1 Transverse wakefields due to asymmetric protrusions 2 into a vacuum chamber

3 Gennady Stupakov, Demin Zhou

4 Abstract

5 We analyze the effect of a wakefield caused by an asymmetric protrusion in-
6 side the accelerator vacuum chamber. The asymmetry leads to a transverse
7 kick on the beam and an increase of the projected transverse beam emittance.
8 Calculations are done for a model rectangular protrusion in a vacuum chamber
9 of rectangular cross section. Based on our analysis, numerical estimates are
10 given for the SuperKEKB accelerator in KEK, Japan, and TLEP-W proposal
11 at CERN.

12 Traditionally wakefield calculations are focussed on elements of the vacuum
13 chamber which have a certain degree of symmetry such as an axisymmetry,
14 top-down or right-left symmetry, etc. Such elements have a property that the
15 transverse wakefield vanishes if the beam propagates along the symmetry axis
16 of the system ¹. The wakefields appear only when the beam is offset from the
17 axis, and result in a deflection of the beam which is proportional to the offset.
18 They are the source of transverse instabilities in the beam.

19 In this paper we attract attention to another type of wakefield that is caused
20 by asymmetric protrusions inside of a vacuum chamber. The protrusions can be
21 parts of elements of the vacuum chamber such as masks, bellows, beam position
22 monitors, etc. The asymmetry leads to a transverse kick *even if the beam is*
23 *not offset from the axis of the machine*. It does not lead to a beam instability,
24 but results in an increase in projected transverse beam emittance. Given that

¹We are not considering here a so-called quadrupole wake in non-axisymmetric systems that leads to the differential focusing of the beam even when it propagates on the axis.

in modern electron and positron rings the vertical emittance is extremely small, such protrusions can set a limit on the minimally achievable transverse emittance in a given accelerator and lead to a decrease in luminosity of a collider, or a deterioration of the transverse coherence properties in a ring light source.

An effect similar to the one discussed in this paper was earlier studied in Ref. [1], where it was assumed that the transverse kick was due to an offset of the beam orbit from the nominal position. In principle such a distortion can be cured by the proper orbit correction, while the effect described in this paper is not sensitive to a shift in orbit.

The strength of the transverse kick depends on the geometry of the protrusion and the parameters of the beam. In this paper, which is aimed at demonstrating the basic effect, we choose the simple model of a rectangular protrusion in a rectangular vacuum chamber. Even for such a relatively simple geometry the calculation of the wakefield, in general, is too difficult for an analytical treatment, and requires a 3D computer simulation. Only in the limit when the bunch length is sufficiently small can one use the optical model developed in Refs. [2, 3] to calculate the wakefield analytically.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section I we specify the geometry of the protrusion and discuss the effect of its wakefield on the effective beam emittance. In section II we present the results of the calculations using the optical model, with the details of the calculations given in Appendix A. In section III we present the results of computer simulations with GdfidL [4] and estimate the effect for parameters of SuperKEKB. In section IV we give an estimate for TLEP-W accelerator. We conclude this paper by section V with a summary of our results.

1. Effect of transverse wake on beam emittance

We first consider a general case where there are localized impedance sources at several locations in the ring. Source i is located at position s_i and generates a transverse (vertical) wake $W_y^{(i)}(z)$, where z is the longitudinal coordinate in the bunch with positive z corresponding to the head of the bunch. We can calculate

the vertical deflection angle of the beam due to source i as

$$\theta^{(i)}(z) = \frac{eQW_y^{(i)}(z)}{\gamma mc^2}, \quad (1)$$

where Q is the bunch charge and γmc^2 is the beam energy. In a ring with a given vertical function $\beta(s)$, where s is the coordinate along the ring circumference, a localized kick (1) would lead to an orbit distortion, in which each slice of the bunch executes a different betatron oscillation. This trajectory of a slice at coordinate z is given by the standard formula for orbit distortion in a ring (see, e.g., [5])

$$\Delta y(s, z) = \frac{\sqrt{\beta(s)}}{2 \sin(\pi\nu)} \sum_i \theta^{(i)}(z) \sqrt{\beta(s_i)} \cos(\psi(s) - \psi(s_i) - \pi\nu), \quad (2)$$

where $\psi(s)$ is the betatron phase, ν is the vertical tune, and the summation goes over all impedance sources. Correspondingly, there is a z -dependent slope $\Delta y'(s, z)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta y'(s, z) &= \frac{\beta'(s)}{4\sqrt{\beta(s)} \sin(\pi\nu)} \sum_i \theta^{(i)}(z) \sqrt{\beta(s_i)} \cos(\psi(s) - \psi(s_i) - \pi\nu) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\beta(s)} \sin(\pi\nu)} \sum_i \theta^{(i)}(z) \sqrt{\beta(s_i)} \sin(\psi(s) - \psi(s_i) - \pi\nu). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Due to this distortion of the beam shape, its emittance increases by some amount $\Delta\epsilon$. Assuming that the increase is small, $\Delta\epsilon$ is given by the following formula

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\epsilon &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1 + \alpha^2}{\beta} \langle (\Delta y - \langle \Delta y \rangle)^2 \rangle + \beta \langle (\Delta y' - \langle \Delta y' \rangle)^2 \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\alpha \langle (\Delta y - \langle \Delta y \rangle)(\Delta y' - \langle \Delta y' \rangle) \rangle \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

51 where the angular brackets indicate averaging with the longitudinal distribution
52 function of the beam and $\alpha(s) = -\beta'(s)/2$.

Eqs. (2) to (4) can be used for practical calculations but are too complicated for general analysis of the magnitude of the effect. In what follows, we consider a simple case of a single localized source of impedance $W_y(z)$ located at coordinate s_0 where $d\beta/ds|_{s=s_0} = 0$. Eq. (4) then simplifies to

$$\Delta\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{\beta_0} \langle (\Delta y_b - \langle \Delta y_b \rangle)^2 \rangle + \beta_0 \langle (\Delta y'_b - \langle \Delta y'_b \rangle)^2 \rangle \right], \quad (5)$$

where $\beta_0 = \beta(s_0)$ and $\Delta y_b(z) = \Delta y(s_0, z)$ and $\Delta y'_b(z) = d\Delta y(s, z)/ds|_{s=s_0}$. Using $d\beta/ds|_{s=s_0} = 0$ from (2) and (3) we obtain

$$\Delta y_b(z) = \frac{\theta(z)}{2} \beta(s_0) \cot(\pi\nu), \quad \Delta y'_b(z) = \frac{\theta(z)}{2}. \quad (6)$$

Substituting (6) into (5) we obtain

$$\Delta\epsilon = \frac{1}{8} \beta_0 \theta_{\text{rms}}^2 \csc(\pi\nu)^2, \quad (7)$$

where the rms angular spread of θ is given by

$$\theta_{\text{rms}} = \frac{e}{\gamma mc^2} \langle (W_y - \langle W_y \rangle)^2 \rangle^{1/2}. \quad (8)$$

53 In the next section we will show how the impedance of a rectangular protrusion
 54 can be calculated using the optical model and apply this impedance to evaluating
 55 the projected beam emittance increase.

56 2. Geometry of protrusion and transverse wakefields in optical model

57 We consider a vacuum chamber with rectangular cross section $a \times b$ and the
 58 reference orbit of the beam located at the center of the chamber, at $x = a/2$,
 59 $y = b/2$. A rectangular protrusion in the pipe occupies the region $b - h < y < b$
 and $|z| < w/2$ with $h < b/2$.

Figure 1: Geometry of a rectangular vacuum chamber with an asymmetric protrusion. The design orbit goes through the center of the $a \times b$ cross section.

60

For very short bunches one can use the optical model to calculate the wake-field of the beam. The transverse impedance Z_y according to this model applied to the geometry of Fig. 1 is calculated in Appendix A and is given by Eq. (A.9).

According to the optical model $Z_y \propto 1/\omega$, so that the product ωZ_y is independent of frequency. In this case the transverse wake of the bunch can be expressed through the transverse impedance of a point charge as follows:

$$W_y(z) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Z_y(\omega) \tilde{\lambda}_z(\omega) e^{-i\omega z/c} d\omega = (\omega Z_y) \int_z^{\infty} \lambda_z(z') dz' , \quad (9)$$

where $\tilde{\lambda}_z(\omega)$ is the Fourier transform of the longitudinal distribution $\lambda_z(z)$ ($\lambda_z(z)$ is normalized so that $\int \lambda_z(z) dz = 1$). Using (9) and assuming a Gaussian distribution function $\lambda_z(z)$ it is easy to find

$$\theta_{\text{rms}} = \frac{Qe(\omega Z_y)}{\sqrt{12}\gamma mc^2}. \quad (10)$$

As is pointed out in Appendix A, for small values of h one can use the following formula for (ωZ_y)

$$\omega Z_y \approx \frac{8\pi^2 h}{a^2} F\left(\frac{b}{a}\right), \quad (11)$$

61 with the function F given by Eq. (A.11). Eqs. (10) and (11) allow one to evaluate
 62 the strength of the transverse kick for a given size of protrusion and to estimate
 63 the emittance increase of the beam. In the next section we will compare the
 64 wakefield predicted by the optical model with that obtained numerically using
 65 the 3D time domain code GdfidL and finally estimate the emittance growth for
 66 the parameters of the SuperKEKB collider.

67 3. SuperKEKB Low Energy Ring

68 In this section we will estimate the effect of a single protrusion on the beam
 69 emittance for the parameters of the low-energy ring (LER) of SuperKEKB [6].
 70 The parameters of the ring are shown in Table 1.

71 As was mentioned above, over most of the circumference, the LER has a
 72 vacuum chamber which can be approximated by a rectangular cross-section with
 73 $a = 10$ cm and $b = 5$ cm. With an rms bunch length of 6 mm, one cannot know in
 74 advance whether the optical model is applicable for this case. We supplemented
 75 the optical model calculations with simulations using the computer code GdfidL

Table 1: Parameters of SuperKEKB LER.

Parameter	LER
Electron energy (GeV)	4
Bunch charge (nC)	14.5
Circumference (m)	3016
Vertical emittance (pm)	8.6
Bunch length, rms (mm)	6
Vertical tune, ν_y	44.57

Figure 2: Vertical wake (left) and impedance (right) of a 0.5 mm bunch with $h = 1$ cm protrusion. On the right plot the blue line is $\text{Re } Z$, the red line is $\text{Im } Z$ and the dashed black line is the real part of the impedance calculated using Eq. (A.10) (the imaginary part in the optical model is zero).

for a protrusion length $w = 1$ cm and three different depths $h = 1, 0.5$ and 0.25 cm. The vertical wake $w_y(z)$ was calculated for $\sigma_z = 0.5$ mm bunch passing through the center of the vacuum chamber. This wake was then used as a pseudo-Green function for the calculation of the wakes of longer bunches by convolution. The plot of the function $w_y(z)$ and the corresponding impedance are shown in Fig. 2. One can see that at high frequencies $f \gtrsim 20$ GHz the impedance indeed follows the optical model scaling $Z(\omega) \propto 1/\omega$, while at lower frequencies it deviates from the scaling.

The wake of a 6 mm bunch calculated with the help of the pseudo-Green function of Fig. 2 is shown in Fig. 3 for three different values of h of the protrusion. One can see that for the biggest protrusion with $h = 1$ cm the optical model reasonably well approximate the wake over a large part of the beam,

Figure 3: Wakefield of a bunch with $\sigma_z = 6$ mm for three values of the protrusion depth h : 1 cm (blue), 0.5 cm (magenta) and 0.25 cm (blue). The dashed lines of the same color show the corresponding wakes computed with the optical model. The red dashed line shows the profile of the beam.

while for smaller protrusions it overestimates the wake.

Using the wakes shown in Fig. 3 we calculated the value of θ_{rms} for each of the three cases. The corresponding emittance growth $\Delta\epsilon$ was found using (7) assuming the beta function at the location of the protrusion $\beta_0 = 20$ m and taking the fractional tune $[\nu_y] = 0.57$ from Table 1. The results are shown in Table 2. One can see from the table that a single 1 cm protrusion increases the

Table 2: Emittance increase in LER of SUPERKEKB

Corrugation depth h (cm)	1	0.5	0.25
θ_{rms} (nrad)	290	77	20
$\Delta\epsilon$ (pm)	0.21	0.016	0.001

nominal vertical emittance of 8.6 pm by a considerable fraction. Decreasing the depth h makes $\Delta\epsilon$ much smaller, however, if there are many such protrusions in the ring, their cumulative effect can still be noticeable.

4. TLEP-W accelerator

In this section we consider an example of TLEP-W machine considered in Ref. [7] with the parameters given in Table 3.

Table 3: Parameters of TLEP-W.

Parameter	TLEP-W
Electron energy (GeV)	80
Bunch charge (nC)	107
Circumference (km)	80
Vertical emittance (pm)	20
Bunch length, rms (mm)	2.2

100 Performing the convolution with the wakefield of the 0.5 mm bunch from
101 the previous section we obtain the wake for a bunch of length of 2 mm. The
plots of the wakefields are shown in Fig. 4. Again, the largest protrusion shows

Figure 4: Wakefield of a bunch with rms length of 2 mm for three values of protrusion depth h : 1 cm (blue), 0.5 cm (magenta) and 0.25 cm (blue). The dashed lines of the same color show the corresponding wakes computed with the optical model. The red dashed line gives the profile of the beam.

102
103 a satisfactory agreement with the optical model.

104 Using the wake shown in Fig. 3 we calculated the value of θ_{rms} for each of
105 the three cases. The corresponding emittance growth $\Delta\epsilon$ was found using (7),
106 assuming the beta function at the location of the protrusion $\beta_0 = 20$ m and
107 taking $\csc(\pi\nu) = 1$. The results are shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Emittance increase in FCC

Corrugation depth h (cm)	1	0.5	0.25
θ_{rms} (nrad)	146	53	18
$\Delta\epsilon$ (pm)	0.054	0.007	0.0008

108 5. Conclusions

109 In this paper we demonstrated how a wakefield of an asymmetric protrusion
110 in a vacuum chamber can lead to the projected emittance growth of a beam in a
111 circular accelerator. We argued that for short bunches the wakefield of the pro-
112 trusion can be evaluated with the help of the optical model of Refs. [2, 3]. Using
113 a simple model of a rectangular protrusion in a rectangular chamber we calcu-
114 lated the emittance growth in LER of SuperKEKB and TLEP-W for several
115 representative values of the protrusion height. Our results can be used in for-
116 mulation of asymmetry tolerances of the vacuum chamber in future accelerators
117 with record small vertical beam emittances.

118 6. Acknowledgements

119 This work was carried when one of the authors (GS) visited KEK. GS would
120 like to thank K. Ohmi for support and hospitality during the visit. We are grate-
121 ful to K. Bane for critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported
122 by the Department of Energy, contract DE-AC03-76SF00515.

123 Appendix A. Calculation of the wakefield in optical model

For short bunches calculation of wakefields and impedances can be carried
out using the so called optical approximation in wakefield theory [2, 3]. In this
model one considers a leading charge q moving inside the vacuum chamber along
an orbit given by $\mathbf{r}_1 = (x_1, y_1)$, with a trailing charge q moving at distance s
behind ($s > 0$) with the trajectory given by $\mathbf{r}_2 = (x_2, y_2)$; both charges are
assumed to move at the speed of light c . The longitudinal wake $w_{\parallel}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, s)$ is

given by

$$w_{\parallel}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, s) = -\frac{c}{q} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{1,z}(\mathbf{r}_2, z = ct - s, t) dt, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where $E_{1,z}(\mathbf{r}, z, t)$ is the z -component of the electric field generated by the leading particle at the position of the trailing one. The longitudinal impedance is related to the wake by the Fourier transform

$$Z_{\parallel}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \omega) = \frac{1}{c} \int_0^{\infty} ds w_{\parallel}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, s) e^{i\omega s/c}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Knowledge of the longitudinal impedance allows one to compute the transverse impedance using the Panofsky-Wenzel theorem. In the general case, the transverse impedance is represented by a vector $\mathbf{Z}_{\perp}$ perpendicular to the particle's orbit, and is given by $\mathbf{Z}_{\perp} = c \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_2} Z_{\parallel} / \omega$. In our problem we are interested in the vertical impedance,

$$Z_y = \frac{c}{\omega} \partial_{y_2} Z_{\parallel}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

The longitudinal wake in the optical approximation is $w_l(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, s) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} I(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \delta(s)$ with

$$I = \int_{S_p} \nabla \phi_1(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \nabla \phi_2(\mathbf{r}) dS, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where S_p is the protrusion aperture, that is the area $0 < x < a$, $b - h < y < b$. The functions ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are solutions of the equations

$$\nabla^2 \phi_1(\mathbf{r}) = -4\pi \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_1), \quad \nabla^2 \phi_2(\mathbf{r}) = -4\pi \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_2), \quad (\text{A.5})$$

- 124 with boundary conditions $\phi_1 = \phi_2 = 0$ on the walls of the vacuum chamber.
 125 The longitudinal impedance corresponding to this wake is $Z_l(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = I/2\pi c$.

Before solving (A.5) we note that Eq. (A.4) can be simplified if we use the identity $\nabla \cdot (\phi_1 \nabla \phi_2) = \nabla \phi_1 \cdot \nabla \phi_2 + \phi_1 \nabla^2 \phi_2$ and notice that $\nabla^2 \phi_2 = 0$ in the area of the diaphragm. We then have

$$I = \int_{S_{\text{coll}}} \nabla \cdot (\phi_1 \nabla \phi_2) dS = \int_{\Gamma} \phi_1 \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi_2 dl, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

126 where the integration is performed over the boundary of the diaphragm and $\mathbf{n}$
 127 is a unit normal vector (in the outward direction) on the boundary. Note that
 128 ϕ_1 is zero on the wall of the pipe, and what is left in just the integral is the edge
 129 of the diaphragm $0 < x < a$, $y = b - h$.

The functions ϕ in (A.5) are given by the solution of a 2D electrostatic problem $\Delta\phi = -4\pi\delta(x - x_0)\delta(y - y_0)$ with $\phi = 0$ on the wall of a pipe with rectangular cross section $0 \leq x \leq a$, $0 \leq y \leq b$. The solution can be found in [8]

$$\begin{aligned}\phi &= 8 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k \sinh \frac{k\pi b}{a}} \sinh \frac{k\pi(b-y_0)}{a} \sinh \frac{k\pi y}{a} \sin \frac{k\pi x_0}{a} \sin \frac{k\pi x}{a}, \text{ for } y < y_0 \\ \phi &= 8 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k \sinh \frac{k\pi b}{a}} \sinh \frac{k\pi(b-y)}{a} \sinh \frac{k\pi y_0}{a} \sin \frac{k\pi x_0}{a} \sin \frac{k\pi x}{a}, \text{ for } y > y_0.\end{aligned}\tag{A.7}$$

The potential ϕ_1 is given by (A.7) with $x_0 \rightarrow x_1$ and $y_0 \rightarrow y_1$, and for ϕ_2 we need to replace x_0 by x_2 and y_0 by y_2 . Vector $\mathbf{n}$ in (A.6) is directed along the negative direction y , so $\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi_2 = -\partial_y \phi_2$. Because the integration in (A.6) goes along the line $y = b - h$ with $y > y_1, y_2$ we use the second line in (A.7) for the potential. Integration over x goes from 0 to a and in the final result we set $x_1 = x_2 = a/2$ and $b - y = h$, yielding

$$I = 32\pi \sum_{k=\text{odd}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(\sinh \frac{k\pi b}{a})^2} \sinh \frac{k\pi h}{a} \sinh \frac{k\pi y_1}{a} \cosh \frac{k\pi h}{a} \sinh \frac{k\pi y_2}{a}.\tag{A.8}$$

We can now calculate the product of the frequency with the transverse impedance, ωZ_y , using (A.8)

$$\omega Z_y = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\partial I}{\partial y_2} \Big|_{y_1=y_2=b/2} = \frac{4\pi}{a} \sum_{k=\text{odd}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sinh \frac{k\pi b}{a}} \sinh \frac{2k\pi h}{a}.\tag{A.9}$$

For small values of h one can use the Taylor expansion to obtain

$$\omega Z_y \approx \frac{8\pi^2 h}{a^2} F\left(\frac{b}{a}\right),\tag{A.10}$$

with

$$F(\xi) = \sum_{k=\text{odd}}^{\infty} \frac{k}{\sinh k\pi\xi}.\tag{A.11}$$

130 **References**

- 131 [1] D. Zhou, K. Ohmi, and A. Chao, in *Proceedings of the 2nd International*
132 *Particle Accelerator Conference*, San Sebastián, Spain (2011), p. 601.
- 133 [2] G. Stupakov, K. Bane, and I. Zagorodnov, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams **10**,
134 054401 (2007).
- 135 [3] K. Bane, G. Stupakov, and I. Zagorodnov, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams **10**,
136 074401 (2007).
- 137 [4] The GdfidL Electromagnetic Field simulator, <http://www.gdfidl.de>.
- 138 [5] S. Y. Lee, *Accelerator Physics* (World Scientific, 1999).
- 139 [6] Y. Ohnishi, T. Abe, T. Adachi, K. Akai, Y. Arimoto, K. Ebihara, K. Egawa,
140 J. Flanagan, H. Fukuma, Y. Funakoshi, *et al.*, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. p.
141 03A011 (2013).
- 142 [7] M. Koratzinos, A. Blondel, R. Aleksan, P. Janot, F. Zimmermann, J. R.
143 Ellis, and M. Zanetti, *TLEP, first step in a long-term vision for HEP* (2013),
144 arXiv 1306.5981.
- 145 [8] P. M. Morse and H. Feshbach, *Methods of theoretical physics* (McGraw-Hill,
146 1953), 2nd ed.