Back to the Basics: Cnidarians Start to Fire

Thomas C. G. Bosch^{1,*}, Alexander Klimovich¹, Tomislav Domazet-Lošo^{2,3}, Stefan
Gründer⁴, Thomas W. Holstein⁵, Gáspár Jékely⁶, David J. Miller⁷, Andrea P.
Murillo-Rincon¹, Fabian Rentzsch⁸, Gemma S. Richards^{8,9}, Katja Schröder¹,
Ulrich Technau¹⁰ and Rafael Yuste^{11,*}

(1) University of Kiel, Kiel, Germany, (2) Ruđer Bošković Institute, Zagreb,
Croatia, (3) Catholic University of Croatia, Zagreb, Croatia, (4) Institute of
Physiology, RWTH Aachen University, Germany, (5) Centre for Organismal
Studies, Heidelberg, Germany, (6) Max Planck Institute for Developmental
Biology, Tübingen, Germany, (7) ARC Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef
Studies, Townsville, Australia, (8) Sars International Centre for Marine Molecular
Biology, University of Bergen, Norway, (9) University of Queensland, Brisbane,
Australia, (10) University of Vienna, Wien, Austria, and (11) Neurotechnology
Center, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA.

*Correspondence: tbosch@zoologie.uni-kiel.de (T. C. G. Bosch) and
rafaelyuste@columbia.edu (R. Yuste).

Keywords: BRAIN Initiative, Imaging, CRISPR, *Hydra*, *Nematostella*

1 **The nervous systems of cnidarians, pre-bilaterian animals that diverged**
2 **close to the base of the metazoan radiation, are structurally simple and**
3 **thus have great potential to inform us about basic structural and functional**
4 **principles of neural circuits. Unfortunately, cnidarians have thus far been**
5 **relatively intractable to electrophysiological and genetic techniques and**
6 **consequently have been largely passed over by neurobiologists. However,**
7 **recent advances in molecular and imaging methods are fueling a**
8 **renaissance of interest in and research into cnidarians nervous systems.**
9 **Here, we review current knowledge on the nervous systems of some**
10 **cnidarian species and propose that researchers should seize this**
11 **opportunity and undertake the study of this phylum as strategic**
12 **experimental systems with great basic and translational relevance for**
13 **neuroscience.**

16 **The power of a comparative approach to understand neural circuits**

18 Since the time of Cajal, comparative approaches have been central to
19 neuroscience [1]. However, in contrast to Cajal and Sherrington's "neuron
20 doctrine", which established that the individual neuron is the functional unit of the
21 nervous system [2], modern neuroscience is now focused on understanding
22 entire neural circuits, as they may be the unit responsible for emergent functional
23 properties [3]. With the advent of innovative methods, researchers expect to
24 record and manipulate entire neural circuits. This could generate a dynamic
25 picture that will reveal, perhaps for the first time in its entirety, how complex
26 neural circuits generate behavior and internal functional states.

28 The immense complexity of the human brain, consisting of a hundred billion
29 neurons of a yet unknown number of different types, with each neuron able to
30 connect to tens of thousands of other neurons, has made a holistic
31 understanding of how the system works, or how neuronal circuits work on a scale
32 of the entire organism's nervous system, virtually impossible. Therefore, there is
33 a need to study alternative models with smaller and simpler nervous systems.

1 Previous examples of the strength of this comparative approach in neuroscience
2 in the 20th century include the use of invertebrate models, such as the marine
3 mollusc *Aplysia californica*, to elucidate mechanisms of neural function that
4 specifically mediate habituation, sensitization, and forms of associative learning
5 [4]. The large neurons of *Aplysia*, for example, allowed the detailed examination
6 of neuronal architecture, physiology and control of behaviors at the level of single
7 characterized cells and defined signaling pathways. Other classical examples of
8 breakthroughs that were made possible by using a comparative approach include
9 the understanding of the ionic basis of the action potential (squid) [5], the
10 discovery of adult neurogenesis (canary) [6], conditioned reflexes (dog) [7], and
11 even the earlier discovery of dendritic spines (chicken) [8]. More recently,
12 significant advancements in temporal control of neuronal function through
13 optogenetics have been made thanks to the characterization of channel-
14 rhodopsins in algae [9].

15
16 In contrast to the comparative tradition in neuroscience, the power of molecular
17 genetics has driven the exploitation of a selected number of model
18 organisms, particularly: yeast, *Caenorhabditis elegans*, *Drosophila melanogaster*,
19 zebrafish and the mouse. Without doubt, these model organisms have
20 revolutionized our understanding of living processes. At the same time,
21 particularly for neuroscience, the emphasis on these model organisms has come
22 at the cost of essentially ignoring the rich biological diversity demonstrated by the
23 structural and functional variety of nervous systems in disparate animal groups.
24 This situation principally arose due to the difficulty of applying developed genetic
25 or molecular methods to most species, thereby leaving them outside the purview
26 of molecular neuroscience.

27
28 This outlook has changed dramatically in the last few years due to the
29 introduction of novel methods that can be directly applied to a wide variety of
30 species. In many of these cases, it was the Human Genome Project [10] that
31 opened the way for systematically sequencing genomes of representatives of
32 every phylum. Every area of biology has been transformed by the development of
33 sequencing technologies and transgenics. This, together with gene-editing
34 techniques such as CRISPR-Cas9 [11], have led to a “democratization” of

1 molecular biology, with functional and genomic analyses now possible in a wide
2 range of species. A similar case can be made for the use of calcium imaging of
3 neural circuits [12], which has enabled access to functional information from
4 neurons that were previously too difficult to record from with electrical methods.
5 Taken together, these advances underpin a revitalized interest in applying
6 comparative approaches to nervous system evolution, structure and function, by
7 making use of newly-emerging model animals with “simple” nervous systems.
8 Such investigations are now not only possible, but also appear increasingly
9 necessary for understanding the structural determinants of behavior in more
10 complex animals.

11
12 In the following we will briefly review some of the basic features of the
13 neurobiology of different non-bilaterians and then illustrate some examples of
14 how modern techniques are starting to yield significant insights into their nervous
15 systems. We end with a “call to arms”, pointing out the unique opportunity and
16 potential benefits for neuroscience to study these organisms.

17 18 **Nervous systems were present in the common ancestor of Cnidaria and** 19 **Bilateria**

20
21 If one aims to understand how nervous systems function by identifying
22 cardinal shared features of neurons and neural circuits via the comparative
23 approach, it is profitable to study the earliest evolutionary examples.
24 Remarkably, nervous systems appeared very early in animal evolution and
25 were certainly in place prior to the origin of bilaterally symmetric animals –
26 also known as Bilateria (Figure 1). Of the lineages that diverged prior to the
27 bilaterian radiation, nervous systems are present only in Ctenophora and
28 Cnidaria. Intriguingly, while cnidarian and bilaterian nervous systems have
29 many characteristics in common, those of the Ctenophora appear to differ
30 fundamentally – for example, glutamate and neuropeptides may be the sole
31 neurotransmitters employed [13]. In addition to the controversial phylogenetic
32 position of the Ctenophora [14,15], a paucity of data and limited amenability to
33 technical approaches make these animals difficult candidates for comparative
34 studies of neurobiology. A more practical choice for such studies are

cnidarians, a phylum of approximately 11,000 aquatic animals that occupies a strongly supported position as the sister clade of the Bilateria (Figure 1). Cnidarians, which include jellyfishes and polyps and are distinguished by their nematocysts (stinging cells), have long been utilized in laboratories as experimental organisms to address diverse biological questions [16–20].

Interestingly, in stark contrast to the centralized nervous systems of bilaterians, cnidarian nervous systems function as diffuse nerve nets that can possess varying degrees of regional condensation and specialization (Figure 2). This difference in neural architecture alone offers great potential for understanding the basic design principles and evolutionary trajectories of nervous system circuitry.

In support of the viability of the analysis of the development, structure and function of cnidarian nervous systems, significant advances have been made not only in the application of experimental technologies, but also in the number of cnidarian species that can be interrogated by such means (Figure 1). In recent years, the classical freshwater polyp *Hydra* has been joined in the laboratory by a sea anemone, *Nematostella vectensis*, a jellyfish, *Clytia hemispherica*, and a colonial hydrozoan *Hydractinia echinata* [21]. For each of these species, extensive genomic and transcriptomic resources now exist, and transgenesis and gene-editing technologies are available. Thus, a suite of pre-bilaterian nervous systems is now open to functional analyses addressing questions that range from the molecular to the behavioral and evolutionary level. In addition, an extensive set of genomic and transcriptomic databases is becoming available for several sponge species - *Amphimedon queenslandica*, *Oscarella carmela* and *Sycon ciliatum*, as well as for a placozoan *Trichoplax adhaerens* [22–25]. Since sponges and placozoans represent an “outgroup” to cnidarians and bilaterians (Figure 1), and are phyla of metazoans lacking nervous systems, these data are very valuable for comparative genomic approaches.

Most nervous system components have ancient origins

1

2 Although phylostratigraphy [26] implies that vertebrate nervous systems have
3 their origins in the common ancestor of Cnidaria and Bilateria (herein referred
4 to as the Eumetazoa), individual components clearly predate this. For
5 example, the processing machinery for neuropeptides, a dual function
6 peptidylglycine alpha-amidating monooxygenase (PAM), is present in early
7 diverging phyla such as sponges (Porifera) which lack a nervous system,
8 supporting the idea that, within the Metazoa, amidated peptides may have
9 originally functioned in communication between epithelial cells [27]. Also,
10 most of the structural components of the post-synaptic density (PSD) are
11 present in the sponge *Amphimedon* [22,28], and some have clear homologs
12 in choanoflagellates and other unicellular holozoans [29,30]. Other classes of
13 “synaptic” proteins, particularly those involved in vesicle exocytosis, are also
14 represented in unicellular holozoans and homologs of some of the SNARE
15 proteins (e.g. synaptobrevin2, syntaxin1) and their interacting partners (e.g.
16 tomosyn) have been even identified in fungi and plants [31].

17

18 Thus, remarkably, some individual neuronal components predate the
19 Eumetazoa, and the cnidarian repertoire of proteins associated with nervous
20 systems is essentially complete. In fact, homologs of some vertebrate
21 synaptic proteins not present in the model invertebrates *Drosophila* and
22 *Caenorhabditis*, such as Narp/Pentraxin, are present in cnidarians (though
23 absent from sponges, placozoans and protists). An “ancestral complexity” of
24 cnidarians in terms of synaptic proteins is therefore apparent [28,32].
25 Moreover, whereas orthologs of synaptic proteins may be present in
26 organisms that lack nervous systems, their roles may be quite different [33],
27 suggesting that the eumetazoan ancestor co-opted these to enable neuronal
28 communication.

29

30 In fact, very few synaptic proteins are actually unique to vertebrates; of the 74
31 protein components of the mammalian nervous system listed by Burkhardt et
32 al. [33], only three (SynCam, Piccolo and Bassoon) lack homologs in other
33 phyla. A caveat here is that generalizations about early nervous system
34 evolution are currently based on relatively sparse taxon sampling – as yet,

1 whole genome assemblies are available for only a few non-bilaterian animals
2 or holozoans. The patchy distribution of some gene families across the
3 Metazoa, perhaps resulting from stochastic gene loss [34] implies that more
4 extensive taxon sampling may eventually identify larger numbers of synaptic
5 proteins in both cnidarians and other groups, mandating the revisiting of
6 evolutionary scenarios based on presently available data.

7 **The hidden complexity of cnidarian nervous systems**

10 The anatomical simplicity of the cnidarian nervous system masks some
11 remarkable neurophysiological specializations [35] including, for example,
12 bidirectional chemical synapses (Figure 2) [36,37], signaling by a diversity of
13 peptide-gated channels [38–40], the rapid discharge of nematocysts (Figure 2)
14 [41] and axons with two kinds of impulse propagation [35,42–45]. In the following
15 section, we will briefly touch on these mechanisms and other distinct neural
16 characteristics to illustrate the functional sophistication of these “primitive”
17 nervous systems and highlight fertile avenues for neurobiological research.
18 These examples could also help put in perspective standard mechanisms of
19 synaptic transmission and neuronal integration found in bilaterians.

21 **Neurotransmitters and receptors**

23 Functional analysis of the cnidarian nerve net requires knowledge of the mode(s)
24 of synaptic transmission between individual neurons. This which could reveal
25 fundamental insights into basic design principles of excitatory and inhibitory
26 transmission, and into how this design for communication is used within a neural
27 circuit. While unidirectional synapses are the norm in both cnidarians and
28 bilaterians, bidirectional synapses can be found, for example, in the mammalian
29 retina and olfactory system [46,47]. Bidirectional synapses in Cnidaria (Figure 2)
30 were first described at the ultrastructural level, containing synaptic vesicles
31 accumulated at both sides of the synapse [36]. Electrophysiological recordings
32 revealed that such bidirectional synapses are excitatory and non-polarized, and
33 conduct equally well in either direction [37].

1 Ample histochemical, biochemical and functional data have been accumulated,
2 indicating the presence of different small molecule neurotransmitters, such as
3 catecholamines, serotonin, acetylcholine, glutamate and GABA in Cnidaria
4 [48,49]. Their precise functional role, however, remains largely undefined, mainly
5 because receptors for these putative transmitters have not yet been identified.

6
7 In Cnidaria, cloning and characterization of transmitter receptors has in the past
8 been relatively slow and mainly performed by researchers focused on individual
9 gene families [38,39], thus leaving the receptor repertoire of Cnidaria as a mostly
10 unknown territory. The sequencing in recent years of the whole genome of
11 different cnidarian species, most notably those of the anthozoan *Nematostella*
12 *vectensis* and the hydrozoan *Hydra magnipapillata* (Figure 1) [50,51], has offered
13 the exciting possibility to quickly gather an overview on the entire complement of
14 receptors in these species. Moreover, the possibility to perform *in-situ*
15 hybridization (ISH), in principle, allows a quick overview of the expression of a
16 specific receptor in the whole organism.

17
18 In particular, the metabotropic and ionotropic receptor repertoire of *Nematostella*
19 has been inspected by genomic analysis in some detail [52] revealing the
20 presence of several metabotropic glutamate and GABA(B) receptors as well as a
21 large number of G protein-coupled receptors for monoamines and melatonin [52].
22 Strikingly, specific biogenic amine-synthesizing enzymes are sparse, serotonin is
23 apparently lacking, and it has been concluded that aminergic-like transmitters
24 unique to sea anemones act on these receptors [52]. Interestingly, many
25 transmitter-related protein classes appeared closer to vertebrate than to
26 invertebrate counterparts; for example, octopamine and its receptors, which are
27 common in invertebrates, are missing in *Nematostella* [52]. While not present in
28 *Nematostella* [52], two muscarinic acetylcholine receptors have been identified in
29 *Hydra* [53].

30
31 Fast synaptic transmission and synaptic plasticity in Bilateria relies on ion
32 channel receptors. The functional requirements of complex neuronal signaling
33 have driven the evolution of distinct ion channel gene families that differ with
34 respect to ligands, ion selectivity and kinetics (onset of activation and

desensitization time course). In addition to metabotropic receptors, the *Nematostella* genome contains several genes for the major classes of ionotropic receptors (nicotinic acetylcholine receptors, glutamate receptors of the AMPA, kainate and NMDA type, GABA(A), and P2X); only an 5-HT₃ receptor homolog is apparently lacking [52]. Thus, the diversity of receptor subtypes in cnidarians is, in principle, comparable to that of chordates and notably includes AMPA and NMDA receptors, which mediate synaptic plasticity in the vertebrate central nervous system [54]. The presence of these receptors suggests that the cnidarian nerve net is chemically complex and uses several small molecule transmitters. It also suggests that cnidarians build their nervous systems using essentially the same building blocks present in bilaterians, so nervous system evolution may result more from the rearrangement of existing molecular components than the invention of novel ones. It should be emphasized, however, that the assignment of cnidarian genes to a receptor class was exclusively based on homology to bilaterian receptors [52]. An unequivocal assignment still requires the detailed functional analysis of ligand specificity and, in the case of ionotropic receptors, ion selectivity. Of further note, the diversity of ion channel receptors in Cnidaria is in stark contrast to the relative paucity of ion channel families present in ctenophores, supporting views of independent neural evolution in the Ctenophora [13].

In addition to small molecule neurotransmitters, the cnidarian nervous system extensively, and perhaps predominately, uses neuropeptides [52,55]. In *Nematostella* the diversity of neuropeptides is matched by over 80 putative GPCRs for neuropeptides [52]. Strikingly, neuropeptide signaling in *Hydra* is mediated not only by GPCRs, but also by ion channel receptors [38,40,56]. These channels are directly gated by RFamide neuropeptides, which are also present in vertebrates and invertebrates [57]. The presence of RFamide neuropeptides in large-dense core vesicles at the neuromuscular junction [58] and of the ionotropic RFamide-receptors (iRFa-Rs) in epitheliomuscular cells together with pharmacological evidence suggests that the iRFa-Rs are involved in neuromuscular transmission [39,40]. Homologous channels also exist in *Nematostella* [56] and in some Protostomia [59], suggesting that neuropeptides have a broad function in fast neuronal communication.

1
2 While in most cases it is not clear whether these transmitters and receptors
3 identified in cnidarians have a neuronal location and function, the large repertoire
4 of GPCRs and ion channel receptors suggests that the cnidarian nerve net is
5 chemically complex. The cnidarian nerve net thus appears to be an intriguing
6 object to study how a complex toolbox of transmitters and receptors is used to
7 enable the emergence of behaviors within a morphologically simple nerve net
8 structure. This apparent complexity makes it possible that cnidarians use a
9 “chemical connectome” [60], i.e., a sophisticated chemical agonist-receptor
10 matching space that implements the selectivity necessary for specific behavioral
11 patterns. From this view, a specific muscle contraction, for example, could be
12 achieved not by precisely connecting a motor neuron with a particular muscle
13 fiber, but by expressing a particular receptor combination in that muscle fiber,
14 while the neurotransmitter is widely distributed across all muscle fibers. Thus,
15 instead of implementing computations in its physical wiring, the cnidarian nervous
16 system may primarily operate using a “chemical wiring diagram”. We note that
17 this view supports earlier ideas proposed by Carl Pantin [61].

18 19 **Specialized cellular composition of cnidarian nervous systems**

20
21 While the comparably low morphological complexity of their nerve nets is a basic
22 feature of cnidarian nervous systems (Figure 2), they have independently
23 evolved several fascinating neural structures and properties that provide unique
24 opportunities to understand how more complex nervous systems can evolve.

25
26 For example, all Cnidaria are endowed with specialized mechanosensory cells
27 (the nematocyst-containing cnidocytes, which give name to the phylum, Figure 2)
28 that enable them to spear and paralyze prey with supersonic harpoons. Indeed,
29 the discharge of nematocysts is one of the fastest processes in biology. This
30 discharge is triggered by mechanical stimulation, and employs a fast-recoiling
31 silk-like elastic protein, cnidoin, that incorporates into the capsule of the
32 nematocyst and stores kinetic energy [41]. There is a striking structural similarity
33 between nematocysts and the ciliary-microvillar sensory apparatus of
34 mechanosensory cells in some cnidarians [62,63], suggesting that nematocysts

1 and mechanosensory structures are evolutionarily related. The ciliated
2 mechanosensory cells in cnidarians are also similar to the hair cells of
3 vertebrates, and may represent descendants of an ancient metazoan sensory
4 cell type [64]. A better understanding of their cell biology could inform us further
5 about the evolution and diversification of animal mechanosensory systems.

7 **Sense organs and navigation**

9 In addition to cnidocytes, some cnidarians have elaborate sense organs and
10 perform intricate behaviors (Figure 2) that suggest advanced neural integration.
11 For example, the statocysts in Scyphozoa (Figure 1) are small tentacle-like
12 organs that hang at the outer side of the bell of medusae and are involved in
13 gravisensation, a sense still poorly understood in bilaterians (Figure 2).
14 Statocysts contain a 'concretion' at their distal part, and are surrounded by non-
15 motile mechanosensory cilia [65,66]. Movement deflects cilia, allowing the
16 medusa to sense its orientation in the water. These sense organs, therefore, are
17 intriguing objects to study ancestral feedback control mechanisms and may even
18 be informative for understanding bilaterian vestibular sensing systems..

20 In terms of vision, an interesting specialization is found in the cubomedusae
21 *Tripedalia* and *Carybdea* (Cubozoa, Figure 1), which have four sensory
22 structures, the rhopalia, which contain camera-type eyes. These eyes enable the
23 box jellyfish to avoid obstacles and to navigate in the water based on terrestrial
24 cues [67–69]. Rhopalia are integrated with the rest of the nervous system [70],
25 and visual input modulates the pacemaker system that controls the contraction of
26 the swimming bell [69,71]. The structure and function of such visual systems in
27 non-bilaterians provides further support to the view [72] that camera-type eyes
28 evolved several times. Further investigations may further reveal how complex
29 eyes can function in the absence of a centralized nervous system.

31 **Giant axons**

33 Another fascinating specialization of cnidarians is found in some medusae, which
34 are endowed with larger axonal structures. The giant axon system of a

hydrozoan *Aglantha digitale* (Figure 1) represents an advanced specialization that has been extensively studied due to its amenability to electrophysiological recordings [42,45,73–78]. Interestingly, the giant axons in the jellyfish *Aglantha* were reported to have two kinds of impulse propagation [79]. During slow swimming, low amplitude Ca^{2+} spikes drive weak muscle contractions, but during predator avoidance the jellyfish switches to fast escape swimming that relies on strong contractions elicited by rapidly conducted Na^{+} -dependent action. The eight giant motor axons thus can simultaneously mediate slow and fast swimming modes, by directly synapsing on the contractile myoepithelial cells as part of an elaborate neural circuitry. This system arguably represents the best-understood circuitry in any cnidarian [80]. The circuits mediating locomotion, feeding, and tentacle contractions in *Aglantha* are composed of at least 14 distinct neuron types with dedicated functions, including a relay, a carrier, and a pacemaker system [42,45,73,74]. This complexity and functional sophistication stands in contrast to the default concept of a simple nerve net in cnidarians, and indicates that *Aglantha* has found ingenious ways to implement different behaviors using the same available “hardware”.

Behavior in cnidarians

Perhaps one of the greatest surprises in cnidarian neuroscience has been the realization that their behavioural repertoire is surprisingly complex, given the apparent structural simplicity of their nerve nets (Figure 2). This is something that was already appreciated in the 18th century, when Abraham Trembley first described somersaulting locomotion in *Hydra* [81] (Figure 2). As a single footed polyp, *Hydra* cannot translate its position in the bottom of fresh water ponds and rivers without being carried away by the current. As a solution, *Hydra* bends its body wall, attaches its tentacles and mouth to the substrate, releases its foot, swings its body over to reattach its foot ahead of the mouth, and then releases the mouth to become erect again. It is currently not at all understood how this complicated behavior, which indeed not all humans can master, is achieved via a diffuse net of neurons.

1 Spontaneous body column contractions in *Hydra* is another coordinated
2 behavior, which is based on a subpopulation of nerve cells [44,82,83]. Beyond
3 *Hydra*, spontaneous rhythmic pulsation of tentacles in soft corals (as *Xenia*,
4 Anthozoa, Figure 1) [84] were first noted by Lamarck nearly 200 years ago.
5 Among medusae, such as *Cassiopea* (Scyphozoa, Figure 1) the spontaneous
6 rhythmic pulsations of the medusa bell present a behavior pattern in which the
7 influence of certain sense organs and the overall control of the nervous system
8 has been investigated [85,86]. Moreover, growth pulsations, i.e. successive
9 rhythmic extensions and retractions of the shoot and stolon growing tips, are
10 thought to be essential for growth and morphogenesis of colonial hydroid polyps
11 (Hydrozoa, Figure 1) [87–89]. Another impressive behaviour among cnidarians is
12 the ‘wedding dance’, or courting behaviour described for some cubozoan
13 medusae [90]. In the sexually dimorphic cubomedusa *Carybdea sivickisi*
14 (Cubozoa, Figure 1), sexually mature females produce conspicuous velar spots
15 and males only court females with these spots. During mating, the male attaches
16 a tentacle to the female and brings their oral openings (manubriums) into direct
17 contact. The male forms a spermatophore, which is then transferred to one of the
18 female’s tentacles. Following release by the male, the female inserts the
19 spermatophore into her manubrium [91]. This behaviour includes elements of
20 recognition of the other sex and the assessment of sexual maturity. Since the
21 conspicuous velar spots of the females are important for mating, recognition is
22 likely mediated by visual cues.

23
24 Feeding behaviour in jellyfish and polyps also represents another highly
25 coordinated behaviour, including nematocyte discharge, tentacle flexing, and lip
26 flaring to ingest prey [74,92,93]. In *Hydra*, for example, this behavior can be
27 effectively elicited in the laboratory by micromolar concentrations of reduced
28 glutathione, therefore allowing one to study and manipulate behavior under
29 controlled conditions. Feeding behavior of the polyps can be easily monitored
30 using a dissection microscope, and video-recorded to register and quantify
31 sequence and timing of the events. Thus, the *Hydra* nervous system must
32 implement a sustained behavioral plan, composed of many independent modules
33 that are precisely arranged in time. This provides us with an example of an early-
34 evolved fixed action pattern.

Plasticity of the nerve net

Cnidarians have a long history as experimental animals for whole body regeneration beginning with Abraham Trembley's bisection experiments in 1744 [81] and the classic "developmental organizer" transplant experiments of Ethel Brown in Thomas Morgan's lab [94]. The spectacular ability to rebuild any missing body part includes the generation of large numbers of new nerve cells that seamlessly connect with the remains of the existing nervous system (Figure 2). In *Hydra*, this is accomplished by integrating stem cell derived migratory neuronal precursor cells into the nervous system [95,96]. Indeed an increase in nerve cell density is the first change detected in cell distribution upon budding and regeneration [97]. In a frame of 12-24 hours, the local density of neurons may double in the amputation region or emerging bud.

Both normal and regeneration-induced neurogenesis implies fast and effective integration of new neurons into the nerve net, through re-wiring and reestablishment of synaptic contacts. This remarkable neurogenic potential also characterizes the initial formation of the nervous system during embryogenesis. For example, in the sea anemone *Nematostella vectensis* neurons are generated throughout the ectoderm as well as in the endoderm [98]. The molecular control of neurogenesis is remarkably similar to that in vertebrates: Notch signalling controls the number of neural progenitor cells, *soxB* and bHLH transcription factor encoding genes regulate their further development and Wnt signaling gradients are involved in the patterning of the nervous system [99–101]. The spatially broad neurogenic potential of cnidarians poses specific challenges for the developmental control of neurogenesis, e.g. how is the balance between neural and non-neural cell types regulated? Which mechanisms determine the number of neural progenitor cells? Given the molecular similarities, understanding these questions in cnidarians can inform research on vertebrate neurogenesis. Certainly, while the analysis of shared and divergent aspects of cnidarian and bilaterian neural development will help to extract core principles of

1 neurogenesis, understanding in detail how the cnidarian neurogenic program
2 can be promiscuously activated throughout both germ layers of the embryo
3 and during regeneration has the potential to stimulate research to improve the
4 generation of neurons in pathological conditions in human patients.

6 **Modern methods reach Cnidaria**

8 There are several fascinating aspects of the cnidarian nervous system that
9 merit further attention (see Outstanding Questions), from the molecular and
10 subcellular level, through the cellular, neural circuit and organ levels and up to
11 the behavioural level. Studying the neurobiology of these properties in
12 cnidarians permits fundamental questions about the function and evolution of
13 nervous systems to be addressed, such as: how can relatively complex
14 macro-behaviours such as swimming/feeding/reproducing be coordinated by
15 non-centralized neural networks; and what are the core structural and
16 functional features of all metazoan neurons.

18 The relevance of cnidarians for understanding the evolution of nervous
19 systems and information processing in “simple” nerve nets, has long been
20 recognized, but now faces a re-ignited interest (Figure 1). Indeed, over the
21 past decade, modern molecular biology technology has been implemented in
22 several cnidarian species allowing many of the questions that have been
23 inaccessible in the past to be finally addressed. Stable transgenesis was first
24 achieved in *Hydra* [102] and is also now available in both *Nematostella* and
25 *Hydractinia* [103,104] (Figure 2). The use of cell type-specific promoters for
26 reporter genes has also improved the visualization of neuronal morphology
27 and tracking of the developmental origin of nerve cells [98,100]. Such
28 transgenic lines could also be used to isolate specific neuronal
29 subpopulations by FACS and determine their transcriptome and proteome
30 profiles. The availability of stable transgenesis and neuron-specific promoters
31 now allows the conditional ablation of neurons in adult animals, opening the
32 possibility to analyze the regenerative capacity of cnidarians in new
33 experimental paradigms. In addition to well-established transient knockdown
34 strategies (dsRNA, morpholinos), genome-editing technologies like TALENs

1 or CRISPR/Cas9 are now available in some cnidarian model systems
2 [105,106].

3
4 The expression of pre- and postsynaptic marker proteins will significantly
5 facilitate the description of neural connectivity and how it is established.
6 Indeed, the first experiments using genetically encoded calcium indicators to
7 monitor organism-wide neural activity are now underway [107] and in
8 conjunction with the use of optogenetics tools (e.g. light controlled ion
9 channels), these will be instrumental in understanding how non-centralized
10 nervous systems generate spontaneous behaviour and react in response to
11 environmental cues. Finally, exquisitely detailed maps of the entire nervous
12 system in cnidarian models generated with the aforementioned technologies
13 may become a valuable input for computational models (artificial neural
14 networks), intended to simulate function of a nerve net and provide testable
15 predictions.

21 **Concluding remarks and future perspectives**

22
23 In summary, we are at the beginning of what could constitute a revolution in the
24 study of the neurobiology of cnidarians and other non-bilaterian metazoans. The
25 systematic use of large scale imaging, optogenetics and molecular engineering
26 methods could permit the elucidations of basic principles of neural circuits and
27 the expansion of synthetic biology to metazoans. The comparative analysis of
28 cnidarian and bilaterian nervous systems may allow the identification of ancient
29 and therefore fundamental principles of nervous system structure and function
30 (Box 1). The great molecular similarity between the cnidarian and bilaterian
31 nervous systems makes tenable the possibility that many of the basic circuit
32 mechanisms could be also conserved. At present, there are large research
33 communities deciphering these principles in the nervous systems of
34 *Caenorhabditis* and *Drosophila*. A large body of literature covers the molecular

1 make-up and the wiring of their nervous systems and how specific behaviors
2 emerge from these properties. In this context, a small investment elucidating the
3 cnidarian nervous system could have a major payoff. Although the standard
4 model animals have been very useful in the past and will no doubt continue to
5 deliver important insights in the future, one has to be aware that both
6 *Caenorhabditis* and *Drosophila* are highly diverged organisms characterized by
7 high levels of gene loss and sequence divergence, whereas at least some
8 cnidarians have retained much of the ancestral genetic complexity of metazoans
9 [108–110]. Reflecting this ancestral core, cnidarian genes are often more similar
10 to vertebrate genes than vertebrate genes are to those of more diverged animals
11 like *Caenorhabditis* or *Drosophila* [50,109]. Thus, in addition to the simple design
12 of the cnidarian nervous system, its comparative analysis might reveal new and
13 unexpected basic features of nervous systems. It seems to us that, armed with a
14 new generation of methods, the time is ripe for a deep exploration of the
15 neurobiology of cnidarians.

16

Box1: Potential promise of cnidarian neuroscience

Cnidaria offer a rich playground to explore in relatively simple and accessible nervous systems many of the classical questions in neuroscience. Using calcium imaging of the complete pattern of neural activity, the neural basis of many behaviours such as feeding, swimming, egestion and somersaulting, for example, could be elucidated, given the relatively small number of neurons that some cnidarian have. These efforts could be aided by the reconstructions of their connectomes, a task that appears very feasible in a relatively sparse nerve net, such as those present in many cnidarians. Similarly, complete access to such simple systems could also enable the rigorous modelling of neural circuits, with simulations that are constrained completely, in terms of the number of neurons, connections between the neurons and activity patterns.

The decoding of the activity patterns involved in behaviour could reveal general principles of neural circuit function used more widely in evolution. For example, it is likely that neural circuits generate emergent states of activity, such as dynamical attractors [111]. These attractors are very difficult to identify and manipulate in most laboratory species, due to the fact that functional recordings of the activity are always incomplete. In the case of a cnidarian, however, one could start by measuring the complete record of neural activity for every neuron for a long period of time. This could enable the rigorous identification of a large portion of the entire dynamical space of the nerve net, and the systematic mapping of attractors to behavioural or functional states. While it is impossible to predict how these dynamical landscapes will appear, it is likely that insights acquired in this research could help illuminate a similar research agenda in Bilateria. The initial results in the “brain-wide” imaging of *Hydra vulgaris*, which displays robust endogenous and sensory-drive dynamics [107], bodes well for the success of such an experimental program.

1 Besides offering a comparative approach to classical questions, there is a
2 deep evolutionary question that cnidarian could help answer, namely, the
3 function of the first nervous systems. Distressingly, the original function of the
4 nervous system remains somewhat mysterious [112]. While most ascribe the
5 invention of nervous systems to the evolutionary need for motor control, some
6 animals move without a nervous system, such as sponges and nerve-free
7 *Hydra*, where skin-muscle tissue forms excitable epithelia. Conversely, there
8 are animals that are sessile and still have a nervous system. Understanding in
9 detail the neurobiology of the cnidarian, which are among the most primitive
10 representatives of the first nervous systems in evolution, can shed light on this
11 issue.

12
13 Cnidarians can also help explore non-canonical functions of nervous systems.
14 Current opinion mostly perceives the nervous system as a means of
15 communication and information exchange between the central nervous
16 system, the rest of the body and the environment. However, the spectrum of
17 functions performed by nervous systems is much broader. Non-canonical
18 functions may include a role in regeneration, development of innervated
19 tissues, and tissue homeostasis [113,114] as well as bidirectional
20 communication with the commensal microbiota [115–120].

21

Figure legends

Figure 1. Cnidarians as model organisms for studies of nervous systems. **A:** Schematic phylogenetic tree showing the relationships of the five classes within the phylum Cnidaria. Species of cnidarians used for research on nerve systems and referred to in this Review are listed. Complete genome sequences are available for several cnidarian species (marked with asterisk) [21]. **B:** Schematic phylogenetic tree showing main branches of metazoan evolution and position of Cnidaria among the non-bilaterian Metazoa. As the phylogenetic position of comb-jellies (Ctenophora) remains controversial [13–15], the branch leading to this group is represented by a dashed line.

Figure 2. Distinctive features of cnidarian nervous system.

A diffuse nerve net is a basic design of cnidarian nerve systems. **Nerve net** of the sea anemone *Nematostella vectensis* revealed by expression of a mCherry protein under nerve-specific *elav* promotor. Modified from [98]. **Local condensation** of the nerve net is observed in most cnidarians [69,121,122]. For instance, in *Hydra* high density of the JRI-positive sensory neurons is observed around the mouth opening (hypostome) of a polyp. Modified from [93]. **Chemical heterogeneity** of the cnidarian nerve net contrasts its apparent morphological simplicity. A broad range of neurotransmitters present in cnidarian nerve cells are expressed by particular subsets of neurons. For instance, in *Hydra* RFamide (left) and GLWamide (right) are produced by two apparently not-overlapping populations of neurons. Modified from [93]. **Sensory cnidocytes** represent a type of mechanosensory cell found exclusively in cnidarians. Mechanical stimulation of a resting cnidocyte (left) triggers rapid discharge of a nematocyst and release of a harpoon to spear and paralyze prey. Modified from [123]. **Complex sense organs** are found in cnidarians. A statocyst from the umbellar margin of the hydrozoan jellyfish *Aglantha* contains a concretion (c) surrounded by sensory cells (sc) with sensory cilia (sh), that are connected to the umbrella's outer nerve ring (onr). Declination of the concretion stimulates cilia, enabling the medusa's vestibular sense. Modified from [66]. **Bidirectional synapses** are common for cnidarian. An electron micrograph of a synapse between two axons in the scyphozoan

1 *Cyanea* represents neurotubules (t) and small vesicles (bs) on both sides of a
2 synaptic cleft (s). Modified from [36]. **Plasticity and regeneration** are
3 characteristic for nervous systems of cnidarians undergoing constant asexual
4 proliferation. *In vivo* imaging of transgenic cells (here a ganglion neuron of
5 *Hydra*, modified from [124]) allows studying how this plasticity is
6 accomplished by integrating stem cell derived migratory neuronal precursor
7 cells into the nervous system. **Complex behaviours**, such as somersaulting
8 in *Hydra*, emerge from the activity of simple nerve nets; the cellular and
9 molecular processes behind remain poorly understood. Modified from [92].

10

Acknowledgements

The work in the Bosch lab (T.B., A.K., A.M., K.S.) related to this review was supported in part by grants from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), the CRC 1182 („Origin and Function of Metaorganisms“) and the Cluster of Excellence “Inflammation at Interfaces”. Support by the Alexander von Humboldt foundation (A.K.) and Max Plank Institute for Evolutionary Biology (A.M.) is gratefully acknowledged. Work in the lab of S.G. was supported by the DFG grant GR1771/7-1. F.R. and G.R. are supported by the Sars Centre core budget and a Marie Curie Incoming Postdoctoral Fellowship, respectively. D.J.M. gratefully acknowledges the support of the Australian Research Council, both directly (DP1095343) and indirectly via the ARC Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies (CE14100020). U.T. is funded by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF P27353). T.D.-L. acknowledges Adris Foundation and City of Zagreb.

References

- 1 1 Cajal, S.R. y (1906) In Nobel lectures, physiology or medicine 1901–
- 2 1921.
- 3 2 Shepherd, G.M. (1991) *Foundations of the neuron doctrine*, Oxford Univ
- 4 Press.
- 5 3 Yuste, R. (2015) From the neuron doctrine to neural networks. *Nat.*
- 6 *Rev. Neurosci.* 16, 487–497
- 7 4 Kandel, E. and Schwartz, J. (2013) *Principles of Neural Science, Fifth*
- 8 *Edition*, McGraw-Hill Education.
- 9 5 Hodgkin, A.L. and Huxley, A.F. (1952) The components of membrane
- 10 conductance in the giant axon of Loligo. *J. Physiol.* 116, 473–496
- 11 6 Nottebohm, F. *et al.* (1990) Song learning in birds: the relation between
- 12 perception and production. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London B Biol. Sci.*
- 13 329, 115–124
- 14 7 Pavlov, I.P. (1951) Conditioned reflex. *Feldsher Akush* 10, 3–10
- 15 8 Cajal, S.R. y (1888) *Estructura de los centros nerviosos de las aves*,
- 16 9 Nagel, G. *et al.* (2003) Channelrhodopsin-2, a directly light-gated cation-
- 17 selective membrane channel. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 100, 13940–13945
- 18 10 Yager, T.D. *et al.* (1991) The Human Genome Project: creating an
- 19 infrastructure for biology and medicine. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 16, 454
- 20 11 Doudna, J.A. and Charpentier, E. (2014) The new frontier of genome
- 21 engineering with CRISPR-Cas9. *Science* (80-.). 346, 1258096
- 22 12 Yuste, R. and Katz, L.C. (1991) Control of postsynaptic Ca²⁺ influx in
- 23 developing neocortex by excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmitters.
- 24 *Neuron* 6, 333–344
- 25 13 Moroz, L.L. *et al.* (2014) The ctenophore genome and the evolutionary
- 26 origins of neural systems. *Nature* 510, 109–14
- 27 14 Jékely, G. *et al.* (2015) The phylogenetic position of ctenophores and
- 28 the origin (s) of nervous systems. *Evodevo* 6, 1
- 29 15 Pisani, D. and Liu, A.G. (2015) Animal Evolution: Only Rocks Can Set
- 30 the Clock. *Curr. Biol.* 25, R1079–R1081
- 31 16 Technau, U. and Steele, R.E. (2011) Evolutionary crossroads in
- 32 developmental biology: Cnidaria. *Development* 138, 1447–1458
- 33 34

- 1 17 Watanabe, H. *et al.* (2014) Nodal signalling determines biradial
2 asymmetry in Hydra. *Nature* 515, 112–115
- 3 18 Kelava, I. *et al.* (2015) Evolution of eumetazoan nervous systems:
4 insights from cnidarians
5 . *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 370, 20150065
- 6 19 Bosch, T.C.G. (2014) Rethinking the role of immunity: lessons from
7 Hydra. *Trends Immunol.* 35, 495–502
- 8 20 Bosch, T.C.G. *et al.* (2014) How do environmental factors influence life
9 cycles and development? An experimental framework for early-
10 diverging metazoans. *BioEssays* 36, 1185–1194
- 11 21 Technau, U. and Schwaiger, M. (2015) Recent advances in genomics
12 and transcriptomics of cnidarians. *Mar. Genomics* 24, 131–138
- 13 22 Srivastava, M. *et al.* (2010) The *Amphimedon queenslandica* genome
14 and the evolution of animal complexity. *Nature* 466, 720–726
- 15 23 Srivastava, M. *et al.* (2008) The *Trichoplax* genome and the nature of
16 placozoans. *Nature* 454, 955–960
- 17 24 Fortunato, S.A. V *et al.* (2015) Comparative analyses of developmental
18 transcription factor repertoires in sponges reveal unexpected complexity
19 of the earliest animals. *Mar. Genomics* 24, 121–129
- 20 25 Riesgo, A. *et al.* (2014) The analysis of eight transcriptomes from all
21 poriferan classes reveals surprising genetic complexity in sponges. *Mol.*
22 *Biol. Evol.*
- 23 26 Šestak, M.S. *et al.* (2013) Phylostratigraphic profiles reveal a deep
24 evolutionary history of the vertebrate head sensory systems. *Front.*
25 *Zool.* 10, 1
- 26 27 Attenborough, R.M.F. *et al.* (2012) A “neural” enzyme in nonbilaterian
27 animals and algae: preneural origins for peptidylglycine α -amidating
28 monooxygenase. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 29, 3095–3109
- 29 28 Sakarya, O. *et al.* (2007) A post-synaptic scaffold at the origin of the
30 animal kingdom. *PLoS One* 2, e506
- 31 29 Suga, H. *et al.* (2013) The *Capsaspora* genome reveals a complex
32 unicellular prehistory of animals. *Nat. Commun.* 4,
- 33 30 Burkhardt, P. (2015) The origin and evolution of synaptic proteins–
34 choanoflagellates lead the way. *J. Exp. Biol.* 218, 506–514

- 1 31 Roshchina, V. V (2016) New trends and perspectives in the evolution of
2 neurotransmitters in microbial, plant, and animal cells. In *Microbial*
3 *Endocrinology: Interkingdom Signaling in Infectious Disease and Health*
4 pp. 25–77, Springer
- 5 32 Alié, A. and Manuel, M. (2010) The backbone of the post-synaptic
6 density originated in a unicellular ancestor of choanoflagellates and
7 metazoans. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 10, 1
- 8 33 Burkhardt, P. et al. (2014) Evolutionary insights into premetazoan
9 functions of the neuronal protein homer. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 31, 2342–2355
- 10 34 Forêt, S. et al. (2010) New tricks with old genes: the genetic bases of
11 novel cnidarian traits. *Trends Genet.* 26, 154–158
- 12 35 Meech, R.W. (2015) Electrogenesis in the lower Metazoa and
13 implications for neuronal integration. *J. Exp. Biol.* 218, 537–550
- 14 36 Horridge, G.A. and Mackay, B. (1962) Naked axons and symmetrical
15 synapses in coelenterates. *J. Cell Sci.* 3, 531–541
- 16 37 Anderson, P.A. (1985) Physiology of a bidirectional, excitatory, chemical
17 synapse. *J. Neurophysiol.* 53, 821–835
- 18 38 Golubovic, A. et al. (2007) A peptide-gated ion channel from the
19 freshwater polyp Hydra. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282, 35098–35103
- 20 39 Dürrnagel, S. et al. (2010) Three homologous subunits form a high
21 affinity peptide-gated ion channel in Hydra. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285, 11958–
22 11965
- 23 40 Assmann, M. et al. (2014) The comprehensive analysis of DEG/ENaC
24 subunits in Hydra reveals a large variety of peptide-gated channels,
25 potentially involved in neuromuscular transmission. *BMC Biol.* 12, 1
- 26 41 Beckmann, A. et al. (2015) A fast recoiling silk-like elastomer facilitates
27 nanosecond nematocyst discharge. *BMC Biol.* 13, 1
- 28 42 Mackie, G. and Meech, R. (1995) Central circuitry in the jellyfish
29 Aglantha. I: The relay system. *J. Exp. Biol.* 198, 2261–2270
- 30 43 Westfall, J.A. et al. (1980) Neuro-epitheliomuscular cell and neuro-
31 neuronal gap junctions in Hydra. *J. Neurocytol.* 9, 725–732
- 32 44 Takaku, Y. et al. (2014) Innexin gap junctions in nerve cells coordinate
33 spontaneous contractile behavior in Hydra polyps. *Sci. Rep.* 4,
34 45 Mackie, G. and Meech, R. (1995) Central circuitry in the jellyfish

- 1 Aglantha. II: The ring giant and carrier systems. *J. Exp. Biol.* 198, 2271–
2 2278
- 3 46 Shepherd, G.M. (1990) *The synaptic organization of the brain*, Oxford
4 University Press.
- 5 47 Sterling, P. (1990) Retina. In *The synaptic organization of the brain*
6 (Shepherd, G. M., ed), Oxford University Press
- 7 48 Kass-Simon, G. and Pierobon, P. (2007) Cnidarian chemical
8 neurotransmission, an updated overview. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part*
9 *A Mol. Integr. Physiol.* 146, 9–25
- 10 49 Pierobon, P. (2012) Coordinated modulation of cellular signaling
11 through ligand-gated ion channels in *Hydra vulgaris* (Cnidaria,
12 Hydrozoa). *Int. J. Dev. Biol.* 56, 551–565
- 13 50 Putnam, N.H. et al. (2007) Sea Anemone Genome Reveals Ancestral
14 Eumetazoan Gene Repertoire and Genomic Organization. *Sci.* 317,
15 86–94
- 16 51 Chapman, J. a et al. (2010) The dynamic genome of *Hydra*. *Nature* 464,
17 592–6
- 18 52 Anctil, M. (2009) Chemical transmission in the sea anemone
19 *Nematostella vectensis*: A genomic perspective. *Comp. Biochem.*
20 *Physiol. Part D Genomics Proteomics* 4, 268–289
- 21 53 Collin, C. et al. (2013) Two types of muscarinic acetylcholine receptors
22 in *Drosophila* and other arthropods. *Cell. Mol. life Sci.* 70, 3231–3242
- 23 54 Ryan, T.J. and Grant, S.G.N. (2009) The origin and evolution of
24 synapses. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 10, 701–712
- 25 55 Grimmelikhuijzen, C.J.P. et al. (2004) Neuropeptides in cnidarians. In
26 *Cell signalling in prokaryotes and lower Metazoa* pp. 115–139, Springer
- 27 56 Gründer, S. and Assmann, M. (2015) Peptide-gated ion channels and
28 the simple nervous system of *Hydra*. *J. Exp. Biol.* 218, 551–561
- 29 57 Jékely, G. (2013) Global view of the evolution and diversity of metazoan
30 neuropeptide signaling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 110, 8702–8707
- 31 58 Koizumi, O. et al. (1989) Ultrastructural localization of RFamide-like
32 peptides in neuronal dense-cored vesicles in the peduncle of *Hydra*. *J.*
33 *Exp. Zool.* 249, 17–22
- 34 59 Lingueglia, E. et al. (1995) Cloning of the amiloride-sensitive

- 1 FMRFamide peptide-gated sodium channel. *Nature* 378, 730–733
- 2 60 Andrews, A.M. (2013) The BRAIN Initiative: Toward a Chemical
- 3 Connectome. *ACS Chem. Neurosci.* 4, 645
- 4 61 Pantin, C.F.A. (1956) The origin of the nervous system. *Pubbl. Staz.*
- 5 *Zool. Napoli* 28, 171–181
- 6 62 Mattern, C.F.T. et al. (1965) Electron microscope observations on the
- 7 structure and discharge of the stenotele of Hydra. *J. Cell Biol.* 27, 621–
- 8 638
- 9 63 Tardent, P. and Schmid, V. (1972) Ultrastructure of mechanoreceptors
- 10 of the polyp Coryne pintneri (Hydrozoa, Athecata). *Exp. Cell Res.* 72,
- 11 265–275
- 12 64 Arendt, D. et al. (2015) Gastric pouches and the mucociliary sole:
- 13 setting the stage for nervous system evolution. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B*
- 14 370, 20150286
- 15 65 Horridge, G.A. (1969) Statocysts of medusae and evolution of
- 16 stereocilia. *Tissue Cell* 1, 341–353
- 17 66 Singla, C.L. (1983) Fine structure of the sensory receptors of Aglantha
- 18 digitale (Hydromedusae: Trachylina). *Cell Tissue Res.* 231, 415–425
- 19 67 Garm, A. et al. (2007) Visually guided obstacle avoidance in the box
- 20 jellyfish Tripedalia cystophora and Chiropsella bronzie. *J. Exp. Biol.*
- 21 210, 3616–3623
- 22 68 Garm, A. et al. (2011) Box jellyfish use terrestrial visual cues for
- 23 navigation. *Curr. Biol.* 21, 798–803
- 24 69 Satterlie, R.A. (2014) Multiple conducting systems in the cubomedusa
- 25 carybdea marsupialis. *Biol. Bull.* 227, 274–284
- 26 70 Garm, A. et al. (2006) Rhopalia are integrated parts of the central
- 27 nervous system in box jellyfish. *Cell Tissue Res.* 325, 333–343
- 28 71 Garm, A. and Bielecki, J. (2008) Swim pacemakers in box jellyfish are
- 29 modulated by the visual input. *J. Comp. Physiol. A* 194, 641–651
- 30 72 Nilsson, D.-E. (2013) Eye evolution and its functional basis. *Vis.*
- 31 *Neurosci.* 30, 5–20
- 32 73 Mackie, G.O. and Meech, R.W. (2000) Central circuitry in the jellyfish
- 33 Aglantha digitale. III. The rootlet and pacemaker systems. *J. Exp. Biol.*
- 34 203, 1797–1807

- 1 74 Mackie, G.O. *et al.* (2003) Central circuitry in the jellyfish *Aglantha*
2 digitale IV. Pathways coordinating feeding behaviour. *J. Exp. Biol.* 206,
3 2487–2505
- 4 75 Mackie, G.O. *et al.* (1992) Giant axons and escape swimming in
5 *Euplokamis dunlapae* (Ctenophora: Cydippida). *Biol. Bull.* 182, 248–256
- 6 76 Mackie, G.O. (2004) Central neural circuitry in the jellyfish *Aglantha*.
7 *Neurosignals* 13, 5–19
- 8 77 Roberts, A. and Mackie, G.O. (1980) The giant axon escape system of
9 a hydrozoan medusa, *Aglantha digitale*. *J Exp Biol* 84, 303–318
- 10 78 Kerfoot, P.A. *et al.* (1985) Neuromuscular transmission in the jellyfish
11 *Aglantha digitale*. *J. Exp. Biol.* 116, 1–25
- 12 79 Mackie, G.O. and Meech, R.W. (1985) Separate sodium and calcium
13 spikes in the same axon.
- 14 80 Katsuki, T. and Greenspan, R.J. (2013) Jellyfish nervous systems. *Curr.*
15 *Biol.* 23, R592–R594
- 16 81 Trembley, A. (1744) *Mémoires pour servir à l’histoire d’un genre de*
17 *polypes d’eau douce, à bras en forme de cornes. Par A. Trembley...*,
18 chez Jean and Herman Verbeek.
- 19 82 Anderson, P.A. (1990) *Evolution of the first nervous systems*, Plenum
20 Press.
- 21 83 Mackie, G.O. (1990) The elementary nervous system revisited. *Am.*
22 *Zool.* 30, 907–920
- 23 84 Kremien, M. *et al.* (2013) Benefit of pulsation in soft corals. *Proc. Natl.*
24 *Acad. Sci.* 110, 8978–8983
- 25 85 Hamlet, C. *et al.* (2011) A numerical study of the effects of bell pulsation
26 dynamics and oral arms on the exchange currents generated by the
27 upside-down jellyfish *Cassiopea xamachana*. *J. Exp. Biol.* 214, 1911–
28 1921
- 29 86 Santhanakrishnan, A. *et al.* (2012) Flow structure and transport
30 characteristics of feeding and exchange currents generated by upside-
31 down *Cassiopea* jellyfish. *J. Exp. Biol.* 215, 2369–2381
- 32 87 Labas, Y.A. *et al.* (1981) , On Pulsating Growth in Multicellular
33 Organisms. , in *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 257, pp. 1247–1250
- 34 88 Belousov, L. V *et al.* (1993) Growth pulsations in hydroid polyps:

- 1 kinematics, biological role and cytophysiology. *Oscil. Morphog.*
- 2 89 Kosevich, I.A. (2006) Mechanics of growth pulsations as the basis of
3 growth and morphogenesis in colonial hydroids. *Russ. J. Dev. Biol.* 37,
4 90–101
- 5 90 Werner, B. (1973) Spermatozeugmen und paarungsverhalten bei
6 *Tripedalia cystophora* (Cubomedusae). *Mar. Biol.* 18, 212–217
- 7 91 Lewis, C. and Long, T.A.F. (2005) Courtship and reproduction in
8 *Carybdea sivickisi* (Cnidaria: Cubozoa). *Mar. Biol.* 147, 477–483
- 9 92 Wagner, G. (1905) Memoirs: On Some Movements and Reactions of
10 *Hydra*. *J. Cell Sci.* 2, 585–622
- 11 93 Koizumi, O. (2016) Origin and Evolution of the Nervous System
12 Considered from the Diffuse Nervous System of Cnidarians. In *The*
13 *Cnidaria, Past, Present and Future* pp. 73–91, Springer
- 14 94 Browne, E.N. (1909) The production of new hydranths in hydra by the
15 insertion of small grafts. *J. Exp. Zool.* 7, 1–23
- 16 95 Hager, G. and David, C.N. (1997) Pattern of differentiated nerve cells in
17 hydra is determined by precursor migration. *Development* 124, 569–576
- 18 96 Technau, U. and Holstein, T.W. (1996) Phenotypic Maturation of
19 Neurons and Continuous Precursor Migration in the Formation of the
20 Peduncle Nerve Net in *Hydra*. *Dev. Biol.* 177, 599–615
- 21 97 Bode, H. et al. (1973) Quantitative analysis of cell types during growth
22 and morphogenesis in *Hydra*. *Wilhelm Roux'Archiv für*
23 *Entwicklungsmechanik der Org.* 171, 269–285
- 24 98 Nakanishi, N. et al. (2012) Nervous systems of the sea anemone
25 *Nematostella vectensis* are generated by ectoderm and endoderm and
26 shaped by distinct mechanisms. *Development* 139, 347–357
- 27 99 Layden, M.J. et al. (2012) *Nematostella vectensis* achaete-scute
28 homolog NvashA regulates embryonic ectodermal neurogenesis and
29 represents an ancient component of the metazoan neural specification
30 pathway. *Development* 139, 1013–1022
- 31 100 Richards, G.S. and Rentzsch, F. (2014) Transgenic analysis of a SoxB
32 gene reveals neural progenitor cells in the cnidarian *Nematostella*
33 *vectensis*. *Development* 141, 4681–4689
- 34 101 Watanabe, H. et al. (2014) Sequential actions of β -catenin and Bmp

- 1 pattern the oral nerve net in *Nematostella vectensis*. *Nat. Commun.* 5,
- 2 102 Wittlieb, J. et al. (2006) Transgenic Hydra allow in vivo tracking of
- 3 individual stem cells during morphogenesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.*
- 4 A. 103, 6208–11
- 5 103 Renfer, E. et al. (2010) A muscle-specific transgenic reporter line of the
- 6 sea anemone, *Nematostella vectensis*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 107, 104–
- 7 108
- 8 104 Künzel, T. et al. (2010) Migration and differentiation potential of stem
- 9 cells in the cnidarian *Hydractinia* analysed in eGFP-transgenic animals
- 10 and chimeras. *Dev. Biol.* 348, 120–129
- 11 105 Ikmi, A. et al. (2014) TALEN and CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome
- 12 editing in the early-branching metazoan *Nematostella vectensis*. *Nat.*
- 13 *Commun.* 5,
- 14 106 Kraus, Y. et al. (2016) Pre-bilaterian origin of the blastoporal axial
- 15 organizer. *Nat. Commun.* 7,
- 16 107 Juliano, C.E. and Hobmayer, B. (2016) Meeting report on “Animal
- 17 Evolution: New Perspectives From Early Emerging Metazoans”,
- 18 Tutzing, September 14–17, 2015. *BioEssays* 38, 216–219
- 19 108 Kortschak, R.D. et al. (2003) EST analysis of the cnidarian *Acropora*
- 20 *millepora* reveals extensive gene loss and rapid sequence divergence in
- 21 the model invertebrates. *Curr. Biol.* 13, 2190–2195
- 22 109 Technau, U. et al. (2005) Maintenance of ancestral complexity and non-
- 23 metazoan genes in two basal cnidarians. *TRENDS Genet.* 21, 633–639
- 24 110 Raible, F. and Arendt, D. (2004) Metazoan evolution: some animals are
- 25 more equal than others. *Curr. Biol.* 14, R106–R108
- 26 111 Hopfield, J.J. (1982) Neural networks and physical systems with
- 27 emergent collective computational abilities. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 79,
- 28 2554–2558
- 29 112 Jekely, G. et al. (2015) An option space for early neural evolution. *Phil.*
- 30 *Trans. R. Soc. B* 370, 20150181
- 31 113 Ivashkin, E. et al. (2014) A paradigm shift in neurobiology: peripheral
- 32 nerves deliver cellular material and control development. *Zoology* 117,
- 33 293–294
- 34 114 Adameyko, I. and Fried, K. (2016) The nervous system orchestrates

- 1 and integrates craniofacial development: a review. *Front. Physiol.* 7,
- 2 115 Yano, J.M. et al. (2015) Indigenous bacteria from the gut microbiota
- 3 regulate host serotonin biosynthesis. *Cell* 161, 264–276
- 4 116 Williams, B.B. et al. (2014) Discovery and characterization of gut
- 5 microbiota decarboxylases that can produce the neurotransmitter
- 6 tryptamine. *Cell Host Microbe* 16, 495–503
- 7 117 Barrett, E. et al. (2012) γ -Aminobutyric acid production by culturable
- 8 bacteria from the human intestine. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 113, 411–417
- 9 118 Cryan, J.F. and Dinan, T.G. (2012) Mind-altering microorganisms: the
- 10 impact of the gut microbiota on brain and behaviour. *Nat. Rev.*
- 11 *Neurosci.* 13, 701–712
- 12 119 Forsythe, P. and Kunze, W.A. (2013) Voices from within: gut microbes
- 13 and the CNS. *Cell. Mol. life Sci.* 70, 55–69
- 14 120 Mu, C. et al. (2016) Gut microbiota: the brain peacekeeper. *Front.*
- 15 *Microbiol.* 7,
- 16 121 Satterlie, R.A. and Eichinger, J.M. (2014) Organization of the
- 17 ectodermal nervous structures in jellyfish: scyphomedusae. *Biol. Bull.*
- 18 226, 29–40
- 19 122 Eichinger, J.M. and Satterlie, R.A. (2014) Organization of the
- 20 ectodermal nervous structures in medusae: cubomedusae. *Biol. Bull.*
- 21 226, 41–55
- 22 123 Holstein, T. (1981) The morphogenesis of nematocytes in Hydra and
- 23 Forsklia: An ultrastructural study. *J. Ultrastruct. Res.* 75, 276–290
- 24 124 Khalturin, K. et al. (2007) Transgenic stem cells in Hydra reveal an early
- 25 evolutionary origin for key elements controlling self-renewal and
- 26 differentiation. *Dev. Biol.* 309, 32–44
- 27

Figure 1

FOCUS

Cnidarian nervous systems function as nerve nets and offer great potential for understanding the basic design principles of nervous system circuitry.

WHY CNIDARIANS?

- Evolutionary position as sister group to Bilateria
- Relatively simple and small nervous systems
- Diversity of nervous system design
- Diversity of behavioural patterns
- High plasticity of nervous system and active adult neurogenesis

WHY NOW?

- Genome-wide data emerged in broad range of species
- Genetic interference approaches became recently available
- New imaging techniques are being applied to these models
- Tools to control nerve cells (e.g. optogenetics) are being developed

Figure 2