Deriving a provisional tolerable intake for intravenous exposure to silver nanoparticles released from medical devices

Laura C. Savery¹, René Viñas¹, Amber Nagy¹, Prachi Pradeep^{2,3}, Stephen J. Merrill², Alan M. Hood¹, Subhas G. Malghan¹, Peter L. Goering¹, Ronald P. Brown¹

¹Center for Devices and Radiological Health, U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Silver Spring, Maryland 20993

²Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science, Marquette University, P.O. Box 1881, Milwaukee, WI 53201

Corresponding Author:

Peter L. Goering
Center for Devices and Radiological Health, U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 64, Rm. 4064, Silver Spring, MD 20993

Telephone: +1-301-796-0253

Fax: +1-301-796-9826

Email: Peter.Goering@fda.hhs.gov

³Current affiliation: National Center for Computational Toxicology (ORISE Fellow), US Environmental Protection Agency, RTP, NC, USA

Abstract

Silver nanoparticles (AgNP) are incorporated into medical devices for their anti-microbial characteristics. The potential exposure and toxicity of AgNPs is unknown due to varying physicochemical particle properties and lack of toxicological data. The aim of this safety assessment is to derive a provisional tolerable intake (pTI) value for AgNPs released from blood-contacting medical devices. A literature review of *in vivo* studies investigating critical health effects induced from intravenous (*i.v.*) exposure to AgNPs was evaluated by the Annapolis Accords principles and Toxicological Data Reliability Assessment Tool (ToxRTool). The point of departure (POD) was based on an *i.v.* 28-day repeated AgNP (20 nm) dose toxicity study reporting an increase in relative spleen weight in rats with a 5% lower confidence bound of the benchmark dose (BMDL₀₅) of 0.14 mg/kg bw/day. The POD was extrapolated to humans by a modifying factor of 1,000 to account for intraspecies variability, interspecies differences and lack of long-term toxicity data. The pTI for long-term *i.v.* exposure to 20 nm AgNPs released from blood-contacting medical devices was 0.14 µg/kg bw/day. This pTI may not be appropriate for nanoparticles of other physicochemical properties or routes of administration. The methodology is appropriate for deriving pTIs for nanoparticles in general.

Keywords

Silver Nanoparticles; Safety Assessment; Provisional Tolerable Intake; Medical Devices; Intravenous; Uncertainty Factors; Point of Departure; Annapolis Accords; ToxRTool

1. Introduction

Nanotechnology has many applications in medical devices; however, knowledge gaps exist inhibiting assessment of the risk of exposure and toxicity of nanoparticles released from medical devices to patients (Wijnhoven et al. 2009). Prediction of the toxic effects of nanoparticles could be calculated from the known toxicity of their bulk materials but is prevented due to fundamental physical and chemical properties that change as the particle size is decreased within the nanoscale range (Lai and Sayre 2009; SCENIHR 2009). Safety assessment of nanoparticles is further complicated by the vast number and variety of physicochemical properties produced differing widely by particle size, shape, agglomeration state, crystal structure, chemical composition, surface area and surface properties (Pang et al. 2016; Lai and Sayre 2009; Warheit et al. 2007; Isakovic et al. 2006; Sayes et al. 2006a,b; Nemmar et al. 2003). A stringent battery of biological tests for each nanomaterial with varying physicochemical particle properties on a case-by-case basis would be costly, time-consuming and impractical (Lai et al. 2015; Oberdorster et al. 2005).

To address this complex problem, provisional tolerable intake (pTI) values can be determined for exposure to nanoparticles of specific physicochemical properties, routes of entry and durations of exposure. A pTI value is a dose estimate of the average daily intake of a chemical over a period of time based on body mass and is considered to be without appreciable harm to human health (ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10093-17:2002; FDA 2001). A pTI is normally expressed in milligrams per kilogram of body mass per day (mg/kg bw/day) and derived as a part of establishment of an allowable limit for a leachable chemical in a medical device.

Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) are incorporated into blood-contacting medical devices for their anti-microbial properties, such as in intravascular (*i.v.*) catheters (Wijnhoven et al. 2009). The toxic effects induced by AgNPs have been evaluated using *in vitro* and short-term *in vivo* studies (Dubey et al. 2015; Gaillet et al. 2015; Ge et al. 2014; Wijnhoven et al. 2009); however, the potential exposure and subsequent toxicity of AgNPs released from medical devices via *i.v.* exposure to patients is not completely understood. The aim of this safety assessment is to derive a pTI value for AgNPs released from blood-contacting medical devices. A comprehensive literature review of *in vivo* studies investigating critical health effects induced from *i.v.* exposure to AgNPs was reviewed (ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10093-17:2002) and evaluated by the Annapolis Accords principles as described by Gray et al. (2008). Key studies were further analyzed by the Toxicological data Reliability Assessment Tool (ToxRTool) (Schneider et al. 2009) to determine the critical study for use in derivation of the pTI.

The point of departure (POD) is the most sensitive critical health effect reported in the critical study typically presented as a no-adverse-effect-level (NOAEL) or bench mark dose (BMD) value (FDA 2015). The POD is then extrapolated to humans by a modifying factor (MF) determined based on scientific review and analysis to account for uncertainties including

intraspecies variability, interspecies differences and lack of long-term toxicity data. The derivation of a pTI dose are similar to the methodology used in the safety assessment of di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP) (FDA 2001) released from PVC medical devices and in Weldon et al. (2016) in the development of an occupational exposure limit for silver nanoparticles. The pTI derived in this work should be considered provisional because a limited number of critical toxicological studies are currently available and may not be appropriate for nanoparticles of other physicochemical properties or routes of AgNP administration. The methodology used was deemed appropriate for deriving pTIs for nanoparticles in general.

2. Literature Review and Selection of Toxicological Studies

2.1 Criteria for Selection of Toxicological Studies

A comprehensive literature search using PubMed, Web of Science and Embase was conducted to identify *in vivo* studies investigated the critical health effects after *i.v.* exposure to AgNP that were published from August 31, 2006 to August 31, 2016. Studies were evaluated based on principles outlined in the *Annapolis Accords on The Use of Toxicology in Risk Assessment and Decision-Making* (Gray et al. 2008) and are presented in Table 1. Criteria for inclusion or exclusion of a study included: 1) administration route similar to the route of exposure to medical devices containing AgNPs, 2) relevance of the toxicological effects to human health, 3) clearly established critical health effects between a biomarker and functional endpoint, so that only studies with effects broadly considered to be adverse (histopathological or functional changes) would be used for pTI derivation; and 4) high quality of the published data based on rigor, power, corroboration, universality, proximity, relevance and cohesion (Gray et al. 2008).

Studies that met the Annapolis Accords principles were furthered analyzed by the ToxRTool. The ToxRTool was developed by the European Commission's Joint Research Center in 2009 (Schneider et al., 2009) and built upon Klimisch categories (Klimisch et al. 1997) to evaluate peer-reviewed publications providing criteria and guidance for accessing the reliability of toxicological studies. The methodology of how the tool assesses data reliability from a toxicological study is previously described in Schneider et al. (2009). For our use, the *in vivo* spreadsheet of the ToxRTool was used to determine if 21 criteria were met in the following 5 areas: (1) test substance identification, (2) test organism characterization, (3) study design description, (4) study results documentation and (5) plausibility of study design and data. To minimize rater bias during analysis and provide a more objective screening (Segal et al. 2015), three raters were employed to score each study independently while blinded to the other's ratings. Ratings were jointly reviewed to conclude the score of the study. Studies that are categorized as reliable (scores of 1 or 2) are deemed appropriate for use in derivation of the pTI.

Table 1: Criteria for Selection of Toxicological Studies Based on Annapolis Accords Principles^a

Principle	Criteria for Inclusion in Derivation of a Provisional Tolerable Intake Value
Rigor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Evaluated for proper conduct and analysis. – Greater weight given to more rigorous studies. – Studies with poor methods discounted.
Power	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Statistical power of the experimental design examined for ability to detect effects of a given magnitude. – For example, some "negative" studies may misinterpret a low level of response as a lack of response.
Corroboration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Determine if effects are replicated in similar studies or under varied conditions to predict if effects would be seen under conditions of human exposure as well. – Conversely, lack of corroboration of effects provides a basis for doubting either the validity of single experimental results or their applicability to other species or conditions of exposure.

Universality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Effect seen in multiple species by various routes of exposure gives confidence that the effect may apply to humans. – If an effect is restricted to a certain species, strain, or route of administration, there is less confidence in the ability to generalize the response to other species or routes.
Proximity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – When effects exist in a species taxonomically-related to humans or at exposure doses similar to those expected in humans, such results weigh more heavily than effects found in taxonomically less related species by less relevant routes or at markedly different doses.
Relevance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Knowledge of the underlying biologic basis for toxicity in animals can assist in determining whether similar metabolism, mechanisms of damage and repair and molecular targets of toxic action occur in humans. Accordingly, confidence in applicability to humans can increase or decrease.
Cohesion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Extent to which all data are consistent and subject to a single, biologically plausible explanation increases the weight-of-evidence when comparing situations where inconsistencies require ad hoc explanations and exceptions to general patterns.

^aPrinciples outlined as in (Gray et al. 2008).

2.2 Summarization of In-vivo Toxicological Data

The comprehensive literature review identified eighteen (18) *in vivo* studies investigating the critical health effects after *i.v.* AgNP exposure. These studies are summarized in Table 2 and in more detail in the Supplemental Material considering study methodology including animal model used, characterization of AgNPs employed, AgNP treatment dose, exposure and duration, toxicological health effects seen, POD reported and appropriateness of the study for derivation of the pTI based on the Annapolis Accords. A study assessed to be lacking in any of the Annapolis Accords criteria does not mean the study lacked scientific merit, but does reduce its appropriateness for deriving a pTI.

162 Table 2. Summarization of *In vivo* Studies Investigating the Toxicity and Health Effects of
163 Intravenous Silver Nanoparticle Exposure

Species (strain, sex)	AgNP Treatment Dose ^a	Exposure/ Duration	Toxicological Health Effect	Point of Departure ^a	Appropriateness of Study for pTI Derivation ^b	Reference
Mouse studies						
Mouse (C57BL6, wild type (WT) and 8-oxo-guanine DNA glycosylase knockout (KO) male)	5 mg/kg bw/day (20 and 200 nm AgNP dispersed in dH ₂ O/10X BSA/10X PBS in 8:1:1 ratio) n=6 per treatment	Single injection and sacrificed 1 and 6 days after treatment	Genotoxicity: ↑ DNA-SB and alkali sites in KO lung (200 nm, 7 days). ↑ Fpg-ss lesions in lung (200 nm, 7 days) and testis of WT (20 and 200 nm, 7 days) Gene expression: ↑ <i>Atm</i> , <i>Rad51</i> , <i>Sod1</i> , <i>Fos</i> and <i>Mmp3</i> in KO lung (200 nm, 1 and 7 days). ↑ <i>Atr</i> and <i>Rad51</i> in KO testis (20 and 200 nm, 7 days).	NOAEL/ LOAEL: None	Lacks Power	Asare et al. 2016
Mouse (CD-1, female)	0.66 mg/kg bw/day (10 nm AgNP in CT buffer) n=8-10 pregnant females per treatment	3 daily injections of 2.2 mg/ml on GDs 7, 8, 9 and sacrificed 1 day after treatment	Histopath: No abnormalities Reprod: ↑ number of smaller-sized GD10 embryos	NOAEL/ LOAEL: None	Lacks Power, Corroboration and Universality	Austin et al. 2016
Mouse (CD-1, female)	1.2 and 2.2 mg/kg bw/day (50 nm AgNP in CT buffer) n=6-12 pregnant females per treatment	3 day treatment on GDs 7, 8, 9 and sacrificed 1 day after treatment	Histopath: No abnormalities Reprod: No gross abnormalities to embryo	NOAEL: 2.2 mg/kg bw/day	No adverse effect ^b	Austin et al. 2012
Mouse (Balb/c, unknown gender)	0.2, 2 and 5 mg/kg bw/day (20 nm AgNP maintained in 4% polyoxy-ethylene glycerol trioleate and 4% Tween 20)	Single injection and sacrificed 8h after treatment	Toxicity: No effect on body weight Hepatic: ↑ ER stress marker levels (5 mg/kg bw/day) Blood: ↓ lymphocyte percentage and ↑ IL-6 Histopath: Thickened alveolar walls, multifocal	NOAEL: 2 mg/kg bw/day	Lacks Power and Universality	Chen et al. 2016

	n=3 per treatment		consolidation and infiltration of focal inflammatory cells in lungs. Disorganized hepatic cords, damaged hepatic lobules, edema cytoplasm and ballooning-like tissue changes in the liver. No effects in the brain, heart, spleen and kidneys. ↑ apoptotic cells in the lung, liver, spleen and kidneys (5 mg/kg bw/day).			
Mouse (Balb/c, female)	0.25 mg/kg bw/day (10, 75 and 110 nm AgNP in 5% isotonic glucose solution) n=3 per treatment	Single injection and sacrificed 4h or 1, 3, 7 days after treatment 3 injections on days 1, 4 and 10 and sacrificed 7 days later	Toxicity: Liver, kidney and lung had inflammation (greatest after 75 or 100 nm)	NOAEL/ LOAEL: None	Lacks Power and Universality	Guo et al. 2016
Mouse (CD-1, male)	1.0 mg/kg bw/day (14 nm, citrate-coated AgNP) in PBS n=6 per treatment	Injections on 0, 3, 6, 9, and 12 days and sacrificed 15, 60, and 120 days	Toxicity: No effect on body weight Histopath: ↑ lumen volume and tubule diameter and ↓ seminiferous epithelium volume density in the testis (15 and 60 days). ↑ % of apoptotic germ cells in the testis. ↑ cytoplasm and size of Leydig cells in the testis (15 and 60 days). Hormone: No effect in serum levels of LH or FSH. ↑ serum and intratesticular testosterone at 15 days Reprod: No effects on sperm concentration and motility (15-20 days)	NOAEL/ LOAEL: None	Lacks Power	Garcia et al. 2014
Mouse (B6C3F1, male)	0.5, 1.0, 2.5, 10, 20 mg/kg bw/day (5 nm, PVP-coated AgNP)	Single Injection (5 nm, PVP-coated) Single	Gentox: Cytotoxicity of reticulocytes (PVP-coated) and presence of oxidative damage (Comet Assay) in liver (PVP- and silicon-	NOAEL (5 nm PVP-coated): 20 mg/kg bw/day	Lacks Corroboration and Universality	Li et al. 2014

	<p>25 mg/kg bw/day (15–100 nm, PVP-coated AgNP)</p> <p>25 mg/kg bw/day (10–80 nm, silicon-coated AgNP)</p> <p>n=5 per treatment</p>	<p>injection and 3 day repeat dose (15–100 nm, PVP-coated and 10–80 nm, silicon-coated)</p>	<p>coated AgNPs). No increase in mutation frequencies in the <i>Pig-a</i> gene or the percent of micronucleated (MN) reticulocytes.</p>			
<p>Mouse (CD-1, male)</p>	<p>10 mg/kg bw/day (10, 40 and 100 nm, CT- or PVP-coated, spherical AgNP suspended in Milli-Q water)</p> <p>n=3 per treatment</p>	<p>Single injection</p> <p>Sacrificed 1 day after treatment</p>	<p>Toxicity: 2 mortalities. ↓ body weight, ↑ spleen weight. Midzonal hepatocellular necrosis and gall bladder hemorrhage (10 nm).</p>	<p>NOAEL/LOAEL: None</p>	<p>Lacks Power and Corroboration</p>	<p>Recordati et al. 2016</p>
<p>Mouse (ICR, male and female)</p>	<p>7.5, 30, and 120 mg/kg bw/day (15 nm AgNP suspended in saline)</p> <p>n=5 per sex per treatment</p>	<p>Single injections</p> <p>Sacrificed at 6, 12 h and 1, 7, 14 days</p>	<p>Toxicity: No significant changes in body or relative organs weights were observed.</p> <p>Histopath: Infiltration of focal inflammatory cells and thickened alveolar walls in the lungs at day 7 but diminished by day 14 (120 mg/kg bw/day). Liver edema and loose interstitial cytoplasm in hepatic cells (120 mg/kg bw/day). None in brain, heart, spleen, kidneys, testicles or ovaries</p>	<p>NOAEL: 120 mg/kg bw/day</p>	<p>No adverse effect^c</p>	<p>Xue et al. 2012</p>
<p>Mouse (ICR, female)</p>	<p>1.0 mg/kg bw/day (8 nm, spherical AgNP)</p> <p>n=20 per treatment</p>	<p>Single at 6.5 dpc</p> <p>Sacrificed at 13.5, 15.5 and 17.5 dpc</p>	<p>Reprod: ↑ progression of meiotic prophase I of female fetal germ cells</p> <p>Gene expression: ↑ meiosis-specific genes, <i>Stra8</i>, <i>Daz1</i>, <i>Scp1</i>, <i>Scp3</i> and <i>Dmc1</i> and ↓ development-related genes, <i>Cx37</i>, ZP glycoprotein 1, 2 and 3, and <i>Figla</i>. ↑</p>	<p>NOAEL/LOAEL: None</p>	<p>Lacks Power, Corroboration and Universality</p>	<p>Zhang et al. 2015a</p>

			imprinted genes, <i>H19</i> , <i>Zac1</i> , <i>Ascl2</i> , <i>Snrpn</i> , <i>Kcnq1ot1</i> , <i>Peg3</i> , <i>Zac1</i> , <i>H19</i> , <i>Igf2r</i> and <i>Igf2</i> . DNA methylation: ↓ <i>Zac1</i> and ↑ <i>Igf2r</i>			
Rat Studies						
Rat (Wistar WU, male and female)	0.0082, 0.0025, 0.074, 0.22, 0.67, 2.0 and 6.0 mg/kg bw/day (20 and 100 nm AgNP suspended in PB) n=2–4 per sex per treatment	Daily injections for 28 days Sacrificed 1 day after final treatment	Toxicity: ↓ thymus and ↑ spleen wt. Histopath: enlarged, brown-colored spleen, liver, and lymph nodes Immunol: ↓ cytokine production including interferon-γ, IL-10, and IL-6, as well as increased serum IgM, IgE and increased blood neutrophilic granulocytes	BMDL ₀₅ : 0.14 mg/kg bw/day ↑ relative spleen weight and 0.001 mg/kg bw/day for ↓ thymus weight	Chosen as a critical study. Used to derive the pTl.	de Jong et al. 2013
Rat (Wistar, male)	5 and 10 mg/kg bw/day (20 nm, spherical AgNP in NaCl solution) 5 mg/kg bw/day (200 nm; spherical AgNP in NaCl solution) n=7 per treatment	Single injection Sacrificed 1, 7 and 28 days after treatment	At 10 mg/kg bw/day (20 nm) significantly higher frequency of micronuclei after 4 weeks (possible bone marrow toxicity)	NOAEL: 10 mg/kg bw/day (20 nm)	Lacks Power, Rigor, Corroboration and Universality	Dobrzynska et al. 2014
Rat (Sprague Dawley, male)	10 mg/kg bw/day (117 nm AgNP dispersed in dH ₂ O) n=7 per treatment and n=5 for controls	Single injection Sacrificed 2 days after treatment	Urine: Proteinuria Blood: ↑ creatinine and urea in serum Nephro: accumulation of glycosaminoglycan, hemorrhage in renal cortex and ↑ thickness of the parietal layer in Bowman's capsule.	NOAEL/ LOAEL: None	Lacks Power, Corroboration and Universality	Feng et al. 2015
Rat (Wistar Kyoto)	0.238 mg/kg bw/day (17.3 nm AgNP dispersed in H ₂ O containing 4% each of PGT and	Single injection Sacrificed 1 day after treatment	No changes in glutathione, ↑ in TNF-α, IL-1R1, and MIP-2 gene expression (24 h)	NOAEL/ LOAEL: None	Lacks Power and subtle effects not considered critical	Gaiser et al. 2013

	Tween 20) n=3 per treatment					
Rat (Wistar, male)	5 and 10 mg/kg bw/day (20 nm, spherical AgNP dispersed in 0.9% NaCl solution) 5 mg/kg bw/day (200 nm, spherical AgNP dispersed in 0.9% NaCl solution) n=24 per treatment	Single injection 1, 7 and 28 days after treatment	20 nm: Reprod: ↓ sperm count (5 mg/kg bw/day; 1 and 28 days) and germ count (5 mg/kg bw/day). DNA damage in germ cells (5 and 10 mg/kg bw/day at 24 h). 200 nm: Morphological changes in testes (5)	NOAEL (200 nm): 5.0 mg/kg bw/day	Lacks Power	Gromadzka-Ostrawska et al. 2012
Rat (Wistar, male)	4, 10, 20 and 40 mg/kg bw/day AgNP (13 nm dispersed in ethylene glycol) n=6 per treatment	5 d intervals, 32 days	Toxicity: ↓ in body weight (20 and 40 mg/kg bw/day after 15 d exposure). No effect in organ weight. Hematol: ↓ platelet counts and ↑ white blood cells (20 and 40 mg/kg bw/day) Hepatotox: ↑ ALT and AST (20 and 40 mg/kg bw/day) and ↑ ALP and GGTP (40 mg/kg bw/day). Blood: ↑ROS and DNA damage. Histopath: No inflammation, damage or morphological changes in the liver or kidney.	NOAEL: 10 mg/kg bw/day	Lacks Power	Tiwari et al. 2011
Rat (Wistar WU, male)	0.0082, 0.0025, 0.074, 0.22, 0.67, 2.0 and 6.0 mg/kg bw/day AgNP (20 nm, CT-coated dispersed in CT)	Daily injections for 28 days Sacrificed 21 days after final treatment	Toxicity: ↓ body and thymus weight and ↑ spleen weight and cell number. Immunol: ↑ spleen monocytes and ↓ KLH-specific IgG	BMD (BMDL ₀₅): 0.98 (0.76) mg/kg bw/day ↑ spleen wt. 1.3 (0.76) mg/kg bw/day ↓ thymus wt.	Chosen as a critical study but was not used to derive the pT1.	Vandebriel et al. 2014

	n = 5 per treatment					
Rat (Sprague Dawley, male)	5, 10 and 45 mg/kg bw/day AgNP (7.2 nm, spherical) in H ₂ O n=6 per treatment and 12 per control	Single injection or daily for 3 days	Toxicity: ↓ body weight Neurotox/Behavioral: Locomotor activity appeared to be sensitive and rearing freq. ↓ (45 mg/kg bw/day)	LOAEL: 45 mg/kg bw/day	Lacks Power, Corroboration and Universality	Zhang et al. 2013
Rabbit Studies						
Rabbit (SPF New Zealand White, male)	0.6 mg/kg bw/day AgNP (45 nm in dH ₂ O and PVP (<1%)) n=8 per treatment	Single injection One sacrificed from each group at 21, 42, 84 and 105 days. All sacrificed at 126 days.	Toxicity: No effect on body weight Histopath: No effect in testes Reprod: Lower % of motile, vigor and oxygen consumption of sperm cells. Sperm had acrosome and mitochondrial damage.	NOAEL/ LOAEL: None	Lacks Power	Castellini et al. 2014
Rabbit (SPF New Zealand White, male)	0.5 and 5.0 mg/kg bw/day AgNP (7.9 nm, citrate-coated in isotonic 5% glucose solution) n=4 per treatment	Single injection Tissue sampling at 1, 7 and 28 days	Histopath: Pigmentation in liver, increased inflammatory cell infiltration levels in liver, lung and kidneys (5.0 mg/kg bw/day)	LOAEL: 5.0 mg/kg bw/day	Lacks Power	Lee et al. 2013

^a mg/kg bw/day^b Based on the Annapolis Accords principles (Gray et al. 2008)^c Study has no adverse effect so can be excluded from further evaluation by the Annapolis Accords principles

↑: increased; ↓: decreased; ALP: alkaline phosphatase; ALT: alanine transaminase; AST: aspartate aminotransferase; *Atn*: Ataxia telangiectasia mutated; BMD: bench-mark dose; BMDL₀₅: BMD 95% lower confidence limit; BSA: bovine serum albumin; CT: citrate; dH₂O: distilled water; Ddb2: damage specific DNA binding protein 2; dpc: days post conception; DNA-SB: DNA strand breaks; ER: endoplasmic reticulum; Fpg-ss: Formamidopyrimidine DNA glycosylase sensitive sites GD: gestational day; KO: 8-oxoguanine DNA glycosylase knock-out; LOAEL: lowest-observed-adverse-effect-level; Mmp3: matrix metalloproteinase 3; n: sample size; NaCl: sodium chloride; NOAEL: no-observed-adverse-effect level; PB: phosphate buffer; PBS: phosphate buffered saline; PGT: polyoxyethylene glycerol trioleate; PVP: polyvinylpyrrolidone; ROS: reactive oxygen species; *Sod1*: superoxide dismutase 1

2.3 Identification of the Point-of-Departure (POD)

Two *i.v.* 28-day repeated AgNP dose toxicity studies in rats by de Jong et al. (2013) and Vandebriel et al. (2014) were deemed appropriate key studies for derivation of the pTI value for AgNPs released from blood-contacting medical devices (Table 2 and Supplemental Material). de Jong et al. (2013) and Vandebriel et al. (2014) met the principles outlined in the Annapolis Accords (Gray et al. 2008) (Table 1). The ToxRTool was used to further verify the study quality and reliability of data of these two studies, and both were assigned a category of reliable with restrictions (score of 2) confirming their appropriateness for derivation of the pTI. Both studies were of similar experimental design with minor differences as Vandebriel et al. (2014) was a follow-up study to de Jong et al. (2013). The study by de Jong et al. (2013) analyzed the toxic effects of repeated 28-day dosing of 20 and 100 nm AgNP with no recovery period prior to evaluating critical health effects. Vandebriel et al. (2014) analyzed the toxic effects of repeated 28-day dosing of 20 nm, citrate-coated AgNPs for 28 days with a 28-day recovery period prior to evaluating critical health effects (Table 2). Both studies report BMDL₀₅, the lower limit of the 95% confidence interval surrounding the BMD, for multiple toxicological parameters including body, spleen, thymus and liver weight, blood chemistry, hematology parameters and immune parameters. The BMDL₀₅ was determined from fitting a dose-response curve to the dataset over the entire dose range studied (de Jong et al. 2013; Vanderbriel et al. 2014).

The most sensitive critical health effect reported in the critical study is selected as the POD for derivation of a pTI value. When multiple critical health effects are reported in the critical study, or between multiple studies, selection of the POD is based on the lowest POD reported, with the highest magnitude of response (e.g. percent change or change in standard deviation from the control). The use of a NOAEL has limitations due to its determination being based on one

experimental dose tested, dependence on doses and dose spacing chosen by the study authors, and the sample size of the animals per each dose group (Filipsson and Victorin 2003). More advanced procedures such as benchmark dose (BMD) analysis can identify a POD value by including dose response data from the entire study, based on selection of the response level by the investigator (Weldon et al. 2016; EFSA 2012; FDA 2001; Crump 1984) reducing the variability of the POD to $\leq 10\%$ from a possible $\geq 20\%$ when using a NOAEL (Gaylor and Kodell 2000). The BMD includes calculation of the variability in the dose–response data as the 90 or 95% confidence limit of the BMD is calculated and presented as the BMDL₁₀ or BMDL₀₅, respectively (Weldon et al. 2016).

We applied this critical effect selection concept to de Jong et al. (2013) and Vandebriel et al. (2014). The critical health effects reported from exposure to 20 nm AgNP by de Jong et al. (2013) was an increase in spleen weight, BMDL₀₅ of 0.14 mg/kg bw/day (maximal response of +150%) and a decrease in thymus weight, BMDL₀₅ of 0.001 mg/kg bw/day (maximal response of -17.4%). Vandebriel *et al.* (2014) confirmed these findings reporting an increase in spleen weight and a decrease in thymus weight with a BMDL₀₅ of 0.76 mg/kg bw/day for both endpoints. The BMDL₀₅ of 0.14 mg/kg bw/day for increased spleen weight reported in de Jong et al. (2013) qualified to serve as the critical study for derivation of the pTI based on the BMDL₀₅ being the lowest critical health effect with the highest response. The increased BMDL₀₅ values reported in Vandebriel et al. (2014) may have been due to the 28-day recovery period after the final treatment used in the study; whereas, de Jong et al. (2013) did not have a recovery period.

229 Table 3. *In vivo* Critical Study (de Jong et al. 2013) Chosen to Derive the Provisional Tolerable
 230 Intake Value for Intravenous Silver Nanoparticle Exposure Met the Annapolis Accords Criteria.

Principle	Criteria for Inclusion in Derivation of a Tolerable Intake Value
Rigor	<p>The selected study properly conducted methods and reporting during their analysis of AgNP toxicity leading to increased confidence:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Followed OECD Guideline 407, for the testing of chemicals – Used a wide dose range (0, 0.0082, 0.0025, 0.074, 0.22, 0.67, 2.0, 6.0 mg/kg bw/day) as opposed to the conventional three dose group exposure design (low, mid and high dose) – Treated with repeated dose exposures as opposed to a single injection – Use of two different sizes of AgNPs (20 and 100 nm) – Incorporated both male and female animals – Provided toxicity data on general (e.g. body and target organ weight change) as well as specific (immunological) endpoints – Measured levels of biochemical parameters in blood serum – Provided histopathological analysis of targeted organs including spleen, thymus, liver, and lymph nodes
Power	<p>Statistical power of the study was appropriate to have the ability to detect effects of a given magnitude including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Sample size per treatment was small, but the increase in the number of dose groups improved the characterization of the dose response. – Provided a robust and adequately conducted statistical analysis for the calculation of the BMD₀₅ for several parameters
Corroboration	Similar effects in immunologically functional tissues were reported in multiple studies ^{a,b} .
Universality	Similar effects are reported in different species ^a .
Proximity	Critical health effects shown in a species taxonomically related to humans such as rodents ^{a,b} .
Relevance	Toxic response in animal models include metabolism, mechanisms of damage and repair, and molecular targets of toxic action is expected to be the same in humans.
Cohesion	A similar plausible biological explanation is seen across studies ^b .

^a Recordati et al. 2016

^b Vanderbriel et al. 2014

3. Derivation of a Provisional Tolerable Intake

3.1. Evaluation of Uncertainties

The uncertainty factor (UF) concept is integral to safety assessment to ensure when extrapolating the POD derived in animal models to human health that the value yields a no-adverse-effect dose for the greater majority of the human population including sensitive subpopulations (Dankovic et al. 2015). UFs considered are interindividual variability among the human population (UF_1), interspecies variability in response to exposure when extrapolating data from animal models to humans (UF_2) and lack of chronic toxicity exposure data (UF_3) (FDA 2001). Use of a default of 10 for each UF employed is standard when data is lacking; however, UFs should be derived on a case-by-case basis ranging from 1–10 based on chemical-biological specific adjustment factors when available or with scientific-support based on data in literature (EFSA 2012; Dankovic et al. 2015). The rationale for assigning uncertainty factors (UF) in the derivation of the provisional pTI in our study was in accordance to guidelines from the International Organization for Standardization (ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-17:2002/(R)2012 2003).

3.1.1. Interindividual variability in human population (UF_1)

An UF_1 accounts for interindividual variability among the human population. When data assessing human variation is lacking, a default of 10 is typically assigned to account for the range of human variability when the safety assessment has been based on animal studies (ANSI/AAMI/ISO 2002). If animal studies suggest that variation among humans may be significant, an UF_1 of or approaching 10 is selected (ANSI/AAMI/ISO 2002). Sex-related

differences were found in a mouse study reporting a significant difference in the elimination of Ag from blood during the 24 h after *i.v.* AgNP (15 nm) treatment, with a half-life of 29.9 h in females compared to 15.6 h in males. Additionally, the lungs and kidneys showed a sex-dependent accumulation of Ag with higher concentrations in females compared to males (Xue et al. 2012) (Table 5). Other exposure routes have also found sex-related differences after AgNP exposure. A 28-day oral toxicity study in rats reported a 2-fold increase in AgNP in female kidneys compared to males with higher accumulation found in all kidney regions including the cortex, medulla, inner medulla and cortical glomeruli compared to males (Kim et al. 2008; Kim et al. 2009). A 90-day inhalation study in rats found statistically significant increases ($p < 0.01$ - 0.05) in parameters of lung inflammation in females compared to males (Sung et al. 2008). Additionally, interindividual differences in the excretion of Ag in urine and feces between rats have been reported after exposure with 20 and 200 nm AgNP (Dziendzikowska et al. 2012). These animal model studies suggest that sex-related and interindividual differences in AgNP toxicokinetics may exist in humans. Due to the lack of *i.v.* studies characterizing individual variability in humans and animal model data indicating the potential for interindividual variability between sexes, a default UF_1 of 10 was assigned.

3.1.2. Interspecies Variability (UF_2)

UF_2 accounts for uncertainty in extrapolating data from animal models to humans (ANSI/AAMI/ISO 2002). Traditionally, a default of 10 has been applied to account for inherent differences between animals and humans, who may be more sensitive to chemical critical health effects (Lehman and Fitzhugh 1954). If the toxicity and toxicokinetics are known and similar between animals and humans, a smaller uncertainty factor may be used with justification (ANSI/AAMI/ISO 2002). There is currently limited data on the pharmacokinetics,

pharmacodynamics and toxicity mechanisms of AgNP to evaluate the relevance of animal data for human responses (Lin et al. 2015; Sweeney et al. 2015; Bachler et al. 2013; Lankveld et al. 2010; Faustman 1996). The pharmacokinetic and biodistribution studies available do not report the half-life of AgNPs after *i.v.* exposure to 20 ± 5 nm AgNPs (Table 5). Studies in smaller AgNPs by Park et al. (2011) and Lee et al. (2013) report the half-life of AgNPs (7.9 nm, citrate coated) is species-dependent with 4.1 days in rats and 11.7–16.3 days in rabbits, respectively, after injection. The half-life was approximately 3 to 4 fold higher in rabbits compared to rats. This increase in half-life for the larger mammal is consistent with a lower metabolic rate and longer circulation time allowing for development of a more stable NP protein corona before distribution to tissue or elimination from the body (Sahneh et al. 2015; Riviere 2013). The NP protein corona is a collection of selectively adsorbed biomolecules as the NP comes into contact with complex biological fluids lowering surface energy, promoting dispersion and defining the biological interaction of the NP (Monopoli et al. 2012). The formation of the protein corona decreases the extracellular dissolution of AgNPs into ionic Ag leading to the cellular uptake of the particles (Shannahan et al. 2015). Additionally, the binding of opsonins could induce a rapid clearance of NP from the vascular system or the binding of a polyethyleneglycol coating can decrease the uptake by macrophage cells (Pozzi et al. 2014).

Additionally, studies indicate that human male germ cells exhibit a lower capacity to repair some types of DNA oxidative lesions including 8-oxo-7,8-dihydroguanine (although 8-oxoguanine-DNA glycosylase-1 (hOGG1) was present) and showed poor removal of formamidopyrimidine-DNA glycosylase (Fpg)-sensitive lesions in general, which was not seen in rat male germ cells (Olsen et al. 2003). Asare et al. (2016) reported that injection of 5 mg/kg bw/day 200 nm AgNPs into hOGG1 knockout mice (proposed as an appropriate model for humans) induced DNA single

stranded breaks, oxidative DNA lesions including Fpg-sensitive lesions and key DNA damage response and repair genes, *Atm*, *Rad51*, *Sod1*, *Fos* and *Mmp3*, in the lung and testis. These interspecies differences potentially cause extrapolation from animal models to humans to be difficult (Sahneh et al. 2015). An UF₂ was assigned a 10 to account for the interspecies differences between rodents and humans after exposure to AgNPs.

Table 5: Pharmacokinetic or Biodistribution Studies of Intravenous Exposure to 20 ± 5 nm Silver Nanoparticles

Species (strain, sex)	AgNP Treatment ^a	Duration	Tissue Distribution and Excretion ^b	Half-life	Reference
Mouse (BALB/c, male and female)	1.3 mg/kg bw/day (25 nm, PVP-coated AgNP spheres suspended in PBS)	15, 39 and 78 days (females)	Sp>Li>Ki>>Lu>H (females, levels ↓ over time)	NR	Wang et al. 2013
	Injected 2x a week for 28 days	120 days (males)	Te>Sp>Li>>Ki>H>Ln>M (120 days)		
	n = 3 – 5 per treatment				
Mouse (ICR, male and female)	120 mg/kg bw/day (15 nm AgNP dispersed in saline)	10, 20, 30 min; 1, 3, 6, 12 h; 1, 7, 14 days	Sp>Li>Lu>Ki (females had higher silver levels in the Lu and Ki than males)	NR	Xue et al. 2012
	Single injection				
	n = 6 per sex per treatment				
Rat (Wistar, male)	5 mg/kg bw/day (20 nm, spherical AgNP dispersed in NaCl solution)	1, 7, 28 days	Li>>Sp>Ki>Lu>Br (1 day)	NR	Dziendzikowska et al. 2012
	Single injection		Lu>Li>Sp>Ki>Br (7 days)		
	n = 8 per treatment		Ki>>Li>Sp>Lu>Br (28 days)		

	0.0238–0.0276 mg/kg bw/day (20 nm, spherical AgNP dispersed in PB)		Li>>Ki>Te>Sp>Lu >Br>H (single injection; Day 2)		
Rat (Wistar, male)	Single injection and 5-day repeat treatment	2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 11 and 17 day	Li>>Ki>Te>Sp>Lu >Br>H (5-day repeat treatment; Day 6)	NR ^c	Lankveld et al. 2010
	n = 3 per treatment		Li>>Ki>Sp>Te>Br >Lu, H (5-day repeat treatment; Day 17)		

^a Size (nm) and/or coating of particles, number of treatments and concentration (mg/kg bw/day)

^b Br, brain; Fe, feces; H, heart; K, kidneys; Li, liver; Ln, lungs; M, muscle; Se, serum; Sp, spleen; Te, testis; Th, thymus; Ur, urine

^c Accumulation of AgNP occurred in all organs with most in kidneys (factor 5.5), liver (factor 5) and brain (factor 4)

NaCl: sodium chloride; NR: Not reported; PB: phosphate buffer; PBS: phosphate buffered saline; PVP: polyvinylpyrrolidone

3.1.3. Route-to-Route Extrapolation (UF_3)

A UF_3 accounts for the quality and relevance of the study data and can range from 1–100 considering but not limited to the study having only LOAEL data instead of NOAEL or BMD data; absence of supporting studies; inappropriate route of exposure; and lack of chronic study data (ANSI/AAMI/ISO 2002). In our literature review, no chronic studies were found for *i.v.* exposure to AgNP. A TI that is protective of critical health effects resulting from chronic exposure should be based on long-term repeated dosing (30 – 90 days) or a chronic dosing study (90 days – 2 years) (USEPA 1996; OECD 1995). A value of 3 – 10 is typically assigned to account for the possibility of identifying a lower POD for chronic toxicity when extrapolating from a subchronic animal study. Assessing the appropriate value is commonly determined by evaluating if the critical effect that is the basis of the POD could be expected to increase in incidence, severity or occur at a lower dose given longer exposure time (Dankovic et al. 2015). Following *i.v.* injection, one of the primary sites of 20 nm AgNP accumulation has been

consistently demonstrated to be in the spleen (Table 5). The localization of particles within the spleen can be accounted for by their uptake by the abundant number of resident macrophage populations (Lankveld et al. 2010). The marginal zone and red pulp macrophages are the major particle scavengers in the spleen followed by the peritoneal macrophages and dendritic cells (Recordati et al. 2016; Xue et al. 2012). Phagocytosis of AgNPs stimulates inflammatory signals through the generation of reactive oxygen species in macrophage cells (Martinez-Gutierrez et al. 2012; Park et al. 2010a; Park et al. 2011). *In vitro* studies indicate AgNP induces oxidative stress resulting in endoplasmic reticulum stress (Chen et al. 2016) and apoptosis in spleen cells (Xue et al. 2012; Lankveld et al. 2010).

Additionally, de Jong et al. (2013) examined the organ weight and histology of the testes and brain, which have been shown to be sensitive health effect endpoints, but reported no effects. AgNPs can cross the blood-testis and blood-brain barrier accumulating over time in these organs (Zhang et al. 2015a; Wang et al. 2013; Lee et al. 2013; Dziendzikowska et al. 2012; van der Zande et al. 2012; Lankveld et al. 2010; Sharma et al. 2010; Kim et al. 2009). *In vivo i.v.* studies in multiple species report testes toxicity after short-term AgNP treatment (Table 2) (Asare et al. 2016; Gromadzka-Ostrawska et al. 2012; Castellini et al. 2014). AgNP-induced toxicity in on the male reproductive system and spermatozoa was seen after other routes of exposure (Lafuente et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2015a; Sleiman et al. 2013; Miresmaeili et al. 2013; Kim et al. 2009). Adverse effects induced by AgNPs in the brain has been reported including neurotoxicity (Bagheri-Abassi et al. 2015; Sharma and Sharma 2012; Sharma et al. 2010; Tang et al. 2009). To account for the possibility that the critical study might have not examined the most sensitive health effect endpoint, a UF₃ of 10 was assigned.

3.2. Calculation of the Tolerable Intake

A modifying factor (MF) of 1000, which is the mathematical product of the three UFs ($UF_1 \cdot UF_2 \cdot UF_3 = MF$), was applied to account for uncertainties including intraspecies variability ($UF_1 = 10$), interspecies differences ($UF_2 = 10$) and lack of chronic toxicity data ($UF_3 = 10$). The pTI for long-term *i.v.* exposure to 20 nm, uncoated AgNPs was determined to be 0.14 µg/kg bw/day derived from the POD of 0.14 mg/kg bw/day for immunotoxicological effects as calculated below:

$$pTI = \frac{POD}{MF} = \frac{0.14 \text{ mg/kg bw/day}}{(MF = UF_1 \cdot UF_2 \cdot UF_3)} = \frac{0.14 \text{ mg/kg bw/day}}{(MF = 10 \cdot 10 \cdot 10)} = \frac{0.14 \text{ mg/kg bw/day}}{1,000}$$

$$pTI = 0.14 \text{ µg/kg bw/day}$$

5. Discussion

In this safety assessment, a pTI value was derived for *i.v.* exposure to 20 nm AgNP. The critical health effect study appropriate for deriving the pTI was determined to be an *in vivo* 28-day repeated *i.v.* exposure study performed by de Jong et al. (2013) who investigated the immunotoxicological effects of AgNP in rats. The POD was based on the critical health effect of increased relative spleen weight in rats with a BMDL₀₅ of 0.14 mg/kg bw/day. Histological analysis of the spleen revealed inflammation and a brownish pigment in the red pulp indicative of red blood cell degradation, as well as, a decrease in NK lymphocyte activity, as notable immunotoxic effects (de Jong et al. 2013). T, B and NK cell populations were increased after treatment with 20 nm AgNP, and the authors suggest this increase in cell number may be responsible for the increase in spleen weight (de Jong et al. 2013). Such effects may be in part

due to the preferential accumulation of AgNP in the spleen. *In vitro* studies investigating the toxicity of AgNP in spleen cells report AgNP induces oxidative stress of the endoplasmic reticulum (Chen et al. 2016) and apoptosis (Xue et al. 2012; Lankveld et al. 2010).

Supporting studies report toxic effects in the testes and sperm, which are considered more sensitive health effects compared to increase in spleen weight because this effect occurs at lower treatment doses than what was reported in the critical study by de Jong et al. (2013). De Jong et al. (2013) found no changes in testes weight or histology after 6.0 mg/kg bw/day AgNP (20 nm) 28-day repeated *i.v.* exposure in rats. In contrast, Asare et al. (2016) reported a single *i.v.* injection of 5 mg/kg bw/day AgNPs (20 nm) induced increased Fpg-single stranded lesions in the testis 7 days after treatment in mice, and Gromadzka-Ostrawska et al. (2012) reported decreased sperm and germ count and DNA damage in germ cells after *i.v.* exposure to 5 mg/kg bw/day AgNP (20 nm) (Table 2). Castellini et al. (2014) reported toxic effects on sperm of rabbits throughout a 126-day study including lower percent of motile, vigor and oxygen consumption of sperm cells and acrosome and mitochondrial damage at 0.6 mg/kg bw/day AgNP (45 nm AgNP) (Table 2). AgNPs were seen in the spermatids and ejaculated sperm; however, no effect was seen morphologically in the testes nor was libido, serum testosterone, sperm concentration or semen volume affected (Castellini et al. 2014). Although these supporting studies did not meet the Annapolis Accords criteria and not used to derive the pTI; the scientific merit of these studies were used in determining the level of uncertainty in deriving the pTI.

Other routes of exposure, albeit at higher doses, collaborate with the observed toxic effects induced in the testes and sperm after *i.v.* AgNP exposure. Miresmaeili et al. (2013) reported oral gavage exposure to AgNP (70 nm) for 48 days induced a dose-dependent decrease in the

number of primary spermatocytes, spermatids and spermatozoa with a NOAEL of 25 mg/kg bw/day and a LOAEL of 50 mg/kg bw/day. Lafuente et al. (2016) investigated the subchronic toxic effects of polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP)-coated AgNPs (average particle core size of 25 nm) by oral gavage on epididymal sperm rat parameters and found sperm morphology abnormalities after 90 days of repeated dose treatment with 100 mg/kg bw/day PVP-AgNP. Based on the NOAEL (25 mg/kg bw day) and LOAEL (100 mg/kg bw/day) reported in Miresmaeili et al. (2013) and Lafuente et al. (2016), respectively, and a BAF_{oral} of 4% to account for oral bioavailability (Bachler et al. 2013; Loeschner et al. 2011; Kim et al. 2010), the estimated *i.v.* NOAEL is calculated to be ranging from 1.0–4.0 mg/kg bw/day. Abdominal subcutaneous injection of AgNP (15 nm) in five doses over 13 days in mice induced reduction of the average testis weight (5 mg/kg bw/day; postnatal day PND42); reduction in the diameter of the convoluted tubules (1 and 5 mg/kg bw/day; PND28 and PND42); increase in the rate of abnormal sperm (5 mg/kg bw/day; PND42 and PND63) and decreased sperm concentration (5 mg/kg bw/day; PND100) (Zhang et al. 2015b). Furthermore AgNPs are known to accumulate in the testes (Wang et al. 2013; Lankveld et al. 2010) (Table 5). These testicular toxicity data observed at lower *i.v.* equivalent AgNP doses as compared to de Jong et al. (2013) suggests that immunotoxicity of the spleen may not be the most sensitive toxicological endpoint. To account for the uncertainty that the POD may have not been the most sensitive critical health effect, a default value of 10 was used for UF₃. Application of default value for UF₁, UF₂, and UF₃ results in a conservative MF of 1,000 to the POD and corresponding pTI of 0.14 µg/kg bw/day. This threshold dose represents acceptable exposure for non-cancer health effects resulting from particles leached from medical devices containing 20 nm, uncoated AgNP.

At the time of writing this paper, no patient exposure studies are known investigating AgNPs released/leached from medical devices. Roe et al. (2008) examined the toxic risk of catheters

coated with 3–18 nm AgNPs *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *In vitro* studies examined Ag release from radioactive Ag-coated catheters (600 and 1000 µg/g) placed in saline solution over a period of 10 days. Ag released from the catheters was relatively constant with an average release of 45.1 ± 1.1 ng/cm for catheters coated with 1000 µg/g Ag and 24.1 ± 2.4 ng/cm for catheters coated with 600 µg/g Ag. The release of Ag was higher on the first day than on the final day of the study, thereby resulting in a biphasic release of Ag over the time period. Biofilm inhibition and measurement of bactericidal activity was tested on 600 µg Ag-coated catheters in growth medium for 24, 48 or 72 h. The catheters demonstrated significant antimicrobial activity against all tested microorganism inhibiting cell growth and biofilm formation for 72 h. *In vivo* studies investigated the toxicity and biodistribution of Ag from radioactive Ag-coated catheters implanted in the dorsum of C57Bl/6J mice and monitored for 10 days. Body weight decreased by 8% post treatment, but organ weight was unaffected. No other toxicity was reported. Ag excretion was higher in feces compared to urine with the highest fecal (4.50 ± 0.40 µg) amount on day 2 (approximately 2.1% of implanted Ag) with a decline and plateau of Ag concentration in feces (0.6 – 1.0 µg/day) by day 6. Silver urine excretion was low (0.02 µg/day) and accounted for 0.1% of the Ag implanted. Cumulative excretion of Ag in feces and urine over a 10 day period was 18.33 ± 0.99 µg and 0.22 ± 0.04 µg. By day 10, approximately 84% of Ag remained attached to the catheters with an Ag recovery rate at 96% on average. The 4% of unaccounted for Ag was reported by authors to be at the implantation site or along the borders of the insertion pockets where the catheter was inserted (Roe et al. 2008).

Using the AgNP catheter release information from Roe et al. (2008), a hypothetical patient exposure situation can be formulated. Assuming 25 µg AgNPs was released daily for 10 days (250 µg cumulative), this equates to 25% of AgNPs released from a catheter coated with 1000 µg Ag/g of device weight. For a 70 kg patient ($25 \mu\text{g/day} \cdot 1 \text{ day}/70 \text{ kg patient}$) (ANSI/AAMI/ISO

10093-17:2002), the patient exposure per day from release of AgNPs from the catheter is calculated to be 0.357 µg/kg bw/day. The resulting hypothetical exposure value is 2 fold higher than our pTI of 0.14 µg/kg bw/day with a toxicological risk that is equivocal.

Our pTI value for *i.v.* exposure to 20 nm AgNPs released from medical devices for *i.v.* applications can be compared to other risk assessment values. Weldon et al. (2016) derived an occupational exposure limit (OEL) of 0.19 µg/m³ for AgNPs from BMDs from subchronic rat inhalation toxicity assessments with the liver identified as the critical target organ. This OEL can be calculated for a 70 kg adult with an adult air consumption of 20 m³/day to be 0.05 µg/kg bw/day, which is 2.8 fold lower than the pTI calculated for long-term *i.v.* exposure to 20 nm AgNP. A Tolerable Daily Intake (TDI) value for oral exposure to AgNP based on noncancer effects was reported by Hadrup and Lam (2014) to be 2.5 µg/kg bw/day. When a 4% value for oral bioavailability (4% BAF_{oral}) is taken into account, the health-based exposure limit equals to 0.1 µg/kg bw/day (Bachler et al. 2013; Loeschner et al. 2011; Kim et al. 2010). This TDI was based on a study by Park et al. (2010b) that reported a NOAEL of 0.25 mg/kg bw/day in mice after daily oral exposure to AgNP (42 nm) for 28 days in both males and females and consideration of a UF of 100 based on Nielsen et al. (2008) (Hadrup and Lam 2014). Our pTI for *i.v.* exposure to 20 nm AgNPs released from medical devices is similar to this calculated TDI for oral exposure accounting for 4% BAF_{oral}.

6. Conclusion

In summary, this safety assessment derived a pTI value for *i.v.* exposure to 20 nm AgNPs released from blood-contacting medical devices. Criteria for selecting relevant studies to

determine a benchmark dose was based on the principles from the Annapolis Accords and ToxRTool analysis. The de Jong *et al.* (2013) study, a 28-day study in rats investigating a series of immunotoxicological endpoints after exposure to 20 nm AgNP, qualified to serve as the critical study for the pTI derivation. De Jong *et al.* (2013) reported the lowest dose-dependent critical health effect, which was a BMDL₀₅ of 0.14 mg/kg bw/day for increased spleen weight. To derive the pTI, a modifying factor (MF) of 1,000 was applied to the POD to account for interindividual variability (10), potential interspecies difference in potency (10), and the lack of chronic toxicity study data (10) based on scientific review.

The pTI for long-term *i.v.* exposure to 20 nm AgNPs is the first non-cancer risk assessment performed for the *i.v.* exposure of AgNP-containing medical devices. This pTI is not necessarily protective for other sizes or coatings of AgNPs or other administration routes of exposure. The pTI may be used to complete a safety assessment once data is available to estimate the dose of AgNP that patients are exposed to via AgNP released from blood-contacting medical devices. The approach will enable toxicological risk assessors to further develop a general index of acceptable toxicological risk with regard to patient *i.v.* exposure to AgNP released from medical devices as additional toxicological data becomes available.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interests

Note

The findings and conclusions in this paper have not been formally disseminated by the Food and Drug Administration and should not be construed to represent any agency determination or policy. The mention of commercial products, their sources, or their use in connection with material reported herein is not to be construed as either an actual or implied endorsement of such products by Department of Health and Human Services.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank John C. Lipscomb, Ph.D., DABT, ATS and Geoffrey Patton, Ph.D. for insightful comment and review of the manuscript. This project was supported in part by an appointment to the Research Participation Program at the Division of Biology, Chemistry and Materials Science, Office of Science and Engineering Labs, U.S. Food and Drug Administration, administered by the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education through an interagency agreement between the U.S. Department of Energy and FDA. The authors would like to thank FDA intramural research funding and the FDA White Oak Nanotechnology Core Facility for scientific and technical assistance.

References

- ANSI/AAMI/ISO 10993-17:2002/(R)2012, 2003 Biological evaluation of medical devices–Part 17: Establishment of allowable limits for leachable substances. American National Standard
- Asare, N., Duale, N., Slagsvold, H.H., Lindeman, B., Olsen, A.K., Gromadzka-Ostrowska, J., Meczynska-Wielgosz, S., Kruszewski, M., Brunborg, G., Instanes, C., 2016. Genotoxicity and gene expression modulation of silver and titanium dioxide nanoparticles in mice. *Nanotoxicology* 10, 312–321. doi:10.3109/17435390.2015.1071443
- Austin, C.A., Hinkley, G.K., Mishra, A.R., Zhang, Q., Umbreit, T.H., Betz, M.W., Wildt, B.E., Casey, B.J., Francke-Carroll, S., Hussain, S.M., Roberts, S.M., Brown, K.M., Goering, P.L., 2016. Distribution and accumulation of 10 nm silver nanoparticles in maternal tissues and visceral yolk sac of pregnant mice, and a potential effect on embryo growth. *Nanotoxicology* 10, 654–661. doi:10.3109/17435390.2015.1107143
- Austin, C.A., Umbreit, T.H., Brown, K.M., Barber, D.S., Dair, B.J., Francke-Carroll, S., Feswick, A., Saint-Louis, M.A., Hikawa, H., Siebein, K.N., Goering, P.L., 2012. Distribution of silver nanoparticles in pregnant mice and developing embryos. *Nanotoxicology* 6, 912–922. doi:10.3109/17435390.2011.626539
- Bachler, G., von Goetz, N., Hungerbühler, K., 2013. A physiologically based pharmacokinetic model for ionic silver and silver nanoparticles. *Int. J. Nanomedicine* 8, 3365–3382. doi:10.2147/IJN.S46624
- Bagheri-Abassi F, Alavi H, Mohammadipour A, Motejaded F, Ebrahimzadeh-bideskan AR.2015. The effect of silver nanoparticles on apoptosis and dark neuron production in rat hippocampus. *Iran J Basic Med Sci* 18:644-648.
- Castellini, C., Ruggeri, S., Mattioli, S., Bernardini, G., Macchioni, L., Moretti, E., Collodel, G., 2014. Long-term effects of silver nanoparticles on reproductive activity of rabbit buck. *Syst. Biol. Reprod. Med.* 60, 143–150. doi:10.3109/19396368.2014.891163
- Chen, R., Zhao, L., Bai, R., Liu, Y., Han, L., Xu, Z., Chen, F., Autrup, H., Long, D., Chen, C., 2016. Silver nanoparticles induced oxidative and endoplasmic reticulum stresses in mouse tissues: implications for the development of acute toxicity after intravenous administration. *Toxicol. Res.* 5, 602–608. doi:10.1039/C5TX00464K
- Crump, K.S., 1984. A New Method for Determining Allowable Daily Intakes. *Toxicol. Sci.* 4, 854–871. doi:10.1093/toxsci/4.5.854
- Dankovic, D.A., Naumann, B.D., Maier, A., Dourson, M.L., Levy, L.S., 2015. The Scientific Basis of Uncertainty Factors Used in Setting Occupational Exposure Limits. *J. Occup. Environ. Hyg.* 12, S55–S68. doi:10.1080/15459624.2015.1060325
- De Jong, W.H., Van Der Ven, L.T.M., Sleijffers, A., Park, M.V.D.Z., Jansen, E.H.J.M., Van Loveren, H., Vandebriel, R.J., 2013. Systemic and immunotoxicity of silver nanoparticles in an intravenous 28 days repeated dose toxicity study in rats. *Biomaterials* 34, 8333–8343. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2013.06.048

589 Dobrzynska, M.M., Gajowik, A., Radzikowska, J., Lankoff, A., Dušinská, M., Kruszewski, M.,
590 2014. Genotoxicity of silver and titanium dioxide nanoparticles in bone marrow cells of rats
591 *in vivo*. *Toxicology* 315, 86–91. doi:10.1016/j.tox.2013.11.012

592 Dubey, P., Matai, I., Kumar, S.U., Sachdev, A., Bhushan, B., Gopinath, P., 2015. Perturbation of
593 cellular mechanistic system by silver nanoparticle toxicity: Cytotoxic, genotoxic and
594 epigenetic potentials. *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* 221, 4–21. doi:10.1016/j.cis.2015.02.007

595 Dziendzikowska, K., Gromadzka-Ostrowska, J., Lankoff, A., Oczkowski, M., Krawczyńska, A.,
596 Chwastowska, J., Sadowska-Bratek, M., Chajduk, E., Wojewódzka, M., Dušinská, M.,
597 Kruszewski, M., 2012. Time-dependent biodistribution and excretion of silver
598 nanoparticles in male Wistar rats. *J. Appl. Toxicol.* 32, 920–928. doi:10.1002/jat.2758

599 EFSA Scientific Committee; Guidance on selected default values to be used by the EFSA
600 Scientific Committee, Scientific Panels and Units in the absence of actual measured
601 data. *EFSA Journal* 2012;10(3):2579. [32 pp.] doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2579.

602 Faustman, E.M, 1996. Review of Noncancer Risk Assessment: Application of Benchmark Dose
603 Methods. Available at:
604 https://oaspub.epa.gov/eims/eimscomm.getfile?p_download_id=36374

605 FDA, 2001. Safety assessment of di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP) released from PVC medical
606 devices, Center for Devices and Radiological Health, U.S. Food and Drug
607 Administration. Online at:
608 <http://www.fda.gov/downloads/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/UCM080457.pdf>
609
610

611 FDA. 2015. Guidance for Industry #232: Studies to evaluate the safety of residues of veterinary
612 drugs in human food: General approach to establish an acute reference dose (ARfD),
613 Center for Veterinary Medicine, U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Online at:
614 <http://www.fda.gov/downloads/AnimalVeterinary/GuidanceComplianceEnforcement/GuidanceforIndustry/UCM448430.pdf>
615
616

617 Feng, H., Pyykkö, I., Zou, J., 2014. Hyaluronan up-regulation is linked to renal dysfunction and
618 hearing loss induced by silver nanoparticles. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 272, 2629–2642.
619 doi:10.1007/s00405-014-3213-1
620

621 Filipsson, A.F. and Victorin, K., 2003. Comparison of available benchmark dose software and
622 models using trichloroethylene as a model substance. *Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 37,
623 343–355. doi:10.1016/S0273-2300(03)00008-4
624

625 Gaiser, B. K., Hirn, S., Kermanizadeh, A., Kanase, N., Fytianos, K., Wenk, A., Haberl, N.,
626 Brunelli, A., Kreyling, W. G., and Stone, V. 2013. Effects of silver nanoparticles on the
627 liver and hepatocytes *in vitro*. *Toxicol. Sci.* 131(2), 537-547. doi:10.1093/toxsci/kfs306

628 Gaillet, S., Rouanet, J.-M., 2015. Silver nanoparticles: Their potential toxic effects after oral
629 exposure and underlying mechanisms – A review. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 77, 58–63.
630 doi:10.1016/j.fct.2014.12.019
631

632 Garcia, T. X., Costa, G. M., Franca, L. R., and Hofmann, M. C. 2014. Sub-acute intravenous
633 administration of silver nanoparticles in male mice alters Leydig cell function and
634 testosterone levels. *Reprod. Toxicol.* 45, 59-70. doi:10.1016/j.reprotox.2014.01.006

635 Gaylor, D. W., and Kodell, R. L. 2000. Percentiles of the product of uncertainty factors for
636 establishing probabilistic reference doses. *Risk Anal.* 20(2), 245-250.

637 Ge, L., Li, Q., Wang, M., Ouyang, J., Li, X., Xing, M.M., 2014. Nanosilver particles in medical
638 applications: synthesis, performance, and toxicity. *Int J Nanomedicine* 9, 2399–2407.
639 doi:10.2147/IJN.S55015

640 Gray, G.M., Steven, B., Gail, C., Joshua, C., Swirsky, G.L., Nancy, K., Harold, K., Steven, L.,
641 Michael, M.R., Lorenz, R., Jack, S., Bruce, W.L., 2008. The Annapolis Accords on the
642 Use of Toxicology in Risk Assessment and Decision-Making: An Annapolis Center
643 Workshop Report. *Toxicol. Method* 11, 225–231. doi:10.1080/105172301316871626

644 Gromadzka-Ostrowska, J., Dziendzikowska, K., Lankoff, A., Dobrzynska, M., Instanes, C.,
645 Brunborg, G., Gajowik, A., Radzikowska, J., Wojewodzka, M., and Kruszewski, M. 2012.
646 Silver nanoparticles effects on epididymal sperm in rats. *Toxicol. Lett.* 214(3), 251-
647 258. doi:10.1016/j.toxlet.2012.08.028

648
649 Guo, H., Zhang, J., Boudreau, M., Meng, J., Yin, J., Liu, J., Xu, H., 2016. Intravenous
650 administration of silver nanoparticles causes organ toxicity through intracellular ROS-
651 related loss of inter-endothelial junction. *Particle and Fibre Toxicology* 13, 21.
652 doi:10.1186/s12989-016-0133-9
653

654 Hadrup, N., Lam, H.R., 2014. Oral toxicity of silver ions, silver nanoparticles and colloidal silver
655 – A review. *Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 68, 1–7. doi:10.1016/j.yrtph.2013.11.002
656

657 Isakovic, A., Markovic, Z., Todorovic-Markovic, B., Nikolic, N., Vranjes-Djuric, S., Mirkovic, M.,
658 Dramicanin, M., Harhaji, L., Raicevic, N., Nikolic, Z., Trajkovic, V., 2006. Distinct
659 Cytotoxic Mechanisms of Pristine versus Hydroxylated Fullerene. *Toxicol. Sci.* 91, 173–
660 183. doi:10.1093/toxsci/kfj127

661 Kim, Y.S., Kim, J.S., Cho, H.S., Rha, D.S., Kim, J.M., Park, J.D., Choi, B.S., Lim, R., Chang,
662 H.K., Chung, Y.H., Kwon, I.H., Jeong, J., Han, B.S., Yu, I.J., 2008. Twenty-Eight-Day Oral
663 Toxicity, Genotoxicity, and Gender-Related Tissue Distribution of Silver Nanoparticles in
664 Sprague-Dawley Rats. *Inhal. Toxicol.* 20, 575–583. doi:10.1080/08958370701874663
665

666 Kim, W.-Y., Kim, J., Park, J.D., Ryu, H.Y., Yu, I.J., 2009. Histological study of gender
667 differences in accumulation of silver nanoparticles in kidneys of Fischer 344 rats. *J.*
668 *Toxicol. Environ. Health Part A* 72, 1279–1284. doi:10.1080/15287390903212287
669

670 Kim, Y. S., Song, M. Y., Park, J. D., Song, K. S., Ryu, H. R., Chung, Y. H., Chang, H. K., Lee, J.
671 H., Oh, K. H., Kelman, B. J., Hwang, I. K., and Yu, I. J. 2010. Subchronic oral toxicity of
672 silver nanoparticles. *Part Fibre. Toxicol.* 7, 20. doi:10.1186/1743-8977-7-20

673 Klimisch, H.-J., Andreae, M., Tillmann, U., 1997. A Systematic Approach for Evaluating the
674 Quality of Experimental Toxicological and Ecotoxicological Data. *Regulatory Toxicology*
675 *and Pharmacology* 25, 1–5. doi:10.1006/rtp.1996.1076

676 Lafuente, D., Garcia, T., Blanco, J., Sánchez, D.J., Sirvent, J.J., Domingo, J.L., and Gómez, M.
677 (2016). Effects of oral exposure to silver nanoparticles on the sperm of rats.
678 Reproductive Toxicology 60, 133–139.

679 Lai, D.Y., 2015. Approach to using mechanism-based structure activity relationship (SAR)
680 analysis to assess human health hazard potential of nanomaterials. Food and Chemical
681 Toxicology, Nanotoxicology and Nanomedicine 85, 120–126.
682 doi:10.1016/j.fct.2015.06.008

683 Lai, D.Y., Sayre, P.G., 2009. Toxicity Testing and Evaluation of Nanoparticles: Challenges in
684 Risk Assessment, in: Sahu, S.C., Casciano, D.A. (Eds.), Nanotoxicity. John Wiley &
685 Sons, Ltd, pp. 427–457.
686

687 Lankveld, D. P., Oomen, A. G., Krystek, P., Neigh, A., Troost-de, J. A., Noorlander, C. W., Van
688 Eijkeren, J. C., Geertsma, R. E., and de Jong, W. H. 2010. The kinetics of the tissue
689 distribution of silver nanoparticles of different sizes. *Biomaterials* 31(32), 8350-8361. doi:
690 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2010.07.045

691 Lee, Y., Kim, P., Yoon, J., Lee, B., Choi, K., Kil, K. H., and Park, K. 2013. Serum kinetics,
692 distribution and excretion of silver in rabbits following 28 days after a single intravenous
693 injection of silver nanoparticles. *Nanotoxicology* 7(6), 1120-1130. doi:
694 10.3109/17435390.2012.710660

695 Lehman, A.J., Fitzhugh, O.G., 1954. 100-fold margin of safety. Assoc. Food Drug Off. US
696 Quant. Bull. 18, 33–35. Li, Y., Bhalli, J. A., Ding, W., Yan, J., Pearce, M. G., Sadiq, R.,
697 Cunningham, C. K., Jones, M. Y., Monroe, W. A., Howard, P. C., Zhou, T., and Chen, T.
698 2013. Cytotoxicity and genotoxicity assessment of silver nanoparticles in mouse.
699 *Nanotoxicology*. doi: 10.3109/17435390.2013.855827

700 Li, Y., Bhalli, J.A., Ding, W., Yan, J., Pearce, M.G., Sadiq, R., Cunningham, C.K., Jones, M.Y.,
701 Monroe, W.A., Howard, P.C., Zhou, T., Chen, T., 2014. Cytotoxicity and genotoxicity
702 assessment of silver nanoparticles in mouse. *Nanotoxicology* 8, 36–45.
703 doi:10.3109/17435390.2013.855827
704

705 Lin, Z., Monteiro-Riviere, N.A., Riviere, J.E., 2015. Pharmacokinetics of metallic nanoparticles:
706 Pharmacokinetics of metallic nanoparticles. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. *Nanomed.*
707 *Nanobiotechnol.* 7, 189–217. doi:10.1002/wnan.1304
708

709 Loeschner, K., Hadrup, N., Qvortrup, K., Larsen, A., Gao, X., Vogel, U., Mortensen, A., Lam,
710 H.R., Larsen, E.H., 2011. Distribution of silver in rats following 28 days of repeated oral
711 exposure to silver nanoparticles or silver acetate. *Part Fibre Toxicol.* 8, 18.
712 doi:10.1186/1743-8977-8-18.
713

714 Martinez-Gutierrez, F., Thi, E.P., Silverman, J.M., de Oliveira, C.C., Svensson, S.L., Vanden
715 Hoek, A., Sánchez, E.M., Reiner, N.E., Gaynor, E.C., Pryzdial, E.L.G., Conway, E.M.,
716 Orrantia, E., Ruiz, F., Av-Gay, Y., Bach, H., 2012. Antibacterial activity, inflammatory
717 response, coagulation and cytotoxicity effects of silver nanoparticles. *Nanomedicine* 8,
718 328–336. doi:10.1016/j.nano.2011.06.014
719

720 Miresmaeili, S. M., Halvaei, I., Fesahat, F., Fallah, A., Nikonahad, N., and Taherinejad, M.,
721 2013. Evaluating the role of silver nanoparticles on acrosomal reaction and
722 spermatogenic cells in rat. *Iran J. Reprod. Med.* 11(5), 423-430. doi:PMC3941417

723 Monopoli, M.P., Åberg, C., Salvati, A., Dawson, K.A., 2012. Biomolecular coronas provide the
724 biological identity of nanosized materials. *Nat Nano* 7, 779–786.
725 doi:10.1038/nnano.2012.207

726 Nemmar, A., Hoylaerts, M.F., Hoet, P.H.M., Vermeylen, J., Nemery, B., 2003. Size effect of
727 intratracheally instilled particles on pulmonary inflammation and vascular thrombosis.
728 *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology* 186, 38–45. doi:10.1016/S0041-008X(02)00024-
729 8.

730 Nielsen, E., Ostergaard, G., Larsen, J.C., 2008. Toxicological Risk Assessment of Chemicals: A
731 Practical Guide. Informa Healthcare USA Inc., New York

732 Oberdorster, G., Maynard, A., Donaldson, K., Castranova, V., Fitzpatrick, J., Ausman, K.,
733 Carter, J., Karn, B., Kreyling, W., Lai, D., Olin, S., Monteiro-Riviere, N., Warheit, D.,
734 Yang, H., 2005. Principles for characterizing the potential human health effects from
735 exposure to nanomaterials: elements of a screening strategy. *Part. Fibre Toxicol.* 2, 8.
736 doi:10.1186/1743-8977-2-8
737

738 OECD, 1995. Test No. 421: Reproduction/Developmental Toxicity Screening Test. OECD
739 Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals. OECD Publishing. Organisation for Economic
740 Co-operation and Development. doi: 10.1787/9789264242692-en. Available at:
741 [http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/test-no-421-reproduction-developmental-](http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/test-no-421-reproduction-developmental-toxicity-screening-test_9789264242692-en)
742 [toxicity-screening-test_9789264242692-en](http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/test-no-421-reproduction-developmental-toxicity-screening-test_9789264242692-en)
743

744 Olsen, A.-K., Duale, N., Bjørås, M., Larsen, C.T., Wiger, R., Holme, J.A., Seeberg, E.C.,
745 Brunborg, G., 2003. Limited repair of 8-hydroxy-7,8-dihydroguanine residues in human
746 testicular cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* 31, 1351–1363.
747

748 Pang, C., Brunelli, A., Zhu, C., Hristozov, D., Liu, Y., Semenzin, E., Wang, W., Tao, W., Liang,
749 J., Marcomini, A., Chen, C., Zhao, B., 2016. Demonstrating approaches to chemically
750 modify the surface of Ag nanoparticles in order to influence their cytotoxicity and
751 biodistribution after single dose acute intravenous administration. *Nanotoxicology* 10,
752 129–139. doi:10.3109/17435390.2015.1024295
753

754 Park, E.-J., Bae, E., Yi, J., Kim, Y., Choi, K., Lee, S.H., Yoon, J., Lee, B.C., Park, K., 2010b.
755 Repeated-dose toxicity and inflammatory responses in mice by oral administration of silver
756 nanoparticles. *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 30, 162–168. doi:10.1016/j.etap.2010.05.004
757

758 Park, E.-J., Yi, J., Kim, Y., Choi, K., Park, K., 2010a. Silver nanoparticles induce cytotoxicity by
759 a Trojan-horse type mechanism. *Toxicology in Vitro* 24, 872–878.
760 doi:10.1016/j.tiv.2009.12.001
761

762 Park, K., Park, E.-J., Chun, I.K., Choi, K., Lee, S.H., Yoon, J., Lee, B.C., 2011. Bioavailability
763 and Toxicokinetics of citrate-coated silver nanoparticles in rats. *Arch. Pharmacol. Res.* 34,
764 153–158. doi:10.1007/s12272-011-0118-z
765

766 Pozzi, D., Colapicchioni, V., Caracciolo, G., Piovesana, S., Capriotti, A.L., Palchetti, S., Grossi,
767 S.D., Riccioli, A., Amenitsch, H., Laganà, A., 2014. Effect of polyethyleneglycol (PEG)
768 chain length on the bio–nano-interactions between PEGylated lipid nanoparticles and
769 biological fluids: from nanostructure to uptake in cancer cells. *Nanoscale* 6, 2782–2792.
770 doi:10.1039/C3NR05559K
771

772 Recordati, C., De Maglie, M., Bianchessi, S., Argenti, S., Cella, C., Mattiello, S., Cubadda,
773 F., Aureli, F., D'Amato, M., Raggi, A., Lenardi, C., Milani, P., Scanziani, E., 2016. Tissue
774 distribution and acute toxicity of silver after single intravenous administration in mice:
775 nano-specific and size-dependent effects. *Particle and Fibre Toxicology* 13.
776 doi:10.1186/s12989-016-0124-x
777

778 Riviere, J.E., 2013. Of mice, men and nanoparticle biocoronas: are *in vitro* to *in vivo* correlations
779 and interspecies extrapolations realistic? *Nanomed.* 8, 1357–1359.
780 doi:10.2217/nnm.13.129

781 Roe, D., Karandikar, B., Bonn-Savage, N., Gibbins, B., and Roulet, J. B., 2008. Antimicrobial
782 surface functionalization of plastic catheters by silver nanoparticles. *J. Antimicrob.*
783 *Chemother.* 61(4), 869-876.

784 Sahneh, F.D., Scoglio, C.M., Monteiro-Riviere, N.A., Riviere, J.E., 2015. Predicting the impact of
785 biocorona formation kinetics on interspecies extrapolations of nanoparticle biodistribution
786 modeling. *Nanomedicine (Lond)* 10, 25–33. doi:10.2217/nnm.14.60

787 Sayes, C.M., Liang, F., Hudson, J.L., Mendez, J., Guo, W., Beach, J.M., Moore, V.C., Doyle,
788 C.D., West, J.L., Billups, W.E., Ausman, K.D., Colvin, V.L., 2006a. Functionalization
789 density dependence of single-walled carbon nanotubes cytotoxicity *in vitro*. *Toxicology*
790 *Letters* 161, 135–142. doi:10.1016/j.toxlet.2005.08.011

791 Sayes, C.M., Wahi, R., Kurian, P.A., Liu, Y., West, J.L., Ausman, K.D., Warheit, D.B., Colvin,
792 V.L., 2006b. Correlating Nanoscale Titania Structure with Toxicity: A Cytotoxicity and
793 Inflammatory Response Study with Human Dermal Fibroblasts and Human Lung
794 Epithelial Cells. *Toxicol. Sci.* 92, 174–185. doi:10.1093/toxsci/kfj197

795 SCENIHR, 2009. Risk Assessment of Products of Nanotechnologies. Brussels. Scientific
796 Committee on Emerging and Newly Identified Health Risks. Online at:
797 http://ec.europa.eu/health/ph_risk/committees/04_scenihr/docs/scenihr_o_023.pdf

798 Schneider, K., Schwarz, M., Burkholder, I., Kopp-Schneider, A., Edler, L., Kinsner-Ovaskainen,
799 A., Hartung, T., Hoffmann, S., 2009. “ToxRTool”, a new tool to assess the reliability of
800 toxicological data. *Toxicology Letters* 189, 138–144. doi:10.1016/j.toxlet.2009.05.013

801 Segal, D., Makris, S.L., Kraft, A.D., Bale, A.S., Fox, J., Gilbert, M., Bergfelt, D.R., Raffaele, K.C.,
802 Blain, R.B., Fedak, K.M., Selgrade, M.K., Crofton, K.M., 2015. Evaluation of the
803 ToxRTool’s ability to rate the reliability of toxicological data for human health hazard
804 assessments. *Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 72, 94–101. doi:10.1016/j.yrtph.2015.03.005

805 Shannahan, J., Podila, R., Brown, J., 2015. A hyperspectral and toxicological analysis of protein
806 corona impact on silver nanoparticle properties, intracellular modifications, and

807 macrophage activation. *International Journal of Nanomedicine* 6509.
808 doi:10.2147/IJN.S92570

809 Sharma, H.S., Hussain, S., Schlager, J., Ali, S.F., and Sharma, A. (2010). Influence of
810 nanoparticles on blood-brain barrier permeability and brain edema formation in rats. *Acta*
811 *Neurochir. Suppl.* 106, 359–364.

812 Shanker Sharma, H., and Sharma, A. (2012). Neurotoxicity of engineered nanoparticles from
813 metals. *CNS & Neurological Disorders-Drug Targets (Formerly Current Drug Targets-*
814 *CNS & Neurological Disorders)* 11, 65–80.

815 Sleiman, H.K., Romano, R.M., Oliveira, C.A. de, and Romano, M.A. 2013. Effects of prepubertal
816 exposure to silver nanoparticles on reproductive parameters in adult male Wistar rats. *J.*
817 *Toxicol. Environ. Health Part A* 76, 1023–1032.

818 Sung, J.H., Ji, J.H., Yoon, J.U., Kim, D.S., Song, M.Y., Jeong, J., Han, B.S., Han, J.H., Chung,
819 Y.H., Kim, J., Kim, T.S., Chang, H.K., Lee, E.J., Lee, J.H., Yu, I.J., 2008. Lung Function
820 Changes in Sprague-Dawley Rats After Prolonged Inhalation Exposure to Silver
821 Nanoparticles. *Inhal. Toxicol.* 20, 567–574. doi:10.1080/08958370701874671
822

823 Sweeney, L.M., MacCalman, L., Haber, L.T., Kuempel, E.D., Tran, C.L., 2015. Bayesian
824 evaluation of a physiologically-based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) model of long-term
825 kinetics of metal nanoparticles in rats. *Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 73, 151–163.
826 doi:10.1016/j.yrtph.2015.06.019

827 Tang, J., Xiong, L., Wang, S., Wang, J., Liu, L., Li, J., Yuan, F., Xi, T. J. 2009. Distribution,
828 Translocation and Accumulation of Silver Nanoparticles in Rats. *Nanosci. Nanotechnol.*
829 2009, 9, 4924–4932. doi:10.1166/jnn.2009.1269

830 Tiwari, D. K., Jin, T., Behari, J. 2011. Dose-dependent in-vivo toxicity assessment of silver
831 nanoparticle in Wistar rats. *Toxicol. Mech. Methods* 21(1), 13-24. doi:
832 10.3109/15376516.2010.529184

833 U.S. EPA, 1996. Guidelines for Reproductive Toxicity Risk Assessment. Washington, D.C.
834 USEPA. 61(212):56274-56322, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Available at:
835 [https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2014-](https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2014-11/documents/guidelines_repro_toxicity.pdf)
836 [11/documents/guidelines_repro_toxicity.pdf](https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2014-11/documents/guidelines_repro_toxicity.pdf)

837 van der Zande, M., Vandebriel, R.J., Van Doren, E., Kramer, E., Herrera Rivera, Z., Serrano-
838 Rojero, C.S., Gremmer, E.R., Mast, J., Peters, R.J.B., Hollman, P.C.H., et al. 2012.
839 Distribution, elimination, and toxicity of silver nanoparticles and silver ions in rats after
840 28-day oral exposure. *ACS Nano* 6, 7427–7442.

841 Vandebriel, R. J., Tonk, E. C., de la Fonteyne-Blankestijn LJ, Gremmer, E. R., Verharen, H. W.,
842 Van Der Ven, L. T., Van, L. H., and de Jong, W. H. 2014. Immunotoxicity of silver
843 nanoparticles in an intravenous 28-day repeated-dose toxicity study in rats. *Part Fibre.*
844 *Toxicol.* 11(1), 21.

845 Wang, Z., Qu, G., Su, L., Wang, L., Yang, Z., Jiang, J., Liu, S., Jiang, G., 2013. Evaluation of
846 the biological fate and the transport through biological barriers of nanosilver in mice.
847 Curr. Pharm. Des. 19, 6691–6697.

848 Warheit, D.B., Webb, T.R., Reed, K.L., Frerichs, S., Sayes, C.M., 2007. Pulmonary toxicity
849 study in rats with three forms of ultrafine-TiO₂ particles: Differential responses related to
850 surface properties. Toxicology 230, 90–104. doi:10.1016/j.tox.2006.11.002

851 Weldon, B.A., Faustman, E.M., Oberdörster, G., Workman, T., Griffith, W.C., Kneuer, C., Yu,
852 I.J., 2016. Occupational exposure limit for silver nanoparticles: considerations on the
853 derivation of a general health-based value. Nanotoxicology 10, 945–956.
854 doi:10.3109/17435390.2016.1148793

855 Wijnhoven, S.W.P., Peijnenburg, W.J.G.M., Herberts, C.A., Hagens, W.I., Oomen, A.G.,
856 Heugens, E.H.W., Roszek, B., Bisschops, J., Gosens, I., Meent, D.V.D., Dekkers, S.,
857 Jong, W.H.D., Zijverden, M. van, Sips, A.J.A.M., Geertsma, R.E., 2009. Nano-silver – a
858 review of available data and knowledge gaps in human and environmental risk
859 assessment. *Nanotoxicology* 3, 109–138.

860 Xue, Y., Zhang, S., Huang, Y., Zhang, T., Liu, X., Hu, Y., Zhang, Z., and Tang, M. 2012. Acute
861 toxic effects and gender-related biokinetics of silver nanoparticles following an
862 intravenous injection in mice. *J. Appl. Toxicol.* 32(11), 890-899. doi: 10.1002/jat.2742

863 Zhang, Y., Ferguson, S.A., Watanabe, F., Jones, Y., Xu, Y., Biris, A.S., Hussain, S., Ali, S.F.,
864 2013. Silver Nanoparticles Decrease Body Weight and Locomotor Activity in Adult Male
865 Rats. *Small* 9, 1715–1720. doi:10.1002/sml.201201548

866 Zhang, X.-F., Park, J.-H., Choi, Y.-J., Kang, M.-H., Gurunathan, S., and Kim, J.-H. (2015a).
867 Silver nanoparticles cause complications in pregnant mice. *Int J Nanomedicine* 10,
868 7057–7071. Doi: 10.2147/IJN.S95694

869 Zhang, X.-F., Gurunathan S., Kim J.-H. (2015b). Effects of silver nanoparticles on neonatal
870 testis development in mice. *Int J Nanomedicine* 10, 6243-6256. Doi:
871 10.2147/IJN.S90733
872
873