Results from the LUX dark matter experiment

Markus Horn^{a,*},

on behalf of the LUX Collaboration:

D.S. Akerib^b, H.M. Araújo^c, X. Bai^d, A.J. Bailey^c, J. Balajthy^e,
E. Bernard^a, A. Bernstein^f, A. Bradley^b, D. Byram^g, S.B. Cahn^a,
M.C. Carmona-Benitez^h, C. Chanⁱ, J.J. Chapmanⁱ, A.A. Chiller^g, C. Chiller^g,
A. Currie^c, L. de Viveiros^j, A. Dobi^e, J. Dobson^k, E. Druszkiewicz^l,
B. Edwards^a, C.H. Faham^m, S. Fiorucciⁱ, C. Floresⁿ, R.J. Gaitskellⁱ,
V.M. Gehman^m, C. Ghag^o, K.R. Gibson^b, M.G.D. Gilchriese^m, C. Hall^e,
M. Hanhardt^p, S. Haselschwardt^h, S.A. Hertel^a, D.Q. Huangⁱ, M. Ihm^q,
R.G. Jacobsen^q, K. Kazkaz^f, R. Knoche^e, N.A. Larsen^a, C. Lee^b, B. Lenardoⁿ,
K.T. Lesko^m, A. Lindote^j, M.I. Lopes^j, D.C. Mallingⁱ, R. Mannino^f,
D.N. McKinsey^a, D.-M. Mei^g, J. Mockⁿ, M. Moongweluwani^l, J. Moradⁿ,
A.St.J. Murphy^k, C. Nehrhorn^h, H. Nelson^h, F. Neves^j, R.A. Ottⁿ,
M. Pangilinanⁱ, P.D. Parker^a, E.K. Pease^a, K. Pech^b, P. Phelps^b,
L. Reichhart^o, T. Shutt^b, C. Silva^j, V.N. Solovov^j, P. Sorensen^f,
K. O'Sullivan^a, T.J. Sumner^c, M. Szydagisⁿ, D. Taylor^p, B. Tennyson^a,
D.R. Tiedt^d, M. Tripathiⁿ, S. Uvarovⁿ, J.R. Verbusⁱ, N. Walshⁿ, R. Webb^r,
J.T. White^{r,**}, M.S. Witherell^h, F.L.H. Wolfs^l, M. Woodsⁿ, C. Zhang^g

^aYale University, Dept. of Physics, 217 Prospect St., New Haven CT 06511, USA

^bCase Western Reserve University, Dept. of Physics, 10900 Euclid Ave, Cleveland OH 44106, USA

^cImperial College London, High Energy Physics, Blackett Laboratory, London SW7 2BZ, UK

^dSouth Dakota School of Mines and Technology, 501 East St Joseph St., Rapid City SD 57701, USA

^eUniversity of Maryland, Dept. of Physics, College Park MD 20742, USA

^fLawrence Livermore National Laboratory, 7000 East Ave., Livermore CA 94551, USA

^gUniversity of South Dakota, Dept. of Physics, 414E Clark St., Vermillion SD 57069, USA

^hUniversity of California Santa Barbara, Dept. of Physics, Santa Barbara, CA, USA

ⁱBrown University, Dept. of Physics, 182 Hope St., Providence RI 02912, USA

^jLIP-Coimbra, Department of Physics, University of Coimbra, Rua Larga, 3004-516 Coimbra, Portugal

^kSUPA, School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH9 3JZ, UK

^lUniversity of Rochester, Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Rochester NY 14627, USA

^mLawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Rd., Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

ⁿUniversity of California Davis, Dept. of Physics, One Shields Ave., Davis CA 95616, USA

^oDepartment of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK

^pSouth Dakota Science and Technology Authority, Sanford Underground Research Facility, Lead, SD 57754, USA

^qUniversity of California Berkeley, Department of Physics, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

^rTexas A & M University, Dept. of Physics, College Station TX 77843, USA

*Corresponding author: markus.horn@yale.edu

**Deceased

Abstract

The LUX (Large Underground Xenon) experiment aims at the direct detection of dark matter particles via their collisions with xenon nuclei. The 370 kg two-phase liquid xenon time projection chamber measures simultaneously the scintillation and ionization from interactions in the target. The ratio of these two signals provides very good discrimination between potential nuclear recoil and electronic recoil signals to search for WIMP-nucleon scattering. The LUX detector operates at the Sanford Underground Research Facility (Lead, South Dakota, USA) since February 2013. First results were presented in late 2013 setting the world's most stringent limits on WIMP-nucleon scattering cross sections over a wide range of WIMP masses. A 300 day run beginning in 2014 will further improve the sensitivity and new calibration techniques will reduce systematics for the WIMP signal search.

Keywords: dark matter, WIMP, liquid xenon, time projection chamber

1. Introduction

The existence of non-baryonic cold dark matter is supported by presently available data from a wide range of cosmological observations. Among those are galactic rotation curves, the precise measurements of the cosmic microwave background, the abundance of light elements, the study of supernovae and the mapping of large scale structures [1]. The identity of dark matter remains unknown and is a question of central importance in both astrophysics and particle physics. A generic weakly interacting massive particle (WIMP) is one of the leading candidates to account for dark matter in our universe. Most direct detection experiments aim to detect WIMPs via low energy nuclear recoils caused by elastic scattering in dedicated low background detectors. The experimental challenge for these experiments is to utilize a large target mass (e.g. LUX has a fiducial volume of ~ 118 kg), to achieve a low energy threshold (typically well

below 10 keV_{nr}, down to 3 keV_{nr} and lower¹) and to substantially reduce the background radioactivity, primarily caused by gamma rays emitted from residual radioactive isotopes in detector materials, as well as beta emitters embedded in the detection medium itself. In addition, to minimize the background cosmic ray flux, these experiments need to operate in a deep underground facility.

2. The LUX Experiment

The LUX (Large Underground Xenon) experiment brings together around 100 scientists from 18 academic institutions in the US, UK and Portugal². The experiment builds on the well-established dual phase xenon time-projection chamber (TPC) technology, which has proven single electron and photon detection capabilities and an excellent sub-cm 3D position reconstruction [2, 3]. Together with the self-shielding property of liquid xenon, it is possible to achieve very low energy thresholds and to efficiently reject internal and external gamma and electron background and multiple scatter events within the detector. The LUX detector, consisting of 370 kg of xenon, is operating 1.5 km underground (4300 m.w.e.) in the Sanford Underground Research Facility (SURF) in Lead, South Dakota, USA since 2012 [4]. The main technical aspects of the detector are outlined in Ref. [5, 6].

Particle interactions in the detector are recorded via two response channels, scintillation and ionization. Two arrays of 61 low-radioactivity photomultipliers (PMTs) detect two light signals per particle interaction: one prompt, due to excitation and recombination of ionization electrons in the liquid (S1) and one delayed, due to electroluminescence of ionization charge drifted into the gas region via an applied electric field (S2). For this data set, the electron drift field is 181 V/cm. Due to circulation and purification of the xenon inventory through a getter during data taking, a mean electron drift length, before cap-

¹The subscript 'nr' emphasizes true nuclear recoil energies, in comparison to reconstructed energies from electron equivalent recoils expressed in keV_{ee}.

²For more information, please refer to www.luxdarkmatter.org

ture by electronegative impurities, of 87 ± 9 and 134 ± 15 cm has been achieved. The electron extraction field is 6 kV/cm (in gas) and 3.1 kV/cm (in liquid) respectively, yielding to an electron extraction efficiency of 0.65 ± 0.01 .

The time between the two events (S1 and S2) provide the depth of an event, while the S2 hit pattern of light on the PMT arrays provide the x-y position. The position resolution for S2 signals in LUX is determined to 4-6 mm for small S2 signals. Nuclear recoils (NR), in contrast to electron recoils (ER), deposit energy in the material predominantly via heat. Additionally, the ionization-to-scintillation ratio of NRs is characteristically reduced with respect to that of ERs. This is the basis for discrimination between NR (from neutrons and the potential WIMP-nucleon interactions) and ER (from background radioactivity) via the ratio of S2 to S1 versus S1. A detailed overview of this phenomenon, a review of measured data and a model of the underlying physics can be found in Ref. [3, 7]. Background rejection with this technique has been shown for LUX to be ~ 99.6 % in the energy range of interest for WIMP signal search.

The LUX detector is 59 cm in height and 49 cm in diameter, lined with polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE), which has a very high light reflectivity in liquid xenon of greater than 95%. Together with the PMT's average photon detection efficiency of about 30%, the reflectivity of the stainless steel grid wires and a finite photon absorption length, this yields to a measured photon detection efficiency for events at the center of LUX of 14% [8]. This large photon detection efficiency, corresponding to 8.8 phe/keV for a 122 keV gamma at zero-field, allows LUX to be sensitive to WIMP masses above 6 GeV/ c^2 .

3. First LUX Dark Matter search results

Starting in April 2013, a total of 85.3 live-days of WIMP search data were taken. The mean background event rate was measured to be 3.6 ± 0.3 mDRU (mDRU = 10^{-3} counts/keV/kg/day) inside the fiducial volume in the energy range of interest (2-30 phe S1 signals), the lowest rate achieved by any xenon TPC so far. Most of those background events are created by residual radioactiv-

Figure 1: *Left:* LUX detector response (in $\log_{10}(S2/S1)$ vs $S1$) in the fiducial volume to calibrations using a tritium internal source (panel *a*) and AmBe and ^{252}Cf external sources (panel *b*), as presented in Ref. [4]. The solid lines show the ER mean (blue) and NR mean (red) obtained from simulations together with $\pm 1.28\sigma$ contours (dashed lines). The 200 phe analysis threshold for S2 signals is shown in both panels as a dashed-dotted line (magenta). Grey contours indicate constant energies in keV_{ee} and keV_{nr} respectively. *Right:* The LUX WIMP search data from the 85.3 live-days within the fiducial volume passing all cuts are shown, as presented in Ref. [4]. The shaded region indicates the used analysis region from 2-30 phe in $S1$. The same parameterization of the mean ER and NR bands as on the left are also shown. Please refer to the online-version for color figures.

ity of the detector material, primarily the PMTs. Another source of background,
intrinsic to our xenon stock, is residual ^{85}Kr , a beta emitter at $E_{\text{max}} = 687 \text{ keV}$,
 $T_{1/2} = 10.6 \text{ yr}$). LUX achieved a measured concentration of $3.5 \pm 1 \text{ ppt Kr}$ be-
fore the start of the run by using chromatographic separation of xenon and
krypton off-site [4]. During detector operation, an automatic in-line xenon
sampling system allows to monitor the Kr level in-situ over time [19]. Further
backgrounds are generated from ^{214}Pb within the ^{222}Rn chain and cosmogeni-
cally produced radioisotopes within the xenon itself, namely x-rays from ^{127}Xe
and $^{131\text{m}}\text{Xe}$, which decay throughout the data run ($T_{1/2}^{127}\text{Xe} = 36.4 \text{ days}$, and
 $T_{1/2}^{131\text{m}}\text{Xe} = 11.9 \text{ days}$ respectively). A detailed study of the radiogenic back-

Figure 2: *Left:* The LUX 90% C.L. on the spin-independent WIMP-nucleon cross section (solid blue) and a projected limit of the upcoming 300 live-days run (dashed blue). The shaded region indicates $\pm 1\sigma$ variation from repeated trials, where trials fluctuating below the expected number of background events are forced from zero to 2.3 (blue shaded). Also shown are results from XENON-100 [9, 10], ZEPLIN-III [11], CDMS-II [12] and Edelweiss-II [13]. *Right:* Close-up view at lower WIMP masses together with regions measured by other experiments, e.g. CoGeNT [14] (red), CDMS-II Si [15] (green and 'x'), CRESST-II [16] (yellow) and DAMA/LIBRA [17, 18] (grey). Limits been calculated assuming an artificial cut-off of light yield for nuclear recoils below 3 keV_{nr}, despite evidence of signals down to 0.7 keV_{nr}. See text for details. Please refer to the online-version for color figures.

79 grounds in LUX and comparison to simulations can be found in Ref.[20].
80 The LUX detector was calibrated extensively using internal and external sources.
81 The low-energy ER calibration was performed using a novel technique in which
82 tritiated methane is injected into the xenon circulation system and subsequently
83 removed by the purification system using a hot getter. This allowed a high statis-
84 tic, homogenous distribution of low energy depositions from β^- events within
85 the liquid xenon ($E_{\text{max}}^{\text{H}_3} = 18.6$ keV). The detector response to these ER events,
86 in terms of S1 and S2 signals, are shown in the top left panel of Fig. 1. More
87 frequent calibrations, to monitor the electron drift attenuation length, the light
88 yield and to establish 3D position reconstruction corrections, were performed
89 using $^{83\text{m}}\text{Kr}$ with mono-energetic energy depositions at 9.4 keV and 32.1 keV.
90 For NR, external AmBe and ^{252}Cf sources were used for calibration. The equiv-

91 alent detector response to NR is shown in the lower left panel of Fig. 1. Also
 92 shown in Fig. 1 are the mean and $\pm 1.28 \sigma$ ER and NR band parameterizations
 93 derived from the comprehensive NEST simulation model [7].
 94 An unblind analysis with only minimal cuts on the WIMP search data was per-
 95 formed to maintain a high acceptance. Besides detector stability cuts, including
 96 xenon pressure, applied voltage and liquid level, only single scatter interactions
 97 with one S1 and one S2 in the liquid xenon volume were considered. Energy cuts
 98 for the 3D position corrected S1 signal were done by the pulse area (2-30 phe),
 99 corresponding to energies of 3-25 keV_{nr} or 0.9-5.3 keV_{ee} using traditional energy
 100 estimators as described in Ref. [21] for nuclear and electron recoils respectively.
 101 Despite the low NR scintillation light yield assumed (a conservative and un-
 102 physical cutoff at 3 keV_{nr} was assumed), LUX achieved a very good WIMP
 103 detection efficiency (roughly 17% at 3 keV_{nr}, 50% at 4.3 keV_{nr} and greater than
 104 95% above 7.5 keV_{nr}). The fiducial volume was set to the inner 18 cm radius
 105 and approx. 40 cm height (electron drift lengths between 38-305 μ s) and calcu-
 106 lated to be 118.3 ± 6.5 kg. Last, an analysis threshold to exclude very small S2
 107 signals was set to 200 phe (corresponding to approx. 8 extracted electrons). For
 108 more details on threshold and efficiency studies, as well as the fiducial volume
 109 selection, please refer to Ref. [22].
 110 A total of 160 events passed the selection cuts, as shown within the shaded area
 111 in the right panel in Fig. 1. The distribution of events is consistent with the
 112 expected ER background in both the $\log_{10}(S2/S1)$ and position within the vol-
 113 ume. A profile likelihood ratio (PLR) analysis was used to assign a probability
 114 as a function of S1, S2 and the r-z position of these events, and compared with
 115 the distributions of backgrounds in these variables, as well as simulated distri-
 116 butions of a recoil spectrum for WIMP masses³ from 5.5 to 5000 GeV/c². The
 117 resulting 90% C.L. upper limits of the PLR analysis on the spin-independent
 118 WIMP-nucleon interaction cross-sections are shown in Fig. 2, with a close-up

³A standard isothermal Maxwellian velocity distribution model is used for the dark matter
 halo, with $v_0 = 220$ km/s, $v_{esc} = 544$ km/s, $\rho_0 = 0.3$ GeV/cm³ and $v_\odot = 245$ km/s.

119 view on low WIMP masses (below ~ 15 GeV) on the right panel. These limits
 120 show significant improvement to previous presented Dark Matter search exper-
 121 iments (see figure caption for details) and are also in tension with experiments
 122 observing potential low-mass WIMP events. The presented limit is lower than
 123 past xenon-based experiments, especially for low WIMP masses, despite an as-
 124 sumed cut-off of light yield for nuclear recoils. This is a result of a high S1
 125 light collection efficiency, lower S1 threshold and a comparable S2 threshold.
 126 Additionally, the sensitivity for lower mass WIMPs is due to those potential
 127 events appearing lower in the $\log_{10}(S2/S1) - S1$ plane. The expectation value
 128 for the S1 signal is below the threshold and detection would be exclusively due
 129 to upwards fluctuations in S1. As a result, the event would appear further from
 130 the ER band, leading to high sensitivity even with falling detection efficiency
 131 for low energy recoils.

132 **4. Conclusions and outlook**

133 The LUX WIMP exclusion limit was derived using a conservative approach
 134 on xenon response to NR at low energies. But due to its large exposure (85.3
 135 days with 118 kg), as well as a very low threshold and high light collection ef-
 136 ficiency, the LUX experiment has achieved the most sensitive spin-independent
 137 WIMP exclusion limits to date over a wide range of WIMP masses. The ex-
 138 periment did not observe any potential low-mass WIMP signal as suggested in
 139 other experiments, e.g. DAMA [17, 18], CoGeNT [14], CRESST [16] and CDMS
 140 Si [15]. LUX will continue taking data, starting 2014, with the goal of 300 live
 141 days to further improve the sensitivity by a factor of 5. It will also benefit, es-
 142 pecially towards low-mass WIMP recoil spectra, from new measurements of the
 143 xenon response to nuclear recoils using a DD generator. Hereby, mono-energetic
 144 neutrons are directed to the detector from outside the water shield. The nuclear
 145 recoil energy is determined by reconstructing multiple scatter events within the
 146 active region of the detector. Signals for the ionization and scintillation chan-
 147 nel are available down to 0.7 keV_{nr} [23]. The inclusion of a low energy recoil

148 scintillation yield, directly translates to a lower WIMP-mass sensitivity of the
 149 LUX detector, although with limited efficiency. A preliminary analysis results
 150 in a change of the slope of the set limit towards lower WIMP-masses and fur-
 151 ther strengthens the validity of this work using a conservative artificial cut-off
 152 at $3 \text{ keV}_{\text{nr}}$ and increases the conflict with experiments observing events in this
 153 mass range. Designs and plans for a next generation experiment, called LUX-
 154 ZEPLIN (or short LZ), are already in place. The projected improvement in
 155 exposure will be up to a factor of ~ 200 , whilst also lowering the background
 156 rate, to achieve a WIMP-nucleon cross section sensitivity of $\sim 2.2 \times 10^{-48} \text{ cm}^2$
 157 (at $M_{\text{WIMP}} = 50 \text{ GeV}/c^2$).

158 **5. Acknowledgments**

159 This work was partially supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)
 160 under award numbers DE-FG02-08ER41549, DE-FG02-91ER40688, DE-FG02-
 161 95ER40917, DE-FG02-91ER40674, DE-NA0000979, DE-FG02-11ER41738, DE-
 162 SC0006605, DE-AC02-05CH11231, DE-AC52-07NA27344, and DE-FG01-91ER40618;
 163 the U.S. National Science Foundation under award numbers PHYS-0750671,
 164 PHY-0801536, PHY-1004661, PHY-1102470, PHY-1003660, PHY-1312561, PHY-
 165 1347449; the Research Corporation grant RA0350; the Center for Ultra-low
 166 Background Experiments in the Dakotas (CUBED); and the South Dakota
 167 School of Mines and Technology (SDSMT). LIP-Coimbra acknowledges funding
 168 from Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT) through the project-grant
 169 CERN/FP/123610/2011. Imperial College and Brown University thank the
 170 UK Royal Society for travel funds under the International Exchange Scheme
 171 (IE120804). The UK groups acknowledge institutional support from Imperial
 172 College London, University College London and Edinburgh University, and from
 173 the Science & Technology Facilities Council for PhD studentship ST/K502042/1
 174 (AB). The University of Edinburgh is a charitable body, registered in Scotland,
 175 with registration number SC005336. This research was conducted using compu-
 176 tational resources and services at the Center for Computation and Visualization,

177 Brown University.

178 We acknowledge the work of the following engineers who played important roles
179 during the design, construction, commissioning, and operation phases of LUX:
180 S. Dardin from Berkeley, B. Holbrook, R. Gerhard, and J. Thomson from UC
181 Davis, and G. Mok, J. Bauer, and D. Carr from Livermore. We gratefully
182 acknowledge the logistical and technical support and the access to laboratory
183 infrastructure provided to us by the Sanford Underground Research Facility
184 (SURF) and its personnel at Lead, South Dakota. SURF was developed by
185 the South Dakota Science and Technology authority, with an important philan-
186 thropic donation from T. Denny Sanford, and is operated by Lawrence Berke-
187 ley National Laboratory for the Department of Energy, Office of High Energy
188 Physics.

189 **References**

190 **References**

- 191 [1] J. Beringer, et al., Review of Particle Physics, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012)
192 010001.
- 193 [2] V. Chepel, et al., Liquid noble gas detectors for low energy particle physics,
194 JINST 8 (0) (2013) 4001.
- 195 [3] E. Aprile, et al., Liquid xenon detectors for particle physics and astro-
196 physics, Reviews of Modern Physics 82 (2010) 2053.
- 197 [4] D. S. Akerib, et al., First Results from the LUX Dark Matter Experiment
198 at the Sanford Underground Research Facility, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112 (9)
199 (2014) 091303.
- 200 [5] D. S. Akerib, et al., An ultra-low background PMT for liquid xenon detec-
201 tors, Nucl. Instr. Meth. 703 (2013) 1–6.
- 202 [6] D. S. Akerib, et al., The Large Underground Xenon (LUX) experiment,
203 Nucl. Instr. Meth. 704 (2013) 111–126.

- [7] M. Szydagis, et al., Enhancement of NEST capabilities for simulating low-energy recoils in liquid xenon, *JINST* 8 (1).
- [8] D. S. Akerib, et al., Technical results from the surface run of the LUX dark matter experiment, *Astropart. Phys.* 45 (2013) 34–43.
- [9] E. Aprile, et al., First Dark Matter Results from the XENON100 Experiment, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 105 (2010) 131302.
- [10] E. Aprile, et al., Dark Matter Results from 225 Live Days of XENON100 Data, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 109 (18) (2012) 181301.
- [11] D. Y. Akimov, et al., WIMP-nucleon cross-section results from the second science run of ZEPLIN-III, *Phys. Lett. B* 709 (1–2) (2012) 14–20.
- [12] Z. Ahmed, et al., Dark Matter Search Results from the CDMS II Experiment, *Science* 327 (5973) (2010) 1619–1621.
- [13] E. Armengaud, et al., Final results of the EDELWEISS-II WIMP search using a 4-kg array of cryogenic germanium detectors with interleaved electrodes, *Phys. Lett. B* 702 (5) (2011) 329–335.
- [14] C. E. Aalseth, et al., CoGeNT: A search for low-mass dark matter using p-type point contact germanium detectors, *Phys. Rev. D* 88 (1) (2013) 012002.
- [15] R. Agnese, et al., Silicon Detector Dark Matter Results from the Final Exposure of CDMS II, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 111 (25) (2013) 251301.
- [16] G. Angloher, et al., Results from 730 kg days of the CRESST-II Dark Matter search, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 72 (4).
- [17] R. Bernabei, et al., First results from DAMA/LIBRA and the combined results with DAMA/NaI, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 56 (3) (2008) 333–355.
- [18] C. Savage, et al., Compatibility of DAMA/LIBRA dark matter detection with other searches, *J. Cosm. Astrop. Phys.* 04 (4).

- 230 [19] D. Leonard, et al., A simple high-sensitivity technique for purity analysis
231 of xenon gas, Nucl. Instr. Meth. 621 (1-3) (2010) 678–684.
- 232 [20] D. S. Akerib, et al., Radiogenic and Muon-Induced Backgrounds in the
233 LUX Dark Matter Detector, arXiv:1403.1299v1.
- 234 [21] C. E. Dahl, The physics of background discrimination in liquid xenon, and
235 first results from Xenon10 in the hunt for WIMP dark matter, Ph.D. thesis,
236 Princeton University (2009).
- 237 [22] M. Szydagis, et al., A Detailed Look at the First Results from the Large
238 Underground Xenon (LUX) Dark Matter Experiment, arXiv:1402.
239 3731.
- 240 [23] J. R. Verbus, Recent Results from the LUX Dark Matter Search, in: Lake
241 Louise Winter Institute 2014, 16-22 February 2014, 2014.