Electrodeposition as an alternate method for preparation of environmental samples for iodide by AMS

M.L. Adamic^{a*}, T.E. Lister^a, E.J. Dufek^a, D.D. Jenson^a,
J.E. Olson^a, C. Vockenhuber^b, M. G. Watrous^a

^a Idaho National Laboratory, P.O. Box 1625, Idaho Falls, ID 83402

^b Laboratory of Ion Beam Physics, ETH Zurich, Otto-Stern-Weg 5, 8093 Zurich Switzerland

Abstract

This paper presents an evaluation of an alternate method for preparing environmental samples for ¹²⁹I analysis by accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) at Idaho National Laboratory. The optimal sample preparation method is characterized by ease of preparation, capability of processing very small quantities of iodide, and ease of loading into a cathode. Electrodeposition of iodide on a silver wire was evaluated using these criteria. This study indicates that the electrochemically-formed silver iodide deposits produce ion currents similar to those from precipitated silver iodide for the same sample mass.

Precipitated silver iodide samples are usually mixed with niobium or silver powder prior to loading in a cathode. Using electrodeposition, the silver is already mixed with the sample and can simply be picked up with tweezers, placed in the sample die, and pressed into a cathode. The major advantage of this method is that the silver wire/electrodeposited silver iodide is much easier to load into a cathode.

Keywords: radioiodine, Accelerator Mass Spectrometry, AMS, electrodeposition, ¹²⁹I-AMS sample preparation, ¹²⁹I

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 208 526 1073; fax: +1 208 526 8541
E-mail address: Mary.Adamic@inl.gov (Mary Adamic)
URL: www.inl.gov

1. Introduction

In most ^{129}I -AMS laboratories, iodine is chemically separated and purified from environmental samples and precipitated as silver iodide. The silver iodide is mixed with niobium or silver metal powder as a binder and medium for electrical conductivity in an AMS source and loaded as a target for the sputter ion source, where it is converted into a beam of negatively charged ions for AMS analysis. Numerous procedures have been reported to produce iodide targets. In most cases, carrier is added during the purification step to increase the total quantity of silver iodide formed during the precipitation step [1, 2]. The use of a large quantity of carrier allows the determination of the ^{129}I concentration in the sample directly without measuring the ^{127}I concentration. In many cases the ^{127}I concentration is of no interest. Our laboratory is interested in both the ^{129}I concentration and 129/127 ratio. Yiou et.al. [3] reported the extraction and AMS measurement of carrier free $^{129/127}\text{I}$ (as iodine) from sea water using silver powder. Problems with these preparation schemes include the desire to routinely run carrier-free samples and to streamline the cathode loading process. Other concerns include ion source memory, and difficulties in determining minute quantities of ^{127}I . This work evaluated an alternate method of forming silver iodide that could process small quantities of iodide, which could then be easily loaded into an AMS cathode.

2. Experiments and results

2.1 Electrodeposition of iodide

Prior to electrodeposition, it is necessary to ensure that iodine (I_2) species in the sample are converted to iodide (I^-) to facilitate the deposition as AgI . Other iodine species will not deposit at the potential used in this study. The chemistry scheme evaluated is described in later sections of this paper (2.4 and 2.5).

A diagram of the experimental setup is shown in Figure 1. The electrochemical cell was a typical three electrode setup which allows potential to be controlled at the working electrode. Fifteen centimeters of 51 μm diameter silver wire (99.95%), mass ~3 mg, [Surepure Chemetals, LLC, product # 2702] was formed into a coiled ball by wrapping around a 1.0 mm rod and used as the working electrode. A Bioanalytical Systems [BAS Inc.] low leakage Ag/AgCl reference electrode and platinum foil counter electrode were used. The counter electrode was platinum foil. A cell, volume 3 mL, was fabricated from poly-tetrafluoro-ethylene (PTFE). The solution was stirred using a PTFE coated magnetic stir bar. A photograph of the electrochemical cell during operation is shown in Figure 2. A Bio-Logic VSP 5-channel potentiostat was used to control the electrodeposition of iodide.

Deposition was performed at constant potential on the coiled Ag wire. A potential of 0.0 V was determined to be optimum for silver iodide formation in dilute sodium hydroxide and tetramethyl ammonium hydroxide (TMAH) solutions as determined by bulk deposition/stripping experiments. These experiments involved performing the deposition at constant potential followed by stripping off the deposit. Stripping was performed by sweeping the potential negative in a blank NaOH solution following deposition. The removal of iodide was observed in a peak starting at -0.3 V. The integrated area of the peak can be related to the amount of iodine deposited using the Faraday constant. This allowed a method to quickly assess the amount deposited. The amount of iodide deposited was generally proportional to the deposition time. The deposition rate decreased as iodide concentration decreased due to mass transport. Typically, a deposition time of 2 hours was used for samples with an expected total iodide of 1 μg and greater. Below 1 μg total iodide, a deposition time of 20 hours was used.

2.2 SEM images of electrodeposited AgI

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) micrographs of electrodeposited silver iodide are shown in Figure 3. The electrodeposited silver iodide uniformly covers the silver wire. The higher-magnification image shows the individual silver iodide crystals. At thinner deposit levels, the coating is mechanically stable and is not removed when the sample is compressed into a cathode for AMS analysis.

2.3 Effect of quantity of iodide on the ratio measured by AMS

A series of known solutions ranging from 0.1 to 50 μg iodide were prepared using a material with a $^{129}\text{I}/^{127}\text{I}$ ratio of 1.855×10^{-10} . Samples were prepared in triplicate at the following levels: 0.1, 0.5, 1, 5, 10, 25 and 50 μg for analysis by the 0.5 MV TANDY AMS facility at the Laboratory of Ion Beam Physics at ETH Zurich, Switzerland. The measurements were performed using a modified MC-SNICS ion source, the accelerator was running at 300 kV terminal voltage and charge state 2+ at the high-energy (HE) spectrometer as describe was selected in as described in [7]. Helium stripping provides high transmission (>50%) through the accelerator and the HE spectrometer with the magnet-ESA-magnet setup reduces effectively interferences from other masses (mainly ^{127}I). Typical AMS iodide ion currents (measured in a Faraday cup after the first HE magnet) for various loads prepared from electrodeposited silver iodide are also summarized in Table I. These ion currents are comparable to those obtained with loads from precipitated silver iodide for the same sample mass. A 1:100 dilution of AgI with silver powder produces a current similar to an electrodeposited 25 μg iodide sample (around 100 nA). The bouncing time for ^{127}I measurement and the parameters of the current integrators are adjusted (longer than the standard ^{127}I settings) to correctly measure these lower currents. Typical background currents from Ag dummy targets are around 1 nA. Small sample masses result in low currents and as the current decreases the measured ratio drops off due to instrument background of the current measurement. There appears to be a break at approximately 10 μg

where there is enough total iodide present in the cathode to produce sufficient ion current that results in the correct $^{129}\text{I}/^{127}\text{I}$ ratio. The “actual” column in this table takes into account the efficiency of the electrodeposition. The efficiency of electrodeposition of iodide on silver wire electrodes was determined by ion chromatography analysis of the deposition solution from which the iodide was deposited and a fresh solution that contained the stripped iodine. Additional “in-house” standards have been analyzed since this initial data set and at the 10-25 μg total iodide level, ~90%+ of the iodide is deposited on the silver wire in 2 hours.

The $^{129}/^{127}\text{I}$ ratios obtained plotted against mass of iodide (in micrograms) are shown in Figure 4. This plot shows that approximately 10 μg is the minimum quantity of iodine necessary to obtain the correct isotopic ratio. Currently our laboratory uses 25 μg of total iodide for each cathode.

2.4 Preparation and analysis of an E-11 standard

Five samples were prepared ($^{129}/^{127}\text{I}$ ratio of 5×10^{-11}) by adding a weighed quantity of sodium iodide solution ($^{129}/^{127}\text{I}$ ratio of $\sim 9 \times 10^{-13}$) to aliquots of National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) 3231 ($^{129}/^{127}\text{I}$ ratio of 1×10^{-8}). To ensure complete isotopic equilibration of the standard iodide and sodium iodide, the aliquots were taken through the redox scheme reported by Glendenin and Metcalf; the step-by-step procedure is reported in the literature [5]. This widely-accepted standard radiochemical procedure uses sodium hypochlorite and hydroxylamine hydrochloride in successive steps to ensure radiochemical isotope exchange with iodine carrier (generally I^-), followed by cyclohexane-aqueous extraction with nitrite and sulfite for separation of iodine. Approximately 25 μg of purified iodine (as iodide) was electrodeposited on 3 mg of silver wire. One sample (#2) was electrodeposited in triplicate to show the reproducibility of the electrodeposition. The raw data obtained is summarized in Table II. The data have an average $^{129}/^{127}\text{I}$ ratio of $5.25 \times 10^{-11} \pm 0.09$ (2 sigma). The quantity of ^{127}I added to the NIST standard was subtracted and the measured ratio recalculated and compared to

the NIST certified value. The data obtained are summarized in Figure 5. The data have an average $^{129/127}\text{I}$ ratio of $0.979 \times 10^{-8} \pm 0.016$ (1 sigma). The majority of the 1σ errors shown in this plot are due to the ICP-MS analysis of ^{127}I . The values obtained agree within 99.7 % of with the certified value of 0.982×10^{-8} .

2.5 Analysis of environmental matrix iodine standards

Soil and sediment standards with certified or consensus ^{129}I data were used to evaluate this alternate method; the standards were International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA)-375 (Chernobyl soil) and NIST-4354 (Lake Sediment). The data obtained are summarized in Table III. One and 5 gram aliquots were leached in 5% TMAH at 90°C for three hours. An aliquot of leachate was added to 0.01 M NaOH electrolyte and the iodide was electrodeposited on silver wire. The AMS ^{129}I data obtained with larger quantities of total iodine agree within 95% with the IAEA-375 certificate and literature values reported for NIST-4354 [6]. The one gram sample of IAEA-375 agrees within 90% of the certificate value. The errors from our measurements appear to be much lower than those reported on the IAEA certificate or in the reference

3. Conclusions

Described is a procedure for the preparation of environmental iodine samples in a form appropriate for AMS analysis. Varying quantities of iodide (0.1 – 50 μg) have been electrodeposited on 3 mg pieces of 51- μm high-purity silver wire. Using this procedure and the 0.5 MV AMS at ETH, we have measured $^{129/127}\text{I}$ ratios on a series of solutions, a diluted NIST 3231 standard, and two environmental standards to produce results that agree favorably with those reported in the literature. This procedure minimizes the potential for cross-contamination when AgI is mixed with silver or niobium metal powder prior to loading a cathode. During electrodeposition, the current is proportional to the quantity of iodide in the cell and provides an

1
2
3 idea of how much iodide is being deposited on the wire. The electrodeposition of iodide to form
4
5 silver iodide results in a material that is much easier to handle and to press into an AMS cathode.
6
7

8 **Acknowledgements**

9
10 This work was supported by DOE IN13-AMS255-PD3TE. We thank Tammy Trowbridge for
11
12 performing the scanning electron microscopy, Duane Ball for performing ICP-MS analysis,
13
14 Gracy Elias and Byron White for performing the ion chromatography analysis.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

List of References

1. P. Sharma, D. Elmore, T. Miller, and S. Vogt, “The ^{129}I AMS program at PRIME Lab” *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B* 123 (1997) 347.
2. W. E. Kieser, X. L. Zhao, C. Y. Soto, and B. Tracy, “Accelerator mass spectrometry of ^{129}I : Technique and applications” *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*, 263, (2005) 375.
3. F. Yiou, G. M. Raisbeck, Z. Q. Zhou, and L. R. Kilius, “ ^{129}I from nuclear reprocessing: potential as an oceanographic tracer” *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B* 92 (1994) 436.
4. F. Yiou, G. Raisbeck, and H. Imbaud, “Extraction and AMS measurement of carrier free $^{129}\text{I}/^{127}\text{I}$ from seawater” *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B* 223–224 (2004) 412.
5. L.E. Glendenin and R.P. Metcalf, in *Comprehensive Handbook of Iodine: Nutritional, Biochemical, Pathological and Therapeutic Aspects*, Victor R. Preedy, Gerard N. Burrow, Ronald Ross Watson, eds., (Burlington, MA: Academic Press, 2009) 175.
6. J. Qiao, V. Hansen, X. Hou, A. Aldahan, and G. Possnert, “Speciation analysis of ^{129}I , ^{137}Cs , ^{232}Th , ^{238}U , ^{239}Pu and ^{240}Pu in environmental soil and sediment” *Applied Radiation and Isotopes* 70 (2012) 1698.
7. C. Vockenhuber, N. Casacuberta, M. Christl, and H.-A. Synal. Accelerator Mass Spectrometry of ^{129}I towards its lower limits. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B*, Feb. 2015, DOI: 10.1016/j.nimb.2015.01.061

Table I. Effect of quantity of iodide on measured 129/127 ratio

Target Iodide (μg)	“Actual” Iodide (μg)	127 ion current (nA)	March 2013 ($\sim 1.85 \times 10^{-10}$) ± 2 sigma	September 2013 ($\sim 1.85 \times 10^{-10}$) ± 2 sigma
Blank (Ag wire)	N/A	0.7	0.53 ± 0.1	0.64 ± 0.06
0.1	--	2.2	0.95 ± 0.06	N/A
0.5	0.27	3.4	2.09 ± 0.12	N/A
1	0.53	27	1.64 ± 0.14	2.44 ± 0.04
5	3.05	95	1.76 ± 0.08	1.86 ± 0.04
10	9.7	83	1.82 ± 0.04	1.88 ± 0.04
25	18	193	1.85 ± 0.04	2.02 ± 0.04
50	32	32	1.85 ± 0.04	N/A

Table II. Analysis of 25 µg I samples made by dilution of NIST 3231

Sample #	129/127 ratio ($\times 10^{-11}$) ± 2 sigma
#1	5.25 ± 0.08
#2-1	5.29 ± 0.10
#2-2	5.28 ± 0.10
#2-3	5.35 ± 0.10
#3	5.31 ± 0.10
#4	5.19 ± 0.10
#5	5.09 ± 0.06

Table III. Environmental standards AMS results

Standard ID	Sample mass (g)	I-129 (Bq/kg)	Our analysis ^c (Bq/kg) ± 2 sigma
IAEA-375 (Chernobyl soil)	~ 1 g	0.0017 ± 0.0004^a	0.0015 ± 0.000054
IAEA-375	~ 5 g	0.0017 ± 0.0004^a	0.0017 ± 0.000052
NIST-4354 (lake sediment)	~ 1 g	0.044 ± 0.006^b	0.047 ± 0.0014

^a IAEA-375 certificate

^b *Reference 6*

^c No correction for losses in processing was made.

List of figure captions

Figure 1. Diagram of experimental setup.

Figure 2. Photograph of electrochemical cell.

Figure 3. Scanning electron microscopy photographs at $200\times$ and $10,000\times$ magnification of iodide electrodeposited on silver wire.

Figure 4. $^{129/127}\text{I}$ ratio vs μg of iodine.

Figure 5. Comparison of our AMS measurement to certificate value.

1

Platinum
counter
electrode

Reference
electrode

Silver wire
Working electrode
with AgI deposit

2

AgI
deposit

3

4

5