Review

Master and servant: Regulation of auxin transporters by FKBP and cyclophilins

Markus Geisler^{1*}, Aurélien Bailly² and Maria Ivanchenko^{3*}

¹ University of Fribourg, Department of Biology - Plant Biology, CH-1700 Fribourg, Switzerland

² University of Zurich, Institute of Plant Biology, CH-8008 Zurich, Switzerland

³ Oregon State University, Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, 2082 Cordley Hall, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA

* Corresponding authorship: markus.geisler@unifr.ch, ivanchem@science.oregonstate.edu.

Abstract

Plant development and architecture are greatly influenced by the polar distribution of the essential hormone auxin. The directional influx and efflux of auxin from plant cells depends primarily on AUX1/LAX, PIN, and ABCB/PGP/MDR families of auxin transport proteins. The functional analysis of these proteins has progressed rapidly within the last decade thanks to the establishment of heterologous auxin transport systems. Heterologous co-expression allowed also for the testing of protein-protein interactions involved in the regulation of transporters and identified relationships with members of the FK506-Binding Protein (FKBP) and cyclophilin protein families, which are best known in non-plant systems as cellular receptors for the immunosuppressant drugs, FK506 and cyclosporin A, respectively. Current evidence that such interactions affect membrane trafficking, and potentially the activity of auxin transporters is reviewed. We also propose that FKBP and cyclophilins might integrate the action of auxin transport inhibitors, such as NPA, on members of the ABCB and PIN family, respectively.

Finally, we outline open questions that might be useful for further elucidation of the role of immunophilins as regulators (servants) of auxin transporters (masters).

Key words

immunophilins, cyclophilins, FK506-binding protein (FKBP), auxin transport, protein-protein interaction, chaperon

1. Introduction

Numerous developmental processes in multicellular organisms rely on the establishment of tissue polarities [1]. Spatial developmental information in plants is conveyed in part through the directional distribution of the essential hormone, auxin [2]. Auxin accumulation and its directional distribution among neighboring cells, referred to as polar auxin transport, represent the core of the ability of auxin to elicit differential effects on plant growth and development [1]. In this manner, polar auxin transport is a primary mechanism in the regulation of plant cell physiology and development [1]. Therefore, auxin transport has been a matter of extensive interest and investigation ever since the emergence of the auxin concept more than a century ago [3]. Although seemingly a simple problem, it turned out to be very difficult to rigorously address the physiology and function of auxin transport proteins at the molecular level [4]: auxin transport studies have been found to be complicated by the diffusion component of auxins ([5, 6]; see section 2 and Box 1). Moreover, membrane proteins are generally difficult to analyze functionally because of their low solubility at conditions that preserve their native structure and function. Thus, the energy-coupling mechanisms and activity regulation of auxin transporters have remained poorly understood. In contrast, significant progress has been made during the last several years in understanding the membrane targeting of auxin transporters and their effects on plant development [5]. Some advances have been also made toward the elucidation of the

functional interactions of auxin transporters with additional regulatory proteins of the immunophilin class. These may affect auxin-transporter trafficking and/or activity [7-10]. The progress in this more recent field is summarized and critically discussed here.

2. Auxin transport across biological membranes

According to the chemiosmotic model of auxin transport [11-14], a substantial portion of IAA is protonated in the apoplast and able to enter cells via bilayer diffusion, whereas IAA inside the cells is less protonated and its efflux requires active transport. As to our knowledge, whether this is completely so has never been proven experimentally. In this context it is worth mentioning that drug leakage into cells by lipophilic diffusion versus hitchhiking of transporters is currently highly debated in the animal literature [15].

To date, three major families of membrane localized proteins involved in auxin transport have been characterized in *Arabidopsis* and other plant species: PINs, named after the *pin-formed1* (*pin1*) *Arabidopsis* mutant, ABCBs (ATP-binding cassette, type B) and AUX1/LAXs (AUXIN1/LIKE AUXIN1) [5, 16]. Mutations in *PIN1* and combined mutations in other *PIN* genes result in organogenesis defects, indicating that PINs mediate directional auxin flow that regulates organogenesis [17, 18]. In contrast, *abcb1,19* mutants although significantly dwarfed display only subtle morphological defects [19, 20] suggesting that ABCBs function primarily in export of auxin out of meristematic tissues with high auxin concentrations, and in maintenance of long-distance auxin flows required for physiological processes (reviewed in [4, 21]).

AUX/LAX have been discussed to generate auxin sinks as a driving force for auxin transport streams in the stele in the context of lateral root development [22]. The contribution of AUX1 in generating auxin sinks is in agreement with the total loss of gravitropic responses in *aux1* [23].

Depending on the direction of transport, the systems are termed influx transporters and efflux transporters. Members of plasma membrane located AUX1/LAX family are grouped as auxin

importers [24]. Auxin exporters are primarily represented by plasma membrane localized PINs (so-called long PINs, see below) and members of the ABCB family, which have so far all been found to be plasma membrane-embedded [6, 25-27]. However, it should be mentioned that recently ABCB4 and ABCB21 were characterized as facultative importer/exporters whose transport directionality seems to be triggered by intracellular auxin levels [28].

The regulation of plasma membrane presence and polarity of auxin transporters has been studied extensively. Decent progress has been also made in respect to individual transporter regulation by protein degradation, phosphorylation and protein-protein interaction (for reviews, see [7, 29, 30]). Long PINs (PIN1,2,3,4,7) comprising a large cytoplasmic loop [5] are often polarly localized to a specific face of the plasma membrane in Arabidopsis root cells, in good correlation with the direction of the auxin flow [31]. By contrast, short PINs (PIN5,6,8) are localized in the endomembrane system (most likely the endoplasmic reticulum (ER)) and appear to function in intracellular auxin transport and homeostasis [32, 33]. Recently, a second family of ER-localized auxin transporters, called PILS (for PIN-LIKES), was also shown to regulate auxin homeostasis [34], although their role in auxin transport is less clear. AUX1/LAX and ABCB proteins are plasma membrane localized like long PINs, but are most often apolar (reviewed in [1]).

All classes of plasma membrane-based auxin transporters are brought to their specific membrane domains by vesicle trafficking along actin tracks [35]. However, based on different sensitivities to brefeldin A the cycling of ABCBs and PINs as well as that of individual members of each family, respectively, seems to reveal specific trafficking mobilities as summarized in excellent reviews [35, 36].

Recent analyses in heterologous, non-plant auxin-transport systems (such as yeast, HeLa cells and *Xenopus* oocytes) demonstrated that AUX1/LAX influx, and ABCB and PIN-mediated IAA efflux activity is substrate-specific and rate limiting [6, 19, 25, 26, 37-42], providing experimental evidence that these proteins are *bona fide* auxin transporters. Although plant

105 ABCBs belong to the large superfamily of multidrug resistance transporters, they were found to
106 own a high degree of substrate specificity toward only a few auxinic compounds but not to
107 transport closely related substances (such as the anti-auxin 2-NAA or benzoic acid [6]) or
108 classical substrates of mammalian ABCBs (such as rhodamin123, daunomycin and vinblastine.
109 [43]). ABCB-mediated auxin transport was dependent on ATP hydrolysis [6, 37], as expected for
110 primary active pumps, and sensitive to inhibitors of auxin efflux (such as NPA, and flavonols [6,
111 37, 43, 44]) along with known inhibitors of mammalian multidrug ABC transporters (such as
112 cyclosporine A and verapamil [6, 43]). Recently, expression of ABCB19 in HeLa cells was also
113 reported to result in anion currents that were inhibited by applying an anion channel blocker
114 [45]. Currently it is unclear if this indicates a unique ion channel function for ABCB19, ion
115 channel function additional to pump function, or an artifact of the heterologous expression.
116 Furthermore, it is unknown whether these currents represent IAA⁻ fluxes. It is worth mentioning
117 though that ion channel function has been described for some mammalian ABC transporters
118 [46]. It has also been reported that co-expression of ABCB and PIN combinations leads to
119 synergistic transport rates and alters substrate specificities and inhibitor sensitivities [20, 21].
120 The individual roles of ABCBs and PINs in these interactions, e.g., which protein functions as a
121 transporter and which as a facilitator, are far from being understood. Nonetheless, these data
122 point to complexity and cooperation among auxin transport systems at least in the case of
123 heterologously over-expressed proteins.

124 Detailed structural studies of auxin transport proteins are currently largely lacking. A structural
125 modeling approach using mouse ABCB1 as template has identified IAA-specific substrate
126 binding domains in AtABCB1 [47]; however, these data await their experimental verification.
127 Further structural analyses are much needed, particularly for PIN proteins, which represent a
128 plant-specific class of transporters with very low level of similarity to well characterized
129 transporters from non-plant systems. Such studies should have highest priority because they
130 would widely enhance our knowledge on individual auxin transport mechanisms.

3. Plant immunophilins are implicated in regulation of development

Immunophilins belong to two evolutionary non-related groups of proteins originally discovered and classified based on their ability to bind two different classes of immunosuppressant drugs: FK506-binding proteins (FKBPs) bind macrolides, FK506 (tacrolimus) and rapamycin (sirolimus), via their FK506-binding domain (FKBD) (see Fig. 1). Cyclophilins (also called rotamases and referred to either as **Cyps**, or ROCs for rotamase Cyps) are named after their high affinity for the cyclic peptide, cyclosporin A [48-50], which binds to the core cyclophilin-like domain (see Fig. 1). A family of chimeric immunophilins that contain both Cyp and FKBP domains was reported in *T. gondii* and designated as FCBPs for FK506 and Cyclosporin A binding proteins [51] but **FCBPs do not appear broadly conserved across different species.**

In mammalian immune cells, FK506–FKBP and cyclosporin A–cyclophilin complexes interact with the calcium-dependent serine/threonine protein phosphatase calcineurin, interrupting the phosphorylation signaling pathway and eventually leading to immunosuppression [48]. Rapamycin-bound FKBPs interact with the protein kinase TOR (target of rapamycin) causing cell cycle arrest as well as inducing immunosuppression [52].

In addition to their ability to interact with immunosuppressants, immunophilins also possess peptidylprolyl *cis-trans* isomerase activity (PPIase; also referred to as rotamase) that catalyzes the rotation of *cis*-peptidylprolyl bonds [53]. The PPIase activity is inhibited by binding of the corresponding immunosuppressant, but is not directly involved in the immunosuppression mechanisms [53]. In addition, some immunophilins have been demonstrated to possess chaperone or co-chaperone activity that is independent of their PPIase activity and unaffected by immunosuppressant drugs [48, 49, 54, 55]. These are usually larger immunophilins that possess additional structural domains. For example, mammalian Cyp40 is a co-chaperone present in steroid receptor-HSP90 complexes where it participates in steroid receptor assembly. Studies

with deletion mutations have mapped the co-chaperone function of Cyp40 between its N-terminal PPIase domain and its C-terminal HSP90-binding tetratricopeptide repeat domain [56]. Surprisingly, the principal human cyclophilin, HsCypA, which consists only of the PPIase core domain, has also been reported to exhibit chaperone-like function [57]. *In vivo*, the PPIase and chaperone activities of immunophilins might be involved together or separately in assisting folding of newly synthesized proteins, protein trafficking, activity, assembly of protein oligomers, and protein stability. Yet, non-plant immunophilins have been rarely associated with specific processes of development. One example is a *Drosophila* cyclophilin named ninaA, which is required for the synthesis of rhodopsin in R1-6 photoreceptors [58].

FKBPs and cyclophilins are represented by particularly large families in plants [48, 59]. The *Arabidopsis* genome encodes for 23 FKBP genes compared to *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (4), *Caenorhabditis elegans* (8) and *Homo sapiens* (15). *Arabidopsis* has seven multi-domain FKBPs and 16 single-domain FKBPs [55]. The *Arabidopsis* cyclophilin family is also very large (29 members) compared to *S. cerevisiae* (8) and *H. sapiens* (16) (reviewed in [60]). An even larger plant cyclophilin family has been recently reported in soybean (*Glycine max*; 62 members; [61]).

Currently the reason for the vast expansions of the FKBP and cyclophilin families in plants is unclear, but these expansions might reflect a shift toward roles in development, stress response and redox regulation of chloroplast function (as reviewed in [55]). In the following text and Table 1, we summarize properties of plant immunophilins and their function in development:

Among plant FKBPs, the FKBP42 TWISTED DWARF1 (TWD1)/ULTRACURVATA (UCU2) has been extensively studied. The *twd1/ucu2/fkbp42* mutants is marked by a characteristic twisting of the root epidermal cell files and displays a defect in root gravitropism, an auxin-transport regulated phenotype [8, 9, 62, 63] (Table 1). Over-expression of a mutated version of TWD1 lacking its membrane anchor also results in a hypermorphic (“giant”) growth phenotype caused by enhanced cell elongation, which is probably due to altered apoplastic auxin concentrations caused by enhanced auxin transport [64] (see section 4). All attempts to

demonstrate PPIase activity in TWD1 have failed [62, 65], probably because only 3 out of the 11 key residues inside the hydrophobic pocket of its FK506-binding domain involved in PPIase function are conserved [55] (see Fig. 1). The unusual FK506-binding domain in TWD1 was instead found to participate in protein interaction with ABCB-type auxin transporters and is apparently involved in the functional regulation of these transporters [44] (see section 4). TWD1 also possesses a tetratricopeptide repeat domain (common in many large immunophilins) that was shown to interact with vacuolar ABC transporters of the C subclass and HSP90 [65, 66], as well as a calmodulin-binding domain [66] (see Fig. 1). Furthermore, TWD1 contains a unique C-terminal in-plane membrane anchor domain [64, 67]. The functional importance of HSP90 and calmodulin binding to TWD1 is not known but analogy to human FKBP38 and other multi-domain FKBP suggests that both HSP90 and calmodulin might act as modulators of TWD1. Interaction with the classical chaperone, HSP90, also suggest that TWD1 might function as co-chaperone (reviewed in [48-50, 54, 59]).

Another frequently studied Arabidopsis FKBP protein, PASTICCINO (AtFKBP72/PAS1), is involved in the control of cell proliferation and differentiation and was suggested to chaperone the nuclear translocation of a NAC transcription factor [68, 69]. Further, it seems to participate in the biosynthesis of very long fatty acids. Loss of PAS1 results in irregular cell division due to interrupted synthesis of very long fatty acids, leading to a '*pasticcino*' (cupcake) mutant phenotype [70]. Both, the interaction with the very long fatty acid elongase complex and that with the NAC transcription factor, respectively, is mediated by the C-terminal region of PAS1 that contains a calmodulin-binding domain [53]. The C-terminal domain of PAS1 also contains a membrane anchor domain similar to that in TWD1 [64, 67, 71] that seems to be important for the cellular distribution of PAS1 and its exclusion from the nucleus except during cell division [71]. Another Arabidopsis FKBP, FKBP12, interacts with AtFIP37, a DNA-binding protein involved in mRNA splicing, cell cycle regulation and embryo development [72, 73] although the developmental importance of this interaction is unclear. In the conifer *Picea wilsonii*, FKBP12

has a role in pollen tube development through interaction with PcHAP5, a subunit of a histone-associated transcription factor [74].

Interaction with immunosuppressants is generally not conserved in plant FKBP. Higher plants (including *Arabidopsis*) were found to be insensitive to both FK506 and rapamycin apparently because the primary receptor, plant FKBP12, has lost its capacity to bind these drugs and thus to inhibit calcineurin and TOR signaling [72, 75]. This is supported by the finding that expression of yeast FKBP12 in *Arabidopsis* provides rapamycin sensitivity to a similar level as found for yeast and animals [75]. PPIase activity is also not well conserved in plant FKBP (Table 1). Instead, some plant FKBP appear to operate as regulators of specific protein-protein interactions [27, 48, 49, 54, 55, 76].

In contrast to the loss of sensitivity to immunosuppressants in plant FKBP, plant cyclophilins display conserved sensitivity. For example, cyclosporine A was found to inhibit the PPIase activity of *Arabidopsis* chloroplasts stromal extracts [77], and *Arabidopsis* ROC1/CypA and tomato DIAGEOTROPICA (DGT/CypA) were sensitive to cyclosporine A in *in vitro* studies [78]. PPIase activity also seems conserved in plant cyclophilins, and strong activity has been experimentally demonstrated in all tested proteins, e.g., *Arabidopsis* ROC1, tomato DGT [78], *Ricinus communis* and rice CYCLOPHILIN1 [79]. Interestingly, similar to plant FKBP, plant cyclophilins have been strongly linked to regulation of development. Mutations in tomato *DGT* result in auxin-related phenotypes such as lack of lateral roots, deregulation of gene expression, ROS imbalance, and defects in polar auxin transport [80-88] (Table 1). DGT is both nuclear and cytoplasmic localized [10] (Table 1, Fig. 2), and can move from shoot to root in grafted plants partially restoring the lack of lateral roots in *dgt* mutant rootstocks [89]. A DGT-like small cyclophilin from *Physcomitrella patens* is also important for auxin-regulated growth [90], whereas a related cyclophilin from rice (LATERAL ROOTLESS2, LRT2/OsCYP2) plays a crucial role in auxin-regulated lateral root formation [91] and has been shown to directly regulate

the stability of the auxin-responsive transcriptional repressor protein, OsIAA11, via peptidylprolyl isomerization [92]. The Arabidopsis cyclophilin CYCLOPHILIN20-2 regulates flowering time by modulating the conformation of BRASSINAZOLE-RESISTANT1 in [93]. Interestingly, whereas Arabidopsis AtCyp20-2 over-expressing lines flower earlier, the *gaid* wheat mutant that over-accumulates TaCyp20-2 results in a dwarf phenotype and late flowering [94] suggesting the function of some cyclophilin orthologs might vary across plant species. The *squint* mutation in the Arabidopsis *SQN/Cyp40* cyclophilin gene results in a delay of vegetative shoot maturation [95] and a delay of flower meristem termination when combined with other mutations [96]. Similar to TWD1/FKBP42 [65], Arabidopsis *SQN/Cyp40* forms a complex with HSP90, facilitating HSP90-mediated protein complex assembly [97].

4. Functional interactions of immunophilins with auxin transporter proteins regulate auxin transport

The regulatory role of TWD1/FKBP42 on ABCB transporter activity and ABCB presence on the plasma membrane has been extensively documented [8, 9, 43, 44, 62]. ABCB1/PGP1 was identified in a yeast two-hybrid screen using TWD1 as bait [62], although a role of ABCB1 in hypocotyl elongation had been described earlier [27]. In heterologous auxin-transport systems, TWD1 strongly modulates the transport activity of ABCB1 [8, 43, 44, 62] and ABCB19 [43] (see Fig. 2). In agreement, Arabidopsis *abcb1 abcb19* and *twd1* mutants have widely overlapping (although not entirely identical) dwarfed phenotypes [8, 9, 62], with a peculiar, non-handed helical rotation of the epidermal cell files, designated as “twisting”. Functional FKBP-ABCB interaction seems to be conserved throughout evolution as judged from the fact that the activity of murine ABCB3/MDR3 transporter expressed in *S. cerevisiae* requires the presence of yeast FKBP12 [98]. Yeast FKBP12 also seems to compete with TWD1 for regulation of Arabidopsis ABCB1 activity in a *S. cerevisiae* auxin-transport system [43], which is further

supported by the finding that FKBP12 partially complements the *twd1* mutant phenotype when expressed in Arabidopsis [99].

The exact mechanism through which TWD1 regulates ABCB auxin transporters is far from being understood. A chaperone-like function for TWD1 during ER to plasma membrane trafficking of ABCBs has been proposed based on the finding that ABCB1,4,19 are retained on the ER and degraded in the *twd1* mutant [8, 9] (see Fig. 2). This hypothesis agrees with the fact that the human TWD1/FBP42 ortholog, FKBP38, promotes ER to plasma membrane delivery of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator, CFTR/ABCC7 [100], a chloride channel whose malfunction is responsible for the genetic disorder mucoviscidosis. The interaction with FKBP38 is thought to result in isomerization of prolyl bonds of CFTR, allowing for the establishment of functional CFTR protein at the plasma membrane [100]. However, it is not clear whether FKBP42/TWD1 functions in the same way in ABCB transporter regulation because, as already mentioned, PPIase activity has not been confirmed in TWD1. A second functional difference between TWD1 and FKBP38 lies in the fact that TWD1 interacts with ABCB1 via its N-terminal FKBD domain [62] whereas FKBP38 interaction with CFTR involves not only its FKBP/PPIase domain but also its tetratricopeptide repeat domain [100]. In TWD1, the tetratricopeptide repeat domain is involved in interaction with the vacuolar ABCC1/ABCC2 protein [66] suggesting that TWD1's tetratricopeptide repeat domain is ABCC-specific.

No significant effect of TWD1 on PIN1,2,3,4-mediated auxin transport activity was detected in heterologous auxin-transport systems (Laurent and Geisler, unpublished). However, BRET data gained after transient co-expression in tobacco leaves suggests a weak physical interaction between TWD1 and PIN1 [8]. Functional interaction between the proteins is supported by the fact that the *pin-formed* phenotype of *pin1* and the twisting phenotype of *twd1* are partially rescued in a *pin1 twd1* double mutant (Friml and Geisler, unpublished). Because the PIN1 and PIN2 localizations were shown to be unchanged in *twd1* [43] it is possible that TWD1 does not

alter PIN trafficking but rather PIN transport activities; this possibility needs further experimental verification.

In contrast to Arabidopsis TWD1, which shows a strong functional interaction with ABCBs and only potential weak interaction with PINs, tomato DGT was shown to exhibit strong functional effect on PINs and insignificant effect on ABCBs [10]. This model is based on the finding that DGT modulates the auxin efflux activities of Arabidopsis PIN1 and PIN2 when the proteins are co-expressed in a heterologous *S. cerevisiae* auxin-transport system (see Fig. 2, [10]). Co-expression with PIN1 in *N. benthamiana* leaves results in DGT-dependent relocation of plasma membrane-localized PIN1 to the cellular interior whereas co-expression with ABCB1 does not affect the ABCB1 localization [10]. However, both PIN1 and ABCB1 increase DGT's presence on the plasma membrane suggesting certain interaction of DGT with both types of transporters [10]. PIN2 localization on the plasma membrane is also modified in root epidermis cells of the *dgt* loss-of-function mutant, consistent with a function for DGT in regulation of PIN sorting [10]. Notably, while TWD1 appears to increase the functionality of ABCBs and their presence on the plasma membrane (Fig. 2, [9, 64], DGT seems to decrease the functionality of PINs and their presence on the plasma membrane [10]. Thus, it appears that FKBP and cyclophilins, belonging to different subgroups of the immunophilin protein superfamily, serve as regulators (“servants”) of functionally distinct, primary or secondary energized, transporters of auxin (“masters”), respectively. It would be exciting to further explore the differences and similarities between functional TWD1 and DGT exchanges with auxin transporters, as this would certainly uncover novel aspects of auxin transport regulation.

312

313 **5. Immunophilins might mediate auxin transporter sensitivity to NPA**

314 Auxin transport inhibitors, such as the synthetic non-competitive auxin efflux inhibitor NPA (1-
315 N-Naphthylphthalamic acid) and plant endogenous flavonoids (initially identified by their ability
316 to replace NPA in plasma membrane binding [12]) inhibit auxin efflux through some poorly
317 understood mechanisms. At low micromolar concentrations, auxin transport inhibitors are
318 thought to impair directly the activity of auxin transporters [37, 41, 101], whereas at higher
319 concentrations ($> 50 \mu\text{M}$) auxin transport inhibitors seem to interfere with the bundling status of
320 the actin cytoskeleton, thus affecting the presence of auxin exporters on the plasma membrane in
321 this manner [7, 102-105]. These two modes of actions for NPA on auxin transport, which could
322 be even interconnected [7], might be represented by two distinct NPA binding affinities, as
323 reported for zucchini plasma membrane and speculated to correspond to the auxin transporter
324 itself and an additional NPA-binding regulatory subunit [106]. While the number of NPA
325 binding sites in the plant cell is currently highly debated [107], there is general agreement that
326 NPA binding is peripheral to the plasma membrane and associated with the actin cytoskeleton
327 [108-110].

328 The protein targets of NPA most closely associated with NPA inhibition of auxin transport have
329 not been elucidated completely. ABCBs-type auxin transporters and TWD1 are valid candidates
330 because both have been demonstrated to bind NPA. However, while the connection of NPA
331 binding to ABCBs and inhibition of auxin transport appears straightforward, the importance of
332 NPA binding to TWD1 is more difficult to validate [37, 41, 62, 111, 112]. Root gravitropic
333 response, an auxin-transport regulated process, is NPA-insensitive in the *twd1* root [44]
334 supporting the idea that TWD1 and NPA act in a common pathway. Micromolar NPA was
335 shown to block the auxin-transport activity of ABCB1 [6] and to partially restore the root
336 epidermal twisting of the *abcb1-100 abcb19-3* mutant (speculated to result in part from a defect
337 in auxin transport) suggesting that NPA interferes with auxin transport by directly impairing

ABCB functionality [8, 9]. Because root gravitropic response [44] and epidermal twisting of the *twd1* mutant are also partially rescued by NPA [8, 9], NPA binds to the putative FKBD domain of TWD1 involved in interaction with ABCBs [44], and micromolar concentrations of NPA disrupt the ABCB1-TWD1 interaction [44], it is possible that NPA inhibits auxin transport in part by interfering with TWD1 regulation of ABCBs.

Interestingly, flavonols were even more effective in disrupting the ABCB1-TWD1 interaction, with quercetin being the most successful [44]. This is of interest because flavonols, such as quercetin and kaemferol, have been shown to inhibit plant [6, 26, 43] and even mammalian ABCB activities [113], and are considered to be plant endogenous auxin transport modulators or inhibitors [101, 114, 115].

A model for inhibition of PINs by NPA has been also suggested, which was initially based on the finding that Arabidopsis wild type plants grown on NPA (or its functional analog, 2-[4-(diethylamino)-2-hydroxybenzoyl benzoic acid, called BUM [37]) form pin-formed inflorescences phenocopying *pin1* mutations [37, 116, 117]. One could speculate, that independent interactions of NPA with both ABCBs and PINs could in fact account for the two NPA-binding affinities found in zucchini plasma membrane [106]. However, conclusive data are lacking demonstrating NPA binding or direct inhibition of PIN transport proteins in auxin-transport systems [37, 39, 41]. Therefore, it seems likely that the NPA action on PIN-dependent auxin transport requires additional regulators that are still to be identified [39, 103].

ABCBs appear valid candidates as mediators of NPA effect on PIN-driven auxin transport. For example, co-expression of ABCB and PIN combinations in HeLa cells not only increases the auxin substrate specificity of PINs but also confers NPA sensitivity [19], indicating that the NPA sensitivity of PIN-mediated transport relays on PIN interactions with ABCBs. NPA sensitivity of PINs could be also mediated by NPA binding to FKBP42/TWD1, provided that PINs, ABCBs

and FKBP42/TWD1 participate in common auxin-transport complexes (see section 2), or that TWD1 interacts with PINs independently of ABCBs (discussed in section 4).

At higher concentrations, NPA could also affect the functionality of auxin transporters by interfering with the actin cytoskeleton and this way with transporter cycling at the plasma membrane. Higher NPA concentrations are thought to block protein trafficking [105, 118, 119] and could affect auxin transports by interfering with their cycling at the plasma membrane. NPA indeed interferes with PIN1 trafficking after disruption by the lactone antibiotic, brefeldin A [105], as well as in the *tir3* mutant lacking the scaffolding protein, BIG [119]. It was also shown in an earlier study that the number of NPA-binding sites and polar auxin transport are reduced in the *tir3/big* mutant [109], collectively supporting a connection between NPA binding to the cytoskeleton, PIN trafficking, and auxin transport. On the other hand, evidence suggests that the interference of auxin-transport inhibitors with the actin status might be indirect. Notably, synthetic auxin-transport inhibitors, TIBA and PBA (and to a lesser degree NPA), were shown to alter actin bundling and vesicle trafficking in plant and non-plant cells, such as yeast and mammalian cell lines [104]. The same study contributed *in vitro* experiments indicating that auxin transport inhibitors do not seem to act on actin filament bundling directly but to require a so far uncharacterized binding protein integrating their remodeling action on the actin skeleton [104] (reviewed in Zhu and Geisler [7]). Auxin binding protein 1 (ABP1) and TWD1 are valid candidates for this integrating function as both proteins bind auxin transport inhibitors as well as control auxin transporter locations and thus auxin transport [7, 8, 44, 120]. However, an effect for TWD1 on actin filament organization and dynamics has not yet been shown. In contrast, a recent report questioned the role of ABP1 in auxin signaling, auxin-mediated actin organization and plant development [121] although this study does obviously not affect previous biochemical proof of NPA binding to ABP1 [122].

The question of whether cyclophilins could also be part of the mechanism that mediates the NPA inhibition of auxin transport has not been thoroughly investigated. Although the root of the tomato *dgt* mutant is extremely resistant to NPA in terms of NPA inhibition of root growth, the binding of NPA to *dgt* root microsomal membranes, NPA-sensitive auxin uptake by *dgt* root segments and NPA uptake into *dgt* root segments were found to be normal [123]. By contrast, in a study that utilized a highly sensitive auxin-specific microelectrode to measure auxin transport, auxin transport at the *dgt* root tip was halved compared to the wild type and was completely NPA-insensitive [10]. Further evidence is required, particularly whether DGT could confer NPA sensitivity to PIN-driven auxin efflux, and whether DGT binds NPA, in order to determine whether DGT mediates NPA inhibition of auxin transport.

6. Outlook

The analysis of auxin transport processes has made substantial progress in the last 20 years but has left us with a flurry of urgent questions. Currently, the functional significance of having both primary and secondary transporters for auxin is unclear, and we do need further mechanistic detail on their individual energization, interaction, and regulation. In particular, PIN and AUX1/LAX proteins share little similarity with transporters from non-plant systems, which prevents their structural modeling based on transporters for which the crystal structures have been solved. For none of the three major transporter subclasses structural data are available, and only for ABCBs structural models have been obtained [38, 47]. Moreover, the mechanisms conferring remarkable specificity for auxin in all three types are at present unknown. Recently, the role of immunophilins in regulation of auxin transport has been highlighted [124]. However, open questions remain, as to whether immunophilins regulate auxin transporter refolding after their trafficking through the endomembrane system in order to ensure correct assembly of functional protein on the plasma membrane, or rather regulate

transporter activity at the plasma membrane in response to cellular demand. The mechanisms by which immunophilins affect auxin transporters function are other important questions. Does TWD1 have PPIase activity, or does TWD1 rather act as a protein chaperone on ABCB function? Are both activities present in TWD1, and if so, are these activities connected? Although DGT possesses PPIase activity, it is unknown whether the PPIase activity is involved in regulation of PIN transporter function. Furthermore, it is unclear whether DGT and PINs engage in direct physical interactions in analogy to TWD1 and ABCBs and where these interactions take place (see Fig. 2). Answering these urgent questions will allow us to judge whether transporter refolding is another mechanistic toolset for the regulation of transporter activity and thus plant function and development.

The interactions of high-molecular weight immunophilins with other proteins, such as calmodulin or heat-shock proteins, point to connections with other regulatory pathways. However, it is not clear whether documented calmodulin or HSP90 interactions with FKBP (such as TWD1) or cyclophilins (such as SQN/Cyp40) are involved in regulation of auxin transport or rather represent unrelated functional relationships. While an involvement of calcium in the regulation of auxin transport has been documented [125], the role of heat-shock proteins is entirely unclear.

As pointed out in section 5, immunophilins of the cyclophilin and FKBP subclasses might mediate auxin transporter sensitivity to auxin transport inhibitors, which has been documented in the case of NPA and TWD1 [44]. However, our concepts of individual NPA/flavonoids binding affinities as well as mechanistic insights of their action have been obsolete. In this context, it would be interesting to determine whether effects of NPA on actin bundling observed at higher NPA concentrations are indeed coordinated by FKBP, such as TWD1. The function of flavonols in auxin transport and their effect on immunophilin activities would be also worth dissecting. For example, in analogy to NPA flavonols were shown to bind to and to inhibit ABCBs [6, 44, 126] and to disrupt the TWD1-ABCB1 interaction but

not to bind to TWD1 [44], revealing functional differences that need to be explored. Whether DGT is required for NPA inhibition of PIN driven auxin transport also needs investigation, as this is a question related to unknown aspects of PIN transporter regulation. Addressing these questions should provide a greater insight into the mechanistic interplay between auxin transporters and immunophilins and regulatory drugs in regulating plant development.

BOX 1: Diffusion versus primary and secondary active auxin transport in plants

Much of the complex structure of biological membranes is dedicated to the regulation of solute transport. Passive diffusion of ions and other hydrophilic molecules across the hydrophobic cellular membranes is low. The transport of substrates that cannot diffuse freely across membranes, moreover their directional movement against a concentration gradient, as in the case of polar auxin transport, requires energy. Primary transporters are fueled in most cases by ATP hydrolysis whereas secondary transporters are driven by tapping the electrochemical gradients existing across biological membranes [24]. These are usually Na^+ gradients in animal cells provided by the action of Na^+/K^+ -ATPases or H^+ gradients in fungi and plants generated by the action of H^+ -ATPases on the plasma membrane, respectively. Channels, in contrast, when open let ions diffuse rapidly down electrical and concentration gradients resulting in detectable currents. ABCBs were clearly shown to utilize ATP as a direct energy source and to transport auxin steadily across cellular membranes, suggesting ABCBs act in most cases as primary auxin pumps [4, 6, 127]. PINs and AUX1/LAXs are thought to act as secondary active auxin exporters dependent on an electrochemical gradient. AUX1/LAXs are similar to bacterial permease-like amino-acid transporters [23] that most likely act as H^+ /IAA symporters [24]. The energization of PINs is less clear and hypothesized based on the fact that PINs do not possess ATP-binding domains[128]. Interestingly, complex functional interactions among some PINs and ABCBs

have been reported [19-21], however, the biological relevance and mechanism of these interactions are far from being understood.

BOX 2: Immunophilins in regulation of plant development and auxin transport

Immunophilins can function by either binding of small ligands (such as immunosuppressive drugs and other compounds that generate protein-binding surfaces), by peptidyl-prolyl isomerisation of their targets, or as chaperones. From bacteria to humans, these versatile proteins regulate protein structure, activity and stability. In higher plants, the FKBP and cyclophilin families are dramatically over-represented, and display a functional shift toward specific developmental functions. Whereas FKBP of plants show reductions in their affinity for immunosuppressive drugs as well as decreases in their PPIase activity, plant cyclophilins display conserved affinity for immunosuppressive drugs and presence of PPIase activity. Recent studies identified plant immunophilins as regulators of polar auxin transport, and suggested that immunophilins function in either auxin-transporter cellular trafficking or activity, or both [8-10, 43, 44, 62]. Whereas Arabidopsis TWD1/FKBP42 shows preference for binding and functional interaction with ABCB type of auxin transporters [8], tomato cyclophilin DGT/CypA displays high functional preference for PIN auxin transporters [10]. Furthermore, whereas TWD1 is a positive regulator of its ABCB auxin transporter targets [43, 62], DGT appears to function as a negative regulator of PINs [10]. Auxin transport in both, Arabidopsis *twd1* and tomato *dgt* mutants, is insensitive to NPA [10, 44] suggesting that immunophilins also mediate auxin-transporter sensitivity to auxin transport inhibitors.

491 **Acknowledgements**

492 Financial support was provided by the Pool de Recherche of the University of Fribourg (M.G.),
493 by the Novartis Foundation (M.G.), by Swiss National Funds (M.G.), by the USDA National
494 Research Initiative Competitive Grants Program (2007-35304-17728 to M.G.I.) and by the
495 Oregon State University General Research Fund (to M.G.I).

496

497
 498 **Fig. 1: Domain and molecular structures of tomato DGT/CypA and Arabidopsis**
 499 **TWD1/FKBP42.**

500 **Cartoon diagrams** indicate functional domains in the two different immunophilins and their
 501 canonical drug binding sites.. Protein structures presented here were generated using the I-
 502 TASSER server [129] from full-length peptide sequences without constraints. Threading
 503 templates were PDB entries 1e8k, 1dyw, 4i9y, 4e1q, 4ijm, and 2cpl for DGT (estimated TM-
 504 score = 0.98 ± 0.05 , estimated RMSD = $1.5 \pm 1.4 \text{ \AA}$), and 2if4, 1kt0, 1qz2 for TWD1 (estimated
 505 TM-score = 0.52 ± 0.15 , estimated RMSD = $10.3 \pm 4.6 \text{ \AA}$). Functional domains are labeled: CLD,
 506 Cyclophilin-like domain; FKBD, FK506-binding domain; TPR, tetratricopeptide repeat domain;
 507 CaM-BD, calmodulin-binding domain; IPM, in-plane membrane anchor. Binding sites for
 508 immunosuppressant drugs cyclosporin A (PDB 4hy7; pink) and FK506 (PDB 1bkf; magenta) to
 509 the CLD and FKBD domains of DGT and TWD1, respectively, are indicated. The location of the
 510 MEEVD peptide of HSP90 (PDB 1qz2; green) docking to the TPR domain of TWD1 is given.

511
512

513
514 **Fig. 2: Working model of tomato DGT/CypA and Arabidopsis TWD1/FKBP42 functions in**
515 **regulation of auxin transporters.**

516 Interactions with DGT/CypA may be regulating PIN membrane exchanges and activity (left
517 panel) [10, 124]. This hypothesis is based on observed inhibition of PIN auxin efflux activity
518 upon PIN co-expression with in a yeast transport system. When expressed together with PIN1 or
519 ABCB1 in *N. benthamiana* leaves, DGT-dependent relocation of plasma-membrane localized
520 PIN1 to the nuclear periphery was observed, suggesting that DGT regulates PIN trafficking,
521 whereas both PIN1 and ABCB1 increased DGT's presence on the plasma membrane. Auxin
522 transport in the *dgt* root tip was completely NPA-insensitive [10] suggesting that DGT is
523 involved in NPA inhibition of PIN-driven auxin efflux. Because both DGT and NPA appear to
524 be negative regulators of PIN auxin transport, NPA is more likely to promote DGT interaction of
525 PINs rather than to disrupt it (see +NPA). However, it is unknown whether DGT engages in
526 direct physical interactions with PINs (or ABCBs) and whether DGT binds NPA.

527 In analogy, TWD1/FKBP42 was shown to have a regulatory role on ABCB transporters (right
528 panel). TWD1 is located in endomembrane systems, such as the ER and the tonoplast but seems
529 to exhibit its activity predominantly at the plasma membrane. A chaperone-like function for
530 TWD1 during ER to plasma membrane trafficking of ABCBs was suggested based on the
531 finding that ABCB1,4,19 are retained on the ER and degraded in the *twd1* mutant [8, 9].
532 ABCB-type auxin transporters and TWD1 have been both identified as targets of NPA [37, 41,
533 62, 111, 112]. Micromolar concentrations of NPA were also shown to disrupt the ABCB1-
534 TWD1 interaction (see +NPA) supporting the hypothesis that NPA binds at the ABCB-TWD1
535 interface [44, 130].

FKBP/ Cyclophilin	Plant species	Location	PPIase activity	Function and protein interactions in plant development
FKBP12	<i>V. faba</i> [72] <i>A. thaliana</i> [72, 73] <i>Z. mais</i> [72] <i>O. sativa</i> [131] <i>P. wilsonii</i> [74]	Cytoplasm	nd	No obvious phenotype in <i>A. thaliana</i> [132] Interacts with AtFIP37, which has a role in embryo development [73] Interacts with PwHAP5, role in pollen tube development [74]
FKBP42/ TWD1/ UCU2	<i>A. thaliana</i> [62, 63, 65] <i>O. sativa</i> [131] <i>Z. mais</i> [133]	Cytoplasm-facing ER [8, 9], PM [8, 62, 99] and tonoplast locations [65, 66]	No [62, 65]	Dwarf phenotype caused by reduced cell elongation, reduced helical orientation of epidermal layers in root and shoot, disoriented growth [62, 63] Regulation and PM-to-ER trafficking of ABCB-type auxin transporters [8, 9] Interaction with ABCB transporters on PM (via FKB-domain) [8, 62], ABCC transporters on tonoplast (via TPR domain) [66], with HSP90 (TPR domain) [62, 65] and calmodulin (calmodulin-binding domain) [66] Over-expression of TWD1 lacking its membrane anchor results in hypermorphic growth [64]
FKBP72/ PAS1	<i>A. thaliana</i> [69] <i>O. sativa</i> [131] <i>Z. mais</i> [133]	Unclear (C-terminal membrane anchor), nuclear [68, 134]	Low, inhibited by FK506 and rapamycin [134]	Up-regulated cell division, leaf fusions, short hypocotyls, sterile [69] Chaperon during translocation of NAC-like transcription factor (AtFAN) into nucleus [68] Regulation of very long fatty acid elongation [70]
DGT/CypA/ ROC1/CYP1/ CYP2/	<i>P. patens</i> [10] <i>A. thaliana</i> [77] <i>S. lycopersicum</i> [78] <i>O. sativa</i> [91, 92, 135] <i>R. communis</i> [79]	Nuclear and cytoplasmic [10, 90], phloem sieve elements [79]	Significant [77, 78], inhibited by CsA [78]	Regulates growth [90], gene expression [84, 90, 92], patterned cell division [88], phloem function [79], ROS balance in root apical meristem [87]
Cyp20-2	<i>A. thaliana</i> [93], <i>T. aestivum</i> [94]	Predicted chloroplast [49]	Stronger in <i>A.</i> <i>thaliana</i> than in <i>T. aestivum</i> [93]	Hormonal responses [93, 94], flowering time [93, 94], protein conformational change [93]

Cyp40/SQT	<i>A. thaliana</i> [95-97]	Predicted cytosol [49]	unknown	Protein complex assembly [97, 136], vegetative phase change [95], meristem function [96], microRNA function [97]
Cyp71	<i>A. thaliana</i> [137]	Nucleus [137]	unknown	Meristem function, leaf morphology and vein pattern, root elongation [137]

537

538 **Table 1: Examples of plant immunophilins with functions in plant development.** As a note, nomenclature of cyclophilins is not clear in the
539 literature, and proteins are grouped here for simplicity based on molecular size. ER, endoplasmic reticulum; PM, plasma membrane; nd, not
540 determined.

541 **References**

- 542 [1] S. Vanneste, J. Friml, Auxin: a trigger for change in plant development, *Cell*, 136 (2009)
543 1005-1016.
- 544 [2] J.G. Dubrovsky, M. Sauer, S. Napsucially-Mendivil, M.G. Ivanchenko, J. Friml, S.
545 Shishkova, J. Celenza, E. Benkova, Auxin acts as a local morphogenetic trigger to specify lateral
546 root founder cells, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
547 *America*, 105 (2008) 8790-8794.
- 548 [3] C. Darwin, F. Darwin, *The Power of Movements in Plants.*, Murray, London. (1880).
- 549 [4] M. Geisler, A.S. Murphy, The ABC of auxin transport: the role of p-glycoproteins in plant
550 development, *FEBS letters*, 580 (2006) 1094-1102.
- 551 [5] P. Grones, J. Friml, Auxin transporters and binding proteins at a glance, *Journal of cell*
552 *science*, 128 (2015) 1-7.
- 553 [6] M. Geisler, J.J. Blakeslee, R. Bouchard, O.R. Lee, V. Vincenzetti, A. Bandyopadhyay, B.
554 Titapiwatanakun, W.A. Peer, A. Bailly, E.L. Richards, K.F. Ejendal, A.P. Smith, C. Baroux, U.
555 Grossniklaus, A. Muller, C.A. Hrycyna, R. Dudler, A.S. Murphy, E. Martinoia, Cellular efflux of
556 auxin catalyzed by the Arabidopsis MDR/PGP transporter AtPGP1, *The Plant journal : for cell*
557 *and molecular biology*, 44 (2005) 179-194.
- 558 [7] J. Zhu, M. Geisler, Keeping it all together: Auxin-actin crosstalk in plant development,
559 *Journal of experimental botany*, (in press: doi:10.1093/jxb/erv308) (2015).
- 560 [8] B. Wang, A. Bailly, M. Zwiewka, S. Henrichs, E. Azzarello, S. Mancuso, M. Maeshima, J.
561 Friml, A. Schulz, M. Geisler, Arabidopsis TWISTED DWARF1 functionally interacts with
562 auxin exporter ABCB1 on the root plasma membrane, *The Plant cell*, 25 (2013) 202-214.
- 563 [9] G. Wu, M.S. Otegui, E.P. Spalding, The ER-localized TWD1 immunophilin is necessary for
564 localization of multidrug resistance-like proteins required for polar auxin transport in
565 Arabidopsis roots, *The Plant cell*, 22 (2010) 3295-3304.
- 566 [10] M.G. Ivanchenko, J. Zhu, B. Wang, E. Medvecka, Y. Du, E. Azzarello, S. Mancuso, M.
567 Megraw, S. Filichkin, J.G. Dubrovsky, J. Friml, M. Geisler, The cyclophilin A
568 DIAGEOTROPICA gene affects auxin transport in both root and shoot to control lateral root
569 formation, *Development*, 142 (2015) 712-721.
- 570 [11] T.L. Lomax, G.K. Muday, P.H. Rubery, Auxin transport, in: P.J. Davies (Ed.) *Plant*
571 *Hormones: Physiology, Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, Netherlands,
572 (1995) 509-530.
- 573 [12] M. Jacobs, P.H. Rubery, Naturally-Occurring Auxin Transport Regulators, *Science*, 241
574 (1988) 346-349.
- 575 [13] P.H. Rubery, A.R. Sheldrake, Carrier-Mediated Auxin Transport, *Planta*, 118 (1974) 101-
576 121.
- 577 [14] P.H. Rubery, A.R. Sheldrake, Effect of pH and surface charge on cell uptake of auxin, *Nat*
578 *New Biol*, 244 (1973) 285-288.

579 [15] D.B. Kell, S.G. Oliver, How drugs get into cells: tested and testable predictions to help
580 discriminate between transporter-mediated uptake and lipoidal bilayer diffusion, *Front*
581 *Pharmacol*, 5 (2014) 231.

582 [16] M. Geisler, B. Wang, J. Zhu, Auxin transport during root gravitropism: transporters and
583 techniques, *Plant biology*, 16 Suppl 1 (2014) 50-57.

584 [17] E. Benkova, M. Michniewicz, M. Sauer, T. Teichmann, D. Seifertova, G. Jurgens, J. Friml,
585 Local, efflux-dependent auxin gradients as a common module for plant organ formation, *Cell*,
586 115 (2003) 591-602.

587 [18] I. Blilou, J. Xu, M. Wildwater, V. Willemsen, I. Paponov, J. Friml, R. Heidstra, M. Aida, K.
588 Palme, B. Scheres, The PIN auxin efflux facilitator network controls growth and patterning in
589 *Arabidopsis* roots, *Nature*, 433 (2005) 39-44.

590 [19] J.J. Blakeslee, A. Bandyopadhyay, O.R. Lee, J. Mravec, B. Titapiwatanakun, M. Sauer,
591 S.N. Makam, Y. Cheng, R. Bouchard, J. Adamec, M. Geisler, A. Nagashima, T. Sakai, E.
592 Martinoia, J. Friml, W.A. Peer, A.S. Murphy, Interactions among PIN-FORMED and P-
593 glycoprotein auxin transporters in *Arabidopsis*, *The Plant cell*, 19 (2007) 131-147.

594 [20] J. Mravec, M. Kubes, A. Bielach, V. Gaykova, J. Petrasek, P. Skupa, S. Chand, E. Benkova,
595 E. Zazimalova, J. Friml, Interaction of PIN and PGP transport mechanisms in auxin distribution-
596 dependent development, *Development*, 135 (2008) 3345-3354.

597 [21] A. Bandyopadhyay, J.J. Blakeslee, O.R. Lee, J. Mravec, M. Sauer, B. Titapiwatanakun,
598 S.N. Makam, R. Bouchard, M. Geisler, E. Martinoia, J. Friml, W.A. Peer, A.S. Murphy,
599 Interactions of PIN and PGP auxin transport mechanisms, *Biochemical Society transactions*, 35
600 (2007) 137-141.

601 [22] E. Zazimalova, A.S. Murphy, H. Yang, K. Hoyerova, P. Hosek, Auxin transporters--why so
602 many?, *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology*, 2 (2010) a001552.

603 [23] M.J. Bennett, A. Marchant, H.G. Green, S.T. May, S.P. Ward, P.A. Millner, A.R. Walker,
604 B. Schulz, K.A. Feldmann, *Arabidopsis* AUX1 gene: a permease-like regulator of root
605 gravitropism, *Science*, 273 (1996) 948-950.

606 [24] I.D. Kerr, M.J. Bennett, New insight into the biochemical mechanisms regulating auxin
607 transport in plants, *Biochem J*, 401 (2007) 613-622.

608 [25] K. Terasaka, J.J. Blakeslee, B. Titapiwatanakun, W.A. Peer, A. Bandyopadhyay, S.N.
609 Makam, O.R. Lee, E.L. Richards, A.S. Murphy, F. Sato, K. Yazaki, PGP4, an ATP binding
610 cassette P-glycoprotein, catalyzes auxin transport in *Arabidopsis thaliana* roots, *The Plant cell*,
611 17 (2005) 2922-2939.

612 [26] D. Santelia, V. Vincenzetti, E. Azzarello, L. Bovet, Y. Fukao, P. Duchtig, S. Mancuso, E.
613 Martinoia, M. Geisler, MDR-like ABC transporter AtPGP4 is involved in auxin-mediated lateral
614 root and root hair development, *FEBS letters*, 579 (2005) 5399-5406.

615 [27] M. Sidler, P. Hassa, S. Hasan, C. Ringli, R. Dudler, Involvement of an ABC transporter in a
616 developmental pathway regulating hypocotyl cell elongation in the light, *The Plant cell*, 10
617 (1998) 1623-1636.

618 [28] Y. Kamimoto, K. Terasaka, M. Hamamoto, K. Takanashi, S. Fukuda, N. Shitan, A.
619 Sugiyama, H. Suzuki, D. Shibata, B. Wang, S. Pollmann, M. Geisler, K. Yazaki, Arabidopsis
620 ABCB21 is a facultative auxin importer/exporter regulated by cytoplasmic auxin concentration,
621 Plant & cell physiology, 53 (2012) 2090-2100.

622 [29] I.C. Barbosa, C. Schwechheimer, Dynamic control of auxin transport-dependent growth by
623 AGCVIII protein kinases, Current opinion in plant biology, 22 (2014) 108-115.

624 [30] C. Luschnig, G. Vert, The dynamics of plant plasma membrane proteins: PINs and beyond,
625 Development, 141 (2014) 2924-2938.

626 [31] U. Kania, M. Fendrych, J. Friml, Polar delivery in plants; commonalities and differences to
627 animal epithelial cells, Open biology, 4 (2014) 140017.

628 [32] Z. Ding, B. Wang, I. Moreno, N. Duplakova, S. Simon, N. Carraro, J. Reemmer, A. Pencik,
629 X. Chen, R. Tejos, P. Skupa, S. Pollmann, J. Mravec, J. Petrasek, E. Zazimalova, D. Honys, J.
630 Rolcik, A. Murphy, A. Orellana, M. Geisler, J. Friml, ER-localized auxin transporter PIN8
631 regulates auxin homeostasis and male gametophyte development in Arabidopsis, Nature
632 communications, 3 (2012) 941.

633 [33] J. Mravec, P. Skupa, A. Bailly, K. Hoyerova, P. Krecek, A. Bielach, J. Petrasek, J. Zhang,
634 V. Gaykova, Y.D. Stierhof, P.I. Dobrev, K. Schwarzerova, J. Rolcik, D. Seifertova, C. Luschnig,
635 E. Benkova, E. Zazimalova, M. Geisler, J. Friml, Subcellular homeostasis of phytohormone
636 auxin is mediated by the ER-localized PIN5 transporter, Nature, 459 (2009) 1136-1140.

637 [34] E. Barbez, M. Kubes, J. Rolcik, C. Beziat, A. Pencik, B. Wang, M.R. Rosquete, J. Zhu, P.I.
638 Dobrev, Y. Lee, E. Zazimalova, J. Petrasek, M. Geisler, J. Friml, J. Kleine-Vehn, A novel
639 putative auxin carrier family regulates intracellular auxin homeostasis in plants, Nature, 485
640 (2012) 119-122.

641 [35] J. Kleine-Vehn, J. Friml, Polar targeting and endocytic recycling in auxin-dependent plant
642 development, Annual review of cell and developmental biology, 24 (2008) 447-473.

643 [36] M. Cho, H.T. Cho, The function of ABCB transporters in auxin transport, Plant signaling &
644 behavior, 8 (2012).

645 [37] J.Y. Kim, S. Henrichs, A. Bailly, V. Vincenzetti, V. Sovero, S. Mancuso, S. Pollmann, D.
646 Kim, M. Geisler, H.G. Nam, Identification of an ABCB/P-glycoprotein-specific inhibitor of
647 auxin transport by chemical genomics, The Journal of biological chemistry, 285 (2010) 23309-
648 23317.

649 [38] H. Yang, A.S. Murphy, Functional expression and characterization of Arabidopsis ABCB,
650 AUX 1 and PIN auxin transporters in Schizosaccharomyces pombe, The Plant journal : for cell
651 and molecular biology, 59 (2009) 179-191.

652 [39] J. Petrasek, J. Mravec, R. Bouchard, J.J. Blakeslee, M. Abas, D. Seifertova, J. Wisniewska,
653 Z. Tadele, M. Kubes, M. Covanova, P. Dhonukshe, P. Skupa, E. Benkova, L. Perry, P. Krecek,
654 O.R. Lee, G.R. Fink, M. Geisler, A.S. Murphy, C. Luschnig, E. Zazimalova, J. Friml, PIN
655 proteins perform a rate-limiting function in cellular auxin efflux, Science, 312 (2006) 914-918.

656 [40] M. Zourelidou, B. Absmanner, B. Weller, I.C. Barbosa, B.C. Willige, A. Fastner, V. Streit,
657 S.A. Port, J. Colcombet, S. de la Fuente van Bentem, H. Hirt, B. Kuster, W.X. Schulze, U.Z.

658 Hammes, C. Schwechheimer, Auxin efflux by PIN-FORMED proteins is activated by two
659 different protein kinases, D6 PROTEIN KINASE and PINOID, *eLife*, (2014) e02860.

660 [41] M. Rojas-Pierce, B. Titapiwatanakun, E.J. Sohn, F. Fang, C.K. Larive, J. Blakeslee, Y.
661 Cheng, S.R. Cutler, W.A. Peer, A.S. Murphy, N.V. Raikhel, Arabidopsis P-glycoprotein19
662 participates in the inhibition of gravitropism by gravacin, *Chem Biol*, 14 (2007) 1366-1376.

663 [42] Y.D. Yang, U.Z. Hammes, C.G. Taylor, D.P. Schachtman, E. Nielsen, High-affinity auxin
664 transport by the AUX1 influx carrier protein, *Current Biology*, 16 (2006) 1123-1127.

665 [43] R. Bouchard, A. Bailly, J.J. Blakeslee, S.C. Oehring, V. Vincenzetti, O.R. Lee, I. Paponov,
666 K. Palme, S. Mancuso, A.S. Murphy, B. Schulz, M. Geisler, Immunophilin-like TWISTED
667 DWARF1 modulates auxin efflux activities of Arabidopsis P-glycoproteins, *The Journal of*
668 *biological chemistry*, 281 (2006) 30603-30612.

669 [44] A. Bailly, V. Sovero, V. Vincenzetti, D. Santelia, D. Bartnik, B.W. Koenig, S. Mancuso, E.
670 Martinoia, M. Geisler, Modulation of P-glycoproteins by auxin transport inhibitors is mediated
671 by interaction with immunophilins, *The Journal of biological chemistry*, 283 (2008) 21817-
672 21826.

673 [45] M. Cho, E.M. Henry, D.R. Lewis, G. Wu, G.K. Muday, E.P. Spalding, Block of ATP-
674 binding cassette B19 ion channel activity by 5-nitro-2-(3-phenylpropylamino)-benzoic acid
675 impairs polar auxin transport and root gravitropism, *Plant physiology*, 166 (2014) 2091-2099.

676 [46] E. El Khouri, A. Toure, Functional interaction of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane
677 conductance regulator with members of the SLC26 family of anion transporters (SLC26A8 and
678 SLC26A9): Physiological and pathophysiological relevance, *The international journal of*
679 *biochemistry & cell biology*, (2014).

680 [47] A. Bailly, H. Yang, E. Martinoia, M. Geisler, A.S. Murphy, Plant Lessons: Exploring
681 ABCB Functionality Through Structural Modeling, *Frontiers in plant science*, 2 (2011) 108.

682 [48] S. Barik, Immunophilins: for the love of proteins, *Cell Mol Life Sci*, 63 (2006) 2889-2900.

683 [49] P. Romano, J. Gray, P. Horton, S. Luan, Plant immunophilins: functional versatility beyond
684 protein maturation, *The New phytologist*, 166 (2005) 753-769.

685 [50] D. Vasudevan, G. Gopalan, A. Kumar, V.J. Garcia, S. Luan, K. Swaminathan, Plant
686 immunophilins: a review of their structure-function relationship, *Biochimica et biophysica acta*,
687 1850 (2015) 2145-2158.

688 [51] B. Adams, A. Musiyenko, R. Kumar, S. Barik, A novel class of dual-family immunophilins,
689 *The Journal of biological chemistry*, 280 (2005) 24308-24314.

690 [52] M.A. Bjornsti, P.J. Houghton, The TOR pathway: a target for cancer therapy, *Nature*
691 *reviews. Cancer*, 4 (2004) 335-348.

692 [53] J. Fanghanel, G. Fischer, Insights into the catalytic mechanism of peptidyl prolyl cis/trans
693 isomerases, *Front Biosci*, 9 (2004) 3453-3478.

694 [54] C.B. Kang, Y. Hong, S. Dhe-Paganon, H.S. Yoon, FKBP Family Proteins: Immunophilins
695 with Versatile Biological Functions, *Neurosignals*, 16 (2008) 318-325.

- 696 [55] M. Geisler, A. Bailly, Tete-a-tete: the function of FKBP's in plant development, Trends in
697 plant science, 12 (2007) 465-473.
- 698 [56] D. Mok, R.K. Allan, A. Carrello, K. Wangoo, M.D. Walkinshaw, T. Ratajczak, The
699 chaperone function of cyclophilin 40 maps to a cleft between the prolyl isomerase and
700 tetratricopeptide repeat domains, FEBS letters, 580 (2006) 2761-2768.
- 701 [57] X.C. Zhang, W.D. Wang, J.S. Wang, J.C. Pan, PPIase independent chaperone-like function
702 of recombinant human Cyclophilin A during arginine kinase refolding, FEBS letters, 587 (2013)
703 666-672.
- 704 [58] E.K. Baker, N.J. Colley, C.S. Zuker, The cyclophilin homolog NinaA functions as a
705 chaperone, forming a stable complex in vivo with its protein target rhodopsin, The EMBO
706 journal, 13 (1994) 4886-4895.
- 707 [59] A. Bailly, V. Sovero, M. Geisler, The Twisted Dwarf's ABC: How Immunophilins Regulate
708 Auxin Transport, Plant signaling & behavior, 1 (2006) 277-280.
- 709 [60] P. Wang, J. Heitman, The cyclophilins, Genome biology, 6 (2005) 226.
- 710 [61] H.R. Mainali, P. Chapman, S. Dhaubhadel, Genome-wide analysis of Cyclophilin gene
711 family in soybean (*Glycine max*), BMC plant biology, 14 (2014) 282.
- 712 [62] M. Geisler, H.U. Kolukisaoglu, R. Bouchard, K. Billion, J. Berger, B. Saal, N. Frangne, Z.
713 Koncz-Kalman, C. Koncz, R. Dudler, J.J. Blakeslee, A.S. Murphy, E. Martinoia, B. Schulz,
714 TWISTED DWARF1, a unique plasma membrane-anchored immunophilin-like protein, interacts
715 with Arabidopsis multidrug resistance-like transporters AtPGP1 and AtPGP19, Molecular
716 biology of the cell, 14 (2003) 4238-4249.
- 717 [63] J.M. Perez-Perez, M.R. Ponce, J.L. Micol, The ULTRACURVATA2 gene of Arabidopsis
718 encodes an FK506-binding protein involved in auxin and brassinosteroid signaling, Plant
719 physiology, 134 (2004) 101-117.
- 720 [64] A. Bailly, B. Wang, M. Zwiewka, S. Pollmann, D. Schenck, H. Luthen, A. Schulz, J. Friml,
721 M. Geisler, Expression of TWISTED DWARF1 lacking its in-plane membrane anchor leads to
722 increased cell elongation and hypermorphic growth, The Plant journal : for cell and molecular
723 biology, 77 (2014) 108-118.
- 724 [65] T. Kamphausen, J. Fanghanel, D. Neumann, B. Schulz, J.U. Rahfeld, Characterization of
725 Arabidopsis thaliana AtFKBP42 that is membrane-bound and interacts with Hsp90, The Plant
726 journal : for cell and molecular biology, 32 (2002) 263-276.
- 727 [66] M. Geisler, M. Girin, S. Brandt, V. Vincenzetti, S. Plaza, N. Paris, Y. Kobae, M. Maeshima,
728 K. Billion, U.H. Kolukisaoglu, B. Schulz, E. Martinoia, Arabidopsis immunophilin-like TWD1
729 functionally interacts with vacuolar ABC transporters, Molecular biology of the cell, 15 (2004)
730 3393-3405.
- 731 [67] H.A. Scheidt, A. Vogel, A. Eckhoff, B.W. Koenig, D. Huster, Solid-state NMR
732 characterization of the putative membrane anchor of TWD1 from Arabidopsis thaliana, Eur
733 Biophys J, (2006).
- 734 [68] C. Smoczynski, F. Roudier, L. Gissot, E. Vaillant, O. Grandjean, H. Morin, T. Masson, Y.
735 Bellec, D. Geelen, J.D. Faure, The C terminus of the immunophilin PASTICCINO1 is required

736 for plant development and for interaction with a NAC-like transcription factor, *The Journal of*
737 *biological chemistry*, 281 (2006) 25475-25484.

738 [69] P. Vittorioso, R. Cowling, J.D. Faure, M. Caboche, C. Bellini, Mutation in the *Arabidopsis*
739 *PASTICCINO1* gene, which encodes a new FK506-binding protein-like protein, has a dramatic
740 effect on plant development, *Mol Cell Biol*, 18 (1998) 3034-3043.

741 [70] F. Roudier, L. Gissot, F. Beaudoin, R. Haslam, L. Michaelson, J. Marion, D. Molino, A.
742 Lima, L. Bach, H. Morin, F. Tellier, J.C. Palauqui, Y. Bellec, C. Renne, M. Miquel, M. Dacosta,
743 J. Vignard, C. Rochat, J.E. Markham, P. Moreau, J. Napier, J.D. Faure, Very-long-chain fatty
744 acids are involved in polar auxin transport and developmental patterning in *Arabidopsis*, *The*
745 *Plant cell*, 22 (2010) 364-375.

746 [71] Y. Harrar, Y. Bellec, C. Bellini, J.D. Faure, Hormonal control of cell proliferation requires
747 *PASTICCINO* genes, *Plant physiology*, 132 (2003) 1217-1227.

748 [72] Q. Xu, S. Liang, J. Kudla, S. Luan, Molecular characterization of a plant FKBP12 that does
749 not mediate action of FK506 and rapamycin, *The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology*,
750 15 (1998) 511-519.

751 [73] J.D. Faure, D. Gingerich, S.H. Howell, An *Arabidopsis* immunophilin, AtFKBP12, binds to
752 AtFIP37 (FKBP interacting protein) in an interaction that is disrupted by FK506, *The Plant*
753 *journal : for cell and molecular biology*, 15 (1998) 783-789.

754 [74] Y. Yu, Y. Li, G. Huang, Z. Meng, D. Zhang, J. Wei, K. Yan, C. Zheng, L. Zhang, PwHAP5,
755 a CCAAT-binding transcription factor, interacts with PwFKBP12 and plays a role in pollen tube
756 growth orientation in *Picea wilsonii*, *Journal of experimental botany*, 62 (2011) 4805-4817.

757 [75] R. Zhang, Z. Meng, T. Zhou, Y. Deng, L. Feng, Y. Wang, G. Sun, S. Guo, M. Ren,
758 ScFKBP12 bridges rapamycin and AtTOR in *Arabidopsis*, *Plant signaling & behavior*, 8 (2013)
759 e26115.

760 [76] Y. Harrar, C. Bellini, J.D. Faure, FKBP: at the crossroads of folding and transduction,
761 *Trends in plant science*, 6 (2001) 426-431.

762 [77] V. Lippuner, I.T. Chou, S.V. Scott, W.F. Ettinger, S.M. Theg, C.S. Gasser, Cloning and
763 characterization of chloroplast and cytosolic forms of cyclophilin from *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *The*
764 *Journal of biological chemistry*, 269 (1994) 7863-7868.

765 [78] K. Oh, M.G. Ivanchenko, T.J. White, T.L. Lomax, The *diageotropica* gene of tomato
766 encodes a cyclophilin: a novel player in auxin signaling, *Planta*, 224 (2006) 133-144.

767 [79] M. Gottschalk, E. Dolgener, B. Xoconostle-Cazares, W.J. Lucas, E. Komor, C. Schobert,
768 *Ricinus communis* cyclophilin: functional characterisation of a sieve tube protein involved in
769 protein folding, *Planta*, 228 (2008) 687-700.

770 [80] F. Mignolli, L. Mariotti, L. Lombardi, M.L. Vidoz, N. Ceccarelli, P. Picciarelli, Tomato
771 fruit development in the auxin-resistant *dgt* mutant is induced by pollination but not by auxin
772 treatment, *Journal of plant physiology*, 169 (2012) 1165-1172.

773 [81] M.L. Vidoz, E. Loreti, A. Mensuali, A. Alpi, P. Perata, Hormonal interplay during
774 adventitious root formation in flooded tomato plants, *The Plant journal : for cell and molecular*
775 *biology*, 63 (2010) 551-562.

776 [82] V. Balbi, T.L. Lomax, Regulation of early tomato fruit development by the diageotropica
777 gene, *Plant physiology*, 131 (2003) 186-197.

778 [83] C. Coenen, N. Bierfreund, H. Luthen, G. Neuhaus, Developmental regulation of H⁺-
779 ATPase-dependent auxin responses in the diageotropica mutant of tomato (*Lycopersicon*
780 *esculentum*), *Physiologia plantarum*, 114 (2002) 461-471.

781 [84] A. Nebenfuhr, T.J. White, T.L. Lomax, The diageotropica mutation alters auxin induction
782 of a subset of the Aux/IAA gene family in tomato, *Plant molecular biology*, 44 (2000) 73-84.

783 [85] M.O. Kelly, K.J. Bradford, Insensitivity of the diageotropica tomato mutant to auxin, *Plant*
784 *physiology*, 82 (1986) 713-717.

785 [86] R.W. Zobel, Some Physiological Characteristics of the Ethylene-requiring Tomato Mutant
786 Diageotropica, *Plant physiology*, 52 (1973) 385-389.

787 [87] M.G. Ivanchenko, D. den Os, G.B. Monshausen, J.G. Dubrovsky, A. Bednarova, N.
788 Krishnan, Auxin increases the hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) concentration in tomato (*Solanum*
789 *lycopersicum*) root tips while inhibiting root growth, *Annals of botany*, 112 (2013) 1107-1116.

790 [88] M.G. Ivanchenko, W.C. Coffeen, T.L. Lomax, J.G. Dubrovsky, Mutations in the
791 Diageotropica (Dgt) gene uncouple patterned cell division during lateral root initiation from
792 proliferative cell division in the pericycle, *The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology*, 46
793 (2006) 436-447.

794 [89] Z. Spiegelman, B.K. Ham, Z. Zhang, T.W. Toal, S.M. Brady, Y. Zheng, Z. Fei, W.J. Lucas,
795 S. Wolf, A tomato phloem-mobile protein regulates the shoot-to-root ratio by mediating the
796 auxin response in distant organs, *The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology*, 83 (2015)
797 853-863.

798 [90] M. Lavy, M.J. Prigge, K. Tigyi, M. Estelle, The cyclophilin DIAGEOTROPICA has a
799 conserved role in auxin signaling, *Development*, 139 (2012) 1115-1124.

800 [91] B. Kang, Z. Zhang, L. Wang, L. Zheng, W. Mao, M. Li, Y. Wu, P. Wu, X. Mo, OsCYP2, a
801 chaperone involved in degradation of auxin-responsive proteins, plays crucial roles in rice lateral
802 root initiation, *The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology*, 74 (2013) 86-97.

803 [92] H. Jing, X. Yang, J. Zhang, X. Liu, H. Zheng, G. Dong, J. Nian, J. Feng, B. Xia, Q. Qian, J.
804 Li, J. Zuo, Peptidyl-prolyl isomerization targets rice Aux/IAAs for proteasomal degradation
805 during auxin signalling, *Nature communications*, 6 (2015) 7395.

806 [93] Y. Zhang, B. Li, Y. Xu, H. Li, S. Li, D. Zhang, Z. Mao, S. Guo, C. Yang, Y. Weng, K.
807 Chong, The cyclophilin CYP20-2 modulates the conformation of BRASSINAZOLE-
808 RESISTANT1, which binds the promoter of FLOWERING LOCUS D to regulate flowering in
809 *Arabidopsis*, *The Plant cell*, 25 (2013) 2504-2521.

810 [94] B. Li, W. Xu, Y. Xu, Y. Zhang, T. Wang, Y. Bai, C. Han, A. Zhang, Z. Xu, K. Chong,
811 Integrative study on proteomics, molecular physiology, and genetics reveals an accumulation of
812 cyclophilin-like protein, TaCYP20-2, leading to an increase of Rht protein and dwarf in a novel
813 GA-insensitive mutant (gaid) in Wheat, *Journal of proteome research*, 9 (2010) 4242-4253.

814 [95] T.Z. Berardini, K. Bollman, H. Sun, R.S. Poethig, Regulation of vegetative phase change in
815 *Arabidopsis thaliana* by cyclophilin 40, *Science*, 291 (2001) 2405-2407.

816 [96] N. Prunet, P. Morel, A.M. Thierry, Y. Eshed, J.L. Bowman, I. Negrutiu, C. Trehin,
817 REBELOTE, SQUINT, and ULTRAPETALA1 function redundantly in the temporal regulation
818 of floral meristem termination in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *The Plant cell*, 20 (2008) 901-919.

819 [97] T. Iki, M. Yoshikawa, T. Meshi, M. Ishikawa, Cyclophilin 40 facilitates HSP90-mediated
820 RISC assembly in plants, *The EMBO journal*, 31 (2012) 267-278.

821 [98] C.S. Hemenway, J. Heitman, Immunosuppressant target protein FKBP12 is required for P-
822 glycoprotein function in yeast, *The Journal of biological chemistry*, 271 (1996) 18527-18534.

823 [99] S. Henrichs, B. Wang, Y. Fukao, J. Zhu, L. Charrier, A. Bailly, S.C. Oehring, M. Linnert,
824 M. Weiwad, A. Endler, P. Nanni, S. Pollmann, S. Mancuso, A. Schulz, M. Geisler, Regulation of
825 ABCB1/PGP1-catalysed auxin transport by linker phosphorylation, *The EMBO journal*, 31
826 (2012) 2965-2980.

827 [100] Y.K. Banasavadi-Siddegowda, J. Mai, Y. Fan, S. Bhattacharya, D.R. Giovannucci, E.R.
828 Sanchez, G. Fischer, X. Wang, FKBP38 peptidylprolyl isomerase promotes the folding of cystic
829 fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator in the endoplasmic reticulum, *The Journal of*
830 *biological chemistry*, 286 (2011) 43071-43080.

831 [101] D.E. Brown, A.M. Rashotte, A.S. Murphy, J. Normanly, B.W. Tague, W.A. Peer, L. Taiz,
832 G.K. Muday, Flavonoids act as negative regulators of auxin transport in vivo in *arabidopsis*,
833 *Plant physiology*, 126 (2001) 524-535.

834 [102] A. Rahman, A. Bannigan, W. Sulaman, P. Pechter, E.B. Blancaflor, T.I. Baskin, Auxin,
835 actin and growth of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* primary root, *The Plant journal : for cell and*
836 *molecular biology*, 50 (2007) 514-528.

837 [103] J. Petrasek, A. Cerna, K. Schwarzerova, M. Elckner, D.A. Morris, E. Zazimalova, Do
838 phytohormones inhibit auxin efflux by impairing vesicle traffic?, *Plant physiology*, 131 (2003) 254-
839 263.

840 [104] P. Dhonukshe, I. Grigoriev, R. Fischer, M. Tominaga, D.G. Robinson, J. Hasek, T.
841 Paciorek, J. Petrasek, D. Seifertova, R. Tejos, L.A. Meisel, E. Zazimalova, T.W. Gadella, Jr.,
842 Y.D. Stierhof, T. Ueda, K. Oiwa, A. Akhmanova, R. Brock, A. Spang, J. Friml, Auxin transport
843 inhibitors impair vesicle motility and actin cytoskeleton dynamics in diverse eukaryotes,
844 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 105 (2008)
845 4489-4494.

846 [105] N. Geldner, J. Friml, Y.D. Stierhof, G. Jurgens, K. Palme, Auxin transport inhibitors block
847 PIN1 cycling and vesicle trafficking, *Nature*, 413 (2001) 425-428.

848 [106] W. Michalke, F.K. Gerard, E.G. Art, Phytohormone-binding sites and auxin transport in
849 *Cucurbita pepo*: evidence for two recognition sites, *Planta*, 187 (1992) 254-260.

850 [107] G.K. Muday, S.A. Brunn, P. Haworth, M. Subramanian, Evidence for a Single
851 Naphthylphthalamic Acid Binding Site on the Zucchini Plasma Membrane, *Plant physiology*,
852 103 (1993) 449-456.

853 [108] G.K. Muday, A.S. Murphy, An emerging model of auxin transport regulation, *The Plant*
854 *cell*, 14 (2002) 293-299.

855 [109] J.H. Butler, S. Hu, S.R. Brady, M.W. Dixon, G.K. Muday, In vitro and in vivo evidence
856 for actin association of the naphthylphthalamic acid-binding protein from zucchini hypocotyls,
857 *The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology*, 13 (1998) 291-301.

858 [110] D.N. Cox, G.K. Muday, NPA binding activity is peripheral to the plasma membrane and is
859 associated with the cytoskeleton, *The Plant cell*, 6 (1994) 1941-1953.

860 [111] A. Nagashima, G. Suzuki, Y. Uehara, K. Saji, T. Furukawa, T. Koshiba, M. Sekimoto, S.
861 Fujioka, T. Kuroha, M. Kojima, H. Sakakibara, N. Fujisawa, K. Okada, T. Sakai, Phytochromes
862 and cryptochromes regulate the differential growth of Arabidopsis hypocotyls in both a PGP19-
863 dependent and a PGP19-independent manner, *The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology*,
864 53 (2008) 516-529.

865 [112] A.S. Murphy, K.R. Hoogner, W.A. Peer, L. Taiz, Identification, purification, and
866 molecular cloning of N-1-naphthylphthalamic acid-binding plasma membrane-associated
867 aminopeptidases from Arabidopsis, *Plant physiology*, 128 (2002) 935-950.

868 [113] P. Limtrakul, O. Khantamat, K. Pintha, Inhibition of P-glycoprotein function and
869 expression by kaempferol and quercetin, *J Chemother*, 17 (2005) 86-95.

870 [114] W.A. Peer, A.S. Murphy, Flavonoids as signal molecules. Targets of flavonoid action, in:
871 Springer (Ed.) *The Science of Flavonoids*, Grotewold, E., Berlin, 2006, pp. 239-268.

872 [115] A. Murphy, W.A. Peer, L. Taiz, Regulation of auxin transport by aminopeptidases and
873 endogenous flavonoids, *Planta*, 211 (2000) 315-324.

874 [116] L. Galweiler, C.H. Guan, A. Muller, E. Wisman, K. Mendgen, A. Yephremov, K. Palme,
875 Regulation of polar auxin transport by AtPIN1 in Arabidopsis vascular tissue, *Science*, 282
876 (1998) 2226-2230.

877 [117] K. Okada, J. Ueda, M.K. Komaki, C.J. Bell, Y. Shimura, Requirement of the Auxin Polar
878 Transport System in Early Stages of Arabidopsis Floral Bud Formation, *The Plant cell*, 3 (1991)
879 677-684.

880 [118] W.A. Peer, F.N. Hosein, A. Bandyopadhyay, S.N. Makam, M.S. Otegui, G.J. Lee, J.J.
881 Blakeslee, Y. Cheng, B. Titapiwatanakun, B. Yakubov, B. Bangari, A.S. Murphy, Mutation of
882 the membrane-associated M1 protease APM1 results in distinct embryonic and seedling
883 developmental defects in Arabidopsis, *The Plant cell*, 21 (2009) 1693-1721.

884 [119] P. Gil, E. Dewey, J. Friml, Y. Zhao, K.C. Snowden, J. Putterill, K. Palme, M. Estelle, J.
885 Chory, BIG: a calossin-like protein required for polar auxin transport in Arabidopsis, *Genes Dev*,
886 15 (2001) 1985-1997.

887 [120] J. Chen, Z. Yang, Novel ABP1-TMK auxin sensing system controls ROP GTPase-
888 mediated interdigitated cell expansion in Arabidopsis, *Small GTPases*, (2014) e29711.

889 [121] Y. Gao, Y. Zhang, D. Zhang, X. Dai, M. Estelle, Y. Zhao, Auxin binding protein 1 (ABP1)
890 is not required for either auxin signaling or Arabidopsis development, *Proceedings of the*
891 *National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 112 (2015) 2275-2280.

892 [122] S. Shimomura, S. Watanabe, H. Ichikawa, Characterization of auxin-binding protein 1
893 from tobacco: content, localization and auxin-binding activity, *Planta*, 209 (1999) 118-125.

- 894 [123] G.K. Muday, T.L. Lomax, D.L. Rayle, Characterization of the growth and auxin
895 physiology of roots of the tomato mutant, *diageotropica*, *Planta*, 195 (1995) 548-553.
- 896 [124] K. Retzer, C. Luschnig, *DIAGEOTROPICA*: news from the auxin swamp, *Trends in plant*
897 *science*, 20 (2015) 328-329.
- 898 [125] J. Zhang, S. Vanneste, P.B. Brewer, M. Michniewicz, P. Grones, J. Kleine-Vehn, C.
899 Lofke, T. Teichmann, A. Bielach, B. Cannoot, K. Hoyerova, X. Chen, H.W. Xue, E. Benkova, E.
900 Zazimalova, J. Friml, Inositol trisphosphate-induced Ca²⁺ signaling modulates auxin transport
901 and PIN polarity, *Developmental cell*, 20 (2011) 855-866.
- 902 [126] G. Conseil, H. Baubichon-Cortay, G. Dayan, J.M. Jault, D. Barron, A. Di Pietro,
903 Flavonoids: a class of modulators with bifunctional interactions at vicinal ATP- and steroid-
904 binding sites on mouse P-glycoprotein, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*
905 *United States of America*, 95 (1998) 9831-9836.
- 906 [127] B. Titapiwatanakun, A.S. Murphy, Post-transcriptional regulation of auxin transport
907 proteins: cellular trafficking, protein phosphorylation, protein maturation, ubiquitination, and
908 membrane composition, *Journal of experimental botany*, 60 (2009) 1093-1107.
- 909 [128] K. Palme, L. Galweiler, PIN-pointing the molecular basis of auxin transport, *Current*
910 *opinion in plant biology*, 2 (1999) 375-381.
- 911 [129] A. Roy, A. Kucukural, Y. Zhang, I-TASSER: a unified platform for automated protein
912 structure and function prediction, *Nature protocols*, 5 (2010) 725-738.
- 913 [130] J. Granzin, A. Eckhoff, O.H. Weiergraber, Crystal structure of a multi-domain
914 immunophilin from *Arabidopsis thaliana*: a paradigm for regulation of plant ABC transporters, *J*
915 *Mol Biol*, 364 (2006) 799-809.
- 916 [131] P.J. Gollan, M. Bhave, Genome-wide analysis of genes encoding FK506-binding proteins
917 in rice, *Plant molecular biology*, 72 (2010) 1-16.
- 918 [132] L. Vespa, G. Vachon, F. Berger, D. Perazza, J.D. Faure, M. Herzog, The immunophilin-
919 interacting protein AtFIP37 from *Arabidopsis* is essential for plant development and is involved
920 in trichome endoreduplication, *Plant physiology*, 134 (2004) 1283-1292.
- 921 [133] Y. Yu, H. Zhang, W. Li, C. Mu, F. Zhang, L. Wang, Z. Meng, Genome-wide analysis and
922 environmental response profiling of the FK506-binding protein gene family in maize (*Zea mays*
923 *L.*), *Gene*, 498 (2012) 212-222.
- 924 [134] R. Carol, A. Breiman, N. Erel, P. Vittorioso, C. Bellini, Pasticcino 1 (AtFKBP70) is a
925 nuclear-localised immunophilin required during *Arabidopsis thaliana* embryogenesis., *Plant Sci.*,
926 161 (2001) 527-535.
- 927 [135] H. Zheng, S. Li, B. Ren, J. Zhang, M. Ichii, S. Taketa, Y. Tao, J. Zuo, H. Wang,
928 LATERAL ROOTLESS2, a cyclophilin protein, regulates lateral root initiation and auxin
929 signaling pathway in rice, *Molecular plant*, 6 (2013) 1719-1721.
- 930 [136] K.W. Earley, R.S. Poethig, Binding of the cyclophilin 40 ortholog SQUINT to Hsp90
931 protein is required for SQUINT function in *Arabidopsis*, *The Journal of biological chemistry*,
932 286 (2011) 38184-38189.

933 [137] H. Li, Z. He, G. Lu, S.C. Lee, J. Alonso, J.R. Ecker, S. Luan, A WD40 domain cyclophilin
934 interacts with histone H3 and functions in gene repression and organogenesis in Arabidopsis,
935 The Plant cell, 19 (2007) 2403-2416.