Approaches and mechanisms for ecologically based pest management across multiple scales

Zi-Hua Zhao^{a,*}, Gadi V. P. Reddy^b, Cang Hui^{c, d}, Bai-Lian Li^e

^a Department of Entomology, College of Plant Protection, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, China;

^b Montana State University, Western Triangle Ag Research Center, 9546 Old Shelby Road, PO Box 656, Conrad, MT 59425, USA;

^c Centre for Invasion Biology, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Matieland 7602, South Africa;

^d Mathematical and Physical Biosciences, African Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Muizenberg 7945, South Africa;

^e Ecological Complexity and Modeling Laboratory, Department of Botany and Plant Sciences, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521-0124, USA

Correspondence author. E-mail: zhzhao@cau.edu.cn

ABSTRACT

The past 50 years have seen substantial change of agroecosystems in the world, including an intensified use of agrochemicals and expansion of cropland, resulting in a rapid loss of biodiversity and a reduction of ecosystem services. The effects of these changes, at both the field and landscape scale, on ecologically based pest management (EBPM) in agroecosystems have become increasingly important. Here, we review the theories, important approaches and mechanisms of habitat management practices (at multiple spatial scales) that can be applied to facilitate EBPM in crop fields and even over larger landscapes. In particular, we discuss links between pest outbreaks and rapid changes of habitat composition at local and regional scales. We also summarize recent progress of habitat management and their application to pest management, which is an activity that we believe must be implemented at multiple spatial scales to successfully conserve ecosystem services and address environmental issues related to crop pest control.

Keywords: Biodiversity, cover crop, landscape pattern, population dynamics, rotation, scale.

1. Introduction

Landscape-level patterns of land use can affect both ecosystem processes and local food web structures (Gagic et al., 2012, Zhao et al., 2015). Over the past 50 years, rapid development, urbanization and agricultural intensification have resulted in extensive conversion of land cover, resulting in habitat loss and fragmentation of rural and semi-natural landscapes, which has in turn reduced biodiversity and natural biocontrol in agroecosystems (Bianchi et al., 2006, Tscharntke et al., 2007). This has been the result of change both in crop fields and, at the landscape level, changes around crops. In fields, the increased use of fertilizer and pesticides has changed plant nutrition levels and soil structure in ways that favor agricultural pests (Gagic et al., 2012, Jonsson et al., 2012). Concurrently, at the landscape level, cropland expansion into formerly semi-natural habitats has altered the vegetative composition at this spatial scale, affecting arthropod communities and facilitating outbreaks of agricultural pests (Macfadyen et al., 2011, Tscharntke et al., 2012b).

Although many tactics have been applied to enhance biodiversity conservation in agricultural landscapes, largely by adding semi-natural habitats (Brevault et al., 2014; Deguine and Penvern, 2014), their effects on the functional biodiversity of natural enemies are still unclear, especially for enhancing the efficacy of biocontrol through boosting natural enemies. In addition, how to simultaneously improve ecosystem healthy and functional biodiversity through habitat management has yet to be explored (Landis et al., 2000; Macfadyen et al., 2012). Therefore, we focused on summarizing methods for management aiming at boosting biocontrol through

enhancing natural natural enemies and their associated functional biodiversity, which could help to narrow the gap between sustainable agriculture and biodiversity conservation (Tscharntke et al., 2012b).

2. Sustainable agriculture and ecologically based pest management

To reverse aforementioned negative trends, ecologically based pest management (EBPM) proposes strategies that link agricultural fields to the broader landscape through deliberate landscape design and modification (Landis et al., 2000; Altieri and Nicholls, 2003b). Such habitat management has been successfully applied to pest population management at both the local and the broader landscape levels (Beduschi et al., 2015, Schneider et al., 2015). When using habitat management to recover the biocontrol potential of natural enemies in modern agroecosystems, it is important to understand the effects of agricultural intensification, including agrochemical inputs, within the context of field and cropland expansion at the landscape scale (Fig.1).

With the development and improvement of spatial ecology (3S technology: Remote Sensing System, Geographical Information System, and Global Positioning System), habitat composition and landscape structure across multiple spatial scales can now be analyzed quantitatively with ease. Therefore, the relationship between landscape structure and the tritrophic interactions of crops, pests, and natural enemies can be thoroughly investigated in insect ecology (O'Rourke et al., 2011). However, while many studies have indirectly determined the effects of agricultural intensification on pest population control by natural enemies at either a local or a landscape scale, none

85 have examined both, due to the different paradigms involved (Batary et al., 2012,
86 Zhao et al., 2013a).

87 The EBPM emphasizes that habitat management to control crop pests should
88 consider effects of controls applied on other ecosystem services such as
89 environmental issues, pollination, and biodiversity, especially at the landscape or
90 regional scales (Koul and Cuperus, 2006; Brewer and Goodell, 2012, Macfadyen et al.,
91 2012). In China, changes in the agricultural landscapes have led to both spatial and
92 temporal rearrangements of croplands and semi-natural habitats. The result has been a
93 mosaic cycle of plantings and greater fragmentation of semi-natural habitats (Thies et
94 al., 2008, Zhao et al., 2012).

95 In addition to the influence of such landscape-level changes on the abundance and
96 intraspecific interactions between pests and natural enemies, agricultural practices
97 (e.g., increasing fertilizer inputs) at the field level also influence the growth and
98 development of agricultural pests by enhancing the amino acids and sugars available
99 in plants (Robert, 2002, Lehmann et al., 2003). Indeed, earlier studies have suggested
100 that EBPM should address influences that operate at multiple spatial scales, and
101 should also consider pest management in a holistic framework (Landis et al., 2000,
102 Tscharntke et al., 2007, Fig. 1). Such a framework should include all ecosystem
103 services derived from croplands and adjacent habitats as well as environmental
104 pollutants coming from crop fields, rather than having a narrow focus on only the
105 effectiveness and sustainability of pest control methods (Jonsson et al., 2008,
106 Tscharntke et al., 2007). Here, we examine how field level pest management practices

can best be integrated into landscape management in ways that promote both ecological services and pest control. We first review in-field ecological pest control practices and then practices that operate outside crop fields at the landscape level (Fig. 1). We conclude with a discussion of how to integrate these two levels of pest management.

3. Approaches and mechanisms of EBPM

3.1 Local-scale practices for EBPM

Agricultural practices promoting EBPM within fields include crop rotation, cover cropping, no-tillage practices, and other habitat management techniques, all of which have become widely used in orchards and other crop fields throughout the USA and Europe (Shrestha et al., 2002, dos Santos et al., 2011, Mirsky et al., 2012, Fig. 2). In China, intercropping technology has been developed to achieve EBPM by enhancing plant diversity, which also could retain high levels of crop production (Zhao et al., 2013b). Studies have also demonstrated that healthy soil with favorable biological, physical, and chemical properties can produce a healthy crop with few external inputs or adverse ecological effects (van Bruggen and Semenov, 2000, Herencia et al., 2011, Altieri et al., 2012, Sapkota et al., 2012). These techniques seek to manipulate field microenvironments and crop nutrition in ways that enhance natural enemies while suppressing pests. In addition, it is now clear that increasing agrochemical inputs (fertilizer and pesticides) to improve crop production has frequently had unanticipated negative effects on pest abundance and the arthropod community in crop fields and

surrounding vegetation (Bourn and Prescott, 2002, Altieri and Nicholls, 2003a, Amtmann et al., 2008, Woltz et al., 2012). Increasing nitrogen availability, often an important limiting factor in an agroecosystem, may also increase the development rate and fecundity of phytophagous insects, especially in a simplified landscape, leading to increased pest densities in intensive agriculture (Sikora, 1992, Ratnadass et al., 2012).

Furthermore, low pesticide doses (sub-lethal) may actually enhance the growth, development, and oviposition of some phytophagous insects, leading to outbreaks of these agricultural pests (Morse 1998, Liang et al., 2013, Roubos et al., 2014).

Integrated pest management (IPM) systems have, since 1959, successfully developed a series of chemical, physical and biological methods based on a pest's economic threshold that have been used to lower pesticide use (Kogan, 1998; Parsa et al., 2014).

However, environmental issues and global changes have created an urgent need for greater use of EBPM (Matson et al., 1997). Therefore, while the use of IPM could effectively control some pest species, the absence of using conventional pesticides in IPM might permit the emergence of other non-targeted pests. Since the composition of pest species can shift with the use of particular technologies, a mixture of

cross-disciplinary approaches based on sound ecological principles are important for the success of EBPM (Brevault et al., 2014, Table 1). Several EBPM technologies,

including functional plants (companion plants, repellent plants, barrier plants, indicator plants, trap plants and banker plants), crop rotation, no tillage, and

resistant breeding have been successfully developed in the past few decades (Atkinson et al., 2012, Parolin et al., 2012, Table 1).

151 In addition, plant breeding technology (increased hairiness, higher tannins, removal
152 of plant nectars) has also contributed to EBPM, especially in the creation of
153 pest-resistant and pathogen-resistant varieties (Smith and Clement, 2012).
154 Additionally, many resistant crop varieties have been developed by using traditional
155 breeding technology. Herbivore-Induced Plant Volatiles (HIPVs) produced by
156 functional plants can also be used to repel/attract pests or attract their natural enemies.
157 The presence of some functional plants near crops may also produce potential volatile
158 signals that warn adjacent plants of impending pest attack (Cook et al., 2007, Khan et
159 al., 2008). Bank plants (such as alfalfa, *Medicago sativa* L.) adjacent to crop field can
160 provide habitat for natural enemies in winter and allow enhanced migration back into
161 crop fields when pest populations increase (Straub et al., 2014). Meanwhile, managing
162 soil health as a means to achieve plant health has brought a bottom-up approach to
163 IPM (Scherber et al., 2010, Haas and Defago, 2005, Chaparro et al., 2012). Besides, a
164 healthy soil can produce healthy crops with minimal agrochemical inputs. A healthy
165 soil will also have favorable biological, physical, and chemical properties that should
166 support many ground-dwelling predators that further increase the level of biocontrol
167 of agricultural pests (Altieri, 1999, Hiltbold et al., 2012, Jiménez-Díaz et al., 2015). In
168 contrast, poor soil may cause crops to emit stress signals to potential attackers,
169 thereby increasing the risk of pest damage (Bernard et al., 2012, Razinger et al., 2014).
170 Crops grown in healthy soil with favorable aeration and water availability
171 demonstrate greater resistance to and tolerance of agricultural pests (Smith et al., 2013,
172 Schmidt et al., 2014). For example, crops growing in friable soils with adequate

aeration are more resistant to pests than those growing in compacted soils (Altieri and Nicholls, 2003b; Tscharntke et al., 2012a). Thus, plant health and soil health are the two most important aspects of EBPM (Zehnder et al., 2007, Chaplin-Kramer et al., 2011, Chaparro et al., 2012, Ehrmann and Ritz, 2014). Therefore, both above- and below ground management should be simultaneously conducted to achieve EBPM.

In a bottom-up strategy, a large number of habitat management technologies, both below- and aboveground, can be used. Aboveground tactics include crop rotation, diversified planting, cover crops, organic farming practices and plant breeding. Belowground tactics include nutrient management and no-till techniques (Table 1, Fig. 2). Biologically healthy soils harbor many different organisms, including nematodes, springtails, insect larvae, ants, earthworms, and ground beetles, as well as microorganisms like bacteria, fungi, and protozoa (Altieri 1999, Altieri and Toledo, 2011). Crop rotation and no-till agricultural practices can also improve the soil micro-environment to attract natural enemies or suppress pests (Geiger et al., 2011).

Gagic et al. (2012) argue that components of insect communities may be affected differently by crop nutrition and fertilizer inputs, causing shifts of community structure over time among trophic levels (parasitism and predator-prey ratio) and affecting food web structure (link density, vulnerability, and generality). Bianchi et al. (2013), meanwhile, reported that the transition from conventional to organic management may lead to higher pest populations than in either conventional fields or fields with extensive use of organic practices. The decreasing pesticide application and stable environment in organic management could improve natural enemy

diversity and crop resistance, which would then suppress pests (Deguine and Penvern, 2014; Marcotegui et al. 2015, Marliac et al., 2016).

Various planting strategies can also be used to control pest population. Plants chosen for specific management roles, including banker plants, barrier plants, companion plants, indicator plants, insectary plants, repellent plants, and trap plants (sometimes called secondary plants) can all be used in crop fields to enhance biocontrol of pests (Parolin et al., 2012, Zhao et al., 2015). While use of functional plants is a promising strategy, further studies are needed to assess the different applications of each functional plant group and its potential to provide EBPM.

3.2 Landscape-scale practices for EBPM

Studies have examined the effects of landscape patterns on pest abundance and natural enemy diversity (Elliott et al., 2002, Brewer et al., 2012, Bianchi et al., 2013) (Table 2, Fig. 3). Kruess (2003) found that non-crop habitats such as pasture and woodlands could enhance species diversity and natural enemy abundance at the landscape scale. Menalled et al. (2003) showed that both parasitism and natural enemy diversity were higher when non-crop habitat accounted for >20% of an agricultural landscape. Elliott et al. (2002) reported that heterogeneous landscapes had higher abundance of ladybird beetles, increasing predator/prey ratios in a complex landscapes. However, spatial scale was an important factor in each of these effects, suggesting that different tactics should be applied at multiple spatial scales (Fig. 3).

At a regional scale, landscape simplification caused by cropland expansion can lead

to a loss of biocontrol and decreased biodiversity (Roland and Taylor, 1997). Decline in the heterogeneity of agricultural landscapes has also rearranged the habitat patches within the changing landscape pattern (Steingrover et al., 2010). EBPM has paid particular attention to how species composition and pest abundance is changed by the redistribution of plant resources and refuges in agricultural landscapes (Jonsson et al., 2014). Many studies have reported that increasing landscape complexity increases the abundance and diversity of natural enemies and reduces population density of agricultural pests (Gonthier et al., 2014, Zhao et al., 2014). Non-crop patches supporting alternative hosts or prey in complex landscapes act as reserves of natural enemies after crop harvest (Schellhorn et al., 2014), and such structurally complex landscapes with more refuges provide more stable and continuous habitat for natural enemies for overwintering (Landis et al., 2005, Zhao et al., 2013a, Schellhorn et al., 2014, Bynum et al., 2015). For example, artificially sown patches or strips of flowering plants are important sources of forage for bees and natural enemies, and also provide undisturbed pesticide-free habitats for parasitoids and predators (Scheid et al., 2011, Gurr et al., 2012, Wratten et al., 2012). Such flower fields can enhance the abundance of biocontrol agents associated with the ecological management of agricultural pests (Geneau et al., 2012). Clough et al. (2005) found that the species richness of ground-dwelling predators was higher in heterogeneous landscapes than homogeneous landscapes, suggesting spatial heterogeneity at a broad scale to be an important driving force in enhancing spider (and other predator) diversity in agroecosystems. The diversity and richness of natural enemies can therefore be

enhanced to achieve biocontrol of agricultural pests by changing the agricultural landscapes (Tscharntke et al., 2012b). Many other researchers have found that landscape complexity can facilitate species diversity of natural enemies and sustainable management of agricultural pests (Gardiner et al., 2009, Gardiner et al., 2010, Winqvist et al., 2011, Veres et al., 2013). However, some researchers have reported that increased landscape complexity had no significant effect on pest damage, or even slightly increased pest densities. D'Alberto et al., (2012) also found that permanent habitats, including pasture and woody vegetation, had no significant correlation to the abundance of spiders at the landscape scale. Vollhardt et al. (2008) also reported that landscape complexity had no significant effect on the species diversity of parasitic wasps in wheat fields. In the past decades, most research on this topic has focused on relationships between landscape patterns and biodiversity, abundance and richness, while interspecies relationships and intraguild interactions among natural enemies received less attention (Landis et al., 2000, Burel et al., 2013).

Pest abundance may be another important cause driving changes to the natural enemy community in a gradient of landscape complexity, which has sometimes been neglected when the effects of landscape patterns on natural enemy communities have been analyzed (Borkhataria et al., 2012, Veres et al., 2013). Furthermore, different natural enemy groups (such as parasitic wasps, leaf- and ground-dwelling predators) may show different responses to landscape patterns, suggesting that landscape complexity may benefit some species while having no effects on others at a specific scale (Rand and Tscharntke, 2007, Diehl et al., 2013). The effects of host density and

species on natural enemies should therefore be examined in further research (Schmidt et al., 2003, O'Rourke et al., 2011).

Over the past decade, researchers have proposed several hypotheses (resource conservation hypothesis and natural enemy hypothesis) of how pest populations might be regulated, such as the top-down and bottom-up processes that act to limit herbivore densities (Root, 1973, Landis et al., 2000, Tscharnkte et al., 2012b). These regulating forces can be grouped into four mechanisms with respect to how landscape patterns affect pest population management (Fig. 3).

First, in several studies, plant distribution and the spatial arrangement of landscape pattern was the key influence in determining the level of biocontrol of the studied pests (e.g., Tscharnkte et al., 2002, Schmidt et al., 2008). In addition, only certain plant species—those which supply pollen, nectar, shelter, or over-wintering habitat for natural enemies—enhanced biocontrol (Balzan and Wackers, 2013). Flower strips along field margins and inter-sowing cabbage fields with flowers are examples of some practices used to promote increased numbers of ground-dwelling predators as well as general arthropod biodiversity (Ditner et al., 2013).

Second, in other cases, the spatial and temporal dynamics of the landscape mosaic may significantly affect pest's population dynamics (Chaplin-Kramer et al., 2013). Most agricultural pests in arable land need to emigrate out of crop fields in autumn and return to crop fields in spring. Similarly, some natural enemies need several different habitats to complete seasonal their life history (Blitzer et al., 2012, Veres et al., 2013, Zhao et al., 2015). Seasonal population fluctuations of the mango fly

(*Anastrepha obliqua* (Macquart) (Diptera: Tephritidae) indicated that *A. obliqua* migrate among several orchards for food search and oviposition sites over time, as different fruits mature (Aluja 1994). Another example of habitat switching is that of a parasitoid (*Aphidius avenae* (F.) (Homoptera: Aphididae) of cereal aphids, which as an adult needs to feed on nectar of wild flowers as an adult and yet also must enter grain fields to search for host aphids during its egg laying period (Zhao et al., 2013a). Even entomophagous predators (e.g., carabid beetles) may need heterogeneous landscapes to complete their life cycle, with larvae stages depending on perennial or undisturbed habitats (Coombes and Sotherton, 1986). Thus, movement is critical to escape from temporary disturbances and to find resources scattered in space and time (Bishop and Riechert, 1990, Schellhorn et al., 2014).

The third mechanism, landscape connectivity (habitat net), is a useful index for assessing landscape patterns, as increasing connectivity can enhance the biocontrol of agricultural pests (Thies and Tscharntke, 1999, Baguette et al., 2013). For example, landscape connectivity can shift habitat arrangements (physical mosaic patterns), thus changing the population density of coffee berry borer (*Hypothenemus hampei* (Ferrari) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) after cropland expansion and simplification (Avelino et al., 2012). In European canola (*Brassica napus* L. (Brassicaceae) fields, high landscape connectivity enhances the abundance and spread of important parasitoids (*Meligethes* spp. Stephens (Coleoptera: Nitidulidae) of the key pest (*Meligethes aeneus* F. (Coleoptera: Nitidulidae), of the crop, leading to higher levels of parasitism (Thies et al., 2003). While some researchers have found landscape complexity to have

no significant effects on natural enemies (Menalled et al., 2003, Vollhardt et al., 2008), most have found that low connectivity of landscape pattern suppressed biocontrol (Kruess, 2003, Tschardt et al., 2007, Zhao et al., 2014). A network of semi-natural non-crop landscape elements in an agricultural landscape can enhance biocontrol and biodiversity by providing various resources for the survival of beneficial insects that suppress crop pests but require resources in non-crop habitat patches (Steingrover et al., 2010)

The fourth mechanism by which landscape patterns affect pest levels is that loss of semi-natural habitats in an agricultural landscape has a negative effect on natural enemies (Hunter, 2002, Benton et al., 2003, Rand et al., 2006). With the expansion of cropland expansion and agricultural intensification, non-crop habitats have dramatically decreased (Crowder and Jabbour, 2014, Rand et al., 2014), compromising their ability to sustain a diversified community of natural enemies and resulting in the decline of key biocontrol agents and an increased possibility of outbreaks of some pests (Kruess and Tschardt, 2000; Ratnadass and Barzman, 2014). High loss of key habitats may disrupt the relationships between pests and their natural enemies, and decreasing levels of biocontrol through reducing refuges or overwintering habitats in agricultural landscapes (With et al., 2002). Therefore, habitat loss may cause distribution and diversity of natural enemy while habitat fragmentation (connectivity) affect upper trophic species (like parasitoids) to disappear from an area before it affects herbivores like tephritid flies because the latter are stronger fliers. This then provides a mechanism to distinguish the effect of

habitat loss from lack of connectivity, with the latter being more a question of distance not among patches of the non-crop habitat but distances between crops and non-crop habitat (Aluja et al., 2014).

4. Recent progresses in EBPM at multiple spatial scales

Although increasing agrochemical inputs and cropland expansion have greatly enhanced certain ecosystem services (chiefly crop yield) over the past several decades (Altieri et al., 2012), this same agricultural intensification has decreased other ecosystem services (such as biodiversity conservation and biocontrol) (Batary et al., 2012, Bianchi et al., 2013). In the last 50 years, environmental pollution (Brewer and Goodell, 2012), biodiversity loss (Geiger et al., 2011), soil degradation (Benayas and Bullock, 2012), and lack of food security (Tscharntke et al., 2012a) have become global issues, making habitat management at both the local and regional scale a crucial strategy (Brewer and Goodell, 2012, Macfadyen et al., 2012, Tscharntke et al., 2012b). There is an urgent need for sustainable pest control and crop production methods that can allow croplands to provide multiple ecosystem services that are being lost with the current agricultural practices (Losey and Vaughan, 2006, Fiedler et al., 2008, Jonsson et al., 2014) (Table 3). For example, the pollination service can be enhanced by increasing honeybee in landscapes with a high percentage of semi-natural habitats. In addition, insects could provide culture services (defined as aesthetic values, recreation and ecotourism) such as those used in arts and cultural heritage (Table 3). A multiple scale approach, appropriately adjusted to fit field,

landscape and regional needs, could regulate a plant-pest-natural enemy system through habitat design at both the landscape and agricultural field levels, enhancing crop production and soil conditions (Krawchuk and Taylor, 2003, Gonthier et al., 2014).

This article has mentioned several technologies for potentially enhancing pest control, at either the field or landscape scale, through enhanced healthy of under- and above ground (soil and crop). How to integrate these technologies to achieve better pest control remains a relatively unexplored area (Robert, 2002, Weibull et al., 2003, Rusch et al., 2013). Multidisciplinary methods (incorporating agronomy, plant breeding, ecology and geographical information) may provide an effective avenue to achieve agricultural sustainability and multiple ecosystem services (Ratnadass et al., 2012, Woltz et al., 2013, Smith et al., 2013). The use of habitat management has recently been extended to provide multiple ecosystem services addressing both regional and local environmental issues (Kleyer et al., 2007, Batary et al., 2008, Brewer and Goodell, 2012). However, past IPM has generated an incentive dilemma between IPM activities aimed at enhancing yields for individual farmers and IPM activities aiming to provide long-term benefits for the region as a whole (Kogan, 1998).

As advanced habitat management methods have become available in agroecosystems, ecologists have been challenged to reconcile the additional costs and risks of these techniques with the long-term benefits that accrue to regional landscapes rather than to just individual landholders. Habitat management aiming to

achieve common goals beyond the short-term should consider the multifunctional benefits (trade-off effect) – both market- and environment-based – of sustainable agriculture (Brewer and Goodell, 2012). In addition, pest population management should consider both crop habitats and adjacent non-crop habitats, as the surrounding landscape may have strong effects on natural enemy distribution (Tscharnkte et al., 2012a). However, farmers have little or no control over other land use in the larger landscape. It may be difficult to “design” landscapes to enhance pest control because of conflicting objectives by groups or individuals, other than farmers, living in the landscape. So, the government should encourage collaboration of multiple fields and carry out economic tactics such as compensation for loss of some subsidies (Steingrover et al., 2010). Regional projects that required all farmers in specific development areas to adhere to rules governing when the key crop was planted, harvested, and residues destroyed. Additionally, resistance management programs for resistant crops that requires some acreage be planted to non-resistant versions of the crop. This is also the regional community’s benefit rather than just the individual farmer (who may suffer some yield loss in the short run in the non-resistant part of his planting) (Carroll et al., 2012).

There is a growing consensus among ecologists that landscape pattern and habitat composition affect biotic interactions and interspecific relationships (Golden and Crist, 1999, Brewer and Goodell, 2012). It has become increasingly clear that insect distribution and abundance, as well as population dynamics, trophic interactions and community composition within a particular habitat may all depend on the patterns and

processes of the larger surrounding landscape (Krawchuk and Taylor, 2003, Zhao et al., 2013b).

Habitat management can greatly affect the population dynamics of pests and natural enemies in agricultural landscapes (Brewer and Elliott, 2004). Changes to any landscape's structure and process can affect a natural enemy's ability to control a pest, a process supplied by landscape ecology (Thies et al., 2003). Therefore, qualitatively relationship between landscape structure and biocontrol provided by natural enemies would provide appropriate landscape design and modification could achieve EBPM, especially with the rapid development of modern information technology (Zhao et al., 2012).

5. Conclusion

The coordinated development of economic and environmental factors is one of the most important characteristics of habitat management, which could be used to achieve multiple ecosystem services. Any single technology will not completely control pest damage. For example, while one particular pest could be managed by one pest-resistant cultivar crop, new secondary pests can sometimes become the main pests (Ahuja et al., 2010). To better understand this phenomenon, future studies should examine the arthropod community and arthropod-plant interactions, which has been called the full-quantitative food web method (Van Veen et al., 2008, Plecas et al., 2014). EBPM aims at enhancing regional environment across multiple spatial scales while the conventional IPM largely focuses on the agricultural management within

fields (Kogan, 1998; Altieri and Nicholls, 2003b). Sustainable agriculture currently faces a great challenge from global environmental changes, and the solution to this challenge requires joint forces from farmer associations and landowners (Ostman et al., 2001). To this end, the government needs to make policies promoting the farmer-to-farmer cooperation and coordinated management. Ecologists and agricultural scientists could also publicize their science through sufficient education and training so that the gap between individual goals and social benefit can be narrowed (Brewer and Goodell, 2012).

Few studies have examined the relationship between pest management and the food web structure of the arthropod community (Kruess and Tscharntke, 2000). In order to understand the effects of landscape pattern and agricultural practice on the population dynamics of specific species, it is vital to measure the shift of the arthropod food web caused by human activity. In the future, the arthropod food web structure at a large scale and its energy flow characteristics will become some of the most important issues for pest population management in sustainable agriculture (Jonsen and Fahrig, 1997). The interacting and mutually reinforcing processes of agricultural practice within the field, and landscape design at the landscape level contribute to EBPM through quantitative food web and energy flow modification that have important implications for agricultural sustainability and other environmental issues (Cumming et al., 2014, Gonthier et al., 2014).

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Prof. Yanhui Lu (Institute of Plant Protection, Chinese Academy of Agriculture) for constructive comments on an earlier draft of this manuscript. Financial support came from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31400349) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 2015QC013). CH is supported by the National Research Foundation of South Africa (nos. 89967 and 81825). GVPR is supported by USDA-National Institute of Food and Agriculture Hatch (Accession#MONB00859) and Montana Wheat and Barley Committee. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the view of the National Institute of Food and Agriculture (NIFA) or the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA).

References

- Ahuja, I., Rohloff, J., Bones, A.M., 2010. Defense mechanisms of *Brassicaceae*: implications for plant-insect interactions and potential for integrated pest management. A review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 30, 311–348.
- Akhtar, M., Malik, A., 2000. Roles of organic soil amendments and soil organisms in the biological control of plant-parasitic nematodes: a review. *Bioresource Technol.* 74, 35–47.
- Altieri, M.A., 1999. The ecological role of biodiversity in agroecosystems. *Agri. Ecosyst. Environ.* 74, 19–31.
- Altieri, M.A., Nicholls, C.I., 2003a. Soil fertility management and insect pests: harmonizing soil and plant health in agroecosystems. *Soil Till. Res.* 72, 203–211.
- Altieri, M.A., Nicholls, C.I., 2003b. Ecologically based pest management: a key pathway to achieving agroecosystem health. In: *Managing for Healthy Ecosystems*. D.J. Rapport et al. (eds). CRC Press, Boca Raton. pp 993-1004.
- Altieri, M.A., Toledo, V.M., 2011. The agroecological revolution in Latin America: rescuing nature, ensuring food sovereignty and empowering peasants. *J. Peasant Stud.* 38, 587–612.
- Altieri, M.A., Funes-Monzote, F.R., Petersen, P., 2012. Agroecologically efficient agricultural systems for smallholder farmers: contributions to food sovereignty. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 32, 1–13.
- Aluja, M., 1994. Bionomics and management of *Anastrepha*. *Annu Rev Entomol.* 39, 155–178.

473 Aluja, M., Sivinski, J. Van Driesche, R., Anzures-Dadda, A., Guilen, L., 2014. Pest
474 management through tropical tree conservation. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 24, 831–853

475 Andow, D.A., 1991. Vegetational diversity and arthropod population response. *Annu.*
476 *Rev. Entomol.* 36, 561–586.

477 Amtmann, A., Troufflard, S., Armengaud, P., 2008 The effect of potassium nutrition
478 on pest and disease resistance in plants. *Physiol. Plantarum* 133, 682–691.

479 Atkinson, H.J., Lilley, C.J., Urwin, P.E., 2012. Strategies for transgenic nematode
480 control in developed and developing world crops. *Curr. Opin. Biotechn.* 23,
481 251–256

482 Avelino, J., Romero-Gurdian, A., Cruz-Cuellar, H.F., Declerck, F.A.J., 2012.
483 Landscape context and scale differentially impact coffee leaf rust, coffee berry
484 borer, and coffee root-knot nematodes. *Ecol. Appl.* 22, 584–596

485 Baguette, M., Blanchet, S., Legrand, D., Stevens, V.M., Turlure, C., 2013. Individual
486 dispersal, landscape connectivity and ecological networks. *Biol. Rev.* 88,
487 310–326.

488 Balzan, M.V., Wackers, F.L., 2013. Flowers to selectively enhance the fitness of a
489 host-feeding parasitoid: Adult feeding by *Tuta absoluta* and its parasitoid
490 *Necremnus artynes*. *Biol. Control* 67, 21–31.

491 Batary, P., Kovacs, A., Baldi, A., 2008. Management effects on carabid beetles and
492 spiders in central Hungarian grasslands and cereal fields. *Community Ecol.* 9,
493 247–254.

494 Batary, P., Holzschuh, A., Orci, K.M., Samu, F., Tscharntke, T., 2012 Responses of

495 plant, insect and spider biodiversity to local and landscape scale management
 496 intensity in cereal crops and grasslands. *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.* 146, 130–136.
 497 Beduschi, T., Tscharntke, T., Scherber, C., 2015. Using multi-level generalized path
 498 analysis to understand herbivore and parasitoid dynamics in changing landscapes.
 499 *Landscape Ecol.* 30, 1975–1986.
 500 Benayas, J.M.R., Bullock, J.M., 2012. Restoration of biodiversity and ecosystem
 501 services on agricultural land. *Ecosystems* 15, 883–899.
 502 Benton, T.G., Vickery, J.A., Wilson, J.D., 2003. Farmland biodiversity: is habitat
 503 heterogeneity the key? *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 18, 182–188.
 504 Bernard, E., Larkin, R.P., Tavantzis, S., Erich, M.S., Alyokhin, A., Sewell, G., Lannan,
 505 A., Gross, S.D., 2012. Compost, rapeseed rotation, and biocontrol agents
 506 significantly impact soil microbial communities in organic and conventional
 507 potato production systems. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 52, 29–41.
 508 Bianchi, F.J.J.A., Booij, C.J.H., Tscharntke, T., 2006. Sustainable pest regulation in
 509 agricultural landscapes: a review on landscape composition, biodiversity and
 510 natural pest control. *P. Roy. Soc. B-Biol. Sci.* 273, 1715–1727.
 511 Bianchi, F.J.J.A., Ives, A.R., Schellhorn, N.A., 2013. Interactions between
 512 conventional and organic farming for biocontrol services across the landscape.
 513 *Ecol. Appl.* 23, 1531–1543.
 514 Bishop, L., Riechert, S.E., 1990. Spider colonization of agroecosystems-mode and
 515 source. *Environ. Entomol.* 19, 1738–1745.
 516 Blitzer, E.J., Dormann, C.F., Holzschuh, A., Klein, A.M., Rand, T.A., Tscharntke, T.,

517 2012. Spillover of functionally important organisms between managed and
 518 natural habitats. *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.* 146, 34–43.

519 Borkhataria, R.R., Collazo, J.A., Groom, M.J., 2012. Species abundance and potential
 520 biological control services in shade vs. sun coffee in Puerto Rico. *Agr. Ecosyst.*
 521 *Environ.* 151, 1–5.

522 Bourn, D., Prescott, J., 2002. A comparison of the nutritional value, sensory qualities,
 523 and food safety of organically and conventionally produced foods. *Crit. Rev.*
 524 *Food Sci.* 42, 1–34.

525 Brevault, T., Renou, A., Vayssieres, J.F., Amadji, G., Assogba-Komlan, F., Diallo,
 526 M.D., De Bon, H., Diarra, K., Hamadoun, A., Huat, J., Marnotte, P., Menozzi, P.,
 527 Prudent, P., Rey, J.Y., Sall, D., Silvie, P., Simon, S., Sinzogan, A., Soti, V., Tamo,
 528 M., Clouvel, P., 2014. Divecosys: Bringing together researchers to design
 529 ecologically-based pest management for small-scale farming systems in West
 530 Africa. *Crop Prot.* 66, 53–60.

531 Brewer, M.J., Elliott, N.C., 2004 Biological control of cereal aphids in North America
 532 and mediating effects of host plant and habitat manipulations. *Annu. Rev.*
 533 *Entomol.* 49, 219–242.

534 Brewer, M.J., Goodell, P.B., 2012. Approaches and incentives to implement integrated
 535 pest management that addresses regional and environmental issues. *Annu. Rev.*
 536 *Entomol.* 57, 41–59.

537 Bugg, R.L., Waddington, C., 1994 Using cover crops to manage arthropod pests of
 538 orchards - a review. *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.* 50, 11–28.

539 Burel, F., Lavigne, C., Marshall, E.J.P., Moonen, A.C., Ouin, A., Poggio, S.L., 2013.
540 Landscape ecology and biodiversity in agricultural landscapes. *Agr. Ecosyst.*
541 *Environ.* 166, 1–2.

542 Bynum, E.D., Michels, J., MacDonald, J.C., J.B. Bible., 2015. Impact of banks grass
543 mite1 damage to yield and quality of maize silage. *Southwest. Entomol.* 40,
544 251–262.

545 Carroll, M. W., Heada, G., Capriob, M., 2012. When and where a seed mix refuge
546 makes sense for managing insect resistance to Bt plants. *Crop Prot.* 38, 74–79.

547 Chaparro, J.M., Sheflin, A.M., Manter, D.K., Vivanco, J.M., 2012. Manipulating the
548 soil microbiome to increase soil health and plant fertility. *Biol. Fert. Soils* 48,
549 489–499.

550 Chaplin-Kramer, R., de Valpine, P., Mills, N.J., Kremen, C., 2013. Detecting pest
551 control services across spatial and temporal scales. *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.* 181,
552 206–212.

553 Chaplin-Kramer, R., O'Rourke, M.E., Blitzer, E.J., Kremen, C., 2011. A meta-analysis
554 of crop pest and natural enemy response to landscape complexity. *Ecol. Lett.* 14,
555 922–932.

556 Clough, Y., Kruess, A., Kleijn, D., Tscharntke, T., 2005. Spider diversity in cereal
557 fields: comparing factors at local, landscape and regional scales. *J. Biogeogr.* 32,
558 2007–2014.

559 Cook, R.J., 2000. Advances in plant health management in the twentieth century.
560 *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 38, 95–116.

561 Cook, S.M., Khan, Z.R., Pickett, J.A., 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in
562 integrated pest management. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 52, 375–400.

563 Coombes, D.S., Sotherton, N.W., 1986. The dispersal and distribution of polyphagous
564 predatory Coleoptera in cereals. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 108, 461–474.

565 Crowder, D.W., Jabbour, R., 2014. Relationships between biodiversity and biological
566 control in agroecosystems: Current status and future challenges. *Biol. Control* 75,
567 8–17.

568 Cumming, G.S., Buerkert, A., Hoffmann, E.M., Schlecht, E., von Cramon-Taubadel,
569 S., Tscharntke, T., 2014. Implications of agricultural transitions and urbanization
570 for ecosystem services. *Nature* 515, 50–57.

571 D'Alberto, C.F., Hoffmann, A.A., Thomson, L.J., 2012. Limited benefits of non-crop
572 vegetation on spiders in Australian vineyards: regional or crop differences?
573 *Biocontrol*, 57, 541–552.

574 de Groot, R.S., Wilson, M.A., Boumans, R.M.J., 2002. A typology for the
575 classification, description and valuation of ecosystem functions, goods and
576 services. *Ecol. Econ.* 41, 393–408.

577 Deguine, J.P., Penvern, S., 2014. Agroecological crop protection in organic farming:
578 relevance and limits. Pages 107-130 in: S. Bellon, S. Penvern (eds.), *Organic*
579 *Farming, Prototype for Sustainable Agricultures*, Springer Science+Business
580 Media Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

581 Denys, C., Tscharntke, T., 2002. Plant-insect communities and predator-prey ratios in
582 field margin strips, adjacent crop fields, and fallows. *Oecologia*, 130, 315–324.

583 Diehl, E., Sereda, E., Wolters, V., Birkhofer, K., 2013. Effects of predator
584 specialization, host plant and climate on biological control of aphids by natural
585 enemies: a meta-analysis. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 50, 262–270.

586 Diekotter, T., Wamser, S., Wolters, V., Birkhofer, K., 2010. Landscape and
587 management effects on structure and function of soil arthropod communities in
588 winter wheat. *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.* 137, 108–112.

589 Ditner, N., Balmer, O., Beck, J., Blick, T., Nagel, P., Luka, H., 2013. Effects of
590 experimentally planting non-crop flowers into cabbage fields on the abundance
591 and diversity of predators. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 22, 1049–1061.

592 dos Santos, N.Z., Dieckow, J., Bayer, C., Molin, R., Favaretto, N., Pauletti, V., Piva,
593 J.T., 2011. Forages, cover crops and related shoot and root additions in no-till
594 rotations to C sequestration in a subtropical Ferralsol. *Soil Till. Res.* 111,
595 208–218.

596 Ehrmann, J., Ritz, K., 2014. Plant: soil interactions in temperate multi-cropping
597 production systems. *Plant Soil* 376, 1–29.

598 Elliott, N.C., Kieckhefer, R.W., Beck, D.A., 2002. Effect of aphids and the
599 surrounding landscape on the abundance of Coccinellidae in cornfields. *Biol.*
600 *Control*, 24, 214–220.

601 Ferron, P., Deguine, J.P., 2005. Crop protection, biological control, habitat
602 management and integrated farming. A review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 25, 17–24.

603 Fiedler, A.K., Landis, D.A., Wratten, S.D., 2008. Maximizing ecosystem services
604 from conservation biological control: The role of habitat management. *Biol.*

605 *Control* 45, 254–271.

606 Gagic, V., Hanke, S., Thies, C., Scherber, C., Tomanovic, Z., Tschamntke, T., 2012.

607 Agricultural intensification and cereal aphid-parasitoid-hyperparasitoid food

608 webs: network complexity, temporal variability and parasitism rates. *Oecologia*,

609 170, 1099–1109.

610 Gardiner, M.M., Landis, D.A., Gratton, C., DiFonzo, C.D., O'Neal, M., Chacon, J.M.,

611 Wayo, M.T., Schmidt, N.P., Mueller, E.E., Heimpel, G.E., 2009. Landscape

612 diversity enhances biological control of an introduced crop pest in the

613 north-central USA. *Ecol. Appl.* 19, 143–154.

614 Gardiner, M.M., Landis, D.A., Gratton, C., Schmidt, N., O'Neal, M., Mueller, E.,

615 Chacon, J., Heimpel, G.E., 2010. Landscape composition influences the activity

616 density of Carabidae and Arachnida in soybean fields. *Biol. Control* 55, 11–19.

617 Geiger, F., Bengtsson, J., Berendse, F., Weisser, W.W., Emmerson, M., Morales, M.B.,

618 Ceryngier, P., Liira, J., Tschamntke, T., Winqvist, C., Eggers, S., Bommarco, R.,

619 Part, T., Bretagnolle, V., Plantegenest, M., Clement, L.W., Dennis, C., Palmer, C.,

620 Onate, J.J., Guerrero, I., Hawro, V., Aavik, T., Thies, C., Flohre, A., Hanke, S.,

621 Fischer, C., Goedhart, P.W., Inchausti, P., 2011. Persistent negative effects of

622 pesticides on biodiversity and biological control potential on European farmland.

623 *Basic Appl Ecol* 12, 386–387.

624 Geneau, C.E., Wackers, F.L., Luka, H., Daniel, C., Balmer, O., 2012. Selective

625 flowers to enhance biological control of cabbage pests by parasitoids. *Basic Appl.*

626 *Ecol.* 13, 85–93.

627 Golden, D.M., Crist, T.O., 1999. Experimental effects of habitat fragmentation on
628 old-field canopy insects: community, guild and species responses. *Oecologia* 118,
629 371–380.

630 Gonthier, D.J., Ennis, K.K., Farinas, S., Hsieh, H.Y., Iverson, A.L., Batary, P.,
631 Rudolphi, J., Tschardt, T., Cardinale, B.J., Perfecto, I., 2014. Biodiversity
632 conservation in agriculture requires a multi-scale approach. *P. Roy. Soc. B-Biol.*
633 *Sci.* 281, 1358.

634 Gurr, G.M., Read, D.M.Y., Catindig, J.L.A., Cheng, J.A., Liu, J., Lan, L.P., Heong,
635 K.L., 2012. Parasitoids of the rice leaf-folder *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* and
636 prospects for enhancing biological control with nectar plants. *Agr. Forest*
637 *Entomol.* 14, 1–12.

638 Haas, D., Defago, G., 2005. Biological control of soil-borne pathogens by fluorescent
639 pseudomonads. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 3, 307–319.

640 Herencia, J.F., Garcia-Galavis, P.A., Dorado, J.A.R., Maqueda, C., 2011. Comparison
641 of nutritional quality of the crops grown in an organic and conventional fertilized
642 soil. *Sci. Hortic.* 129, 882–888.

643 Hiltbold, I., Hibbard, B.E., French, B.W., Turlings, T.C.J., 2012. Capsules containing
644 entomopathogenic nematodes as a Trojan horse approach to control the western
645 corn rootworm. *Plant Soil* 358, 10–24.

646 Hunter, M.D., 2002. Landscape structure, habitat fragmentation, and the ecology of
647 insects. *Agr. Forest Entomol.* 4, 159–166.

648 Jiménez-Díaz, R., Castillo, M.P., Jiménez-Gasco, M.M., Landa, B.B., Navas-Cortés,

649 J.A., 2015. Fusarium wilt of chickpeas: Biology, ecology and management. *Crop*
650 *Prot.* 73, 16–27

651 Jonsen, I.D., Fahrig, L., 1997. Response of generalist and specialist insect herbivores
652 to landscape spatial structure. *Landscape Ecol.* 12,185–197.

653 Jonsson, M., Buckley, H.L., Case, B.S., Wratten, S.D., Hale, R.J., Didham, R.K., 2012.
654 Agricultural intensification drives landscape-context effects on host-parasitoid
655 interactions in agroecosystems. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 49, 706–714.

656 Jonsson, M., Wratten, S.D., Landis, D.A., Gurr, G.M., 2008. Recent advances in
657 conservation biological control of arthropods by arthropods. *Biol. Control* 45,
658 172–175.

659 Jonsson, M., Bommarco, R., Ekbom, B., Smith, H.G., Bengtsson, J., Caballero-Lopez,
660 B., Winqvist, C., Olsson, O., 2014. Ecological production functions for
661 biological control services in agricultural landscapes. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 5,
662 243–252.

663 Khan, Z.R., James, D.G., Midega, C.A.O., Pickett, J.A., 2008. Chemical ecology and
664 conservation biological control. *Biol. Control* 45, 210–224.

665 Kleyer, M., Biedermann, R., Henle, K., Obermaier, E., Poethke, H.J., Poschlod, P.,
666 Schroder, B., Settele, J., Vetterlein, D., 2007. Mosaic cycles in agricultural
667 landscapes of northwest Europe. *Basic Appl. Ecol.* 8, 295–309.

668 Kogan, M., 1998. Integrated pest management: Historical perspectives and
669 contemporary developments. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 43,243–270.

670 Koul, O., Cuperus, G.W. 2006. Ecologically sased integrated pest management:

671 present concept and new solutions. Ecologically Based Integrated Pest
672 Management (eds O. Koul and G.W. Cuperus). CAB International, Wallingford,
673 Oxfordshire, UK. pp 1-17.

674 Krawchuk, M.A., Taylor, P.D., 2003. Changing importance of habitat structure across
675 multiple spatial scales for three species of insects. *Oikos* 103,153–161.

676 Kruess, A., Tschardtke, T., 2000. Species richness and parasitism in a fragmented
677 landscape: experiments and field studies with insects on *Vicia sepium*. *Oecologia*
678 122, 129–137.

679 Kruess, A., 2003. Effects of landscape structure and habitat type on a
680 plant-herbivore-parasitoid community. *Ecography*, 26, 283–290.

681 Landis, D.A., Wratten, S.D., Gurr, G.M., 2000. Habitat management to conserve
682 natural enemies of arthropod pests in agriculture. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 45,
683 175–201.

684 Landis, D.A., Menalled, F.D., Costamagna, A.C., Wilkinson, T.K., 2005.
685 Manipulating plant resources to enhance beneficial arthropods in agricultural
686 landscapes. *Weed Sci.* 53, 902–908.

687 Lehmann, J., da Silva, J.P., Steiner, C., Nehls, T., Zech, W., Glaser, B., 2003. Nutrient
688 availability and leaching in an archaeological Anthrosol and a Ferralsol of the
689 central Amazon basin: fertilizer, manure and charcoal amendments. *Plant Soil*
690 249, 343–357.

691 Liang, J.H., Tang, S.Y., Nieto, J.J., Cheke, R.A., 2013. Analytical methods for
692 detecting pesticide switches with evolution of pesticide resistance. *Math. Biosci.*

693 245, 249–257.

694 Lin, B.B., 2011. Resilience in agriculture through crop diversification: adaptive
695 management for environmental change. *Bioscience*, 61, 183–193.

696 Losey, J.E., Vaughan, M., 2006. The economic value of ecological services provided
697 by insects. *Bioscience*, 56, 311–323.

698 Macfadyen, S., Gibson, R.H., Symondson, W.O.C., Memmott, J., 2011. Landscape
699 structure influences modularity patterns in farm food webs: consequences for
700 pest control. *Ecol. Appl.* 21, 516–524.

701 Macfadyen, S., Cunningham, S.A., Costamagna, A.C., Schellhorn, N.A., 2012.
702 Managing ecosystem services and biodiversity conservation in agricultural
703 landscapes: are the solutions the same? *J. Appl. Ecol.* 49, 690–694.

704 Marliac, G., Mazzia, C., Pasquet, A., Cornic, J., Hedde, M., Capowiez, Y., 2016.
705 Management diversity within organic production influences epigeal spider
706 communities in apple orchards. *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.* 216, 73–81

707 Marcotegui, A., Sánchez-Ramos, I., Pascual, S., Fernández, C. E., Cobos, G.,
708 Armendáriz, I., Cobo, A., González-Núñez, M., 2015. Kaolin and potassium soap
709 with thyme essential oil to control *Monosteira unicastata* and other
710 phytophagous arthropods of almond trees in organic orchards. *J. Pest Sci.* 88,
711 753–765

712 Matson, P.A., Parton, W.J., Power, A.G., Swift, M.J., 1997. Agricultural
713 intensification and ecosystem properties. *Science* 277, 504–509.

714 Mediene, S., Valantin-Morison, M., Sarthou, J.P., de Tourdonnet, S., Gosme, M.,

715 Bertrand, M., Roger-Estrade, J., Aubertot, J.N., Rusch, A., Motisi, N., Pelosi, C.,
 716 Dore, T., 2011. Agroecosystem management and biotic interactions: a review.
 717 *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 31, 491–514.

718 Menalled, F.D., Costamagna, A.C., Marino, P.C., Landis, D.A., 2003. Temporal
 719 variation in the response of parasitoids to agricultural landscape structure. *Agr.*
 720 *Ecosyst. Environ.* 96, 29–35.

721 Mirsky, S.B., Ryan, M.R., Curran, W.S., Teasdale, J.R., Maul, J., Spargo, J.T., Moyer,
 722 J., Grantham, A.M., Weber, D., Way, T.R., Camargo, G.G., 2012. Conservation
 723 tillage issues: Cover crop-based organic rotational no-till grain production in the
 724 mid-Atlantic region, USA. *Renew. Agr. Food Syst.* 27, 31–40.

725 Morse, J.G., 1998. Agricultural implications of pesticide-induced hormesis of insects
 726 and mites. *Hum. Exp. Toxicol.* 17, 266–269.

727 Neuenschwander, P., 2001. Biological control of the cassava mealybug in Africa: A
 728 review. *Biol. Control* 21, 214–229.

729 O'Rourke, M.E., Rienzo-Stack, K., Power, A.G., 2011. A multi-scale, landscape
 730 approach to predicting insect populations in agroecosystems. *Ecol. Appl.* 21,
 731 1782–1791.

732 Ostman, O., Ekbom, B., Bengtsson, J., 2001. Landscape heterogeneity and farming
 733 practice influence biological control. *Basic Appl. Ecol.* 2, 365–371.

734 Parolin, P., Bresch, C., Desneux, N., Brun, R., Bout, A., Boll, R., Poncet, C., 2012.
 735 Secondary plants used in biological control: A review. *Int. J. Pest Manage.* 58,
 736 91–100.

737 Parsa, S., Morse, S., Bonifacio, A., Chancellor, T.C.B., Condori, B., Crespo-Perez, V.,
 738 Hobbs, S.L.A., Kroschel, J., Ba, M.N., Rebaudo, F., Sherwood, S.G., Vanek, S.J.,
 739 Faye, E., Herrera, M.A., Dangles, O., 2014. Obstacles to integrated pest
 740 management adoption in developing countries. *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 111,
 741 3889–3894.

742 Pfiffner, L., Luka, H., 2000. Overwintering of arthropods in soils of arable fields and
 743 adjacent semi-natural habitats. *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.* 78, 215–222.

744 Plecas, M., Gagic, V., Jankovic, M., Petrovic-Obradovic, O., Kavallieratos, N.G.,
 745 Tomanovic, Z., Thies, C., Tscharntke, T., Cetkovic, A., 2014. Landscape
 746 composition and configuration influence cereal aphid-parasitoid-hyperparasitoid
 747 interactions and biological control differentially across years. *Agr. Ecosyst.*
 748 *Environ.* 183, 1–10.

749 Quilty, J.R., Cattle, S.R., 2011. Use and understanding of organic amendments in
 750 Australian agriculture: a review. *Soil Res.* 49, 1–26.

751 Rand, T.A., Tylianakis, J.M., Tscharntke, T., 2006. Spillover edge effects: the
 752 dispersal of agriculturally subsidized insect natural enemies into adjacent natural
 753 habitats. *Ecol. Lett.* 9, 603–614.

754 Rand, T.A., Tscharntke, T., 2007. Contrasting effects of natural habitat loss on
 755 generalist and specialist aphid natural enemies. *Oikos*, 116, 1353–1362.

756 Rand, T.A., Waters, D.K., Blodgett, S.L., Knodel, J.J., Harris, M.O., 2014. Increased
 757 area of a highly suitable host crop increases herbivore pressure in intensified
 758 agricultural landscapes. *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.* 186, 135–143.

759 Ratnadass, A., Barzman, M.S., 2014. Ecological intensification for crop protection. In:
760 Ozier Lafontaine H, Lesueur Jannoyer M (eds) Sustainable agriculture reviews
761 14: agroecology and global change. Springer, Heidelberg, pp 53-81

762 Ratnadass, A., Fernandes, P., Avelino, J., Habib, R., 2012. Plant species diversity for
763 sustainable management of crop pests and diseases in agroecosystems: a review.
764 *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 32, 273–303.

765 Razinger, J., Lutz, M., Schroers, H.J., Palmisano, M., Wohler, C., Urek, G., Grunder,
766 J., 2014. Direct plantlet inoculation with soil or insect-associated fungi may
767 control cabbage root fly maggots. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 120, 59–66.

768 Robert, P.C., 2002. Precision agriculture: a challenge for crop nutrition management.
769 *Plant Soil*, 247, 143–149.

770 Roland, J., Taylor, P.D., 1997. Insect parasitoid species respond to forest structure at
771 different spatial scales. *Nature* 386, 710–713.

772 Root, R.B., 1973. Organization of a plant-arthropod association in simple and diverse
773 habitats - fauna of Collards (Brassica-Oleracea). *Ecol. Monogr.* 43, 95–120.

774 Roubos, C.R., Rodriguez-Saona, C., Isaacs, R., 2014. Mitigating the effects of
775 insecticides on arthropod biological control at field and landscape scales. *Biol.*
776 *Control* 75, 28–38.

777 Rowe, R.L., Goulson, D., Doncaster, C.P., Clarke, D.J., Taylor, G., Hanley, M.E.,
778 2013. Evaluating ecosystem processes in willow short rotation coppice bioenergy
779 plantations. *GCB. Bioenergy* 5, 257–266.

780 Rusch, A., Valantin-Morison, M., Sarthou, J.P., Roger-Estrade, J., 2013. Effect of crop

781 management and landscape context on insect pest populations and crop damage.
782 *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.* 166, 118–125.

783 Sapkota, T.B., Mazzoncini, M., Barberi, P., Antichi, D., Silvestri, N., 2012. Fifteen
784 years of no till increase soil organic matter, microbial biomass and arthropod
785 diversity in cover crop-based arable cropping systems. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 32,
786 853–863.

787 Scheid, B.E., Thies, C., Tscharntke, T., 2011. Enhancing rape pollen beetle parasitism
788 within sown flower fields along a landscape complexity gradient. *Agr. Forest*
789 *Entomol.* 13, 173–179.

790 Schellhorn, N.A., Bianchi, F.J.J.A., Hsu, C.L., 2014. Movement of entomophagous
791 arthropods in agricultural landscapes: links to pest suppression. *Annu. Rev.*
792 *Entomol.* 59, 559–581.

793 Scherber, C., Eisenhauer, N., Weisser, W.W., Schmid, B., Voigt, W., Fischer, M.,
794 Schulze, E.D., Roscher, C., Weigelt, A., Allan, E., Bessler, H., Bonkowski, M.,
795 Buchmann, N., Buscot, F., Clement, L.W., Ebeling, A., Engels, C., Halle, S.,
796 Kertscher, I., Klein, A.M., Koller, R., König, S., Kowalski, E., Kummer, V., Kuu,
797 A., Lange, M., Lauterbach, D., Middelhoff, C., Migunova, V.D., Milcu, A.,
798 Müller, R., Partsch, S., Petermann, J.S., Renker, C., Rottstock, T., Sabais, A.,
799 Scheu, S., Schumacher, J., Temperton, V.M., Tscharntke, T., 2010. Bottom-up
800 effects of plant diversity on multitrophic interactions in a biodiversity experiment.
801 *Nature*, 468, 553–556.

802 Schmidt, M.H., Lauer, A., Purtauf, T., Thies, C., Schaefer, M., Tscharntke, T., 2003.

803 Relative importance of predators and parasitoids for cereal aphid control. *P. Roy.*
804 *Soc. B-Biol. Sci.* 270, 1905–1909.

805 Schmidt, M.H., Thies, C., Nentwig, W., Tschardtke, T., 2008. Contrasting responses of
806 arable spiders to the landscape matrix at different spatial scales. *J. Biogeogr.* 35,
807 157–166.

808 Schmidt, H.P., Kammann, C., Niggli, C., Evangelou, M.W.H., Mackie, K.A., Abiven,
809 S., 2014. Biochar and biochar-compost as soil amendments to a vineyard soil:
810 Influences on plant growth, nutrient uptake, plant health and grape quality. *Agr.*
811 *Ecosyst. Environ.* 191, 117–123.

812 Schneider, G., Krauss, J., Riedinger, V., Holzschuh, A., Steffan-Dewenter, I., 2015.
813 Biological pest control and yields depend on spatial and temporal crop cover
814 dynamics. *J Appl. Ecol.* 52, 1283–1292

815 Shrestha, A., Knezevic, S.Z., Roy, R.C., Ball-Coelho, B.R., Swanton, C.J., 2002.
816 Effect of tillage, cover crop and crop rotation on the composition of weed flora in
817 a sandy soil. *Weed Res.* 42, 76–87.

818 Sikora, R.A., 1992. Management of the antagonistic potential in agricultural
819 ecosystems for the biological-control of plant parasitic nematodes. *Ann. Rev.*
820 *Phytopathol.* 30,245–270.

821 Smith, C.M., Clement, S.L., 2012. Molecular bases of plant resistance to arthropods.
822 *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 57, 309–328.

823 Smith, P., Ashmore, M.R., Black, H.I.J., Burgess, P.J., Evans, C.D., Quine, T.A.,
824 Thomson, A.M., Hicks, K., Orr, H.G., 2013. The role of ecosystems and their

825 management in regulating climate, and soil, water and air quality. *J. Appl. Ecol.*
826 50, 812–829.

827 Soane, B.D., Ball, B.C., Arvidsson, J., Basch, G., Moreno, F., Roger-Estrade, J., 2012.
828 No-till in northern, western and south-western Europe: A review of problems and
829 opportunities for crop production and the environment. *Soil Till. Res.* 118, 66–87.

830 Steingrover, E.G., Geertsema, W., van Wingerden, W.K.R.E., 2010. Designing
831 agricultural landscapes for natural pest control: a transdisciplinary approach in
832 the Hoeksche Waard (The Netherlands). *Landscape Ecol.* 25, 825–838.

833 Stenseth, N.C., 1981. How to control pest species - application of models from the
834 theory of island biogeography in formulating pest-control strategies. *J. Appl.*
835 *Ecol.* 18, 773–794.

836 Straub, C.S., Simasek, N.P., Dohm, R., Gapinski, M.R., Aikens, E.O., Nagy, C., 2014.
837 Plant diversity increases herbivore movement and vulnerability to predation.
838 *Basic Appl. Ecol.* 15, 50–58.

839 Swift, M.J., Izac, A.M.N., van Noordwijk, M., 2004. Biodiversity and ecosystem
840 services in agricultural landscapes - are we asking the right questions? *Agr.*
841 *Ecosyst Environ* 104, 113–134.

842 Thies, C., Tschardtke, T., 1999. Landscape structure and biological control in
843 agroecosystems. *Science* 285, 893–895.

844 Thies, C., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Tschardtke, T., 2003. Effects of landscape context on
845 herbivory and parasitism at different spatial scales. *Oikos*, 101, 18–25.

846 Thies, C., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Tschardtke, T., 2008. Interannual landscape changes

847 influence plant-herbivore-parasitoid interactions. *Agr. Ecosys. Environ.* 125,
848 266–268.

849 Tscharntke, T., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Kruess, A., Thies, C., 2002. Contribution of
850 small habitat fragments to conservation of insect communities of
851 grassland-cropland landscapes. *Ecol. Appl.* 12, 354–363.

852 Tscharntke, T., Klein, A.M., Kruess, A., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Thies, C., 2005.
853 Landscape perspectives on agricultural intensification and biodiversity -
854 ecosystem service management. *Ecol. Lett.* 8, 857–874.

855 Tscharntke, T., Bommarco, R., Clough, Y., Crist, T.O., Kleijn, D., Rand, T.A.,
856 Tylianakis, J.M., van Nouhuys, S., Vidal, S., 2007. Conservation biological
857 control and enemy diversity on a landscape scale. *Biol. Control* 43,294–309.

858 Tscharntke, T., Clough, Y., Wanger, T.C., Jackson, L., Motzke, I., Perfecto, I.,
859 Vandermeer, J., Whitbread, A., 2012a. Global food security, biodiversity
860 conservation and the future of agricultural intensification. *Biol. Conserv.* 151,
861 53-59.

862 Tscharntke, T., Tylianakis, J.M., Rand, T.A., Didham, R.K., Fahrig, L., Batary, P.,
863 Bengtsson, J., Clough, Y., Crist, T.O., Dormann, C.F., Ewers, R.M., Frund, J.,
864 Holt, R.D., Holzschuh, A., Klein, A.M., Kleijn, D., Kremen, C., Landis, D.A.,
865 Laurance, W., Lindenmayer, D., Scherber, C., Sodhi, N., Steffan-Dewenter, I.,
866 Thies, C., van der Putten, W.H., Westphal, C., 2012b. Landscape moderation of
867 biodiversity patterns and processes - eight hypotheses. *Biol. Rev.* 87,661–685.

868 van Bruggen, A.H.C., Semenov, A.M., 2000. In search of biological indicators for soil

869 health and disease suppression. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 15, 13–24.

870 van Bueren, E.T.L., Jones, S.S., Tamm, L., Murphy, K.M., Myers, J.R., Leifert, C.,
871 Messmer, M.M., 2011. The need to breed crop varieties suitable for organic
872 farming, using wheat, tomato and broccoli as examples: A review. *Njas-Wagen. J.*
873 *Life Sci.* 58, 193–205.

874 Van Veen, F.J.F., Mueller, C.B., Pell, J.K., Godfray, H.C.J., 2008. Food web structure
875 of three guilds of natural enemies: predators, parasitoids and pathogens of aphids.
876 *J. Anim. Ecol.* 77, 191–200.

877 Veres, A., Petit, S., Conord, C., Lavigne, C., 2013. Does landscape composition affect
878 pest abundance and their control by natural enemies? A review. *Agr. Ecosyst.*
879 *Environ.* 166, 110–117.

880 Vollhardt, I.M.G., Tschardtke, T., Wackers, F.L., Bianchi, F.J.J.A., Thies, C., 2008.
881 Diversity of cereal aphid parasitoids in simple and complex landscapes. *Agr.*
882 *Ecosyst. Environ.* 126, 289–292.

883 Weibull, A.C., Ostman, O., Granqvist, A., 2003. Species richness in agroecosystems:
884 the effect of landscape, habitat and farm management. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 12,
885 1335–1355.

886 Wezel, A., Casagrande, M., Celette, F., Vian, J.F., Ferrer, A., Peigne, J., 2014.
887 Agroecological practices for sustainable agriculture. A review. *Agron. Sustain.*
888 *Dev.* 34, 1–20.

889 White, A.J., Wratten, S.D., Berry, N.A., Weigmann, U., 1995. Habitat manipulation to
890 enhance biological-control of brassica pests by hover flies (Diptera, Syrphidae).

891 *J. Econ. Entomol.* 88, 1171–1176.

892 Winqvist, C., Bengtsson, J., Aavik, T., Berendse, F., Clement, L.W., Eggers, S.,

893 Fischer, C., Flohre, A., Geiger, F., Liira, J., Part, T., Thies, C., Tscharntke, T.,

894 Weisser, W.W., Bommarco, R., 2011. Mixed effects of organic farming and

895 landscape complexity on farmland biodiversity and biological control potential

896 across Europe. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 48, 570–579.

897 With, K.A., Pavuk, D.M., Worchuck, J.L., Oates, R.K., Fisher, J.L., 2002. Threshold

898 effects of landscape structure on biological control in agroecosystems. *Ecol. Appl.*

899 12, 52–65.

900 Woltz, J.M., Isaacs, R., Landis, D.A., 2012. Landscape structure and habitat

901 management differentially influence insect natural enemies in an agricultural

902 landscape. *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.* 152, 40–49.

903 Wratten, S.D., Gillespie, M., Decourtye, A., Mader, E., Desneux, N., 2012. Pollinator

904 habitat enhancement: Benefits to other ecosystem services. *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.*

905 159, 112–122.

906 Zehnder, G., Gurr, G.M., Kuhne, S., Wade, M.R., Wratten, S.D., Wyss, E., 2007.

907 Arthropod pest management in organic crops. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 52, 57–80.

908 Zhang, W., Ricketts, T.H., Kremen, C., Carney, K., Swinton, S.M., 2007. Ecosystem

909 services and dis-services to agriculture. *Ecol. Econ.* 64, 253–260.

910 Zhao, Z.H., He, D.H., Hui, C., 2012. From the inverse density-area relationship to the

911 minimum patch size of a host-parasitoid system. *Ecol. Res.* 27, 303–309.

912 Zhao, Z.H., Hui, C., He, D.H., Ge, F., 2013a. Effects of position within wheat field

913 and adjacent habitats on the density and diversity of cereal aphids and their
914 natural enemies. *Biocontrol*, 58, 765–776.

915 Zhao, Z.H., Hui, C., Ouyang, F., Liu, J.H., Guan, X.Q., He, D.H., Ge, F., 2013b.
916 Effects of inter-annual landscape change on interactions between cereal aphids
917 and their natural enemies. *Basic Appl Ecol* 14, 472–479.

918 Zhao, Z.H., Hui, C., Hardev, S., Ouyang, F., Dong, Z.K., Ge, F., 2014. Responses of
919 cereal aphids and their parasitic wasps to landscape complexity. *J. Econ.*
920 *Entomol.* 107,630–637.

921 Zhao, Z.H., Hui, C., He, D.H., Li, B.L., 2015. Effects of agricultural intensification on
922 ability of natural enemies to control aphids. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 8024.

923

Table 1. The habitat management of ecologically based pest management at the field scale

Technology	Process and mechanism	References
Crop rotation	Cut off or disturb pest population cycles; destroy the breeding habitat of pests.	(Akhtar and Malik 2000, Rowe et al., 2013, Rusch et al., 2013)
Crop diversity or intercropping	Associated resistance; disturb the progress of pest hosts.	(Andow 1991, Lin 2011, Ratnadass et al., 2012)
Cover cropping	Enhance the activity, abundance, and species diversity of natural enemies by providing alternative hosts and refuges.	(Bugg and Waddington 1994, Altieri 1999, Mediene et al., 2011)
Plant breeding	Increasing physical, chemical, and biological crop resistance through screening tactics.	(Ahuja et al., 2010, van Bueren et al., 2011)
Nutrient management	Reduce free amino acids and nitrogen in crop to enhance resistance.	(Cook 2000, Landis et al., 2000, Mediene et al., 2011, Quilty and Cattle 2011)
No-till techniques	Build the internal environment, structure, and moisture of soil to suppress pests.	(Neuenschwander 2001, Soane et al., 2012, Wezel et al., 2014)

Table 2. The habitat management of ecologically based pest management at the landscape scale

Technology	Possible process and mechanism	References
Sow flowering plants	Attract parasitic wasps and provide food resources for natural enemies.	(White et al., 1995, Fiedler et al., 2008, Jonsson et al., 2008)
Plant grass strips	Supply alternative hosts or prey and refuge for natural enemies using functional plants.	(Landis et al., 2000, Denys and Tscharntke 2002, Landis et al., 2005)
Keep 5% of the landscape fallow	Increase non-crop, permanent habitats to enhance biodiversity and conserve natural enemies, especially when crops are harvested.	(Weibull et al., 2003, Ferron and Deguine 2005, Bianchi et al., 2006)
Patch rearrangement	Enhance activity of natural enemies by connecting permanent habitats.	(Stenseth 1981, Thies and Tscharntke 1999, Pfiffner and Luka 2000)
Design green-blue net in agroecosystem (a patchwork of different habitats)	Disturb the host location of pests while establishing overwintering conditions and sanctuary for natural enemies through landscape modification.	(Ostman et al., 2001, Brewer and Elliott 2004, Steingrover et al., 2010)

934 **Table 3. Ecological services supplied by insect community**

Ecological services	Possible process and mechanism	References
Biocontrol	Enhance pest population management through intraspecific relationships and complementary effects.	(Tscharntke et al., 2005, Bianchi et al., 2006, Jonsson et al., 2008)
Pollination	Increase crop yield through pollination.	(Fiedler et al., 2008, Tscharntke et al., 2008)
Decomposition	Facilitate material cycles and energy flow by increased species diversity of ground-dwelling arthropods.	(Swift et al., 2004, Zhang et al., 2007, Diekotter et al., 2010)
Resource insects	Contain abundant nutrition and provide food and medicine for humans.	(Altieri 1999, de Groot et al., 2002, Losey and Vaughan 2006)
Culture	Arts, cultural heritage, and inspiration	(Zhang et al., 2007, Fiedler et al., 2008)

935

936

Figure Captions

Figure 1. The processes of human activity affecting insect community through agricultural practice within field and at landscape scale.

Figure 2. Practices and technologies of ecologically based pest management within the field.

Figure 3. Landscape modification in ecologically based pest management and associated up-scaling effects in the agricultural landscape.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3