome for coral-based RSL reconstructions than regional depth-preference variability within single species. This obstacle relates to the identification of corals to the species level: many taxonomic determinations in fossil coral-based sea-level studies remain limited to the genus level. Genus-level assessment of the modern distribution data yields much broader depth distributions than species-specific assessment, and genus-level results may become skewed by particular species that may or may not be present at all sites (also, there is scope for sampling bias when some areas have fewer observations than others). So, while improved modern species-level depth-habitat assessments will undoubtedly help to minimise uncertainties in past RSL reconstructions, this can only be effectively applied in sea-level studies if those follow a similarly strict species-specific approach.

We find that improvements in RSL reconstructions may be achieved by: (1) using identifications to the species level; (2) using coral depth-distributions and an assemblage approach that are location-specific; (3) inclusion of a greater number of cores/sections to more fully assess the complex reef geometry; and (4) optimisation of the use of stratigraphic constraints within the calculations.

6. EXAMPLE APPLICATION OF THE FOSSIL CORAL DATABASE

Here we provide an example of how the database can be used, in this case to assess the age range of samples in our database where local sea level exceeds present during the LIG period. This age range may not be equivalent to the actual timing of the global mean sea level highstand, due primarily to the influence of GIA effects at each of the sites and also due to biases in the sample collections represented in the database (e.g., Dutton and Lambeck, 2012).

Using ages and uncertainties from the screened fossil coral database ($\delta^{234}\text{U}_{\text{initial}} = 147 \pm 5 \text{ ‰}$, $\leq 2 \text{ ‰}$ calcite and ^{232}Th concentration $\leq 2 \text{ ppb}$), we can approximate in a Monte-Carlo approach the median age and 95% confidence bounds for the last interglacial (LIG) (Figure 20). For the purposes of this exercise we define the LIG as being where local sea levels are at or above 0 m, which is significantly different to a LIG defined by global ice volume or global mean sea level. We acknowledge that the duration of the last interglacial may be amplified or reduced by isostatic influences depending on the locations that the sample data are taken from (cf. Dutton and Lambeck, 2012; Figure 12), hence our estimates relate only to a definition of a local interglacial period, defined by local relative sea levels being above 0 m. Additionally, any statistical analysis of the dataset will be to some degree affected by sampling biases, for example where only particular portions of the reef were sampled due to access/exposure/recovery issues.

The analysis further illustrates the impact of screening choices, not only on the data available but also the outcome. We consider only data with a reconstructed $P_{\text{RSL}} \geq 0 \text{ m}$. First, we use samples that pass our screening criteria from tectonically stable locations ($n=51$: Chen et al. 1991; Muhs et al. 2002a; Blanchon et al. 2009; Stirling et al. 1995; Stirling et al. 1998; Zhu et al. 1993; Eisenhauer et al. 1996; O’Leary et al. 2008) and use a Monte-Carlo style (350,000 simulations per coral sample) approach to account for age and vertical (elevation measurement uncertainties and taxon-specific depth distributions) uncertainties to produce an estimate of periods of time where it is more likely than not (probability $> 50 \text{ ‰}$) that relative (i.e. local) sea level (P_{RSL}) exceeds 0 m (Figure 20a). This exercise suggests a median LIG age (i.e. $P_{\text{RSL}} \geq 0 \text{ m}$) of 125.3 ka with 95 % bounds of 113.2 ka and 138.1 ka. For comparison, we repeated the exercise using all data passing the ‘chemical’ screening

($\delta^{234}\text{U}_{\text{initial}} = 147 \pm 5 \text{ ‰}$, $\leq 2 \text{ ‰}$ calcite and ^{232}Th concentration $\leq 2 \text{ ppb}$) with the additional constraint that samples must have been described as *in situ* or in growth position in the original publications, and relaxing the stable tectonic criterion ($n=57$: Chen et al. 1991; Muhs et al. 2002a; Blanchon et al. 2009; Stirling et al. 1995; Stirling et al. 1998; Zhu et al. 1993; Eisenhauer et al. 1996; O’Leary et al. 2008). In this instance, we also account for the time varying tectonic correction of each uplifting or subsiding sample. Note that these adjusted criteria exclude some data from the Yucatan Peninsula (Blanchon et al., 2009) and Bermuda (Muhs et al. 2002a), with significant impact upon our analysis, effectively removing the tails of the distribution and producing a median LIG age of 124.0 ka and 95 % bounds at 118.1 ka and 130.3 ka (Figure 20b). This again highlights that the use of data from our database in subsequent analysis is highly sensitive to the screening criteria that are applied. Hence, this illustrates the need for clear and transparent description of the definitions, selection criteria, and procedures used in interpretations.

7. OUTSTANDING ISSUES AND WAYS FORWARD

The compilation of U-series dated fossil coral indicators presented here enables an evaluation of the fossil coral sea-level index points within their proper uncertainties via: harmonisation of the fossil coral data; a thorough assessment of all uncertainties; and inclusion of full metadata. There remain, however, outstanding issues that limit the ability of these data to provide robust sea-level reconstructions aside from issues expected due to glacio-isostatic adjustments. Principal among these are the relatively large vertical uncertainties that are driven especially by the application of our new ecology-based taxon-specific depth-distributions, and by the fact that many fossil coral data points have been identified only to the genus level. While these vertical uncertainties are large, they derive from extensive biological/ecological surveys, and we therefore consider them to be a more realistic (if conservative) representation of the errors associated with P_{RSL} reconstructions from fossil corals. The current database combines data with little to no prior information about palaeodepth with data that contains more detailed palaeodepth information. Going forward, reconstructions may be refined by designing methods for objectively implementing a more structural use of diverse palaeodepth information.

Significant advances (e.g., technological advances increasing the precision of age determinations, accuracy of elevation measurements etc.) have been made in the last few decades that have facilitated millennial-scale sea-level reconstructions for several locations (e.g., Barbados and Tahiti). There remain, however, three principal outstanding issues that currently hamper our ability to reconstruct precise and accurate past sea levels from fossil corals.

1909

1910 The first issue concerns the frequently incomplete understanding of the (often complex) vertical
1911 displacement (tectonic or otherwise) of many sites. This comprises: (a) current inability to
1912 adequately describe the often complex tectonic history of many sites; (b) use of the dated corals
1913 themselves to derive uplift/subsidence rates, coupled with assumptions regarding the age of the last
1914 interglacial and its sea-level position to calculate uplift rates; (c) the assumption of constancy of
1915 those uplift/subsidence rates through time (e.g., Schellmann and Radtke, 2004); and (d)
1916 insufficiently quantified effects of dynamic topography that become more important with increasing
1917 age (Moucha et al., 2008). These need to be revisited as a matter of priority, through independent
1918 verifications of uplift and subsidence rates.

1919

1920 The second outstanding obstacle to obtaining precise sea-level reconstructions concerns the vertical
1921 uncertainties associated with species- and region-specific depth relationships. RSL reconstructions
1922 rely upon understanding of the relationship between the elevation of the fossil coral and sea level at
1923 the time at which the coral was growing. Thus, robust estimates of these species-specific depth
1924 relations are a prerequisite for coral reconstructions of sea level. Significant progress has been made
1925 (e.g., the use of modern, local biological assemblages and the association with vermetid gastropods
1926 and coralline algae), and this study seeks to extend this progress by evaluating fossil data within the
1927 context of modern ecological studies. On that basis, we recommend: (1) the use of modern,
1928 ecologically derived depth distributions in palaeo-sea-level reconstructions; (2) that these are
1929 applied at the species level rather than the often used genus level; (3) that (sub-) regional ecological
1930 surveys are conducted to determine accurate depth-distributions for the appropriate specific species
1931 in the vicinity each fossil coral site; (4) that the surveys mentioned in (3) include extensive deep-
1932 diving and ROV deployments to avoid “shallow-water bias” in the modern habitat characterisations;
1933 and (5) optimisation of the promising approach of using assemblages of reef biota (e.g., coral,
1934 coralline algae, vermetid gastropods, and encrusting foraminifera) and use of coral micro-atoll data
1935 to obtain independent constraints on growth position relative to sea level. As suggested by Camoin
1936 and Webster (2014), additional novel approaches to provide tighter constraints on palaeowater
1937 depth should also be pursued (e.g., endolithic microborers or carbon isotopes).

1938

1939 These five key measures to improve the base-information that goes into RSL reconstructions can be
1940 further strengthened by addressing the third outstanding issue mentioned above. This concerns the
1941 need for complete and routine inclusion of stratigraphic and geomorphological (reef architecture)
1942 data including pictures and outcrop or drill-core descriptions (see also Medina-Elizalde, 2012). This

context, when provided, is extremely important in making interpretations about sea-level behaviour (e.g., Speed and Cheng, 2004; Blanchon et al., 2009). Given the importance of these metadata, we have added this information into the fossil database, so that users may assess the coherency of samples from the same stratigraphic unit, identify those samples explicitly identified as *in situ*, etc. A remaining limitation is that geological sections and drill cores rarely provide the complete desired 3-dimensional information of coral reef structure. This could be addressed by obtaining a greater number of drillcores/sections to more fully describe reef architecture.

8. DATABASE RECOMMENDATIONS AND MANAGEMENT

At present, there is no centralised online repository for the combination of data assembled here, though preliminary steps are underway to develop a means of archiving both the geochronological data as well as the associated sample metadata. This will be achieved, in part, through existing open-access, online data repositories that operate under the umbrella organization of Integrated Earth Data Applications (IEDA, <http://www.iedadata.org/>). EarthChem, and eventually GeoChron, will be able to archive the U-series geochronological data, provided that all samples are given an International GeoSample Number (IGSN) through the System for Earth Sample Registration (SESAR, <http://www.geosamples.org/>). Software is presently being developed to recalculate ages from archived data, as well as to develop publication-quality tables and plots such as evolution diagrams. What remains to be developed in terms of the cyberinfrastructure is a way to integrate these archived data with the sample metadata required to make sea-level interpretations, as well as a means to incorporate other types of sea-level proxies into the database.

The incomplete reporting of data, and a lack of standardised practices for the reporting of data, hinder syntheses of data such as that shown here. We provide a brief summary of the minimum suggested data requirements that will facilitate future incorporation of data into the database and improve the quality and longevity of the data.

With respect to the U-series data, all geochemical parameters measured should be reported with their associated uncertainties (i.e., ^{238}U and ^{232}Th concentration, $^{230}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U}$ and $^{234}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$ activity or molar ratios), including the decay constants used, the method of spike calibration, instrumentation and analytical methodology. In particular we note that it is critical to report both isotope ratios (i.e., $^{230}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U}$ (or $^{230}\text{Th}/^{234}\text{U}$) and $^{234}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$) so that ages can be calculated (and re-calculated) and assessed for open-system behaviour based on the back-calculated initial $\delta^{234}\text{U}$ value.

1977 Reported elevations should also include uncertainty and a description of the methodology used for
1978 surveying as well as the method used to tie the measurements to a tidal benchmark. All samples
1979 should be assigned an IGSN identifier available through SESAR to ease online cataloguing of
1980 geochemical data and associated metadata.

1981

1982 Complete descriptions of sample location and context within the reef and/or drill core are also
1983 strongly recommended to improve the level of interpretations that can be made, including species-
1984 level identification and reef biota assemblage information, if possible.

1985

1986 This database will be maintained and periodically updated by FDH (updates accessible on
1987 <http://www.highstand.org/erohling/ejrhome.htm> via the link “CORAL SEA-LEVEL DATA”. The version
1988 of the database used in this paper is archived by British Oceanographic Data Centre (BODC;
1989 www.bodc.ac.uk) doi: xxx. To contribute data, please contact FDH to discuss format requirements
1990 and release information – note that the underlying philosophy is one of complete open access.
1991 Updates will be released periodically.

1992

1993 **Acknowledgements**

1994 We thank the editors at QSR for inviting this review, Dan Muhs and Anthony Dosseto for their
1995 thoughtful reviews that improved the manuscript, Belinda Dechnik for the photographs (Figure 2)
1996 and colleagues for fruitful discussions at PALSEA2 conferences. This work was supported by NERC
1997 Consortium Grant iGlass: Using Interglacials to Assess Future Sea Level Scenarios (NE/I009906/1) and
1998 2012 Australian Laureate Fellowship FL120100050 to EJR, and NSF grant 1443037 to AD.

1999

Figure captions:

Figure 1: Principal influences on coral reef distribution and development (adapted from Harriott and Banks, 2002; Montaggioni and Braithwaite 2009)

Figure 2: Variation of *Isopora* (highlighted by red boxes) coral morphology from One Tree Reef, One Tree Island (southern Great Barrier Reef): (a) encrusting *Isopora* from the windward, shallow slope (2 m water depth) (very high energy margin); (b) columnar, low branching *Isopora* from the shallow leeward slope (2 m water depth) (low energy margin); (c) robust, branching *Isopora* from the deep leeward slope (9 m water depth) (low energy, deeper environment). Photographs courtesy of Belinda Dechnik, Geocoastal Research Group, The University of Sydney.

Figure 3: Spatial distribution of zooxanthellate coral species richness (after Veron et al., 2009).

Figure 4: Map of fossil coral locations contained in the fossil database.

Figure 5: (a) Modern depth distributions for the 8 most frequently identified coral taxa within the fossil database. See Table 3 for the modern depth range, median depth, and 95% and 68% confidence intervals. (b) Cumulative distribution functions for the 8 most frequently identified coral taxa within the fossil database.

Figure 6: Ecologically derived coral depth ranges (blue is this study, red is the ICUN Red List; Carpenter et al., 2008) Blue squares give the median depth of coral growth, and vertical error bars mark the 95% confidence intervals for each taxon. From right to left: (1) *Acropora* sp., (2) *Acropora abrotanoides*, (3) *Acropora cervicornis*, (4) *Acropora danai*, (5) *Acropora* gr. *danai-robusta*, (6) *Acropora humilis*, (7) *Acropora hyacinthus*, (8) *Acropora palmata*, (9) *Acropora pharaonis*, (10) *Acropora robusta*, (11) *Agaricia* sp., (12) *Agaricia agaricites*, (13) *Alveopora* spp., (14) *Astreopora* sp., (15) *Balanophyllia elegans*, (16) *Colpophyllia* sp., (17) *Colpophyllia natans*, (18) *Cyphastrea* sp., (19) *Cyphastrea chalcidum*, (20) *Cyphastrea serailia*, (21) *Diploastrea* sp., (22) *Diploastrea heliopora*, (23) *Diploria* spp., (24) *Diploria clivosa*, (25) *Diploria strigosa*, (26) *Echinopora* sp., (27) *Echinopora gemmacea*, (28) *Favia* sp., (29) *Favia pallida*, (30) *Favia speciosa*, (31) *Favia stelligera*, (32) *Faviidae* (family), (33) *Favites* sp., (34) *Fungia* sp., (35) *Galaxea* sp., (36) *Galaxea fascicularis*, (37) *Gardineroseris* sp., (38) *Gardineroseris planulata*, (39) *Goniastrea* sp., (40) *Goniastrea parvistella*, (41) *Goniastrea pectinata*, (42) *Goniastrea retiformis*, (43) *Goniopora* sp., (44) *Goniopora lobata*, (45) *Hydnophora* sp., (46) *Hydnophora exesa*, (47) *Hydnophora microconos*, (48) *Leptastrea* sp., (49) *Leptoria* sp., (50) *Leptoria phrygia*, (51) *Leptoseris* sp., (52) *Lobophyllia* sp., (53) *Lobophyllia corymbosa*, (54) *Millepora* sp., (55) *Montastraea* sp., (56) *Montastraea annularis*, (57) *Montastraea cavernosa*, (58) *Montastraea annuligera*, (59) *Montipora* sp., (60) *Oculina* sp., (61) *Oulophyllia* sp., (62) *Oulophyllia crispa*, (63) *Pachyseris* sp., (64) *Pachyseris foliosa*, (65) *Pavona* sp., (66) *Pavona clavus*, (67) *Platygyra* sp., (68) *Platygyra daedalea*, (69) *Platygyra lamellina*, (70) *Platygyra sinensis*, (71) *Plesiastrea* sp., (72) *Plesiastrea curta*, (73) *Pocillopora* sp., (74) *Pocillopora eydouxi*, (75) *Pocillopora guadalupensis*, (76) *Pocillopora meandrina*, (77) *Pocillopora verrucosa*, (78) *Porites* sp., (79) *Porites asteroides*, (80) *Porites brighami*, (81) *Porites lobata*, (82) *Porites lutea*, (83) *Porites nigrescens*, (84) *Porites solida*, (85) *Porites solida lobata*, (86) *Pseudosiderastrea* sp., (87) *Pseudosiderastrea tayami*, (88) *Siderastrea* sp., (89) *Siderastrea radians*, (90) *Siderastrea siderea*, (91) *Stylophora* sp., (92) *Symphyllia* sp., (93) *Symphyllia mobilis*, (94) *Turbinaria* sp.

Figure 7: Schematic for the effects of the main diagenetic processes on the isotopic composition of fossil corals (after Scholz et al., 2009).

Figure 8: schematic of relationship between, and uncertainty propagation for, corrected coral position (Z_{cp}) and RSL probability (P_{RSL}).

Figure 9: Effect of screening criteria upon the number of samples available for subsequent analysis. Shaded boxes denote the range of acceptable $\delta^{234}U_{initial}$ values used, grey crosses are all unscreened data in the database (0 to 350 ka), blue filled squares are samples passing all screening criteria (i.e. acceptable $\delta^{234}U_{initial}$, % calcite and ^{232}Th concentrations); yellow box - 'interglacial' screening of $\delta^{234}U_{initial} = 147 \pm 5 \text{ ‰}$ (ages > 17 ka and 71 to 130 ka); blue box - 'glacial' screening of $\delta^{234}U_{initial} = 142 \pm 8 \text{ ‰}$ (ages 17 to 71 ka) and; grey box - 'older than 130 ka' screening of $\delta^{234}U_{initial} = 147 \pm 5/-10 \text{ ‰}$ (ages > 130 ka). Red dashed line is the modern $\delta^{234}U_{initial}$ of 147 ‰.

Figure 10: (a) Samples that pass our initial chemical screening (grey crosses) and replicate analyses (blue dots). Yellow dots are the consistent multiple analyses from core BB02-5-1 (Barbados, Scholz et al., 2009).

Figure 11: Reconstructed relative sea levels for fossil corals, without GIA correction, from both near and far-field locations that pass an initial screening ($\delta^{234}U_{initial}$, calcite $\leq 2 \text{ ‰}$ and ^{232}Th concentration $\leq 2 \text{ ppb}$) with their respective uncertainties intervals (95%). Age and RSL recalculated from data contained in the following studies: Bahamas (Chen et al., 1991), Barbados (Gallup et al., 1994; 2002; Cutler et al., 2003; Thompson et al., 2003; Bard et al., 1990a; Hamelin et al., 1991; Blanchon and Eisenhauer, 2001; Peltier and Fairbanks, 2006; Fairbanks et al., 2005; Potter et al., 2004; Bard et al., 1990b,c; Scholz et al., 2009) Bermuda (Muhs et al., 2002), southern China (Zhao and Yu, 2002), Comoro Islands (Camoin et al., 2004), Curacao (Muhs et al., 2012a; Vezina et al 1999), Mururoa atoll (French Polynesia) (Camoin et al., 2001), Tahiti (Thomas et al., 2009; Yokoyama et al., 2001; Deschamps et al., 2012; Bard et al., 1996b), Indonesia (Sumba Island) (Bard et al., 1996a), Madagascar (Camoin et al., 2004), Yucatan Peninsula (Blanchon et al., 2009), Huon Peninsula (Papua New Guinea) (Yokoyama et al., 2001; Esat and Yokoyama, 2006; Cutler et al., 2004; Bard et al., 1996b; Cutler et al., 2003; Stein et al., 1993), Henderson Island (Pitcairn Islands) (Anderson et al., 2010; Stirling et al., 2001), Seychelles (Israelson and Wolfarth, 1999; Camoin et al., 2004), Hawaii (Muhs et al., 2002; Szabo et al., 1994), Vanuatu (Edwards et al., 1987; Taylor et al., 1985; Cutler et al., 2004) and Western Australia (Stirling et al., 1995, 1998, 2001; Zhu et al., 1993; Eisenhauer et al., 1996; O'Leary et al., 2008; Collins et al., 2006)

Figure 12: A demonstration of the geospatial variability of relative sea-level (RSL) predictions with global mean (eustatic) for (a) the last deglacial and (b) the last interglacial periods for an ice history incorporating a 4 ka interglacial period, using one earth model. For any ice history, the choice of earth model affects both the timing and the amplitude of a sea-level peak, highstand or low stand.

Figure 13: (a) Reconstructed corrected coral position (Z_{cp}) for Barbados samples that pass our initial chemical screening (grey crosses), and those with reef-crest designations (coloured). Age and vertical uncertainties are plotted at the 2σ (95%) interval. (b) As (a) but reconstructed P_{RSL} (with their 95% uncertainties).

Figure 14: (a) Reconstructed corrected coral position (Z_{cp}) for drill-core samples from Barbados that pass our initial chemical screening. Age and vertical uncertainties are plotted at the 2σ (95%) interval. (b) As (a) but reconstructed P_{RSL} (with their 95% uncertainties). (c) Reconstructed P_{RSL} for the last deglaciation using Monte-Carlo style simulation of samples. Coloured shading indicates the 99th (pale blue), 95th (yellow), 85th (orange), 70th (red) and 50th (black) percentiles.

Figure 15: (a) Reconstructed corrected coral position (Z_{cp}) for drill-core samples from Tahiti that pass our initial chemical screening. Note, we have also plotted the Papeete Harbour samples for comparison, although no ^{232}Th data are available for these samples (and these therefore do not strictly meet our screening criteria). Age and vertical uncertainties are plotted at the 2σ (95%) interval. (b) As (a) but reconstructed P_{RSL} (with their 95% uncertainties) calculated using only taxonomic depth distributions derived (Note, assemblage derived palaeowater depths estimates are available and that this example is intended only to show the result of using depth distributions strictly limited to taxonomic identification of the coral) (c) Reconstructed P_{RSL} for the last deglaciation using Monte-Carlo style simulation of samples (excluding the Papeete Harbour samples) using only the taxonomic depth distributions (not original palaeo-water depth estimates). Coloured shading indicates the 99th (pale blue), 95th (yellow), 85th (orange), 70th (red) and 50th (black) percentiles. This example is not intended to be a new reinterpretation of the Tahiti dataset.

Figure 16: (a) Map of *Porites* sp. observations from the Pacific and the Atlantic (OBIS, 2014; see online supplementary material for references and datasets used). (b) Histograms of the depth distributions for: all OBIS observations ('*Porites* sp. – ALL'); the Atlantic and Pacific basins; and regional subsets of the Pacific.

Figure 17: (a) Map of *Acropora* sp. observations from the Pacific and the Atlantic (OBIS, 2014; see online supplementary material for references and datasets used). (b) Histograms of the depth distributions for: the Atlantic; a subset of Caribbean data and Florida data; the Pacific basin; and regional subsets of the Pacific.

Figure 18: (a) Map, histogram and cumulative frequency distribution of *Acropora palmata* in the Caribbean (OBIS, 2014; see Appendix A for references and datasets used). (b) Histograms of *A. palmata* depth-habitat distributions in Caribbean sub-regions. (c) Cumulative frequency distributions of *A. palmata* depth-habitat distributions in Caribbean sub-regions.

Figure 19: Example of the effect of applying sub-regional, taxon-specific depth distribution to calculate P_{RSL} (Chen et al., 1991; Blanchon et al., 2009 using the depth distributions derived for *A. palmata* for the Caribbean (i.e. 'global'), Bahamas and Yucatan Peninsula regions) (a) reconstructed coral position (Z_{cp}) with 2σ uncertainties (b) P_{RSL} calculated using the *A. palmata* depth-distributions. (i) using the 'global' depth-distribution (ii) as in (i), but P_{RSL} calculated using sub-regional (Bahamas and Yucatan Peninsula) depth-distributions. Note the smaller vertical uncertainties for the Bahamas samples (vertical uncertainties are plotted at the 2σ (95%) interval). (iii) calculation of P_{RSL} using a Monte-Carlo style simulation of the same samples. Coloured shading (red, Bahamas; blue, Yucatan Peninsula) indicates the 99th, 95th, 85th, 70th and 50th percentiles. All data plotted pass our initial chemical screening.

Figure 20: Monte-Carlo style simulation (350,000 simulations per coral sample) estimate of periods of time where it is more likely than not (probability > 50 %) that relative (i.e. local) sea level (P_{RSL}) exceeds 0 m (a) histogram for data from stable regions only (n=51) (b) as in (a) but with additional constraint that samples must be *in situ* or in growth position and the tectonically stable location criterion relaxed (n= 57). All data plotted pass the initial interglacial screening criteria.

Table captions:

Table 1: Recalculated uplift/subsidence rates used in the fossil database. Uplift/subsidence rates were recalculated assuming a LIG sea level of 6.6 ± 2 m (Kopp et al., 2009, 2013) and an age of 125 ± 5 ka.

Table 2: The modern tidal range for sites in the fossil database (for simplicity the minimum and maximum tidal ranges for a location are listed here). The modern tidal range was estimated from a global tidal model (i.e. the difference between the maximum and minimum tides predicted from the location).

Table 3: Summary of empirically derived global depth distributions for coral in the fossil coral database. Only modern observational data with a depth precision ≤ 0.25 m were used to derive the depth-habitat distributions (OBIS, 2014; a full list of datasets and references can be found in the online supplementary material).

Table 4: Compilation of modern (and fossils < 1 ka) ^{238}U concentrations from the literature.

References

- Abbey, E., Webster, J.M., Braga, J.C., Jacobsen, G.E., Thorogood, G., Thomas, A.L., Camoin, G., Reimer, P.J., Potts, D.C. 2013. Deglacial mesophotic reef demise on the Great Barrier Reef. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 392: 473-494.
- Abbey, E., Webster, J.M., Braga, J.C., Sugihara, K., Wallace, C., Iryu, Y., Potts, D., Done, T., Camoin, G., Seard, C. 2011. Variation in deglacial corallgal assemblages and their paleoenvironmental significance: IODP Expedition 310, "Tahiti Sea Level". *Global and Planetary Change* 76: 1-15.
- Abram, N.J., Webster, J.M., Davies, P.J., Dullo, W.C. 2001. Biological response of coral reefs to sea surface temperature variation: Evidence from the raised Holocene reefs of Kikaijima (Ryukyu Islands, Japan). *Coral Reefs* 20: 221-234.
- Abu-Hilal, 1994. Effect of depositional environment and sources of pollution on uranium concentration in sediment, coral, algae and seagrass species from the Gulf of Aqaba (Red Sea). *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 28(20): 81-88.
- Acevedo, R., Morelock, J., & Olivieri, R. A. 1989. Modification of coral reef zonation by terrigenous sediment stress. *Palaios* 4: 92-100.
- Allemand, D., Tambutté, E., Zuccola, D., Tambutté, S. 2011. Coral calcification, cells to reefs. In Dubinsky, Z. and Stambler, N. (Eds.) *Coral reefs: an ecosystem in transition*. Springer, pp.119-150.
- Allemand, D., Ferrier-Pagès, C., Furla, P., Houlbrèque, F., Puverel, S., Reynaud, S., Tambutté, E., Tambutté, S., Zoccola, D. 2004. Biomineralisation in reef-building corals: from molecular mechanisms to environmental control. *C. R., Palévol*, 3: 453-467.
- Alexander, C.S. 1953. The marine and stream terraces of the Capitola-Watsonville area. University of California Publications in Geography 10: 1-44.
- Amiel, A.J., Miller, D.S., Friedman, G.M. 1973. Incorporation of uranium in modern corals. *Sedimentology* 20: 523-528.
- Andersen, M.B., Stirling, C.H., Potter, E.-K., Halliday, A.N., Blake, S.G., McCulloch, M.T., Ayling, B.F., O'Leary, M.J. 2010. The timing of sea-level high-stands during Marine Isotope Stages 7.5 and 9: Constraints from the uranium-series dating of fossil corals from Henderson Island. *Geochimica Cosmochimica Acta* 74: 3598-3620. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2010.03.020.
- Andersen, M. B., Stirling, C. H., Zimmerman, B., and Halliday, A. N., 2010b. Precise determination of the open ocean ²³⁴U/²³⁸U composition: Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems 11(12): 1-8.
- Andersen, M.B., Stirling, C.H., Potter, E.-K., Halliday, A.N., Blake, S.G., McCulloch, M.T., Ayling, B.F., O'Leary, M. 2008. High-precision U-series measurements of more than 500,000 year old fossil corals. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 265: 229-245.
- Andrews, J.C., Gentien, P. 1982. Upwelling as a source of nutrients for the Great Barrier Reef ecosystems: A solution to Darwin's question. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 8: 257-269.f
- Anthony, K.R.N. 2006. Enhanced energy status of corals on coastal, high-turbidity reefs. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 319: 111-116.

2217 Anthony, K.R.N., Kerswell, A.P. 2007. Coral mortality following extreme low tides and high solar
 2218 radiation. *Marine Biology* 151: 1623-1631.
 2219
 2220 Anthony, K.R.N., Connolly, S.R. 2004. Environmental limits to growth: physiological niche boundaries
 2221 of corals along turbidity-light gradients. *Oecologia* 141(3): 373-384.
 2222
 2223 Anthony, K.R.N., Fabricius, K.E. 2000. Shifting roles of heterotrophy and autotrophy in coral
 2224 energetics under varying turbidity. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 252(2): 221-
 2225 253.
 2226
 2227 Anthony, K.R.N., Hoogenboom, M.O., Connolly, S.R. 2005. Adaptive variation in coral geometry and
 2228 the optimization of internal colony light climates. *Functional Ecology* 19: 17-26.
 2229
 2230 Antonioli F., Chemello R., Improta S., Riggio S. 1999. Dendropoma lower intertidal reef formations
 2231 and their palaeoclimatological significance, NW Sicily. *Marine Geology* 161: 155-170.
 2232
 2233 Armstrong, R.A., Singh, H., Torres, J., Nemeth, R.S., Can, A., Roman, C., Eustice, R., Riggs, L., Garcia-
 2234 Moliner, G. 2006. Characterizing the deep insular shelf coral reef habitat of the Hind Bank Marine
 2235 Conservation District (US Virgin Islands) using the Seabed autonomous underwater vehicle.
 2236 *Continental Shelf Research* 26: 194-205.
 2237
 2238 Aronson, R.B., Precht, W.F. 2001. White-band disease and the changing face of Caribbean coral
 2239 reefs. *Hydrobiologia* 460: 25-38.
 2240
 2241 Atkinson, M.J., Bilger, R.W. 1992 Effects of water velocity on phosphate uptake on coral reefs.
 2242 *Limnology and Oceanography* 37:273-279.
 2243
 2244 Atkinson, M.J., Kotler, E., Newton, P. 1994. Effects of water velocity on respiration, calcification and
 2245 ammonium uptake in a *Porites compressa* community. *Pacific Science* 48:296-303.
 2246
 2247 Atkinson, M.J., Carlson, B., Crow, G.L. 1995. Coral growth in high-nutrient, low-pH seawater: A case
 2248 study of corals cultured at the Waikiki Aquarium, Honolulu, Hawaii. *Coral Reefs* 14: 215-223.
 2249
 2250 Ayling, B.F., McCulloch, M.T., Gagan, M.K., Stirling, C.H., Andersen, M.B., Blake, S.G. 2006. Sr/Ca and
 2251 d18O seasonality in a *Porites* coral from the MIS 9 (339-303 ka) interglacial. *Earth and Planetary*
 2252 *Science Letters* 248: 462-475.
 2253
 2254 Bard, E., Hamelin, B. & Delanghe-Sabatier, D. 2010. Deglacial Meltwater Pulse 1B and Younger Dryas
 2255 Sea Levels Revisited with Boreholes at Tahiti. *Science* 327, 1235-1237, doi:
 2256 10.1126/Science.1180557.
 2257
 2258 Bard, E., Jouannic, C., Hamelin, B., Pirazzoli, P., Arnold, M., Faure, G., Sumosusastro, P., Syaefundin,
 2259 1996a. Pleistocene sea levels and tectonic uplift based on dating of corals from Sumba Island,
 2260 Indonesia. *Geophysical Research Letters* 23(12): 1473-1476.
 2261
 2262 Bard, E., Hamelin, B., Arnold, M., Montaggioni, L., Cabioch, G., Faure, G., Rougerie, F. 1996b.
 2263 Deglacial sea-level record from Tahiti corals and the timing of global meltwater discharge. *Nature*
 2264 382, 241-244.
 2265

2266 Bard, E., Fairbanks, R. G., Hamelin, B., 1992. How accurate are the U–Th ages obtained by mass
 2267 spectrometry on coral terraces. In Kukla, G., Went, E. (Eds.), *Start of a Glacial*. Springer-Verlag,
 2268 Berlin, pp. 15–21.

2269

2270 Bard, E., Fairbanks, R.G., Hamelin, B., Zindler, A., Huang, C.T. 1991. Uranium-234 anomalies in corals
 2271 older than 150,000 years. *Geochimica Cosmochimica Acta* 55: 2385-2390.

2272

2273 Bard E, Hamelin B, Fairbanks R. G., Zindler A. 1990a. Calibration of the 14C timescale over the past
 2274 30,000 years using mass spectrometric U-Th ages from Barbados corals. *Nature* 345: 405-410.

2275

2276 Bard, E., Hamelin, B., Fairbanks, R.G. 1990b. U-Th ages obtained by mass spectrometry in corals from
 2277 Barbados: sea level during the past 130,000 years. *Nature* 346: 456-458.

2278

2279 Bard, E., Hamelin, B., Fairbanks, R.G., Zindler, A., Mathieu, G., Arnold, M. 1990c. U/Th and 14C ages
 2280 of corals from Barbados and their use for calibrating the 14C time scale beyond 9000 years BP.
 2281 *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B52*: 461-468.

2282

2283 Barnes, D. J., Devereux, M. J. 1984. Productivity and calcification on a coral reef: a survey using pH
 2284 and oxygen electrode techniques. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 79: 213-231.

2285

2286 Barnes and Hughes. 1999. *An Introduction to Marine Ecology*; Third edition. Oxford, UK: Blackwell
 2287 Science Ltd. pp. 117-141.

2288

2289 Barnett, T.P. 1984. The estimation of “global” sea level change: A problem of uniqueness. *Journal of*
 2290 *Geophysical Research* 89: 7980-7988.

2291

2292 Bassett, S.E., Milne, G.A., Mitrovica, J.X., Clark, P.U. 2005. Ice Sheet and Solid Earth Influences on
 2293 Far-Field Sea-Level Histories. *Science* 309: 925-928.

2294

2295 Bellwood, D.R., Hughes, T.P., Folke, C. and Nyström, M., 2004. Confronting the coral reef crisis.
 2296 *Nature*, 429(6994), pp.827-833.

2297

2298 Birkeland, C.E. 1977. The importance of rate of biomass accumulation in early successional stages of
 2299 benthic communities to the survival of coral recruits. *Proceedings of the 3rd International Coral Reef*
 2300 *Symposium* 1:15–21

2301

2302 Blake S. G. 1995. Late Quaternary history of Henderson Island, Pitcairn Group. *Biological Journal of*
 2303 *the Linnaean Society* 56: 43-62.

2304

2305 Blanchon, P., Eisenhauer, A. 2001. Multi-stage reef development on Barbados during the Last
 2306 Interglaciation. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 20: 1093-1112.

2307

2308 Blanchon, P., Shaw, J. 1995. Reef drowning during the last deglaciation: Evidence for catastrophic
 2309 sea level rise and ice-sheet collapse. *Geology* 23: 4-8.

2310

2311 Blanchon, P., Eisenhauer, A., Fietzke, J., Liebetrau, V. 2009. Rapid sea-level rise and reef back-
 2312 stepping at the close of the last interglacial highstand. *Nature* 458: 881-885.

2313

2314 Blanchon, P., Jones, B. and Ford, D.C., 2002. Discovery of a submerged relic reef and shoreline off
 2315 Grand Cayman: further support for an early Holocene jump in sea level. *Sedimentary Geology*,
 2316 147(3), pp.253-270.

2317
 2318 Blanchon, P., Jones, B., Kalbfleisch, W. 1997. Anatomy of a fringing reef around Grand Cayman:
 2319 Storm rubble, not coral framework. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 67: 1–16.
 2320
 2321 Bloom, A.L., Jouannic, C., Taylor, F.W. Appendix to Ash, R.P., Carney N., Macfarlane A. 1978. Geology
 2322 of Efate and Offshore Islands, regional report. New Hebrides Geological Survey Vila.
 2323
 2324 Bloom A.L., Broecker W.S., Chappell, J.M.A., Matthews, R.K., Mesolella, K.J. 1974. Quaternary sea
 2325 level fluctuations on a tectonic coast: new $^{230}\text{Th}/^{234}\text{U}$ dates from the Huon Peninsula, New Guinea.
 2326 *Quaternary Research* 4: 185-205.
 2327
 2328 Bongaerts, P., Ridgway, T., Sampayo, E., Hoegh-Guldberg, O. 2010. Assessing the ‘deep reef refugia’
 2329 hypothesis: focus on Caribbean reefs. *Coral Reefs*, 29: 309–327.
 2330
 2331 Bradley, W.C., Griggs, G.B., 1976. Form, genesis, and deformation of central California wave-cut
 2332 platforms. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 87, 433–449.
 2333
 2334 Braithwaite, C.J.R., Montaggioni, L.F., Camoin, G.F., Dalmasso, H., Dullo, W.C., Mangini, A. 2000.
 2335 Origins and development of Holocene coral reefs: A revisited model based on reef boreholes in the
 2336 Seychelles, Indian Ocean. *International Journal of Earth Sciences* 89: 431–445.
 2337
 2338 Brancaccio, L., Capaldi, G., Cinque, A., Pece, R., Sgrosso, I., 1978. ^{230}Th - ^{238}U dating of corals from a
 2339 Tyrrhenian beach in Sorrentine Peninsula (southern Italy). *Quaternaria* 20: 175–183.
 2340
 2341 Brett, C.E. 1990. Destructive taphonomic processes and skeletal durability. In Briggs, D.E.G.,
 2342 Crowther, P.R. (Eds) *Palaeobiology: a synthesis*. Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 223-226.
 2343
 2344 Bridge, T.C.L., Hughes, T.P., Guinotte, J.M., Bongaerts, P. 2013. Call to protect all coral reefs. *Nature*
 2345 *Climate Change* 3: 528-530.
 2346
 2347 Bridge, T.C.L., Fabricius, K.E., Bongaerts, P., Wallace, C.C., Muir, P.R., Done, T.J., Webster, J.M. 2012.
 2348 Diversity of Scleractinia and Octocorallia in the mesophotic zone of the Great Barrier Reef, Australia.
 2349 *Coral Reefs* 31: 179-189.
 2350
 2351 Broecker, W.S. 1963. A preliminary evaluation of uranium series inequilibrium as a tool for absolute
 2352 age measurement on marine carbonates. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 68(9): 2817-2834.
 2353
 2354 Broecker, W.S., Thuber, D.L. 1965. Uranium-series dating of corals and oolites from Bahamian and
 2355 Florida Key Limestones. *Science* 149: 58-60.
 2356
 2357 Broecker, W.S., Thurber, D.L., Goddard, J., Ku, T., Matthews, R.K., Mesolella, K.J. 1968. Milankovitch
 2358 hypothesis supported by precise dating of coral reefs and deep-sea sediments. *Science* 159: 297-
 2359 300.
 2360
 2361 Bruckner and Radtke 1989. Fossile strande und korallenbanke auf Oahu, Hawaii. *Essner Geogr. Arb.*
 2362 17: 291-308 (in Hearty 2002)
 2363
 2364 Bruno, J.F., Selig, E.R., Casey, K.S., Page, C.A., Willis, B.L., Harvell, C.D., Sweatman, H., Melendy, A.M.
 2365 2007. Thermal stress and coral cover as drivers of coral disease outbreaks. *PLoS Biology* 5: 1220–
 2366 1227
 2367

2368 Browne, N.K., Smithers, S.G., Perry, C.T. 2012. Corals reefs of the turbid inner-shelf of the Great
 2369 Barrier Reef, Australia: An environmental and geomorphic perspective on their occurrence,
 2370 composition and growth. *Earth-Science Reviews* 115: 1-20.
 2371

2372 Bruckner and Radtke 1989. Fossile strande und korallenbanke auf Oahu, Hawaii. *Essner Geogr. Arb.*
 2373 17: 291-308 (in Hearty 2002)
 2374

2375 Bruno, J.F., Petes, L.E., Harvell, C.D., Hettinger, A. 2003. Nutrient enrichment can increase the
 2376 severity of coral diseases. *Ecology Letters* 6: 1056–1061.
 2377

2378 Budd, A. F. 2000. Diversity and extinction in the Cenozoic history of Caribbean reefs. *Coral Reefs* 19:
 2379 25-35.
 2380

2381 Budd, A.F., Stemmann, T.A., Johnson, K.G. 1994. Stratigraphic distributions of genera and species of
 2382 Neogene to recent Caribbean reef corals. *Journal of Palaeontology* 68(5): 951-977.
 2383

2384 Budd, A.F., Johnson, K.G., Stemmann, T.A. 1996. Plio-Pleistocene turnover and extinctions in the
 2385 Caribbean reef coral fauna. *Evolution and environment in tropical America* p.168-204.
 2386

2387 Burkepile, D.E., Hay, M.E. 2010. Impact of Herbivore Identity on Algal Succession and Coral Growth
 2388 on a Caribbean Reef. *PLOS ONE*
 2389 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0008963
 2390

2391 Burkepile, D.E., Hay, M.E. 2008. Herbivore species richness and feeding complementarity affect
 2392 community structure and function of a coral reef. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
 2393 of the United States of America (PNAS) 105(42): 16201-16206.
 2394

2395 Burkepile, D.E., Hay, M.E. 2006. Herbivore vs. nutrient control of marine primary producers: Context-
 2396 dependent effects. *Ecology* 87:3128–3139
 2397

2398 Burns, T.P. 1985. Hard coral distribution and cold water disturbances in South Florida: Variation with
 2399 depth and location. *Coral Reefs* 4:117-124.
 2400

2401 Coral Reef Ecosystem Division (CRED), Pacific Island Fisheries Sciences Centre, NOAA National
 2402 Marine Fisheries Service. 2011. CRED Rapid Ecological Assessment of Benthic Habitat Cover in the
 2403 Pacific Ocean 2005-2010. Coral Reef Ecosystem Division, Honolulu, HI. Retrieved from
 2404 <http://www.usgs.gov/obis-usa/>.
 2405

2406 Cabioch, G., Montaggioni, L., Frank, N., Seard, C., Sallé, E., Payri, C., Pelletier, B. and Paterne, M.
 2407 2008. Successive reef depositional events along the Marquesas foreslopes (French Polynesia) since 26
 2408 ka. *Marine Geology* 254: 18-34.
 2409

2410 Cabioch, G., Banks-Cutler, K.A., Beck, W.J., Burr, G.S., Corrège, T., Edwards, R.L. and Taylor, F.W.,
 2411 2003. Continuous reef growth during the last 23 cal kyr BP in a tectonically active zone (Vanuatu,
 2412 SouthWest Pacific). *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 22(15), pp.1771-1786.
 2413

2414 Cabioch, G., Montaggioni, L. F., Faure, G. & Ribaud-Laurenti, 1999. A. Reef coralgal assemblages as
 2415 recorders of paleobathymetry and sea level changes in the Indo- Pacific province. *Quaternary*
 2416 *Science Reviews* 18: 1681–1695.
 2417

2418 Cabioch, G., Montaggioni, L.F., Faure, G., Ribaud-Laurenti, A. 1999b. Reef coralgal assemblages as
2419 recorders of paleobathymetry and sea level changes in the Indo-Pacific province. *Quaternary Science*
2420 *Reviews* 18(14): 1681-1695.

2421

2422 Cabioch, G., Montaggioni, L.F., Faure, G. 1995. Holocene initiation and development of New
2423 Caledonian fringing reefs, SW Pacific. *Coral Reefs* 14: 131-140.

2424

2425 Camoin, G. and Webster, J., 2014. Coral Reefs and Sea-Level Change. In *Earth and Life Processes*
2426 *Discovered from Subseafloor Environment—a Decade of Science Achieved by the Integrated Ocean*
2427 *Drilling Program (IODP). Series Developments in Marine Geology* (pp. 395-441). Elsevier
2428 Amsterdam/New York.

2429

2430 Camoin, G., Seard, C., Deschamps, P., Webster, J.M., Abbey, E., Braga, J.C., Iryu, Y., Durand, N., Bard.,
2431 E., Hamelin, B., Yokoyama, Y., Thomas, A.L., Henderson., G.M., Dussouillez, P. 2012. Reef response to
2432 sea-level and environmental changes during the last deglaciation: Integrated Ocean Drilling Program
2433 Expedition 310, Tahiti Sea Level. *Geology* 40: 643-646.

2434

2435 Camoin, G., Iryu, Y., McInroy, D. and the IODP Expedition 310 Scientists, E. 2007. IODP Expedition
2436 310 reconstructs sea-Level, climatic and environmental changes in the South Pacific during the Last
2437 Deglaciation. *Scientific Drilling* 5: 4-12.

2438

2439 Camoin G.F., Montaggioni, L.F., Braithwaite, C.J.R. 2004. Late glacial to post glacial sea levels in the
2440 Western Indian Ocean. *Marine Geology* 206: 119-146.

2441

2442 Camoin, G.F., Ebren, P., Eisenhauer, A., Bard, E., Faure, G. 2001. A 300 000-yr coral reef record of sea
2443 level changes, Mururoa atoll (Tuamotu archipelago, French Polynesia). *Palaeogeography,*
2444 *Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 175: 325-341.

2445

2446 Camoin, G.F., Gautret, P., Montaggioni, L.F., and Cabioch, G., 1999. Nature and environmental
2447 significance of microbialites in Quaternary reefs: the Tahiti paradox. *Sedimentary Geology* 126:271–
2448 304. doi:10.1016/S0037-0738(99)00045-7.

2449

2450 Camoin, G.F., Colonna, M., Montaggioni, L.F., Casanova, J., Faure, G., Thomassin, B.A. 1997.
2451 Holocene sea level changes and reef development in the southwestern Indian Ocean. *Coral Reefs* 16:
2452 247-259

2453

2454 Cairns, S. D. 1999. Species richness of recent Scleractinia. Atoll Research Bulletin, Report Number
2455 459, 46 pp.

2456

2457 Carpenter, R.C. 1986. Partitioning herbivory and its effects on coral reef algal communities.
2458 *Ecological Monographs* 56: 345-363.

2459

2460 Carpenter, K.E., Abrar, M., Aeby, G., Aronson, R.B., Banks, S., Bruckner, A., Chiriboga, A., Cortés, J.,
2461 Delbeek, J.C., DeVantier, L., Edgar, G.J., Edwards, A.J., Fenner, D., Guzmán, H.M., Hoeksema, B.W.,
2462 Hodgson, G., Johan, O., Licuanan, W.Y., Livingstone, S.R., Lovell, E.R, Moore, J.A., Obura, D.O.,
2463 Ochavillo, D., Polidoro, B.A., Precht, W.F., Quibilan, M.C., Reboton, C., Richards, Z.T., Rogers, A.D.,
2464 Sanciangco, J., Sheppard, A., Sheppard, C., Smith, J., Stuart, S., Turak, E., Veron, J.E.N., Wallace, C.,
2465 Weil, E., Wood, E. 2008. One-Third of Reef-Building Corals Face Elevated Extinction Risk from Climate
2466 Change and Local Impacts. *Science* 321: 560-563.

2467

2468 Cervino, J., Goreau, T.J., Nagelkerken, I., Smith, G.W., Hayes, R. 2001. Yellow band and dark spot
 2469 syndromes in Caribbean corals: distribution, rate of spread, cytology, and effects on abundance and
 2470 division rate of zooxanthellae. *Hydrobiologia* 460: 53-63.
 2471
 2472 Chalker, B.E. 1981. Simulating Light-Saturation Curves for Photosynthesis and Calcification by Reef-
 2473 Building Corals. *Marine Biology* 63:135-141
 2474
 2475 Chappell, J.M. 1973. Geology of coral terraces on Huon Peninsula, New Guinea. PhD thesis, The
 2476 Australian National University. Map.
 2477
 2478 Chappell, J. and Polach, H., 1991. Post-glacial sea-level rise from a coral record at Huon Peninsula,
 2479 Papua New Guinea.
 2480
 2481 Chappell, J., Omura, A., Esat, T., McCulloch, M., Pandolfi, J., Ota, Y., Pillans, B. 1996. Reconciliation of
 2482 late Quaternary sea levels derived from coral terraces at Huon Peninsula with deep sea oxygen
 2483 isotope records. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 141: 227-236.
 2484
 2485 Chappell, J., 1974. Geology of coral terraces, Huon Peninsula, New Guinea: a study of Quaternary
 2486 tectonic movements and sea-level changes. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 85(4): 553-570.
 2487
 2488 Chave, K.E. 1962. Factors influencing the mineralogy of carbonate sediments. *Limnology and*
 2489 *Oceanography* 7:218-233.
 2490
 2491 Chazottes, V., Le Campion-Alsumard, T., Peyrot-Clausade, N., Cuët, P. 2002. The effects of
 2492 eutrophication-related alterations to coral reef communities on agents and rates of bio-erosion,
 2493 Reunion Island, Indian Ocean. *Coral Reefs* 21: 375–390.
 2494
 2495 Chen, J.H., Curran, H.A., White, B., Wasserburg, G.J. 1991. Precise chronology of the last interglacial
 2496 period: ^{234}U - ^{230}Th data from fossil coral reefs from the Bahamas. *Geological Society of America*
 2497 *Bulletin* 103: 82-97.
 2498
 2499 Chen, J.H., Edwards R.L., Wasserburg, G.J. 1986. ^{238}U , ^{234}U and ^{232}Th in seawater. *Earth and Planetary*
 2500 *Science Letters* 80: 241-251.
 2501
 2502 Cheng, H., Edwards, R.L., Shen, C.-C., Polyak, V.J., Asmerom, Y., Woodhead, J., Hellstrom, J., Wang,
 2503 Y., Kong, X., Spötl, C., Wang, X., Alexander Jr., E. 2013. Improvements in ^{230}Th dating, ^{230}Th and
 2504 ^{234}U half-life values, and U–Th isotopic measurements by multi-collector inductively coupled
 2505 plasma mass spectrometry. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 371-317: 82-91.
 2506
 2507 Cheng, H., Edwards, R.L., Hoff, J., Gallup, C.D., Richards, D.A., Asmerom, Y. 2000. The half-lives of
 2508 uranium-234 and thorium-230. *Chemical Geology* 169: 17-33.
 2509
 2510 Chisholm, J.R.M. 2003. Primary productivity of reef-building crustose coralline algae. *Limnology and*
 2511 *Oceanography* 48:1376-387.
 2512
 2513 Chiu, T.-C., Fairbanks, R.G., Mortlock, R.A., Bloom, A.L. 2005. Extending the radiocarbon calibration
 2514 beyond 26,000 years before present using fossil corals. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 24: 1797–1808.
 2515
 2516 Clark, P.U., Mitrovica, J.X., Milne, G.A., Tamisiea, M.E. 2002. Sea-Level Fingerprinting as a Direct Test
 2517 for the Source of Global Meltwater Pulse 1A. *Science* 295: 2438-2441.
 2518

2519 Cobb, M.M., Charles, D.D., Cheng, H., Kastner, M., Edwards, R.L. 2003a. U/Th-dating living and young
 2520 corals from the central tropical Pacific. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 210: 91-103.
 2521
 2522 Cobb, K.M., Charles, C.D., Cheng, H. and Edwards, R.L. 2003b. El Nino/Southern Oscillation and
 2523 tropical Pacific climate during the last millennium. *Nature* 424: 271
 2524
 2525 Cohen, A.L., McConnaughey, T.A. 2003. Geochemical perspectives on coral mineralization. *Reviews*
 2526 *in Mineralogy and Geochemistry* 54: 151–187.
 2527
 2528 Coles, S.L., Jokiel, P.L. 1992. Effects of salinity on corals. *In* Connell, D.W., Hawker, D.W. (Eds.)
 2529 Pollution in tropical aquatic systems. CRC p. 147–166
 2530
 2531 Collier, R.L., Leeder, M.R., Rowe, P.J. and Atkinson, T.C., 1992. Rates of tectonic uplift in the Corinth
 2532 and Megara basins, central Greece. *Tectonics*, 11(6), pp.1159-1167.
 2533
 2534 Collins L.B., Zhao J.X., Freeman H. 2006. A high precision record of mid-late Holocene sea-level
 2535 events from emergent coral pavements in the Houtman Abrolhos Islands, southwest Australia.
 2536 *Quaternary International* 145-146: 78-85.
 2537
 2538 Collins, L.B., Zhu, Z.R., Wyrwoll, K.-H., Eisenhauer, A. 2003. Late Quaternary structure and
 2539 development of the northern Ningaloo Reef, Australia. *Sedimentary Geology* 159: 81–94.
 2540
 2541 Colonna, M., Casanova, J., Dullo, W.-C., Camoin, G. 1996. Sea-level changes and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ record for the
 2542 past 34,000 yr from Mayotte Reef, Indian Ocean. *Quaternary Research* 46: 335-339.
 2543
 2544 Connell, J.H. 1978. Diversity in tropical rain forests and coral reefs. *Science* 199: 1302-1310.
 2545
 2546 Cooper, T. F., Uthicke, S., Humphrey, C., Fabricius, K.E. 2007. Gradients in water column nutrients,
 2547 sediment parameters, irradiance and coral reef development in the Whitsunday Region, central
 2548 Great Barrier Reef. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 74(3): 458-470.
 2549
 2550 Cornell, H.V., Karlson, R.H. 2000. Coral species richness: ecological versus biogeographical influences.
 2551 *Coral Reefs* 19: 37-49.
 2552
 2553 Coyne, M. K., Jones, B. & Ford, D. 2006. Highstands during Marine Isotope Stage 5: evidence from the
 2554 Ironshore Formation of Grand Cayman, British West Indies. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 26, 536-559,
 2555 doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2006.06.013.
 2556
 2557 Creveling, J.R., Mitrovica, J.X., Hay, C.C., Auermann, J., Kopp, R.E. 2015. Revisiting tectonic
 2558 corrections applied to Pleistocene sea-level highstands. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 111: 72-80.
 2559
 2560 Cross and Cross, 1983. U, Sr and Mg in Holocene and Pleistocene corals. *Journal of Sedimentary*
 2561 *Petrology* 53(2): 587-594.
 2562
 2563 Cutler K.B., Gray S.C., Burr G.S., Edwards R.L., Taylor F.W., Cabioch G., Beck J.W., Cheng H., Moor J.
 2564 2004. Radiocarbon calibration and comparison to 50 kyr BP with paired ^{14}C and ^{230}Th dating of
 2565 corals from Vanuatu and Papua New Guinea. *Radiocarbon* 46: 1127-1160.
 2566
 2567 Cutler, K. B., Edwards, R. L., Taylor, F.W., Cheng, H., Adkins, J., Gallup, C. D., Cutler, P. M., Burr, G. S.,
 2568 Bloom, A. L., 2003. Rapid sea level fall and deep ocean temperature change since the last interglacial
 2569 period. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 206: 253–271.

2570
2571 D'Elia, C.F., Wiebe, W.J.W. 1990. Biogeochemical nutrient cycles in coral-reef ecosystems, *In*
2572 Dubinsky, Z. (Ed.), *Ecosystems of the world*, v. 25, Coral Reefs. Elsevier. p. 49–74.
2573
2574 Darwin, C. 1874. The structure and distribution of coral reefs. Third edition. Appleton and Co., New
2575 York. pp. 344.
2576
2577 Delanghe, D., Bard, E., Hamelin, B. 2002. New TIMS constraints on the uranium-238 and uranium-
2578 234 in seawaters from the main ocean basins and the Mediterranean Sea. *Marine Chemistry* 80: 79-
2579 93.
2580
2581 Deschamps P., Durand N., Bard E., Hamelin B., Camoin G., Thomas A.L., Henderson G.M., Okuno J.,
2582 Yokoyama Y. 2012. Ice-sheet collapse and sea-level rise at the Boling warming 14600 years age.
2583 *Nature* 483: 559-564.
2584
2585 DeVantier, L.M., De'ath, G., Turak, E., Done, T., Fabricius, K.E. 2006. Species richness and community
2586 structure of reef building corals on the near shore reefs of the Great Barrier Reef. *Coral Reefs* 25:
2587 329–340.
2588
2589 Dia, A.N., Cohen, A.S., O'nions, R.K. and Jackson, J.A., 1997. Rates of uplift investigated through 230
2590 Th dating in the Gulf of Corinth (Greece). *Chemical Geology*, 138(3), pp.171-184.
2591
2592 Dia, A.N., Cohen, A.S., O'Nions, R.K. and Shackleton, N.J., 1992. Seawater Sr isotope variation over
2593 the past 300 kyr and influence of global climate cycles. *Nature*, 356, pp.786-788.
2594
2595 Dickinson, W.R., 2001. Paleoshoreline record of relative Holocene sea levels on Pacific Islands. *Earth-*
2596 *Science Reviews* 55, 191–234.
2597
2598 Dodge, R. E., Fairbanks, R. G., Benninger, L. K. & Murrasse, F. 1983. Pleistocene Sea Levels from
2599 Raised Coral Reefs of Haiti. *Science* 219: 1423-1425.
2600
2601 Done, T. 2011. Coral reef, definition. *In* Hopley, D. (Ed) *Encyclopaedia of modern coral reefs:*
2602 *structure form and process*. Springer, Berlin, pp 261–267.
2603
2604 Donovan, S.K., Harper, D.A.T. 2005. The geology of Barbados: a field guide. *Caribbean Journal of*
2605 *Earth Science* 38: 21-33.
2606
2607 Dullo, W.-C. 2005. Coral growth and reef growth: a brief review. *Facies* 51: 33-48.
2608
2609 Dullo, W.-C., Montaggioni, L.F. 1998. Modern Red Sea coral reefs: A review of their morphologies
2610 and zonation. *In* Purser, B.H., Bosence, D.W.J. (Eds), *Sedimentation and tectonics in rift basins: Red*
2611 *Sea–Gulf of Aden*. Chapman & Hall, London. pp. 583-594.
2612
2613 Dunham, R.J. 1962. Classification of carbonate rocks according to depositional texture. *Memoirs of*
2614 *the American Association of Petroleum Geologists* 1:108–121.
2615
2616 Durand, N., Deschamps, P., Bard, E., Hamelin, B., Camoin, G., Thomas, A.L., Henderson, G.M.,
2617 Yokoyama, Y. and Matsuzaki, H., 2013. Comparison of 14C and U-Th ages in corals from IODP# 310
2618 cores offshore Tahiti. *Radiocarbon*, 55(4), pp.1947-1974.
2619

2620 Dustan, P. 1982. Depth-dependent photoadaptation by zooxanthellae of the reef coral *Montastraea*
 2621 *annularis*. *Marine Biology* 68:253-264
 2622
 2623 Düsterhus, A., Rovere, A., Carlson, A. E., Barlow, N. L. M., Bradwell, T., Dutton, A., Gehrels, R.,
 2624 Hibbert, F. D., Hijma, M. P., Horton, B. P., Klemann, V., Kopp, R. E., Sivan, D., Tarasov, L. and
 2625 Törnqvist, T. E. (2016) Palaeo sea-level and ice-sheet databases: problems, strategies and
 2626 perspectives. *Climate of the Past*, 12: 1-11.
 2627
 2628 Dutton, A. 2015. Uranium-thorium dating. In Shennan, I., Long, A.J., Horton, B.P. *Handbook of Sea-*
 2629 *Level Research* Wiley, London p. 386.
 2630
 2631 Dutton, A., Lambeck, K. 2012. Ice volume and sea level during the last interglacial. *Science* 337: 216-
 2632 219.
 2633
 2634 Edinger, E.N., Pandolfi, J.M., Kelley, R.A. 2001. Community structure of Quaternary coral reefs
 2635 compared with Recent life and death assemblages. *Paleobiology* 27(4): 669-694.
 2636
 2637 Edinger, E.N., Burr, G.S., Pandolfi, J.M., Ortiz, J.C. 2007. Age accuracy and resolution of Quaternary
 2638 corals used as proxies for sea level. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 253:37-49.
 2639
 2640 Edwards, R.L., Cutler, K.B., Cheng, H. & Gallup, C.D. (2003a) Geochemical evidence for Quaternary
 2641 sea-level changes. *Treatise on Geochemistry*. Elsevier, pp. 343-364.
 2642
 2643 Edwards, R.L., Gallup, C.D., Cheng, H., 2003b. Uranium-series dating of marine and lacustrine
 2644 carbonates, in: Bourdon, B., Henderson, G.M., Lundstrom, C.C., Turner, S.P. (Eds.), *Uranium-series*
 2645 *geochemistry*. Mineralogical Society of America, Washington, D.C., pp. 363-406
 2646
 2647 Edwards R.L., Beck J.W., Burr G.S., Donahue D.J., Chappell J.M.A., Bloom A.L., Druffel E.R.M., Taylor
 2648 F.W. 1993. A large drop in atmospheric $^{14}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ and reduced melting in the Younger Dryas,
 2649 documented with ^{230}Th ages of corals. *Science* 260: 962-967.
 2650
 2651 Edwards, R. L., Cheng, H., Murrell, M. T., Goldstein, S. J., 1997. Protactinium-231 dating of
 2652 carbonates by thermal ionization mass spectrometry: Implications for Quaternary climate change.
 2653 *Science* 276: 782-786.
 2654
 2655 Edwards, R.L., Taylor, F.W., Wasserburg, G.J., 1988. Dating earthquakes with high-precision thorium-
 2656 230 ages of very young corals. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 90(4): 371-381.
 2657
 2658 Edwards, R.L., Chen, J.H., Ku, T.-L., Wasserburg, G.T. 1987b. Precise Timing of the Last Interglacial
 2659 Period from Mass Spectrometric Determination of Thorium-230 in Corals. *Science* 236: 1547-1553.
 2660
 2661 Edwards, R.L., Chen, J.H., Wasserburg, G.J. 1987. ^{238}U - ^{234}U - ^{230}Th - ^{232}Th systematics and the
 2662 precise measurement of time over the past 500,000 years. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 81:
 2663 175–192.
 2664
 2665 Eggins S.M., Grun R., McCulloch M.T., Pike A.W.G., Chappell J., Kinsley L., Mortimer G., Shelley M.,
 2666 Murray-Wallace C.V., Spotl C., Taylor L. 2005. In situ U-series dating by laser-ablation multi-collector
 2667 ICPMS: new prospects for Quaternary geochronology. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 24: 2523–2538.
 2668

2669 Eisenhauer, A., Zhu, Z.R., Collins, L.B., Wyrwoll, K.H., Eichsttter, R. 1996. The Last Interglacial sea
2670 level change: new evidence from the Abrolhos islands, West Australia. *Geologische Rundschau* 85:
2671 606-614.
2672
2673 Eisenhauer, A., Wasserburg, G.J., Chen, J.H., Bonani, G., Collins, L.B., Zhu, Z.R., and Wyrwoll, K.H.,
2674 1993. Holocene sea-level determination relative to the Australian continent: U/Th TIMS and ¹⁴C
2675 (AMS) dating of corals from the Abrolhos Islands. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 114, 529-547.
2676
2677 Esat T.M, Yokoyama Y. 2006. Variability of uranium isotopic composition of the oceans over glacial-
2678 interglacial timescales. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 70: 4140-4150.
2679
2680 Esat, T., McCulloch, M.T., Chappell, J., Pillans, B., Omura, A. 1999. Rapid fluctuations in sea level
2681 recorded at Huon Peninsula during the penultimate deglaciation. *Science* 283: 197-201.
2682
2683 Fadil, A., Sichoix, L., Barriot, J.P., Ortga, P. and Willis, P., 2011. Evidence for a slow subsidence of the
2684 Tahiti Island from GPS, DORIS, and combined satellite altimetry and tide gauge sea level records.
2685 *Comptes Rendus Geoscience*, 343(5), pp.331-341.
2686
2687 Fairbanks, R. G. 1989. A 17,000-year glacio-eustatic sea level record: Influence of glacial melting
2688 rates on the Younger Dryas event and deep-ocean circulation. *Nature* 342: 637-642.
2689
2690 Fairbanks, R. G., Mortlock, R.A., Chiu, T.-C., Cao, L., Kaplan, A., Guilderson, T.P., Fairbanks, T.W.,
2691 Bloom, A.L., Grootes, P.M., Nadeau, M.-KJ. 2005. Radiocarbon calibration curve spanning 0 to 50,000
2692 years BP based on paired Th-230/U-234/U-238 and C-14 dates on pristine corals. *Quaternary Science*
2693 *Reviews* 24, 1781-1796, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2005.04.007.
2694
2695 Faichney, I.D.E., Webster, J.M., Clague, D.A., Paduan, J.B., Fullagar, P.D. 2010. Unravelling the tilting
2696 history of the submerged reefs surrounding Oahu and the Maui-Nui Complex, Hawaii. *Geochemistry,*
2697 *Geophysics, Geosystems* 11(7): DOI: 10.1029/2010GC003044
2698
2699 Farrell W.E., Clark J.A. 1976. On postglacial sea level, *Geophysical Journal of the Royal Astronomical*
2700 *Society* 46: 647–667.
2701
2702 Flor and Moore, 1977. Radium/calcium and uranium/calcium determinations for western Atlantic
2703 corals. *Proc. 3rd International Coral reef symposium*. Miami 2: 555-561.
2704
2705 Frank, N., Turpin, L., Cabioch, G., Blamart, D., Tressens-Fedou, M., Colin, C., Jean-Baptiste, P. 2006.
2706 Open system U-series ages of corals from a subsiding reef in New Caledonia: Implications for sea
2707 level changes, and subsidence rate. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 249: 274-289.
2708
2709 Fricke, H.W., Vareschi, E., Schlichter, D. 1987. Photoecology of the coral *Leptoseris fragilis* in the Red
2710 Sea twilight zone (an experimental study by submersible). *Oecologia* 73:371–381
2711
2712 Friedman, 1968. Geology and geochemistry of reefs, carbonate sediments and waters, Gulf of
2713 Aqaba. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 38: 895-919.
2714
2715 Fruijtier, C., Elliott, T., Schlager, W. 2000. Mass-spectrometric ²³⁴U-²³⁰Th ages from the Key Largo
2716 Formation, Florida Keys, United States: Constraints on diagenetic age disturbance. *Geological Society*
2717 *of America Bulletin* 112: 267-277.
2718

2719 Galewsky, J., Silver, E.A., Gallup, C.D., Edwards, R.L. and Potts, D.C., 1996. Foredeep tectonics and
 2720 carbonate platform dynamics in the Huon Gulf, Papua New Guinea. *Geology*, 24(9), pp.819-822.
 2721
 2722 Gallup, C. D., Cheng, H., Taylor, F.W., Edwards, R.L., 2002. Direct determination of the timing of sea
 2723 level change during Termination II. *Science* 295: 310–313.
 2724
 2725 Gallup, C.D., Edwards, R.L., Johnson, R.G. 1994. The timing of high sea levels over the past 200,000
 2726 years. *Science* 263: 796–800.
 2727
 2728 Geister, J. 1977. The influence of wave exposure on the ecological zonation of Caribbean coral reefs.
 2729 *Proceedings of the Third International Coral Reef Symposium, Miami* 1: 23–29.
 2730
 2731 Gerrodette, T. 1979. Equatorial submergence in a solitary coral, *Balanophyllia elegans*, and the
 2732 critical life stage excluding the species from shallow water in the south. *Marine Ecology Progress*
 2733 *Series* 1: 227-235.
 2734
 2735 Gischler, E., Hudson, J.H. 2004. Holocene development of the Belize Barrier Reef. *Sedimentary*
 2736 *Geology* 164: 223-236.
 2737
 2738 Gong, S-Y., Wu, T-R., Siringan, F.P, Lin, K., Shen, C-C. 2013. An abrupt backreef infilling in a Holocene
 2739 reef, Paraoir, Northwestern Luzon, Philippines. *Coral Reefs* 32: 293-303.
 2740
 2741 Goreau, T.F. 1959. The ecology of Jamaican coral reefs I. Species composition and zonation. *Ecology*
 2742 40:67-90.
 2743
 2744 Goreau, T.F., Wells, J.W. 1967. The shallow-water Scleractinia of Jamaica: revised list of species and
 2745 their vertical distribution ranges. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 17: 442-453.
 2746
 2747 Greenstein, B.J., Pandolfi, J.M. 2008. Escaping the heat: rang shifts of reef coral taxa in coastal
 2748 Western Australia. *Global Change Biology* 14: 513-528.
 2749
 2750 Greenstein, B.J., Pandolfi, J.M. 2003. Taphonomic alteration of reef corals: effects of reef
 2751 environment and coral growth form II: The Florida Keys. *Palaios* 18: 495-509.
 2752
 2753 Greenstein, B.J., Harris, L.A., Curran, H.A. 1998a. Comparison of recent coral life and death
 2754 assemblages to Pleistocene reef communities: Implications for rapid faunal replacement observed
 2755 on modern reefs. *Carbonates and Evaporites*, 13, 23–31.
 2756
 2757 Greenstein, B.J., Curran, H.A., Pandolfi, J.M. 1998b. Shifting ecological baselines and the demise of
 2758 *Acropora cervicornis* in the western North Atlantic and Caribbean province: a Pleistocene
 2759 perspective. *Coral Reefs* 17: 249-261.
 2760
 2761 Grigg, R.W., 1998, Holocene coral reef accretion in Hawaii: a function of wave exposure and sea level
 2762 history. *Coral Reefs* 17: 263-272.
 2763
 2764 Grossman, E.E., Fletcher, C.H. 2004. Holocene reef development where wave energy reduces
 2765 accommodation space, Kailua Bay, Windward Oahu, Hawaii, U.S.A. *Journal of Sedimentary Research*
 2766 74: 49-63.
 2767
 2768 Grün, R., Radtke, U., Omura, A. 1992. ESR and U-series analyses on corals from Huon Peninsula, New
 2769 Guinea. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 11: 197-202.

2770
 2771 Gvirtman et al., 1973. Control and distributions of uranium in coral reefs during diagenesis. *Journal*
 2772 *of Sedimentary Petrology* 43: 985-997.
 2773
 2774 Haapkyla, J., Unsworth, R.K.F., Flavell, M., Bourne, D.G., Schaffelke, B., Willis, B.L. 2011. Seasonal
 2775 rainfall and runoff promote coral disease on an inshore reef. *PLoS ONE* 6(2): e16893.
 2776
 2777 Hallock, P. 1988. The role of nutrient availability in bioerosion: Consequences to
 2778 carbonate buildups. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 63: 275-291.
 2779
 2780 Hallock, P., Schlager, W. 1986. Nutrient excess and the demise of coral reefs and carbonate
 2781 platforms. *Palaios* 1:389-398.
 2782
 2783 Hamelin, B., Bard, E., Zindler, A., Fairbanks, R.G. 1991. $^{234}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$ mass spectrometry of corals: How
 2784 accurate is the U-Th age of the last interglacial period? *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 106: 169-
 2785 180.
 2786
 2787 Harmon R.S., Mitterer R.M., Kriausakul N., Land L.S., Schwarcz H.P., Garrett P., Larson G.J., Vacher
 2788 H.L., Rowe M. 1983. U-series and amino-acid racemization geochronology of Bermuda: Implications
 2789 for eustatic sea-level fluctuation over the past 250,000 years. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,*
 2790 *Palaeoecology* 44: 41-70.
 2791
 2792 Harriott, V.J., Banks, S.A. 2002. Latitudinal variation in coral communities in eastern
 2793 Australia: A qualitative biophysical model of factors regulating coral reefs. *Coral Reefs* 21: 83–94.
 2794
 2795 Harvell, C.D., Jordan-Dahlgren, E., Merkel, S., Rosenberg, E., Raymundo, L., Smith, G., Weil, E., Willis,
 2796 B.L. 2007. Coral disease, environmental drivers, and the balance between coral and microbial
 2797 associates. *Oceanography* 20: 172–195.
 2798
 2799 Harvell, C.D., Mitchell, C.E., Ward, J.R., Altizer, S., Dobson, A.P., Ostfeld, R.S., Samuel, M.D. 2002.
 2800 Ecology - Climate warming and disease risks for terrestrial and marine biota. *Science* 296: 2158–
 2801 2162.
 2802
 2803 Hearn, C.J., Atkinson, M.J., Falter, J.L. 2001. A physical derivation of nutrient-uptake rates in coral
 2804 reefs: effects of roughness and waves. *Coral Reefs* 20:347–356.
 2805
 2806 Hearty P.J. 2002. The Ka'ena Highstand of O'ahu, Hawai'i: Further evidence of Antarctic ice collapse
 2807 during the Middle Pleistocene. *Pacific Science* 56(1): 65-81.
 2808
 2809 Hearty, P.J. 1997. Boulder deposits from large waves during the last interglaciation on North
 2810 Eleuthera Island, Bahamas. *Quaternary Research* 48: 326-338.
 2811
 2812 Hearty, P.J., Hollin, J.T., Neumann, A.C., O'Leary, M.J., McCulloch, M. 2007. Global sea-level
 2813 fluctuations during the Last Interglaciation. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 26: 2090-2112.
 2814
 2815 Hearty, P.J., Kindler, P., Cheng, H., Edwards, R.L. 1999. A +20 m middle Pleistocene sea-level
 2816 highstand (Bermuda and the Bahamas) due to partial collapse of Antarctic ice. *Geology* 27(4): 375-
 2817 378.
 2818
 2819 Henderson, G.M. 2002. Seawater ($^{234}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$) during the last 800 thousand years. *Earth and*
 2820 *Planetary Science Letters* 199: 97-110.

2821
2822 Henderson, G.M., Cohen, A.S., O’Nions, R.K. 1993. $^{234}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$ ratios and ^{230}Th ages for Hateruma Atoll
2823 corals: implications for coral diagenesis and seawater $^{234}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$ ratios. *Earth and Planetary Science*
2824 *Letters* 115: 65-73.
2825
2826 Hill, D.F., Griffiths, S.D., Peltier, W.R., Horton, B.P., Tornqvist T.E. 2011. High-resolution numerical
2827 modelling of tides in the western Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico, and Caribbean Sea during the Holocene.
2828 *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans* 116: C10.
2829
2830 Hinderstein, L.M., Marr, J.C.A., Martinez, F.A., Dowgiallo, M.J., Puglise, K.A., Pyle, R.L., Zawada, D.G.,
2831 Appeldoorn, R. 2010. Theme section on “Mesophotic Coral Ecosystems: Characterization, Ecology,
2832 and Management”. *Coral Reefs* 29: 247-251.
2833
2834 Hinton, A.C. 1996. Tides in the northeast Atlantic: considerations for modelling water depth changes.
2835 *Quaternary Science Reviews* 15: 873–894.
2836
2837 Hocking, R.M., Moors, H.T., van de Graaff, J.E. 1897. Geology of the Carnarvon Basin Western
2838 Australia. Geological Survey of Western Australian Bulletin 133. Department of Mines, Western
2839 Australia.
2840
2841 Hoffmann D.L., Spoetl C., Mangini A. 2009. Micromill and in-situ laser ablation sampling techniques
2842 for high spatial resolution MC-ICPMS U-Th dating of carbonates. *Chemical Geology* 259: 253–261.
2843
2844 Hopley, D. 1994. Continental Shelf reef systems. In Carter, R.W.G., Woodroffe, C.D. (Eds). *Coastal*
2845 *Evolution: Late Quaternary Shoreline Morphodynamics*. Combridge University Press pp 303-340.
2846
2847 Hopley, D., Smithers, S.G., Parnell, K.E. 2007. *The geomorphology of the Great Barrier Reef:*
2848 *development, diversity and change*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom
2849
2850 Hubbard, D.K. 1997. Reefs as Dynamic Systems. In Birkeland, C. (Ed) *Life and Death of Coral Reefs*.
2851 Chapman and Hall pp 43-67.
2852
2853 Hubbard, D.K. 1992. Hurricane-induced sediment transport in open-shelf tropical systems: An
2854 example from St. Croix, U.S Virgin Islands. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 62: 946–960.
2855
2856 Hubbard, D.K., Miller, A.I., Scaturo, D. 1990. Production and cycling of calcium carbonate in a shelf-
2857 edge reef system (St. Croix, US Virgin Islands): applications to the nature of reef systems in the fossil
2858 record. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 60: 335–360.
2859
2860 Hughes, T.P., 1999. Off-reef transport of coral fragments at Lizard Island, Australia. *Marine Geology*,
2861 157(1), pp.1-6.
2862
2863 Hughes, T.P., Tanner, J.E. 2000. Recruitment failure, life histories, and long-term decline of
2864 Caribbean corals. *Ecology* 81: 2250-2263.
2865
2866 Hughes, T.P., Baird, A.H., Bellwood, D.R., Card, M., Connolly, S.R., Folke, C., Grosberg, R., Hoegh-
2867 Guldberg, O., Jackson, J.B.C., Kleypas, J., Jough, J.M., Nyström, M., Palumbi, S.R., Pandolfi, J.M.,
2868 Rosen, B., Roughgarden, J. 2003. Climate change, human impacts, and the resilience of coral reefs.
2869 *Science* 301: 929-933.
2870

2871 Hughes, T.P., Rodrigues, M.J., Bellwood, D.R., Ceccarelli, D., Hoegh-Guldberg, O., McCook, L.,
 2872 Moltschaniwskyj, N., Pratchett, M.S., Steneck, R.S. and Willis, B., 2007. Phase shifts, herbivory, and
 2873 the resilience of coral reefs to climate change. *Current Biology*, 17(4), pp.360-365.
 2874
 2875 Hunter, I.G., Jones, B. 1996. Coral associations of the Pleistocene Ironshore formation, Grand
 2876 Cayman. *Coral Reefs* 15: 249-267.
 2877
 2878 Huston, M.A. 1985. Patterns of Species Diversity on Coral Reefs. *Annual Review of Ecology and*
 2879 *Systematics* 16: 149-177.
 2880
 2881 Hutchings, P.A. 1986. Biological destruction of coral reefs: a review. *Coral Reefs* 4: 239-252.
 2882
 2883 Iglesias-Prieto, R., Matta, J.L., Robbins, W.A., Trench, R.K. 1992. Photosynthetic response to elevated
 2884 temperature in the symbiotic dinoflagellate *Symbiodinium microadriaticum* in culture. *Proceedings*
 2885 *of the National Academy of Sciences* 89(21):10302-10305.
 2886
 2887 Insalaco, E. 1998. The descriptive nomenclature and classification of growth fabrics in fossil
 2888 scleractinian reefs. *Sedimentary Geology* 118: 159–186.
 2889
 2890 Iryu, Y., Takahashi, Y., Fujita, K., Camoin, G., Cabioch, G., Matsuda, H., Sato, T., Sugihara, K., Webster,
 2891 J.M., Westphal, H. 2010. Sea level history recorded in the Pleistocene carbonate sequence in IODP
 2892 Hole 310-M0005D, off Tahiti. *Island Arc* 19: 690-706.
 2893
 2894 Israelson C, Wohlfarth B. 1999. Timing of the Last Interglacial high sea level on the Seychelles
 2895 Islands, Indian Ocean. *Quaternary Research* 51: 306–316
 2896
 2897 Jackson, J.B.C. 1992. Pleistocene perspectives on coral reef community structure. *American Zoologist*
 2898 36(2): 719-731.
 2899
 2900 Jarrett, B.D., Hine, A.C., Halley, R.B., Naar, D.F., Locker, S.D., Neumann, A.C., Twichell, D., Hu, C.,
 2901 Donahue, B.T., Jaap, W.C., Palandro, D., Ciembronowicz, K. 2005. Strange bedfellows—a deep-water
 2902 hermatypic coral reef superimposed on a drowned barrier island; southern Pulley Ridge, SW Florida
 2903 platform margin. *Marine Geology* 214: 295–307.
 2904
 2905 Johannes, R.E., Wiebe, W.J., Crossland, C.J., Rimmer D.W., Smith, S.V. 1983. Latitudinal limits of coral
 2906 growth. *Marine Ecological Progress Series* 11:105-111.
 2907
 2908 Jompa, J., McCook, L.J. 2002. Effects of competition and herbivory on interactions between a hard
 2909 coral and a brown alga. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 271: 25-39.
 2910
 2911 Jouannic, C., Taylor, F.W., Bloom, A.L., Bernat, M., 1980. Late Quaternary uplift history from
 2912 emerged reef terraces on Santo and Malekula, Central New Hebrides island arc. UN ESCAP, CCOP/
 2913 SOPAC Technical Bulletin 3, 91–108.
 2914
 2915 Kahng, S.E., Kelley, C.D. 2007. Vertical zonation of megabenthic taxa on a deep photosynthetic reef
 2916 (50–140 m) in the Au’au Channel, Hawaii. *Coral Reefs* 26: 679–687.
 2917
 2918 Kahng, S.E., Maragos, J.E. 2006. The deepest zooxanthellate, scleractinian corals in the world? *Coral*
 2919 *Reefs* 25:254.
 2920

2921 Kahng, S.E., Garcia-Sais, J.R., Spalding, H.L., Brokovich, E., Wagner, D., Weil, E., Hinderstein, L.,
 2922 Toonen, R.J. 2010. Community ecology of mesophotic coral ecosystems. *Coral Reefs* 29: 255-275.
 2923
 2924 Karlson, R.H., Cornall, H.V., Hughes, T.P. 2004. Coral communities are regionally enriched along an
 2925 oceanic biodiversity gradient. *Nature* 429: 867-870.
 2926
 2927 Kayanne, H., Yamano, H., Randall, R.H. 2002. Holocene sea-level changes and barrier reef formation
 2928 on an ocean island, Palau Islands, western Pacific. *Sedimentary Geology* 150: 47-60.
 2929
 2930 Keating, B.H., Helsey, C.E. 2002. The ancient shorelines of Lanai, Hawaii, revisited. *Sedimentary*
 2931 *Geology* 150: 3-15.
 2932
 2933 Keith, S.A., Baird, A.H., Hughes, T.P., Madin, J.S., Connolly, S.R. 2013. Faunal breaks and species
 2934 composition of Indo-Pacific corals: the role of plate tectonics, environment and habitat distribution.
 2935 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 280: 20130818.
 2936
 2937 Kench, P., Smithers, S., McLean, R., Nichol, S. 2009. Holocene reef growth in the Maldives: Evidence
 2938 of a mid-Holocene sea-level highstand in the central Indian Ocean. *Geology* 37: 455–458.
 2939
 2940 Kendall, R. A., Mitrovica, J. X. & Milne, G. A. 2005. On post-glacial sea level – II. Numerical
 2941 formulation and comparative results on spherically symmetric models. *Geophysical Journal*
 2942 *International* 161: 679–706.
 2943
 2944 Kennedy, D., Woodroffe, C.D. 2004. Carbonate sediments of Elizabeth and Middleton Reefs close to
 2945 the southern limits of reef growth in the southwest Pacific. *Australian Journal of Earth Sciences* 51:
 2946 847-857.
 2947
 2948 Kennedy D.M., Marsters, T.H., Woods J.L.D., Woodroffe C.D. 2012. Shore platform development on
 2949 an uplifting limestone island over multiple sea-level cycles, Niue, South Pacific. *Geomorphology* 141-
 2950 142:170-182.
 2951
 2952 Kiessling, W., Simpson, C., Beck, B., Mewis, H., Pandolfi, J.M. 2012. Equatorial decline of reef corals
 2953 during the last Pleistocene interglacial. *PNAS* 109(52): 21378-21383.
 2954
 2955 Kidwell, S.M., Flessa, K.W. 1995. The quality of the fossil record, *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution*
 2956 *and Systematics* 26: 269–299.
 2957
 2958 Kidwell S.M., Holland, S.M. 2002. The quality of the fossil record: implications for evolutionary
 2959 analyses. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* 33: 561–588.
 2960
 2961 Kleypas, J.A. 1997. Modelled estimates of of global reef habitat and carbonate production since the
 2962 last glacial maximum. *Paleoceanography* 12: 533-545.
 2963
 2964 Kleypas, J.A. 1996. Coral reef development under naturally turbid conditions: fringing reefs near
 2965 Broad Sound, Australia. *Coral Reefs* 15: 153-167.
 2966
 2967 Kleypas, J.A., Danabasoglu, G., Lough, J.M. 2008. Potential role of the ocean thermostat in
 2968 determining regional differences in coral reef bleaching events. *Geophysical Research Letters* 35:
 2969 L03613, doi:10.1029/2007GL032257
 2970

2971 Kleypas, K.A., McManus, J.W., Menez, L.A.B. 1999. Environmental limits to coral reef development:
 2972 where do we draw the line? *American Zoology* 39: 146-159.
 2973
 2974 Knowlton, N., Jackson, J.B.C. 1994. Taxonomy and niche partitioning on coral reefs: jack of all trades
 2975 or master of some? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 9(1): 7-9.
 2976
 2977 Knowlton, N., Lang, J.C., Keller, B. 1990. Case study of natural population collapse: post-hurricane
 2978 predation on Jamaica staghorn corals. *Smithson Contributions to the Marine Sciences* 31: 1–25.
 2979
 2980 Kopp, R.E., Simons, F.J., Mitrovica, J.X., Maloof, A.C., Oppenheimer, M. 2013. A probabilistic
 2981 assessment of sea level within the last interglacial stage. *Geophysical Journal International* 193(2):
 2982 711-716.
 2983
 2984 Kopp, R.E., Simons, F.J., Mitrovica, J.X., Maloof, A.C., Oppenheimer, M. 2009. Probabilistic
 2985 assessment of sea level during the last interglacial stage. *Nature* 462: 863-867.
 2986
 2987 Kowalewski, M. 1996. Time-averaging, overcompleteness, and the quality of the fossil record.
 2988 *Journal of Geology* 104: 317-326.
 2989
 2990 Kowalewski, M., Bambach, R.K. 2003. The limits of paleontological resolution. In Harries, P.J. (Ed.),
 2991 *High Resolution Approaches in Stratigraphic Palaeontology, Topics in Geobiology Series*, vol. 21,
 2992 Plenum Press, New York, 2003, pp. 1–48.
 2993
 2994
 2995 Kuffner, I.B., Walters, L.J., Becerro, M.A., Paul, V.J., Ritson-Williams, R., K.S. Beach, K.S. 2006.
 2996 Inhibition of coral recruitment by macroalgae and cyanobacteria. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*
 2997 323:107–117.
 2998
 2999 La Parguera, Puerto Rico Benthic Composition and Monitoring Data (2002 - Present) (NOAA-CCMA).
 3000 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association (NOAA)-National Ocean Service (NOS)-National
 3001 Centres for Coastal Ocean Science (NCCOS)-Centre for Coastal Monitoring and Assessment (CCMA)-
 3002 Biogeography Team Publication_Date: 200703 Title: La Parguera, Puerto Rico Benthic Composition
 3003 and Monitoring Data (2002 - Present) Publication_Information: Publication_Place: Silver Spring, MD
 3004 Publisher: NOAA's Ocean Service, National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science (NCCOS)
 3005
 3006 Lambeck, K., Chappell, J. 2001. Sea level change through the Last Glacial cycle. *Science* 292: 679-686.
 3007
 3008 Lambeck, K., Rouby, H., Purcell, A., Sun, Y., Sambridge, M. 2014. Sea level and global ice volumes
 3009 from the Last Glacial Maximum to the Holocene. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of*
 3010 *the United States of America* 111(43): 15296-15303.
 3011
 3012 Larcombe, P., Costen, A., Woolfe, K.J. 2001. The hydrodynamic and sedimentary setting of nearshore
 3013 coral reefs, central Great Barrier Reef shelf, Australia: Paluma Shoals, a case study. *Sedimentology*
 3014 48(4): 811-835.
 3015
 3016 Lazar, B., Enmar, R., Schossberger, M., Bar-Matthews, M., Halicz, L., Stein, M., 2004. Diagenetic
 3017 effects on the distribution of uranium in live and Holocene corals from the Gulf of Aqaba.
 3018 *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 68(22): 4583-4593.
 3019
 3020 Le Roy, I. 1994. Ph. D. Thesis, University of Paris XI, pp. 271, (1994)
 3021

3022 Leeder, M.R., McNeill, L.C., Collier, R.E.L., Portman, C., Rowe, P.J., Andrews, J.E., Gawthorpe, R. 2003.
 3023 Rift margin uplift: new evidence from late Quaternary marine shorelines, Corinth rift, Greece.
 3024 *Geophysical Research Letters* 30: 1611–1614.
 3025
 3026 Leichter, J.J., Genovese, S.J. 2006. Intermittent upwelling and subsidised growth of the scleratinian
 3027 coral *madarcis mirabilis* on the deep for-reef of Discovery Bay, Jamaica. *Marine Ecology Progress*
 3028 *Series* 316: 95-103.
 3029
 3030 Leichter, J.J., Stewart, H.L., Miller, S.L. 2003. Episodic nutrient transport to Florida coral reefs.
 3031 *Limnology and Oceanography* 48(4): 1394-1407.
 3032
 3033 Lesser, M.P., Slaterry, M., Leichter, J.J. 2009. Ecology of mesophotic coral reefs. *Journal of*
 3034 *Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 375: 1-8.
 3035
 3036 Liddell, W.D., Avery, W.B., Ohlhorst, S.L. 1997. Patterns of benthic community structure, 10-250 m,
 3037 the Bahamas. *Proceedings of the Eight International Coral Reef Symposium* 1: 437-442.
 3038
 3039 Lidz, B.H., Shinn, E.A., Hine, A.C., Locker, S.D. 1997. Contrasts within an outlier reef system: Evidence
 3040 for differential Quaternary evolution, South Florida windward
 3041 margin, U.S.A. *Journal of Coastal Research* 13: 711-731.
 3042
 3043 Lighty, R.G., Macintyre, I.G., Stuckenrath, R. 1982. *Acropora palmata* reef framework: a reliable
 3044 indicator of sea-level in the western Atlantic for the past 10,000 years. *Coral Reefs* 1: 125–130.
 3045
 3046 Lighty, R.G., Macintyre, I.G., Stuckenrath, R. 1978. Submerged early Holocene barrier reef, southeast
 3047 Florida shelf. *Nature* 276: 59-60.
 3048
 3049 Lirman, D., Orlando, B., Maciá, S., Manzello, D., Kaufman, L., Biber, P., Jones, P. 2003. Coral
 3050 communities of Biscayne Bay, Florida and adjacent offshore areas: diversity, abundance, distribution
 3051 and environmental correlates. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Systems* 13: 121-135.
 3052
 3053 Lisiecki, L. E., Raymo, M.E. 2005. A Pliocene-Pleistocene stack of 57 globally distributed benthic $\delta^{18}\text{O}$
 3054 records. *Palaeoceanography* 20(1): PA1003, doi:10.1029/2004PA001071.
 3055
 3056 Littler, M.M., Littler, D.S., Blair, S.M., Norris, J.N. 1985. Deepest known plant life discovered on an
 3057 uncharted seamount. *Science* 227: 57–59.
 3058
 3059 Littler, M.M., Littler, D.S., Blair, S.M., Norris, J.N. 1986. Deep-water plant communities from an
 3060 uncharted seamount off San Salvador Island, Bahamas: distribution, abundance, and primary
 3061 productivity. *Deep Sea Research* 33: 881–892.
 3062
 3063 Livingstone and Thompson, 1971. Trace element concentrations in some modern corals. *Limnology*
 3064 *and Oceanography* 16: 786-796.
 3065
 3066 Loya, Y. 1972. Community structure and species diversity of hermatypic corals at Eilat, Red Sea.
 3067 *Marine Biology* 13: 100-123
 3068
 3069 Loya, Y. 1976. Effects of water turbidity and sedimentation on the community structure of Puerto
 3070 Rican corals. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 26: 450–466.
 3071

3072 Ludwig, K. R. 2003. Isoplot: A Geochronological Toolkit for Microsoft Excel. Berkeley Geochronology
 3073 Centre Special Publication No. 5.
 3074
 3075 Ludwig, K. R., Muhs, D. R., Simmons, K. R., Halley, R. B. & Shinn, E. A. 1996. Sea-level records at ~80
 3076 ka from tectonically stable platforms: Florida and Bermuda. *Geology* 24, 211-214.
 3077
 3078 Ludwig, K.R., Szabo, B.J., Moore, J.G., Simmons, K.R. 1991. Crustal subsidence rate off Hawaii
 3079 determined from $^{234}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$ ages of drowned coral reefs. *Geology* 19: 171-174.
 3080
 3081 Macintyre, I.G., Pilkey, O.H. 1969. Tropical reef corals: tolerance of low temperatures on the North
 3082 Carolina Continental Shelf. *Science* 166: 374-375.
 3083
 3084 Macintyre, I.G., Glynn, P.W., Steneck, R.S. 2001. A classic Caribbean algal ridge, Holandes Cays,
 3085 Panama: An algal coated storm deposit. *Coral Reefs* 20: 95–105.
 3086
 3087 Mallela, J., Perry, C.T. 2007. Calcium carbonate budgets for two coral reefs affected by different
 3088 terrestrial runoff regimes, Rio Bueno, Jamaica. *Coral Reefs* 26: 129-145.
 3089
 3090 Maragos, J.E. 1974. Coral community on a seaward reef slope, Fanning Island. *Pacific Science* 28:
 3091 257-278.
 3092
 3093 Maragos, J.E., Jokiel, P.L. 1986. Reef corals of Johnston Atoll—one of the World's most isolated reefs.
 3094 *Coral Reefs* 4: 141–150.
 3095
 3096 Marks, K.W. 2007. Atlantic and Gulf Rapid Reef Assessment - Benthic. AGRRA Database, version
 3097 (05/2007). Australian Institute of Marine Science. <http://www.aims.gov.au/index.html>
 3098
 3099 Marshall, J.F., Davies, P.J. 1982. Internal structure and Holocene evolution of One Tree Reef,
 3100 Southern Great Barrier Reef. *Coral Reefs* 1:21-28.
 3101
 3102 Marubini, F., Davies, P.S. 1996. Nitrate increases zooxanthellae population density and reduces
 3103 skeletogenesis in corals. *Marine Biology* 127: 319–328.
 3104
 3105 Marubini F, Barnett H, Langdon C, Atkinson MJ. 2001. Dependence of calcification on light and
 3106 carbonate ion concentration for the hermatypic coral *Porites compressa* *Marine Ecology Progress*
 3107 *Series* 220:153-162.
 3108
 3109 Maxwell, W.G.H., Swinchart, J.P. 1970. Great Barrier Reef: regional variation in a terrigenous-
 3110 carbonate province. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 81: 691–724.
 3111
 3112 McClanahan, T.R., Muthiga, N.A. 1988. Changes in Kenyan coral reef community structure and
 3113 function due to exploitation. *Hydrobiologia* 166: 269-276.
 3114
 3115 McCook, L.J., Jompa, J., Diaz-Pulido, G. 2001. Competition between corals and algae on coral reefs: a
 3116 review of evidence and mechanisms. *Coral Reefs* 19: 400-417.
 3117
 3118 McCulloch, M.T., Mortimer, G.E. 2008. Applications of the ^{238}U – ^{230}Th decay series to dating of fossil
 3119 and modern corals using MC-ICPMS. *Australian Journal of Earth Science* 55(6): 955-965.
 3120

3121 McGregor, H.V. and Gagan, M.K., 2003. Diagenesis and geochemistry of Porites corals from Papua
3122 New Guinea: implications for paleoclimate reconstruction. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*,
3123 67(12): 2147-2156.
3124
3125 McGregor, H.V., Hellstrom, J., Fink D., Hua Q., Woodroffe C.D. 2011. Rapid U-series dating of young
3126 fossil corals by laser ablation MC-ICPMS. *Quaternary Geochronology* 6: 195–206.
3127
3128 McMurtry G.M., Campbell J.F., Fryer G.J., Fietzke J. 2010. Uplift of Oahu, Hawaii, during the past 500
3129 k.y. as recorded by elevated reef deposits. *Geology* 38(1): 27-30. (data - GSA data repository item
3130 2010005, table DR1)
3131
3132 Medina-Elizalde, M. 2012. A global compilation of coral sea-level benchmarks: Implications and new
3133 challenges. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 362: 310-318.
3134
3135 Mesolella, K.J. 1967. Zonation of the uplifted Pleistocene coral reefs on Barbados, West Indies.
3136 *Science* 196: 638–640.
3137
3138 Mesolella, K.J. 1968. The Uplifted Reefs of Barbados: physical Stratigraphy, Facies Relationships and
3139 Absolute Chronology. Unpublished PhD Thesis, Brown University, Rhode Island.
3140
3141 Mesolella K J, Matthews R K, Broecker W S, Thurber D L. 1969, The astronomical theory of climatic
3142 change: Barbados data. *The Journal of Geology* 77: 250-274.
3143
3144 Miller, J., Muller, E., Rogers, C., Waara, R., Atkinson, A., Whelan, K.R.T., Patterson, M., Witcher, B.
3145 2009. Coral disease following massive bleaching in 2005 causes 60% decline in coral cover on reefs in
3146 the US Virgin Islands. *Coral Reefs* 28: 925-937.
3147
3148 Milne, G.A., Mitrovica, J.X. 1998. Postglacial sea level change on a rotating Earth. *Geophysical*
3149 *Journal International* 133(1): 1-19.
3150
3151 Min, G.R., Edwards, R.L., Taylor, F.W., Recy, J., Gallup, C.D., Beck, J.W. 1995. Annual cycles of U/Ca in
3152 coral skeletons and U/Ca thermometry. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 59: 2025–2042.
3153
3154 Mitrovica, J.X., Milne, G.A. 2003. On post-glacial sea level: I. General theory. *Geophysical Journal*
3155 *International* 154(2): 253-267.
3156
3157 Moberg F., Nystrom, M., Kautsky, N., Tedengren, M., Jarayabhand, P. 1997. Effects of reduced
3158 salinity on the rates of photosynthesis and respiration in the hermatypic corals *Porites lutea* and
3159 *Pocillopora damicornis*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 157: 53–59.
3160
3161 Montaggioni, L.F. 2005. History of Indo-Pacific coral reef systems since the last glaciation:
3162 Development patterns and controlling factors. *Earth Science Reviews* 71: 1-75.
3163
3164 Montaggioni, L.F., 1988. Holocene reef growth history in mid-plate high volcanic islands. Proceedings
3165 of the 6th International Coral Reef Symposium Vol. 3: 455-460.
3166
3167 Montaggioni L.F., Braithwaite, C.J.R. 2009. Quaternary Coral Reef Systems: History, Development
3168 Processes and Controlling Factors. *Developments in Marine Geology* v. 5: 1-532.
3169
3170 Montaggioni, L.F., Faure, G. 1997. Response of reef coral communities to sea-level rise: a Holocene
3171 model from Mauritius (Western Indian Ocean). *Sedimentology* 44(6): 1053-1070.

3172
3173 Montaggioni LF, Hoang CT. 1988. The last interglacial high sea level in the granitic Seychelles, Indian
3174 Ocean. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 64: 79-91.
3175
3176 Montaggioni, L.F., Cabioch, G., Camoinau, G.F., Bard, E., Ribaud-Laurenti, A., Faure, G., Déjardin, P.,
3177 Récy, J. 1997. Continuous record of reef growth over the past 14 k.y. on the mid-Pacific island of
3178 Tahiti. *Geology* 25(6): 555-582.
3179
3180 Moore, J.G., Campbell, J.F. 1987. Age of tilted reefs, Hawaii. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 92:
3181 2641–2646.
3182
3183 Moore, J.G., Clague, D.A. 1992. Volcano growth and evolution of the island of Hawaii. *Bulletin of the*
3184 *Geological Society of America* 104(11): 1471-1484.
3185
3186 Moore, J.G., Moore, G.W., 1984. Deposit from a giant wave on the island of Lanai, Hawaii. *Science*
3187 226: 1312–1314.
3188
3189 Moore, G.W., Moore, J.G., 1988. Large scale bed forms in boulder gravel produced by giant waves in
3190 Hawaii. *Geological Society of America Special Papers* 229: 101-110.
3191
3192 Morton, J.E., Chalis, D.A. 1969. The Biomorphology of Solomon Islands Shore with a Discussion of
3193 Zoning Patterns and Ecological Terminology. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of*
3194 *London. Series B, Biological Sciences* 255 (800): 459-516.
3195
3196 Moucha, R., Fortea, A. M., Mitrovica, J. X., Rowley, D. B., Quéré, S., Simmons, N. A., Grand, S. P.
3197 2008. Dynamic topography and long-term sea-level variations: There is no such thing as a stable
3198 continental platform. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 271: 101-108.
3199
3200 Muhs, D.R., Szabo, B.J. 1994. New uranium-series ages of the Waimanalo Limestone, Oahu, Hawaii:
3201 Implications for sea level during the last interglacial period. *Marine Geology* 118: 315-326.
3202
3203 Muhs, D.R., Szabo, B.J. 1982. Uranium series age for the Eel Point terrace, San Clemente Island,
3204 California. *Geology* 10(1): 23-26.
3205
3206 Muhs, D.R., Simmons, K.R., Meco, J., Porat, N. 2015. Uranium-series ages of fossil corals from
3207 Mallorca, Spain: The “Neotyrhenian” high stand of the Mediterranean Sea revisited.
3208 *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 438: 408-424.
3209
3210 Muhs, D.R., Meco, J., Simmons, K.R. 2014. Uranium-series of coral, sea level history and
3211 palaeozoogeography, Canary Islands, Spain: An exploratory study for two Quaternary interglacial
3212 periods. *Pelaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology; Palaeoecology* 394: 99-118.
3213
3214 Muhs, D.R., Pandolfi, J.M., Simmons, K.R., Schumann, R.R. 2012a. Sea-level history of past
3215 interglacial periods from uranium-series dating of corals, Curaçao, Leeward Antilles islands.
3216 *Quaternary Research* 78: 157-169.
3217
3218 Muhs, D.R., Simmons, K.R., Schumann, R.R., Groves, L.T., Mitrovica, J.X., Laurel, D. 2012b. Sea-level
3219 history during the Last Interglacial complex on San Nicolas Island, California: Implications for glacial
3220 isostatic adjustment processes, paleozoogeography and tectonics. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 37: 1-
3221 25
3222

3223 Muhs, D.R., Simmons, K.R., Schumann, R.R., Halley, R.B. 2011. Sea-level history of the past two
3224 interglacial periods: new evidence from U-series dating of reef corals from south Florida. *Quaternary*
3225 *Science Reviews* 30: 570-590.
3226
3227 Muhs, D.R., Simmons, K.R., Kennedy, G.L., Ludwig, K.R., Groves, L.T. 2006. A cool eastern Pacific
3228 Ocean at the close of the Last Interglacial complex. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 25: 235-262.
3229
3230 Muhs, D.R., Simmons, K.R., Steinke, B., 2002a. Timing and warmth of the Last Interglacial period:
3231 new U-series evidence from Hawaii and Bermuda and a new fossil compilation for North America.
3232 *Quaternary Science Reviews* 21: 1355–1383.
3233
3234 Muhs, D.R., Simmons, K.R., Kennedy, G.L., Rockwell, T.K. 2002b. The last interglacial period on the
3235 Pacific Coast of North America: Timing and paleoclimate. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*
3236 114(5): 569-592.
3237
3238 Muhs DR, Kennedy GL, Rockwell TK. 1994. Uranium series ages of marine terrace corals from the
3239 Pacific coast of North America and implication for Last Interglacial sea level history. *Quaternary*
3240 *Research* 42: 72-87.
3241
3242 Muir, P.R., Wallace, C.C., Done, T., Aguirre, J.D. 2015. Limited scope for latitudinal extension of reef
3243 corals. *Science* 348: 1135-1138.
3244
3245 Multer, H.G., Gischler, E., Lundberg, J., Simmons, K.R., Shinn, E.A. 2002. Key Largo Limestone
3246 revisited: Pleistocene shelf-edge facies, Florida Keys, USA. *Facies* 46: 229-271.
3247
3248 Muthiga, N.A., Szmant, A.M. 1987. The effects of salinity stress on the rates of aerobic respiration
3249 and photosynthesis in the hermatypic coral *S. sidereal*. *Biological Bulletin* 173: 539–551.
3250
3251 Mylroie, J.E. 2007. Late Quaternary sea-level position: Evidence from Bahamian carbonate
3252 deposition and dissolution cycles. *Quaternary International* 183: 61-75.
3253
3254 Neumann, A.C., Macintyre, I.G. 1985. Reef response to sea level rise: Keep-up, catch-up or give-up.
3255 *Proceedings of the 5th International Coral Reef Congress, Tahiti* 3: 105-110.
3256
3257 Nugues, M.M., Smith, G.W., van Hooidek, R.J., Seabra, M.I., Bak, R.P.M. 2004. Algal contact as a
3258 trigger for coral disease. *Ecology Letters* 7(10): 919-923.
3259
3260 Nunn PD, Ollier C, Hope G, Rodda P, Omura A, Peltier WR. 2002. Late Quaternary sea-level and
3261 tectonic changes in northeast Fiji. *Marine Geology* 187: 299-311.
3262
3263 OBIS (2014). Data from the Ocean Biogeographic Information System. Intergovernmental
3264 Oceanographic Commission of UNESCO. Web. <http://www.iobis.org> (last consulted on 2014/03/21).
3265
3266 O'Leary, M.J., Hearty, P.J., Thompson, W.J., Raymo, M.E., Mitrovica, J.X., Webster, J.M. 2013. Ice
3267 sheet collapse following a prolonged period of stable sea level during the last interglacial. *Nature*
3268 *Geoscience* 6: 796-800.
3269
3270 O'Leary, M.J., Hearty, P.J., McCulloch, M.T. 2008a. U-series evidence for widespread reef
3271 development in Shark Bay during the last interglacial. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,*
3272 *Palaeoecology* 259: 424-435.
3273

3274 O'Leary, M.J., Hearty, P.J., McCulloch, M.T. 2008b. Geomorphic evidence of major sea-level
 3275 fluctuations during marine isotope substage-5e, Cape Cuvier, Western Australia. *Geomorphology*
 3276 102: 595-602.
 3277
 3278 Ortlieb, L., 1987, Néotectonique et variations du niveau marin au Quaternaire dans la région du Golfe
 3279 de Californie, Mexique: Paris, Institut Français de Recherche Scientifique pour le Développement en
 3280 Coopération, Collection Études et Thèses, 1036 p.
 3281
 3282 Ortiz, J.C., Gomez-Cabrera, M.C., Hoegh-Guldberg, O. 2009. Effect of colony size and surrounding
 3283 substrate on corals experiencing a mild bleaching effect on Heron Island reef flat (southern Great
 3284 Barrier Reef, Australia). *Coral Reefs* 28: 999-1003.
 3285
 3286 Ota, Y., Chappell, J. 1996. Late Quaternary coseismic uplift events on the Huon Peninsula, Papua
 3287 New Guinea, deduced from coral terrace data. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 101(B3):
 3288 6071–6082.
 3289
 3290 Ota, Y., Chappell, J., Kelley, R., Yonekura, N., Matsumoto, E., Nishimura, T., Head, J. 1993. Holocene
 3291 Coral Reef Terraces and Coseismic Uplift of Huon Peninsula, Papua New Guinea. *Quaternary*
 3292 *Research* 40(2): 177-188.
 3293
 3294 Ota, Y., Matsushima Y, Moriwaki H. 1983. Notes on the Holocene sea-level study in Japan. *Quat. Res.*
 3295 (Daiyonki-Kenkyu), 21 (1982), pp. 133–143
 3296
 3297 Page, C.A., Willis, B.L. 2008. Epidemiology of skeletal eroding band on the Great Barrier Reef and the
 3298 role of injury in the initiation of this widespread coral disease. *Coral Reefs* 27:257–272.
 3299
 3300 Pandolfi, J.M. 1992. A review of the tectonic history of New Guinea and its significance for marine
 3301 biogeography. *Proceedings of the Seventh International Coral Reef Symposium (Guam)* 2: 718-728.
 3302
 3303 Pandolfi, J.M. 1996. Limited membership in Pleistocene reef coral assemblages from the Huon
 3304 Peninsula, Papua New Guinea: constancy during global change. *Paleobiology* 22: 152-176.
 3305
 3306 Pandolfi, J.M. 1999. Response of Pleistocene coral reefs to environmental change over long
 3307 temporal scales. *American Zoologist* 39: 113–130.
 3308
 3309 Pandolfi, J.M. 2007. A new, extinct Pleistocene reef coral from the *Montastraea "annularis"* species
 3310 complex. *Journal of Paleontology* 81(3): 472-282.
 3311
 3312 J. Pandolfi and J. Chappell, in: Study on Coral Reef Terraces of the Huon Peninsula. Papua New
 3313 Guinea, Y. Ota, ed., pp.119-139, Geography Dept., Senshu Univ., Kawasaki, Japan, 1994
 3314
 3315 Pandolfi, J.M., Michin, P.R. 1995. A comparison of taxonomic diversity between reef coral life and
 3316 death assemblages in Madang Lagoon, Papua, New Guinea. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 119: 321-341.
 3317
 3318
 3319 Pandolfi, J.M., Greenstein, B.J. 1997a. Taphonomic alteration of reef corals: effects of reef
 3320 environment and coral growth form. I. The Great Barrier Reef. *Palaios* 12: 27-42.
 3321
 3322 Pandolfi, J.M., Jackson, J.B.C. 2007. Broad-scale patterns in Pleistocene coral reef communities from
 3323 the Caribbean: Implications for ecology and management. In Aronson, R.B. (Ed.), *Geological*
 3324 *approaches to coral reef ecology*. Springer, New York, pp. 201-236.

3325
3326 Pandolfi, J.M., Jackson, J.B.C. 2006. Ecological persistence interrupted in Caribbean coral reefs.
3327 *Ecology Letters* 9: 818–826.
3328
3329 Pandolfi, J.M., Jackson, J.B.C. 2001. Community structure of Pleistocene coral reefs of Curaçao,
3330 Netherlands Antilles. *Ecological Monographs* 71: 49–67.
3331
3332 Pandolfi, J.M., Jackson, J.B.C., Geister, J. 2001. Geologically sudden natural extinction of two
3333 widespread Late Pleistocene Caribbean reef corals. In Jackson, J.B.C., Lidgard, S., McKinney, F.K.
3334 (eds) *Process from Pattern in the Fossil Record*. University of Chicago Press p. 120-158.
3335
3336 Panuska, B.C., Boardman, M.R., Carew, J.L., Mylroie, J.E., Sealey, N.E., Voegeli, V. 2002. *Eleuthera*
3337 *Island field trip Guide, 11th Symposium on the Geology of the Bahamas and Other Carbonate*
3338 *Regions*. Gerace Research Center, San Salvador Island, Bahamas pp 20.
3339
3340 Peltier, W.R. 2004. Global glacial isostasy and the surface of the ice-age earth: The ICE-5G (VM2)
3341 model and GRACE. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences* 32: 111-149.
3342
3343 Peltier W.R., Fairbanks, R.G. 2006. Global ice volume and Last Glacial Maximum duration from an
3344 extended Barbados sea-level record. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 25: 3322–3337.
3345
3346 Perrin, C., Bosence, D., Rosen, B. 1995. Quantitative approaches to palaeozonation and
3347 palaeobathymetry of corals and coralline algae in Cenozoic reefs. *Marine Palaeoenvironmental*
3348 *Analysis from Fossils*, Geological Society Special Publication No. 83, pp. 181-229.
3349
3350 Perry, C.T., Smithers, S.G. 2011. Cycles of coral reef ‘turn-on’, rapid growth and ‘turn-off’ over the
3351 past 8500 years: a context for understanding modern ecological states and trajectories. *Global*
3352 *Change Biology* 17(1): 76-86.
3353
3354 Perry, C.T., Smithers, S.G., Palmer, S.E., Larcombe, P., Johnson K.G. 2008. 1200 year paleoecological
3355 record of coral community development from the terrigenous inner shelf of the Great Barrier Reef.
3356 *Geology* 36: 691–694.
3357
3358 Pineda, J. 1991. Predictable upwelling and the shoreward transport of planktonic larvae by internal
3359 tidal bores. *Science* 253: 548-559.
3360
3361 Pirazzoli, P.A. and Montaggioni, L.F., 1988. Holocene sea-level changes in French Polynesia.
3362 *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 68(2-4), pp.153-175.
3363
3364 Pirazzoli P.A., Radtke U., Hantoro W.S., Jouannic C., Hoang C.T., Causse C., and Borel Best M. 1993. A
3365 one million-year-long sequence of marine terraces on Sumba Island, Indonesia. *Marine Geology*
3366 109:221-236.
3367
3368 Pirazzoli, P. A., U. Radtke, W. S. Hantoro, C. Jouannic, C. T. Hoang, C. Causse, M. Borel Best. 1991.
3369 Quaternary raised coral reef terraces on Sumba island, Indonesia. *Science*, 252, 1834-1836.
3370
3371 Plaziat, J.C., Baltzer, F., Choukri, A., Conchon, O., Freytet, P., Orszag-Sperber, F., Raguideau, A., Reyss,
3372 J.-L. 1998. Quaternary marine and continental sedimentation in the northern Red Sea and Gulf of
3373 Suez (Egyptian coast): Influences of rift tectonics, climatic changes and sea-level fluctuations. In
3374 Purser, B.H., Bosence, D.W.J. (Eds), *Sedimentation and tectonics in Rift Basins: Red Sea–Gulf of Aden*.
3375 Chapman & Hall, London. pp. 537-573.

3376
3377 Poole, A.J., Shimmield, G.B., Roberston, A.H.F., 1990. Late Quaternary uplift of the Toodos ophiolite,
3378 Cyprus: uranium-series dating of Pleistocene coral. *Geology* 18: 894–897.
3379
3380 Porter, J.W., Lewis, S.K., Porter, K.G. 1999. The effect of multiple stressors on the Florida Keys coral
3381 reef ecosystem: A landscape hypothesis and a physiological test.
3382 *Limnology and Oceanography* 44: 941–949.
3383
3384 Potter E-K., Esat T.M., Schellmann G., Radtke U., Lambeck K., McCulloch M.T. 2004. Suborbital-
3385 period sea-level oscillations during marine isotope substages 5a and 5c. *Earth and Planetary Science*
3386 *Letters* 225: 191-204.
3387
3388 Purdy, E.G., 1974, Karst-determined facies patterns in British Honduras: Holocene carbonate
3389 sedimentation model: American Association of Petroleum Geologists, Bulletin 58: 825–855
3390
3391 Purdy, E.G., Gischler, E., Lomando, A.J. 2003. The Belize margin revisited. 2. Origin
3392 of Holocene antecedent topography. *International Journal of Earth Sciences* 92: 552-572.
3393
3394 Radtke, U., Schellmann, G. 2006 Uplift history along the Clermont Nose traverse on the west coast of
3395 Barbados during the last 500,000 years-implications for paleo-sea level reconstructions. *Journal of*
3396 *Coastal Research* 22: 350–356.
3397
3398 Radtke, U., Grün, R., Omura, A., Mangini, A. 1996 The Quaternary coral reef tracts of Hateruma,
3399 Ryuku Islands, Japan. *Quaternary International* 31: 61-70.
3400
3401 Rasser, M.W., Riegl, B. 2002. Holocene coral reef rubble and its binding agents. *Coral Reefs* 21: 57–
3402 72
3403
3404 Reed J.K. 2006. Deep-water Oculina reefs of Florida: summary of the state of knowledge of the
3405 habitat, fauna, geology, and physical processes of the ecosystem. A Report to the South Atlantic
3406 Fishery Management Council, Oculina Evaluation Team Workshop, August 21-23, 2006. Port
3407 Canaveral, Florida.
3408
3409 Reed, J.K. 2002. Deep-water Oculina coral reefs of Florida: biology, impacts, and management.
3410 *Hydrobiologia*, 471: 43 – 55
3411
3412 Reed, J.K. 1985. Deepest distribution of Atlantic hermatypic corals discovered in the Bahamas. In:
3413 *Proceedings of the 5th international coral reef symposium*, vol 6, pp 249–254.
3414
3415 Reed, J.K. 1980. Distribution and Structure of Deep-water Oculina varicosa Coral Reefs Off Central
3416 Eastern Florida. *Bulletin of Marine Science*, 30(3): 667-677.
3417
3418 Reimer, P.J., Bard, E., Bayliss, A., Beck, J. W., Blackwell, P. G., Bronk Ramsey, C., Brown, D. M., Buck,
3419 C. E., Edwards, R. L., Friedrich, M., Grootes, P. M., Guilderson, T. P., Hafliðason, H., Hajdas, I., Hatté,
3420 C., Heaton, T. J., Hogg, A. G., Hughen, K. A., Kaiser, K. F., Kromer, B., Manning, S. W., Reimer, R. W.,
3421 Richards, D. A., Scott, M. E., Southon, J. R., Turney, C. S. M., van der Plicht, J. 2013. Selection and
3422 treatment of data for radiocarbon calibration: An update to the International Calibration (IntCal)
3423 criteria. *Radiocarbon* 55(4): 1923-1945.
3424
3425 Reynaud S, Ferrier-Pagès C, Boisson F, Allemand D, Fairbanks RG. 2004. Effect of light and
3426 temperature on calcification and strontium uptake in the scleractinian coral

3427 *Acropora verweyi*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 279:105-112.

3428

3429 Richmond, R.H. 1993. Effects of coastal runoff on coral reproduction. In Ginsburg, R.N. (Ed.). *Global*

3430 *Aspects of Coral Reefs. Health, Hazards, and History*. Miami, pp. 360–364.

3431

3432 Riker-Coleman K E, Gallup C D, Wallace L M, Webster J M, Cheng H, Edwards R L. 2006. Evidence of

3433 Holocene uplift in east New Britain, Papua New Guinea. *Geophysical Research Letters* 33: L18612.

3434

3435 Roberts, H.H., Rouse, L.J., Walker, N.D., Hudson, J.H. 1982. Cold water stress in Florida Bay and

3436 northern Bahamas: a product of winter cold-air outbreaks. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 52(1):

3437 145-155.

3438

3439 Robinson, L.F., Adkins, J.F., Fernandez, D.P., Burnett, D.S., Wang, S.L., Gagnon, A.C. and Krakauer, N.,

3440 2006. Primary U distribution in scleractinian corals and its implications for U series dating.

3441 *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 7(5).

3442

3443 Robinson, L.F., Belshaw, N.S., Henderson, G.M. 2004. U and Th concentrations and isotope ratios in

3444 modern carbonates and waters from the Bahamas. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 68(8): 1777–

3445 1789.

3446

3447 Rockwell, T.K., Muhs, D.R., Kennedy, G.L., Hatch, M.E., Wilson, S.H., and Klinger, R.E., 1989, Uranium-

3448 series ages, faunal correlations and tectonic deformation of marine terraces within the Agua Blanca

3449 fault zone at Punta Banda, northern Baja California, Mexico, in Abbott, P.L., ed., *Geologic studies in*

3450 *Baja California: Los Angeles, Pacific Section, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists*,

3451 p. 1–16.

3452

3453 Rogers, C.S. 1993. Hurricanes and coral reefs - the intermediate disturbance hypothesis revisited.

3454 *Coral Reefs* 12:127–137.

3455

3456 Rogers, C.S. 1990. Responses of coral reefs and reef organisms to sedimentation. *Marine Ecology*

3457 *Progress Series* 62: 185–202.

3458

3459 Rosholt, J.N. 1967. Open system model for uranium-series dating of Pleistocene samples. In

3460 *Radioactive dating and methods of low level counting*. International Atomic Agency, Vienna. Proc.

3461 *Ser., Pub. SM-87/50*.p 299.

3462

3463 Romaniello et al., 2013. Uranium concentrations and ²³⁸U/²³⁵U isotope ratios in modern

3464 carbonates from the Bahamas: Assessing a novel paleoredox proxy. *Chemical Geology* 362: 305-316.

3465

3466 Rosenberg, E., Ben-Haim, Y. 2002. Microbial diseases of corals and global warming. *Environmental*

3467 *Microbiology* 4:318–326.

3468

3469 Rotjan, R.D. 2007. The patterns, causes, and consequences of parrotfish corallivory in Belize. PhD

3470 thesis, Tufts University, Boston, MA, USA.

3471

3472 Rotjan, R.D., Lewis, S.M. 2008. Impact of coral predators on tropical reefs. *Marine Ecology Progress*

3473 *Series* 367: 73-91.

3474

3475 Rotjan, R.D., Lewis, S.M. 2005. Selective predation by parrotfishes on the reef coral

3476 *Porites asteroides*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 305: 193-201.

3477

3478 Rotjan, R.D., Dimond, J.L., Thornhill, D.J., Leichter, J.J., Helmuth, B.S.T., Kemp, D.W., Lewis, S.M.
3479 2006. Chronic parrotfish grazing impedes coral recovery after bleaching. *Coral Reefs* 25: 361-368.
3480
3481 Rowe, M.P., Bristow, C.S. 2015. Sea-level controls on carbonated beaches and coastal dunes
3482 (eolianite): Lessons from Pleistocene Bermuda. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 127: 1645-
3483 1665.
3484
3485 Rowe, M.P., Wainer, K.I., Bristow, C.S., Thomas, A.L. 2014. Anomalous MIS 7 sea level recorded on
3486 Bermuda. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 90: 47-59.
3487
3488 Sackett and Portratz, 1963. Dating of carbonate rocks by ionium-uranium ratios. USGS Prof Papers
3489 No 260-BB.
3490
3491 Safriel, U.N. 1974. Vermetid Gastropods and Intertidal Reefs in Israel and Bermuda. *Science* 186:
3492 1113-1115.
3493
3494 Sagawa, N., Nakamori, T. and Iryu, Y., 2001: Pleistocene reef development in the southwest Ryukyu
3495 Islands, Japan. *Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology* 175: 303–323.
3496
3497 St. Croix, USVI Benthic Composition and Monitoring Data (2002 – Present). 2007. National Oceanic
3498 and Atmospheric Association (NOAA); National Ocean Service (NOS) National Centers for Coastal
3499 Ocean Science (NCCOS), Center for Coastal Monitoring and Assessment.
3500
3501 Sammarco P.W., Lirette, A., Tung, Y.F., Bolan, G.S., Genazzio, M., Sinclair, J. 2014. Coral communities
3502 on artificial reefs in the Gulf of Mexico: standing vs. toppled oil platforms. *ICES Journal of Marine*
3503 *Science* 71(2): 417-426. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fst140.
3504
3505 Sanders, D., Baron-Szabo, R.C. 2005. Scleractinian assemblages under sediment input: Their
3506 characteristics and relation to the nutrient input concept. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,*
3507 *Palaeoecology* 216: 139–181.
3508
3509 Sammarco, P.W. 1996. Comments on coral reef regeneration, bioerosion, biogeography, and
3510 chemical ecology: future directions. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 200: 135-
3511 168.
3512
3513 Schellmann, G., Radtke, U. 2004. A revised morpo- and chronostratigraphy of the Late and Middle
3514 Pleistocene coral reef terraces on Southern Barbados (West Indies). *Earth-Science Reviews* 64: 157-
3515 187.
3516
3517 Schellmann G, Radtke U, Potter E-K, Esat TM, McCulloch MT. 2004. Comparison of ESR and TIMS
3518 U/Th dating of marine isotope stage (MIS) 5e, 5c and 5a coral from Barbados - implications for paleo
3519 sea-level changes in the Caribbean. *Quaternary International* 120: 41-50.
3520
3521 Schiaparelli, S. Albertelli, G., Cattaneo-Vietti, R. 2008. Phenotypic plasticity of Vermetidae
3522 suspension feeding: a potential bias in their use as Biological Sea-Level
3523 Indicators. *Marine Ecology* 27: 44-53.
3524
3525 Schlacher, T.A., Stark, J., Fischer, A.B.P. 2007. Evaluation of artificial light regimes and substrate
3526 types for aquaria propagation of the staghorn coral *Acropora solitaryensis*. *Aquaculture* 269:278-289
3527

3528 Schmidt, G.M., Phongsuwan, N., Jantzen, C., Roder, C., Khokaitiwong, S., Richter, C. 2012. Coral
3529 community composition and reef development at the Similan Islands, Andaman Sea, in response to
3530 strong environmental variations. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 456: 113-126.
3531

3532 Schneider, K., Erez, J. 2006. The effect of carbonate chemistry on calcification and photosynthesis in
3533 the hermatypic coral *Acropora eurytoma*. *Limnology and Oceanography* 51(3):1284-1293.
3534

3535 Scholz, D., Mangini, A., 2006. Estimating the uncertainty of coral isochron U–Th ages. *Quaternary*
3536 *Geochronology* 1(4): 279-288.
3537

3538 Scholz D, Mangini A, Meichner D. 2009. U-redistribution in fossil reef corals from Barbados, West
3539 Indies, and sea-level reconstruction for MIS 6.
3540

3541 Scholz, D., Mangini, A., Felis, T., 2004. U-series dating of diagenetically altered fossil reef corals.
3542 *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 218: 163-178.
3543

3544 Schroeder, J.H., Miller, D.S. and Friedman, G.M., 1970. Uranium distributions in recent skeletal
3545 carbonates. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 40(2).
3546

3547 Schutter, S., van Velthoven, B., Janse, M., Osinga, R., Janssen, M., Wijffels, R., Verreth, J. 2008. The
3548 effect of irradiance on long-term skeletal growth and net photosynthesis in *Galaxea fascicularis*
3549 under four light conditions. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 367:75–80.
3550

3551 Scoffin, T.P. 1992. Taphonomy of coral reefs: a review. *Coral Reefs* 11: 57-77.
3552

3553 Scoffin, T.P. 1993. The geological effects of hurricanes on coral reefs and the interpretation of storm
3554 deposits. *Coral Reefs* 12:203–221.
3555

3556 Scoffin, T.P., Steam, C.W., Boucher, D., Frydl, P., Hawkins, C.M., Hunter, I.G., McGeachy, J.K. 1980.
3557 Calcium carbonate budget of a fringing reef on the west coast of Barbados: Part II - Erosion,
3558 sediments and internal structure. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 302: 457-508.
3559

3560 Scopélitis, J., Andréfouët, S., Phinn, S., Done, T., Chabanet, P. 2011. Coral colonisation of a shallow
3561 reef flat in response to rising sea level: quantification from 35 years of remote sensing data at Heron
3562 Island, Australia. *Coral Reefs* 30: 951-965.
3563

3564 Sherman C.E., Fletcher C.H., Rubin K.H. 1999. Marine and meteoric diagenesis of Pleistocene
3565 carbonates from a nearshore submarine terrace, Oahu, Hawaii. *Journal of Sedimentary Research*
3566 69(5): 1083-1097.
3567

3568 Shen, G.T. and Dunbar, R.B., 1995. Environmental controls on uranium in reef corals. *Geochimica et*
3569 *Cosmochimica Acta*, 59(10), pp.2009-2024.
3570

3571 Shen, C.C., Li, K.S., Sieh, K., Natawidjaja, D., Cheng, H., Wang, X., Edwards, R.L., Lam, D.D., Hsieh, Y.T.,
3572 Fan, T.Y., Meltzner, A.J., 2008. Variation of initial $^{230}\text{Th}/^{232}\text{Th}$ and limits of high precision U–Th
3573 dating of shallow-water corals. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 72(17): 4201-4223.
3574

3575 Shinn, E.A. 1966. Coral growth-rate, an environmental indicator. *Journal of Paleontology* 40:233–
3576 240.
3577

3578 Shinn, E.A., Smith, G.W., Prosper, J.M., Betzer, P., Hayes, M.L., Garrison, V., Barber, R.T. 2000.
3579 African dust and the demise of Caribbean coral reefs. *Geophysical Research Letters* 27: 3029-3032.
3580
3581 E.A. Shinn, B.H. Lidz, J.L. Kindinger, J.H. Hudson, R.B. Halley. 1989. Reefs of Florida and the Dry
3582 Tortugas: a Guide to the Modern Carbonate Environments of the Florida Keys and the Dry Tortugas.
3583 U.S. Geological Survey, St. Petersburg, Florida (1989) 53 pp.
3584
3585 Sieh, K., Natawidjaja, D.H., Meltzner, A.J., Shen, C.C., Cheng, H., Li, K.S., Suwargadi, B.W., Galetzka, J.,
3586 Philibosian, B., Edwards R.L. 2008. Earthquake supercycles inferred from sea-level changes recorded
3587 in the corals of west Sumatra. *Science* 322: 1674–1678.
3588
3589 Smithers, S.G., Woodroffe, C.D. 2001. Coral microatolls and 20th century sea level in the eastern
3590 Indian Ocean. *Earth Planet Science Letters* 191:173–184
3591
3592 Speed, R.C., with Speed, C., and Sedlock, R. (editors), 2013., *Geology and Geomorphology of*
3593 *Barbados: A Companion Text to Maps with Accompanying Cross Sections*, Scale 1:10,000: Geological
3594 Society of America Special Paper 491, 63 p., doi:10.1130/2012.2491
3595
3596 Speed, R.C., 2001, *Geological and geomorphological map of Barbados and accompanying text:*
3597 *Government of Barbados, Ministry of Environment, Energy, and Natural Resources*, scale 1:10,000, 1
3598 sheet.
3599
3600 Speed RC, Cheng H. 2004. Evolution of marine terraces and sea level in the last interglacial, Cave Hill,
3601 Barbados. *GSA Bulletin*, 116(1-2), 219-232
3602
3603 Spooner, P.T., Chen, T., Robinson, L.F., Coath, C.D. 2016. Rapid uranium-series age screening of
3604 carbonates by laser ablation mass spectrometry. *Quaternary Geochronology* 31: 28-39.
3605
3606 Stafford-Smith, M.G., Ormond, R.F.G. 1992. Sediment-rejection mechanisms of 42 species of
3607 Australian scleratinian corals. *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 43: 683-705.
3608
3609 Stanford, J.D., Hemingway, R., Rohling, E.J., Challenor, P.G., Medina-Elizalde, M., Lester, A.C. 2011.
3610 Sea-level probability for the last deglaciation: A statistical analysis of far-field records. *Global and*
3611 *Planetary Change* 79(3): 193-203.
3612
3613 Stearn, C.W., Scoffin, T.P., Martindale, W. 1977. Calcium carbonate budget of a fringing reef on the
3614 west coast of Barbados: Part II – Zonation and productivity. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 27: 479-510.
3615
3616 Stehli, F.G., Wells, J.W. 1971. Diversity and age patterns in hermatypic corals. *Systematic Zoology* 20:
3617 115-126
3618
3619 Stein, M., Wasserburg, G.J., Aharon, P., Chen, J.H., Zhu, Z.R., Bloom, A., Chappell, J. 1993. TIMS U-
3620 series dating and stable isotopes of the last interglacial event in Papua New Guinea. *Geochimica et*
3621 *Cosmochimica Acta* 57: 2541-2554.
3622
3623 Stein, M., Wasserburg, G.J., Lajoie, K.R., Chen, J.H. 1991. U-series ages of solitary corals from the
3624 California coast by mass spectrometry. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 55: 3709–3722.
3625
3626 Stirling, C.H. 1996. High-precision U-series dating of corals from Western Australia: implications for
3627 Last Interglacial sea levels PhD Thesis, The Australian National University.
3628

3629 Stirling, C.H., Andersen, M.B., 2009. Uranium-series dating of fossil coral reefs: extending the sea-
3630 level record beyond the last glacial cycle. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 284: 269–283.
3631
3632 Stirling, C. H., Esat, T.M., Lambeck, K., McCulloch, M.T., Blake, S.G., Lee, D.-C., Halliday, A.N. 2001.
3633 Orbital forcing of the marine isotope stage 9 interglacial. *Science* 291: 290-293.
3634
3635 Stirling, C. H., Esat, T. M., Lambeck, K., McCulloch, M.T., 1998. Timing and duration of the Last
3636 Interglacial: evidence for a restricted interval of widespread coral reef growth. *Earth and Planetary
3637 Science Letters* 160: 745-762.
3638
3639 Stirling, C. H., Esat, T.M., McCulloch, M. T., Lambeck, K., 1995. High-precision U-series dating of
3640 corals from Western Australia and implications for the timing and duration of the Last Interglacial.
3641 *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 135: 115-130.
3642
3643 Strasser, A., Strohmenger, C., Davaud, E., Bach, A. 1992. Sequential evolution and diagenesis of
3644 Pleistocene coral reefs (South Sinai, Egypt). *Sedimentary Geology* 78: 59-79.
3645
3646 Sugihara, K., Yamada, T., Iryu, Y. 2006. Contrasts of coral zonation between Ishigaki Island (Japan,
3647 northwest Pacific) and Tahiti Island (French Polynesia, central Pacific), and its significance in
3648 Quaternary reef growth histories. SEALAIX'06 Meeting. (eds G. Camoin, A. Droxler, C. Fulthorpe, & K
3649 Miller) 179-180 (Association des Sedimentologues Français) In Deschamps et al., 2012. *Nature* 483:
3650 559-564.
3651
3652 Sumich, J.L. 1996. An Introduction to the Biology of Marine Life, sixth edition. Dubuque, IA: Wm. C.
3653 Brown. pp. 255-269.
3654
3655 Sun, D., Gagan, M.K., Cheng, H., Scott-Gagan, H., Dykoski, C.A., Edwards, R.L., Su, R. 2005. Seasonal
3656 and interannual variability of the Mid-Holocene East Asian monsoon in coral $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ records from the
3657 South China Sea. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 237: 69-84.
3658
3659 Swart and Hubbard, 1982. Uranium in scleractinian coral skeletons. *Coral Reefs* 1: 13-19.
3660
3661 Szabo, B.J., Moore, J.G. 1986. Age of -360 m reef terrace, Hawaii and the rate of late Pleistocene
3662 subsidence of the island. *Geology* 6: 713-715.
3663
3664 Szabo, B.J., Vedder, J.G. 1971. Uranium-series dating of some Pleistocene marine deposits in
3665 southern California. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 11: 282-290.
3666
3667 Szabo, B.J., Ludwig, K.R., Muhs, D.R., Simmons, K.R. 1994. Thorium-230 Ages of Corals and Duration
3668 of the Last Interglacial Sea-Level High Stand on Oahu, Hawaii. *Science* 266: 93-96.
3669
3670 Szmant, A.M. 2002. Nutrient enrichment on coral reefs: Is it a major cause of coral reef decline?
3671 *Estuaries* 25: 743-766.
3672
3673 Tager, D., Webster, J.W., Potts, D.C., Renema, W., Braga, J.C., Pandolfi, J.M. 2010. Community
3674 dynamics of Pleistocene coral reefs during alternative climatic regimes. *Ecology* 91: 191-200.
3675
3676 Tanner, J.E. 1995. Competition between scleractinian corals and macroalgae - an experimental
3677 investigation of coral growth, survival and reproduction. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and
3678 Ecology* 190: 151-168.
3679

3680 Taylor, F.W., Mann, P. 1991. Late Quaternary folding of coral reef terraces, Barbados. *Geology* 19:
3681 103-196.
3682
3683 Taylor, F.W., Mann, P., Bevis, M.G., Edwards, R.L., Cheng, H., Cutler, K.B., Gray, S.C., Burr, G.S., Beck,
3684 J.W., Phillips, D.A. and Cabioch, G., 2005. Rapid forearc uplift and subsidence caused by impinging
3685 bathymetric features: Examples from the New Hebrides and Solomon arcs. *Tectonics*, 24(6).
3686
3687 Taylor, F.W., Frohlich, C., Lecolle, J., Strecker, M., 1987. Analysis of partially emerged corals and reef
3688 terraces in the central Vanuatu arc: comparison of contemporary coseismic and nonseismic with
3689 Quaternary vertical movements. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 92, 4905–4933.
3690
3691 Taylor, F.W., Jouannic, C., Bloom, A.L. 1985. Quaternary Uplift of the Torres Islands, Northern New
3692 Hebrides Frontal Arc: Comparison with Santo and Melekula Islands, Central New Hebrides Frontal
3693 Arc. *Journal of Geology* 93: 419-438.
3694
3695 Taylor, F.W., Isacks, B.L., Jouannic, C., Bloom, A.L., Dubois, J., 1980. Coseismic and Quaternary
3696 vertical tectonic movements, Santo and Malekula Islands, new Hebrides island arc. *Journal of*
3697 *Geophysical Research* 85, 5367–5381.
3698
3699 Thomas, A.L., Fujita, K., Iryu, Y., Bard, E., Cabioch, G., Camoin, G., Cole, J.E., Deschamps P., Durand
3700 N., Hamelin B., Heindel K., Henderson G.M., Mason A.J., Matsuda H., Menabreaz L., Omori A., Quinn
3701 T., Sakai S., Sato T., Sugihara K., Takahashi Y., Thouveny N., Tudhope A.W., Webster J., Westphal H.,
3702 Yokoyama, Y. 2012. Assessing subsidence rates and paleo water-depths for Tahiti reefs using U-Th
3703 chronology of altered corals. *Marine Geology* 295-298: 86-94.
3704
3705 Thomas, A.L., Henderson, G.M., Deschamps, P., Yokoyama, Y., Mason, A.J., Bard, E., Hamelin, B.,
3706 Durand, N., Camoin, G. 2009. Penultimate Deglacial Sea-Level Timing from Uranium/Thorium Dating
3707 of Tahitian Corals. *Science* 324, 1186-1189, doi:10.1126/science.1168754.
3708
3709 Thompson, G., Livingston, H.D., 1970. Strontium and uranium concentrations in aragonite
3710 precipitated by some modern corals. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 8(6): 439-442.
3711
3712 Thompson, W. G. & Goldstein, S. L. 2005. Open-system coral ages reveal persistent suborbital sea-
3713 level cycles. *Science* 308, 401-404, doi:10.1126/science.1104035.
3714
3715 Thompson W.G., Curran H.A., Wilson M.A., White B. 2011. Sea-level oscillations during the last
3716 interglacial highstand recorded by Bahamas corals. *Nature Geoscience* 4: 684-687.
3717
3718 Thompson, W. G., Spiegelmann, M.W., Goldstein, S. L., Speed, R. C., 2003. An open-system model for
3719 U-series age determinations of fossil corals. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 210: 365-381.
3720
3721 Titlyanov, E.A., Titlyanova, T.V., Yamazato, K., Van Woesik, R. 2001. Photo-acclimation dynamics of
3722 the coral *Stylophora pistillata* to low and extremely low light. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology*
3723 *and Ecology* 263:211-225.
3724
3725 Tomascik, T.R., Sander, F. 1987. Effects of eutrophication on reef-building corals II. Structure of
3726 Scleractinian coral communities on fringing reefs, Barbados, West Indies. *Marine Biology* 94: 53–75.
3727
3728 Toscano, M. A. & Lundberg, J. 1999. Submerged Late Pleistocene reefs on the tectonically-stable SE
3729 Florida margin: high-precision geochronology, stratigraphy, resolution of Substage 5a sea-level
3730 elevation, and orbital forcing. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 18: 753-767.

3731
 3732 Toscano, M.A., Macintyre, I.G. 2003. Corrected western Atlantic sea-level curve for the last 11,000
 3733 years based on calibrated 14C dates from *Acropora palmate* framework and intertidal mangrove
 3734 peat. *Coral Reefs* 22: 257–270.
 3735
 3736 Toscano, M.A., Macintyre, I.G., Lundberg, J. 2012. Last interglacial reef limestones, northeastern St.
 3737 Croix, US Virgin Islands—evidence of tectonic tilting and subsidence since MIS 5.5. *Coral Reefs*
 3738 31:27–38.
 3739
 3740 Trichet, J., Repellin, P., Oustrière, P. 1984. Stratigraphy and subsidence of the Mururoa atoll (French
 3741 Polynesia). *Marine Geology* 56: 241-257.
 3742
 3743 Tudhope, A.W., Buddemeir, R.W., Chilcott, C.P., Berryman, K.R., Fautin, D.G., Jebb, M., Lipps, J.H.,
 3744 Pearce, R.G. Scoffin, T.P., Shimmield, G.B. 2000. Alternating seismic uplift and subsidence in the late
 3745 Holocene at Madang, Papua New Guinea: Evidence from raised reefs. *Journal of Geophysical*
 3746 *Research: Solid Earth* 105(B6): 13797–13807.
 3747
 3748 Uehara, K., Scourse, J.D., Horsburgh, K.J., Lambeck, K., Purcell A.P. 2006. Tidal evolution of the
 3749 northwest European shelf seas from the Last Glacial Maximum to the present. *Journal of*
 3750 *Geophysical Research-Oceans* 111: C9.
 3751
 3752 Van Oppen, M.J.H., Bongaerts, P.I.M., Underwood, J.N., Peplow, L.M., Cooper, T.F. 2011. The role of
 3753 deep reefs in shallow reef recovery: an assessment of vertical connectivity in a brooding coral from
 3754 west and east Australia. *Molecular Ecology* 20(8): 1647–1660.
 3755
 3756 Van Woesik, R., Done, T.J. 1997. Coral communities and reef growth in the southern Great Barrier
 3757 Reef. *Coral Refs* 16: 103-115.
 3758
 3759 Vacher, H.L., Hearty, P., 1989. History of stage 5 sea level in Bermuda: review with new evidence of a
 3760 brief rise to present sea level during substage 5a. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 8: 159-168.
 3761
 3762 Vacher, H.L., Rowe, M., Garrett, P., 1989. The Geological Map of Bermuda, Scale 1: 25,000. Public
 3763 Works Department, Hamilton, Bermuda.
 3764
 3765 Vedder, J.G., Norris R.M. 1963. Geology of San Nicolas Island California. U.S. *Geological Survey*
 3766 *Professional Paper* 369: 1–65.
 3767
 3768 Veeh, H.H, Turekian, K.K. 1968. Cobalt, silver and uranium concentrations of reef building corals in
 3769 the Pacific. *Limnology and Oceanography* 13(2): 304-308.
 3770
 3771 Veron, J.E.N. 2000. *Corals of the world* (3 vols). Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville.
 3772
 3773 Veron J.E.N. 1995. *Corals in Space and Time: The Biogeography and Evolution of the Scleractinia*.
 3774 University of New South Wales Press, Sydney.
 3775
 3776 Veron, J.E.N. 1993. A biogeographic database of hermatypic corals: species of the central Indo-
 3777 Pacific, genera of the world. *Australian Institute of Marine Science Monograph Series* 9
 3778
 3779 Veron J.E.N. 1992. Environmental control of Holocene changes to the world's most northern
 3780 hermatypic coral outcrop. *Pacific Science* 46(4): 405-425.
 3781

3782 Veron, J.E.N., Minchin, P.R. 1992. Correlations between sea surface temperature, circulation
3783 patterns and the distribution of hermatypic corals of Japan. *Continental Shelf Research* 12: 835-857.
3784
3785 Veron, J.E.N., Devantier, L.M., Turak, E., Green, A.L., Kininmonth, S., Stafford-Smith, M., Peterson, N.
3786 2009. Delineating the Coral Triangle. *Galaxea, Journal of Coral Reef Studies* 11: 91-100.
3787
3788 Vezina J, Jones B., Ford D. 1999. Sea-level highstands over the last 500,000 years: Evidence from the
3789 Ironshore Formation on Grand Cayman, British West Indies. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 69(2):
3790 317-327.
3791
3792 Villemant, B., Feuillet, N., 2003. Dating open systems by the 238U-234U-230Th method: application
3793 to Quaternary reef terraces. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 210: 105-118.
3794
3795 Wallace, A.R. 1863. On the physical geography of the Malay Archipelago. *Journal of the Royal*
3796 *Geographical Society* 33: 217-234.
3797
3798 Walter, R.C., Buffler, R.T., Bruggemann, H., Guillaume, M.M.M., Berhe, S.M., Negassi, B., Libsekal, Y.,
3799 Cheng, H., Edwards, R.L., von Cosel, R., Néraudeau, D., Gagnon, M. 2000. Early human occupation of
3800 the Red Sea coast of Eritrea during the last interglacial. *Nature* 405: 65-69.
3801
3802 Webster, J.M., Davies, P.J. 2003. Coral variation in two deep drill cores: significance for the
3803 Pleistocene development of the Great Barrier Reef. *Sedimentary Geology* 159: 61-80.
3804
3805 Webster, J.M., Wallace, L., Silver, E., Potts, D., Braga, J.C., Renema, W., Riker-Coleman, K., Gallup, C.
3806 2004. Coralgal composition of drowned carbonate platforms in the Huon Gulf, Papua New Guinea;
3807 implications for lowstand reef development and drowning. *Marine Geology* 204: 59-89.
3808
3809 Webster, J.M., Brage, J.C., Clague, D.A., Gallup, C., Hein, J.R., Potts, D.C., Renema, W., Riding, R.,
3810 Riker-Coleman, K., Silver, E., Wallace, L.M. 2009. Coral reef evolution on rapidly subsiding margins.
3811 *Global and Planetary Change* 66: 129-148.
3812
3813 Wei B L. 2001. Characteristics of Seismic Activities on the Southeast Coast of China (in Chinese).
3814 Beijing: Seismological Press, 2001
3815
3816 Wells, J.W. 1954. Recent corals of the Marshall Islands. *US Geological Survey Professional Papers*
3817 260: 385-486.
3818
3819 White B, Curran H A, Wilson. 2001. A sea level lowstand (Devil's Point Event) recorded in Bahamian
3820 Reefs: Comparison with other last interglacial climate proxies. In Greenstein and Carney (Eds)
3821 *Proceeding of the 10th symposium on the geology of the Bahamas and other carbonate regions*,
3822 Gerace Research Centre, San Salvador, Bahamas.
3823
3824 Whitney, B.B. and Hengesh, J.V., 2015. Geomorphological evidence of neotectonic deformation in
3825 the Carnarvon Basin, Western Australia. *Geomorphology*, 228, pp.579-596.
3826
3827 Williams, I.D., Polunin, N.V.C. 2001. Large-scale associations between macroalgal cover and grazer
3828 biomass on mid-depth reefs in the Caribbean. *Coral Reefs* 19: 358-366.
3829
3830 Willis BL, Page CA, Dinsdale EA (2004) Coral disease on the Great Barrier Reef. In: Rosenberg E, Loya
3831 Y (eds) *Coral health and disease*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp 69–104.
3832

3833 Wilson, M.E. and Rosen, B.R., 1998. Implications of paucity of corals in the Paleogene of SE Asia:
3834 plate tectonics or centre of origin. In Hall, R and Holloway (Eds) *Biogeography and geological*
3835 *evolution of SE Asia*, Backhuys Publishers, Leiden. pp.165-195.
3836

3837 Woodroffe, C.D., Webster, J.M. 2014. Coral reefs and sea-level change. *Marine Geology* 352: 248-
3838 267.
3839

3840 Woolfe K.J., Larcombe, P. 1998. Terrigenous sediment accumulation as a regional control upon the
3841 distribution of reef carbonates. In: Camoin G. F. & Davies P. J. eds. *Reefs and Carbonate Platforms in*
3842 *the Pacific and Indian Oceans*, pp. 295–310. IAS Special Publication 25.
3843

3844 Yamano, H., Kayanne, H., Yonekura, N. 2001. Anatomy of a modern coral reef flat: a recorder of
3845 storms and uplift in the Late Holocene. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 71: 295–304.
3846

3847 Yao, Y-T., Zhan, W-H., Sun, J-L., Sun, J. 2013. Emerged fossil corals on the coast of northwestern
3848 Hainan Island, China: Implications for mid-Holocene sea level change and tectonic uplift. *Chinese*
3849 *Science Bulletin* 58(23): 2869-2876.
3850

3851 Yokoyama, Y., Esat, T. M. & Lambeck, K. 2001. Coupled climate and sea-level changes deduced from
3852 Huon Peninsula coral terraces of the last ice age. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 193: 579-587.
3853

3854 Yu, K.-F., Zhao, J.-X., Done, T., Chen, T.-G. 2009. Microatoll record for large century-scale sea-level
3855 fluctuations in the mid-Holocene. *Quaternary Research* 71: 354–360.
3856

3857 Zachariasen, J., Sieh, K., Taylor, F.W., Edwards, R.L., Hantoro, W.S. 1999. Submergence and uplift
3858 associated with the giant 1833 Sumatran subduction earthquake: Evidence from coral microatolls.
3859 *Journal of Geophysical Research* 104: 895–919.
3860

3861 Zazo, C., Goy, J.L., Dabrio, C.J., Soler, V., Hillaire-Marcel, C., Ghaleb, B., González-Delgado, J.A.,
3862 Bardají, T. and Cabero, A., 2007. Quaternary marine terraces on Sal Island (Cape Verde archipelago).
3863 *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 26(7), pp.876-893.
3864

3865 Zhao, J., Yu, K. 2002. Timing of Holocene sea-level highstands by mass spectrometric U-series ages of
3866 a coral reef from Leizhou Peninsula, South China Sea. *Chinese Science Bulletin* 47: 348-352.
3867

3868 Zhu, Z.R., Wyrwoll, K.-H., Collins, L.B., Chen, J.H., Wasserburg, G.J., Eisenhauer, A. 1993. High-
3869 precision U-series dating of Last Interglacial events by mass spectrometry: Houtman Abrolhos
3870 Islands, western Australia. *Earth & Planetary Science Letters* 118: 281-293.

Table 1:

† uncertainty derived from mapping (i.e., half contour spacing) and assigned ± 1 m measurement uncertainty

* assigned ± 1 m uncertainty (none quoted in original reference)

§ elevation uncertainty derived from half the elevation range plus an assigned ± 1 m measurement uncertainty (as this was not given in the original reference)

‡ rates recalculated using Equation 1

Location	Max. elevation LIG terrace (m)	Error	How elevation determined	Reference for the max. elevation	Recalculated uplift (+) /subsidence (-) rate (m ka ⁻¹) [†]	Uncertainty (2 σ)	Reported uplift (+) and subsidence (-) rates (m ka ⁻¹)	Refs
BARBADOS (west)								
Clermont Nose anticline (traverse)	+61	4 [†]	Mapping of First High Cliff	Taylor and Mann, 1991	+0.44	0.04	+0.44	Gallup et al., 2004 (citing Taylor and Mann, 1991)
	+57.4	1	Back calculated (elevation resurveyed?)	Thompson and Goldstein, 2005	+0.41	0.02	+0.45 (back calculated using age 122 ka and Last Interglacial sea level of +2.5 m)	Thompson and Goldstein, 2005; Thompson et al., 2003
Cave Hill	+70	2	Shoreline angle	Speed and Cheng, 2004; Speed, 2013	+0.51	0.03	+0.53 $\pm$ 0.04 (using elevation of shoreline angle and age of 120 $\pm$ 2 ka)	Speed and Cheng, 2004
							+0.49 $\pm$ 0.06 (average of values from Taylor and Mann, 1991 (+0.44); Gallup et al., 2002 (0.45 $\pm$ 0.01); Speed and Cheng, 2004 (0.53 $\pm$ 0.04) and; Thompson and Goldstein, 2005 (0.45 $\pm$ 0.01))	Scholz et al., 2009
	+70	1	Mapping of Rendezvous Hill terrace	Speed, 2013	+0.51	0.03	+0.53	Speed, 2013
Batts Rock Bay	~ +61.5	1	Back calculated	Potter et al., 2004	+0.44	0.03	+0.45 $\pm$ 0.03 (calculated using an age of 128 $\pm$ 3 ka and Last Interglacial sea level of 4 $\pm$ 2 m)	Potter et al., 2004.
	+29 (reported max elevation correlated to MIS 5c)	0.5	Reef crest elevation	Potter et al., 2004	n/a	n/a		Potter et al., 2004
University of West Indies (UWI) transect	+61	4 [†]	Mapping of First High Cliff	Taylor and Mann, 1991	+0.44	0.04	+0.44	Gallup et al., 2002 (citing Taylor and Mann, 1991)
	+57.8	1*	Back calculated	Cutler et al., 2003	+0.41	0.02	+0.44	Cutler et al., 2003
St Stephens School	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Assumed similar rate to UWI transect transect (cf. Cutler et al., 2003)	n/a	+0.44	Cutler et al., 2003
Guinea Quarry	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Assumed similar rate to UWI transect transect (cf. Cutler et al., 2003)	n/a	+0.44	Cutler et al., 2003
Holders Hill transect	+46	4 [†]	Mapping of First High Cliff	Taylor and Mann, 1991	+0.32	0.04	Not reported (use the values of Taylor and Mann, 1991)	Gallup et al., 1994
	+44.6	1*	Back calculated	Cutler et al., 2003	+0.30	0.02	+0.33 (calculated using an age of 120 ka and Last Interglacial sea level of +5 m)	Cutler et al., 2003
BARBADOS (south)								
Southern Barbados	35 to 40	3.5 [§]	Reef crest elevation Rendezvous Hill terrace	Schellmann and Radtke, 2004	+0.25	0.03	Not reported	Schellmann and Radtke, 2004
	~ +38.5	1	Back calculated	Potter et al., 2004	+0.26	0.02	+0.27 $\pm$ 0.03 (calculated using an age of 128 $\pm$ 3 ka and Last Interglacial sea level of 4 $\pm$ 2 m)	Potter et al., 2004.
South Point	+38	1	Back calculated (elevation resurveyed?)	Thompson and Goldstein, 2005	+0.25	0.02	+0.29 (back calculated using age 122 ka and Last Interglacial sea level of +2.5 m)	Thompson and Goldstein, 2005; Thompson et al., 2003
	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			+0.27 $\pm$ 0.03 (value for southern Barbados)	Potter et al., 2004.

	+40	4 [†]	Mapping of First High Cliff	Taylor and Mann, 1991	+0.27	0.04	Not reported	Taylor and Mann, 1991
Salt Cave Point	+34	1	Back calculated (elevation resurveyed?)	Thompson and Goldstein, 2005	+0.22	0.02	+0.26 (back calculated using age 122 ka and Last Interglacial sea level of +2.5 m)	Thompson and Goldstein, 2005; Thompson et al., 2003
	~ +33	4 [†]	Mapping of First High Cliff	Taylor and Mann, 1991	+0.21	0.04	+0.27 ± 0.03 (value for southern Barbados)	Potter et al., 2004.
	+36	0.5	Mapping of Rendezvous Hill terrace	Speed, 2013	+0.24	0.02	Not reported	Taylor and Mann, 1991
Kendall Fort	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	+0.25	Speed, 2013.
	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	+0.27 ± 0.03 (value for southern Barbados)	Potter et al., 2004.
	~ +37 to +40	4 [†]	Mapping of First High Cliff	Taylor and Mann, 1991	+0.26	0.04	Not reported	Potter et al., 2004.
Pepperpot	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Not reported	Taylor and Mann, 1991
	~ +37	4 [†]	Mapping of First High Cliff	Taylor and Mann, 1991	+0.24	0.04	+0.27 ± 0.03 (value for southern Barbados)	Potter et al., 2004.
Inch Marlowe Point	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Not reported	Taylor and Mann, 1991
	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	+0.27 ± 0.03 (value for southern Barbados)	Potter et al., 2004.
	+40	4 [†]	Mapping of First High Cliff	Taylor and Mann, 1991	+0.27	0.04	Not reported	Taylor and Mann, 1991
Paragon Point	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	+0.27 ± 0.03 (value for southern Barbados)	Potter et al., 2004.
	~ +31	4 [†]	Mapping of First High Cliff	Taylor and Mann, 1991	+0.20	0.04	Not reported	Taylor and Mann, 1991
Gibbons transect (south coast)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Not reported	Blanchon and Eisenhauer, 2001
	+41	0.5	Mapping of Rendezvous Hill terrace	Speed, 2013	+0.28	0.02	+0.29	Speed, 2013
Erol Barrow transect (south coast)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Used south coast value (location unknown)		Not reported	Blanchon and Eisenhauer, 2001
St George's Valley	No LIG terrace	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Not reported	Edwards et al., 1987
Core – “off south coast”	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	+0.34 Location unknown: used the same uplift rate as Fairbanks, 1989	0.02	+0.34	Fairbanks, 1989; Bard et al., 1990a, b; Fairbanks et al., 2005, Peltier and Fairbanks, 2006
CAPE VERDE ARCHIPELAGO								
Sal Island	+2.5	1*	Shoreline angle	Zazo et al., 2007	-0.03	0.02	Not reported (based on U-series dating of marine terraces Sal Island “stable, or slightly subsiding, at least since the Last Interglacial”, although older terraces between 600 ka and 300 ka suggest uplift)	Zazo et al 2007
CHINA								
Hainan Island	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Used estimate from literature (non volcanic zone (Wei, 2001)	n/a	Volcanic zone (north of island): 0 to +1; non volcanic zone 0 to -1	Wei 2001 (in Chinese) in Yao et al., 2013
COMORO ARCHIPELAGO								
Mayotte Island	-20	1*	Drill core		-0.21	0.02	-0.13 to -0.25	Carnoin et al., 1997
CURACAO								
Boca Cortalein	+12.4	1*	Maximum elevation of inner edge of Hato unit	Muhs et al., 2012a	+0.05	0.02		Muhs et al., 2012a
Boca Labadero	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Used Boca Cortalein rate	n/a	+0.26 to +0.054	Muhs et al., 2012a
Boca Mansalina	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Used Boca Cortalein rate	n/a	+0.26 to +0.054	Muhs et al., 2012a

Boca Santu Pretu	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Used Boca Cortalein rate	n/a	+0.26 to +0.054	Muhs et al., 2012a
Knipbai	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Used Boca Cortalein rate	n/a	+0.26 to +0.054	Muhs et al., 2012a
Kust Van Hato	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Used Boca Cortalein rate	n/a	+0.26 to +0.054	Muhs et al., 2012a
Punta Halvedag	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Used Boca Cortalein rate	n/a	+0.26 to +0.054	Muhs et al., 2012a
Rif Baai	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Used Boca Cortalein rate	n/a	+0.26 to +0.054	Muhs et al., 2012a
Westpuntbai	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Used Boca Cortalein rate	n/a	+0.26 to +0.054	Muhs et al., 2012a
Un Boca	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Used Boca Cortalein rate	n/a	+0.26 to +0.054	Muhs et al., 2012a
ERITREA								
Red Sea coast	14	1*		Walter et al., 2000	+0.06	0.018	Not given	Walter et al., 2000
FRENCH POLYNESIA								
Mururoa Atoll	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a (used mid point of range from Trichet et al., 1984)	n/a	-0.07 to -0.08 (K-Ar dating basaltic basement)	Trichet et al., 1984
							Not reported	Bard et al., 1991
Tahiti	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a (used mid point of range from Deschamps et al., 2012)	n/a	-0.21 and -0.25 (radiometric dating lava flows)	Bard et al 1996; Le Roy, 1994
							-0.15 and -0.3 to -0.4 (Holocene shoreline)	Pirazzoli and Montaggioni, 1988; Pirazzoli et al., 1985; Montaggioni, 1988
							-0.39 (Maximum)	Thomas et al., 2012
							-0.2 to -0.4 (range based on approaches above)	Deschamps et al., 2012
							-0.3 ± 0.4 (geodetic measurement)	Fadil et al., 2011
GREECE (Gulf of Corinth)								
Alepohori-Magara basin	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Used estimate from literature (average for Gulf of Corinth and Isthmus region; Dia et al., 1997; Collier et al 1992)	n/a	+0.3 (mean for area)	Dia et al., 1997
							+0.3 to +0.5 (based on correlation of corals to highstands and assumed past sea levels)	Dia et al., 1997
							+0.3 (based on correlation of corals to highstands and assumed past sea levels)	Collier et al., 1992
Canal	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Used estimate from literature (average for Gulf of Corinth and Isthmus region; Dia et al., 1997; Collier et al 1992)	n/a	+0.3 (+0.2 NW part of the canal) (based on correlation of corals to highstands and assumed past sea levels)	Dia et al., 1997
Examilia	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Used estimate from literature (average for Gulf of Corinth and Isthmus region; Dia et al., 1997; Collier et al 1992)	n/a	+0.3 (based on correlation of corals to highstands and assumed past sea levels)	Dia et al., 1997
Perakora Peninsula (SW shore)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Used estimate from literature (average for Gulf of Corinth and Isthmus region; Dia et al., 1997; Collier et al 1992)	n/a	+0.2 to +0.5 (based on correlation of corals to highstands and assumed past sea levels)	Dia et al., 1997
							+0.17 to +0.7 (based on correlation of corals to highstands and assumed past sea levels)	Dia et al., 1997

							+0.3 (based on correlation of corals to highstands and assumed past sea levels)	Dia et al., 1997
HAITI	+52	1*	Aerial photographs, topographic maps, plane table and alidade mapping	Dodge et al., 1983	+0.36	0.11	+0.35	Dodge et al., 1983 in Bard et al., 1990a
INDONESIA Sumba Island (Cape Lundi)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a (used mid point of range from literature)	n/a	+0.2 to +0.5 <0.3 (Holocene, microatoll) +0.5 (last 1Ma)	Bard et al., 1996 Bard et al., 1996 Pirazzoli et al., 1991, 1993
MADAGASCAR Toliara	-13	1*	Elevation of LIG terrace in drill cores	Camoin et al., 2004	-0.16	0.02	-0.16	Camoin et al., 2004
MEXICO (Baja California) Cabo Pulmo	+6	1*	Shoreline angle	Ortleib, 1987	0	0.02	Not reported	Muhs et al., 2002b
Isla de Guadalupe	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0	0.02	Not reported	Muhs et al., 2002b
Punta Banda	+34 to +40 m (Sea Cave terrace)	4 ⁵	Shoreline angle	Rockwell et al., 1989	+0.24	0.04	Not reported (give shoreline angle elevation)	Muhs et al., 2002b
NEW CALEDONIA Amedee Inlet	-12	1	LIG corals in drill core	Frank et al., 2006	-0.15	0.02	-0.16 ± 0.4	Frank et al., 2006
NIUE Niue Island	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a (used mid point of range from literature)	n/a	+0.13 to +0.16 (derived from elevation of emerged post mid-Holocene shoreline)	Dickinson, 2001 (in Kennedy et al., 2012)
PAPUA NEW GUINEA (Huan Peninsula) Bobongara (aka Fortification Point)	+403	1*	Max. elevation of Last Interglacial terrace (reef crest of terrace VII) – resurveyed by authors	Chappell et al., 1996	+3.17	0.13	+3.3 ± 0.1 (elevation resurveyed by the authors, used an age of 122 ± 4 ka)	Chappell et al 1996; Yokoyama et al., 2001
Gagidu Point	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a (used rate from literature; Cutler et al., 2003)	n/a	+2.1 (derived from elevations of deglacial samples)	Cutler et al., 2003
Kanomi	+317	1*	Back calculated from Cutler et al., 2003	Cutler et al., 2003	+2.48	0.10	+2.6 (no elevation quoted by Cutler et al., 2003; used age of 120 ka)	Cutler et al., 2003
Kanzarua	+350	1*	Elevation terrace VIIb	Chappell, 1973	+2.74	0.11	Not reported	Chappell, 1973
	+330	1*	Elevation of terrace VIIb	Bloom et al., 1974	+2.59	0.11	+2.62 (calculated using elevation and an age of 124 ka)	Bloom et al., 1974
	+320	1*	Elevation reef crest of terrace VII (resurveyed by authors)	Chappell et al., 1996	+2.05	0.10	+2.8 ± 0.1 (use elevation and an age of 122 ± 4 ka)	Chappell et al., 1996; Yokoyama et al., 2001
	+341	1*	Back calculated from Cutler et al., 2003	Cutler et al., 2003	+2.68	0.10	+2.8 (no elevation quoted by Cutler et al., 2003; used age of 120 ka)	Esat et al., 1999; Cutler et al., 2003
Kilasairo	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Used the rate for Kwambu (cf. Cutler et al., 2003 due to proximity of sites)	n/a	+1.85	Cutler et al., 2003
Kwambu	+230 and +223	1*	Max (?) elevation LIG terrace (terrace VIIa)	Stein et al., 1993	+1.79 (using 230 m)	0.07	+1.9 (used elevation and age of two samples KAM-A-1 (230 m; 118.7 ka) and HP-47 (223 m; 118.1 ka))	Stein et al., 1993; Esat et al., 1999

	+227	1*	Back calculated from Cutler et al., 2003	Cutler et al., 2003 using values of Stein et al., 1993	+1.76	0.07	+1.85 (Cutler et al., 2003 appear to have used the mid point of the elevation of two samples from Stein et al., 1993: KAM-A-1 (230 m; 118.7 ka) and HP-47 (223 m; 118.1 ka) and an age of 120 ka)	Cutler et al., 2003
	+215	1*	Max. elevation of terrace VIIb	Bloom et al., 1974	+1.67	0.07	+1.69 (calculated using elevation and an age of 124 ka)	Bloom et al., 1974
Kwangam	+188	1*	Back calculated using age of 118.1 (cf. Stein et al., 1993)	n/a	+1.45	0.06	+1.6	Esat et al., 1999.
Nanda	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Used the rate for Kanomi (Cutler et al., 2003) due to proximity of sites	n/a	+2.8	Esat et al., 1999
Sialum	+195	1*	Elevation of reef crest terrace VIIb	Chappell, 1973	+1.51	0.06	Not reported	Chappell, 1973
	+226	1*	Elevation of reef crest terrace VIIb	Chappell and Polach, 1991.	+1.76	0.07	+1.9 (calculated using elevation and an age of 118 ka)	Chappell and Polach, 1991
	+243	1*	Elevation of terrace VIIb	Edwards et al., 1993	+1.89	0.08	+1.9 (calculated using elevation and an age of 125 ka)	Edwards et al., 1993
	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			+1.85 (Cutler et al., 2003 used the rate for Kwambu due to proximity of sites)	Cutler et al., 2003
Drill core (unspecified)	+243	1*	Max. elevation of terrace VIIb	Edwards et al., 1993	+1.89	0.08	+1.9 (calculated using elevation and an age of 125 ka)	Edwards et al., 1993
Surface exposure (unspecified)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	+1.9	Edwards et al., 1993
PAPUA NEW GUINEA								
<i>(Huon Gulf)</i>								
Southern Huon Gulf	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a (used estimate from literature; Galewsky et al., 1996)	n/a	-5.7 (average derived from subsidence of the Huon Gulf by 2,000 m in 348 ka)	Galewsky et al., 1996
PAPUA NEW GUINEA								
<i>(New Britain Island)</i>								
Jacquinet Bay	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a (used estimate from literature; Riker-Coleman et al., 2006)	n/a	+1.6 ± 0.4 (dating Holocene coral reefs)	Riker-Coleman et al., 2006
PITCAIRN ISLANDS								
Henderson Island	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Used estimate from literature (Anderson et al., 2008)	n/a	+0.09 ± 0.02 (55 m of uplift in approx. 600 ka determined using fossil lagoon at +25 ± 5 m and reef growth at 615 ka)	Anderson et al., 2008 in Anderson et al., 2010.
							+0.093 to +0.1 Erosional notches at +10.7 and +15.5 m (elevation error ± 5 cm)	Blake, 1995 in Stirling et al., 2001 (quoted as <+0.1 in 42)
USA (California)								
Cayucos Pt	~ +7 to +8	1.5 [§]	Shoreline angle	Muhs et al., 2002b	+0.01	0.02	Not reported (give shoreline angle elevation)	Muhs et al., 2002b
Point Loma	~ +23 to +24	1.5 [§]	Shoreline angle	Muhs et al., 2002b	+0.14	0.02	Not reported (give shoreline angle elevation)	Muhs et al., 2002b
Point Arena	~ +40 to +45	3.5 [§]	Shoreline angle	Muhs et al., 2006	+0.29	0.02	Not reported (give shoreline angle elevation)	Muhs et al., 2006
San Clemente Island	~ +21 (Eel terrace aka terrace 2b)	1*	Shoreline angle (Eel Point)	Muhs et al., 2002	+0.12	0.02	Not reported (give shoreline angle elevation)	Muhs et al., 2002
San Nicholas Island	~ +34	1*	Shoreline angle	Muhs et al., 2012b	+0.22	0.02	+0.25 to +0.27 (elevation of LIG platform, assumed sea level +6m and age of 120ka) (Note, Muhs et al., 2012b measurement uncertainty of ± 0.3)	Muhs et al., 2012b

	~ +33 (northern, northeastern and eastern side of the island, to +36 (near Vizcaino Point)	1*	Shoreline angle	Muhs et al., 2006	+0.22	0.03	Not reported (give shoreline angle elevation)	Muhs et al., 2006
Green Oaks Creek area, San Mateo County	Uncertain – no age constraint (Greyhound terrace ~+50 to ~+44 m; Highway 1 terrace at ~+26 to ~+29 m)	n/a	Shoreline angles elevation	Bradley and Griggs, 1976; Muhs et al., 2006	Can't calculate	n/a	Not reported (report the shoreline angles for both the Greyhound and Highway 1 terraces)	Muhs et al., 2006
Palos Verdes Hills, Los Angeles County	+70 to +80 (Gaffey terrace)	6 ⁵	Shoreline angle not found - inferred	Muhs et al., 2006	+55	0.06	Not reported (inferred shoreline angle elevation)	Muhs et al., 2006
USA (Hawaii) O'ahu	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Used estimate from literature (McMurty et al., 2010)	n/a	+0.028 to +0.052 (based on +8.5 m elevation of growth position corals of Waimanalo deposits at Mokapu Point)	Muhs and Szabo, 1994
	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	-2 to -3 (based on submerged reef terraces)	Szabo and Moore, 1986; Moore and Campbell, 1987; Ludwig et al., 1991 Barnett, 1984
	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	+1.6 (from tide gauge data)	Muhs and Szabo, 1994
	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	+0.036 to 0.070 (using age 600 ± 100 ka Sterns, 1973 and elevation of +30 m Sterns, 1978)	Muhs and Szabo, 1994
	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	+0.05 to +0.06 (based on +24.4 m coral from the Kaena Limestone and an age of 532 ±130/-70 ka)	Szabo et al., 1994; Sherman et al., 1999
	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	~ +0.05 (based on coral from Black Point Limestone at ~+17 m and K-Ar of overlying basalt and dyke (480 ± 160 ka and 410 ± 80 ka respectively)	
	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.025 ±0.005 (last 120 ka); 0.024 ±0.003 (last 125 ka); 0.020 ±0.003 (last 400 ka); 0.015 ±0.001 (last 410 ka); 0.012 ±0.005 (last 420 ka) (based on comparison to other MIS 11 terraces of Bahamas and Bermuda)	Hearty, 2002
	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	+0.060 ± 0.001 (rate over the last 500 ka from emerged reef elevation of +21 m and mean U- series age of 335 ± 22 ka)	McMurty et al., 2010
	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	+0.02	Muhs and Szabo, 1994; Hearty et al., 2000 (in Hearty et al., 2007)
USA (Oregon) Coquille Point	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a (used mid point of range from literature)	n/a	+0.45 to +1.05 (based on the elevation of the Whiskey Run terrace, corrected for landward tilt; an age of 80 ka and; assumptions regarding sea level at 80 ka)	Muhs et al., 1990
US VIRGIN ISLANDS (St Croix)								
West End	+1.5	1*	Mapped beach facies	Hubbard et al., 1989	-0.04	0.02	-0.02 to -0.1 (east to west)	Toscano et al., 2012
Long Reef (east of island)	-5.8	1*	Maximum elevation for LIG reef	Toscano et al., 2012	-0.10	0.02	-0.07	Toscano et al., 2012
Tague Reef	-7.75	1*	Maximum elevation for LIG reef	Toscano et al., 2012	-0.11	0.02	-0.08	Toscano et al., 2012
VANUATU								

Efate Island	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Used estimate from literature (Bloom et al., 1978; Edwards et al., 1987b, 1988))	n/a	+1	Bloom et al., 1978; Edwards et al., 1987b, 1988
Espiritu Santo Island	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Not reported	Edwards et al., 1987a
<i>Tasmaloum</i>	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Used estimate from literature (Cutler et al., 2004)	n/a	+4.6 (no details on derivation provided)	Cutler et al., 2004
<i>Tangoa</i>	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Not reported	Edwards et al., 1987a
Urelapa Island	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Used estimate from literature (Cabioch et al., 2003)	n/a	+3.4 (no details on derivation provided)	Cutler et al., 2004
							+3 ± 0.3 (mean late Holocene rate)	Jouannic et al 1990; Taylor et al., 1980, 1987 in Cabioch et al., 2003
							~ +2.5 (average for last 100 ka based on dating of terraces)	Cabioch et al., 2003 (and references therein)
Araki Island	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Used estimate from literature (Cabioch et al., 2003)	n/a	Not reported	Fairbanks et al., 2005
							~ +2.5 (average for last 100 ka based on dating of terraces)	Cabioch et al., 2003 (and references therein)

Table 2:

Location	Site(s)	Modern tidal range (min and max) (m) (3dp)
Bahamas	Abaco , Great Inagua and San Salvador Islands	1.005 to 1.284
Barbados	various	0.906 to 1.29
Bermuda	various	1.249 to 1.258
China	Leizhou Peninsula	4.628
Comoro Islands	Mayotte Island	4.160
Curacao	various	0.450 to 0.501
Eritrea	Red Sea coast	1.391
French Polynesia	Mururoa atoll	0.899
French Polynesia	Tahiti	0.394 to 0.427
Grand Cayman	various	0.441 to 0.443
Haiti	N.W. Peninsula	0.811
Indonesia	Sumba Island	3.621
Madagascar	Toliara	3.289
Mexico	Baja California: various	0.958 to 2.710
Mexico	Yucatan Peninsula	0.396
New Caledonia	Amdee Inlet	1.570
Niue	Niue Island	1.276 to 1.542
Papua New Guinea	Huon Peninsula: various	1.186 to 1.225
Pitcairn Islands	Henderson Island	1.036 to 1.233
Seychelles	various	1.554 to 1.787
USA	California: various	2.667 to 2.876
USA	Florida: various	0.737 to 1.129
USA	Hawaii: various	0.892 to 1.044
USA	Oregon – Coquille Point	3.597
Vanuatu	various	1.499 to 2.158
Western Australia	various	0.897 to 2.097

Table 3:

* too few modern observations (<50) to enable a depth preference to be assigned – used genus information (where available) for the fossil database;

‡ depth preference based on few (<200) observations – treat with caution

widened depth precision (depth precision ≤ 5m)

† no modern observations (but some museum collection specimens); used the study of Gerrodette, 1979

§ numbers in brackets are the number of species used to determine the genus depth range

ζ Maximum depth observed from study of Muir et al., 2015 using the Worldwide *Acropora* Collection, Queensland Museum. This collection comprises 23,000 specimens and included SCUBA collection (to base of reef or the regulated diving limit)

Species	Number in fossil database	Number of observations used to determine depth distribution	Depth range (m) (1dp) (95% confidence)	Depth range (m) (1dp) (68% confidence)	Max. depth recorded in OBIS database (m) (1dp)	Median depth (m) (95% confidence)	Uerror (95%) (m) (1dp)	Lerror (95%) (m) (1dp)	Uerror (68%) (m) (1dp)	Lerror (68%) (m) (1dp)	ICUN Red List (Carpenter et al., 2008) depth range (m) §	Muir et al., 2015 maximum observed depth (m) (<i>Acropora</i> only) ζ
<i>Acropora</i> sp.	154	13,598	0 to -18	0 to -7	-91	-2.4	2.4	15.6	1.8	4.6	0 to -70 (169; some species depth range unknown)	
<i>Acropora abrotanoides</i> ‡	0	50‡	0 to -39	0 to -6	-49.5	-3	3	36	3	3	0 to -15	-26
<i>Acropora cervicornis</i>	15	788	-0.5 to -16.4	-1.8 to -10.5	-48	-4.6	4.1	11.8	2.818	5.959	-0.5 to -60	-10
<i>Acropora danai</i> *	24	No info*									Not listed	
<i>Acropora</i> gr. <i>danai-robusta</i> *	8	No info*									Not listed	
<i>Acropora humilis</i>	37	280	0 to -40	-0.1 to -7	-46	-3	3	37	2.86	4	0 to -11	-20
<i>Acropora hyacinthus</i>	41	322	0 to -10	-2 to -7	-11	-3	3	7	1	4	-5 to -20	-26
<i>Acropora palmata</i>	515	4,761	-0.4 to -9.4	-0.7 to -3	-22	-1.5	1.2	7.9	0.8	1.5	-0.1 to -40	-3
<i>Acropora pharaonis</i> *	0	No info*									-5 to -25	-12
<i>Acropora robusta</i> ‡	3	63‡	0 to -8	-1 to -8	-8	-4	4	4	3	4	-1 to -8	-17.5
<i>Agaricia</i> sp.	0	5,495	-1.1 to -20	-3 to -14	-86	-9.8	8.7	10.3	6.8	4.3	-0.5 to -115 (7)	n/a
<i>Agaricia agaricites</i>	1	2,136	-2 to -20.5	-6.8 to -15	-86	-11.25	9.3	9.3	4.5	3.8	-0.5 to -75	n/a
<i>Alveopora</i> sp. #	5	70‡	-2 to -48.2	-2 to -36.9	-50	-5	3	43.2	3	31.9	-1 to -50 (14)	n/a
<i>Astreopora</i> sp.	0	1,245	0 to -46	0 to -42	-66	-34	34	12	34	8	-1 to -20 (13)	n/a
<i>Balanophyllia elegans</i> †	235	n/a	-12 to -20	-13 to -18		-15	3	5	2	3	Not listed	n/a
<i>Colpophyllia</i> sp. (NB only based on <i>C. natans</i> data)	1	1,170	-2.3 to -22	-4.8 to -15	-81	-10	8	12	3.9	5	-0.5 to -55 (1)	n/a
<i>Colpophyllia natans</i>	8	1,170	-2 to -22	-6.1 to -15	-81	-10	8	12	3.9	5	-0.5 to -55	n/a
<i>Cyphastrea</i> sp.	0	408	-1 to -33.1	-2 to -8	-69.7	-5	4	28	3	3	0 to -50 (8)	n/a
<i>Cyphastrea chalcidum</i> ‡	0	91‡	-0.8 to -13	-2 to -7.1	-15	-5	4.3	8	3	2.1	0 to -50	n/a
<i>Cyphastrea serailia</i>	1	202	-1 to -11.48	-2 to -8	-15	-5	4	6.5	3	3	0 to -50	n/a
<i>Diploastrea</i> sp. ‡ (NB only based on <i>D. heliopora</i> data)	5	162‡	0 to -12	-3 to -8	-16	-6	6	6	3	2	0 to -30 (1)	n/a
<i>Diploastrea heliopora</i> ‡	2	162‡	0 to -12	-3 to -8	-16	-6	6	6	3	2	0 to -30	n/a
<i>Diploria</i> sp.	31	4,525	-0.6 to -23.4	-1.5 to -13	-81	-7.3	6.75	16.1	5.8	5.7	0 to -55 (3)	n/a
<i>Diploria clivosa</i>	23	853	-0.4 to -16	-1 to -9.1	-66	-3.65	3.3	12.4	2.7	5.4	0 to -15	n/a
<i>Diploria labyrinthiformis</i>	2	894	-1.3 to -19.32	-5.25 to -14	-9.32	-10	8.7	9.32	4.75	4		n/a
<i>Diploria strigosa</i>	28	2,763	-0.6 to -27	-1.5 to -13.4	-81	-7.675	7.1	19.3	6.2	5.7	-0.5 to -55	n/a
<i>Echinopora</i> sp.	1	451	0 to -21	-2 to -8	-52	-5	5	16	3	3	0 to -40 (14)	n/a
<i>Echinopora gemmacea</i> ‡	1	95‡	-2 to -13.2	-2 to -8.5	-15	-6	4	7.2	4	2.5	0 to -40	n/a
<i>Favia</i> sp.	12	747	0 to -48	0 to -42	-66	-34	34	14	34	8	0 to -50 (21)	n/a
<i>Favia fragum</i> ‡	4	91	0 to -51.9	-2 to -31	-66	-6.1	6.1	45.83	4.1	24.9		n/a
<i>Favia laxa</i> *	1	n/a										
<i>Favia maxima</i> *	3	39										n/a
<i>Favia pallida</i>	6	445	0 to -46.2	-3 to -40	-53	-8	8	38.2	5	32	0 to -50	n/a
<i>Favia speciosa</i> ‡	0	89‡	-0.7 to -10.3	-2 to -8	-47	-5	4.3	5.3	3	3	0 to -40	n/a
<i>Favia stelligera</i>	8	503	0 to -46	0 to -43	-65	-3	3	43	3	40	0 to -20	n/a
<i>Faviidae</i> (family)	211	7,769	-0.8 to -37	-2.55 to -14	-183	-7.6	5.2	31	3.45	8		n/a
<i>Favites</i> sp.	1	1,269	0 to -17.6	-2 to -8	-69.4	-4	4	13.6	2	4	0 to -40 (14)	n/a
<i>Fungia</i> sp.	1	1,015	0 to -51	0 to -40	-66.9	-3	3	48	3	37	-1 to -85 (25)	n/a
<i>Galaxea</i> sp.	0	846	0 to -46	-2 to -37	-52	-7	7	39	5	30	-1 to -30 (7)	n/a
<i>Galaxea fascicularis</i>	2	598	0 to -46	-2 to -40	-52	-7	7	39	5	33	-1 to -15	n/a

<i>Gardineroseris</i> sp.*	2	28*									-2 to -30 (1; G. planulata)	n/a
<i>Gardineroseris planulata</i> *	7	27*									-2 to -30	n/a
<i>Goniastrea</i> sp.	20	1,970	0 to -46	-2 to -40	-59.8	-5	5	41	3	35	0 to -40 (13)	n/a
<i>Goniastrea parvistella</i> *	0	No info*									Not listed	n/a
<i>Goniastrea pectinata</i>	1	314	0 to -44	-2 to -15.4	-51	-5	5	39	3	10.42	0 to -40	n/a
<i>Goniastrea retiformis</i>	2	571	0 to -46	-2 to -42	-51	-23	23	23	21	19	0 to -20	n/a
<i>Goniopora</i> sp.	2	737	0 to -45	-2 to -10	-69.7	-5	5	40	3	5	-0.5 to -30 (24)	n/a
<i>Goniopora lobata</i> *	1	15*									-0.5 to -30	n/a
<i>Hydnophora</i> sp.	0	554	0 to -40	-1 to -8	-65	-3	3	37	2	5	0 to -40 (6)	n/a
<i>Hydnophora exesa</i>	1	228	0 to -38.8	-2 to -8	-47	-4	4	34.8	2	4	-1 to -30	n/a
<i>Hydnophora microconos</i> ‡	1	117‡	0 to -46.2	0 to -6	-65	-2	2	44.2	2	4	-1 to -35	n/a
<i>Leptastrea</i> sp.	3	1,791	0 to -46	0 to -41	-86	-5	5	41	5	36	0 to -40 (7)	n/a
<i>Leptoria</i> sp.	0	216	0 to -45	-2 to -10	-50	-5	5	40	3	5	0 to -30 (2)	n/a
<i>Leptoria phrygia</i> ‡	2	183‡	0 to -12	-2 to -8	-45	-4	4	8	2	4	0 to -30	n/a
<i>Leptoseris</i> sp.‡	1	228	0 to -65	0 to -46	-69.4	-9	9	56	9	37	-1 to -150 (14)	n/a
<i>Lobophyllia</i> sp.	0	692	0 to -12	-2 to -8	-48	-5	5	7	3	3	-1 to -50 (9)	n/a
<i>Lobophyllia corymbosa</i> ‡	0	132‡	-2 to -13.2	-3 to -8	-15	-6	4	7.2	3	2	-2 to -40	n/a
<i>Millepora</i> sp.	3	3,801	-0.3 to -40	-1 to -11.2	-92	-3	2.75	37	2	8.2	0 to -40 (16)	n/a
<i>Montastraea</i> sp.	19	18,520	-1.1 to -39	-5.5 to -15	-85	-10	8.9	29	4.5	5	0 to -113 (12)	n/a
<i>Montastraea annularis</i>	233	6,733	-1.1 to -17	-4.8 to -12.7	-65	-9.7	8.6	7.3	5	3	-0.5 to 82	n/a
<i>Montastraea cavernosa</i>	8	3,437	-1.8 to -23	-6 to 14.6	-85	-10	8.2	13	4	4.6	-0.5 to -113	n/a
<i>Montastraea annuligera</i> *	2	5*									0 to -20	n/a
<i>Montipora</i> sp.	14	25,751	0 to -56	0 to -45	-98	0	0	56	0	45	0 to -40 (74)	n/a
<i>Oculina</i> sp.## (NB includes museum/collection specimens)	29	248	-7 to -237.8	-18 to -77	-1,050	-42.5	33.5	195.3	24.5	34.5	-0.5 to -152 (3, some species depth range unknown)	n/a
<i>Oulophyllia</i> sp. ## (NB includes museum/collection specimens)	1	239	-1.5 to -49.3	-3 to -42.5	-70.5	-7	5.5	42.3	4	35.5	-1 to -30 (3)	n/a
<i>Oulophyllia crispa</i> ##	4	136‡	-1.9 to -18	-3 to -8	-40	-5	3.1	13	2	3	-2 to -30	n/a
<i>Pachyseris</i> sp.	0	296	-2 to -13	-3 to -10	-18	-6	4	7	3	4	-3 to -25 (5)	n/a
<i>Pachyseris foliosa</i> *	3	4*									-10 to -25	n/a
<i>Pavona</i> sp.	4	3,347	0 to -51	0 to -43	-75	-5	5	46	5	38	-0.5 to -80 (16)	n/a
<i>Pavona clavus</i>	4	30*									-2 to -15	n/a
<i>Platygyra</i> sp.	7	1183	0 to -42	-2 to -8	-50	-5	5	37	3	3	0 to -30 (11)	n/a
<i>Platygyra daedalea</i> *	1	No info*									0 to -30	n/a
<i>Platygyra lamellina</i> ‡	2	179‡	0 to -10.1	-2 to -8	-16	-4.5	4.5	5.6	2.5	3.5	-1 to -30	n/a
<i>Platygyra sinensis</i> ‡	1	169‡	0 to -12.3	-2 to -8	-16	-5	5	7.3	3	3	0 to -30	n/a
<i>Plesiastrea</i> sp. ‡	0	84‡	-2 to -43.7	-2 to -8.2	-45	-5	3	38.655	3	3.22	0 to -40 (2)	n/a
<i>Plesiastrea curta</i> (can't assign depth distribution)	1	No info									Not listed	n/a
<i>Pocillopora</i> sp.	81	14,439	0 to -64	0 to -43	-98	0	0	64	0	43	-0.5 to -54 (18; some species depth range unknown)	n/a
<i>Pocillopora eydouxi</i>	0	401	0 to -49	0 to -34	-65	0	0	49	0	34	-2 to -20	n/a
<i>Pocillopora guadalupensis</i>	4*	No info*									Not listed	n/a
<i>Pocillopora meandrina</i>	2	8,227	0 to -56	0 to -43	-98	0	0	56	0	43	-1 to -27	n/a
<i>Pocillopora verrucosa</i>	5	455	0 to -45.2	0 to -33	-54	0	0	45.2	0	33	-1 to -54	n/a
<i>Porites</i> sp.	350	50,936	0 to -66	0 to -45	-98	-4	4	62	4	41	0 to -50 (61; some species depth range unknown)	n/a
<i>Porites asteroides</i>	17	6,133	-0.7 to -20.5	-2 to -12.7	-84	-6.8	6.1	13.7	4.8	5.9	-0.2 to -70	n/a
<i>Porites brighami</i>	1	428	0 to -87	0 to -75	-87	-17	17	70	17	58	"Unknown"	n/a
<i>Porites lobata</i>	12	29,941	0 to -67	0 to -45	-98	0	0	67	0	45	0 to -30	n/a
<i>Porites lutea</i>	9	804	0 to -45	0	-52	0	0	45	0	0	0 to -30	n/a
<i>Porites nigrescens</i> ‡	1	59‡	-2 to -12	-3 to -8	-12	-6	4	6	3	2	-2 to -15	n/a
<i>Porites solida</i> ‡	0	127‡	0 to -47	0	-87	0	0	47	0	0	-1 to -30	n/a
<i>Porites solida lobata</i> *	1	no info*									Not listed	n/a
<i>Pseudosiderastrea</i> sp.*	0	38*									-8 to -20 (1)	n/a
<i>Pseudosiderastrea tayami</i> *	0	34*									-8 to -20	n/a
<i>Siderastrea</i> sp.	44	4,393	-1.2 to -32	-4.3 to -14	-85	-10	8.8	22	5.8	4	0 to -70 (5; some species	n/a

											depth range unknown)	
<i>Siderastrea radians</i> †	12	276	-0.1 to -64.9	-3 to -32	-79	-10.45	10.3	54.4	7.5	21.6	0 to -3	n/a
<i>Siderastrea siderea</i>	16	4,098	-1.2 to -23	-4.3 to -14	-85	-10	8.8	13	5.8	4	0 to -70	n/a
<i>Stylophora</i> sp.	2	601	0 to -44	-2 to -36	-50	-8	8	36	6	28	0 to -40 (7)	n/a
<i>Symphylia</i> sp.	0	343	0 to -10	-2 to -8	-16	-4	4	6	2	4	0 to -45 (7)	n/a
<i>Symphylia mobilis</i>	0	No info*									Not listed	n/a
<i>Turbinaria</i> sp.	1	985	0 to -45.3	-2 to -8	-66.6	-5	5	40.3	3	3	-0.5 to -25 (11)	n/a

Table 4:

^(a) assumed that where uranium concentration was reported as [U], that this [²³⁸U].

^(b) indicates where uranium concentration determinations were made on differing parts of the coral skeleton (work of Veeh and Turekian, 1968 and; Schroeder et al., 1970), both are included in the range of values quoted.

Species	Number of analyses	Range of [²³⁸ U] reported (ppm) ^(a)	Sample location	References
<i>Acropora cervicornis</i> ^(b)	3	3.27 to 5.8 ^(b)	Bahamas, Barbados	Schroeder et al., 1970; Cross and Cross, 1983
<i>Acropora cuneata</i>	3	1.56 to 1.96	Australia	Swart and Hubbard, 1982.
<i>Acropora palmata</i>	32	3.03 to 3.86	Bahamas, Barbados, Cayman Islands	Flor and Moore, 1977; Cross and Cross 1983; Hamelin et al., 1991;
<i>Acropora squamosa</i>	2	1.84 to 1.85	Australia	Swart and Hubbard, 1982
<i>Acropora</i> sp.	53	1.3 to 4.005	<i>ibid</i> , Australia, Red Sea, Samoa, Tahiti	<i>ibid</i> , Veeh and Turekian, 1968; Gvirtzman et al., 1973; Zhu et al., 1993;
<i>Agaricia agaricites</i>	1	2.76	Cayman Islands	Flor and Moore, 1977.
<i>Bathocyathus maculatus</i>	1	3.0	Brazil (St Peter's Rocks)	Livingston and Thompson, 1971.
<i>Cladocora patricia</i>	1	3.6	Brazil (St Peter's Rocks)	Livingston and Thompson, 1971.
<i>Colpophyllia natans</i>	1	3.16	Barbados	Cross and Cross, 1983.
<i>Dendrophyllia</i> sp.	1	4.6	Brazil (St Peter's Rocks)	Livingston and Thompson, 1971.
<i>Diploria labyrinthiformis</i> ^(b)	3	2.1 to 3.4 ^(b)	Barbados, Bermuda	Schroeder et al., 1970; Cross and Cross, 1983
<i>Diploria strigosa</i> ^(b)	6	2.5 to 4.2 ^(b)	Bahamas, Barbados, Bermuda	Schroeder et al., 1970; Cross and Cross, 1983; Muhs et al., 2011; Romaniello et al., 2013.
<i>Favia cavernosa</i>	1	2.24	Gulf of Aqaba	Freidman, 1968.
<i>Favia</i> sp.	6	1.60 to 2.24	<i>ibid</i> , Vanuatu, Christmas Island, Tuamotu	<i>ibid</i> , Sackett and Portratz, 1968; Veeh and Turekian, 1968; Edwards et al., 1988;
<i>Fungia</i> sp. ^(b)	5	1.96 to 2.39 ^(b)	Tuamotu	Veeh and Turekian, 1968.
<i>Goniastrea retiformis</i>	7	2.17 to 2.69	Vanuatu	Edwards et al., 1988
<i>Goniastrea</i> sp.	8	2.17 to 2.681	<i>ibid</i> , Japan	<i>ibid</i> , Henderson et al., 1993.
<i>Leptastrea</i> sp.	3	2.59 to 3.18	Hawaii	Veeh and Turekian, 1968.
<i>Leptoria</i> sp.	3	1.80 to 2.24	Samoa	Veeh and Turekian, 1968.
<i>Madacis asperula</i>	1	4.5	Brazil (St Peter's Rocks)	Livingston and Thompson, 1971.
<i>Madracis mirabilis</i>	2	3.5	Jamaica	Livingston and Thompson, 1971.
<i>Madracis</i> sp.	5	2.63 to 4.5	<i>ibid</i> , Barbados, Brazil	<i>ibid</i> , Cross and Cross 1983.
<i>Meandrina areolata</i>	1	2.7	Florida Bay	Livingston and Thompson, 1971.
<i>Meandrina braziliensis</i>	3	2.47 to 4.8	Barbados, Brazil	Livingston and Thompson, 1971; Cross and Cross, 1983.
<i>Meandrina meandrites</i>	1	2.77	Barbados	Cross and Cross, 1983.
<i>Millipora dichotoma</i>	4	7.5 to 12.5	Gulf of Aqaba	Abu-Hilal, 1994.
<i>Montastraea annularis</i>	23	2.19 to 3.13	Bahamas, Barbados, Grand Cayman, Jamaica	Livingston and Thompson, 1971.; Flor and Moore, 1977; Cross and Cross, 1983; Chen et al., 1991; Muhs et al., 2011.
<i>Montastraea cavernosa</i>	2	2.1 to 3.5	Bermuda	Schroeder et al 1970.
<i>Montastraea</i> sp.	24	2.1 to 3.5	<i>ibid</i>	<i>ibid</i>
<i>Oculina diffusa</i>	2	1.9 to 3.6 ^(b)	Bermuda	Schroeder et al 1970.
<i>Oculina</i> sp.	4	1.9 to 3.14 ^(b)	Bermuda	<i>ibid</i> , Ludwig et al., 1996
<i>Pavona clavus</i>	4	2.90 to 3.08	Galapagos	Hamelin et al., 1991.
<i>Platygra lamellina</i>	4	6.7 to 10.0	Gulf of Aqaba	Abu-Hilal, 1994.
<i>Platygra sinensis</i>	1	2.57	Vanuatu	Edwards et al., 1988.
<i>Platygra</i> sp.	8	1.44 to 10.0	<i>ibid</i> , Hong Kong	<i>ibid</i> , Edwards et al., 1987b
<i>Plerogyra sinuosa</i>	4	7.0 to 12.5	Gulf Aqaba	Abu-Hilal, 1994.
<i>Pocillopora damicornis</i>	2	1.736 to 1.807	Australia (Heron Island)	Swart and Hubbard, 1982.
<i>Pocillopora</i> sp.	8	1.736 to 2.51	<i>ibid</i> , Hawaii, Tahiti	<i>ibid</i> , Veeh and Turekian, 1968; Szabo et al., 1994
<i>Porites asteroides</i> ^(b)	6	2.7 to 4.2	Bahamas, Barbados, Bermuda, Curacao, Grand Cayman	Flor and Moore, 1977; Schroeder et al., 1977; Cross and Cross, 1983; Muhs et al., 2012a; Romaniello et al., 2013.
<i>Porites australiensis</i>	1	2.4	Marshall Islands (Enewetak Atoll)	Sackett and Portratz, 1963.
<i>Porites divaricata</i>	1	2.55	Bahamas	Romaniello et al., 2013.
<i>Porites lutea</i>	13	2.46 to 2.595	Vietnam	Shen et al., 2008
<i>Porites porites</i>	2	2.76 to 2.91	Bahamas, Barbados	Cross and Cross, 1983; Muhs et al., 2011.

<i>Porites</i> sp.	89	1.3 to 4.2	<i>ibid</i> , Taiwan, China, Gulf Aqaba, Hawaii, Marquesas Islands	<i>ibid</i> , Gvirtman et al., 1973, Szabo et al., 1994; Zhao and Ku, 2002, Lazar et al., 2004; Scholz et al., 2004; Cabioch et al., 2008
<i>Scolmia cubensis</i>	2	2.6 to 2.7	Jamaica	Livingston and Thompson, 1971.
<i>Siderastrea radians</i>	1	2.79	Bahamas	Romaniello et al., 2013.
<i>Siderastrea siderea</i>	1	2.58	Barbados	Cross and Cross, 1983.
<i>Siderastrea</i> sp.	5	2.58 to 2.87	<i>ibid</i> , Bermuda, Florida	<i>ibid</i> , Ludwig et al., 1996.
<i>Stylophora pistillata</i>	5	2.46 to 11.3	Gulf of Aqaba	Friedman, 1968; Abu-Hilal, 1994.
<i>Unidentified (hermatypic)</i>	54	1.3 to 4.7	Bermuda, Brazil (St Paul's Rocks), Brazil, Florida Keys, Gulf of Aqaba, Marshall Islands (Enewetak Atoll), Palmyra Island (Northern Line Islands)	Broecker, 1963; Broecker and Thuber, 1965; Friedman, 1968; Livingston and Thompson, 1971; Amiel et al., 1973; Gvirtman et al., 1973; Cobb et al., 2003b.
<i>Unidentified (ahermatypic)</i>	9	4.06 to 4.16	Unidentified and shallow waters around St Peter and St Paul's Rocks	Thompson and Livingston, 1970.
<i>ALL (hermatypic)</i>	254	1.28 to 12.5	<i>ibid</i>	<i>ibid</i>

Figure's

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5a

Figure 5b

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 8

Figure 9

Figure 10

- + Samples passing $^{234}\text{U}_{\text{initial}}$, % calcite, ^{232}Th concentration screening
- Replicate samples passing screening
- Scholz et al., 2009 samples passing screening

Figure 11

Figure 12

Figure 13

Figure 14

Figure 15

Figure 16

(A)

(B)

Figure 17

(A)

(B)

Figure 18

Figure 19

(A) Z_{cp}

(B) P_{RSL}

Figure 20