**Implementation of a dynamic rooting depth and phenology into a land surface model:
Evaluation of carbon, water, and energy fluxes in high latitude ecosystems**

Authors: Bassil El Masri^{1, 2**}, Shijie Shu¹ and Atul K. Jain^{1*}

¹Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois

*Primary Corresponding Author's Tel no: 2173332128; Email: jain1@illinois.edu

**Secondary Corresponding Author's Tel No. 2173001842, Email: belmasri@illinois.edu

² Currently at the Department of Geosciences, Murray State University, Murray, Kentucky

Abstract

Recent studies and observations have shown that northern high latitude ecosystems (NHLE) are strongly responsive to environmental changes, particularly warming temperature. Ecosystem models are important tools that help us to understand and assess the impact of environmental changes in NHLE. However, models lack processes that are essential for modeling ecosystem dynamics for NHLEs. In this study, NHLE-specific dynamic phenology and dynamic rooting distribution and depth parameterizations was implemented in a land surface model, the Integrated Science Assessment Model (ISAM), to improve the estimated carbon, water, and energy fluxes in the NHLs. These parameterizations account for light, water, and nutrient stresses while allocating the assimilated carbon to leaf, stem, and root pools. The model parameters related to these processes were calibrated and evaluated using measured data from 16 sites (12 flux net sites and 4 non-flux net sites) representative of the dominant NHLEs. By including these dynamic processes, ISAM was able to capture the measured seasonal variability in leaf area index (LAI) and root distribution in the soil layers. The evaluation of the model results suggested that without including the dynamic processes, the modeled growing season length (GSL) in the NHLE was almost two times higher, as compared to measurements. To quantify the implication of these processes on the C, water, and energy fluxes, we compared the results of two different versions of ISAM, a dynamic version that include dynamic processes (ISAM_{DYN}) and a static version that does not include dynamic processes (ISAM_{BC}), with measurements from 12 eddy covariance flux sites. The results showed that ISAM_{DYN}, unlike ISAM_{BC}, was more capable to capture the flux site-based seasonal variability in GPP, water, and energy fluxes. Regional analysis revealed that the growing season length increased on average by about 5 days in the NHLs in the 2000s compared to 1990s.

1. Introduction

The northern high latitude ecosystems (NHLEs) have experienced rapid warming over the recent decades, in response to increased air temperature, and the same trend is expected throughout this century [Hinzman et al., 2005; Serreze et al., 2000]. Some of the major responses of the NHLE to warming include the changes in carbon (C) storage in vegetation and soil, mainly as a result of advancement in the onset of the NHLE growing season [Myneni et al., 1997], shifts in ecosystem composition and abundance, such as higher shrub density [Chapin, et al., 2005; Rogers et al., 2013; Swann et al., 2010; Tape et al., 2006], and latitudinal advances in the tree line [Lloyd et al., 2005]. Given these changes, it is important to understand and quantify the response of the NHLE to changes in C, water, and energy fluxes under climate change.

While continued research on the development of more detailed Earth system models (ESMs) is essential to understand the interactions and feedbacks among vegetation, soil, and climate change in the NHLE, one of the challenges for the Land Surface Models (LSMs), a land component of the ESMs, is the treatment of the exchanges of C, water, and energy between the terrestrial ecosystems and the atmosphere and the impact of these exchanges on climate through a variety of biophysical and biogeochemical pathways over a range of spatial and temporal scales. Several inter-comparison projects have highlighted the differences between LSM simulations and observational data for the C fluxes, in particular ecosystem gross primary productivity (GPP) [Huntzinger et al., 2012; Keenan et al., 2012; Schaefer et al., 2012] and water and energy fluxes, in particular latent and sensible heat fluxes [Jiménez, et al., 2011]. The differences are even larger for the NHLE, because they are complicated to model due to the presence of snow, permafrost, peat lands, N limitation, complex topography, etc.

The structural properties of vegetation, particularly the phenology and rooting depth, are important to study the exchanges of C, water, and energy between the terrestrial biosphere environment of the NHLE and the atmosphere. Additionally, changes in structural properties can also impact the global and regional climate due to their feedbacks with environmental factors, such as atmospheric CO₂ concentration. Modeling the vegetation structural properties is more challenging in the NHLs, because of the scarcity of observational data to evaluate the model performance. Due to these challenges, the current LSMs often simplify the vegetation and SOC structure as a set of fixed parameters, as well as the biogeochemical and biophysical factors. For example, the seasonal evolution of leaf area index (LAI) is often prescribed, and seasonal LAI values are usually assumed constant year after year. A recent synthesis study based on various LSM results highlighted the deficiency in the phenology parameterization schemes, because of the LSMs incapability to simulate the start and the end of the growing seasons, resulting in the overestimation of the annual GPP by about 20%. [Richardson et al., 2012]. Murray-Tortarolo et al. [2013] evaluated LSMs calculated LAI for the NHLEs and found that models overestimated, on average, the growing season length by 22 days mainly due to late dormancy. Another example is the root distribution, which controls transpiration and water uptake, is treated in LSMs as a static component [Arora and Boer, 2003], with a few exceptions [Chen and Coughenour, 1994; Eastman et al., 2001]. Several local scale studies have reported the importance of deep roots for supporting the canopy greenness and higher productivity [Nepstad et al., 1994; Ichii et al., 2007, 2009] and evapotranspiration rates during the dry season [Jipp et al., 1998; Potter et al., 2001; Song et al., 2013]. Other studies experimented using different static root depth in LSMs and concluded that deep roots are required for accurate calculations of the GPP seasonality [Baker et al., 2008, Ichii et al., 2007)]. While most of the previous modeling

studies accounting for deep roots have focused on the tropical evergreen forests, there is also a need to study the impact of deep roots and dynamic roots on GPP and water uptake in the NHLs. It is also important to note that in many LSMs the allocation of assimilated vegetation C to leaves, stems, and roots is based on fixed fraction of assimilated C and can limit the models ability to predict the vegetation response to changes in key environmental variables, such as soil nitrogen (Franklin et al, 2012; Ostle et al., 2009).

Most LSMs also do not properly include a strong coupling between C-N processes with soil/snow hydrology, and energy [McGuire et al., 2006], which is another factor that impacts the vegetation structural properties and SOC in the NHL regions.

Thus, the objective of this study is to implement the dynamic vegetation structure properties in a LSM to understand how these properties are changing due to changes in environmental factors that impact the C, water, and energy fluxes. This study builds upon and extends the application of the dynamic phenology and the rooting depth and distribution in a LSM, the Integrated Science Assessment model (ISAM), by implementing a new dynamic phenology component and dynamic root parameterizations in the NHLs.

The new implemented parameterizations differ in many ways from the other LSMs. For instance, the new parameterization account for the impact of the soil moisture stress on leaf onset, which is not only important in NHLEs, but also is important in subtropical herbaceous ecosystems, because soil moisture demand triggers the growing season. In addition, the timing of the leaf onset and offset are determined by the temperature and day length thresholds, which are particularly important in the temperate and high latitude ecosystems where shorter and colder days induce dormancy. Unlike many other LSMs, the dynamic phenology in ISAM takes into consideration the nutrient availability, particularly N, on the dynamic N allocation to leaf, stem

and roots. Furthermore, the linkage between phenology and plant water uptake are emphasized by highlighting the role of dynamic rooting depth and distribution as an essential scheme in LSMs for a better prediction of the growing season length in the NHLEs. The dynamic rooting depth and distribution allow the plant to grow its roots laterally and horizontally to maximize the access to soil moisture, altering the water stress on plant productivity and improving the model LAI and growing season length estimation.

Using these new schemes, ISAM performance was evaluated through the interactions between vegetation growth and C, water, and energy fluxes with observational data in the NHLEs. Errors in the modeled fluxes were also estimated with and without including dynamic processes. In summary, our objectives were: (1) to calibrate and evaluate the dynamic rooting and the phenology schemes in ISAM; (2) to assess the impacts of these schemes on ISAM estimated LAI and growing season length (GSL); (3) to infer the implications of dynamic vegetation structure on daily and yearly C, water, and energy fluxes in the NHLs.

2. Methods

2.1 Model Description

ISAM is a LSM that simulates the terrestrial C, N, water, and energy cycles at $0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ$ spatial resolution and at multiple temporal resolutions ranging from half hourly to yearly time scales. ISAM soil column consists of ten hydrologically and thermally active layers, five hydrologically inactive layers, and one thermally active bedrock layer that are used to calculate soil temperature and moisture. ISAM biogeochemistry is composed of eight vegetation pools, four litter pools, and four soil organic C pools. Each model grid cell contains at least one of the twenty eight land cover classifications (primary and secondary forests, C3 and C4 croplands,

pasturelands and grasslands, and urban lands), glacier and bare grounds [Meiyappan and Jain, 2012].

ISAM contains comprehensive biogeophysics [Barman, 2014 a and b; Song et al., 2013] and biogeochemical [El-Masri et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2009] components. ISAM computes stomatal conductance and photosynthesis for sun and shaded leaves where LAI is used to scale those variables from the leaf to the canopy level [El-Masri et al., 2013, Barman et al., 2014b]. The stomatal conductance model is a variant of the Ball-Berry stomatal conductance model [Ball et al., 1987; Collatz et al., 1991]. ISAM utilizes C3 photosynthesis model based on Farquhar et al. [1980] as implemented by Collatz et al. [1991], and the C4 photosynthesis model based on Collatz et al. [1992]. In the model the LH and the rate of stomatal conductance, or its inverse, stomatal resistance, is directly linked via the boundary layer resistance of the leaf and the absolute concentration gradient of water vapor from the leaf to the atmosphere. Maintenance respiration is calculated separately for leaves, stem, and root using biome specific maintenance respiration rates and a temperature dependent Q_{10} function [El-Masri et al., 2013]. The growth respiration for each vegetation carbon pool is assumed to be a fixed percentage (25%) of the difference between GPP and maintenance respiration.

The model includes the following snow dynamic processes that are important to the NHLEs: 1) energy exchange between shallow and deep soils, by representing soils up to ~50 meters [e.g., Lawrence et al., 2008], (2) effect of SOC on thermal/hydrological properties [Lawrence and Slater, 2008], (3) wind compaction of snow depth (and density), and (4) depth hoar formation in snow [Anderson, 1976; Barman, 2014 Schaefer et al., 2009]. Notably, the majority of analyzed CMIP5 models did not include these important processes, causing a wide range in simulated

permafrost area, temperature, and C across models [Burke et al., 2012; Koven et al., 2011; Slater and Lawrence, 2013; Todd-Brown et al., 2013].

The biogeochemistry component of ISAM accounts for major N processes, such as nitrification, denitrification, immobilization, leaching, and volatilization [Yang et al., 2009; Jain et al., 2009]. GPP is modified through the N feedback availability on C assimilation, and it is obtained by comparing plant N demand and supply [El-Masri et al., 2013]. The initial [N] in each vegetation pool is calculated based on its C:N ratios as defined in Yang et al. [2009]. Changes in the N content of aboveground and belowground litter and SOM reservoirs are calculated mainly based on Bradbury et al. [1993], Parton et al. [1987], and Moorhead et al. [1999]. The nitrification and denitrification rates in ISAM are calculated based on Bradbury et al. [1993]. The C dynamics for aboveground litter, belowground litter (i.e. dead roots and soil organic C dynamics), and soil pools are based on Parton et al. [1987, 1994], Moorhead et al. [1999], and Jenkinson [1990], taking into account both environmental and biological drivers of decomposition, namely temperature, soil moisture, and quality of aboveground litter. Description of the model litter fall scheme is detailed in El-Masri et al., [2013]. The model estimates litter fall for leaves, stem and roots as a function of temperature, water stress, and turnover rates. The model biome specific turnover rates for leaf, stem and root range from 0.75 year for leaves to 50 years for stem (El-Masri et al., 2013). Most importantly, the changes in C and N stocks in vegetation, litter, and soils, following land cover changes and forest fire, are affected by the changes in NPP, soil respiration, and the effect of changing environmental conditions. In this way, the C-N cycle is fully coupled.

2.2 New phenology and root distribution schemes

The dynamics of the vegetation structure is driven by plant phenology, C allocation, and root distribution. The original version of ISAM (ISAM_{BC}) includes static phenology based on prescribed biome specific LAI, which is derived from MODIS LAI data [Lawrence and Chase, 2007]. Also, the ISAM_{BC} uses static root parameterization with constant root fraction in each soil layer and constant rooting depth. However, the ISAM_{BC} uses a resource limitation based dynamic C allocation scheme [Friedlingstein et al., 1999], which assumes that the C allocated to leaves, stems, and roots are adjusted to minimize adverse effects of limited availability of light, water, and mineral nutrients. The stem C allocation is parameterized based on the pipe model [Shinozaki et al., 1964], which assumes light limitation under high LAI conditions and wood C allocation increases to compete for light (akin to structural support). According to the functional balance hypothesis, when water and nutrient limitations occur, root C allocation increases [Gleeson, 1993]. Allocation to foliage is then calculated as the residual.

2.2.1 Dynamic phenology

The extended version of ISAM (ISAM_{DYN}) accounts for a C-gain-based dynamic phenology and corresponding LAI. For instance, when C assimilation is less than the leaf respiration, LAI will decrease and it can trigger a change in the phenological stage if a decrease in LAI continuous for a period of time. Similarly, when C assimilation is greater than the leaf respiration, LAI will increase and such an increase will trigger a change in the phenological stages. Thus, a change in the (C assimilation – leaf respiration) amount will modify LAI and eventually the phonological stages. This approach is based on the following two assumptions: (1) the leaf onset starts when the environmental conditions are advantageous for the plant to produce leaves and to start carbon assimilation; and (2) the leaf offset starts when the plant experiences

unfavorable environmental conditions resulting in C loss, such as cold temperature, photoperiod (shorter day length), and soil moisture stress. The phenology scheme consists of four stages (Table A1): (1) The leaf onset is triggered when temperature and photoperiod threshold are reached and C is allocated only to the leaves [White et al., 1997]. (2) The normal growth starts when LAI reaches half of the biome specific maximum LAI [Lawrence and Chase, 2007; Tian, et al., 2004; Zeng et al., 2002] and C is allocated to leaves, stems, and roots. (3) The senescence starts when temperature, water stresses, and day length threshold are met and C is allocated to stems and roots [White et al., 1997]. (4) The dormancy starts when temperature and photoperiod threshold are met and C allocation ceases. In grasses and tundra ecosystems, soil water stress threshold was also applied in the leaf onset and offset stages, because water availability was one of the main driving factors that determined the start of the growing seasons in these biomes [Kurc and Benton, 2010].

2.2.2 Dynamic LAI

The dynamic LAI approach is different from others in that LAI seasonality is not dependent on temperature through growing degree days [Richardson et al., 2012], rather it is a function of photosynthesis that is dependent on soil moisture, solar radiation, maximum leaf photosynthetic capacity, and fraction of allocated C to the leaves. Dynamic LAI was estimated using stem leaf area (SLA) and leaf biomass [See Eq. A36 of Song et al., 2013].

2.2.3 Dynamic rooting depth and profile

In the ISAM_{BC} version, root fraction in each soil layer and rooting depth were constant and independent of the plant age or root biomass. The rooting depth and fraction were calculated following the Schenk and Jackson [2002] method, which assumes time invariant soil depth and biome-dependent coefficient (β) to determine the rooting depth (defined as the depth containing

95% of the roots) and distribution. The disadvantage of using the static root is that both young and mature trees are treated with the same rooting depth and biomass fraction and distribution. However, water fluxes should vary with root fractions, which are functions of the plant age. Thus, the assumption for fixed rooting depth and fraction in the ISAM_{BC} limits the roots ability to grow deeper to extract water from deeper soil layers, which leads to the overestimation of water stress and hence the underestimation of plant transpiration.

Therefore, a dynamic rooting depth distribution process was implemented to simulate better the root response to soil water uptake and transpiration; and to improve the litter fall to represent better the water and energy interception by the canopy during leaf senescence. The C allocated to the root was distributed horizontally and vertically, depending upon the available biomass and soil water and nutrient in space and time [Fitter, 1994; Arora and Boer, 2003; Song et al., 2013]. Roots grow vertically and horizontally with increased amount of root biomass under no water stress conditions. However, when water in a root zone is not enough to maintain the plant growth, roots grow more vertically toward deep and moist soil layers by increasing root density in deep soil layers. The root fraction for each soil depth was calculated by dividing the root biomass for the corresponding soil depth by the total root biomass.

3. Model calibration

3.1 Site data and method

The references of various data sets used to calibrate ISAM different processes and corresponding variables, as well as GPP, LH, and SH fluxes are provided in Tables A2 and A3. Measured LAI and data for the boreal evergreen, the boreal deciduous and the tundra sites were acquired from the Ameriflux and Big Foot project websites. Flux tower data for the calibration sites were acquired from the Ameriflux website.

The calibration of the dynamic phenology and the rooting depth and distribution was performed in three steps: (1) monthly measured LAI data were interpolated to daily time step and were used as input data in ISAM to calibrate the model parameters related to C allocation and plant growth for each vegetation C pool (stem, root, and leaf) by comparing simulated and measured leaf, stem and root carbon; (2) the model parameters for the dynamic phenology scheme were calibrated by comparing the model predicted daily LAI with the observed LAI data to minimize the differences between the observed and the modeled LAI (Table A1); and (3) the parameters used for the dynamic root growth and distribution calculation were calibrated by comparing the observed and the calculated root biomass distribution (Table A3).

The model calibration was optimized by using trial and error method. As a result, the calibrated biome-specific parameter values were identified and the minimum absolute difference between the observed and the model values was achieved (Table A3). The optimization for the dynamic rooting depth and distribution was performed for boreal evergreen and deciduous forests (Alberta), tundra (Bar), and grassland (Dickinson) sites. The optimization for the dynamic phenology parameters was performed for boreal evergreen (Nobs), boreal deciduous (Oas), grassland (Let), and tundra (Bar) sites (Table A2). Moreover, the maximum carboxylation rates for different biomes at the reference temperature of 25°C (V_{cmax}) were down regulated based on temperature, water, and nitrogen stresses [Cai and Dang, 2002; Domingues et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2006]. V_{cmax} for various biomes were calibrated so that the final V_{cmax} after accounting for stresses falls in the range of reported values in the literature [Kattge et al., 2009].

3.2 Model Spin-up

The new version of ISAM, ISAM_{DYN}, was initialized using site soil properties and meteorological data with fixed atmospheric CO₂ levels (used the values of the start year of the

simulation value and N disturbances). The model was spun up for each site by repeating the half hourly climate forcing data obtained from eddy covariance sites until the soil temperature and moisture and the C and N pools achieved a steady state. Then, the model was run for the years with available climate forcing data along with variable atmospheric CO₂ concentration and N deposition data. To evaluate the performance of the dynamic allocation, the dynamic phenology, and the dynamic rooting depth and distribution, the original version of the model, the ISAM_{BC} was run using fixed allocation factors, prescribed biome specific LAI, and static rooting depth and distribution (Table A4).

3.4 Statistical analysis

Two levels of validation and error analysis of the model results were performed. The model estimated LAI and the rooting depth were validated with the corresponding site level measured data. The mean absolute error (MAE) and the Willmott's index of agreement (d) were calculated to quantify the model estimated GPP, LH, and SH. The MAE was defined as the absolute difference between the observed and the simulated data sets for all samples and is given by:

$$MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |P_i - O_i| \quad (1)$$

Willmott's index of agreement (d) [Willmott et al., 2012] is defined as:

$$d = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n |P_i - O_i|}{c \times \sum_{i=1}^n |O_i - \bar{O}|}, & \text{when } \sum_{i=1}^n |P_i - O_i| \leq c \times \sum_{i=1}^n |O_i - \bar{O}| \\ \frac{c \times \sum_{i=1}^n |O_i - \bar{O}|}{\sum_{i=1}^n |P_i - O_i|} - 1, & \text{when } \sum_{i=1}^n |P_i - O_i| \geq c \times \sum_{i=1}^n |O_i - \bar{O}| \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where, P_i is the estimated values, O_i is the observed values, $\bar{O}$ is the mean of the observed values, and c is a constant set to 2. Willmott's index varies from -1 to 1. A d of 1 indicates perfect

agreement between model and observation, and a d of -1 indicates either lack of agreement between the model and observation or insufficient variation in observations to adequately test the model. The ability of Willmott's index of agreement to measure the model errors makes it appropriate to be used for model validation.

The d_s was calculated for the monthly mean observed and modeled data. The d_a was used to examine the degree to which the model represents the annual mean variation in the observed values. The comparison between d_s and d_a allowed us to evaluate the model biases at these different time scales.

4. Results

4.1 Model results for the calibration sites

4.1.1 Root fractions

The cumulative root fractions in the NHLEs calculated based on the ISAM_{DYN} captured well the trend in the measured root fraction with soil depth, while the root fraction on the ISAM_{BC} showed only a fixed curve shape (Fig. 1). The ISAM_{DYN} root depth was in close agreement with measurements (Fig. 1), because the dynamics parameterization more realistically represented the vertical root growth that was initiated in response to water or nutrient stresses, compared to the fixed root fraction in the ISAM_{BC}. This was an indication that prescribed static roots parameters were not suitable in the NHLE, in particularly in boreal deciduous biome.

The advantage of implementation of dynamic roots in the ISAM_{DYN} was the model ability to simulate plant water uptake from deeper soil layers as compared to the static root case in the ISAM_{BC}. Most of the root density and water uptake were within the top 20 cm of the soil in the boreal evergreen and deciduous sites (Fig. 2). In comparison, the ISAM_{DYN} calculates maximal

water uptake in the soil layer, at least 30 cm deeper than the ISAM_{BC}, allowing plants to access water from deeper soil layers that can retain more moisture.

4.1.2 LAIs

The ISAM_{DYN} LAIs, particularly the timing and the magnitude of the peak LAIs matched the measured seasonal variations in the NHLE, whereas the MODIS LAI data failed to capture leaf onset and senescence, and the annual variation of LAIs as compared to ground based measurement (Fig. 3). The ISAM_{DYN} overestimated the peak LAI in the grassland site (Let) as compared to ground-based measurements (Table 1). The calibrated ISAM_{DYN} LAI compared well with the measurements than the ISAM_{BC} LAI, which overestimated the peak value of the measured LAI by more than two folds in the grassland site (Table 1).

4.1.3 Growing Season Length (GSL)

Overall, the growing season length (GSL) calculated based on the ISAM_{DYN} were in good agreement with measured GSL for the major biomes in the NHLs, with grasslands having the longest GSL (178 days) and tundra the lowest GSL (111 days). Whereas, the ISAM_{BC} overestimated measured GSL except in the boreal evergreen site (Nobs) (the ISAM_{BC} underestimated GSL by about 20% compared to measurement) (Fig. 4). The dynamic phenology scheme, which was based on plant's physiological benefits, was able to initiate leaf onset and offset dates that were in close agreement with measurements (see LAI plots in Fig. 3).

4.1.4 GPP

Improvements in the root distribution, GSL, and LAI significantly improved the ISAM_{DYN} annual total and seasonal GPPs, LH, and SH in various NHLE (Fig. 5). The annual GPP improved the most in the tundra biome (Fig. 5a), because of the significant improvement in the

ISAM_{DYN} LAI and GSL. Also, the seasonal variability in the ISAM_{DYN} GPP in the tundra site was in much better agreement with the site data, showing a late leaf onset and shorter GSL (Fig. 6a). The ISAM_{BC} estimated growing season started much earlier, resulting in much longer GSL and overestimation of the annual total GPP by two folds (Fig. 6a). The calibration results were also evident by high *ds* values for the ISAM_{DYN} than for the ISAM_{BC} (Table 2). Although, the ISAM_{DYN} and the ISAM_{BC} annual GPP in the grassland site (Let) were close to observations (Fig. 5a), the estimated GPP at the start of the growing season were more consistent with measurements for the ISAM_{DYN} than for the ISAM_{BC}.

The ISAM_{DYN} annual GPP in the boreal deciduous (Oas) and the boreal evergreen (Nobs) sites were in better agreement with the flux tower annual GPP than the ISAM_{BC} GPP (Fig. 5a). The ISAM_{BC} GPP was overestimated at the start and end of the growing season, which was consistent with the LAI and GSL overestimation, as discussed above. Although, the ISAM_{DYN} GPP was also overestimated at the start of the growing season, the phenological timing of the growing season was consistent with the site observation (Fig. 6g). On daily scale, the trend and magnitude of the ISAM_{DYN} GPP was consistent with the site data in the boreal evergreen site (Nobs), unlike the ISAM_{BC} GPP. The *ds* value was higher for the ISAM_{DYN} GPP in the boreal deciduous and boreal evergreen sites than for the ISAM_{BC} GPP, suggesting that the dynamic processes improved GPP estimates on a monthly time scale (Table 2).

4.1.5 Latent (LH) and Sensible (SH) Heat Fluxes

The statistical analysis and the direct model-data comparison results suggested that the implementation of the dynamic rooting depth and phenology schemes improved GPP, LH, and SH fluxes for the ISAM_{DYN} in most of the NHLE, except for SH in the grassland site (Fig. 6e). Both the ISAM_{DYN} and the ISAM_{BC} overestimated SH in the grassland site (Let) during the

dormant season, but a slight overestimation was observed for the ISAM_{DYN} (Fig. 6f) resulting in 4% drop in the ISAM_{DYN} d_a value (Table 2). This drop was attributed to the difference in LAI during the dormant season, where the ISAM_{BC} LAI was about 0.25 m²/m² compared to the ISAM_{DYN} LAI, which was 0. Thus, higher ISAM_{DYN} LAI tended to lower the soil heat flux, because less solar radiation was available to warm the ground and eventually would have led to a lower SH. The relatively higher d_s and d_a values were estimated for the ISAM_{DYN} LH and SH as compared to the ISAM_{BC} LH and SH, suggesting that the implementation of the dynamic LAI and the rooting depth improved the annual and monthly mean estimated LH and SH.

4.2 Model results for the validation sites

The sources of various data sets used to evaluate the ISAM_{DYN} modeled biome specific LAI, GPP, LH and SH is provided in Table A2. Measured LAI and flux tower data for the validation sites were acquired from the Ameriflux websites. Marconi gap filled tower flux data were acquired for the European sites [Falge et al., 2005]. The validation of the model parameters for the dynamic phenology were performed for one tundra site (Atq), 7 boreal evergreen sites (Obs, Gro, Qfo, Ojp, Hyy, Nor, Fla), and one boreal deciduous site (Sor). The model estimated GPP, LH, and SH were evaluated and compared with site flux tower data. Finally, we were not able to validate the modeled rooting depth and root distribution due to lack of measured data available in the literature.

The ISAM_{DYN} estimated seasonal and peak LAIs in most of the NHLE (Table 1) were similar to the measurements. The model slightly overestimated the peak LAI in the boreal evergreen sites (Obs, Hyy, Fla) (Table 1). Overall, these results indicated that the model calibrated parameters for the dynamic phenology and rooting depth successfully captured the seasonal and peak LAIs at the validation sites.

The ISAM_{DYN} GPP, LH, and SH tracked the measured seasonal variability in the boreal deciduous and evergreen biomes, suggesting that dynamic processes improved the model estimates (Fig. 7, shown as the mean for all the boreal evergreen and the boreal deciduous validation sites, respectively). This was also supported by higher estimated d_s for the ISAM_{DYN} in the boreal deciduous and evergreen biomes when compared to d_s values for the ISAM_{BC} GPP, LH, and SH (Table 2).

In the boreal evergreen biome, the underestimation of the ISAM_{DYN} GPP after day 210 (Fig. 7d.) could have been related to the fact that the ISAM_{DYN} underestimated peak LAI in the European validation sites (Hyy, Fla, Nor) (Table 1) and the seasonality of the modeled LAI in these sites was not verified due to the lack of seasonal LAI data. Moreover, measured precipitation in some of the European sites varied between 313mm/yr to 610mm/yr,. With such low precipitation values, the water stress on stomatal conductance could have increased, resulting decreasing the modeled GPP. In all but three European sites, the ISAM_{DYN} SH was in better agreement with flux tower data than the ISAM_{BC} as indicated by higher d_s and d_a values in case of the ISAM_{DYN} (Table 2). However, this consistency was not observed for the ISAM_{DYN} estimated SH in the Hyy, Nor, and Fla sites. This could have been caused by errors in the model prescribed parameters for the rooting depth or errors in the calibration of these parameters, and/or errors in the modeled soil heat flux.

Regression analysis revealed that the ISAM_{DYN} modeled GPP and LH showed a better correlation with flux tower data compared to the ISAM_{BC} GPP and LH (Fig. 8a). The implementation of the dynamic phenology and the rooting depth and distribution increased the GPP R^2 from 0.36 to 0.86 and the LH R^2 from 0.37 to 0.51, and decreased the GPP MAE from 245 gCm⁻²yr⁻¹ to 107 gCm⁻²yr⁻¹ and LH MAE from 2.92 Wm⁻² to 2.43 Wm⁻² (Fig. 8b). The SH

R^2 improved slightly from 0.25 for the ISAM_{BC} to 0.28 for the ISAM_{DYN}, but the MAE decreased significantly from 7.28 Wm⁻² to 4.26 Wm⁻² (Fig. 8c). Errors in the partitioning of surface energy and in the modeled ground heat flux could have caused the low improvement in the ISAM_{DYN} estimated SH.

4.3 Evaluation of the Model Results in the NHLs

In the previous sections the model estimated fluxes for GPP, LH and SH in NHLE were evaluated at site level. In this section, the ISAM_{DYN} and the ISAM_{BC} fluxes in the entire NHLs (45-90°N) were evaluated and compared with FLUXNET-MTE [Jung et al., 2011]. In the following, first we describe the model experiment set-up and input data followed by the comparison of the model results for GPP, LH and SH with FLUXNET-MTE data.

4.3.1 Model spin-up in the NHLs

Focusing on the NHLs poleward of 45°N, both version of the models, the ISAM_{DYN} and ISAM_{BC}, were spun up using CRU-NCEP meteorology data (Wei et al, 2013) for 1970-2010, fixed HYDE land cover data for year 1970 [Klein Goldewijk et al., 2011], and fixed atmospheric CO₂ concentration for year 1970 [www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends] in three phases: (1) the ISAM biogeophysics was run for 120 model years to achieve a steady state in the soil temperature, soil moisture, and vegetation carbon by recycling the climate forcing data three times; (2) the model biogeophysical outputs, such as the soil temperature, soil moisture, and vegetation litter were used as inputs to run ISAM biogeochemistry for 20,000 model years to achieve a steady state in the soil C and soil N; (3) the biogeochemistry and biogeophysics initial states were used to run the coupled ISAM biogeophysics and biogeochemistry schemes for 120 years. This step was done to insure that GPP and vegetation C reached a steady state with the N cycle activated. For the ISAM_{BC} run, the parameters listed in Table A4 were used.

Also, two transient experiments were performed. In the first experiment, the ISAM_{BC} was run from 1970-2010 using variable atmospheric CO₂ concentration [www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends] and N deposition [Galloway et al., 2004]. In the second transient experiment the ISAM_{DYN} was run from 1970-2010 with variable atmospheric CO₂ concentration and N deposition.

4.3.2 Comparison of the Modeled vs. Measured GPP, LH, and SH in the NHLs

To assess the implications of the dynamic phenology and rooting depth and distribution in the NHLE, the ISAM_{DYN} and the ISAM_{BC} calculated average monthly GPP, LH, and SH for the years 2001-2010 in the entire NHLs were compared with FLUXNET-MTE data (Fig. 9). Most importantly, the ISAM_{DYN} seasonal peak GPP in all four NHLE were significantly similar to FLUXNET-MTE GPP, while the ISAM_{BC} estimated GPP overestimated FLUXNET-MTE GPP by about 20% (Fig. 9). However, the estimated monthly mean LH based on both model versions showed no differences and both tracked successfully the seasonality in FLUXNET-MTE LH data, except the LH peak that was underestimated in the tundra biome (Fig. 9b). For the SH, the ISAM_{DYN} and the ISAM_{BC} underestimated the FLUXNET-MTE SH at the start of the growing season in all the biomes (Fig. 9 c-f-i-l). The ISAM_{DYN} SH was in better agreement with FLUXNET-MTE SH in the second half of the growing season (Fig. 9, i-l). In general, the implementation of the dynamic processes increased the modeled seasonal GPP agreement with FLUXNET-MTE data in the ISAM_{DYN}, while the energy and water fluxes estimates showed slight changes at the regional scale.

4.3.3 The ISAM_{DYN} model application

To assess the impact of the ISAM_{DYN} ability to predict ecosystem dynamics, decadal changes in the GSL and GPP were calculated in the NHLs poleward of 45°N (Fig. 10). The model results showed that the GSL increased in all the major NHLEs with the largest increase of 14 days in the boreal evergreen forest and smallest increase of 3 days in the boreal deciduous forest between the decades of 1990s and 2000s (Fig 10 a-d). The increase in GSL also caused an increase in the GPP for all the major NHLEs. The GPP increased in the 2000s was about 6% compared to the 1990s (Fig. 10 e-h). It is important to note that the GSL for the herbaceous and boreal deciduous biomes was overestimated in the ISAM_{BC} and was constant with time, thus limiting the ISAM_{BC} ability to predict the ecosystem dynamic in the NHLs.

5. Discussion

Overall, the ISAM_{DYN} estimated the rooting depth and LAI in the calibration study sites were similar to the measurements (Figs 1, 3), suggesting that the calibrated ISAM_{DYN} simulated the measured phenology development, rooting depth, and LAI for the growing season at the calibration sites. ISAM_{DYN} GSL showed significant improvements compared to the ISAM_{BC} GSL and successfully detected the magnitude of the observed GSL (Fig. 4).

The ISAM_{DYN} C, water, and energy fluxes in the evaluation sites were similar to site flux tower data, except for the SH in the evergreen forest sites (Hyy, Fla, Nor). The d_s values for the SH in these sites were low, suggesting that the ISAM_{DYN} SH were underestimated, perhaps due to the overestimation of LAI that impacted the energy partitioning between the canopy and the ground. Meanwhile, this behavior happened only in these sites suggesting that limitations in the model parameters or schemes, such as the soil physical properties, leaf optical properties, and soil conductivity might have contributed to this bias and resulted in the lower d_s values for the ISAM_{DYN} SH (Table 2). Also, the ISAM_{DYN} underestimated the observed GPP after day 200 in

the Hyy evergreen site and between days 210 and 250 in the Nor evergreen site (Data not shown). One explanation in the Hyy site could be related to a negative relationship between GPP and photosynthetic active radiation (PAR), indicating that GPP was increasing due to diffuse light (Lagergren et al., 2008). The ISAM_{DYN} did not simulate the diffuse light changes causing a decrease in the incoming solar radiation, which could have led to a decrease in the photosynthesis capacity and hence the GPP underestimation during that time period. On the contrary, the ISAM_{DYN} LH in these two sites tracked the seasonal variability in the flux tower LH, even for the days where the ISAM_{DYN} GPP was underestimated as compared to the observed GPP (Data not shown). This indicated a decoupling between the flux tower GPP and LH that might have led to an increase in the water use efficiency with the stomata partially closed to maintain a near constant leaf CO₂ concentration (Battipaglia et al., 2013; Keneen et al., 2013) in these two sites under elevated CO₂ concentration. In the ISAM_{DYN}, a decrease in the stomatal conductance could have led to a decrease in GPP and LH, explaining the model GPP underestimation in the Hyy and Nor sites.

Similar to the site analysis, the ISAM_{DYN} GPP outperformed the ISAM_{BC} GPP in the NHLs poleward of 45°N indicating that the implementation of the dynamic LAI and rooting depth not only improved the results in the sites, but also in the NHLs. The peak SH for the ISAM_{DYN} and the ISAM_{BC} estimates in the NHLs was close to FUXNET-MTE SH, but both versions of the model underestimated the SH at the start of the growing season (Fig. 9). This could be explained by: (1) limitations in the model processes mentioned above, such as the incapability to resolve diffuse radiation and eventually, causing underestimation in the modeled SH; (2) simplified canopy radiative transfer scheme that might not have represented accurately the complexity in the NHLE, which might have led to inaccuracies in the partitioning of energy fluxes between the

canopy and the ground; and (3) errors in the FLUXNET-MTE data due to limited spatial distribution of flux towers in the NHLs and errors in the empirical methods used to upscale flux tower data (Jung et al., 2011). Nevertheless, this illustrated that the dynamic processes implemented in the ISAM_{DYN} improved the model results and lowered the modeled GPP overestimation during the start of the growing season.

The ISAM_{DYN} estimated GSL in the NHLs has increased on average by about 5 days in the last two decades that is due mainly to the warming climate and rising atmospheric CO₂ concentrations. The results showed a drastic increase in the GSL and GPP in the 2000s compared to 1990s (Data not shown). Whether, the increase in the GPP was due to an increase in the carbon uptake period or strictly due to an increase in the GSL is beyond the scope of this study and will remain a question to be addressed in the future study.

Based on the site and regional level simulations, a number of model processes that needed to be improved in future work were identified. For instance, the leaf litter calculations might have estimated accurately the annual leaf litter fall, but might not have captured accurately the leaf litter seasonality. This was one of the reasons for the model LAI overestimation in the boreal evergreen forests at the end of the growing season (Fig 3c). Modifications in the soil temperature and soil heat flux schemes to include the above ground organic litter layer that provides insulation to the soil, thus decreasing the transfer of heat from the air to the colder soil and eventually, increasing the modeled SH to better simulate the measurements.

6. Conclusions

The dynamic phenology and dynamic rooting depth and distribution were implemented and calibrated into a LSM, ISAM, in four NHLEs that are representative of the major biomes in the

NHLs poleward of 45°N. Also, the new model ISAM_{DYN} was validated at multiple boreal evergreen, one boreal deciduous and one tundra sites. Results showed the ISAM_{DYN} captured the seasonal variability in the GPP, LH, and SH fluxes through both direct and statistical comparisons to site measurements. The ISAM_{DYN} for the carbon assimilation and energy fluxes showed d values closer to 1, thus validating our calibration approach.

Overall, the ISAM_{DYN} GPP and LH were in better agreement with site flux tower data compared to the ISAM_{DYN} SH. Our site data analysis suggested that these sites have energy balance closure errors (Table A5). This might have been one of the reasons that the model results in some cases might not have been consistent with the flux tower data. Further analysis into the model biases in the partitioning of energy fluxes is needed to assess the biases in the modeled SH.

The relevance of this experiment is the linkage between the dynamic vegetation structure processes (dynamic phenology and dynamic rooting depth and distribution) and how that linkage improves LAI simulations. Moreover, the results demonstrated how vegetation structure processes alongside the dynamic C allocation impacted the model simulated C and energy fluxes. Model experiments performed in this study indicated that excluding any of these dynamic processes resulted in higher biases in the C and energy fluxes for most of the study sites. Therefore, the importance of including these dynamic processes in LSMs is emphasized, and more importantly for the LSMs that calculate LAI, not only to improve the modeled C and energy fluxes, but also to capture the observed vegetation seasonality and GSL. Because most LSMs are capable to estimate annual GPP that is consistent with flux tower data, but fail to simulate the observed GPP seasonality. This study is a step toward advancing model predicted seasonality.

The implementation of the dynamic phenology and rooting distribution allowed the ISAM_{DYN} to better quantify the feedbacks between climate change and C and energy fluxes. These processes helped to simulate the vegetation adaptation to changing climate, because of adjustments in the plant phenology and structure. For instance, the implementation of these dynamic processes resulted in significant improvement in the tundra simulated C and energy fluxes. Thus, the lack of these processes in LSMs may lead to the NHL C and energy fluxes overestimation, causing errors in LSMs response to climate change.

Acknowledgements

This work was partly supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science (DOE-DE-SC0006706).

References:

- Anderson, E.A. (1976). A point energy and mass balance model of a snow cover. Tech. Rep. 19, NOAA, Washington, D.C.
- Arora, V.K., & Boer, G.J. (2003). A representation of variable root distribution in dynamic vegetation models. *Earth Interactions*, 7(6), 1-19.
- Arora, V.K., & Boer, G.J. (2005). A parameterization of leaf phenology for the terrestrial ecosystem component of climate models. *Global Change Biology*, 11, 35-59.
- Baker, I.T., Prihodko, L., Denning, A.S., Goulden, M., Miller, S., & da Rocha, H.R. (2008). Seasonal drought stress in the Amazon: Reconciling models and observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 113, G00B01, doi:10.1029/2007JG000644.
- Ball, J.T., Woodrow, I.E., Berry, J.A. [1987]. A model predicting stomatal conductance and its contribution to the control of photosynthesis under different environmental conditions. In: Biggens, J. (Ed.), *Progress in Photosynthesis Research*, vol. V. Martinus Nijhoff, Dordrecht, pp. 221–224.
- Barman, R. (2014) Impacts of model-data uncertainties in the investigation of biogeophysical-biogeochemical interactions in the terrestrial northern high-latitudes, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign.
- Barman, R., Jain, A. K., Liang, M. (2014a). Climate-driven uncertainties in modeling terrestrial energy and water fluxes: a site-level to global scale analysis. *Global Change Biology*, 20, 1885-1900, DOI: 10.1111/gcb.12473
- Barman, R., Jain, A. K., Liang, M. (2014b). Climate-driven uncertainties in modeling terrestrial gross primary production: a site-level to global scale analysis. *Global Change Biology*, 20, 1394-1411, DOI: 10.1111/gcb.12474.

568 Barr, A.G., Black, T.A., Hogg, E.H., Griffis, T.J., Morgenstern, K., Kljun, N., Theede, A., &
569 Nesic, Z. (2007). Climatic control on the carbon and water balances of a boreal aspen
570 forest, 1994-2003. *Global Change Biology*, 13, 561-576.

571 Battipaglia, G., Saurer, M., Cherubini, P., Calfapietra, C., McCarthy, H.R., Norby, R.J.,
572 Cutrufo, M.F. (2013). Elevated CO₂ increase tree-level intrinsic water use efficiency:
573 insights from carbon and oxygen isotope analyses in tree rings across three forest FACE
574 sites. *New Phytologist*, 197, 544-554.

575 Bergeron, O., Margolis, H.A., Black, A., Coursolle, C., Dunn, A.L., Barr, A.G., & Wofsy, S.C.
576 (2007). Comparison of carbon dioxide fluxes over three boreal black spruce forests in
577 Canada. *Global Change Biology*, 13, 89-107.

578 Bradbury, N. J., Whitmore, A.P, Hart, P.B.S. Jenkinson, D.S. (1993). Modelling the fate of
579 nitrogen in crop and soil in the years following application of ¹⁵N-labelled fertilizer to
580 winter wheat. *J. Agric. Sci.* 121, 363–379, doi:10.1017/S0021859600085567.

581 Burke, E, Jones, C, Koven, C. (2012). Estimating the permafrost-carbon-climate response in the
582 CMIP5 climate models using a simplified approach. *Journal of Climate*, 26, 4897-4909.

583 Cai, T., Dang, Q-L. (2002). Effects of soil temperature on parameters of a coupled
584 photosynthesis-stomatal conductance model. *Tree Physiology*, 22, 819-827.

585 Chapin, F.S. III, Sturm, M., Serreze, M.C., McFadden, J.P., Key, J.R., Lloyd, A.H., Welker, J.M.
586 (2005). Role of land-surface changes in Arctic summer warming. *Science*, 30, 657-660.

587 Chen, D. X., & Coughenour, M. B. (1994). GEMTM: A general model for energy and mass
588 transfer of land surfaces and its application at the FIFE sites. *Agricultural and forest*
589 *meteorology*, 68(3), 145-171.

590 Collatz, G.J., Ball, T.J., Grivet, C., Berry, J.A. [1991]. Physiological and environmental
591 regulations of stomatal conductance, photosynthesis and transpiration: a model that
592 included a laminar boundary layer. *Agric. Forest Meteorol.* 54, 107–136.

593 Collatz, G.J., Ribas-Carbo, M., Berry, J.A. [1992]. Coupled photosynthesis-stomatal
594 conductance model for leaves of C4 plants. *Aust. J. Plant Physiol.* 19, 519–538.

595 Dennis, J.G. (1977). Distribution patterns of belowground standing crop in arctic tundra at
596 Barrow, Alaska. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, 9(2), 113-127.

597 Domingues, T.F., Meir, P., Feldpausch, T.R., Saiz, G., Veenendaal, E.M., Schrod, F., Bird, M.,
598 Djagbletey, G., Hien, F., Compaore, H., Diallo, A., Grace, J., Lagergren, J. (2010). Co-
599 limitation of photosynthesis capacity by nitrogen and phosphorous in West Africa
600 woodlands. *Plant, Cell, and Environment*, 33, 959-980.

601 Eastman, J. L., Coughenour, M. B., & Pielke, R. A. (2001). The regional effects of CO2 and
602 landscape change using a coupled plant and meteorological model. *Global Change Biology*,
603 7(7), 797-815.

604 El-Masri, B., Barman, R., Meiyappan, P., Song, Y., Liang, M., & Jain, A. K. (2013). Carbon
605 dynamics in the amazonian basin: Integration of eddy covariance and ecophysiological data
606 with a land surface model. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 182-183, 156-167.

607 Falge, E., Aubinet, M., Bakwin, P., Baldocchi, D., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., Wofsy, S. (2005).
608 FLUXNET Marconi Conference Gap-Filled Flux and Meteorology Data, 1992-2000. Data
609 set. Available on-line [<http://www.daac.ornl.gov>] from Oak Ridge National Laboratory
610 Distributed Active Archive Center, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, U.S.A.
611 doi:10.3334/ORNLDAAAC/811.

612 Farquhar, G.D., von Caemmerer, S., Berry, J.A. (1980). A biochemical model of photosynthetic
 613 CO₂ assimilation in leaves of C₃ species. *Planta* 149, 78–90.

614 Fitter, A.H. 1994. Architecture and Biomass Allocation as Components of the Plastic Response of
 615 Root Systems to Soil Heterogeneity, In *Exploitation of Environmental Heterogeneity by*
 616 *Plants*. Academic Press

617 Flanagan, L.B., Adkinson, A.C. (2011). Interacting controls on productivity in a northern Great
 618 Plains grassland and implications for response to ENSO events. *Global Change Biology*, 17,
 619 3293-3311.

620 Friedlingstein, P., Joel, C., Field, C.B., Fung, I.Y. (1999). Toward an allocation scheme for
 621 global terrestrial carbon model. *Global Change Biology*, 5, 755-770.

622 Galloway, L., Dentener, F., Capone, D., Boyer, E., Howarth, R., Seitzinger, S., Asner, G.,
 623 Cleveland, C, Green, P, Holland, E. (2004). Nitrogen cycles: past, present, and future.
 624 *Biogeochemistry*, 70(2), 153-226

625 Gleeson SK (1993). Optimization of tissue nitrogen and root-shoot allocation. *Annals of Botany*,
 626 71, 23±31.

627 Gower, S.T., Vogel, J.G., Norman, J.M., Kucharik, C.J., Steele, S.J., & Stow, T.K. (1997).
 628 Carbon distribution and aboveground net primary production in aspen, jack pine, and black
 629 spruce stands in Saskatchewan and Manitoba, Canada. *Journal of Geophysical Research*,
 630 102(D24), 29029-29041.

631 Griffis, T.J., Black, T.A., Morgenstern, K., Barr, A.G., Nesic, Z., Drewitt, G.B., McCaughet, J.H.
 632 (2003). Ecophysiological controls on the carbon balance of three southern boreal forests.
 633 *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 117, 53-71.

634 Hinzman, L.D., Bettz, N.D., Bolton, W.R., Chapin F.S., Dyurgerov, M.B., Fastie, C.L.,
 635 Yoshikawa, K. (2005). Evidence and implications of recent climate change in Northern
 636 Alaska and other Arctic Regions. *Climate Change*, 72, 251-298.
 637 Huemmrich, K.F., Kinoshita, G., Gamon, J.A., Houston, S. Kwon, H., & Oechel, W.C. (2010).
 638 Tundra carbon balance under varying temperature and moisture regimes. *Journal of*
 639 *Geophysical Research*, 115, G00I02, doi:10.1029/2009JG001237.
 640 Huntzinger, D.N., Post, W.M., Wei, Y., Michalak, A.M., West, T.O., Jacobson, A.R., Cook, R.
 641 (2012). North American Carbon Program (NACP) regional interim synthesis: Terrestrial
 642 biosphere model intercomparison. *Ecological Modeling*, 232, 144-157.
 643 Ichii, K., Hashimoto, H., White, M.A., Potter, C., Hutyra, L.R., Huete A.R., Nemani, R.R.
 644 (2007). Constraining rooting depths in tropical rainforests using satellite data and
 645 ecosystem modeling for accurate simulation of gross primary production seasonality.
 646 *Global Change Biology*, 13, 67-77.
 647 Ichii, K., Wang, W., Hasimoto, H., Yang, F., Votava, P., Michaelis, A.R., Nemani, R.R. (2009)
 648 Refinement of rooting depths using satellite-bases evapotranspiration seasonality for
 649 ecosystem modeling in California. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 149, 1907-1918.
 650 Jain, A., Yang, X., Kheshgi, H., McGuire, A. D., Post, W., Kicklighter, D. (2009). Nitrogen
 651 attenuation of terrestrial carbon cycle response to global environmental factors. *Global*
 652 *Biogeochemical Cycles*, 23(4).
 653 Jenkinson, D. (1990). The turnover of organic carbon and nitrogen in soil, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc.*
 654 *London, Ser. B* 329 (1255), 361–367, doi:10.1098/rstb.1990.0177.

655 Jipp, P.H., Nepstad, D.C., Cassel, D.K., & Reis De Carvalho, C. (1998). Deep soil moisture
656 storage and transpiration in forests and pastures of seasonally-dry Amazonia. *Climate*
657 *Change*, 39, 395-412.

658 Jiménez, C., Prigent, C., Mueller, B., Seneviratne, S.I., McCabe, M.F., Wood, E.F., Wang, K.
659 (2011), Global intercomparison of 12 land surface heat flux estimates, *J. Geophys. Res.*,
660 116, D02102, doi:10.1029/2010JD014545.

661 Jung, M., Reichstien, M., Margolis, H.A., Cescati, A., Richardson, A.D., Arian, M.A., Arneth, A.,
662 Bernhofer, C., Bonal, D., Chen, J., Gianelle, D., Gobron, N., Kiely, G., Kutsch, W.,
663 Lasslop, G., Law, B.E., Lindroth, A., Merbold, L., Montagnani, L., Moors, E.J., Papale, D.,
664 Sottocornola, M., Vaccari, F., Williams, C. (2011). Global Patterns of land-atmosphere
665 fluxes of carbon dioxide, latent heat, and sensible heat derived from eddy covariance,
666 satellite, and meteorological observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 116, G00J07,
667 doi:10.1029/2010JG001566

668 Kattge, J., Knorr, W., Raddat, T., Wirth, C. (2009). Quantifying photosynthetic capacity and its
669 relationship to leaf nitrogen content for global-scale terrestrial biosphere models. *Global*
670 *Change Biology*, 17, 2905-2935.

671 Keenan, T.F., Baker, I., Barr, A., Ciais, P., Davis, K., Dietze, M., Richardson, A.D. (2012).
672 Terrestrial biosphere model performance for interannual variability of land-atmosphere
673 CO₂ exchange. *Global Change Biology*, 18, 1971-1987.

674 Klein Goldewijk, K., Beusen, A., van Dercht, G., de Vos, M. (2010). The HYDE 3.1 spatially
675 explicit database of human-induced global land-use change over the past 12,000 years.
676 *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 20, 73-86.

677 Kljun, N., Black, T.A., Gtiffis, T.J., Barr, A.G., Gaumont-Guay, D., Morgenstern, K.,
 678 McCaughey, J.H., &Nesic Z. (2006. Response of net ecosystem productivity of three
 679 boreal forest stands to Drought. *Ecosystems*, 9(7), 1128-1144.
 680 Koven, C.D., Ringeval, B., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Cadule, P., Khvorostyanov, D., Krinner,
 681 G., Tarnocai, C. (2011). Permafrost carbon-climate feedbacks accelerate global warming.
 682 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108, 14769-14777.
 683 Krishnan, P., Black, T.A., Barr, A.G., Grant, N.J., Gaumont-Guay, D.,&Nesic, Z. (2008), Factors
 684 controlling the interannual variability in the carbon balance of a southern boreal black
 685 spruce forest. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 113, D09109,doi:10.1029/2007JD008965
 686 Kurc, S.A., & Benton, L.M. (2010). Digital image-derived greenness links deep soil moisture to
 687 carbon uptake in a creosotebush-dominated shrubland. *Journal of Arid Environment*, 74,
 688 585-594.
 689 Lindroth, A., Grelle, A., &Morén, A.S. (1998). Long-term measurements of boreal carbon
 690 balance reveal large temperature sensitivity. *Global Change Biology*, 4, 443-450.
 691 Lawrence, P. J., & Chase. T.N. (2007) Representing a new MODIS consistent land surface in the
 692 Community Land Model (CLM3.0). *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, G01023,
 693 doi:10.1029/2006JG000168
 694 Lawrence, D.M, Slater, A.G., Romanovshy, V.E., Nicolsky, D.J. (2008). Sensitivity of a model
 695 projection of near-surface permafrost degradation to soil column depth and representation
 696 of soil organic matter. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 113, doi:10.1029/2007JF000883.
 697 Lawrence, D. M., Slater, A.G. (2008). Incorporating organic soil into a global climate model.
 698 *Climate Dynamics* 30(2-3): 145-160, doi:10.1007/s00382-007-0278-1.

699 Johnson, D.M., & Smith, W.K. (2006). Low clouds and cloud immersion enhance photosynthesis
700 in understory species of a southern Appalachian Spruce-Fir forest. *American Journal of*
701 *Botany*, 93(11), 1652-1632.

702 Meiyappan, P. & Jain A. K. (2012). Three distinct global estimates of historical land-cover
703 change and land-use conversions for over 200 years. *Frontier of Earth Sciences*, 6, 122–
704 139.

705 McCaughey, J.H., Pejam, M.R., Arian, M.A., & Cameron, D.A. (1006). Carbon dioxide and
706 energy fluxes from a boreal mixed wood forest ecosystem in Ontario, Canada. *Agricultural*
707 *and Forest Meteorology*, 140, 79-96.

708 McGuire, A.D., Chapin III, F.S., Walsh, J.E., & Wirth, C. (2006). Integrated regional changes in
709 Artic climate feedbacks: Implications for the global climate system. *Annual Review of*
710 *Environmental and Resources*, 31, 61-91.

711 Meyers, T.P., & Hollinger, S.E. (2004). An assessment of storage terms in the surface energy
712 balance of maize and soybean. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 125, 105-115.

713 Moorhead, D., W. Currie, E. Rastetter, W. Parton, and M. Harmon (1999). Climate and litter
714 quality controls on decomposition: An analysis of modeling approaches, *Global*
715 *Biogeochem. Cycles* 13(2), 575 – 590, doi:10.1029/1998GB900014.

716 Murray-Tortarolo, G.M., Anay, A., Friedlingstein, P., Sitch, S., Piao, S., Zhu, Z.,...Zeng, N.
717 (2013). Evaluation of land surface models in reproducing satellite-derived LAI over the
718 high-latitude Northern Hemisphere. Part I: Uncoupled DGVMs. *Remote Sensing*, 5, 4819
719 4838.

720 Myneni, R.B., Keeling, C.D., Tucker, C.J, Asrar, G., &Newmani, R.R (1997). Increased plant
721 growth in the northern high latitudes from 1981 to 1991. *Nature*, 386, 698-702.

722 Nepstad, D.C., R. de Carvalho, C., Davidson, E.A., Jipp, P.H., Lefebvre, P A., Negreiros, G.H.,
 723 Viera, S. (1994), The Role of Deep Roots in the Hydrological and Carbon Cycles of
 724 Amazonian Forests and Pastures. *Nature*, 372, 666–669.

725 Nouvellon, Y., Rambal, S.m Lo Seen, D., Moran, M.S., Lhomme, J.P., Bégué, A., Chehbouni,
 726 A.G., & Kerr, Y. (2000). Modelling of daily fluxes of water and carbon from shortgrass
 727 steppe. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 100, 137-153.

728 Oechel, W.C., Vourlitis, G.L., Hastings, S.J., Zulueta, R.C., Hinzman, L., & Kane, D. (2000).
 729 Acclimation of ecosystem CO₂ exchange in the Alaskan Arctic in response to decada
 730 climate warming. *Nature*, 406, 978-981.

731 Oleson, K., Niu, G., Yang, Z., Lawrence, D., Thornton, P., Lawrence, P., Levis, S. (2008).
 732 Improvements to the community land model and their impact on the hydrological cycle.
 733 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences* (2005–2012), 113(G1), G01021,
 734 doi:10.1029/2007JG000563.

735 Parton, W., Schimel, D., Cole, C., Ojima, D. (1987). Analysis of factors controlling soil organic
 736 matter levels in Great Plains grasslands, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 51(5), 1173– 1179.

737 Parton, W., Schimel, Ojima, D., Vernon Cole, C (1994). A general model for soil organic matter
 738 dynamics: Sensitivity to litter chemistry, texture and management, in *Quantitative*
 739 *Modeling of Soil Forming Processes*. SSSA Spec. Publ., 39, 147– 167.

740 Pilegaard, K., Mikkelsen, T.S., Beier, C., Jensen, N.O., Ambus, P, & Ro-Poulsen, H., (2003).
 741 Field measurements of atmosphere-biosphere interactions in a Danish beech forest. *Boreal*
 742 *Environmental Research*, 8, 315-333.

743 Potter, C., Klooster, S., R. de Carvalho, C, Genovese, V.B., Torregrosa, A, Coughlan, J. (2001).
 744 Modeling seasonal and interannual variability in ecosystem carbon cycling for the Brazilian
 745 Amazon region. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 106, D10, PAGES 10,423 10,446.

746 Richardson, A.D., Anderson, R.S., Arain, M.A., Barr, A., Boher, G., Chem, G., Xue, Y. (2012).
 747 Terrestrial biosphere models need better representation of vegetation phenology: results
 748 from the North American Carbon Program Site Synthesis. *Global Change Biology*, 18, 566
 749 584.

750 Rogers, B.M., Randerson, J.T., & Bonan,(2013). High Latitude cooling associated with
 751 landscape changes from North American boreal forest fires. *Biogeosciences*, 10, 699-718.

752 Schaefer, K., Zhang, T., Slater, A.G., Lu, L., Etringer, A., Baker, I. (2009). Improving simulated
 753 soil temperatures and soil freeze/thaw at high-latitude regions in the Simple
 754 Biosphere/Carnegie-Ames-Stanford Approach model. *Journal of Geophysical Research*
 755 114, F02021, doi:10.1029/2008JF001125.

756 Schaefer, K., Schwalm, C.R., Williams, C., Arian, M.A., Barr, A., Chem, J.M., Zhou, X. (2012).
 757 A model-data comparison of gross primary productivity: Results from the North American
 758 Carbon Program site synthesis. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 117, G03010,
 759 doi:10.1029/2012JG001960

760 Schenk, H.J., & Jackson, R.B. (2002). The global biogeography of roots. *Ecological Monographs*,
 761 72(3), 311-328.

762 Shinozaki, K., Yoda, K., Hozumi, K., & Kira, T. (1964). A quantitative analysis of plant form-
 763 the pipe model theory: I. Basic analyses. *Japanese Journal of Ecology*, 14, 97-105.

764 Serreze, M.C., Walsh, J.E., Chapin, F.S. III, Osterkamp, T., Dyurgerov, M., Romanovsky, V.,
765 Barry, R.G.(2000). Observational evidence of recent change in the northern high latitude
766 environment. *Climate Change*, 46, 159–207.

767 Sims, P.L., & Singh, J.S. (1978). The structure and function of ten western North American
768 grasslands: II intra-seasonal dynamics in primary produces compartments. *Journal of*
769 *Ecology*, 66(2), 547-573.

770 Slater, A.G., Lawrence, D.M. (2013). Diagnosing present and future permafrost from climate
771 models. *Journal of Climate*, 26, 5608-5623.

772 Song, Y., Jain, A.K., & McIsaac, G.F. (2013). Implementation of dynamic crop growth processes
773 into a land surface model: evaluation of energy, water and carbon fluxes under corn and
774 soybean rotation. *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 10, 9897-9945.

775 Steele, S.J., Gower, S.T., Bogel, J.G., & Norman, J.M. (1997). Root mass, net primary
776 production and turnover in aspen, jack pine and black spruce forests in Saskatchewan and
777 Manitoba, Canada. *Tree Physiology*, 17, 577-587.

778 Strong, W.L., and La Roi, G.H. (1983). Rooting depths and successional development of selected
779 forest communities. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, 13, 577-588.

780 Suni, T., Rinne, J., Reissell, A., Altimir, N., Keronen, P., Rannik, Ü., Vesala, T. (2003). Long
781 term measurements of surface fluxes above a Scots pine forest in Hyytiälä, southern
782 Finland, 1996-2001. *Boreal Environmental Research*, 8, 287-301.

783 Swann, A.L., Fung, I.Y., Levis, S., Bonan, G.B., & Doney, S.C. (2010). Changes in Arctic
784 vegetation amplify high-latitude warming through the greenhouse effect. *Proceedings of*
785 *the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(4), 1295-1300.

- Tape, K., Sturm, M., & Racine, C. (2006). The evidence for shrub expansion in Northern Alaska and the Pan-Artic. *Global Change Biology*, 12, 686-720.
- Tian Y., Dickinson, R.R., Zhou, L., Zeng, X., Dai, Y., Myneni, R.B., Lynazikhin, Y., Zhang, X., Friedl, M., Yu, H., Wu, W., & Shaikh, M. (2004). Comparison of seasonal and spatial variations of leaf area index and fraction of absorbed photosynthetically active radiation from Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) and Common Land Model.
- Todd-Brown, K.E.O., Randerson, J.T., Post, W.M., Hoffman, F.M., Tarnocai, C., Schuur, E.A.G., Allison, S.D., (2013). Causes of variation in soil carbon simulations from CMIP5 earth system models and comparison with observations. *Biogeosciences*, 10, 1717-1736.
- White, M.A., Thornton, P.E., Running, S.W. (1997). A continental phenology model for monitoring vegetation responses to interannual climate variability. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 11(2), 217-234.
- Willmot, C.J., Robeson, S.M., & Matsuura, K. (2012). A refined index of model performance. *International Journal of Climatology*, 32, 3088-2094.
- Yang, X., Wittig, V., Jain, A.K., Post, W.M. (2009). Integration of nitrogen cycle dynamics into the Integrated Science Assessment Model for the study of terrestrial ecosystem responses to global change. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*. 23, GB4029, doi:10.1029/2009GB003474.
- Zeng, X., Shaikh, M., Dai, Y., Dickinson, R.E., & Myneni, R.B. (2002), Coupling of the Common Land Model to the NCAR Community Climate Model, *J. Clim.*, 14, 1832–1854.

Figure 1. Comparison of dynamic (ISAM_{DYN}) and static (ISAM_{BC}) models estimated cumulative root fractions for four calibration sites: (a) tundra (Barrow, AL; lat=71.32N, lon= 156.82W); (b) grassland (Dickinson, ND; lat=46.9N, lon = 102.82W); (c) boreal deciduous (Alberta Aspen, Canada; lat= 55.28N, lon= 114.77W); and (d) boreal evergreen (Alberta Black spruce, Canada; lat=55.28N, lon = 114.77W).

Figure 2. Water uptake for each soil layer for dynamic root vs static root for (a) tundra (Bar); (b) grassland (Dickinson), (c) boreal deciduous (Alberta), and (d) boreal evergreen (Alberta) sites.

Figure 3. Comparison of dynamic (ISAM_{DYN}) model and MODIS estimated LAI for (a) tundra (Barrow, AL; lat=71.32N, lon= 156.82W); (b) boreal deciduous (Oas; lat= 55.63N, lon= 106.19W); and (c) boreal evergreen (Nobs; lat=55.88N, lon = 98.48W.).

Figure 4. Comparison of dynamic (ISAM_{DYN}) and static (ISAM_{BC}) models estimated growing season length (GSL) with measured GSL for the calibrated sites (Oas, Nobs, Barrow, Let) in the northern high latitude region.

Figure 5. Comparison of dynamic (ISAM_{DYN}) and static (ISAM_{BC}) models estimated annual (a) GPP, (b) LH, and (c) SH (ISAM_{DYN}, ISAM_{BC}) with flux tower measurements for the calibrated sites in the northern high latitude region (Oas, Nobs, Barrow, Let). The two version of the model results were not compared with flux tower SH data for Tundra biome, as no data were available.

Figure 6. Seasonal variability of daily tower fluxes and model simulated GPP, latent energy (LH), and sensible energy (SH) for the calibrated sites.

Figure 7. Seasonal variability of daily flux measurement and model simulated GPP (a, d), latent energy (LH) (b, e), and sensible energy (SH) (c, f) for all the boreal deciduous and evergreen biomes sites.

Figure 8. Relationship between measured and ISAM_{DYN} (star) and ISAM_{BC} (open triangle) estimated (a) GPP; (b) latent energy (LH); and (c) sensible energy (LH). Here, R^2 is the adjusted coefficient of determination. The dark and light black lines are the regression lines for ISAM_{DYN} and ISAM_{BC}.

Figure 9. Comparison of dynamic (ISAM_{DYN}) and static (ISAM_{BC}) models estimated monthly mean averaged over the period 2001-2008 for GPP (a, d, g, j), latent energy (LH) (b, e, h, k), and sensible energy (SH) (c, f, i, l) with FLUXNET-MTE estimated monthly mean values for the NHL biomes.

Figure 10. Box and whisker plots for the 1990s (average for the period 1990-1999) and 2000s (average for the period 2000-2009) growing season length and GPP in the NHLEs poleward of 45°N for (a and e) boreal evergreen forest; (b and f) boreal deciduous forest; (c and g) grassland;

908 (d and h) tundra. Boxes represent the first and third quartiles. Whiskers represent maximum and
909 minimum range values. The bold line in the box represents the mean value.

910

911

912

913

914

915

916

917

918

919

920

921

922

923

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935 Table 1. Comparison of ISAM_{DYN} and ISAM_{BC} calculated peak, LAI with measured LAI
 936 (m²/m²) for the study sites

Biome type	Site name	ISAM _{DYN}	ISAM _{BC}	Measured
Calibration sites				
Tundra	Bar	1.79	1.95	1.75
Boreal evergreen	Nobs	4.84	3.89	4.80
Boreal deciduous	Oas	3.98	5.08	3.67
Grassland	Let	1.63	3.02	1.22
Validation sites				
Tundra	At	1.80	2.37	1.75
Boreal evergreen	Obs	3.80	3.29	3.41
	Gro	4.29	5.08	4.30
	Ojp	2.48	3.54	2.60
	Qfo	3.64	3.80	3.70
	Hyy	3.69	3.40	3.30
	Fla	3.85	3.70	3.40
	Nor	4.53	4.00	4.50
Boreal deciduous	Sor	5.00	2.74	4.20-5.00

937
 938
 939
 940
 941
 942
 943
 944
 945
 946
 947
 948
 949
 950
 951
 952
 953
 954
 955
 956
 957
 958
 959
 960
 961
 962
 963
 964

Table 2. Statistical summary to quantify the degree the observed GPP and energy fluxes are captured by the dynamic (ISAM_{DYN}) and Static (ISAM_{BC}) model versions in the study sites. d_s : Willmott's index of agreement for monthly mean observed data and model results; and d_a , for annual mean observed data and model results.

Data	Biome Type	Site name	ISAM _{DYN}		ISAM _{BC}	
			d_s	d_a	d_s	d_a
Calibration sites						
GPP	Tundra	Bar	0.83	0.90	0.27	0.58
	Boreal evergreen	Nobs	0.83	0.90	0.72	0.85
	Boreal deciduous	Oas	0.88	0.92	0.82	0.89
	Grassland	Let	0.88	0.92	0.88	0.92
LE	Tundra	Bar	0.82	0.74	0.61	0.64
	Boreal evergreen	Nobs	0.80	0.86	0.52	0.72
	Boreal deciduous	Oas	0.84	0.88	0.73	0.83
	Grassland	Let	0.77	0.83	0.72	0.83
SH	Tundra	Bar				
	Boreal evergreen	Nobs	0.68	0.74	0.45	0.68
	Boreal deciduous	Oas	0.76	0.73	0.68	0.74
	Grassland	Leth	0.74	0.74	0.57	0.77
Validation sites						
GPP	Boreal evergreen	Obs	0.86	0.91	0.68	0.82
		Gro	0.86	0.91	0.86	0.91
		Ojp	0.88	0.92	0.78	0.87
		Qfo	0.88	0.90	0.75	0.85
		Hyy	0.78	0.86	0.44	0.69
		Fla	0.74	0.83	0.73	0.83
		Nor	0.74	0.81	0.42	0.65
		Average for all sites	0.82	0.88	0.67	0.81
	Boreal deciduous	Sor	0.81	0.86	0.62	0.79
LE	Boreal evergreen	Obs	0.82	0.89	0.81	0.82
		Gro	0.75	0.82	0.73	0.77
		Ojp	0.79	0.83	0.78	0.78
		Qfo	0.81	0.83	0.76	0.77
		Hyy	0.82	0.86	0.71	0.69
		Fla	0.71	0.77	0.67	0.77
		Nor	0.77	0.85	0.68	0.81
		Average for all sites	0.78	0.84	0.71	0.77
	Boreal deciduous	Sor	0.73	0.75	0.31	0.59
SH	Boreal evergreen	Obs	0.82	0.85	0.65	0.78
		Gro	0.67	0.70	0.41	0.66
		Ojp	0.82	0.86	0.76	0.84
		Qfo	0.76	0.78	0.54	0.70

		Hyy	0.15	0.28	0.24	0.35
		Fla	0.52	0.49	0.57	0.54
		Nor	0.63	0.73	0.85	0.83
		Average for all sites	0.63	0.68	0.56	0.67
	Boreal deciduous	Sor	0.64	0.58	0.25	0.50

969

970

Appendix A

Table A1. Threshold values for root temperature, day length, and soil water stress for different biomes used in ISAM phonology scheme (Un-cal: Un-calibrated; Cal: Calibrated).

Biome Type	Root temperature threshold (°C)						Soil water stress (%)		Day length threshold (hr)					
	Onset		Senescence		Dormancy				Onset		Senescence		Dormancy	
	Un-cal	Cal	Un-cal	Cal	Un-cal	Cal	Un-cal	Ca l	Un-cal	Cal	Un-cal	Cal	Un-cal	Cal
Tundra	8	4	8	4	8	2	-	50	12	11	12	11	11	10
Boreal Evergreen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Boreal Deciduous	8	5	10	10	8	5	-	40	12	11	11	11	11	10
Grassland	8	5	8	2	8	2	-	50	12	12	12	12	11	10

991

992 | Table A12. Information about the sites used for calibration and validation of the dynamic
 993 processes.

Biome type	Site name	Country	Lat	Calibrated processes	Reference
Calibration Sites					
Tundra	Barrow (Bar)	USA	71.32 N	LAI, GPP, LE, SH	Huemmerich et al. (2010)
				Dynamic rooting	Dennis (1977)
Boreal evergreen	Alberta	Canada	55.28 N	Dynamic rooting	Strong and Roi (1983)
	Northern Old Black Spruce (Nobs)	Canada	55.88 N	LAI, GPP, LE, SH	Griffis et al. (2003)
Boreal deciduous	Alberta	Canada	55.28 N	Dynamic rooting	Strong and Roi (1983)
	Southern Old Aspen (Oas)	Canada	53.63 N	LAI, GPP, LE, SH	Barr et al. (2007)
Grassland	Dickinson	USA	46.9 N	Dynamic rooting	Sims and Singh (1978)
	Lethbridge (Let)	Canada	49.7 N	GPP, LE, SH	Flanagan and Adkinson (2011)
Validation Sites					
Tundra	Atqasuk (Atq)	USA	70.47 N	LAI, GPP, LE, SH	Oechel et al. (2000)
Boreal evergreen	Southern Old black Spruce (Obs)	Canada	53.99 N	LAI, GPP, LE, SH	Krishnan et al. (2008)
	Groundhog River (Gro)	Canada	48.22 N	LAI, GPP, LE, SH	McCaughey et al. (2006)
	Old Jack Pine (Ojp)	Canada	53.92 N	LAI, GPP, LE, SH	Kljun et al. (2006)
	Mature Old black Spruce (Qfo)	Canada	49.69 N	LAI, GPP, LE, SH	Bergeron et al. (2007)
	Hyytiala (Hyy)	Finland	61.85 N	LAI, GPP, LE, SH	Suni et al. (2003)
	Flakaliden (Fla)	Sweden	64.11 N	LAI, GPP, LE, SH	Lindroth et al. (1998)
	Norunda (Nor)	Sweden	70.47 N	LAI, GPP, LE, SH	Lindroth et al. (1998)
Boreal	Soroe (Sor)	Denmark	55.49 N	LAI, GPP,	Pilegaard et al. (2003)

deciduous				LE, SH	
-----------	--	--	--	--------	--

Table A3. Calibrated processes and parameters and their original and calibrated values.

Calibrated Processes	Biome type	Parameter values ¹	
		$\epsilon_{\text{stem}}, \epsilon_{\text{root}}, \epsilon_{\text{leaf}}$	
		Un-calibrated	Calibrated
Carbon allocation to stem (ϵ_{stem}), root(ϵ_{root}) and leaf(ϵ_{leaf}) ¹	Tundra	0.00, 0.60, 0.40	0.00, 0.60, 0.40
	Boreal evergreen	0.05, 0.89, 0.06	0.20, 0.40, 0.40
	Boreal deciduous	0.20, 0.40, 0.20	0.05, 0.50, 0.45
	Grassland	0.00, 0.60, 0.40	0, 0.6, 0.4
Root growth and distribution ²		Parameter values ²	
		α, bb	
		Un-calibrated	Calibrated
	Tundra	0.70, 10.40	0.60, 8.40
	Boreal evergreen	0.70, 13.73	0.60, 8.23
	Boreal deciduous	0.70, 13.73	0.60, 8.23
	Grassland	0.70, 7.67	0.40, 6.27

¹Equation S29-S33 (El-Masri et al., 2013)

²Equations A41-A42 (Song et al., 2013)

1011
1012

1013
1014
1015
1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

Table A4. Parameters used in the static model experiment (ISAM_{BC}).

Biome Type	Carbon Allocation	Static Roots ^c	Static LAI
Boreal Evergreen	Leaves ^a : 25% Stem ^a : 30% Roots ^a : 45%	d50: 10.0 beta: -1.880	MODIS LAI
Boreal Decidious	Leaves ^a : 25% Stem ^a : 30% Roots ^a : 45%	d50: 5.0 beta: -1.880	MODIS LAI
Grassland	Leaves: 40% Roots ^b : 60%	d50: 9.3 beta: -1.314	MODIS LAI
Tundra	Leaves: 40% Roots ^b : 60%	d50: 9.0 beta: -2.621	MODIS LAI

^aCarbon allocation values are adopted from Gower et al. (1997), and Steele et al. (1997).
^bCarbon allocation values are adopted from Nouvellon et al. (2000).
^cRoot distribution equation ($Root\ depth = d50 \times (\frac{1}{0.95-1})^{\frac{1}{beta}}$) and the *beta* parameter are based on Schenk and Jackson (2002).

1031

1032 Table A5. Energy balance ratio ($EBR = \frac{\Sigma(LE+LH)}{\Sigma R_n}$) for the study sites. Here LE, SH and Rn are
 1033 the latent energy, sensible energy and total radiation fluxes measured at the top of the canopy.

Site name	Energy Balance Ratio (EBR)
Old Aspen	0.84
Southern Old black Spruce	0.85
Groundhog River	0.96
Old Jack Pine	0.87
Mature Old black Spruce	0.85
Northern Old Black Spruce	0.94
Lethbridge	0.67
Soroe	-
Hyytiala	0.34
Flakaliden	0.70
Norunda	0.88
Barrow	0.82

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043

1044

1045

1046

1047

1048