Fabrication and characterization of a lithium-glass-based composite neutron detector

G.C. Rich^{a,c,d}, K. Kazkaz^a, H.P. Martinez^a, T. Gushue^{a,b,1}

^aLawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550, United States

^bDepartment of Physics and Astronomy, San Francisco State University, San Francisco, CA 94132, United States

^cDepartment of Physics and Astronomy, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, United States

^dTriangle Universities Nuclear Laboratory, Durham, NC 27708, United States

Abstract

A novel composite, scintillating material intended for neutron detection and composed of small (1.5 mm) cubes of KG2-type lithium glass embedded in a matrix of scintillating plastic has been developed in the form of a 2.2"-diameter, 3.1"-tall cylindrical prototype loaded with (5.82 ± 0.02) % lithium glass by mass. The response of the material when exposed to ^{252}Cf fission neutrons and various γ -ray sources has been studied; using the charge-integration method for pulse shape discrimination, good separation between neutron and γ -ray events is observed and intrinsic efficiencies of $(1.15 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-2}$ and $(2.28 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-4}$ for ^{252}Cf fission neutrons and ^{60}Co γ rays are obtained; an upper limit for the sensitivity to ^{137}Cs γ rays is determined to be $< 3.70 \times 10^{-8}$. The neutron/ γ discrimination capabilities are improved in circumstances when a neutron capture signal in the lithium glass can be detected in coincidence with a preceding elastic scattering event in the plastic scintillator; with this coincidence requirement, the intrinsic efficiency of the prototype detector for ^{60}Co γ rays is $(2.42 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-6}$ while its intrinsic efficiency for unmoderated ^{252}Cf fission neutrons is $(4.31 \pm 0.59) \times 10^{-3}$. Through use of subregion-integration ratios in addition to the coincidence requirement, the efficiency for γ rays from ^{60}Co is reduced to $(7.15 \pm 4.10) \times 10^{-7}$ while the ^{252}Cf fission neutron efficiency becomes $(2.78 \pm 0.38) \times 10^{-3}$.

Keywords: Neutron detection, neutron/gamma discrimination, composite

Prepared for submission to *Physica Scripta* (G.C. Rich), kareem@llnl.gov (K. Kazkaz) 15

¹Present address: Twitter, Inc., San Francisco, CA 94103, United States

13 **1. The ^3He supply problem**

14 The well-documented shortage of ^3He [1] has motivated numerous investi-
 15 gations into novel neutron detector technologies which can suitably replace ^3He
 16 detectors in their many applications. Replacement of ^3He -based detection sys-
 17 tems is not trivial, however, as they are robust with a very broad application
 18 space.

19 Any competitive replacement must boast several particularly important char-
 20 acteristics: reasonable neutron detection efficiency across a broad range of neu-
 21 tron energies; limited sensitivity to, or the ability to discriminate against, γ rays;
 22 and a stable efficiency for neutron detection in a mixed radiation field, where
 23 both neutrons and γ rays are present. While boron-lined proportional counting
 24 tubes have shown promise [2, 3], several groups have been working on novel
 25 neutron detection materials with promising recent results, notably $\text{Cs}_2\text{LiYCl}_6$
 26 (or simply CLYC) [4] and PSD-enabled plastic scintillators [5, 6]. Other groups
 27 have investigated composite scintillators – heterogenous materials composed of
 28 neutron-sensitive grains embedded in a supporting plastic matrix – for their
 29 potential application in both basic neutron detection [7–9] and capture-gated
 30 neutron spectrometry [10, 11].

31 **2. Operating principles of composite scintillators**

32 Neutrons incident on a composite detector are intended to interact predom-
 33 inantly through two mechanisms: scattering on nuclei in the supporting, plastic
 34 matrix and capture on nuclei in the embedded grains. Though many other de-
 35 sign features may vary, the plastic matrix serves as an effective moderator for
 36 fast neutrons incident on a composite detector, making such detectors sensitive
 37 to a broad range of incident neutron energies without the need for additional
 38 moderation [7]. Early composite detector work by Knoll *et al.* embedded thin-
 39 walled glass spheres containing high-pressure ^3He in scintillating plastic; neu-

trons would enter the volume and be captured on ^3He nuclei, with the escaping reaction products depositing energy in the scintillating matrix and producing detectable signals [8, 9]. Numerous recent efforts have focused on composites loaded with the inorganic, neutron-sensitive scintillator lithium gadolinium borate (LGB), which have shown promise as both a neutron detector [7, 10–12] and an antineutrino detector [13]; in these composites, the scintillation light produced by neutron capture on any of the constituent nuclei of LGB originates within the embedded grains themselves.

LGB-based composites have been fabricated with both scintillating and non-scintillating matrices, but the use of scintillating plastic can provide a mechanism by which neutron- and γ -ray-generated signals could be distinguished. In the case of these composites with scintillating matrices, neither the embedded LGB nor the plastic matrix have inherent pulse-shape discrimination capabilities; while PSD-capable plastic has been developed [5, 6], to our knowledge it has not yet been used as a matrix for composite scintillators. Despite the lack of inherent PSD in either utilized material, the characteristic decay times of the pulses originating in LGB are distinct from those of pulses originating in the plastic scintillator; by virtue of the fact that composite scintillators are largely composed of plastic and that the cross section for capture of low-energy neutrons on the nuclei in the embedded scintillator is very high, it can be argued that γ -ray pulses have timing characteristics similar to the plastic matrix while neutron-capture pulses have timing characteristics similar to the embedded scintillator [7].

With the successes of composites utilizing LGB, there is reason to explore potential areas for improvement. Though each of the eponymous atomic constituents of LGB have isotopes with large thermal-neutron capture cross sections, only captures on ^6Li result exclusively in charged-particle emission; captures on other isotopes in the LGB can result in γ -ray emission which may be largely indistinguishable from an external γ -ray background. There also exist other scintillators whose index of refraction is better matched to that of plastic scintillator ($n = 1.58$) [14] than LGB ($n = 1.66$) [12]; this mismatch can ad-

versely affect the scintillation-light collection efficiency for larger volumes or for composites with higher concentrations of embedded grains.

3. Design and fabrication of a lithium-glass-based prototype composite

The materials used in the prototype, as well as the geometry of the embedded scintillator grains, were chosen in an effort to maximize neutron sensitivity and minimize sensitivity to γ rays. This was informed and motivated by recent experimental and simulation work by Kazkaz *et al.*, who explored the use of numerous materials as an alternative to LGB as the embedded scintillator [7]. The composite of Ref. [7] used as its matrix EJ-290, a polyvinyl toluene (PVT)-based plastic scintillator from Eljen Technology [14], and this same material was selected as the matrix for the present composite. Numerous materials were considered as candidates to serve as the embedded, neutron-sensitive scintillator. Consideration was also given to the number density of the element on which most neutron captures would occur and the ability to produce the material enriched in the capture isotope. To improve upon the neutron efficiency and PSD capabilities realized with LGB-based composites, it was desirable to select a material with an isotope on which neutron capture results exclusively, or predominantly, in the emission of charged particles; a related concern is that the material possess a minimal number of isotopes on which neutrons are likely to capture and produce signals which are difficult to distinguish from γ -ray backgrounds due to the release of γ rays after capture, effectively competing for neutrons with the isotopes which produce charged particles after capture, thereby potentially reducing both the neutron detection efficiency and the neutron/ γ discrimination capabilities of the composite. Other important factors included: the index of refraction, which should be closely matched to that of plastic scintillator; the light output; the decay time, which is ideally distinct from that of plastic scintillator; and the quenching factor for recoiling nuclei, which determines the electron-equivalent energy of neutron capture signals in the material. An extensive discussion on alternative materials can be found in Ref. [7]. Ultimately,

101 KG2-type lithium glass was selected for the prototype composite for its high
102 atomic fraction of lithium, its enrichment in ^6Li , its index of refraction, and its
103 desirable scintillation decay time [15, 16].

104 Successful fabrication of a composite featuring a continuous, even distribu-
105 tion of the embedded scintillator pieces is difficult due to settling of the pieces
106 and formation of bubbles during curing of the plastic matrix. To address the
107 issue of settling, a stratified geometry, where cubes of the scintillator are lo-
108 cated only at discrete heights along the axis of the detector, was considered;
109 such a geometry can be fabricated by addition of successive layers of scintilla-
110 tor cubes and uncured EJ-290 on top of previous, semi-cured and nearly-solid
111 layers. Monte Carlo simulations were carried out using the LUXSim [17] front
112 end for GEANT4 [18, 19] to compare the intrinsic neutron detection efficiencies
113 of different potential geometries for both thermal and ^{252}Cf -fission-spectrum
114 neutrons: within statistical uncertainties, there was no distinction between the
115 stratified and continuous distributions of embedded scintillator cubes. Simula-
116 tions comparing the neutron detection efficiencies as a function of the number of
117 layers present in the stratified geometry suggested there was little dependence
118 on this parameter. After a qualitative evaluation balancing the areal density of
119 Li-glass cubes on each layer and the distance between adjacent layers, it was de-
120 cided that the prototype would be divided into 11 layers. We ignored the effect
121 of optical photon propagation and absorption when determining the optimal
122 number of layers.

123 In preparation, a large boule of KG2-type lithium glass purchased from
124 Applied Scintillation Technologies [20] was diced into 1.5-mm cubes; these cubes
125 were not polished, though specimens with obvious damage from the machining
126 process were rejected. The total mass of lithium glass added to the sample was
127 $12.14\text{ g} \pm 0.03\text{ g}$, divided equally among the 11 layers. The prototype detector
128 was fabricated at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) over the
129 course of 10 days and was carried out in a glass vessel using an incremental,
130 additive approach. EJ-290 resin, with catalyzing agent added in proportions
131 prescribed by Eljen [21], was added to the vessel and partially polymerized by

132 heating through submersion in an oil bath of temperatures ranging between 54°C
133 and 60°C for between 6 and 12 hours. Cubes of lithium glass were then added
134 on top of this partially-cured layer, distributed as evenly as possible across the
135 surface. The polymerization of the underlying layer was sufficient to increase
136 the viscosity to a point where the added cubes remained largely on top of, or
137 very near, the surface; following addition of the glass cubes, another layer of
138 EJ-290 resin was added to the vessel. With the resin added, the fabrication
139 vessel was placed in a desiccator which was subsequently evacuated using a
140 small diaphragm pump. The rough vacuum in the desiccator removed much of
141 the air trapped in the scintillator resin and effectively prevented the permanent
142 formation of bubbles in the prototype as curing took place. Following this
143 evacuation procedure, the top-most scintillator layer was partially polymerized,
144 and the steps described here were repeated until the desired number of layers
145 was reached. Throughout the fabrication procedure, dry-nitrogen gas was flowed
146 over the prototype vessel when possible to minimize oxidation of the plastic
147 scintillator.

148 After the final layer had been added and evacuated, the vessel was moved to a
149 convection oven for final curing at $\sim 70^\circ\text{C}$ for approximately 4 days. The vessel
150 was then removed from the oven and allowed to cool for approximately an hour
151 in a $\sim 40^\circ\text{C}$ oil bath. Upon removal from the bath, the glass was scored and the
152 sample placed in a freezer for 2 hours before the glass was carefully broken and
153 the composite removed. The inner diameter of the fabrication vessel was not
154 completely uniform and varied slightly, and this non-uniformity is also present
155 in the detector. After shaping and polishing, the prototype was found to have
156 a diameter of $2.2'' \pm 0.1''$, a height of $3.1'' \pm 0.2''$, and a total mass of 208.78
157 $\text{g} \pm 0.09\text{ g}$ with a lithium-glass mass fraction of $(5.82 \pm 0.02)\%$. The prototype
158 composite scintillator can be seen in Fig. 1.

159 4. Characterization

160 Following the fabrication of the prototype, its performance was evaluated.
161 Detection efficiencies were determined using radioactive sources: ^{60}Co and ^{137}Cs

Figure 1: Images of the prototype composite scintillator. (Left) Side view of the scintillator prior to final preparation of the circular faces. The stratified nature of the geometry is visible. (Right) Axial view of the scintillator after final preparation of the faces and wrapping in reflective teflon tape. Decent transmission of California sunshine through the prototype scintillator volume is apparent.

162 were used to evaluate the efficiency for detection of γ rays and a ^{252}Cf source
 163 was used to evaluate the efficiency for detection of neutrons in a mixed-field
 164 environment, as the spontaneous fission of ^{252}Cf produces both fission neutrons
 165 and γ rays. For both the ^{252}Cf and ^{60}Co sources, 24 hours of data were taken;
 166 the ^{137}Cs dataset corresponds to a run of 19.8 hours in duration. Each source
 167 was placed in the same position at $22.48'' \pm 0.52''$ from the central axis of the
 168 detector. The activities of the sources at the time of the data taking were 6.979
 169 μCi for the ^{60}Co source, 9.409 μCi for ^{137}Cs , and 1.35 $\mu\text{Ci} \pm 10\%$ for the ^{252}Cf
 170 source; the uncertainties associated with the activities of the γ -ray sources are
 171 negligible. A 36-hour data set was taken with no radioactive sources present
 172 to provide a background spectrum. During data collection, the composite was
 173 located approximately $5'5'' \pm 0.25''$ above a concrete floor, supported by a thin
 174 ($\sim 0.130''$) aluminum surface.

A pair of 2"-diameter photomultiplier tubes (PMTs) was mounted to the
 prototype detector, one tube on each circular face. The total observed scintilla-
 tion light of an event in the scintillator $L_{\text{obs.}}$ can be reconstructed from the light
 observed by the two PMTs, L_1 and L_2 , using the prescription of Ref. [13], where
 an effective attenuation length α_{eff} is assumed; this leads to the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{\text{obs.}} &= \sqrt{(Le^{-x/\alpha_{\text{eff}}}) (Le^{x/\alpha_{\text{eff}}}) e^{-D/\alpha_{\text{eff}}}} \\
 &= \sqrt{L_1 L_2 e^{-D/\alpha_{\text{eff}}}} \\
 L_{\text{obs.}} &\propto \sqrt{L_1 L_2},
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

175 where x is the location along the axis of the detector at which the interaction
 176 took place and D is the total length of the detector. In the following discussion,
 177 proportional response of the PMTs is assumed and the observed light yield $L_{\text{obs.}}$
 178 and the energy of an event E are treated as equivalent with some proportionality
 179 constant. The signals from the PMTs were digitized by a Struck 3320-250
 180 digitizer, using the "n/gamma" firmware available from Struck [22], sampling
 181 at 200 MHz. The digitizer firmware recorded the integrals of 8 "accumulator"
 182 regions: these are user-definable sample ranges which can be used in a variety of
 183 ways, some of which are discussed in Sections 4.1 and 4.2 as well as in the work

by Kazkaz *et al.* [7]. The present analysis relied exclusively on data from these accumulators; the configuration of the accumulator regions used here are shown in Fig. 2, alongside some example waveforms showing the relative timing of the accumulators with respect to physics events. The total event size in memory is dramatically reduced when the waveform is not saved, so the reliance on these accumulators for this analysis suggests that it can be utilized with a similar data acquisition system (DAQ) in a high-rate environment: Kazkaz *et al.* estimate that the accumulator-based use of this DAQ is viable up to event rates of several hundred kilohertz while a similar DAQ with waveform readout enabled would be limited to tens of kilohertz [7].

4.1. Pulse shape discrimination via the charge-integration method

The charge-integration method of pulse shape discrimination, more informally known as the “tail-to-full ratio” method, determines a pulse shape parameter (PSP) value for each pulse,

$$\text{PSP} \equiv \frac{\int_{t_{\text{tail}}}^{t_f} Q(t) dt}{\int_{t_0}^{t_f} Q(t) dt}, \quad (2)$$

where $Q(t)$ is the reconstructed signal from the PMTs at time t through use of Eq. (1), and times t_0 , t_f , and t_{tail} correspond to the start of the pulse, the end of the pulse, and the time at which the “tail” of the pulse begins, respectively. Example pulses from the composite detector which can be attributed to events in the different materials and show very different PSPs despite similar total charge can be seen in Fig. 2.

To calculate the PSP described by Eq. (2), the sum of the integrals for accumulators 3 through 8 were used to represent the charge in the “tail” of the pulse while the sum of the integrals for accumulators 2 through 8 were used as the charge in the “full” pulse. The integral in accumulator region 1 was used, after scaling by the ratio of the number of samples included in each region, to perform baseline subtractions for each accumulator.

Each pulse was added to a 2-dimensional PSD histogram, with its x and y positions determined by its total integral (Energy) and its PSP, respectively.

Figure 2: (Color online) Example pulses from the prototype composite demonstrating the charge integration method of PSD used in this analysis. These pulses were recorded by the digitizer connected to one of the two PMTs mounted to the detector; as such, these pulses do not represent those which have undergone energy reconstruction using Equation (1), but they nonetheless serve as a demonstration of the character of the pulses in the detector. The times corresponding to the start of the pulse and to the start of the “tail” region of the pulse are indicated and labeled t_0 and t_{tail} , respectively. The blue pulse is a low-PSP event and would be attributed to an interaction which took place in the EJ-290 matrix. The red pulse is a high-PSP event and would be attributed to an interaction inside one of the Li-glass pieces, likely a neutron capture on ${}^6\text{Li}$. Though the pulses have comparable total integrals, they are easily distinguishable by their pulse shape parameters. The configuration of the eight accumulator regions, discussed in Section 4.2, is also shown.

212 To mitigate the effects of any potential drift from either the PMT electronics
 213 or temperature dependence in the scintillators between acquisition periods, the
 214 x axes are normalized by a factor described later. An example of the resulting
 215 PSD histogram for the 36-hour background data set is shown in Fig. 3; several
 216 key features can be identified: the band of events with $\text{PSP} \sim 0.1$ correspond
 217 to interactions within the EJ-290 plastic scintillator matrix, while the band of
 218 events at $\text{PSP} \sim 0.55$ correspond to interactions within the embedded lithium-
 219 glass cubes. Finally, within the PSP band corresponding to lithium-glass events,
 220 the island centered around normalized energy ~ 1550 is attributed to neutron
 221 capture events on the ${}^6\text{Li}$ content of the lithium glass.

222 To ensure a consistent location of the neutron-capture region of interest
 223 (ROI) between different data sets, a normalization of the x axis was carried
 224 out. To determine the normalization factors f_N , the region defined by $0.49 \leq$
 225 $\text{PSP} \leq 0.65$ for each raw data set (i.e., prior to background subtraction) was
 226 projected onto the x axis. For each data set, a Gaussian was then fit to the peak

Figure 3: (Color online) Events collected in the prototype detector during a 36-hour period with no radioactive sources present. The x axis is the total energy of an event as determined by Eq. (1), multiplied by a normalization factor discussed in the text; the background data shown here was used to determine the normalization factor for other data sets, and is itself unchanged by normalization. The y axis is the pulse shape parameter (PSP) for an event, or “tail-to-full ratio”: defined quantitatively in Eq. (2), the PSP corresponds to the ratio of charge collected in the “tail” of an event, after time $t_{\text{tail}} = 55$ ns, to the total charge collected. Several features can be identified: the band with $\text{PSP} \sim 0.1$ results from events within the EJ-290 plastic scintillator; the band at $\text{PSP} \sim 0.55$ can be attributed to events within the lithium glass; and the island at $\text{PSP} \sim 0.55$ and energy ~ 1550 corresponds to neutron capture events in the glass. In this 36-hour period, $(8.80 \pm 0.09 (\text{stat.})) \times 10^3$ counts were recorded in the 2-dimensional neutron region-of-interest (ROI) indicated by a dark-gray, dashed line. The ROI is defined by the 3σ level surface of a 2-dimensional Gaussian fit to the neutron-capture peak in this data.

centered at energy ~ 1550 , corresponding to the neutron capture “island” visible in Fig. 3, and the mean parameters from these Gaussian fits were recorded, $b_{\text{Cf}}, b_{\text{Cs}}, b_{\text{Co}}, b_{\text{b.g.}}$. The background data set was then used as the standard, so that the normalization factor f_{N} applied to each point in, for instance, the ^{60}Co data set was determined by $f_{\text{N,Co}} = b_{\text{Co}}/b_{\text{b.g.}}$. The determined normalization factors were all within 3% of 1.0. Dead time for each run was determined by examination of the difference between timestamps of sequential digitized events and used to determine a scaling factor for the collected data; the dead time fraction for the ^{137}Cs data set was 1.53% and $< 1\%$ for all other data sets. Following the energy calibration and dead-time correction, the background was subtracted bin-by-bin after normalizing the bin contents by the ratio of the radioactive-source data collection run time and the background run time.

To establish a region of interest (ROI) for neutron capture events, the 3σ level surface of a 2-dimensional Gaussian fit to the neutron-capture “island” in the background data set was used; the fit region was defined by $1400 \leq \text{Energy} \leq 1700$ and $0.49 \leq \text{PSP} \leq 0.65$. The background-subtracted, normalized data taken using ^{137}Cs , ^{60}Co , and ^{252}Cf sources are shown in Fig. 4 with the ROI overlaid. The integral within the ROI of these data sets, divided by the number of incident radiation quanta (neutrons in the case of ^{252}Cf and γ rays in the cases of ^{60}Co and ^{137}Cs), provides the intrinsic efficiency of the prototype detector for the respective source. These efficiencies are listed in Tab. 1.

Source	Intrinsic efficiency
^{252}Cf	$(1.15 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-2}$
^{137}Cs	$< 3.70 \times 10^{-8}$
^{60}Co	$(2.28 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-4}$

Table 1: Detector intrinsic efficiency for γ rays and unmoderated fission neutrons using tail/full PSD. Less than 1 count remained in the ROI following background subtraction for the ^{137}Cs dataset, so an upper limit of the efficiency of the composite scintillator for these gamma rays is reported.

247

Figure 4: (Color online) Background-subtracted, energy-normalized data collected using unmoderated sources: a) ^{137}Cs ; b) ^{60}Co ; and c) ^{252}Cf . The region of interest (ROI) in which neutron capture events are located is shown as a dark-gray ellipse and is defined as the 3σ level surface of a 2-dimensional Gaussian fit to the neutron-capture region of the background data set. The intrinsic efficiency, defined as the integral of the ROIs divided by the number of incident γ rays or neutrons, for each source is: $< 3.70 \times 10^{-8}$ for ^{137}Cs ; $(2.28 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-4}$ for ^{60}Co ; and $(1.15 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-2}$ for ^{252}Cf . As a consequence of the logarithmic scale of the z axis, bins with contents ≤ 0 resulting from bin-by-bin background subtraction can not be clearly indicated: for the ^{137}Cs data, the inset histogram shows, with a linear z axis, the region around the ROI indicated by a light-gray, dash-dotted line.

248 4.2. Enhanced PSD using subregion-integration ratios

249 The charge-integration method of PSD described in Sec. 4.1 can be easily
250 implemented in analog DAQ systems utilizing charge-to-digital converters and,
251 as can be seen in the results of Tab. 1, is moderately effective at discriminating
252 between pulses with different decay time characteristics. The use of a digitizer
253 for data acquisition affords greater flexibility; by forming different combinations
254 of the accumulator region integrals, whose configuration is shown in Fig. 2, it
255 is possible to project an event into a different pulse-shape parameter space in
256 which EJ-290 events and lithium-glass events may be more easily distinguished.
257 Kazkaz *et al.* utilized this approach and successfully increased neutron/gamma
258 discrimination capabilities in a similar composite scintillator; for a thorough
259 discussion of their procedure and results, see Ref. [7].

260 Several different combinations of subregion integrals were considered and
261 their efficacy in discriminating between event types was explored. To find effective
262 combinations and to tune the cut region for a given combination, histograms
263 of the value of each combination were populated by select events from the ^{252}Cf
264 and ^{60}Co datasets. Events selected from the ^{60}Co dataset came from a region
265 of the PSD plot which was near but not overlapping the neutron ROI in energy,
266 $1000 \leq \text{Energy} \leq 1220$, while events selected from the ^{252}Cf dataset were within
267 the neutron ROI, $1365 \leq \text{Energy} \leq 1700$; both populations were required to satisfy
268 $0.52 \leq \text{PSP} \leq 0.61$, safely ensuring that all of the events selected from the
269 ^{252}Cf data are within the neutron ROI. Examples of ^{252}Cf and ^{60}Co populations
270 for two subregion integral ratios, regions $(5 + 6 + 7 + 8) / \text{region } 2$ and regions
271 $(5 + 6) / \text{regions } (3 + 4)$, are shown in Fig. 5.

272 Five combinations of the accumulators were selected for use in this analysis,
273 each of which showed distinction between the ^{252}Cf and ^{60}Co populations. To
274 define the cut region in each combination, a 1-dimensional Gaussian was fit to
275 the ^{252}Cf population and the region was then defined in terms of the mean $\bar{x}$
276 and σ parameters. The subregion configurations used and the cut values determined
277 are collected in Tab. 2. Though the populations were not strictly
278 normally distributed, the approximation with a Gaussian allowed a more sys-

Figure 5: (Color online) Populations of ^{252}Cf (dark-gray lines) and ^{60}Co (green lines) events for two subregion integral ratios used to enhance pulse-shape-based discrimination of neutron and γ -ray events. For both combinations of the subregions, or “accumulators”, clear distinctions between the populations are apparent. The top panel shows event populations formed using the sum of accumulators 5, 6, 7, and 8 divided by accumulator 2; the bottom panel shows the data when grouped based on the sum of accumulators 5 and 6 divided by the sum of accumulators 3 and 4. The dotted lines in both panels identify the mean of the ^{252}Cf population, approximated as a Gaussian; the dashed lines represent the boundaries of the cut used to select events, expressed in terms of the mean $\bar{x}$ and σ of the Gaussian fit to the ^{252}Cf population in Table 2.

Subregion configuration	Minimum value	Maximum value
2 / Total	$\bar{x} - 5\sigma$	$\bar{x} + 1.3\sigma$
2 / (3 + 4)	$\bar{x} - 1.65\sigma$	$\bar{x} + 1.6\sigma$
(4 + 5) / (2 + 3)	$\bar{x} - 1.15\sigma$	$\bar{x} + 5\sigma$
(5 + 6) / (3 + 4)	$\bar{x} - 1.25\sigma$	$\bar{x} + 5\sigma$
(5 + 6 + 7 + 8) / 2	$\bar{x} - 1.2\sigma$	$\bar{x} + 5\sigma$

Table 2: The subregion configurations used to perform PSD and corresponding cut ranges, described in terms of the mean $\bar{x}$ and σ parameters of a 1-dimensional Gaussian fit to the population of events generated from the ^{252}Cf data set. In the case of the first combination, “total” refers to the sum of the integrals from subregions 2 through 8; this combination is similar to the PSP defined in Equation (2). The integral from each subregion is baseline-subtracted using subregion 1. The configuration of the subregions with respect to a waveform can be seen in Figure 2. The boundaries presented here for each subregion combination preserve $\sim 90\%$ of the counts in the ^{252}Cf data when applied individually. These subregion combinations do not necessarily represent unique or orthogonal projections into pulse-shape-parameter space.

tematic approach to definition of the cut regions. The boundaries of the cut region for each individual accumulator combination were adjusted by changing the multiplicative coefficient of the σ parameter until $\sim 90\%$ of the ^{252}Cf events would remain, excluding the effects of the other accumulator combination cuts; this is a similar approach to that adopted in Ref. [7]. In cases where a higher- or lower-boundary of the region provided no neutron/gamma discrimination power, as can be seen in Fig. 5 where reducing the upper boundaries of the cut region would preferentially reject ^{252}Cf counts, the boundary was set to $\pm 5\sigma$, as appropriate. The cuts described in Tab. 2 were applied to each reconstructed event and 2-dimensional PSD histograms, like those of Fig. 4, were populated with surviving events; to determine the efficiency of the composite detector to the test sources with these additional cuts, these histograms are then integrated with the same ROI determined in Sec. 4.1. Though each individual subregion cut presented in Tab. 2 preserves $\sim 90\%$ of the counts in the ROI for ^{252}Cf , the subregion cuts are not necessarily orthogonal. Consequently, the cumulative reduction of ROI counts from ^{252}Cf following application of more than one of the subregion cuts is not guaranteed to be a multiple of 10%. Results for the efficiency measurements using this subregion-integration technique for PSD are collected in Tab. 3, alongside the results from the charge-integration technique for comparison.

Source	Intrinsic efficiency	
	Charge integration	Subregion integration
^{252}Cf	$(1.15 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-2}$	$(4.23 \pm 0.56) \times 10^{-3}$
^{60}Co	$(2.28 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-4}$	$(2.09 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-5}$

Table 3: Efficiency results for both the charge integration and subregion integration techniques of pulse shape discrimination. Five different subregion, or accumulator, combination cuts described in the text and in Table 2 are used to establish the values shown. When each subregion cut is applied individually, approximately 90% of the counts in the region-of-interest (ROI) for the ^{252}Cf data are retained. The subregion cuts are not necessarily orthogonal, however, and consequently the cumulative retention of counts in the ROI after application of multiple cuts is not guaranteed to be a multiple of 0.9. For ^{137}Cs data, less than 1 count remains in the ROI after background subtraction for both techniques, and thus an upper limit of the sensitivity to ^{137}Cs γ rays is determined to be 3.70×10^{-8} .

299 *4.3. Analysis including coincidence requirements and additional cuts*

300 The operating principles of capture-gated neutron spectrometers [12, 23–
301 25] expose a potential avenue towards increased discrimination of γ -ray events
302 beyond PSD alone. Neutrons incident on the composite detector will have a
303 high likelihood of undergoing elastic scattering interactions with nuclei in the
304 supporting matrix prior to either escaping the detector or participating in a
305 capture reaction. The series of scattering interactions serves to moderate the
306 energy of incident fast neutrons, increasing the probability of capture within the
307 detector volume, but each scattering reaction also results in a recoiling nucleus;
308 in the case of scattering in the EJ-290 matrix, these recoiling nuclei produce
309 observable scintillation signals. For energetic neutrons incident on the composite
310 detector, there is consequently a characteristic signature: elastic scattering in
311 the EJ-290 produces short-timescale pulses which are followed several tens of
312 microseconds later by longer-timescale pulses resulting from neutron capture
313 on ${}^6\text{Li}$ in the lithium glass. Capture-gated spectrometry utilizes the elastic
314 scattering signals to reconstruct the incident neutron energy, focusing only on
315 those followed by capture-like scintillation signals. By enforcing a coincidence
316 requirement and that the sequence of pulses have neutron-like character (a long-
317 timescale capture pulse preceded by a short-timescale elastic scattering pulse),
318 enhanced discrimination of γ -ray signals can be realized with only moderate
319 reduction in efficiency for detection of energetic neutrons.

320 Using the timestamp recorded by the digitizer, the separation in time of
321 sequential events was determined and a coincidence requirement was applied:
322 this cut accepted events only if they occurred within 40 μs of a preceding event
323 and only if the preceding event fit the character of an event originating in
324 the plastic scintillator ($\text{PSP} < 0.45$). The duration of the coincidence window
325 was established by examining the relative timing between sequential events in
326 cases where the first of the events satisfied a low-PSP requirement (suggesting
327 the pulse originated in the EJ-290) and the second event would populate the
328 neutron ROI; a plot of these distributions for the ${}^{252}\text{Cf}$ and ${}^{60}\text{Co}$ sources, as
329 well as the background data set, is shown in the top panel of Fig. 6. A double-

330 exponential distribution of the form $T(t) = A_1 \exp(-t/\tau_1) + A_2 \exp(-t/\tau_2)$ was
 331 used to model the ^{252}Cf data; in this model, the two distributions correspond
 332 to accidental coincidences and event pairs generated by neutron elastic scat-
 333 tering in the matrix followed by neutron capture in the lithium glass. Though
 334 the data may contain additional timing structure owing to systematics associ-
 335 ated with the source, geometry, data acquisition system, or other origins, the
 336 double-exponential approximation is sufficient over a small range of inter-event
 337 separation times (0 to 500 μs) to extract the scattering-to-capture time con-
 338 stant used to define the coincidence window. The time constant for accidental
 339 coincidences was determined by fitting a single exponential to the ^{252}Cf inter-
 340 event timing distribution between separation times of 1000 μs and 10000 μs .
 341 With this time constant fixed, the inter-event timing distribution was fit with
 342 the double-exponential function over a range of 0 μs to 500 μs ; the parameters
 343 of this fit for the ^{252}Cf data set were $\tau_1 = 13.1 \mu\text{s}$, $A_1 = 1.90 \times 10^{-1}$ for the
 344 exponential corresponding to the scattering-to-capture time and $\tau_2 = 2.68 \times 10^4$
 345 μs , $A_2 = 3.53 \times 10^{-4}$ for the accidental coincidences. The coincidence window
 346 of 40 μs corresponds to acceptance of $\sim 95\%$ of the event pairs contained within
 347 the prompt distribution ($\tau_1 = 13.1 \mu\text{s}$) established here. Applying these require-
 348 ments to all data sets and then performing background subtraction results in
 349 the data depicted in Fig. 7, which can be compared to the data shown in Fig.
 350 4 where the coincidence requirement is not enforced.

351 The coincidence requirement in conjunction with the charge-integration tech-
 352 nique modestly reduced the ^{252}Cf fission neutron intrinsic efficiency to $(4.31 \pm 0.59) \times$
 353 10^{-3} while dramatically affecting the intrinsic efficiency for ^{60}Co γ rays, which
 354 was reduced to $(2.42 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-6}$. The subregion-integration technique, com-
 355 bined with the coincidence requirement, yields efficiencies for ^{252}Cf and ^{60}Co of
 356 $(2.78 \pm 0.38) \times 10^{-3}$ and $(7.15 \pm 4.10) \times 10^{-7}$, respectively. Efficiency for ^{137}Cs
 357 γ rays remains an upper limit of $< 3.70 \times 10^{-8}$. It should be noted that by
 358 using the coincidence requirement in conjunction with the subregion-integration
 359 approach the sensitivity to γ rays from ^{60}Co is subject to large uncertainties
 360 ($> 50\%$) due to limited statistics.

Figure 6: (Color online) (Top) Inter-event timing for pairs of events, wherein the first event satisfies a low-PSP requirement and the second event would populate the neutron ROI, from the ^{252}Cf (dark-gray line), ^{60}Co (green line), and background (red line) data sets. Each data set is normalized such that the full integral of the inter-event timing histogram is equal to 1. (Bottom) The ^{252}Cf inter-event timing population with an overlaid double-exponential fit described in Section 4.3 of the text. Assuming the two timing components of the double exponential correspond to accidental coincidences and the distribution of neutron-moderation signals followed by neutron-capture signals, the scattering- or moderation-to-capture time constant for the prototype detector for spontaneous fission neutrons from ^{252}Cf is $13.1 \mu\text{s}$.

Figure 7: (Color online) Energy-normalized data sets after application of the coincidence requirement and background subtraction for: a) ^{137}Cs ; b) ^{60}Co ; and c) ^{252}Cf . The events populating these histograms must have occurred within $40\ \mu\text{s}$ of a preceding event with $\text{PSP} < 0.45$; the background data which has been subtracted from the data sets shown here is subjected to the same requirement. The 2-D region of interest (ROI) for neutron capture is shown as a dark-gray ellipse. The inset figures show in greater detail the region around the ROI, which is identified by a light-gray dash-dotted line in the figures. The effect of the coincidence requirement can be seen by comparing this data with that shown in Figure 4, noting the difference in z -axis scales.

361 The γ -rejection efficacy of the coincidence cut, already very effective, could
 362 be slightly improved by noting the energy of the preceding pulse in addition
 363 to its PSP: specifically, the ^{60}Co data shows a concentration of counts with
 364 $2000 \leq \text{Energy} \leq 4000$, while a similar pattern is not evident in the neutron
 365 data collected with a ^{252}Cf source, which yielded predominantly lower-energy
 366 preceding pulses. By applying an additional requirement to the coincidence cut
 367 – that the preceding pulse must satisfy $\text{Energy} \leq 2000$ – γ -ray rejection was
 368 further increased with marginal loss of neutron efficiency; the results of this
 369 cut on efficiencies when used with both the charge-integration and subregion-
 integration methods are shown in Tab. 4

Analysis method	Source	Intrinsic efficiency	
		Charge integration	Subregion integration
ROI integration	^{252}Cf	$(1.15 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-2}$	$(7.24 \pm 0.99) \times 10^{-3}$
	^{60}Co	$(2.28 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-4}$	$(4.08 \pm 0.49) \times 10^{-5}$
Coincidence	^{252}Cf	$(4.31 \pm 0.59) \times 10^{-3}$	$(2.78 \pm 0.38) \times 10^{-3}$
	^{60}Co	$(2.42 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-6}$	$(7.15 \pm 4.10) \times 10^{-7}$
Coincidence with energy cut	^{252}Cf	$(3.88 \pm 0.53) \times 10^{-3}$	$(2.50 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-3}$
	^{60}Co	$(1.53 \pm 0.53) \times 10^{-6}$	$(6.66 \pm 3.88) \times 10^{-7}$

Table 4: Intrinsic detection efficiencies obtained by enforcing a $40\text{-}\mu\text{s}$ coincidence requirement
 and by additionally requiring that the total energy of the preceding pulse be ≤ 2000 . For
 all approaches, background subtraction resulted in less than one count in the ROI for data
 collected with ^{137}Cs and the upper limit of the sensitivity of the composite to ^{137}Cs γ rays is
 3.70×10^{-8} . The results from the analyses of Sections 4.1 and 4.2, also presented in Tables
 1 and 3, are included here to highlight the dramatic reduction in sensitivity to ^{60}Co γ rays
 through use of the coincidence requirement. It is important to note that the determined
 sensitivity to γ rays from ^{60}Co , in several cases, subject to large statistical uncertainties;
 more strenuous limits could be placed with longer acquisition periods or stronger sources.

370

371 4.4. Comparison with simulation

372 A geometry which closely approximated the experimental configuration was
 373 developed in MCNP6. This model included the concrete floor and several other
 374 nearby volumes, including the aluminum surface on which the composite detec-
 375 tor was placed. The material definitions were informed by Ref. [26], with
 376 deviations where specific information was available; notably, the density of
 377 PVT-based plastic scintillators reported by Eljen Technology [14] (1.023 g/cc) is

Figure 8: (Color online) PSD plots populated by the initial pulses in coincident pairs for: a) ^{252}Cf and b) ^{60}Co . The concentration of events between energies of ~ 2000 and ~ 4000 evident in the ^{60}Co γ -ray data is not present in the fission neutron data; the energies of these populations are in accord with the energies of the low-PSP single-event data for ^{60}Co and ^{252}Cf shown in panels b) and c), respectively, of Figure 4. Due to these distinct populations, requiring that the first pulse in a coincident pair have $E \leq 2000$ achieves preferential rejection of γ -ray interactions.

slightly lower than that suggested in Ref. [26] (1.032 g/cc). Isotopic abundances were specified where necessary to promote data-table based computation and to ensure the correct 95% isotopic enrichment of ^6Li in KG2-type lithium glass.

To model a ^{252}Cf spontaneous-fission source, a Watt fission spectrum was used to define the initial energy of the simulated neutrons; the parameters used for this distribution were those recommended in Ref. [27]. These simulations focused on establishing a benchmark for the neutron-detection efficiency and did not include γ -ray sources. The simulated efficiency was determined by dividing the number of captures on ^6Li recorded within the lithium-glass volumes by the number of neutrons incident on the composite as determined by the simulation; the simulation-determined geometric efficiency $\epsilon_{\text{G, sim}} = 1.20 \times 10^{-3}$, with statistical uncertainty of 1.1×10^{-6} , was in agreement with an analytical approximation of the geometric efficiency of the composite detector $\epsilon_{\text{G}} = (1.19 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3}$. Efficiency effects related to scintillation-light production, photon transport, and light-collection efficiency were not considered.

The MCNP6 simulations carried out predict a detection efficiency for spontaneous-fission neutrons from ^{252}Cf of $(1.33 \pm 0.01(\text{stat.})) \times 10^{-2}$, which is slightly larger than the experimentally-determined efficiency of $(1.15 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-2}$, obtained when using charge-integration PSD. As was observed in Ref. [28], simulations indicate that the experimental environment provided a non-negligible contribution to the measured efficiency: a geometry which included only the neutron source, air, and the composite detector yielded an efficiency of $(1.02 \pm 0.01(\text{stat.})) \times 10^{-2}$.

5. Conclusions

We have fabricated a first-of-its-kind composite scintillator consisting of KG2-type lithium glass cubes embedded in a supporting matrix of scintillating plastic. The additive, successive approach to fabrication utilized here, where subsequent layers are added after nearly-complete polymerization of the underlying layer, should be extensible to larger volumes and alternative geometries. This approach yielded a single-volume detector with no persistent optical or

408 mechanical interfaces. Though our prototype had a non-uniform diameter with
 409 some discontinuities between layers, these effects could be mitigated by use of a
 410 fabrication vessel with a more regular shape and through more controlled ther-
 411 mal cycling at times when the sample is removed from heating for the purpose
 412 of layer addition.

413 Though the fabrication of a larger-volume sample is conceptually straight-
 414 forward, simulations should be developed which account for the propagation of
 415 scintillation photons through these volumes. Through careful mitigation of bub-
 416 ble formation during the fabrication process and through use of lithium glass
 417 as the embedded scintillator grain material, which has a closely-matched index
 418 of refraction with the PVT-based matrix and whose surfaces were thoroughly
 419 whetted by the uncured polymer, we have been able to obtain a volume with
 420 good optical transmission properties. Despite this success, possible attenuation
 421 of scintillation light through larger volumes of a similar composite should be
 422 quantitatively considered.

423 The prototype composite has shown good neutron/ γ discrimination and neu-
 424 tron detection efficiency, established with ^{137}Cs , ^{60}Co , and ^{252}Cf radioactive
 425 sources and summarized in Tab. 3. The MCNP6 simulations carried out show
 426 decent approximation of the experimental results of neutron detection efficiency
 427 from the charge-integration PSD approach for this prototype and suggest that
 428 some component of the measured efficiency is due to the experimental geometry.
 429 Examination of the ^{60}Co data in Fig. 4 shows that the endpoint of the spectrum
 430 from this source in the Li-glass PSP band is close to the ROI associated with
 431 neutron capture events in the glass; selection of an alternative embedded scintil-
 432 lator with a higher electron-equivalent signal yield for neutron capture than the
 433 KG2-type lithium glass used in this work may offer improved neutron/ γ discrim-
 434 ination, though the selection of an embedded material involves consideration of
 435 many factors (see the discussions in Sec. 3 and Ref. [7]). Alternative matrices,
 436 such as nonscintillating acrylic, may also yield composite, lithium-glass-based
 437 detectors with very effective neutron/ γ discrimination: Ianakiev *et al.* have
 438 shown promising initial results for high neutron/ γ discrimination efficacy with

439 composites based on GS20-type lithium glass and poly(methyl methacrylate)
440 (PMMA) or mineral oil matrices [29].

441 Investigations of the incident-neutron-energy-dependent response and sensi-
442 tivity of lithium-glass based composites may be fruitful. As the neutron/ γ -
443 discrimination capabilities of these composites arise from the distinct pulse
444 shapes resulting from γ -rays and, ultimately, capture of *low-energy* neutrons
445 on lithium nuclei, this class of detectors should yield reliable discrimination be-
446 tween γ -ray and neutron-induced signals for a broad range of incident neutron
447 energies: irrespective of the incident energy of the neutron, the identifiable signal
448 relied upon for detection results from the release of energetic charged-particles
449 after capture of the neutrons. For low-energy incident neutrons ($\lesssim 100$ keV),
450 use of the coincidence requirement discussed in Sec. 4.3 may be precluded due
451 to small signal yield from the initial scattering of the neutron in the plastic
452 matrix, though the PSD techniques should remain efficacious for all incident
453 neutrons sufficiently energetic to enter the detector and capture in one of the
454 lithium-glass cubes. This can be contrasted with the energy-dependent PSD re-
455 alized in liquid and plastic scintillators, particularly in the latter category where
456 reliable PSD for signals below 200-keVee is challenging [30, 31]. In addition to
457 PSD across a broad spectrum of neutron energies, lithium-glass-based compos-
458 ites may also possess the ability to provide information on the incident neutron
459 energy spectrum when operated as capture-gated neutron spectrometers [12]: an
460 application space in which LGB-based composites have demonstrated success
461 [11].

462 For this initial evaluation of the performance of the prototype composite,
463 no concerted effort was made to optimize the configuration of the accumula-
464 tor regions of the digitizer for neutron/ γ discrimination. The analysis of this
465 work was carried out using exclusively data from these accumulators, and con-
466 sequently the neutron/ γ discrimination capability of similar composites could
467 potentially be improved beyond that demonstrated here while still utilizing the
468 subregion integration technique and accumulator-based digitizer readout, and
469 thus remaining feasible for high-event-rate environments where DAQ deadtime

would impact performance of systems relying on waveform readout. Examination of the ^{60}Co events which appear in the neutron ROI in Fig. 4 shows that the population is contained largely in the low-energy, low-PSP area of the region; this suggests a route by which one might improve on γ -ray discrimination, with marginal impact on neutron efficiency. Optimization of accumulator configuration could be carried out in the spirit of the prescription of Ref. [32], and may lead to noncontiguous accumulator regions with rather different durations, such as those used in Ref. [33] to maximize neutron/ γ separation in CLYC.

6. Acknowledgements

Beneficial discussions with Michelle Faust, Lindsay Haselhorst, Dr. Jason Newby, and Dr. Nathaniel Bowden are very gratefully recognized. This work was advanced tremendously by the nonpareil glass-machining skills of Peter Thelin. The portion of this work conducted at LLNL was carried out under the auspices of the United States Department of Energy under contract number DE-AC52-07NA27344. Other portions of this work were supported under contract DE-FG02-97ER4104. LLNL-JRNL-662220-DRAFT.

- [1] R. Kouzes, “The ^3He Supply Problem,” Tech. Rep. 18388, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, 2009.
- [2] A. Lintereur, R. Kouzes, J. Ely, L. Erikson, E. Siciliano, and M. Woodring, “Boron-Lined Neutron Detector Measurements,” Tech. Rep. 18938, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, 2009.
- [3] R. Kouzes *et al.*, “Neutron detection alternatives to ^3He for national security applications,” *Nucl Instrum Meth A*, vol. 623, pp. 1035–1045, 2010.
- [4] N. D’Olympia, P. Chowdhury, C. Lister, J. Glodo, R. Hawrami, K. Shah, and U. Shirwadkar, “Pulse-shape analysis of CLYC for thermal neutrons, fast neutrons, and gamma-rays,” *Nucl Instrum Meth A*, 2013.

- 496 [5] N. Zaitseva, B. L. Rupert, I. Pawelczak, A. Glenn, H. P. Martinez, L. Car-
497 man, M. Faust, N. Cherepy, and S. Payne, “Plastic scintillators with effi-
498 cient neutron/gamma pulse shape discrimination,” *Nucl Instrum Meth A*,
499 vol. 668, pp. 88–93, 2012.
- 500 [6] N. Zaitseva, A. Glenn, H. P. Martinez, L. Carman, I. Pawelczak, M. Faust,
501 and S. Payne, “Pulse shape discrimination with lithium-containing organic
502 scintillators,” *Nucl Instrum Meth A*, 2013.
- 503 [7] K. Kazkaz, N. S. Bowden, and M. Pedretti, “Comparison of Lithium
504 Gadolinium Borate Crystal Grains in Scintillating and Nonscintillating
505 Plastic Matrices,” *IEEE Trans Nucl Sci*, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 1416–1426,
506 2013.
- 507 [8] G. Knoll, T. Henderson, and W. Felmlee, “A Novel ^3He Scintillation De-
508 tector,” *IEEE Trans Nucl Sci*, vol. NS-34, pp. 470–474, February 1987.
- 509 [9] G. Knoll, T. Knoll, and T. Henderson, “Light collection in scintillation
510 detector composites for neutron detection,” *IEEE Trans Nucl Sci*, vol. 35,
511 no. 1, pp. 872–875, 1988.
- 512 [10] M. Flaska, S. Pozzi, and J. B. Czirr, “Use of an LGB detector in nuclear
513 nonproliferation applications,” in *Nuclear Science Symposium Conference*
514 *Record, 2008. NSS’08. IEEE*, pp. 3376–3380, IEEE, 2008.
- 515 [11] N. Menaa, M. Villani, S. Croft, R. McElroy, S. Philips, and J. Czirr, “Evalu-
516 ation of Lithium Gadolinium Borate Capture-Gated Spectrometer Neutron
517 Efficiencies,” *IEEE Trans Nucl Sci*, vol. 56, pp. 911–914, June 2009.
- 518 [12] J. Czirr, D. Merrill, D. Buehler, T. McKnight, J. Carroll, T. Abbott, and
519 E. Wilcox, “Capture-gated neutron spectrometry,” *Nucl Instrum Meth A*,
520 vol. 476, no. 1, pp. 309–312, 2002.
- 521 [13] P. Nelson and N. Bowden, “Investigation of large LGB detectors for an-
522 tineutrino detection,” *Nucl Instrum Meth A*, vol. 660, pp. 77–82, December
523 2011.

- [14] Eljen Technology. <http://www.eljentechnology.com/>. 1300 W Broadway,
Sweetwater, TX, 79556 USA.
- [15] A. Spowart, “Neutron scintillating glasses part I: Activation by external
charged particles and thermal neutrons,” *Nucl Instrum Methods*, vol. 135,
pp. 441–453, 1976.
- [16] E. Fairley and A. Spowart, “Neutron scintillating glasses part III: Pulse
decay time measurements at room temperature,” *Nucl Instrum Methods*,
vol. 150, pp. 159–163, 1978.
- [17] D. Akerib *et al.*, “LUXSim: A component-centric approach to low-
background simulations,” *Nucl Instrum Meth A*, vol. 675, pp. 63–77, May
2012.
- [18] S. Agostinelli *et al.*, “GEANT4 – A simulation toolkit,” *Nucl Instrum Meth
A*, vol. 506, no. 3, pp. 250–303, 2003.
- [19] J. Allison *et al.*, “GEANT4 developments and applications,” *IEEE Trans
Nucl Sci*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 270–278, 2006.
- [20] Applied Scintillation Technologies. <http://www.appscintech.com/>. 8 Roy-
donbury Industrial Estate, Horsecroft Road, The Pinnacles, Harlow CM19
5BZ, UK.
- [21] Eljen Technology, *EJ-290 Plastic Scintillator Casting Resin: Instructions
for Use*.
- [22] Struck Innovative Systeme. <http://www.struck.de/sis3320firm.htm>.
- [23] B. Fisher, J. Abdurashitov, K. Coakley, V. Gavrin, D. Gilliam, J. Nico,
A. Shikhin, A. Thompson, D. Vecchia, and V. Yants, “Fast neutron detec-
tion with ^6Li -loaded liquid scintillator,” *Nucl Instrum Meth A*, vol. 646,
no. 1, pp. 126–134, 2011.
- [24] D. Drake, W. Feldman, and C. Hurlbut, “New electronically black neutron
detectors,” *Nucl Instrum Meth A*, vol. 247, no. 3, pp. 576–582, 1986.

- 551 [25] F. Brooks and H. Klein, “Neutron spectrometry – historical review and
552 present status,” *Nucl Instrum Meth A*, vol. 476, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 2002.
- 553 [26] R. McConn Jr., C. Gesh, R. Pagh, R. Rucker, and R. Williams III, “Com-
554 pendium of Material Composition Data for Radiation Transport Modeling,”
555 Tech. Rep. PNNL-15870, Rev 1, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory,
556 Richland, WA, 2011.
- 557 [27] D. Pelowitz *et al.*, “MCNP6 User’s Manual,” Tech. Rep. LA-CP-13-00634,
558 Rev. 0, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, May 2013.
- 559 [28] M. Mayer, J. Nattress, V. Kukharev, A. Foster, A. Meddeb, C. Trivelpiece,
560 Z. Ounaies, and I. Jovanovic, “Development and characterization of a neu-
561 tron detector based on a lithium glass-polymer composite,” *Nucl Instrum*
562 *Meth A*, vol. 785, 2015.
- 563 [29] K. Ianakiev *et al.*, “Neutron detector based on Particles of ^6Li glass scintil-
564 lator dispersed in organic lightguide matrix,” *Nucl Instrum Meth A*, 2014.
- 565 [30] S. Pozzi, M. Bourne, and S. Clarke, “Pulse shape discrimination in the
566 plastic scintillator EJ-299-33,” *Nucl Instrum Meth A*, vol. 723, pp. 19–23,
567 2013.
- 568 [31] D. Cester, G. Nebbia, L. Stevanato, F. Pino, and G. Viesti, “Experimental
569 tests of the new plastic scintillator with pulse shape discrimination capa-
570 bilities EJ-299-33,” *Nucl Instrum Meth A*, vol. 735, pp. 202–206, 2014.
- 571 [32] E. Gatti and F. DeMartini, “A new linear method of discrimination between
572 elementary particles in scintillation counters,” in *Nuclear Electronics*, vol. 2,
573 IAEA, 1961.
- 574 [33] N. D’Olympia *et al.*, “Optimizing $\text{Cs}_2\text{LiYCl}_6$ for fast neutron spec-
575 troscopy,” *Nucl Instrum Meth A*, vol. 694, pp. 140–146, 2012.