1 Testing the potential for ant predation of immature coffee berry borer
2 (*Hypothenemus hampei*) life stages

3
4 Jonathan R. Morris^{a*}

5 ^aSchool of Natural Resources and Environment, University of Michigan
6 440 Church St.
7 Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

8
9 Ivette Perfecto^a

10 ^aSchool of Natural Resources and Environment, University of Michigan
11 440 Church St.
12 Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

13
14 * E-mail address: jonno@umich.edu
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 **Abstract**

24 The coffee berry borer (CBB) is a devastating pest of coffee around the
25 world. One potential control strategy is to conserve habitat for natural enemies of
26 CBB in coffee agroecosystems so they can better suppress populations of this
27 pest. Ants predate adult CBB and reduce infestation levels on coffee plants, but

few experiments have tested their ability to consume immature CBB inside of fruits. This could prove an important part of controlling CBB populations since berries house many immature individuals on coffee plants during and between growing seasons. Here we experiment with *Wasmannia auropunctata* and *Solenopsis picea*, two species of ants that are commonly found nesting and foraging on coffee plants in southern Mexico. We performed a predation experiment in the laboratory, where ant nests of each species were exposed to CBB larvae and pupae extracted from fruits and removal rate was recorded over time. We also tested the ants' ability to enter CBB galleries in infested coffee fruit. We found that both ant species removed CBB immature individuals in the laboratory, but that *W. auropunctata* removed them significantly faster than *S. picea*. While both species showed increased activity after exposure to infested fruits, only *W. auropunctata* maintained activity around fruits and readily entered CBB galleries in fruits, despite documenting this behavior with *S. picea* outside of experimental trials. These results suggest that some ant species, which forage on coffee and are small enough to enter CBB galleries, have potential to contribute to biocontrol by consuming immature CBB inside infested fruits. However, further experiments in the field under realistic conditions will be needed to fully estimate this effect.

Keywords

Coffee; Coffee Berry Borer; *Wasmannia auropunctata*; *Solenopsis picea*;
Biological Control

1. Introduction

Coffee is an agricultural crop with unique importance to human society. It is a major global commodity, serving as a source of livelihood for more than 20 million farming families around the world (Vega et al., 2009). It is also grown throughout the tropics in some of the world's most important biodiversity hotspots (Moguel and Toledo, 1999), and furthermore, it has been shown that the intensification of coffee farm management (i.e. use of chemical inputs, reduction of shade trees, removal of epiphytes, etc.) can impact the conservation of biodiversity in and around coffee farms (Jha et al., 2014; Perfecto et al., 1996; Philpott et al., 2008). However, shaded farms with low chemical inputs can provide habitat for a high level of biodiversity, including many species that are natural enemies of coffee pests (Jha et al., 2014; Philpott et al., 2008). This suggests that coffee farms may be ideal systems for the implementation of conservation or autonomous biocontrol (Perfecto and Vandermeer, 2015; Vandermeer et al., 2010) – where farmers benefit from pest control services provided by natural enemies by supporting their populations indirectly through the maintenance of habitat on farms (Barbosa, 1998). This strategy may be particularly effective in control of the coffee berry borer (*Hypothenemus hampei*, Ferrari, Coleoptera: Curculionidae), the worst insect pest of coffee around the world (Jaramillo et al., 2006).

The coffee berry borer (CBB) is a notorious coffee pest, causing annual losses of over \$500 million in production globally (Vega et al., 2015). These beetles bore into coffee fruits, directly attacking the harvested crop, where they lay their eggs to complete their life cycles (Damon, 2000). Over the last decade evidence has accumulated that ants are important natural enemies of CBB on coffee farms. Some studies have demonstrated that ants will predate CBB in the laboratory (Armbrecht and Gallego, 2007; Larsen and Philpott, 2010; Philpott et al., 2012), while others have shown more direct evidence that ants can reduce

CBB infestation on coffee plants in farms (Gonthier et al., 2013; Jiménez-Soto et al., 2013; Morris et al., 2015). Gonthier et al. (2013) showed that at least six different species of ants that forage on plants in Mexico can prevent free-roaming CBB adults from colonizing berries. Other studies have shown experimentally that smaller ant species can readily enter CBB holes in berries and remove adults from their galleries (Gallego Roperro and Armbrrecht, 2005; Larsen and Philpott, 2010).

While ant predation of adult CBB contributes to the suppression of this pest, once CBB adults have oviposited, infested berries act as a source of many new CBB individuals; a single female CBB can lay over 100 eggs (Damon, 2000; Vega et al., 2015). Indeed, many berries that are missed during harvest remain on plants and become important refugia for CBB that supply the next season's population with individuals (Baker and Barrera, 1993; Gonthier et al., 2013). Additionally, the CBB lifecycle can take up to a month to complete, with the majority of time (more than 20 days) spent in the larval or pupal life stage (Damon, 2000). Because of these natural history attributes, predation of immature forms of the CBB inside fruits on coffee plants may be crucial for effective biological control. While some literature anecdotally suggests this occurs with ants (Bustillo et al., 2002; Fonseca and Araujo, 1939; Vázquez Moreno et al., 2006; Vélez et al., 2006), few studies report experimental evidence to support these claims. One study showed that several species of ants will predate CBB immature forms that have been removed from berries in the laboratory (Varón et al., 2004). However, the same study failed to show that these species significantly reduced CBB brood by removing them from parchment berries (dried seed with pulp removed) placed on the ground in farms. This may have been because some of the ant species tested, such as *Solenopsis geminata*, were too large to enter CBB galleries (Trible and Carroll,

2014; Varón et al., 2004). Another study reported observations of *S. geminata* removing immature CBB from parchment berries during parabolic solar drying, but similarly failed to find a significant removal effect of ground-foraging ants in experiments (Vélez et al., 2006).

Here we test the predation potential of ants on immature stages of coffee berry borer in the laboratory with two common ant species from a farm in southern Mexico, *Wasmannia auropunctata* and *Solenopsis picea*. We chose these species because they are common at our field site, they actively nest and forage on coffee plants (Gonthier et al., 2013; Perfecto and Vandermeer, 2013), and because other studies have reported their ability to predate adult forms of CBB (Armbrecht and Gallego, 2007; Gallego Roper and Armbrecht, 2005; Gonthier et al., 2013). Additionally, these species appeared small enough to enter CBB galleries in berries, a necessary quality for CBB immature predation. Furthermore, their native ranges are widespread throughout Latin American (AntWeb, 2016), making them potentially important species for biocontrol throughout this coffee growing region.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study site and nest collection

All ant nests were collected from Finca Irlanda, a 280-hectare, shaded, commercial polyculture coffee farm in the Soconusco region of Chiapas, Mexico. Ten *Wasmannia auropunctata* nests were collected in August, 2014 and ten *Solenopsis picea* nests were collected in July, 2015. Nests were collected by scraping moss, epiphytes, and bark from the base of coffee plants and shade trees, under which these species commonly nest at our site. Each individual nest was collected from different plants to increase the likelihood that the ants

belonged to different colonies, however, in some cases ants may have belonged to the same clonal strain, as boundaries between colonies of these species are sometimes unclear (Perfecto and Vandermeer, 2013; Wetterer and Porter, 2003). The number of workers per nest was not counted, but nest containers were filled to approximately the same level with ants and nest material (vegetation and bark from nest collection). Nests were kept in the laboratory in one-liter, clear, plastic containers covered with perforated, clear lids with holes large enough for workers to pass through. Additionally, small vials with water-soaked cotton were placed inside nest containers. Ants were kept in the laboratory for no more than 72 hours before performing trials, and thus were not fed artificial diets.

2.2. Immature predation

To test the potential for these species to predate immature coffee berry borers inside berries we performed two separate laboratory experiments. First, we exposed ant nests to five immature CBB individuals (a mix of larvae and pupae) removed from infested coffee berries collected from the field. Individuals were placed on metal platforms on top of ant nest containers so they could be easily observed. We monitored nests every 30 minutes to count the number of CBBs remaining on platforms for five hours total. We also did this with empty containers as controls to ensure that ants were responsible for CBB removal.

2.3. Fruit entry

For the second experiment we exposed ant nests to intact, but heavily infested CBB coffee fruits that were left on coffee plants during the previous harvest. On top of each nest container we placed one infested fruit with at least two CBB gallery holes. We monitored nests every 30 minutes over five hours to track the activity of ants, measured as presence or absence, either on the platform near fruits, on fruits, or visibly passing into CBB galleries in fruits. Finally, at the end of the five-hour period we dissected these berries to check for

the presence of the ants inside. In addition to the experiments, we filmed (Vid. 1 and 2) and photographed (Fig. 2) ants entering CBB galleries in fruits to provide further evidence of this behavior.

2.4. Statistical analysis

To test whether CBB immature removal rates were significant over time or different between the two ant species we performed a generalized linear mixed model (GLMM), with a log link function and a Poisson distribution, and fixed the intercept to five for the starting number of CBB individuals. To test whether ant activity levels (presence of ants either near, on, or passing into fruits) were significant over time or different between the two species we performed a GLMM, with a log link function and a binomial distribution. In both models, ant species, time (as a continuous variable), and their interaction were included as fixed effects. To control for potential variation between ant nests (number of workers, brood, queens), nest replicate number and the effect of time on nest replicate were included as random factors in both models. Analyses were run using the 'lme4' package and overall model significance was determined using Wald Type III tests with the 'car' package in R (R Core Team, 2016).

3. Results

3.1. Immature predation

During predation trials, both species of ants readily removed CBB immature individuals from platforms (Fig. 1A). Nine *W. auropunctata* nests and eight *S. picea* nests (out of ten total for each species) removed at least one CBB individual. The GLMM showed that removal rates for both species were significant across time (*W. auropunctata*: $n = 10$, $\chi^2 = 21.94$, $P < 0.001$; *S. picea*: $n = 10$, $\chi^2 = 3.99$, $P = 0.046$). There was also a significant difference in removal

rate between ant species with *W. auropunctata* removing 0.82 CBB/hour and *S. picea* removing 0.48 CBB/hour ($\chi^2 = 4.60$, $P = 0.032$). After five hours, *W. auropunctata* nests removed an average of 82% of CBB immature individuals, whereas *S. picea* removed an average of 47% of CBB. No CBB were removed in any control treatments.

3.2. Fruit entry

Fruit entry trials revealed that workers from both species showed increased activity (either near, on, or in fruits) after exposure to infested fruits (Fig. 1B). *W. auropunctata* nests maintained a significant level of fruit activity over time ($n = 10$, $\chi^2 = 6.18$, $P = 0.013$), whereas activity for *S. picea* nests declined after peaking halfway through the experiment and did not maintain a significant level over time ($n = 10$, $\chi^2 = 0.79$, $P = 0.376$). There was also a significant difference in the number of nests with fruit activity over time between the two species ($\chi^2 = 5.90$, $P = 0.015$). Additionally, *W. auropunctata* workers were observed entering CBB galleries in infested fruits during the experiment or were found inside of fruits during dissection in four of the ten nest replicates (Fig. 2A, Vid. 1). However, we did not observe *S. picea* entering fruits during the experiment and found no ants inside fruits upon dissection, despite observing *S. picea* entry into CBB galleries outside of experimental trials (Fig. 2B, Vid. 2).

4. Discussion

These results provide partial evidence that some ant species may predate immature CBB life stages inside of coffee fruits on plants. Both *W. auropunctata* and *S. picea* removed larvae and pupae relatively quickly after exposure, suggesting that CBB immature forms could serve as an important nutrient source

for smaller ants on coffee farms. Additionally, both ant species showed increased activity around infested CBB fruits after exposure. However, only *Wasmannia auropunctata* workers were observed entering berries during trials or found inside during dissection and this species also removed a greater amount of immature CBB overall.

The results with *Solenopsis picea* are somewhat perplexing. Despite not recording *S. picea* entry into fruits during trials, we did observe and film this behavior in the laboratory outside of the experiment. Interestingly, Gallego Ropero et al. (2005) reported *S. picea* as the most common ant found inside infested parchment berries during field experiments and also demonstrated their ability to reduce the number of CBB adults inside parchment berries in the lab. Alternatively, Gonthier et al. (2013) did not find a significant impact of this species on CBB infestation reduction on coffee plants. It is possible that *S. picea* prey consumption may be context-dependent on certain nutritional conditions, as has been shown with other generalist ant species (Wilder and Eubanks, 2010). It is also possible that parchment berries (dried fruit with pulp removed) may be easier for ants to enter than intact infested fruits and that this technique may not be entirely reliable for testing ant predation of CBB in fruits still on plants (Vega et al., 2015). One potential caveat is that our collection method did not control for the total number of workers in each nest, and that variation between nests may be driving some of our results. However, we partially controlled for this in our statistical model by including nest identity and the effect of time on nest identity as random effects.

Both *W. auropunctata* and *S. picea* are frequently encountered on coffee farms in Latin America and both are known to predate CBB adults (Gallego Ropero and Armbrrecht, 2005; Gonthier et al., 2013). Here we provide

experimental evidence that both species consume CBB immature life stages and we demonstrate that *W. auropunctata* readily enters infested berries. If this behavior results in CBB immature predation in the field, it could suggest that smaller ant species that are able to enter berries are important for suppressing CBB populations on farms. Additionally, this behavior may compliment the predation of CBB adults by larger ants, which cannot enter berries to predate immatures. While multiple species of ants on coffee plants may compete for space and resources, potentially resulting in competitive exclusion (Perfecto and Vandermeer, 2013), some research has shown that multi-trophic interactions between ants and their natural enemies can increase the importance of ant diversity for pest control on individual plants (Philpott et al., 2012). In this case, complementarity in ant functional diversity through predation of adult and immature CBB may enhance biocontrol. Age-structured population models of CBB under both adult and immature life stage predation may help demonstrate the importance of functional predatory diversity in ant communities for CBB population regulation (Gonthier et al., 2013). However, further experimentation in the field will be necessary to illuminate the full potential of ant-mediated biocontrol of CBB immature life stages inside berries on coffee plants, with a focus on promising species like *W. auropunctata*.

Considering that these two species are known to predate CBB adults (Armbrecht and Gallego, 2007; Gallego Roperro and Armbrecht, 2005; Gonthier et al., 2013) and may also be important in CBB immature predation, their conservation could enhance sustainable pest control in coffee farms. This can be supported by altering vegetation management on coffee farms and minimizing the removal of epiphytes and moss (especially on coffee), under which these species, and other potentially beneficial ants often nest (Perfecto et al., 1996; Philpott et al., 2008).

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Don Walter Peters and Finca Irlanda for allowing us to work on the coffee plantation. We thank our colleague Senay Yitbarek for his encouragement and assistance with the design of this experiment. We thank Kristel Sanchez and M. Carolina M. Simao for their help with literature translation. We thank Yu-Pu Chen and Pranav Yajnik for statistical assistance. Finally, we thank Eliot Jackson, Zachary Hajian-Forooshani, and two anonymous reviewers for helpful comments on the manuscript. Funding for this research was provided by the University of Michigan, International Institute Individual Fellowship and School of Natural Resources Thesis Research Grant to J.R.M., and National Science Foundation Grant (DEB-1144923) to I.P. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, preparation of the manuscript, or the decision to publish.

References

- AntWeb, 2016. AntWeb [WWW Document]. AntWeb. URL <http://www.antweb.org> (accessed 4.17.16).
- Armbrecht, I., Gallego, M.C., 2007. Testing ant predation on the coffee berry borer in shaded and sun coffee plantations in Colombia. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* 124, 261–267.
- Baker, P.S., Barrera, J.F., 1993. A field study of a population of coffee berry borer, *Hypothenemus hampei* (Coleoptera: Scolytidae), in Chiapas, Mexico. *Trop. Agric.* 70, 351–355.
- Barbosa, P., 1998. Conservation Biological Control, Academic Press. San Diego.
- Bustillo, A.E., Cárdenas, R., Posada, F.J., 2002. Natural Enemies and Competitors of *Hypothenemus hampei* (Ferrari) (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) in Colombia. *Neotrop. Entomol.* 31, 635–639.
- Damon, A., 2000. A review of the biology and control of the coffee berry borer, *Hypothenemus hampei* (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). *Bull. Entomol. Res.* 90, 453–465.
- Fonseca, J., Araujo, R.L., 1939. Insetos inimigos do *Hypothenemus hampei* (Ferr); a broca do café. *Bol. biológico* 4, 486–504.
- Gallego Roper, M.C., Armbrecht, I., 2005. Depredación por hormigas sobre la broca del café *Hypothenemus hampei* (Curculionidae: Scolytinae) en

- cafetales cultivados bajo dos niveles de sombra en Colombia. Manejo Integr. Plagas y Agroecol. (Costa Rica) 76, 32–40.
- Gonthier, D.J., Ennis, K.K., Philpott, S.M., Vandermeer, J., Perfecto, I., 2013. Ants defend coffee from berry borer colonization. BioControl 58, 815–820.
- Jaramillo, J., Borgemeister, C., Baker, P., 2006. Coffee berry borer *Hypothenemus hampei* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae): searching for sustainable control strategies. Bull. Entomol. Res. 96, 223–233.
- Jha, S., Bacon, C.M., Philpott, S.M., Méndez, V.E., Läderach, P., Rice, R.A., 2014. Shade coffee: Update on a disappearing refuge for biodiversity. Bioscience 64, 416–428.
- Jiménez-Soto, E., Cruz-Rodríguez, J.A., Vandermeer, J., Perfecto, I., 2013. *Hypothenemus hampei* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) and its Interactions With *Azteca instabilis* and *Pheidole synanthropica* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) in a Shade Coffee Agroecosystem. Environ. Entomol. 42, 915–924.
- Larsen, A., Philpott, S.M., 2010. Twig-Nesting Ants: The Hidden Predators of the Coffee Berry Borer in Chiapas, Mexico. Biotropica 42, 342–347.
- Moguel, P., Toledo, V.M., 1999. Biodiversity Conservation in Traditional Coffee Systems of Mexico. Conserv. Biol. 13, 11–21.
- Morris, J.R., Vandermeer, J., Perfecto, I., 2015. A Keystone Ant Species Provides Robust Biological Control of the Coffee Berry Borer Under Varying Pest Densities. PLoS One 10, e0142850.
- Perfecto, I., Rice, R.A., Greenberg, R., Van der Voort, M.E., 1996. Shade Coffee : A Disappearing Refuge for Biodiversity. Bioscience 46, 598–608.
- Perfecto, I., Vandermeer, J., 2015. Coffee Agroecology: A new approach to understanding agricultural biodiversity, ecosystem services and sustainable development. Routledge, London; New York.
- Perfecto, I., Vandermeer, J., 2013. Ant Assemblage on a Coffee Farm: Spatial Mosaic Versus Shifting Patchwork. Environ. Entomol. 42, 38–48.
- Philpott, S.M., Arendt, W.J., Armbrecht, I., Bichier, P., Diestch, T. V, Gordon, C., Greenberg, R., Perfecto, I., Reynoso-Santos, R., Soto-Pinto, L., Tejeda-Cruz, C., Williams-Linera, G., Valenzuela, J., Zolotoff, J.M., 2008. Biodiversity loss in Latin American coffee landscapes: review of the evidence on ants, birds, and trees. Conserv. Biol. 22, 1093–1105.
- Philpott, S.M., Pardee, G.L., Gonthier, D.J., 2012. Cryptic biodiversity effects: importance of functional redundancy revealed through addition of food web complexity. Ecology 93, 992–1001.
- R Core Team, 2016. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.
- Tribble, W., Carroll, R., 2014. Manipulating tropical fire ants to reduce the coffee berry borer. Ecol. Entomol. 39, 1–7.
- Vandermeer, J., Perfecto, I., Philpott, S., 2010. Ecological Complexity and Pest Control in Organic Coffee Production: Uncovering an Autonomous Ecosystem Service. Bioscience 60, 527–537.
- Varón, E.H., Hanson, P., Borbón, O., Carballo, M., Hilje, L., 2004. Potencial de hormigas como depredadoras de la broca del café (*Hypothenemus hampei*) en Costa Rica. Manejo Integr. Plagas y Agroecol. (Costa Rica) 2, 42–50.
- Vázquez Moreno, L.L., Jiménez, E.B., Claro, O.E., Brito, Y.M., Simonetti, J.A., 2006. Observaciones Sobre Enemigos Naturales de la Broca del Café (*Hypothenemus hampei* Ferrari) en Cuba. Fitosanidad 10, 307–308.
- Vega, F.E., Infante, F., Castillo, A., Jaramillo, J., 2009. The coffee berry borer, *Hypothenemus hampei* (Ferrari) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae): a short review, with recent findings and future research directions. Terr. Arthropod Rev. 2, 129–147.

- Vega, F.E., Infante, F., Johnson, A.J., 2015. The Genus *Hypothenemus*, with Emphasis on *H. hampei*, the Coffee Berry Borer, in: Vega, F.E., Hofstetter, R.W. (Eds.), *Bark Beetles: Biology and Ecology of Native and Invasive Species*. Elsevier Inc., San Diego, CA, pp. 427–494.
- Vélez, M., Bustillo, A.E., Posada, F.J., 2006. Depredación de *Hypothenemus hampei* por hormigas durante el secado solar del café. *Manejo Integr. Plagas y Agroecol. (Costa Rica)* 77, 62–69.
- Wetterer, J.K., Porter, S.D., 2003. The Little Fire Ant, *Wasmannia auropunctata*: Distribution, Impact and Control. *Sociobiology* 41, 1–41.
- Wilder, S.M., Eubanks, M.D., 2010. Extrafloral nectar content alters foraging preferences of a predatory ant. *Biol. Lett.* 6, 177–179.

Figures

Figure 1. (A) Ant removal of coffee berry borer (CBB) larvae and pupae. Data points represent mean ($\pm$ SE) number of CBB larvae/pupae remaining at each time interval after exposure to *Wasmannia auropunctata* and *Solenopsis picea* nests. (B) Ant activity on coffee berry borer infested fruits. Here “activity” refers to ants that were either near (on platform), on, or passing into fruits at each observation. Data points represent the proportion of nest replicates ($n = 10$) of *Wasmannia auropunctata* and *Solenopsis picea* with fruit activity at each time interval. Significance differences are indicated by lowercase letters ($P < 0.05$)

Figure 2. Observations of ants in coffee berry borer (CCB) galleries in coffee fruits. (A) A *Wasmannia auropunctata* worker emerges from a CBB gallery in a heavily infested coffee fruit. (B) A *Solenopsis picea* worker enters an abandoned CBB gallery in a green coffee fruit. Photos were taken by J.R.M. in the laboratory.

Figure 2

A

B