The performance of the γ -ray tracking array GRETINA for γ -ray spectroscopy with fast beams of rare isotopes

D. Weisshaar^a, D. Bazin^{a,b}, P. C. Bender^a, C. M. Campbell^c, F. Recchia^a, V. Bader^{a,b}, T. Baugher^{a,b}, J. Belarge^a, M. P. Carpenter^d, H. L. Crawford^c, M. Cromaz^c, B. Elman^{a,b}, P. Fallon^c, A. Forney^f, A. Gade^{a,b}, J. Harker^f, N. Kobayashi^a, C. Langer^a, T. Lauritsen^d, I. Y. Lee^c, A. Lemasson^a, B. Longfellow^{a,b}, E. Lunderberg^{a,b}, A. O. Macchiavelli^c, K. Miki^a, S. Momiyama^g, S. Noji^a, D. C. Radford^e, M. Scott^{a,b}, J. Sethi^f, S. R. Stroberg^{a,b,1}, C. Sullivan^{a,b}, R. Titus^{a,b}, A. Wiens^c, S. Williams^{a,2}, K. Wimmer^{a,g}, S. Zhu^d

^aNational Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824

^bDepartment of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824

^cLawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

^dArgonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439, USA

^eOak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA

^fDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Maryland. College Park. MD 20742, U.S.A

^gDepartment of Physics, The University of Tokyo, Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

Abstract

The γ -ray tracking array GRETINA was coupled to the S800 magnetic spectrometer for spectroscopy with fast beams of rare isotopes at the National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory on the campus of Michigan State University. We describe the technical details of this powerful setup and report on GRETINA's performance achieved with source and in-beam measurements. The γ -ray multiplicity encountered in experiments with fast beams is usually low, allowing for a simplified and efficient treatment of the data in the γ -ray analysis in terms of Doppler reconstruction and spectral quality. The results reported in this work were obtained from GRETINA consisting of 8 detector modules hosting four high-purity germanium crystals each. Currently, GRETINA consists of 10 detector modules.

Keywords: γ -ray spectroscopy, rare-isotope beams, GRETINA

Preprint submitted to NIM

November 30, 2016

Email address: weisshaar@nscl.msu.edu (D. Weisshaar)

¹Present address: TRIUMF, 4004 Wesbrook Mall, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6T 2A3 Canada

²Present address: Diamond Light Source, Harwell Science and Innovation Campus, Didcot, Oxfordshire, OX11 0DE, U.K.

24 1. Introduction

25 In-beam γ -ray spectroscopy with fast beams of rare isotopes has evolved into
26 a powerful tool for studying the properties of nuclei far away from the valley
27 of β stability. A wide range of experimental techniques has been established
28 to infer experimental information, for example, on collectivity, single-particle
29 structure, and structure information relevant for astrophysical questions [1–3].
30 The key to those experiments is the use of rare-isotope beams at intermediate
31 energies. These beams of energies up to several hundred MeV/u impinge on
32 a reaction target and the detection and identification of the projectile-like re-
33 action products in coincidence with emitted γ rays quantifies the population
34 of excited final states. The high beam energies allow for the use of reaction
35 targets with thicknesses of several hundred mg/cm² for increased luminosity
36 that enables successful γ -ray spectroscopy even at low rare-isotope beam rates
37 of a few particles per second. The same high beam energies, however, also
38 pose a major challenge for the in-beam γ -ray detection as the γ rays emitted
39 by nuclei at velocities exceeding 30% of the speed of light are detected with
40 substantial Doppler shifts in the laboratory reference frame. While this effect
41 can be corrected for up to a certain extent, the achievable energy resolutions
42 of the Doppler-broadened γ -ray lines in the spectra is ultimately limited. This
43 limitation arises from the accuracy of the determination of (i) the emission angle
44 with respect to the trajectory of the γ -ray emitter and (ii) the emitter’s velocity
45 at the point of γ -ray decay. The spatial resolution of a γ -ray spectrometer is
46 thus critical and often the constraining factor in the precise determination of
47 the emission angle for the Doppler-shift reconstruction.

48 Several successful γ -ray spectrometers dedicated to spectroscopy at interme-
49 diate beam energies are used at rare-isotope facilities around the world. One
50 class of spectrometers is based on scintillation detectors such as DALI2 (NaI)
51 [4] and CAESAR (CsI(Na)) [5]. The modest intrinsic energy resolution of the
52 detector material allows for a rather coarse granularity before the effect of the
53 Doppler-broadening becomes the dominating factor in the achievable in-beam

energy resolution. Scintillator arrays enable quite compact geometries with 4π coverage around the reaction target, yielding absolute γ -ray efficiencies of 20-30% at 1 MeV. The in-beam energy resolution is typically around 10% full-width-half-maximum (FWHM) at 1 MeV, often limiting the applicability of those devices to experiments with a low number of expected γ -ray transitions well separated in energy.

Spectrometers based on high-purity germanium (Ge) benefit from the vastly improved intrinsic energy resolution as compared to scintillators but need to provide a sufficiently high spatial resolution to retain the superior energy resolution in-beam. This is achieved by employing segmented Ge detectors which enable the identification of the sub volume within the Ge crystal where the γ -ray interactions took place. Examples for such first-generation segmented germanium arrays are GRAPE [6], RISING/MINIBALL [7, 8], and SeGA [9]. For example, SeGA coupled with the S800 spectrograph [10] at the National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory (NSCL) at Michigan State University has been a frequently used experimental setup that contributed some of the pioneering in-beam γ -ray spectroscopy measurements to the field of rare isotope science [11].

The **Gamma-Ray Energy Tracking In-beam Nuclear Array** (GRETINA) [12] and the **Advanced Gamma Ray Tracking Array** (AGATA) [13] are the first γ -ray spectrometers utilizing the novel concept of γ -ray tracking [14]. In a γ -ray tracking array, the spatial coordinates and deposited energies of each γ -ray interaction are measured. Using the kinematics of the Compton effect, tracking algorithms then reconstruct the scattering paths of the measured γ rays and decide if a γ -ray event was completely absorbed, yielding peak-to-total performances comparable to *Compton-shielded* Ge detector systems. GRETINA, originally consisting of 28 36-fold segmented high-purity germanium detectors mounted in 7 cryostats that house 4 detectors each, provides more than 1π solid-angle coverage. This makes GRETINA especially interesting for spectroscopy with fast beams of rare isotopes, as its compactness allows for good coverage of the solid angle downstream of the reaction target which is advantageous be-

85 cause of the forward focusing of the γ rays in consequence of the Lorentz boost.
86 The spatial resolution provided by GRETINA then enables precise Doppler
87 correction. GRETINA's higher full-energy-peak efficiency, together with its im-
88 proved peak-to-total performance than that of the first generation segmented
89 Ge detector arrays, permits for the first time high-quality, high-resolution γ - γ
90 coincidence measurements in spectroscopy with fast rare isotopes.

91 At NSCL, two campaigns of fast-beam experiments with GRETINA coupled
92 to the S800 spectrograph have been carried out [15]. We describe the setup
93 and technical details relevant for these types of experiments and report on the
94 performance characteristics of GRETINA measured with sources as well as in-
95 beam.

96 **2. The GRETINA-S800 setup**

97 *2.1. The GRETINA mechanical support structure*

98 The GRETINA detector support frame consists of two solid Al hemispheres
99 of 12.9 cm thickness and 51.1 cm inner radius. Each hemisphere provides ten
100 mounting holes to support a GRETINA detector module each. The distance
101 between the endcap of the detector and the target is about 18 cm. Two addi-
102 tional mounting positions are shared between both hemispheres at their division
103 line, resulting in 22 detector positions in total. The underlying geometry for a
104 4π array, like GRETINA's extension GRETA, calls for 30 detector-module posi-
105 tions, namely ten positions in a ring at 90° and five positions each in four rings
106 at 32° , 58° , 122° , and 148° relative to the beam axis. For the GRETINA frame,
107 two mounting positions at 90° are utilized for the axle mechanism supporting
108 the hemispheres and enabling their rotation. Furthermore, in both hemispheres,
109 the section that would nominally support the most forward detector ring at 32°
110 is omitted. The ring at 58° supports only four instead of five detector modules.
111 The 5^{th} position was not implemented in order to provide a recess in the frame
112 which can accommodate the entrance gate valve of the S800 spectrograph. The
113 resulting hemisphere geometry provides the clearances for positioning the center

114 of the GRETINA array at the pivot point of the S800 spectrograph. The pivot
115 point is located just 37 cm upstream from the entrance gate valve of the S800
116 and is the preferred location of the reaction target that optimizes ion optics and
117 acceptances of the spectrograph. The support structure of each hemisphere rests
118 on a cart mechanics, allowing the independent movement of each hemisphere.

119 The two hemispheres are high-precision pieces and were manufactured with
120 tight tolerances. Guided by alignment pins, a GRETINA module slides into
121 alignment during the insertion process into a mounting hole of the hemisphere.
122 Both hemispheres provide fiducial marks for alignment measurements with laser-
123 tracker systems. Over the course of the first GRETINA campaign at NSCL, the
124 positioning of both hemispheres relative to the experimental vault was recon-
125 firmed several times using a laser-tracker system and found to vary by less than
126 1 mm.

127 Compared with the sophisticated detector support mechanics, the design of
128 the standard target assembly is simple. The reaction targets used are typically
129 5 cm $\times$ 5 cm sheets, glued on a plastic (G10) ring of 64 mm inner diameter,
130 12 mm width, and 0.6 mm thickness. This assembly is then placed on a cradle
131 and pushed down the 6-inch-diameter beam pipe with a push stick of specific
132 length, so that the target on the cradle within the beam pipe is positioned
133 in the center of GRETINA. Also more sophisticated target systems like the
134 TRIPLEX plunger [16] or the liquid hydrogen target [17] have been used. Fig-
135 ure 1 shows a photograph of the area around the reaction target position, with
136 seven GRETINA detector modules mounted in the so-called NSCL standard
137 configuration. In this configuration the four positions at 58° are populated and
138 all remaining modules are mounted in the 90° ring. The standard configuration
139 was used for the majority of the experiments carried out with GRETINA at
140 NSCL.

141 2.2. GRETINA electronics and data acquisition

142 GRETINA utilizes the modern concept of digital pipelines in its data ac-
143 quisition architecture. Each digitizer channel triggers and converts events inde-

Figure 1: The photograph shows GRETINA in the so-called NSCL standard configuration mounted in front of the S800 spectrograph's entrance gate valve (covered by aluminum foil). Four GRETINA modules are mounted in the 58° ring and the remaining three modules are located at 90° . The 6-inch diameter beam pipe is removed for a better view on the detector endcaps. The data discussed in this work were obtained with 8 GRETINA modules, with the 8^{th} module mounted in the free hole seen on the upper left.

pendently, assigns a time stamp of 10 ns granularity, and stores them locally. Those triggers can be derived locally but also provided externally, for example from a Ge detector core contact channel triggering a segment channel. Those triggers are reported to the GRETINA Trigger Timing & Control logic which performs the global trigger decision within the GRETINA system. If a global trigger condition is met, the Trigger Timing & Control logic issues a command to all channels to deliver their locally stored events matching the global trigger by means of time-stamp comparison. This mechanism is called *event validation*. Locally-stored events are dropped if no matching validation is issued within a given expiration time window. The Trigger Timing & Control logic also provides fast trigger signal outputs and accepts external trigger signal inputs from auxiliary detector systems, both crucial ingredients for a coincidence with a particle detection system like the S800 magnetic spectrograph. The latency between a trigger generated locally for a detector channel and the fast trigger signal output is less than 300 ns. Details on the implementation and functionality of the GRETINA electronics and architecture are reported in [18–20].

A Ge-detector crystal raw event is comprised of the digitized signals at 100 MHz sampling rate, energies of the central contact and 36 segment electrodes, and the leading-edge trigger time of the central contact. For that purpose, the conversion of all segment channels is driven by the leading-edge trigger of the corresponding central contact and ensures proper time alignment of the captured signal traces (waveforms). The central contact signal is actually split into four branches and fed into four digitizer channels of 2 MeV, 5 MeV, 10 MeV, and 30 MeV full range, while the segment channels have 10 MeV full range. The length of the captured waveform is typically set to 1.8 μ s and results in a raw event length of 16 kB for the 40 digitizer channels instrumenting one Ge crystal.

The global trigger initiates the collection of the raw events from the hit Ge crystals and the data are passed to the GRETINA computer farm that runs the signal-decomposition software. The signal-decomposition process computes the position and energy deposition of the γ -ray interaction points from the measured waveforms [12]. The result, consisting of timing and energy of the

175 central contact, the energies of the hit segments, and a list of coordinates and
 176 energies of each interaction point, is the final Ge-detector event, dubbed *mode2*
 177 event. The raw data format, including the captured waveforms that are passed
 178 to the signal-decomposition process, is called *mode3* event. While the *mode3*
 179 data are usually dropped after the decomposition process, the *mode2* event is
 180 passed to the GRETINA event builder process.

181 The GRETINA event builder receives the Ge-detector events from the many
 182 decomposition processes running on the GRETINA computer farm. Each event
 183 has a time stamp and a payload of data and the event builder orders them
 184 according to the time stamps and writes them to disk. The GRETINA event
 185 builder does not build coincidences by combining events with similar or identi-
 186 cal time stamp; this task remains for the data analysis. The GRETINA event
 187 builder does also accept events from other event sources, such as ancillary de-
 188 tector systems. For online monitoring purposes, the event builder provides an
 189 interface for analysis software packages to tap into the built event stream.

190 The GRETINA computing resources are capable of processing 30,000 γ rays
 191 per second. Event buffering allows brief periods exceeding this limit. In case the
 192 buffer capacity is exhausted, an inhibit mechanism suppresses further triggers
 193 at the front-end electronics until sufficient buffer capacity is restored. The
 194 same inhibit mechanism is activated when any component of the GRETINA
 195 DAQ system exceeds its data transfer bandwidth. In each data run, the total
 196 durations of inhibit periods are measured and reported by the GRETINA DAQ
 197 system. For typical experiments performed during the GRETINA campaigns at
 198 NSCL, this kind of deadtime is irrelevant as the in-beam trigger rates are well
 199 below these limits. It should be noted that, aside from this inhibit mechanism,
 200 GRETINA has no global deadtime.

201 Another source of deadtime in GRETINA is a channel-wise deadtime, related
 202 to the local event buffering scheme implemented on the digitizer boards. A local
 203 trigger, usually derived from the central contact of the Ge crystal, initiates the
 204 conversion and local buffering of the energy filter and waveform data. This
 205 processing takes approximately 15-20 μ s and acts as a channel deadtime since

206 the local buffering of any other hit in the same detector occurring during this
 207 time window will be discarded. The channel deadtime is monitored and reported
 208 for each run as the ratio of locally buffered events and the amount of local
 209 triggers. Typical Ge detector rates in in-beam experiments at NSCL were well
 210 below 1 kHz which translates into a channel deadtime of 2% or less. For the
 211 second campaign of GRETINA at NSCL, the local buffering scheme of the
 212 digitizer boards was changed so that only *validated* events are buffered for the
 213 readout. Since in the experiments the validation is typically initiated by a
 214 particle trigger in the S800 spectrograph, this new buffering scheme is 100%
 215 efficient as long as a second event does not occur in the same digitizer channel
 216 during the validation window of typical $4 - 6 \mu s$ length. However, events that
 217 are that close in time would pile-up in energy.

218 *2.3. The data acquisition system of the S800 spectrograph*

219 The data acquisition architecture used for the magnetic S800 spectrograph is
 220 based on a classic event-by-event readout scheme. The event readout is initiated
 221 by a master trigger signal, prompting the conversion for all detector channels,
 222 for example amplitude conversion in ADC modules or timing in TDC modules.
 223 After conversion, the data are read out from the modules by the corresponding
 224 crate controllers and transferred to an acquisition computer via a USB interface.
 225 Depending on the number of detector channels fired, the whole readout process
 226 takes 120-200 μs . No other master trigger can be issued during this period.

227 The generation of the master trigger is controlled by the versatile trigger
 228 logic of the S800 spectrograph. The logic allows trigger conditions to be set on
 229 multiple trigger sources as well as coincidence conditions between two trigger
 230 sources. The fast focal-plane scintillator is usually taken as the trigger source
 231 for the S800 spectrograph. A time stamp mechanism assigns a time stamp for
 232 each event. It is implemented as a counter which runs on clock cycles provided
 233 by an internal or external clock source at a frequency of up to 20 MHz. For
 234 deadtime determination, the S800 DAQ system offers scaler data, counting the
 235 input count rate of the several trigger sources and the number of generated

triggers. A 10 kHz clock signal and a copy of it vetoed by the S800 DAQ busy signal are recorded by the scaler modules as well and provide a direct measure of the livetime of the system.

2.4. Interfacing GRETINA and S800

Combining the S800 spectrograph and GRETINA is accomplished through the time stamps that both data acquisition systems provide. Time-stamp synchronization between both systems is achieved by providing the GRETINA clock signal, downscaled to 12.5 MHz, as a reference for the S800 time-stamp counter. At the start of a data taking run, all time-stamp counters are reset to zero, allowing coincidence correlation of S800-GRETINA events by means of a simple time-stamp comparison. While the S800 focal plane detectors can tolerate count rates of up to 6-10 kHz, deadtime considerations make it desirable to limit the master-trigger rate to 1.5 kHz or less. For that purpose, the fast trigger output from the GRETINA Trigger Timing & Control logic is used in the S800 trigger logic to form the GRETINA-S800 coincidences, reducing the master trigger rate in the S800 DAQ system. A coincidence window width of 600 ns is typically used to accommodate the time walk in the GRETINA trigger signals originating from the leading-edge discriminator algorithms used on the GRETINA digitizer boards and the signal rise times exceeding 400 ns in the large-volume Ge crystals. The master trigger from the S800 logic is also sent to the GRETINA Trigger Timing & Control logic and used to validate events in GRETINA with a validation window width of typically 4 μ s or longer.

The granularity of the 12.5 MHz time-stamp clock is sufficient for the correlation of S800-GRETINA coincidences, but not to recover the detector timing properties between the Ge detectors of GRETINA and the scintillators of the S800 spectrograph. Therefore, the master trigger signal from the S800 DAQ is also fed into a dedicated digitizer card in GRETINA and read out by the GRETINA DAQ. This provides an S800 timing reference in GRETINA since all timing measurements in the S800 system are performed relative to the master trigger. The quality of this timing reference is sufficient to recover the Ge

266 detector timing performance of 10 ns relative to a fast plastic scintillator signal
 267 from the S800. Master trigger signals are at least 100 μ s apart in time because
 268 of the duration of an S800 readout cycle. This separation is significantly longer
 269 than the digitizer channel deadtime and ensures that the master trigger signals
 270 are processed in the dedicated digitizer in GRETINA with essentially 100% effi-
 271 ciency. This property is routinely used as a diagnostic tool, namely every S800
 272 event has to have its counterpart in the GRETINA event stream.

273 The event data measured by the S800 DAQ are sent to the GRETINA event
 274 builder process where they are merged with the GRETINA data and stored.
 275 The combined data stream is also made available for online data analysis and
 276 monitoring of the proper operation of all detector channels.

277 **3. Source measurements**

278 *3.1. Energy resolution and γ - γ timing*

279 During its operation at NSCL, GRETINA was thoroughly characterized with
 280 γ -ray calibration sources. Energy resolutions at the central contact of 1.6 keV
 281 at 122 keV and 2.4 keV at 1332 keV were extracted from the spectrum sum from
 282 the 32 individual singles spectra in the second campaign. For the first campaign,
 283 worse resolutions of 2.2 keV at 122 keV and 2.8 keV at 1332 keV were measured
 284 and traced back to a less sophisticated energy algorithm implemented on the
 285 digitizer firmware at the time.

286 The detector timing characteristic was measured from γ - γ coincidences with
 287 a ^{60}Co source. For each detector, the decomposition process reports the time
 288 stamp of the leading-edge trigger and the so-called t_0 value. The time t_0 de-
 289 scribes the signal start in a trace ensemble of a crystal and is a fit parameter
 290 computed in the signal decomposition process. As the traces are aligned and
 291 read out relative to the leading-edge trigger, the sum of the leading-edge time
 292 value and t_0 provides the detector time with sub-bin timing granularity. The
 293 γ - γ coincidence timing for events with two or more detectors fired is calculated
 294 as the difference between those detector times, while the time of the detector

295 reporting the highest energy is taken as the timing reference. A coincidence
 296 timing resolution of 14 ns FWHM was achieved for all GRETINA events gated
 297 on the 1173 keV-1332 keV coincidences. This value worsens to 20 ns when the
 298 1173 keV gate is changed to detected γ -ray energies of around 300 keV (Com-
 299 ton events) in the same data. At this energy of 300 keV, the timing peak starts
 300 to develop an additional structure towards later detector times, extending up
 301 to 300 ns. At 300 keV this region of events with worse timing contains around
 302 5% of the events while at 100 keV this number increases to 40%. As a con-
 303 sequence, careful consideration is needed for placing time gates in the analysis
 304 of low-energy γ -ray yields, as too tightly set timing gates can easily cut into
 305 GRETINA's low-energy efficiency.

306 *3.2. Absolute efficiency*

307 In many experiments with GRETINA at NSCL, a primary experimental
 308 observable is the cross section for populating excited states, tagged by their
 309 prompt γ decays as measured in GRETINA. Therefore, the absolute detection
 310 efficiency of the S800-GRETINA setup is a crucial performance parameter and
 311 was thoroughly characterized for each of the detector configuration realized at
 312 NSCL. One efficiency commonly quoted for tracking arrays is the calorimeter
 313 efficiency for sources with γ -ray multiplicity 1, for which all energies detected in
 314 an event are added first and put in a single spectrum. Obviously, for ^{60}Co and
 315 any other calibration source with γ -ray multiplicity larger than 1, the calorime-
 316 ter spectrum will likely collect contributions from all coincident γ rays in an
 317 event, making the extraction of a calorimeter efficiency from those spectra a
 318 challenging task [21]. The calorimeter efficiency describes the upper limit for
 319 the efficiency achievable after the tracking procedure and is therefore an im-
 320 portant benchmark for a tracking array. The calorimeter efficiency depends on
 321 the detector arrangement as it is more likely to recover γ rays scattering out of
 322 one crystal into another the more compact the configuration is. Of course, for
 323 in-beam experiments with γ multiplicities that are typically larger than 1, the
 324 calorimeter efficiency has less relevance.

325 The singles efficiency of a tracking array can be measured more easily. For
 326 that, the individual central-core spectra of each Ge crystal are summed into
 327 one singles spectrum that is then analyzed. For calibration sources with low
 328 γ -ray multiplicity any contribution from cross scattering removing a good full-
 329 energy-peak count from the peak in the spectrum, as well as the probability
 330 that more than one γ ray is emitted towards the same detector crystal in an
 331 event are considered to be negligible. The latter assumption is justified as a
 332 Ge crystal is covering less than 1% of the 4π solid angle. Another advantage of
 333 extracting a singles efficiency is that this efficiency stays the same for different
 334 array configurations.

335 3.2.1. *The GRETINA singles efficiency*

336 The measured singles efficiency curve for GRETINA consisting of 32 crystals
 337 is shown in figure 2. The calibration sources used had activities of less than $2\ \mu\text{Ci}$
 338 and produced a rate of several hundred Hz per Ge crystal, causing a total trigger
 339 rate of up to 25 kHz in GRETINA. The GRETINA computer farm can handle
 340 this rate of signal decomposition processes and so all source calibration data
 341 were taken in the same acquisition mode of GRETINA as the in-beam data.
 342 The absolute efficiency of the array was extracted using a ^{152}Eu source with
 343 known activity, the peak integrals in the acquired spectra, the γ -ray yield per
 344 disintegration [22], and the acquisition time corrected for the deadtime. This
 345 deadtime correction is small ($< 2\%$) in the case that the GRETINA readout was
 346 triggered locally by the GRETINA Trigger Timing & Control logic since only the
 347 channel deadtime of $15\ \mu\text{s}$ has to be considered. In the case that the GRETINA
 348 fast trigger signal was used in the S800 trigger logic to trigger a γ -ray event,
 349 the deadtime was considerable at about 60-70%, as each trigger also initiated a
 350 readout cycle in the S800 DAQ as well. This deadtime was obtained solely from
 351 the S800 deadtime measurement using its raw and live clock measurements. In
 352 either trigger mode, the same absolute singles efficiency for the ^{152}Eu source
 353 was extracted, proving the validity of the deadtime measurement. The error
 354 bars for the ^{152}Eu data points are given by the uncertainty of the γ -ray yields

Figure 2: The singles efficiency of GRETTINA consisting of 8 detector modules is shown. The curve following the data points is parametrized as $4.532 \cdot (E[\text{keV}] + 100)^{-0.621}$. The weighted standard deviation between data points and curve is 2.1%.

and the source activity. The activity of the ^{152}Eu calibration source is quoted with 1.4% accuracy by the manufacturer.

Absolute efficiency data points were also determined with ^{60}Co and ^{88}Y using coincidences with a $\text{LaBr}_3\text{:Ce}$ scintillation detector. The strategy of this measurement with a multiplicity 2 source is that for any full-energy-peak event measured in the scintillator, the coincident γ ray must have been present and may or may not be detected in GRETINA. Absolute efficiencies can then be extracted from the ratio of the full-energy-peak events detected in GRETINA in coincidence with the corresponding energy in the $\text{LaBr}_3\text{:Ce}$ scintillator divided by the number of full-energy-peak events recorded in the scintillator in singles. Practically, the signal of the $\text{LaBr}_3\text{:Ce}$ scintillator was fed into the S800 spectrograph electronics, replacing the signal of the focal plane trigger detector. The trigger condition of the S800-GRETINA DAQ was set on S800 singles, i.e. in this case $\text{LaBr}_3\text{:Ce}$ singles. Offline, the GRETINA singles spectrum for events in coincidences with the corresponding full-energy-peak events in the $\text{LaBr}_3\text{:Ce}$ scintillator was created. If the software energy gate is set on the higher-lying ^{60}Co line in the $\text{LaBr}_3\text{:Ce}$ detector spectrum, then the absolute efficiency is the ratio of the full-energy-peak counts obtained from the GRETINA singles spectrum over the number of events in the scintillator that satisfy the same energy gate condition ³. Still, two corrections must be considered. The first correction is the random coincidence rate which can be estimated from the amount of full-energy-peak events of the higher line seen in the gated GRETINA spectrum, i.e. the 1.33 MeV line still seen in GRETINA while gated on 1.33 MeV in the $\text{LaBr}_3\text{:Ce}$ detector. For the low-activity sources used, this effect is in the order of 10^{-3} , so for every 1000 counts observed in the 1.17 MeV peak in the gated GRETINA spectrum just 1-2 counts appear in the 1.33 MeV peak due to random coincidences. A second correction is needed to account for the γ - γ angular correlation [23] and can be calculated from the correlation coefficients

³For ^{88}Y the β -decay branch directly feeding the 1836 keV level needs to be considered, too.

[22] and the array configuration. For the data presented here, this correction was computed to be of the order of 1-3 % for the different configurations and is included in the quoted efficiency. When the energy gate condition is set on the lower-lying line in the LaBr₃:Ce scintillator singles spectrum, one needs to consider that the higher γ energy, scattered out of the scintillator, may also satisfy the same energy gate condition. This can be seen in the coincident GRETINA singles spectrum, which shows a considerable peak for the lower energy in addition to the higher energy line. From its peak integral, the number of those events scattering out of the scintillator can be estimated. In the data presented here, 2-4% of the LaBr₃:Ce singles events in the gate for the lower energy line stem from those scatters. Using the method outlined in this paragraph, the absolute singles efficiency of GRETINA (consisting of 8 detector modules) at 898 keV, 1173 keV, 1332 keV, and 1836 keV are 6.08(4)%, 5.49(5)%, 5.07(5)%, and 4.06(3)%. The errors quoted are statistical.

A singles measurement with a ⁵⁶Co source was used for accessing the efficiency beyond 1.84 MeV. The activity of that source was unknown and so the relative efficiency was extracted and scaled to best fit with the absolute efficiency points in the energy region from 800 keV to 2 MeV. These scaled ⁵⁶Co data points and the absolute efficiency data points obtained with the ¹⁵²Eu source and the LaBr₃:Ce coincidence measurements are shown in figure 2 and used to extract the overall experimental efficiency curve shown in the same figure. The error of the efficiency curve can be estimated from the residuum distribution centered at 0 with a σ of 0.11. Most data points that contribute to the residuum are located in the energy region from 1 to 1.5 MeV with an absolute efficiency value of $\epsilon_{singles} \approx 5\%$, so one may conclude that the efficiency curve overall describes the efficiency within 2-3% accuracy.

3.3. Calorimeter and nearest-neighbor add-back mode

The singles efficiency does not capitalize on the capability of GRETINA to recover the energy of γ rays scattered between crystals. It is ultimately the task of a tracking algorithm to combine the measured interaction points to a

413 full-energy-peak γ -ray event. The gain in counts in a peak for a particular
 414 tracking strategy can be measured relative to the peak counts obtained in the
 415 singles spectrum and is called the add-back factor. The add-back factors for
 416 the calorimeter mode at γ -ray multiplicity 1 and energies of 898 keV, 1173 keV,
 417 1332 keV, and 1836 keV were extracted from the LaBr₃:Ce coincidence data
 418 as 1.416(5), 1.453(5), 1.470(5), and 1.544(5). Those values were obtained for
 419 GRETINA in NSCL's standard configuration consisting of 8 detector modules
 420 and an energy threshold of ≈ 50 keV. At 1.3 MeV, this translates into a calorime-
 421 ter efficiency of 7.3% using the singles efficiency value from the efficiency curve
 422 parametrization from figure 2.

423 Though the add-back analysis appears to be a relative measurement, it is
 424 necessary to consider the impact of deadtime and random coincidences. The
 425 latter may remove counts from the full-energy peak in the calorimeter spectrum
 426 in case of a random coincidence. For γ -ray multiplicity=1, this effect can be
 427 conveniently estimated by comparing the full-energy-peak counts in the singles
 428 spectrum with the calorimeter spectrum, where the latter spectrum is gated on
 429 detector fold=1 events. Because a full-energy-peak count in the singles spec-
 430 trum implies that exactly one crystal was hit, the same number of counts is
 431 expected in the corresponding, fold-one-gated calorimeter spectrum if no ran-
 432 dom coincidence increased the measured detector fold. The ratio of peak counts
 433 in the fold-one calorimeter spectrum over peak counts in the singles spectrum
 434 provides a measure of the impact of random coincidences. Because only weak
 435 sources were used for the data presented here, the value of this measure turned
 436 out to be insignificant (>0.995), and therefore no corrections attributed to ran-
 437 dom coincidences were needed or applied. It is worthwhile to note that a similar
 438 analysis can be performed for γ -ray multiplicities >1 if the sum peak is investi-
 439 gated instead of the peaks from the individual γ -ray transitions. Concerning the
 440 deadtime, the channel deadtime in GRETINA leads to a detector-fold depen-
 441 dent deadtime. If $LT_{chn} = (1 - DT_{chn})$ describes the channel livetime (LT) and
 442 deadtime (DT), then the probability for *all* hit detectors in an n-fold event to be
 443 alive is given as $(LT_{chn})^n$. Naturally, if one or more hit detectors are not alive in

444 a full-energy-peak event, the energies of the remaining detectors cannot add up
 445 to the full-energy-peak in the spectrum and this event is then wrongly accounted
 446 as Compton background. For the data discussed here, this effect is small and
 447 deemed negligible since the low count rates translate into $LT_{chn} > 0.99$ and the
 448 detector fold is usually 1-2. For example, for the 1.84 MeV full-energy-peak
 449 events from ^{88}Y only 5% of the events have a detector fold larger than 2.

450 As mentioned earlier in this section, the calorimeter mode is not a viable
 451 mode for in-beam spectroscopy as one usually encounters γ -ray multiplicities
 452 exceeding 1. A simple approach to recover the cross-scattered γ rays to some
 453 extent is the so-called nearest-neighbor add-back procedure. Two detectors are
 454 called nearest neighbors when they share a common boundary, such as two
 455 crystals next to one another within a cryostat as well as two crystals next to
 456 one another in two adjacent GRETINA modules. Four different spectrum types
 457 are defined:

- 458 • *n0-spectrum*: This spectrum is incremented for each hit detector when
 459 none of its nearest neighbor detectors has fired.
- 460 • *n1-spectrum*: Two detectors A, B which are nearest neighbors have fired,
 461 and all other nearest neighbor detectors of A and B have not fired. In
 462 this case, the energies from detector A and B are added and the result is
 463 incremented in the n1-spectrum.
- 464 • *n2-spectrum*: Three detectors A, B, and C are all pair-wise nearest neigh-
 465 bors and have fired and all other nearest neighbors of A, B, or C have not
 466 registered a hit. All three energies are added and the sum is incremented
 467 in the n2-spectrum.
- 468 • *ng-spectrum*: Collects all detector events which do not fulfill the n0, n1,
 469 or n2 conditions. No adding of energies is done.

470 The idea behind this simple approach is that if nearest-neighbor detectors have
 471 fired, it is more likely that a γ ray scattered from one detector into another
 472 than that two coincident γ rays were detected. If several neighboring detectors

473 have fired, like three detectors A, B, and C in a row, meaning A and C are both
 474 nearest neighbors of B, but A and C are not nearest neighbors, the situation
 475 becomes unclear and those events are collected in the ng-spectrum as three
 476 counts at the energies seen in detectors A, B, and C. The spectra n0, n1, and
 477 sometimes n2 are added into one add-back spectrum and the add-back factor can
 478 be extracted from the gain in peak counts with respect to the singles spectrum.
 479 In figure 3, the singles and add-back spectra obtained from a ^{60}Co source run
 480 taken in the 8 GRETINA module standard configuration are shown. Here, only
 481 n0 and n1 spectra were added together. For the 1.33 MeV line, one can see the
 482 more than 30% gain in statistics. One also notes the six-fold gain in statistics for
 483 the sum peak of the 1.17 MeV and 1.33 MeV at 2506 keV. For the sum spectrum,
 484 naively one may expect just a gain of two as only two detectors are added, i.e.
 485 covering twice the solid angle. But the logic for the n1 spectrum implies that
 486 *any* neighbor can be hit, and as a crystal has on average four neighbors in this
 487 particular array configuration, the increase in solid angle coverage is five-fold,
 488 close to the observed add-back gain of six for the sum peak. If the add-back
 489 spectrum is made from n0, n1, and n2, the add-back gain for the sum peak
 490 increases from 6.16 to 10.15, while for the 1.33 MeV line only an increase from
 491 1.33 to 1.36 is seen. Close to the sum peak energy, the 2614 keV line is again a
 492 single γ ray from natural background radiation, and its much smaller add-back
 493 factor underlines that the much larger gain for 2506 keV peak is solely due to
 494 the sum-peak characteristics. The same figure shows in the bottom panel the ng
 495 spectrum for the same energies. Its small full-energy-peak statistics compared
 496 with the add-back statistics ($< 10^{-3}$) justifies discarding those events when
 497 creating an add-back spectrum.

498 Table 1 summarizes the add-back factors measured for different γ -ray ener-
 499 gies for 8 GRETINA modules in the NSCL standard configuration. Add-back
 500 factors are given at individual γ -ray energies for n0-n1 and n0-n1-n2 add-back
 501 spectra as well as for the calorimeter mode when the coincidence method with
 502 the $\text{LaBr}_3\text{:Ce}$ scintillator was used. For the ^{60}Co and ^{88}Y source measurements
 503 without an ancillary trigger detector, the add-back factors at the higher γ energy

are given only for n0-n1 and n0-n1-n2 spectra, since the calorimeter spectrum is dominated by the summing effect of the two coincident γ rays. The add-back factors seen here are smaller for the same reason, namely that both γ rays can interact in the same solid angle in GRETINA, therefore producing a crystal hit pattern not meeting the n0, n1, or n2 condition, or summing up to the wrong energy. Comparing the calorimeter add-back factors with the n0-n1 add-back shows that these values differ by less than 10%, or in other words, the simple n0-n1 add-back already recovers more than 90% of GRETINA's calorimeter full-energy-peak efficiency. Contrary to the calorimeter mode, the nearest-neighbor add-back routine can be applied in measurements with modest γ -ray multiplicities as the ones that have been part of NSCL's fast-beam campaigns. When the n0-n1-n2 add-back is performed, the add-back factor increases only marginally by 2-3% as compared to the n0-n1 add-back, but as discussed in the previous paragraph, at the expense that any sum peak would gain 50% or more in statistics.

The same table 1 also lists the peak-to-total values obtained from the spectra. The peak intensities of the corresponding γ -ray transitions were obtained from a simple integral over the peak region corrected for the background estimated from a linear interpolation between the regions left and right from the peak. The total counts were obtained as the spectrum integral from 150 keV up to (and including) the peak of the highest γ -ray transition observed from the source. The sum-peak and the region beyond the peak of the most energetic transition were *not* considered in the peak-to-total evaluation. The values show that the peak-to-total values for the calorimeter mode and the add-back modes are not far apart, and both are a significant improvement compared with results for the singles spectra. For the peak-to-total results of the source measurement without ancillary coincidences, the values are essentially the mean value from the two corresponding γ -ray lines, showing that the γ -ray multiplicity of 2 does not significantly impact the n0-n1 and n0-n1-n2 add-back. Furthermore, both add-back approaches deliver nearly identical performances in terms of peak-to-total.

535 In summary, the simple add-back algorithm described here is an efficient
536 procedure which recovers more than 90% of the spectral performance of the
537 GRETINA calorimeter properties in terms of efficiency and peak-to-total. In
538 contrast to the calorimeter mode, which is only a viable option for a γ -ray
539 multiplicity of 1, the nearest-neighbor add-back approach can be applied to
540 data of moderate γ -ray multiplicity.

	Add-back factor			peak-to-total			
	calorimeter	n0n1	n0n1n2	singles	calorimeter	n0n1	n0n1n2
1.86 MeV	1.53	1.42	1.46	0.171	0.324	0.294	0.296
1.33 MeV	1.46	1.36	1.40	0.208	0.355	0.337	0.339
1.17 MeV	1.45	1.36	1.39	0.225	0.394	0.362	0.365
898 keV	1.41	1.33	1.35	0.254	0.428	0.397	0.398
^{60}Co	n.a.	1.33	1.36	0.229	n.a.	0.360	0.362
^{88}Y	n.a.	1.37	1.41	0.216	n.a.	0.348	0.349

Table 1: Summary of the measured add-back factors and peak-to-total values obtained with ^{60}Co and ^{88}Y sources for the calorimeter mode and the add-back procedure. For the individual energies listed in the upper four rows, the single-peak spectrum was analyzed which was extracted in coincidence with a LaBr detector. For the two bottom rows the source spectra were measured in GRETINA without an additional LaBr detector and the add-back factor is given for the higher energy. For those spectra, as the γ -ray multiplicity is >1 , the determination of an add-back factor or peak-to-total is not applicable for the calorimeter mode (see text).

541

542 4. Performance of GRETINA for in-beam spectroscopy at interme- 543 diate beam energies

544 Experiments utilizing fast beams of rare isotopes at NSCL are typically
545 carried out at beam energies of 80-100 MeV/u. This corresponds to velocities of

Figure 3: The figure compares the peaks at 1332 keV, 2506 keV (sum peak), and 2614 keV (background) obtained as singles and with add-back ($n0$ and $n1$) in the top row. The bottom row shows the counts that were identified as ng , a measure of the counts discarded in the proposed add-back procedure.

40% the speed of light and leads to considerable Doppler-shift and Lorentz-boost effects of the γ rays measured in the laboratory frame. Both effects need to be accounted and corrected for to achieve optimal energy resolution in the measured γ -ray spectra and valid γ -ray efficiencies. The impact of angular distribution on the in-beam efficiency may need to be considered as well, depending on the alignment of the γ -ray emitting reaction products, see for example [24]. For the data presented in this work the angular distributions measured in the laboratory system were compatible with an isotropic distribution in the rest frame of the γ -ray emitting fragments.

4.1. Doppler-shift reconstruction

The relationship between a γ -ray energy, E_{rest} , emitted in the rest frame of a nucleus moving at a velocity v in the laboratory system and the γ -ray energy, E_{lab} , observed is given as

$$E_{rest} = E_{lab} \frac{1 - \beta \cos(\theta)}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}} \quad (1)$$

with $\beta = v/c$, c the speed of light, and θ is the observation angle in the laboratory with respect to the direction of $\vec{v}$.

For studying the Doppler-shift correction capability of GREYINA, excited states in ^{28}Si were populated in a multi-nucleon removal reaction from a ^{36}Ar beam at 86 MeV/u on a 100 mg/cm² Be target located at the center of GREYINA. The reaction residues were detected and identified event-by-event in the focal plane of the S800 spectrograph. Though the selected spectrograph setting was centered on ^{34}Ar , the two-neutron removal channel, the projectile fragmentation leading to ^{28}Si was one of the strongest reaction channels observed in the focal plane and provided a high-statistics data set of Doppler-shifted 1779 keV γ rays from its $2^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ transition. In this measurement, GREYINA was set up with four modules at 58° and four modules at 90°.

In the simplest application of eq. 1 the angle θ is reconstructed event-by-event relative to the beam axis using the coordinates of the first interaction of the γ ray

573 in GRETINA and the location of the target. From all hits in a crystal reported
 574 by the decomposition process, the coordinates of the hit with the highest-energy
 575 deposition is chosen, the so called *main* interaction. For β , a fixed mean value
 576 is used which lines up the reconstructed peak at the proper energy of 1779 keV
 577 for every GRETINA detector. In this specific case $\beta=0.3722$ was used. With
 578 this correction, an energy resolution of 2.8% (FWHM) of the 1779 keV line is
 579 achieved in the Doppler-reconstructed γ -ray singles spectrum. The measured
 580 energy resolution improves to 1.1% if the angle θ in eq. 1 is instead calculated
 581 event-by-event with respect to the particle trajectory at the target location as
 582 ray traced from focal-plane data of the S800 spectrograph. This significant
 583 improvement is expected as the scattering angles of ^{28}Si residues cover the full
 584 angular acceptance of the S800 (about ± 60 mrad), while the accuracy of the
 585 trajectory reconstructed with the spectrograph is on the order of a few mrad
 586 and the position resolution of 4-5 mm FWHM in GRETINA translates into an
 587 angle resolution of ≈ 20 mrad (FWHM).

588 The energy resolution after Doppler reconstruction is improved further to
 589 1.0% by taking into account an event-by-event correction for the value of β
 590 used in eq. 1. Besides the reconstructed dispersive and non-dispersive scattering
 591 angles used for calculating the particle's trajectory, the S800 spectrograph also
 592 provides a value for dT/T where T is the kinetic energy of the fragment. Using
 593 the relativistic relationship

$$\frac{d\beta}{\beta} = \frac{1}{\gamma(\gamma + 1)} \frac{dT}{T} \quad (2)$$

594 with $\gamma = 1/(\sqrt{1 - \beta^2})$ allows an event-by-event correction, $d\beta$, to the fixed
 595 value of β . It should be noted that dT/T is the kinetic energy measurement
 596 of the fragment *behind* the target, and thus not necessarily at the time the
 597 γ -ray emission occurred. In experiments at the lowest reaction rates, usually
 598 thicker targets are used for GRETINA-S800 science experiments to increase
 599 the luminosity, causing significant energy-loss (velocity change) in the target,
 600 rendering this correction without effect for those cases.

601 The non-dispersive position of the reaction residue at the target is also recon-
 602 structed event-by-event. The angle θ in eq. 1 can be refined by using this value
 603 to adjust the location of the γ -ray emission. In the data discussed here, this par-
 604 ticular correction had no impact as the incoming (stable) ^{36}Ar beam profile had
 605 a small spread in the non-dispersive direction. However, the GRETINA cam-
 606 paign did include experiments for which this correction yielded a measurable
 607 improvement of the energy resolution.

608 The statistics collected for the 1779 keV line were sufficient to investigate
 609 this peak in each individual detector crystal. Shown in figure 4 are the peak
 610 centroids, correlated with the azimuthal position of the corresponding crystals.
 611 So far in the Doppler-shift reconstruction, the incoming beam is assumed to
 612 coincide with the optical beam axis, thereby placing the location of the γ -ray
 613 emission at the center of GRETINA. For the forward detectors a clear correla-
 614 tion can be seen that is less pronounced for the detectors at 90° . This suggests
 615 that the point of the γ -ray emission has an offset relative to the optical beam
 616 axis, changing the observation angle θ in eq.1 for the forward detectors but
 617 with minor impact for detectors located closer to 90° . In cases where the beam
 618 hits the target with an offset angle with respect to the beam axis, the same
 619 correlation would be seen for all detectors, independent of their polar position.
 620 This observation justifies the last step in the Doppler-reconstruction procedure,
 621 namely varying a five-parameter set describing a beam spot offset (x , y), tar-
 622 get offset z , and beam angle offset (θ_{beam} , ϕ_{beam}) which aligns the centroids
 623 measured in the individual crystals. For the data discussed here, the optimized
 624 parameter set moves the beam spot by 5 mm perpendicular with respect to the
 625 optical beam axis and sets the beam offset angle θ_{beam} at 2 mrad. With those
 626 offset parameters applied for the Doppler-shift reconstruction, the measured
 627 centroids scatter around the mean value of 1779 keV with a standard deviation
 628 of less than 1 keV. The maximum discrepancy is 2.2 keV, found for a crystal at
 629 a polar angle of 75° . Assuming that this energy shift is entirely caused by an
 630 improper angle used in the Doppler-shift procedure, this energy shift translates
 631 into an angle shift of 3 mrad. At a distance of 200 mm, this angle corresponds to

Figure 4: The top graph shows the centroids of the $2^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ transitions in ^{28}Si measured in the individual GRETINA crystals after Doppler-shift correction assuming that the mean ^{28}Si trajectory runs along the optical beam axis. The abscissa describes the azimuth angle of the individual crystals. The bottom graph shows the full-width-half-maximum obtained with the crystals at the different polar angles. The curve shows a fit of a function describing the Doppler broadening.

632 a displacement of just 0.6 mm. This is a remarkable precision considering that
 633 the interaction points used are measured in the crystal coordinate system and
 634 then translated into the lab system, using the nominal detector positions given
 635 by the computer model of GRETINA. This result underlines the precision of the
 636 frame mechanics and the tight tolerances of the detector assemblies themselves
 637 as delivered by the manufacturer.

638 In terms of resolution, the 1779 keV transition is measured with a FWHM of
 639 0.9% when all corrections discussed above are applied. Figure 4 gives the energy
 640 resolution after Doppler-shift reconstruction averaged for detector crystals at
 641 similar polar angles, plotted versus the observation angle. The curve in the
 642 same plot is the result of fitting the formula describing the Doppler broadening
 643 to the data. The broadening can be derived from eq. 1 through finite-difference
 644 derivatives in the angle θ and in the velocity β . The resulting expression can
 645 be found, for example, as eq. 5 in Ref. [2]. The uncertainty of $\Delta\beta = 0.012$ as a
 646 result of the fit is in good agreement with the expectation from an energy-loss
 647 calculation. The uncertainty $\Delta\theta$ has a contribution of 14 mrad for the detection
 648 angle. Also, the beam spot size in the dispersive direction needs to be taken into
 649 account. It is modeled as $36 \text{ mrad} \times \cos(\theta)$ and is considered as an additional
 650 contribution to $\Delta\theta$. The value of 36 mrad corresponds to a spot size of 7 mm,
 651 and is in agreement with the beam profile imaged during the beam tuning on a
 652 viewer target placed at the target position. Note, that the fit values are given
 653 as FWHM, not σ . The value of 14 mrad for the detection angle resolution
 654 translates at a 200 mm distance into a position resolution of $\sigma=1.2$ mm and
 655 is significantly better than the position resolution of 1.9 mm reported in [12].
 656 An explanation may relate to the γ -ray energy. In [12] the position resolution
 657 was obtained with a ^{137}Cs source at 662 keV, while in this work, a three times
 658 higher γ -ray energy is investigated.

659 The γ -ray peak resulting in a FWHM of 0.9% is shown in panel a) of figure 5.
 660 The shape of the peak is not Gaussian but shows extended tails to both sides.
 661 Panel c) shows the same data, but the center-of-mass coordinates of the segment
 662 with the highest energy deposition are used for the Doppler-shift correction. The

significant improvement from c) to a) is obvious.

4.2. Using tracking for first-hit identification

A simple tracking algorithm was evaluated for the identification of the first hit. If several interaction points in a crystal are reported by the decomposition process, this algorithm calculates for all possible sequences a figure-of-merit (FoM) defined as

$$FoM = \sum \frac{(\cos \Theta_{en}^i - \cos \Theta_{vec}^i)^2}{w_i} \quad (3)$$

with Θ_{vec}^i the geometric angle that uses the coordinates of the interaction points and Θ_{en}^i the angle obtained from the energy depositions at those interaction points using the Compton scattering formula. The sum runs over all scatters in the sequence of points with the center of GRETINA fixed as the origin of the γ -ray emission and the initial γ -ray energy taken as the total energy measured in the crystal. The parameter w_i is a weight and usually chosen as the number of interactions. The advantage of using the cosine of the angles is the simple treatment of cases where the reported energies result in a solution with $\cos \Theta_{en}^i < -1$ for the Compton formula, which are naturally taken care of in this definition for the FoM.

Of all possible permutations, the sequence with the smallest FoM value, FoM_{trk}^{min} , is considered the most compatible with the Compton-scattering process and the coordinate of the first interaction point in this scattering sequence is taken for the Doppler-shift correction. In order to compare with the main-interaction approach, a further value FoM_{main}^{min} is obtained in the same fashion, but with the main interaction point fixed as the first interaction for all permutations. Therefore, the value of FoM_{main}^{min} is always greater than or equal to FoM_{trk}^{min} . They are equal if the main interaction point and tracked first interaction point coincide.

Applied to the 1779 keV transition from the data on ^{28}Si as shown in figure 5, this approach reports that the main interaction and tracked first interaction are identical for about half of the peak counts. For events where those interactions are different, panel b) in figure 5 shows the Doppler-reconstructed spectrum

692 using the main interaction coordinates, while in panel d), for the same events,
693 the tracked first interaction coordinates are used. The superior resolution of
694 the peak using the main interaction is obvious and shows experimentally that
695 the main interaction is a valid approximation for the coordinates of the first
696 interaction in a position sensitive detector.

697 Comparing spectrum b) with a) in figure 5 shows that the peak base appears
698 to be broader in b). A comparison was done with the Doppler-reconstructed
699 spectrum only containing the events for which the reported tracked first inter-
700 action and main interaction are identical, which is equivalent to the spectrum
701 difference between a) and b). This spectrum shows a FWHM improved by 15%
702 compared with b) and the width at one tenth of the maximum shows an im-
703 provement of 30%, indicating a substantial reduction of the tails extending to
704 both sides of the peak.

705 A subset of the data was identified where the use of the first tracked in-
706 teraction for the Doppler reconstruction led to an improvement of about 30%
707 FWHM as compared to that using the main interaction. This subset consists of
708 events where the number of interactions reported by the decomposition process
709 equals the number of hit segments in the crystal, and the differences between
710 FoM_{main}^{min} and FoM_{trk}^{min} are in the range of 1 to 3. If instead for the FoM dif-
711 ference a range of 0.1 to 1, or greater than 3 is chosen, correcting with respect
712 to the main interaction results in narrower peak shapes. A weight $w_i = 1$ was
713 used for computing those FoM values according to eq. 3. This subset of events
714 contains less than 5% of the peak intensity, making this result of minor relevance
715 for performing the Doppler-shift correction of the whole data set.

716 The same analysis was performed for other reaction channels covering the
717 γ -energy range from 275 keV (^{19}Ne) up to 6.1 MeV (^{16}O). The finding was
718 that using the main interaction as first interaction for the Doppler-shift recon-
719 struction always yielded better peak shapes and FWHM than using the first
720 tracked interaction point. With increasing γ -ray energy, the advantage of using
721 the main interaction is more pronounced.

722 From this investigation, the conclusion can be drawn that, for the purpose

723 of Doppler-shift correction, the spatial coordinates of the main interaction is at
 724 present a better choice than the first interaction found by a simple tracking al-
 725 gorithm based on the energy-angle relationship of the Compton effect. In terms
 726 of an experimental evaluation of the tracking concept, one needs to appreciate
 727 that its primary goal is to discriminate full-energy-peak γ -ray events in order to
 728 improve the peak-to-total ratio. This is not necessarily equivalent to finding the
 729 best approximation of the first interaction. But the tracking result is usable as
 730 a quality benchmark, as shown by the improved peak shape when events with
 731 the first tracked interaction equal to the main interaction are considered.

732 4.3. In-beam γ -ray detection efficiency of GRETINA

733 The in-beam γ -ray detection efficiency of GRETINA was experimentally
 734 verified by analyzing the γ -ray yields of the various reaction products produced
 735 by multi-nucleon removal reactions from ^{36}Ar on a Be target at a beam energy
 736 of 85 MeV/u. The S800 spectrograph was set on the two-neutron removal
 737 channel leading to ^{34}Ar , but a wide variety of reaction products were collected
 738 in the spectrograph's focal plane. The very same measurement with the same
 739 spectrograph setting using the same 100 mg/cm² Be target had been performed
 740 in the past, using the well-characterized SeGA array [9], allowing the consistency
 741 of the yields extracted with both devices to serve as a benchmark here. The
 742 yields were extracted from the ratio of the efficiency-corrected full-energy peak
 743 counts from the Doppler-reconstructed γ -ray spectra and the number of reaction
 744 residues of the selected isotope collected at the S800 focal plane. The equality of
 745 the spectrograph acceptance in both experiments ensured the same population
 746 of excited states of the investigated nuclei. In figure 6, the γ -ray peak of the
 747 $2^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ transitions at 2091 keV in ^{34}Ar is shown, measured in GRETINA as
 748 well as with SeGA. For GRETINA, the singles spectrum Doppler-shift corrected
 749 with respect to the main interaction is considered, no add-back nor processing
 750 with a γ -ray tracking algorithm was applied.

751 In order to extract the counts in the full-energy peak from the spectrum, a
 752 fit based on GEANT simulations [17, 25] was performed and used to describe

Figure 5: In-beam spectra of the 1779 keV transition using different approaches for the Doppler-shift correction are shown. The FWHM taken from the spectra are indicated as dashed lines. In a), the spatial coordinates of the main interaction are used, while in c) the coordinate of the segment center with the highest energy deposition is chosen for the Doppler reconstruction. For events where the first interaction obtained from a tracking algorithm is not identical with the main interaction, panel b) shows the peak using the main interaction point for Doppler reconstruction, while in panel d) the tracked first interaction point is used.

753 the background in the peak region, but not the peak itself. As seen in the
 754 previous section, the experimental Doppler-reconstructed peaks show significant
 755 tails to both sides and the resulting peak shape and hence the peak area is not
 756 well reproduced by the simulation. To describe the spectrum, the fit scales
 757 the simulated response spectra for the γ transitions dominating the region of
 758 several hundred keV width around the peak of interest and a single or double
 759 exponential function for describing the beam-induced background. For the peak
 760 of interest, the simulated response spectrum is split into the contribution of
 761 events completely absorbed in the crystal (peak in spectrum) and γ -ray events
 762 scattered out of the crystal (Compton distribution in the spectrum). For the
 763 fitting, the latter spectrum is included and the spectrum region with the peak
 764 of interest is excluded in the fit. This approach allows to model the background
 765 underneath the peak as shown in figure 6 and peak counts can be extracted as
 766 the difference of simple integrals without assumptions about the peak shape.
 767 This also allows for a transparent error estimate, as the integral obtained from
 768 the measured spectrum follows the Poisson statistics. For simplicity, the same
 769 assumption can be applied to the integral obtained from the fit spectrum for
 770 estimating the background uncertainty. For both spectra shown in figure 6, this
 771 procedure results in a value for the peak integral with an uncertainty of 3%.

772 The in-beam efficiency for both devices was computed from the experimental
 773 source efficiency curve folded with the impact of the solid-angle relationship
 774 given by the Lorentz transformation and the effect of the Doppler shift which
 775 samples the efficiency curve at different γ -ray energies. The γ -yields for energies
 776 covering 800 keV to 3 MeV from the reaction residues ^{24}Mg , ^{26}Al , ^{28}Si , and ^{34}Ar
 777 were analyzed. The results show that beyond 1300 keV, the yields measured
 778 in SeGA and GRETINA agree within 4% and are well inside the uncertainties
 779 determined by the peak-count estimate from the spectra and the error made in
 780 the computation of the in-beam efficiency. For the lower energies, GRETINA
 781 results in 5-10% higher γ -ray yields compared to those measured in SeGA. Most
 782 likely, the background in the SeGA spectra for those cases was overestimated as
 783 the analyzed peaks reside on a significantly higher background than for the other

784 cases. Furthermore, this energy region in the spectrum is contaminated with
785 contributions from stopped γ -ray lines from beam-correlated background like
786 the neutron edges in Ge or excitations of Al from the beam chamber induced by
787 light particles from target breakup, for example. In GRETINA, those structures
788 are smoothed out more in the Doppler-reconstruction process because of the
789 higher granularity and the wider angle coverage, while in the reconstructed
790 SeGA spectra, these background contributions result in local structures because
791 of the more coarse, segment-based γ -ray detection angles. For the energies above
792 1300 keV the background is much lower as shown in the example in figure 6 and
793 well described by the fit.

794 In conclusion, the experimental γ -ray yields measured with GRETINA and
795 SeGA are consistent, verifying the absolute in-beam efficiency of GRETINA. It
796 should be noted that this test not only confirms the correct functioning of the
797 data acquisition system in the sense that no events were seem to be lost, but
798 also suggests the correct processing of events in the decomposition computation.
799 Losses due to wrong processing there would lead to events with erroneous spatial
800 coordinates, hardly detectable with source measurements. For in-beam data, the
801 correct spatial coordinates are crucial for a correct Doppler-shift reconstruction
802 and any erroneous coordinates would have been detected as lower γ -ray yields
803 obtained from the GRETINA data.

804 *4.4. Analysis of γ - γ coincidences*

805 The γ - γ coincidence spectrum quality achieved with in-beam data at inter-
806 mediate beam energies is illustrated in figure 7. This figure shows the γ rays in
807 coincidence with the 3991 keV $6^+ \rightarrow 4^+$ transition in ^{24}Mg from the same data
808 set discussed in section 4.3. The spectra shown were analyzed with and without
809 using the nearest-neighbor add-back procedure introduced in section 3.3. In the
810 case of added-back events, the Doppler reconstruction is performed by selecting
811 the first interaction point to be the *main* interaction from the crystal detecting
812 the higher energy. The improvement utilizing the add-back procedure is evident
813 in the spectra gated on the 3991 keV transition as well as in the projections from

Figure 6: The Doppler-reconstructed spectra of the 2091 keV transition in ^{34}Ar measured in GRETINA and SeGA are shown, as well as a fit of the background underneath the peak area. The fit allows to determine the counts in the peak as simple integrals without any assumption about the shape of the peak itself.

814 the γ - γ matrices (shown in the insets of figure 7). The $2^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ transition at
 815 1369 keV is difficult to spot in the spectrum when treating GRETINA as indi-
 816 vidual crystals, but clearly identified when using the add-back approach. The
 817 transitions shown in the projection spectra have a significantly better peak-to-
 818 background ratio for the add-back, while in the other approach artifacts likely
 819 from cross scattering are evident. Those artifacts can easily be misinterpreted as
 820 peaks, e.g. the peak-like structure at about 4360 keV, which is clearly not seen
 821 in the projection of the add-back data. Also in the singles spectra (not shown),
 822 with or without add-back, no transition at this energy is apparent, while peaks
 823 at the other four energies are visible with consistent statistics.

824 This example demonstrates that the simple nearest-neighbor add-back pro-
 825 cedure is an efficient approach for the analysis of γ - γ coincidence data obtained
 826 from fast-beam experiments. The quality of the spectra gated on a γ -ray transi-
 827 tion is not significantly degraded by the remaining Compton scattering events,
 828 but by beam-correlated background and insufficient statistics. As shown in [21],
 829 dedicated tracking algorithms can reduce the amount of the remaining Compton
 830 scatters in the spectrum further, but at the expense of the full-energy-peak effi-
 831 ciency. Tracking cannot discriminate the beam correlated background further,
 832 as those events are emitted at random energies from the vicinity of the target.
 833 As shown in section 3.3, the nearest-neighbor add-back algorithm retains more
 834 than 90% of the calorimeter efficiency and is therefore a prudent choice for the
 835 coincidence analysis of modest-statistics measurements with fast beams of rare
 836 isotopes.

837 Spectra employing the add-back procedure can be considered for extracting
 838 absolute γ -ray yields in a similar way as described for the singles spectra in
 839 section 4.3. But then the problem arises in the determination of the absolute
 840 efficiency. Add-back factors can only be determined reliably from γ -ray multi-
 841 plicity 1 spectra, as seen in table 1 and discussed in section 3.3. This limits the
 842 data base for obtaining the efficiency curve for add-back spectra. Furthermore
 843 the impact of the same summing effect needs to be investigated for the in-beam
 844 spectra, especially for transitions from excited levels which have more than one

Figure 7: The Doppler-corrected coincidence spectra cut on the $6^+ \rightarrow 4^+$ transition at 3991 keV in ^{24}Mg are shown. A background spectrum was obtained from a cut covering the region from 4.4 to 4.6 MeV and subtracted. On the right, the add-back procedure is used; on the left, GRETINA is treated as individual crystals. The insets show the projections of the γ - γ coincidence matrices in which the cuts were made. The improvement of the spectral quality using the simple add-back algorithm is evident.

845 decay branch. Lastly, the add-back factors, even at energies above 1 MeV, are
 846 quite sensitive to the energy thresholds as an appreciable fraction of add-back
 847 events stems from low-energy deposition in one of the detectors. Those con-
 848 siderations add into the uncertainties for the absolute efficiency and make it
 849 difficult to use add-back spectra for the determination of absolute γ -ray yields.

850 5. Summary

851 The performance of GRETINA has been an excellent match for the needs
 852 of the two in-beam γ -ray spectroscopy campaigns at NSCL using intermediate-
 853 energy beams. While GRETINA is ultimately a γ -ray tracking array, data ob-
 854 tained in typical experiments with fast beams of rare isotopes can be analyzed

efficiently without employing sophisticated tracking algorithms for reconstructing the Compton scattering sequences of γ -ray events. It was shown that for successful Doppler reconstruction, the selection of the *main* (highest-energy) interaction from the reported interaction points is a viable approach. The quality of the Doppler-shift corrected singles spectra is usually sufficient for determining the peak counts and for these spectra, an absolute in-beam efficiency can be computed accurately from an experimental efficiency curve based on source measurements in a transparent way to extract the absolute γ -ray yields. For the analysis of γ - γ coincidences, a simple nearest-neighbor add-back approach was introduced as an efficient tool that delivers good spectral quality in terms of the peak-to-total ratio while retaining more than 90% of the maximum achievable calorimeter efficiency. This simple treatment of the γ -ray data in the analysis is justified by the low γ -ray multiplicities at which they are produced in experiments with fast beams of rare isotopes.

6. Acknowledgement

This work was supported in part by the NSF under Grant No. PHY-1102511 and by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics under Grant No. DE-SC0014537 (NSCL), and contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231 (LBNL), and DE-AC05-00OR22725 (ORNL), and DE-AC02-06CH1135 (ANL). GRETINA was funded by the DOE, Office of Science.

References

- [1] A. Gade and T. Glasmacher, Prog. in Part. and Nucl. Phys. 60 (2008) 161.
- [2] T. Glasmacher, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 48 (1998) 131.
- [3] A. Gade, Eur. Phys. J. A 51 (2015) 118.
- [4] S. Takeuchi, T. Motobayashi, Y. Togano, M. Matsushita, N. Aoi, K. Demichi, H. Hasegawa, H. Murakami, Nuclear Instruments and Meth-

- ods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors
and Associated Equipment 763 (2014) 596.
- [5] D. Weisshaar, A. Gade, T. Glasmacher, G. F. Grinyer, D. Bazin, P. Adrich,
T. Baugher, J. M. Cook C. Aa. Diget, S. McDaniel, A. Ratkiewicz, K. P. Si-
wek, K.A. Walsh, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research
Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equip-
ment 624 (2010) 615.
- [6] S. Shimoura, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section
A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 525
(2004) 188.
- [7] H. J. Wollersheim, D. E. Appelbe, A. Banu, R. Bassini, T. Beck, F. Becker,
P. Bednarczyk, K.-H. Behr, M. A. Bentley, G. Benzoni, C. Boiano,
U. Bonnes, A. Bracco, S. Brambilla, A. Brünle, A. Bürger, K. Burkard,
P. A. Butler, F. Camera, D. Curien, J. Devin, P. Doornenbal, C. Fahlander,
K. Fayz, H. Geissel, J. Gerl, M. Górski, H. Grawe, J. Grebosz, R. Grif-
fiths, G. Hammond, M. Hellström, J. Hoffmann, H. Hübel, J. Jolie,
J.V. Kalben, M. Kmiecik, I. Kojouharov, R. Kulessa, N. Kurz, I. Lazarus,
J. Li J. Leske, R. Lozeva, A. Maj, S. Mandal, W. Meczyński, B. Million,
G. Münzenberg, S. Muralithar, M. Mutterer, P.J. Nolan, G. Neyens, J. Ny-
berg, W. Prokopowicz, V. F. E. Pucknell, P. Reiter, D. Rudolph, N. Saito,
T. R. Saito, D. Seddon, H. Schaffner, J. Simpson, K.-H. Speidel, J. Styczeń,
K. Sümmerer, N. Warr, H. Weick, C. Wheldon, O. Wieland, M. Winkler,
M. Ziebliński, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Sec-
tion A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment
537 (2005) 637.
- [8] J. Eberth, G. Pascovici, H. G. Thomas, N. Warr, D. Weisshaar, D. Habs,
P. Reiter, P. Thirolf, D. Schwalm, C. Gund, H. Scheit, M. Lauer, P. Van
Duppen, S. Franchoo, M. Huyse, R. M. Lieder, W. Gast, J. Gerl, K. P. Lieb,
Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 46 (2001) 389.

- [9] W. F. Mueller, J. A. Church, T. Glasmacher, D. Gutknecht, G. Hackman, P. G. Hansen, Z. Hu, K. L. Miller, and P. Quirin, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 466 (2001) 492.
- [10] D. Bazin, J. A. Caggiano, B. M. Sherrill, J. Yurkon, A. Zeller, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms 204 (2003) 629
- [11] A. Gade, B. M. Sherrill, Physica Scripta 91, 053003 (2016)
- [12] S. Paschalis, I. Y. Lee, A. O. Macchiavelli, C. M. Campbell, M. Cromaz, S. Gros, J. Pavan, J. Qian, R. M. Clark, H. L. Crawford, D. Doering, P. Fallon, C. Lionberger, T. Loew, M. Petri, T. Stezelberger, S. Zimmermann, D. C. Radford, K. Lagergren, D. Weisshaar, R. Winkler, T. Glasmacher, J. T. Anderson, C. W. Beausang, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 709 (2013) 44
- [13] S. Akkoyun, A. Algora, B. Alikhani, F. Ameil, G. de Angelis, L. Arnold, A. Astier, A. Ataç, Y. Aubert, C. Aufranc, A. Austin, S. Aydin, F. Azaiez, S. Badoer, D. L. Balabanski, D. Barrientos, G. Baulieu, R. Baumann, D. Bazzacco, F. A. Beck, T. Beck, P. Bednarczyk, M. Bellato, M. A. Bentley, G. Benzoni, R. Berthier, L. Berti, R. Beunard, G. Lo Bianco, B. Birkenbach, P. G. Bizzeti, A. M. Bizzeti-Sona, F. Le Blanc, J. M. Blasco, N. Blasi, D. Bloor, C. Boiano, M. Borsato, D. Bortolato, A. J. Boston, H. C. Boston, P. Bourgault, P. Boutachkov, A. Bouty, A. Bracco, S. Brambilla, I. P. Brawn, A. Brondi, S. Broussard, B. Bruyneel, D. Bucurescu, I. Burrows, A. Bürger, S. Cabaret, B. Cahan, E. Calore, F. Camera, A. Capsoni, F. Carrió, G. Casati, M. Castoldi, B. Cederwall, J.-L. Cercus, V. Chambert, M. El Chabib, R. Chapman, L. Charles, J. Chavas, E. Clément, P. Cocconi, S. Coelli, P.J. Coleman-Smith, A. Colombo, S. Colosimo, C. Commeaux, D. Conventi, R.J. Cooper, A. Corsi, A. Cortesi,

939 L. Costa, F.C.L. Crespi, J.R. Cresswell, D.M. Cullen, D. Curien, A. Czer-
 940 mak, D. Delbourg, R. Depalo, T. Descombes, P. Désesquelles, P. Detistov,
 941 C. Diarra, F. Didierjean, M.R. Dimmock, Q.T. Doan, C. Domingo-Pardo,
 942 M. Doncel, F. Dorangeville, N. Dosme, Y. Drouen, G. Duchne, B. Dulny,
 943 J. Eberth, P. Edelbruck, J. Egea, T. Engert, M.N. Erduran, S. Ertürk,
 944 C. Fanin, S. Fantinel, E. Farnea, T. Faul, M. Filliger, F. Filmer, Ch. Finck,
 945 G. de France, A. Gadea, W. Gast, A. Geraci, J. Gerl, R. Gernhäuser,
 946 A. Giannatiempo, A. Giaz, L. Gibelin, A. Givechev, N. Goel, V. González,
 947 A. Gottardo, X. Grave, J. Grebosz, R. Griffiths, A.N. Grint, P. Gros,
 948 L. Guevara, M. Gulmini, A. Görgen, H. T. M. Ha, T. Habermann,
 949 L. J. Harkness, H. Harroch, K. Hauschild, C. He, A. Hernández-Prieto,
 950 B. Hervieu, H. Hess, T. Hüyük, E. Ince, R. Isocrate, G. Jaworski, A. John-
 951 son, J. Jolie, P. Jones, B. Jonson, P. Joshi, D. S. Judson, A. Jungclaus,
 952 M. Kaci, N. Karkour, M. Karolak, A. Kaka, M. Kebbiri, R. S. Kempley,
 953 A. Khaplanov, S. Klupp, M. Kogimtzis, I. Kojouharov, A. Korichi, W. Ko-
 954 rten, Th. Kröll, R. Krücken, N. Kurz, B. Y. Ky, M. Labiche, X. Lafay,
 955 L. Lavergne, I.H. Lazarus, S. Leboutelier, F. Lefebvre, E. Legay, L. Leg-
 956 eard, F. Lelli, S.M. Lenzi, S. Leoni, A. Lermite, D. Lersch, J. Leske,
 957 S. C. Letts, S. Lhenoret, R. M. Lieder, D. Linget, J. Ljungvall, A. Lopez-
 958 Martens, A. Lotodé, S. Lunardi, A. Maj, J. van der Marel, Y. Mariette,
 959 N. Marginean, R. Marginean, G. Maron, A. R. Mather, W. Meczyński,
 960 V. Méndez, P. Medina, B. Melon, R. Menegazzo, D. Mengoni, E. Merchan,
 961 L. Mihailescu, C. Michelagnoli, J. Mierzejewski, L. Milechina, B. Million,
 962 K. Mitev, P. Molini, D. Montanari, S. Moon, F. Morbiducci, R. Moro,
 963 P.S. Morrall, O. Möller, A. Nannini, D. R. Napoli, L. Nelson, M. Nespolo,
 964 V. L. Ngo, M. Nicoletto, R. Nicolini, Y. Le Noa, P. J. Nolan, M. Norman,
 965 J. Nyberg, A. Obertelli, A. Olariu, R. Orlandi, D. C. Oxley, C. Özben,
 966 M. Ozille, C. Oziol, E. Pachoud, M. Palacz, J. Palin, J. Pancin, C. Parisel,
 967 P. Pariset, G. Pascovici, R. Peghin, L. Pellegrini, A. Perego, S. Perrier,
 968 M. Petcu, P. Petkov, C. Petrache, E. Pierre, N. Pietralla, S. Pietri, M. Pig-
 969 nanelli, I. Piqueras, Z. Podolyak, P. Le Pouhalec, J. Pouthas, D. Pugnère,

970 V. F. E. Pucknell, A. Pullia, B. Quintana, R. Raine, G. Rainovski, L. Ram-
 971 ina, G. Rampazzo, G. La Rana, M. Rebeschini, F. Recchia, N. Redon,
 972 M. Reese, P. Reiter, P. H. Regan, S. Riboldi, M. Richer, M. Rigato,
 973 S. Rigby, G. Ripamonti, A. P. Robinson, J. Robin, J. Roccaz, J.-A. Rop-
 974 ert, B. Rossé, C. Rossi Alvarez, D. Rosso, B. Rubio, D. Rudolph, F. Sail-
 975 lant, E. ahin, F. Salomon, M.-D. Salsac, J. Salt, G. Salvato, J. Samp-
 976 son, E. Sanchis, C. Santos, H. Schaffner, M. Schlarb, D. P. Scraggs,
 977 D. Seddon, M. enyiit, M.-H. Sigward, G. Simpson, J. Simpson, M. Slee,
 978 J. F. Smith, P. Sona, B. Sowicki, P. Spolaore, C. Stahl, T. Stanios,
 979 E. Stefanova, O. Stézowski, J. Strachan, G. Suliman, P.-A. Söderström,
 980 J. L. Tain, S. Tanguy, S. Tashenov, Ch. Theisen, J. Thornhill, F. Tomasi,
 981 N. Toniolo, R. Touzery, B. Travers, A. Triossi, M. Tripon, K.M.M. Tun-
 982 Lano, M. Turcato, C. Unsworth, C.A. Ur, J.J. Valiente-Dobon, V. Van-
 983 done, E. Vardaci, R. Venturelli, F. Veronese, Ch. Veyssiere, E. Viscione,
 984 R. Wadsworth, P. M. Walker, N. Warr, C. Weber, D. Weisshaar, D. Wells,
 985 O. Wieland, A. Wiens, G. Wittwer, H. J. Wollersheim, F. Zocca, N.V. Zam-
 986 fir, M. Ziebliński, A. Zucchiatti, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in
 987 Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and
 988 Associated Equipment 668 (2012) 26

989 [14] M. A. Deleplanque, I. Y. Lee, K. Vetter, G. J. Schmid, F. S. Stephens,
 990 R. M. Clark, R. M. Diamond, P. Fallon, A. O. Macchiavelli, Nuclear In-
 991 struments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spec-
 992 trometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 430 (1999) 292

993 [15] P. Fallon, A. Gade, and I-Y. Lee, Annu. Rev. of Nucl. and Part. Sci. 66,
 994 321 (2016)

995 [16] H. Iwasaki, A. Dewald, T. Braunroth, C. Fransen, D. Smalley, A. Lemasson,
 996 C. Morse, K. Whitmore, C. Loelius, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in
 997 Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and
 998 Associated Equipment 806 (2016) 123

- 999 [17] L. A. Riley, M. L. Agiorgousis, T. R. Baugher, D. Bazin, M. Bowry,
1000 P. D. Cottle, F. G. DeVone, A. Gade, M. T. Glowacki, K. W. Kemper,
1001 E. Lunderberg, D. M. McPherson, S. Noji, F. Recchia, B. V. Sadler,
1002 M. Scott, D. Weisshaar, R. G. T. Zegers, Phys. Rev. C 90, 011305(R)
1003 (2014)
- 1004 [18] M. Cromaz, V. J. Riot, P. Fallon, S. Gros, I. Y. Lee, A. O. Macchiavelli,
1005 C. Vu, H. Yaver, S. Zimmermann, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in
1006 Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and
1007 Associated Equipment 597 (2008) 233
- 1008 [19] J. T. Anderson, R. Brito, D. Doering, T. Hayden, B. Holmes, J. Joseph,
1009 H. Yaver, S. Zimmermann, IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science NS-56
1010 (2009) 258
- 1011 [20] S. Zimmermann, J. T. Anderson, D. Doering, J. Joseph, C. Lionberger,
1012 T. Stezelberger, H. Yaverm, IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science 59
1013 (2012) 2494
- 1014 [21] T. Lauritsen, A. Korichi, S. Zhu, A. N. Wilson, D. Weisshaar, J. Du-
1015 douet, A. D. Ayangeakaa, M. P. Carpenter, C. M. Campbell, E. Clément,
1016 H. L. Crawford, M. Cromaz, P. Fallon, J. P. Greene, R. V. F. Janssens,
1017 T. L. Khoo, N. Lalovi, I. Y. Lee, A. O Macchiavelli, R. M. Perez-Vidal,
1018 S. Pietri, D. C. Radford, D. Ralet, L. A. Riley, D. Seweryniak, O. Stezowski,
1019 Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accel-
1020 erators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 836 (2016)
1021 46
- 1022 [22] International Atomic Energy Agency, IAEA TECDOC No. 619
- 1023 [23] K. Siegbahn, Alpha, Beta and Gamma-ray Spectroscopy, North Holland
1024 (1965)
- 1025 [24] A. Gade, J. A. Tostevin, V. Bader, T. Baugher, D. Bazin, J. S. Berry-
1026 man, B. A. Brown, D. J. Hartley, E. Lunderberg, F. Recchia,

- 1027 S. R. Stroberg, Y. Utsuno, D. Weisshaar, K. Wimmer Phys. Rev. C 93,
1028 031601(R) (2016)
- 1029 [25] S. Agostinelli et al. (GEANT4 Collaboration), Nuclear Instruments and
1030 Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, De-
1031 tectors and Associated Equipment 506 (2003) 250