An overview on the advanced oxidation processes applied for the treatment of water pollutants defined in the recently launched Directive 2013/39/EU

Ana R. Ribeiro^{a,*}, Olga C. Nunes^b, Manuel F.R. Pereira^a and Adrián M.T. Silva^{a,*}

^a LCM – Laboratory of Catalysis and Materials – Associate Laboratory LSRE/LCM, Faculdade de Engenharia, Universidade do Porto, Rua Dr. Roberto Frias, 4200-465 Porto, Portugal.

^b LEPABE – Laboratory for Process Engineering, Environment, Biotechnology and Energy, Faculdade de Engenharia, Universidade do Porto, Rua Dr. Roberto Frias, 4200-465 Porto, Portugal

*Corresponding authors: ritalado@fe.up.pt (A.R. Ribeiro) and adrian@fe.up.pt (A.M.T. Silva)

Fax: +351-22-5081449; Tel: +351-22-0414921

Abstract	3
1. Environmental pollution: Directive 2013/39/EU.....	4
2. Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs): concept and fundamentals.....	12
2.1. Photolysis and H ₂ O ₂ -assisted processes	15
2.2. Fenton-based processes	16
2.2.1. Classical Fenton process	16
2.2.2. Photo-Fenton process	18
2.2.3. Electro-Fenton process	20
2.3. Heterogeneous photocatalysis	21
2.4. Ozonation-based processes	25
2.5. Miscellaneous	26
3. Overlooking priority substances in the field of water policy studied by using AOPs.....	28
3.1. Pesticides.....	28
3.2. Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), dioxins and dioxins-like compounds.....	32
3.3. Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid and its derivatives (PFOS).....	33
3.4. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs).....	33
3.5. Solvents.....	34
3.6. Nonylphenol and octylphenol.....	34
3.7. Pharmaceuticals proposed for the first watch list: diclofenac, 17-beta-estradiol and 17-alpha-ethinylestradiol.....	34
3.8. Other classes	35
4. Future challenges.....	36
References	63

Abstract

Environmental pollution is a recognized issue of major concern since a wide range of contaminants has been found in aquatic environment at ng L^{-1} to $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ levels. In the year 2000, a strategy was defined to identify the priority substances concerning aquatic ecosystems, followed by the definition of environmental quality standards (EQS) in 2008. Recently it was launched the Directive 2013/39/EU that updates the water framework policy highlighting the need to develop new water treatment technologies to deal with such problem. This review summarizes the data published in the last decade regarding the application of advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) to treat priority compounds and certain other pollutants defined in this Directive, excluding the inorganic species (cadmium, lead, mercury, nickel and their derivatives).

The Directive 2013/39/EU includes several pesticides (aldrin, dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane, dicofol, dieldrin, endrin, endosulfan, isodrin, heptachlor, lindane, pentachlorophenol, chlorpyrifos, chlorfenvinphos, dichlorvos, atrazine, simazine, terbutryn, diuron, isoproturon, trifluralin, cypermethrin, alachlor), solvents (dichloromethane, dichloroethane, trichloromethane and carbon tetrachloride), perfluorooctane sulfonic acid and its derivatives (PFOS), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), nonylphenol and octylphenol, as well as the three compounds included in the recommendation for the first watch list of substances (diclofenac, 17- α -ethinylestradiol (EE2) and 17- β -estradiol (E2)). Some particular pesticides (aclonifen, bifenoxy, cybutryne, quinoxifen), organotin compounds (tributyltin), dioxins and dioxin-like compounds, brominated diphenylethers, hexabromocyclododecanes and di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate are also defined in this Directive, but studies dealing with AOPs are missing.

AOPs are recognized tools to destroy recalcitrant compounds or, at least, to transform them into more biodegradable species. Diuron (a phenylurea herbicide) and atrazine (from the triazine chemical class) are the most studied pesticides from Directive 2013/39/EU. Fenton-based processes are the most frequently applied to treat water priority compounds and their efficiency typically increases with the operating temperature as well as under UV or solar light. Heterogeneous photocatalysis is the second most used treatment to destroy pollutants defined in the Directive. Ozone alone promotes the partial oxidation of pollutants, and an increase in the effluent biodegradability, but complete mineralization of pollutants is difficult. To overcome this drawback, ozonation has been combined with heterogeneous catalysts, addition of H_2O_2 , other AOPs (such as photocatalysis) or membrane technologies.

Keywords: Directive 2013/39/EU; advanced oxidation processes; Fenton-based processes; heterogeneous photocatalysis; ozonation; priority substances.

Highlights:

- AOPs to treat priority compounds listed in the Directive 2013/39/EU are reviewed.
- Fenton-based processes, photocatalysis and ozonation are the most frequently applied.
- Importance of AOPs to treat recalcitrant compounds is emphasized.

1. Environmental pollution: Directive 2013/39/EU

The occurrence of micropollutants has been highlighted in thousands of publications during the last decades, which have pointed out a growing concern about them. These emerging pollutants can be natural or anthropogenic substances found at ng L^{-1} to $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ levels and include pesticides, industrial compounds, pharmaceuticals, personal care products, steroid hormones, drugs of abuse and others (Jurado et al. 2012). They are sourced by industrial and domestic wastewaters, hospital effluents, landfill leachates, runoff from agriculture, livestock and aquaculture (Luo et al. 2014). Some of them persist in the environment but others are so-called pseudo-persistent due to the continuous introduction at low levels into the environment that overcomes the transformation or removal rates. The water and wastewater treatment processes are hampered since wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) using conventional physicochemical and biological treatments are not specifically designed to eliminate such compounds. The potential contamination of the main environmental compartments, such as surface water, groundwater and soils, which are constantly interconnected, may cause cumulative negative effects along multigenerational exposure in aquatic organisms and micropollutants can even end up in drinking water.

Although there are no discharge limits for most micropollutants, some regulations have been published. Considering that chemical pollution of surface water affects the environment, several effects are described as acute and chronic toxicity on aquatic organisms, accumulation in the ecosystem, loss of habitats and biodiversity, as well as injuries to human health. Early in 2000, a strategy was defined by the Directive 2000/60/EC with the aim of identifying priority substances with high risk to the aquatic ecosystems (Directive 2000). In 2008, a list of 33 priority substances/groups of substances was established at Union level by the Directive 2008/105/EC, in the field of water policy. Environmental quality standards (EQS) were defined for these 33 priority substances/groups of substances and for other eight pollutants, based on available data of acute and chronic effects to aquatic environment and human health, being

expressed as an annual average value (protection against long-term exposure) and/or maximum allowable concentrations (protect against short-term exposure) (Directive 2008).

The recent Directive 2013/39/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 August 2013 amending the Directives 2000/60/EC and 2008/105/EC, updated the water framework policy (Directive 2013). The Directive 2013/39/EU promotes the preventive action and the polluter pays principle, the identification of pollution causes, dealing with emissions of pollutants at the source, and finally the development of innovative water/wastewater treatment technologies, avoiding expensive solutions. In particular, a significant improvement in the water framework policy was achieved by amending the list of priority substances previously defined in 2008/105/EC, namely:

- (a) new priority substances were identified;
- (b) EQS for newly identified substances were defined, which should be met by the end of 2027;
- (c) EQS for substances already identified were revised, which should be met by the end of 2021;
- (d) biota EQS were defined for some existing and newly identified priority substances.

The recent Directive 2013/39/EU includes 45 substances/groups of substances and also certain other pollutants with defined EQS to be considered, which are listed in this review according to their classes (Table 1), except the inorganic substances (cadmium, lead, mercury, nickel and their compounds) that are not the aim of this paper.

Table 1. Molecular formula, molecular weight (Mw) and chemical structure of the organic priority substances in the field of water policy and certain other pollutants defined in the Directive 2013/39/EU.

Class Compounds	Molecular formula/Mw Chemical structure	Class Compounds	Molecular formula/Mw Chemical structure
Organochlorine pesticides Cyclodiene pesticides* aldrin and isodrin (isomers)	$C_{12}H_8Cl_6/364.91$	Organochlorine pesticides Cyclodiene pesticides* dieldrin and endrin (isomers)	$C_{12}H_8Cl_6O/380.91$
Organochlorine pesticide Endosulfan	$C_9H_6Cl_6O_3S/406.93$	Organochlorine pesticide Dicofol	370.49
Organochlorine pesticide Heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide	$C_{10}H_5Cl_7/373.32$	Organochlorine pesticide Pentachlorophenol	$C_6HCl_5O/266.34$
	$C_6H_6Cl_6/290.83$		$C_6Cl_6/284.78$
Organochlorine pesticide Hexachlorocyclohexane (HCH): e.g. γ -HCH or lindane		Organochlorine pesticide Hexachlorobenzene
	$C_6H_6Cl_6/290.83$		$C_6Cl_6/284.78$
Organochlorine pesticide Hexachlorobutadiene		Organochlorine pesticides Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT) total* and p,p' - DDT*
	$C_4Cl_6/260.76$		$C_{14}H_9Cl_5/354.49$
Organophosphorus pesticide Chlorfenvinphos		Organophosphorus pesticide Chlorpyrifos (Chlorpyrifos-ethyl)
	$C_{12}H_{14}Cl_3O_4P/359.57$		$C_9H_{11}Cl_3NO_3PS/350.59$
Organophosphorus pesticide Dichlorvos		Triazine pesticide Atrazine
	$C_4H_7Cl_2O_4P/220.98$		$C_8H_{14}ClN_5/215.68$
Triazine pesticide Cybutryne		Triazine pesticide Simazine
	$C_{11}H_{19}N_5S/253.37$		$C_7H_{12}ClN_5/201.66$
Triazine pesticide Terbutryn		Organotin Tributyltin compounds including tributyltin-cation
	$C_{10}H_{19}N_5S/241.36$		$C_{12}H_{27}Sn^+/290.05$
Phenylurea pesticide Diuron		Phenylurea pesticide Isoproturon
	$C_9H_{10}Cl_2N_2O/233.09$		$C_{12}H_{18}N_2O/206.28$
Chloroacetanilide pesticide Alachlor		Dinitroaniline pesticide Trifluralin
	$C_{14}H_{18}ClNO_2/267.75$		$C_{13}H_{16}F_3N_3O_4/335.28$

	$C_{22}H_{19}Cl_2NO_3/416.30$	$C_{15}H_8Cl_2FNO/308.13$
Pyrethroid pesticide Cypermethrin		Quinoline pesticide Quinoxifen
	$C_{12}H_9ClN_2O_3/264.66$	$C_{14}H_9Cl_2NO_5/342.13$
Diphenyl ethers pesticide Aclonifen		Diphenyl ethers pesticide Bifenox
Brominated diphenylethers	$C_{12}H_{(10-x)}Br_xO$ ($x = 1, 2, \dots, 10 = m + n$)	$C_{12}H_{18}Br_6/641.70$
	Hexabromocyclododecanes
Polyaromatic Hydrocarbon (PAH) ** Benzo(a)pyrene	$C_{20}H_{12}/252.31$	$C_{20}H_{12}/252.31$
	PAH ** Benzo(b)fluoranthene
PAH ** Indeno(1,2,3-cd)-pyrene	$C_{22}H_{12}/276.33$	$C_{20}H_{12}/252.31$
	PAH ** Benzo(k)fluoranthene
PAH ** Benzo(g,h,i)-perylene	$C_{22}H_{12}/276.33$	$C_{14}H_{10}/178.23$
	PAH Anthracene
PAH Fluoranthene	$C_{16}H_{10}/ 202.25$	$C_{10}H_8/128.17$
	PAH Naphthalene

Dioxins and dioxin-like compounds** Polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDDs)	 n and m can range from 0 to 4	Dioxins and dioxin-like compounds** Polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs)	 $2 \leq n + m \leq 8$
Dioxins and dioxin-like compounds** Dioxin-like polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB-DL)	 $C_{12}H_{10-x}Cl_x$ ($1 \leq Cl \leq 10$)	Chloroalkanes, C10-13	$R-CH_2-(CH_2)_n-CH_2-R$ $R = H \text{ or } Cl \text{ and } n = 8-11$
Solvent Benzene	$C_6H_6/78.11$ 	Solvents Pentachlorobenzene	$C_6HCl_5/250.34$
Solvent 1,2-dichloroethane	$C_2H_4Cl_2/98.96$ 	Solvent Dichloromethane	$CH_2Cl_2/84.93$
Solvent Trichloromethane	$CHCl_3/119.38$ 	Solvent Carbon tetrachloride*	$CCl_4/153.82$
Solvent Tetrachloro-ethylene*	$C_2Cl_4/165.83$ 	Solvent Trichloro-ethylene*	$C_2HCl_3/131.39$
Solvents Trichlorobenzenes	$C_6H_3Cl_3/181.45$ 	Industrial compound Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP)	$C_{24}H_{38}O_4/390.56$
Industrial compounds Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid and its derivatives (PFOS)	$C_8HF_{17}O_3S/500.13$ 	Industrial compounds Nonylphenol including isomers 4-nonylphenol	$C_{15}H_{24}O/220.35$
Industrial compounds Octylphenol including isomer 4-(1,1',3,3'-tetramethylbutyl)-phenol	$C_{14}H_{22}O/206.32$ 		

92 *: other pollutants defined in the Directive, not included in the priority substances list; **: group of substances
93 listed as a class; DEHP: di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate; Mw: molecular weight; PAH: polyaromatic hydrocarbon; PCDDs:
94 polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins; PCDFs: polychlorinated dibenzofurans; PCB-DL: dioxin-like polychlorinated
95 biphenyls; PFOS: perfluorooctane sulfonic acid and its derivatives.

96

97 Since numerous publications have stressed the concerns on the contamination of
98 environmental compartments by other pollutants, such as pharmaceutical contamination of
99 water and soil, the European Commission intends to reinforce the risk assessment of medicinal
100 products to the aquatic environment, as well as to revise the current legislative framework, in
101 order to protect the aquatic compartments and the human health. For instance, a watch list of
102 substances will be established by the Commission in order to be monitored within the
103 European Union. This list shall comprise a maximum of 10 substances/groups of substances,
104 and will be elaborated accordingly with the information about matrices that should be
105 investigated and the respective methods of analysis. The information on substances included
106 shall indicate risk at Union level to, or via, the aquatic environment. There is already a
107 recommendation to include in the first watch list two pharmaceuticals, namely diclofenac and
108 the synthetic hormone 17-alpha-ethinylestradiol (EE2), as well as the natural hormone 17-
109 beta-estradiol (E2). Commission shall have a well established strategic approach to mitigate
110 water pollution due to pharmaceutical substances by September 2015.

111 The fate and effects of pollutants are known through thousands of papers published in the last
112 two decades. The EQS for biota are set for highly hydrophobic substances that bioaccumulate
113 since they are very difficult to detect in water bodies. Avoidance of discharges, emissions and
114 losses of priority hazardous substances should be prioritized. Nonetheless, there are
115 substances so-called PBTs (persistent, bioaccumulative and toxic substances) that can persist
116 for long time in the aquatic environment, even after taking measures to reduce or eliminate
117 their emissions, being also ubiquitous for long-term due to the easily transportation in the
118 environment. Some of them are already identified in the list of priority hazardous substances
119 and it is very important to take them into consideration.

120 Directive 2013/39/EU calls the attention of the important role of monitoring emerging
121 pollutants that are not regularly considered in monitoring programs but that can have
122 ecotoxicological and toxicological effects. In this context, we have reviewed the literature
123 focused on the application of advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) to treat the water priority
124 compounds (Table 2), including details on the degradation of such pollutants and the operating
125 conditions employed.

126 Table 2. List of organic priority substances in the field of water policy and certain other pollutants defined in the Directive 2013/39/EU.

Class	Compounds	AA-EQS ($\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$)	MAC-EQS ($\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$)	EQS Biota ($\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ wet weight)	Priority hazardous substance
Organochlorine pesticides	Cyclodiene pesticides* including aldrin, dieldrin, endrin and isodrin	Σ : 0.005–0.01	n.a.	—	No
	Endosulfan	0.0005–0.005	0.004–0.01	—	Yes
	Dicofol	3.2×10^{-5} – 1.3×10^{-3}	n.a.	33	Yes
	Heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide	1×10^{-8} – 2×10^{-7}	3×10^{-5} – 3×10^{-4}	6.7×10^{-3}	Yes
	Pentachlorophenol	0.4	1.0	—	No
	Hexachlorocyclohexane (HCH): e.g. γ -HCH or lindane	0.002–0.02	0.02–0.04	—	Yes
	Hexachlorobenzene	—	0.05	10	Yes
	Hexachlorobutadiene	—	0.6	55	Yes
	Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT) total* and p,p' -DDT*	0.025 (DDT total) 0.01 (p,p' -DDT)	n.a.	—	No
Organophosphorus pesticides	Chlorfenvinphos	0.1	0.3	—	No
	Chlorpyrifos (Chlorpyrifos-ethyl)	0.03	0.1	—	No
	Dichlorvos	6×10^{-5} – 6×10^{-4}	7×10^{-5} – 7×10^{-4}	—	Yes
Triazine pesticides	Atrazine	0.6	2.0	—	No
	Cybutryne	0.0025	0.016	—	No
	Simazine	1.0	4.0	—	No
	Terbutryn	0.0065–0.065	0.034–0.34	—	No
Phenylurea pesticides	Diuron	0.2	1.8	—	No
	Isoproturon	0.3	1.0	—	No
Chloroacetanilide pesticide	Alachlor	0.3	0.7	—	No
Dinitroaniline pesticide	Trifluralin	0.03	n.a.	—	Yes
Pyrethroid pesticide	Cypermethrin	8×10^{-6} – 8×10^{-5}	6×10^{-5} – 6×10^{-4}	—	No
Diphenyl ethers pesticides	Aclonifen	0.012–0.12	0.012–0.12	—	No
	Bifenox	0.0012–0.012	0.004–0.04	—	No
Quinoline pesticide	Quinoxifen	0.015–0.15	0.54–2.7	—	Yes
Organotin	Tributyltin compounds including tributyltin-cation	0.0002	0.0015	—	Yes
Brominated diphenylethers		—	0.014–0.14	0.0085	Yes

Hexabromocyclododecanes		0.0008–0.0016	0.05–0.5	167	Yes
Polyaromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) **	Benzo(a)pyrene	1.7×10^{-4}	0.027–0.27	5	Yes
	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Benzo(a)pyrene is considered as a marker for the other PAHs.	0.017	Benzo(a)pyrene is considered as a marker for the other PAHs.	Yes
	Benzo(k)fluoranthene		0.017		Yes
	Benzo(g,h,i)-perylene		8.2×10^{-4} – 8.2×10^{-3}		Yes
	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)-pyrene		n.a.		Yes
PAHs listed separately	Anthracene	0.1	0.1	—	Yes
	Fluoranthene	0.0063	0.12	30	No
	Naphthalene	2.0	130	—	No
Dioxins and dioxin-like compounds**	Polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDDs)	—	n.a.	Toxic equivalents (PCDDs + PCDFs + PCB-DL): 0.0065	Yes
	Polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs)				
	Dioxin-like polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB-DL)				
Solvents	Benzene	8-10	50	—	No
	Trichlorobenzenes	0.4	n.a.	—	No
	Pentachlorobenzene	0.0007–0.007	n.a.	—	Yes
	Chloroalkanes, C10-13	0.4	1.4	—	Yes
	1,2-dichloroethane	10	n.a.	—	No
	Dichloromethane	20	n.a.	—	No
	Trichloromethane	2.5	n.a.	—	No
	Carbon tetrachloride*	12	n.a.	—	No
	Tetrachloro-ethylene*	10	n.a.	—	No
	Trichloro-ethylene*	10	n.a.	—	No
Industrial compounds	Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP)	1.3	n.a.	—	Yes
	Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid and its derivatives (PFOS)	1.3×10^{-4} – 6.5×10^{-4}	7.2–36	9.1	Yes
	Nonylphenol including isomers 4-nonylphenol	0.3	2.0	—	Yes
	Octylphenol including isomer 4-(1,1',3,3'-tetramethylbutyl)-phenol	0.01–0.1	n.a.	—	No

127 *: other pollutants defined in the Directive, not included in the priority substances list; **: group of substances listed as a class; AA: annual average concentration; EQS: Environmental Quality Standards;
128 MAC: maximum allowable concentration: n.a., not applicable and the annual average-EQS values are considered for protective against short-term pollution peaks in continuous discharges.

2. Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs): concept and fundamentals

The treatment of effluents generated by WWTPs might minimize the discharge of micropollutants into the receiving waters, and can even improve the overall secondary effluent quality status for possible reuse (Comninellis et al. 2008; De Luca et al. 2013). The upgrading of WWTPs by the implementation of additional advanced or tertiary treatment technologies, prior to discharge into the environment, has arisen as practice for the total mineralization of micropollutants, or by converting them into less harmful compounds.

Chemical oxidation processes can destroy organic pollutants into less complex compounds, their complete mineralization being the ideal scenario. AOPs are considered clean technologies for the treatment of polluted waters that apply the concept of producing hydroxyl radicals ($\text{HO}^\bullet$), which will attack the organic pollutants. The efficiency of AOPs is based on the generation of these highly reactive radicals that are unselective and powerful oxidizing species ($E^0 = 2.80 \text{ V}$), which can degrade indiscriminately micropollutants with reaction rate constants usually around $10^9 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Hoigné 1997), yielding CO_2 , H_2O and, eventually, inorganic ions as final products. After fluorine, hydroxyl radical is the strongest oxidant (Pera-Titus et al. 2004) and its production can be achieved by many pathways (i.e., by different AOPs based on different fundamentals), which allows to choose the appropriate AOP according to the specific characteristics of the target water/wastewater and treatment requirements.

When the contaminants and their reaction intermediate products are completely destroyed by the chemical process (mineralization), critical secondary wastes are not generated and, thus, post-treatment or final disposal is not required (Andreozzi et al. 1999). However, when complete mineralization is not achieved or requires too long reaction periods, a post-treatment may be necessary before final disposal. A higher biodegradability and/or lower toxicity of the reaction by-products, in comparison with the parent compounds, are desirable benefits of applying AOPs to treat wastewaters; but in some cases these by-products can be less biodegradable and/or more toxic than the parent pollutants. AOPs can be applied as post-treatment or pre-treatment of biological processes. The integration of different AOPs in a sequence of complementary processes is also a common approach to achieve a biodegradable effluent that can be further treated by a cheaper and conventional biological process, reducing the residence time and reagent consumption in comparison with AOPs alone. However, it is important to completely eliminate the oxidizing agents before any biological treatment, since they can inhibit the growth of microorganisms (Gernjak et al. 2006; Mantzavinos and Kalogerakis 2005; Oller et al. 2011).

One shortcoming of these processes is the presence of scavengers in many wastewaters. These species consume hydroxyl radicals, competing with organic contaminants for hydroxyl radical reactions. They can be organic matter (e.g., humic and/or fulvic acids, proteins, amino acids and carbohydrates) or inorganic ions (like dissolved sulphide, carbonate and bicarbonate, or even bromide and nitrate in some particular cases). Since most waters naturally contain these scavengers, optimization of AOPs must be performed taking these species into account. The prediction of the effect of all these scavengers on the process efficiency is difficult due to their different reactivity, as well as due to the constant variations in the liquid phase when the parent pollutants are continuously transformed into many different by-products (Pera-Titus et al. 2004; Song et al. 2008).

AOPs include a series of powerful technologies to treat water, and they can implement ultraviolet (UV) radiation, ozone (O_3), hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), oxygen (O_2), among others. Some of the most typical AOPs are the Fenton process, ozonation, catalytic wet peroxide oxidation, heterogeneous photocatalysis, catalytic wet air oxidation, electrochemical oxidation or even the combination of some of them (e.g., photo-Fenton and electro-Fenton processes). Supercritical water oxidation, sonolysis, γ -ray irradiation, microwaves and pulsed electron beam are also alternative AOPs. Several reports including many review papers have been published in this field (Feng et al. 2013; Gogate and Pandit 2004a; Hoffmann et al. 1995; Ikehata and El-Din 2005; Joseph et al. 2009; Levec and Pintar 2007; Mishra et al. 1995; Nidheesh and Gandhimathi 2012; Pera-Titus et al. 2004; Serpone et al. 2010).

Regarding the methodology to generate hydroxyl radicals, AOPs can be divided in chemical, electro-chemical, sono-chemical and photochemical processes (Babuponnusami and Muthukumar 2014). Conventional AOPs can be also classified as homogeneous and heterogeneous processes, depending whether occurs in a single phase or it make use of a heterogeneous catalyst like metal supported catalysts, carbon materials or semiconductors such as TiO_2 , ZnO , WO_3 (Oliveira et al. 2014). While homogeneous processes are characterized by chemical changes depending on the interactions between the chemical reagents and target compounds only, heterogeneous processes also depend on the adsorption of reactants and desorption of products that occurs at the active sites of the catalyst surface. As the reaction occurs, the products are desorbed and new species can adsorb on the active sites, so the surface characteristics and the pore structure of the catalyst will strongly affect its efficiency and stability (Soon and Hameed 2011).

Some examples of homogeneous processes are: (i) O_3 based processes, which include O_3 , $O_3 + UV$, $O_3 + H_2O_2$, $O_3 + UV + H_2O_2$; (ii) wet peroxide oxidation, which implements H_2O_2 as oxidizing agent and usually operates at temperatures lower than 373 K; (iii) Fenton-based processes,

such as the classical Fenton ($\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{Fe}^{2+}$) as well as Fenton-like ($\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{Fe}^{3+}$), photo-Fenton (UV + $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{Fe}^{2+}$), sono-Fenton (ultrasound + $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{Fe}^{2+}$), electro-Fenton, sono-electro-Fenton, photo-electro-Fenton and sono-photo-Fenton processes; (iv) wet oxidation, operating at high temperature (470–600 K) and pressure (20–200 bar) and where dissolved oxygen is used as oxidizing agent.

Among the heterogeneous AOPs, the most commonly employed are: (i) heterogeneous photocatalysis, where a semiconductor photocatalyst is irradiated with UV and/or Vis-light; (ii) catalytic wet peroxide oxidation (CWPO), implementing heterogeneous catalysts at temperatures between 323–353 K; (iii) catalytic ozonation (CO_2), where a heterogeneous catalyst increases the production of highly reactive species, leading to higher mineralization rates; (iv) heterogeneous Fenton-like processes (e.g., $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + (\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{m}^{n+})$ -solid; $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 +$ immobilized zero valent iron) which is a particular case of the CWPO process; (v) catalytic wet oxidation where the catalyst allows less severe operating temperatures (400–520 K) and pressures (5–50 bar) in comparison with the non-catalytic wet oxidation route .

In the above mentioned AOPs, supported or unsupported heterogeneous metal oxides (e.g., Cu, Zn, Mn, Fe, Co and Bi) and noble metals (e.g., Ru, Pt, Pd, Ir and Rh), carbon materials as well as different photocatalysts (e.g., TiO_2 , ZnO, WO_3 , etc., pure or modified by metal deposition, coupling with other materials, or by doping with metals/non-metal ions) have been widely employed (Bhargava et al. 2006; Cybulski 2007; Herney-Ramirez et al. 2010; Liotta et al. 2009; Stüber et al. 2005). More recently, metal-free carbon materials as catalysts on their own have gained increasing attention due to actual concerns with metals scarcity and catalyst deactivation by metal leaching. Some examples are activated carbons (Morales-Torres et al. 2010), carbon xerogels (Apolinário et al. 2008; Gomes et al. 2008), carbon nanotubes (Restivo et al. 2014; Rocha et al. 2014; Rocha et al. 2011), carbon foams and fibres (Sousa et al. 2010) and graphite (Domínguez et al. 2014).

Figure 1 shows the relative frequency of studies dealing with different types of AOPs addressed in this review to treat priority substances/group of substances defined in Directive 2013/39/EU, considering only the works published in the last decade (i.e., since 2004) and excluding the works performed with some particular pollutants (PAHs, nonylphenol, octylphenol, diclofenac, 17-beta-estradiol and 17-alpha-ethinylestradiol) that have been reviewed elsewhere (Fatta-Kassinos et al. 2011; Feng et al. 2013; Kanakaraju et al. 2014; Klavarioti et al. 2009; Liu et al. 2009; Luo et al. 2014; Rivera-Utrilla et al. 2013; Rubio-Clemente et al. 2014; Silva et al. 2012; Sires and Brillas 2012). Therefore, Figure 1 shows that Fenton-based processes are the most applied AOPs (31 %) in the field of compounds defined in the Directive 2013/39/EU, followed by heterogeneous photocatalysis (20 %), while an important

fraction is related to the comparison of different AOPs (18 %). Fenton-based processes together with heterogeneous photocatalysis represent more than half of the reports discussed in this paper. Next section will further describe the most used AOPs to treat wastewaters contaminated with priority substances defined in the Directive 2013/39/EU, and details on the treatment conditions are also given.

Figure 1. Relative frequency of reports of different types of AOPs discussed in this review, to treat priority substances in the field of water policy, accordingly to the Directive 2013/39/EU. The search was based in Scopus database using as keywords the name of each organic compound listed in the Directive 2013/39/EU and advanced oxidation process (or AOP); only publications since 2004 were considered.

2.1. Photolysis and H₂O₂-assisted processes

Table S1 (Supplementary Information) refers to photolysis (mainly UV) and/or H₂O₂-assisted processes for the degradation of pesticides, the solvents 1,2-dichloroethane, trichloromethane and carbon tetrachloride, PAHs, diclofenac, 17-beta-estradiol, 17-alpha-ethinylestradiol and octylphenol, all of them included in the Directive 2013/39/EU. The majority of the studies reviewed herein regards to pesticides, namely organochlorine (endosulfan), organophosphorus (chlorpyrifos), triazine (atrazine and terbutryn), phenylurea (diuron and isoproturon) and dinitroaniline (trifluralin) chemical classes. The range of concentrations of pesticides treated by these AOPs is wide and can be from a few mg L⁻¹ to thousands mg L⁻¹; three different orders of magnitude of H₂O₂ concentration can be found in the different reports.

For instance, atrazine (Khan et al. 2014) and endosulfan (Shah et al. 2013) at initial concentrations of 1 mg L⁻¹ and 350–1500 mg L⁻¹, respectively, were degraded by direct photolysis at 254 nm and the removal efficiency was enhanced using different peroxides, being persulphate the most efficient peroxide in both cases. Atrazine spiked at 1 mg L⁻¹ in effluent samples of a WWTP was efficiently removed using UV light and H₂O₂; however, a matrix effect was observed (Souza et al. 2014). Degradation of atrazine was also studied by UV/H₂O₂ in pre-treated surface waters, together with diuron, isoproturon and the pharmaceutical diclofenac, with removal rates between 30 and 90 % depending on the compound used (Lekkerkerker-Teunissen et al. 2013). A post-membrane AOP approach was also studied with UV/H₂O₂, and a high removal for the compounds included in the Directive 2013/39/EU was shown (James et al. 2014).

Concerning the other classes of compounds described in Table S1, the concentrations are between µg L⁻¹ and mg L⁻¹, depending on the pollutant. As example, 4-*tert*-octylphenol was completely degraded in the presence of Fe³⁺ (1.2 × 10⁻³ M) under UV irradiation after 45 min and 70 % of mineralization was obtained after 50 h of reaction (Wu et al. 2013). Its efficient degradation also occurred using UV/H₂O₂ (0.01 M), but with a low extent of mineralization (Błądzka et al. 2010a). The solvents trichloromethane and carbon tetrachloride were tested at µg L⁻¹ levels applying UV/H₂O₂, the degradation of CCl₄ being higher than that of CHCl₃ (Jo et al. 2011).

2.2. Fenton-based processes

This section presents the general principles and fundamentals of Fenton-based processes, and in particular classical Fenton, photo-Fenton and electro-Fenton processes are focused.

2.2.1. Classical Fenton process

Table S2 (Supplementary Information) provides a brief survey of Fenton-based processes applied to the degradation of several compounds included in the list of priority substances of Directive 2013/39/EU. In the particular case of the classical Fenton process, these compounds comprise pesticides, PFOS and the solvent 1,2-dichloroethane. The pesticides were studied at mg L⁻¹ levels (27–180 mg L⁻¹) and the following classes were represented: organochlorine (pentachlorophenol), organophosphorus (chlorfenvinphos), triazine (atrazine), phenylurea (diuron) and chloroacetanilide (alachlor). Iron and H₂O₂ concentrations varied between different works, both ranging from mg L⁻¹ to g L⁻¹.

The classical Fenton process (named after the Fenton's reaction following the 1894 studies of Henry Fenton (Fenton 1894)) employs environmental friendly reactants (namely H_2O_2 decomposing only in O_2 and H_2O , and low amounts of iron species) at room temperature and pressure and does not require special equipment (Andreozzi et al. 1999). Some authors have also investigated the positive effect of increasing the process temperature (Zazo et al. 2010). The mixture of H_2O_2 and Fe^{2+} is the so-called "Fenton's reagent", the iron catalyst breaking down the H_2O_2 molecules into hydroxyl radicals, as shown in Eq. (1). The optimal efficiency of this process is typically achieved at pH around 2.5–3.0, which has to be optimized beyond the H_2O_2 and Fe^{2+} concentrations.

The terminology "Fenton-like" process often intends to identify the reduction of Fe^{3+} to Fe^{2+} (Andreozzi et al. 1999):

but this concept has been also widely employed to refer to variants of the Fenton process. For instance, the $\text{Fe}^0/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ Fenton-like system uses zero-valent iron (Fe^0) directly, and its efficiency depends on the gradual generation of Fe^{2+} from Fe^0 , Eq. 4, followed by Fenton reaction, Eq. 1 (Mackul'ak et al. 2011).

In fact, Fenton and Fenton-like reactions involve complex mechanisms and several important factors influencing these reactions (Pignatello et al. 2006). The main advantages associated to the classical Fenton process are the easy implementation and operation, efficiency, relatively low cost reagents, and the fact that energy is not needed for H_2O_2 activation. The main drawbacks of this process are the fast consumption of Fe^{2+} in comparison with its regeneration rate, the production of a sludge that needs post-processing/treatment, the limited pH range to operate (pH 2.5–3), the complexation of some iron species and the possible waste of oxidants (e.g., due to H_2O_2 scavenging effect or self-decomposition) (Nidheesh and Gandhimathi 2012). Regarding the latter, the cost reduction of the process by saving oxidants (i.e., using substoichiometric concentrations of H_2O_2) should be avoided due to the possible formation of highly toxic by-products. For instance, in a study on homogeneous Fenton-like ($\text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{Fe}^{3+}$) oxidation of monochlorophenols, an efficient degradation was obtained with complete breakdown of 4-chlorophenol into CO_2 and short-chain acids (Munoz et al. 2011); however, when H_2O_2 were under their stoichiometric amounts, condensation by-products like PCBs, dioxins and dichlorodiphenyl ethers were formed by oxidative coupling reactions. Another

report (Poerschmann and Trommler 2009) also highlighted this issue in the treatment of phenol by the classical Fenton process. In this case, hydroxylated dibenzofurans (DBFs), p,p'-dioxins, as well as highly condensed aromatic intermediates, including polyols of PAHs, were identified besides the most abundant benzenediols hydroxylated biphenyls and diphenyl ethers, when using substoichiometric concentrations of H₂O₂.

Table S2 shows that the removal of alachlor (180 mg L⁻¹), atrazine and diuron (27 mg L⁻¹ each) could be achieved by the Fenton process, with complete herbicides conversion and 50 % of total organic carbon (TOC) reduction (Sanchis et al. 2014). Fenton parameters were assessed for chlorfenvinphos (50 and 100 mg L⁻¹) degradation, the increase of the temperature being an important parameter to reduce the time required for its complete degradation and enhanced mineralization (Oliveira et al. 2014). Optimal H₂O₂/Fe²⁺/diuron ratio during Fenton process was assessed to remove diuron at mg L⁻¹ and an efficiency of 98.5 % was achieved (Catalkaya and Kargi 2007). The kinetic rate constants and extent of chloride generation resulting of pentachlorophenol (50 mg L⁻¹) degradation by heterogeneous Fenton was determined in the presence of different chelating agents, following an order that did not correlate with the Fe-chelating ability: oxalate > EDTA > CMCD > citrate > tartrate > succinate > without chelating agent (Xue et al. 2009). The classical Fenton process of the solvent 1,2-dichloroethane at an initial concentration of 20 mg L⁻¹ showed potentialities for industrial application as a pre-treatment stage since TOC reductions up to 94 % after 120 min were achieved, with a superior mineralization obtained with ferrous sulphate compared with ferric chloride (Grcic et al. 2009). The stepwise addition of H₂O₂ was tested for atrazine (2.16 mg L⁻¹) removal, and it was shown that the process efficiency was enhanced (Chu et al. 2007). Atrazine removal by Fenton and photo-Fenton processes was already tested as single compound, in a mixture with fenitrothion (Rizzo et al. 2009), and spiked in a synthetic effluent (Benzaquen et al. 2013). In these reports atrazine degradation was effective with UV radiation enhancing the performance of the Fenton process. In opposition, Fenton and zero-valent iron (ZVI) processes were applied to PFOS and both were ineffective in its degradation (Sun et al. 2013).

2.2.2. Photo-Fenton and photo-Fenton like processes

Photo-Fenton-based processes have been used to degrade some pesticides of the Directive 2013/39/EU list of priority substances as well as the pharmaceutical diclofenac included in the watch list. The pesticides chemical classes treated by photo-Fenton processes (Table S2) belong to the classes of organochlorine (aldrin, endosulfan, lindane and pentachlorophenol), organophosphorus (chlorfenvinphos), triazine (atrazine), phenylurea (diuron, isoproturon) and chloroacetanilide (alachlor) and their initial concentrations ranged from 150 ng L⁻¹ using

effluents from WWTPs to almost 150 mg L⁻¹ in the case of endosulfan treated in a pilot solar plant.

It is well accepted that in the photo-Fenton process the irradiation with UV-Vis light accelerates the regeneration rate of Fe²⁺ from Fe³⁺ complexes (Eq. 5), and also the photo-decarboxylation of ferric carboxylates (Umar et al. 2010). As alternative, the Fe³⁺ oxalate complexes are used (Fe³⁺-oxalate-induced photolysis or photo-Fenton-like oxidation), which have an extended absorption range (up to 570 nm) and gives a higher quantum yield of Fe²⁺ as compared to the Fe²⁺ hydroxyl complexes (Eq. 6 and 7) (Gogate and Pandit 2004b).

The photo-Fenton process is more efficient in the generation of hydroxyl radicals when compared to the conventional Fenton process or to photolysis alone, so the degradation rate of organic compounds is expected to be higher (Valcarcel et al. 2012). The great advantage of lowering the amount of catalyst needed leads to a compensation in the higher costs of UV as well as decreases the final sludge volume, making the photo-Fenton process competitive with the classical Fenton process regarding the overall treatment costs (Umar et al. 2010).

As shown in Table S2, diuron (1, 13, 25 mg L⁻¹) removal by Photo-Fenton was enhanced by the increase of H₂O₂ and Fe²⁺ concentrations, with the optimal H₂O₂/Fe²⁺/diuron ratio leading to its total removal and 85 % of mineralization (Catalkaya and Kargi 2008). The same process using blacklight irradiation and ferrioxalate complex led to 90 % of diuron mineralization, being the ferrioxalate complex more determinant in the treatment process than the H₂O₂ dosage (Paterlini and Nogueira 2005). Isoproturon (25 mg L⁻¹) was degraded by homogeneous and heterogeneous photo-Fenton and it was found that iron pillared laponide-RD used as catalyst favoured the mineralization by 10 % (Bobu et al. 2007). Combining a biological system with solar photo-Fenton in a pilot scale compound parabolic collector (CPC) plant, diuron was successfully removed with a mineralization of approximately 80 % in both simulated and real industrial wastewaters, using 9.4 mg L⁻¹ of Fe²⁺ and 72 mg L⁻¹ of H₂O₂ (Mendoza-Marin et al. 2010). Effluents of the secondary treatment from a municipal WWTP contaminated with diuron and diclofenac at ng L⁻¹ levels were treated by photo-Fenton in a pilot CPC plant, using 5 mg L⁻¹ of Fe²⁺ and 50 mg L⁻¹ of H₂O₂, and an overall contamination reduction of 97 % was obtained in 50 min (Klamerth et al. 2013). The photo-Fenton treatment of endosulfan in a pilot solar plant, using 8 mM of H₂O₂ and 0.18 mM of Fe²⁺, increased the biodegradability of the endosulfan-contaminated effluent by 50 % after 90 min (Kenfack et al. 2009). Photo-Fenton process of lindane using UV-vis radiation led to a 95 % TOC reduction in 2 h (Nitoi et al. 2013).

Photo-Fenton was effective in the degradation and mineralization of alachlor, atrazine, chlorfenvinphos, diuron, isoproturon and pentachlorophenol in a solar pilot-plant with three CPCs under natural sunlight (Rubio et al. 2006). Also Gernjak et al. (2006) reported the complete removal of alachlor (100 mg L^{-1}) and 85–95 % mineralization of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) at a solar pilot-plant scale; efficiency was enhanced with the increase of temperature, iron concentration and illuminated volume. Atrazine removal was approximately 20 % for Fenton, 60 % for UV-A and 70 % for UV-C Fenton treatments (De Luca et al. 2013). Fenton and the Fenton-like system $\text{Fe}^0/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ were also effective on the removal of this herbicide, leading to toxicologically inactive small organic molecules or even to mineralization (Mackul'ak et al. 2011).

2.2.3. Electro-Fenton process

Table S2 describes some electro-Fenton-based processes applied to the degradation of PFOS and some pesticides listed in the priority substances of the Directive 2013/39/EU, namely the triazine pesticide atrazine, the phenylurea pesticide diuron and the organophosphorus pentachlorophenol, all of them tested at mg L^{-1} levels.

Electro-Fenton is among the most eco-friendly electrochemical AOPs and basically consists in an electrically assisted Fenton process. The Fenton reagents can be added to the reactor and the anode material are inert electrodes or, alternatively, only H_2O_2 is added and Fe^{2+} is provided from sacrificial cast iron anodes (Nidheesh and Gandhimathi 2012). It is possible to avoid the storing and transport of H_2O_2 if it is continuously supplied to the polluted solution by a two-electron oxygen reduction in an acidic medium:

Thus, the electro-Fenton process emerges as an advantageous option compared to the conventional Fenton process. The sludge produced and safety hazards associated with acids needed are disadvantages that should be mitigated by optimizing the amounts of Fenton reagents and through the implementation of safety measures. There are several parameters to be optimized such as pH, oxygen sparging rate, temperature, applied current density, Fe^{2+} concentration when needed, H_2O_2 concentration and feeding mode (i.e., single/multiple step or continuous mode), distance between the electrodes and nature of the supporting electrolyte (Nidheesh and Gandhimathi 2012). The lower Fe^{2+} concentration required when compared with the Fenton process is related to the electro-regenerated Fe^{2+} . The distance between the electrodes has to be optimized to be large enough to avoid Fe^{2+} oxidation to Fe^{3+} at the anode, but not too large due to the limiting mass transfer of Fe^{3+} to the cathode surface where Fe^{2+} regeneration takes place (Nidheesh and Gandhimathi 2012). As in the case of the

photo-Fenton process, the lower amount of catalyst leads to a compensation for the higher costs of electricity and to a reduction of the final sludge volume. Electro-Fenton efficiency can be increased applying UV radiation and this particular process is called photo-electro-Fenton (Umar et al. 2010).

Table S2 shows that atrazine, diuron and pentachlorophenol removal were tested by electro-Fenton using Pt or boron-doped diamond (BDD) anodes and carbon-felt, graphite or carbon polytetrafluoroethylene cathodes. When using a carbon-felt cathode (Balci et al. 2009; Oturan et al. 2011b) atrazine degradation followed the decreasing order: electro-Fenton-BDD > electro-Fenton-Pt > anodic oxidation-BDD (Oturan et al. 2011b). The degradation of atrazine was faster with Fe^{3+} than with Cu^{2+} , although a synergetic effect of Fe^{3+} and Cu^{2+} was observed, removing atrazine in 22 min (Balci et al. 2009). Photo-electro-Fenton was found to be more effective than electro-Fenton using a carbon polytetrafluoroethylene cathode (Borrás et al. 2010). Pentachlorophenol (together with other chlorophenols not included in the Directive 2013/39/EU) was subjected to electro-Fenton using a Pt anode and a carbon felt cathode (Oturan et al. 2009) with oxidation through attack of $\text{HO}^\bullet$ on *ortho* and *para* chlorine positions and subsequent mineralization. When using a graphite cathode (Song-hu and Xiao-hua 2005) the pentachlorophenol removal was lower than that observed in other studies involving AOPs. Regarding diuron, its degradation was fast even when using relatively weak currents with a Pt anode and a carbon-felt cathode (Oturan et al. 2008). Photo-electro-Fenton and solar photo-electro-Fenton were more efficient than electro-Fenton, with a BDD-anode resulting in higher efficiencies of removal than with a Pt-anode (Pipi et al. 2014). The complete disappearance of diuron in less than 6 min by an electro-electro-Fenton treatment (Oturan et al. 2011a) seems to be the most efficient approach concerning cost effectiveness and overall process efficiency.

2.3. Heterogeneous photocatalysis

Table S3 (Supplementary Information) includes several reports on the degradation of compounds referred to in the list of priority substances of the Directive 2013/39/EU, using heterogeneous photocatalysis. Pesticides are the most studied compounds, comprising organochlorine (aldrin and pentachlorophenol), organophosphorus (chlorpyrifos), triazine (atrazine, simazine, terbutryn), phenylurea (diuron, isoproturon), pyrethroid (cypermethrin) and chloroacetanilide (alachlor) chemical classes.

Fujishima and Honda described for the first time the photoelectrochemical decomposition of water under light radiation and without any applied electric current using TiO_2 (Fujishima and Honda 1972), but the particular interest of photocatalytic processes on environmental applications only escalated when TiO_2 was used for oxidation of cyanide ion in aqueous

solutions (Frank and Bard 1977). Since then, an enormous number of works related with heterogeneous photocatalysis have been published for water/wastewater treatment applications and also in the fields of energy conversion and air purification.

Heterogeneous photocatalysis is based on the use of wide band-gap semiconductors, which when illuminated with photons of energy $h\nu$ equal to or higher than the semiconductor band-gap E_g ($h\nu \geq E_g$), generate photogenerated electrons and holes and several subsequent reactions, most including hydroxyl radicals. An ideal catalyst should present chemical- and photo-stability, ability to adsorb reactants under efficient photonic activation, and preferentially should have a low cost and be easily available. TiO_2 has been the reference commercially available photocatalyst due to its relatively low toxicity, high photochemical stability, excellent activity, acceptable band gap energy and low cost (Hoffmann et al. 1995; Kabra et al. 2004).

The first steps in this process are the absorption of the radiation and the photo-excitement of valence band electrons, resulting in electron-hole pairs (Eq. 9). Then, electrons and holes dissociate and migrate to the semiconductor surface. Holes generate reactive hydroxyl radicals (Eq. 10), or can also react directly with adsorbed species (Eq. 11), while electrons reduce dissolved oxygen leading to the production of superoxide radicals (Eq. 12) and later to hydroxyl radicals. All these radicals initiate multiple sequentially reactions.

ZnO is another semiconductor gaining major attention in photocatalysis. It is reported to be similar to TiO_2 under sunlight, due to their near band gap energy and to the similar photooxidation induced pathway, including the formation of hydroxyl radicals and the direct oxidation by photogenerated holes. The main difference between these materials is the high electronic conductivity of ZnO , comparatively with TiO_2 , with the electron mobility of ZnO at least two orders of magnitude higher than TiO_2 (Fenoll et al. 2013). However, it is also reported that ZnO can suffer photo-corrosion in certain conditions and, thus, TiO_2 is still the preferred photocatalyst in literature.

Some shortcomings of heterogeneous photocatalysis are the recombination of electron-hole pairs and that solar applications are frequently aimed but the overlapping between the absorption spectrum of TiO_2 and that of the solar irradiation reaching the Earth's surface only occurs in a small fraction of the UV range (Andreozzi et al. 1999). In fact, one of the main advantages of this process is the possibility to use the sunlight renewable energy to produce

hydroxyl radicals (Gernjak et al. 2006). This approach has economic and sustainability advantages in comparison with processes involving ozone generation, lamps or electrodes, which require high energetic costs (Marin et al. 2011). Other advantage is the possible disinfection of water contaminated with pathogenic micro-organisms properties (McCullagh et al. 2007; Rincón and Pulgarin 2004).

Therefore, suppressing the recombination of photo-generated charge carriers, as well as the efficient utilization of visible light, are some of the main challenges to develop economically feasible photocatalytic processes. In order to overcome these shortcomings, various strategies have been developed to improve the photocatalytic performance of semiconductor materials, such as the addition of electron donors, metal ion or anion doping, noble metal loading, dye sensitization and fabrication of composite semiconductors (Linsebigler et al. 1995; Morales-Torres et al. 2012).

The photocatalytic degradation process depends on several factors, such as the loading and composition/type of photocatalyst, nature and concentration of target pollutants, irradiance, interfering species in the liquid media, solution pH, among others (Herrmann 1999; Herrmann 2005). For instance, the apparent reaction rate constants increase with the catalyst loading until a certain value where a plateau is reached. This plateau value corresponds to the maximum quantity of catalyst at which all particles are totally irradiated. It is also possible that an excess of suspended particles may block the passage of light and increase light scattering, depending on the geometry and the working conditions of the photoreactor, and thus the catalyst loading should be optimized. Addition of oxidizing agents/electron acceptors (e.g., inorganic peroxides ($S_2O_8^{2-}$), Fe^{3+} , hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), BrO_3^- , and Ag^+) that can capture the photogenerated electrons more efficiently than dissolved oxygen, lowering the electron-hole recombination and increasing the photooxidation process, can also have a positive influence on the process efficiency (Fenoll et al. 2013).

Few studies reported diclofenac, 17- α -ethinylestradiol and 4-*tert*-octylphenol degradation by heterogeneous photocatalysis, as shown in Table S3. Pesticides were frequently treated at initial concentrations of $mg\ L^{-1}$. However, atrazine, chlorfenvinphos, diuron, isoproturon and simazine, as well as the pharmaceutical diclofenac were tested at $ng\ L^{-1}$ levels (Prieto-Rodriguez et al. 2012) using un-spiked real effluents collected from a secondary biological treatment tank. This report shows that increasing the reactor diameter is crucial in order to enhance the photocatalytic treatment under natural solar irradiation in a CPC pilot scale plant when using low TiO_2 catalyst loads (such as $20\ mg\ L^{-1}$). In addition, the phenylurea pesticides diuron and isoproturon were treated at $0.1\ mg\ L^{-1}$ each, using different photocatalysts and their catalytic activity decreased as follows: $ZnO > TiO_2 \gg SnO_2 \approx WO_3 > ZnS$ (Fenoll et al.

2013). A report comprising the degradation of alachlor, atrazine, simazine and terbutryn confirmed that ZnO was more a efficient photocatalyst than TiO₂ (Fenoll et al. 2012), and the same group of researchers found that the addition of Na₂S₂O₈ significantly increased the degradation rate of diuron and isoproturon (Fenoll et al. 2013).

High diuron concentrations (1 - 40 mg L⁻¹) were used in many other works, its removal using TiO₂ and UV radiation with a 340 nm cut-off filter being complete in 90 min, and negligible under UV radiation only (Carrier et al. 2009b). Under quite similar conditions, i.e. $\lambda > 340$ nm and $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 365$ nm (Madani et al. 2006), and with a solar simulator (Bamba et al. 2007), P25 was more active than a PC500 TiO₂ photocatalyst. Platinization of TiO₂ increased the degradation rate of diuron under both UV and visible light illumination (Katsumata et al. 2009).

An anatase TiO₂ photocatalyst synthesized by thermal decomposition of a titanium alkoxide precursor showed superior diuron removal than a reference catalyst (JRC-TIO-1) under UV or solar irradiation (Klongdee et al. 2005). Degradation of pentachlorophenol (15 - 100 mg L⁻¹), together with other chlorophenols not included in the Directive 2013/39/EU, was also studied by heterogeneous photocatalysis (Essam et al. 2007; Gonzalez et al. 2010), being pentachlorophenol more easily removed than the other chlorophenols with four UV lamps at $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 365$ nm (Gonzalez et al. 2010). A report comparing AOPs as pre-treatment processes of pentachlorophenol showed that UV/TiO₂/H₂O₂ was able to release only biodegradable photoproducts (Essam et al. 2007).

Isoproturon, diuron, and 17- α -ethinylestradiol were totally converted after 3–5 h using three UV lamps and combining a cellulose fiber, TiO₂ and SiO₂ (Fourcade et al. 2012). Alachlor removal depended on both the irradiation time and the H₂O₂ concentration in a batch photocatalytic reactor where TiO₂ nanoparticles were immobilized (Mahmoodi and Arami 2010), while its poor degradation was obtained with an organic 2,4,6-triphenylthiapyrylium photocatalyst in a solar simulator (Gomis et al. 2012). 4-*tert*-octylphenol degradation was tested using a TiO₂ precursor sol coated on the inner wall of a photoreactor at $\lambda = 254$ nm (Wu et al. 2012) as well as a NaBiO₃ photocatalyst under visible radiation (Chang et al. 2010), in both cases up to 90 % of the pollutant removal being obtained. Chlorpyrifos, cypermethrin and chlorothalonil were removed after 30 min in an experiment using TiO₂, UV radiation ($\lambda = 365$ nm) and H₂O₂ (Affam and Chaudhuri 2013). Different pesticides (individually and as mixture) were studied in various matrices including laboratory grade water, surface water and groundwater, the decreasing order of degradation being observed: diuron and chlorfenvinphos > alachlor, pentachlorophenol and atrazine >> isoproturon (Sanches et al. 2010). The pharmaceuticals diclofenac and 17- α -ethinylestradiol and the industrial compound

octylphenol were also addressed in few publications, the catalyst dosage varying from few mg L⁻¹ to few g L⁻¹.

2.4. Ozonation-based processes

Table S4 (Supplementary Information) describes some reports on the degradation of pesticides included in the list of priority substances of Directive 2013/39/EU by ozonation. The pesticide classes organochlorine (aldrin, DDT, dieldrin, endrin, isodrin, dicofol, hexachlorocyclohexane including lindane, heptachlor), organophosphorus (chlorpyrifos and chlorfenvinphos), triazine (atrazine, simazine, terbutryn), phenylurea (diuron, isoproturon), dinitroaniline (trifluralin) and chloroacetanilide (alachlor) were investigated typically at mg L⁻¹ levels.

During ozonation, the pollutants can be degraded by two different pathways: direct reactions with O₃ and indirect reactions with hydroxyl radicals. At high pH values, there is a higher concentration of hydroxyl ions that enhances the decomposition of O₃ by a complex chain mechanism into hydroxyl radicals that can react faster and less selectively than O₃ (Ikehata and El-Din 2004). In fact, hydroxyl radicals can react 10⁶–10¹² times faster than ozone, since the latter is a selective oxidant that preferentially attacks electron-rich organic moieties (Munter 2001). Thus, the oxidation of organic compounds by hydroxyl radicals at high pH is often more efficient than at low pH where the amount of hydroxyl radicals is lower and not enough to cause the decomposition of dissolved ozone (Luis et al. 2011).

Surface and groundwater contain natural organic matter (NOM) and carbonate ions, and depending on their concentrations and pH, they can affect the ozone decomposition rate and consequently the production rate of hydroxyl radicals (Saquib et al. 2010). The main shortcoming in ozonation is the difficult mineralization of pollutants. Heterogeneous catalysts have been found as solution in ozonation, as well as heterogeneous photocatalysts and, thus, ozonation has been also combined with photocatalysis, the electron–hole recombination decreasing and the production of hydroxyl radicals increasing (Agustina et al. 2005; Farré et al. 2005). The addition of H₂O₂ during ozonation is also a common practice (Andreozzi et al. 1999; Pera-Titus et al. 2004). Furthermore, membrane technologies have been integrated with ozonation, for instance in the removal of atrazine and natural organic matter through ozonation preceded by three pressure-driven membrane filtration methods, namely ultrafiltration (UF), nanofiltration (NF) or reverse osmosis (RO) (Luis et al. 2011). Ozonation has proved to be useful for drinking water treatment and also to achieve a partial oxidation of pollutants in wastewaters, as well as to increase the biodegradability, allowing the application of biological treatments after the ozonation process (Maldonado et al. 2006).

Table S4 shows that ozonation of atrazine and nonylphenol was assessed in a pilot unit using ceramic honeycomb monoliths coated with carbon nanofiber as catalyst, the process removing both compounds and improving the mineralization of atrazine (Derrouiche et al. 2013). A higher mineralization of atrazine was also verified when using multi-walled carbon nanotubes (Fan et al. 2014). O_3/H_2O_2 and $O_3/H_2O_2/UV$ were applied to a pre-treated surface water spiked with a mixture of 14 compounds, including atrazine and isoproturon at $\mu g L^{-1}$ levels, and it was observed that isoproturon was converted above 90 % by the O_3/H_2O_2 process and 70 % of atrazine removal was achieved by $O_3/H_2O_2/UV$ (Lekkerkerker-Teunissen et al. 2012). Ozonation and catalytic ozonation processes were assessed to remove alachlor at ppm (i.e. 10 and 100 $mg L^{-1}$) using Cu/Al_2O_3 as catalyst. Although alachlor removal was similar in both non-catalytic and catalytic ozonation, the catalyst significantly enhanced its mineralization (Sanches et al. 2013). When using the O_3 and O_3/H_2O_2 treatment of alachlor in other study, both processes led to the formation of high and low molecular weight by-products and the toxicity decreased slightly (Qiang et al. 2010).

Mixtures of pesticides at ppm levels were treated by ozonation and the degradation decreased as follows: isoproturon > diuron > atrazine > chlorfenvinphos > alachlor (Maldonado et al. 2006). A more complex mixture comprising alachlor, aldrin, atrazine, chlorfenvinphos, chlorpyrifos, pp'-DDD, op'-DDE, op'-DDT, pp'-DDT, dicofol, dieldrin, diuron, endrin, α -HCH, β -HCH, lindane (γ -HCH), δ -HCH, heptachlor, dieldrin, isoproturon, simazine, terbutryn, trifluralin (at 500 $ng L^{-1}$), and other pesticides not included in the 2013/39/EU Directive, were effectively removed (90 %) combining ozonation and activated-carbon adsorption processes (Ormad et al. 2008). In a work developed by the same group of researchers, testing the same mixture of compounds spiked in natural waters collected from the River Ebro (Spain), O_3/H_2O_2 and O_3/TiO_2 led to a lower removal than ozonation, but $O_3/H_2O_2/TiO_2$ is the most efficient process (Ormad et al. 2010). O_3/H_2O_2 was tested for simazine removal and the direct ozone reaction was found as the main pathway for simazine removal at $pH < 7$, requiring low H_2O_2 doses, while at $pH > 9$ the indirect ozone oxidation was predominant due to the low solubility of ozone (Catalkaya and Kargi 2009). Diuron was poorly degraded at low pH (Chen et al. 2008). PFOS were studied in two water reclamation plants located in Australia, differing in the effluent load and in the process applied, UV/H_2O_2 and membrane processes leading to removals below detection limit (Thompson et al. 2011), while alkaline ozonation was unsuccessfully tested for the PFOS removal (Yang et al. 2014).

2.5. Miscellaneous

Table S5 (Supplementary Information) refers to the miscellaneous processes that comprise γ -irradiation with a ^{60}Co source, non-thermal plasma (NTP), electrochemical oxidation, sulphate radical-based AOPs and electron beam irradiation, among others. The compounds studied under these processes comprise pesticides including the triazine atrazine, organochlorine (endosulfan and pentachlorophenol) and organophosphorus (chlorpyrifos, chlorfenvinphos and dichlorvos), as well other compounds such as polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), dioxins and dioxins-like compounds, and the industrial compounds PFOS, perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), nonylphenol and octylphenol. Ionizing radiation (such as gamma radiation) produces three primary transient species: the hydroxyl radicals, hydrated electrons (e^-_{aq}) and hydrogen atoms ($H^\bullet$), which may simultaneously destroy the pollutants (Ismail et al. 2013). This process was applied to degrade chlorfenvinphos (50 mg L^{-1}) and chlorpyrifos ($0.2\text{--}1 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$). It was found that the irradiation dose affected the degradation rate of chlorfenvinphos and the production of ionic products (Bojanowska-Czajka et al. 2010a; Bojanowska-Czajka et al. 2010b). Degradation of chlorpyrifos was more efficient at lower concentrations and when using solutions saturated with N_2O instead of N_2 or air (Ismail et al. 2013). Electron beam irradiation was applied to remove 4-tert-octylphenol ($1.81 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) and 4-nonylphenol ($3.95 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) in a effluent from a WWTP, the degradation being dependent on the ionizing radiation dose (Petrovic et al. 2007).

NTP is an emerging technology in this field that consists in corona discharge through high-voltage electrical pulses across fiber-like electrodes (Gerrity et al. 2010). The electrical pulses have a great ionizing capability, originating singlet oxygen atoms, which in turn form ozone and hydroxyl radicals. NTP has the advantage of combining multiple generation of short-lived oxidants and shock waves that can mineralize the pollutants. In addition, several catalysts can be combined with plasma for effective utilization of the produced ozone as oxidant. Even so, only a few reports were found dealing with these processes in the degradation of the compounds defined in the Directive 2013/39/EU.

For instance, NTP-dielectric barrier discharge was applied to the degradation of endosulfan (5 , 10 and 15 mg L^{-1}) at different voltages and the pollutant removal was favoured by higher applied voltages and lower initial concentrations, and the addition of a CeO_2 catalyst has a positive effect on the process efficiency (Reddy et al. 2014). Fenton reaction with pulsed electrical discharge was also tested for atrazine (5 mg L^{-1}) degradation (Mededovic and Locke 2007). Concerning electrochemical oxidation, a system based on SnO_2 Sb_2O_5 anodes was used to remove dichlorvos ($4\text{--}87 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$) and it was observed a higher degradation at higher potential and lower initial concentration of the pollutant (Vargas et al. 2014). Polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans (PCDD/Fs) were also degraded by electrochemical

oxidation using BDD on silicon anode and a stainless steel cathode, leading to the concentration reduction of most PCDD/Fs after 180 min (Vallejo et al. 2013). Sulphate radical-based AOPs were also applied to remove a model polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB), i.e. 2-chlorobiphenyl (2-CB), by coupling $\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}$ with peroxymonosulphate (PMS) (Rastogi et al. 2009). Sulphate radical-based AOPs were also tested for PCBs removal and the presence of chloride ion inhibited their transformation (Fang et al. 2012). Persulphate oxidation processes were applied to PFOA using UV-visible light (Hori et al. 2008; Hori et al. 2005) and PFOS using an UV lamp (Yang et al. 2013). Persulphate produced a highly oxidative sulphate radical anion and PFOA (1.35 mM) was efficiently removed in 4 h (Hori et al. 2005). Also a heat activated persulphate process removed PFOA (374 μM) after 6 h (Hori et al. 2008). Different activated persulphate oxidation technologies were applied to PFOS at ppm levels in other study where the main mechanisms involved in defluorination of PFOS were sulphate radicals oxidation and hydrolysis, the efficiency of PFOS defluorination decreasing as follows: (hydrothermal)/ $\text{S}_2\text{O}_8^{2-}$ > UV/ $\text{S}_2\text{O}_8^{2-}$ > $\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{S}_2\text{O}_8^{2-}$ > ultrasound/ $\text{S}_2\text{O}_8^{2-}$ (Yang et al. 2013). A microwave-hydrothermal treatment using zero-valent iron and persulphate to remove PFOA showed their synergetic effect on the PFOA removal rate (Lee et al. 2010). Ultrasonic cavitation was applied to PFOS and PFOA, with both pollutants having a half-life of 30 min or less and achieving complete mineralization immediately after the degradation of the pollutant (Vecitis et al. 2008). Wet air oxidation and catalytic wet air oxidation using Ru/TiO₂ as catalyst showed a complete removal of diuron (40 mg L⁻¹) after 2 h (Carrier et al. 2009a).

3. Overlooking priority substances in the field of water policy studied by using AOPs

As shown above, different AOPs were already tested for a variety of compounds focused on the Directive 2013/39/EU. The next sections summarize all the data reviewed in the literature from the last ten years, by considering each substance/class of substances, and details are described in Tables S1–S6 (Supplementary Information). The pollutants studied in the reviewed literature that are out of the scope of the Directive 2013/39/EU are not included in this review.

3.1. Pesticides

A great number of publications has arisen in the last decade regarding the degradation pattern of pesticides, listed as priority substances in the field of water policy or as part of the watch list in the Directive 2013/39/EU. This class of compounds is the unique that has been transversely addressed on different AOPs by researchers, including photolysis and H₂O₂-assisted processes

(Table S1), Fenton-based processes (Table S2), heterogeneous photocatalysis (Table S3), ozonation-based processes (Table S4), miscellaneous (Table S5) and comparative studies of many AOPs (Table S6). UV, UV/H₂O₂, microwave (MW), MW/H₂O₂, MW/UV and MW/UV/H₂O₂ processes were applied to remove pentachlorophenol and it was found that UV and MW together led to a superior removal (Klán and Vavrik 2006). UV, sonolysis and sono-photolysis were compared concerning atrazine degradation and sono-photolysis achieved the higher mineralization rate (Xu et al. 2014). Photo-Fenton and heterogeneous photocatalysis using TiO₂ were compared in some studies that included many pesticides, such as alachlor, atrazine, chlorfenvinphos, diuron, isoproturon and pentachlorophenol. In these reports photo-Fenton was more efficient than heterogeneous photocatalysis, both for pesticide degradation and mineralization (Klamerth et al. 2009; Maldonado et al. 2007; Pérez et al. 2006), and the same was observed in one study dealing with diclofenac (Klamerth et al. 2009). Regarding the same pesticides, photolysis and heterogeneous photocatalysis (prior to nanofiltration) were tested and photolysis and nanofiltration alone were efficient to remove the target pesticides spiked in a real surface water (Sanches et al. 2013). Ozonation, photolysis and visible light photocatalysis were applied to atrazine, chlorpyrifos-ethyl, terbutryn and pyrene (PAH) at ng L⁻¹ level in wastewater samples and the performance decreased in the following order: O₃ > UV > Xe-Ce-TiO₂ > Xe (Santiago-Morales et al. 2013). High removal of alachlor, atrazine, diuron, isoproturon, simazine as well as a high mineralization was reached using TiO₂/H₂O₂/UV (Moreira et al. 2012). Nano photo-Fenton like (Fe₂O₃(nano)/H₂O₂/UV), nano photo zinc oxide combined with H₂O₂ (ZnO(nano)/H₂O₂/UV), photo-Fenton (Fe²⁺/H₂O₂/UV) and ZnO/H₂O₂/UV processes were compared to destroy chlorpyrifos and the most effective treatment for its removal in drinking water was the nano photo-Fenton like process (Fe₂O₃(nano)/H₂O₂/UV) (Aly Derbalah et al. 2013). The ozonation process was more efficient when HO[•] production was enhanced while oxidation with UV irradiation was promoted by the temperature and pH increase (Acero et al. 2008). Different treatments were applied to trifluralin and it was observed the following decreasing order of removal efficiency: O₃/H₂O₂UV/H₂O₂ > O₃ > UV/H₂O₂ > UV (Chelme-Ayala et al. 2010).

Figure 2 shows that most of the published reports on AOPs deal with the phenylurea (29 %), triazine (25 %), organochlorine (21 %) and organophosphorus (12 %) classes of pesticides, accounting for 87 % of the publications, while only a few reports were found regarding chloroacetanilide (10 %, all of them related with alachlor) and dinitroaniline (3 % considering trifluralin).

Figure 3 shows the relative frequency of studies dealing with different pesticide classes defined in Directive 2013/39/EU treated by AOPs. Phenylurea herbicides, represented in the

Directive 2013/39/EU by diuron and isoproturon, account for more than a quarter of the reports (19 % and 10 %, respectively). Thus, diuron can be considered the most studied pesticide. Triazine pesticides included in the Directive 2013/39/EU, representing approximately a quarter of the reports on AOPs for pesticides here reviewed (Figure 2), comprise atrazine (17.8 %), simazine (3.8 %) and terbutryn (3.2 %), as shown in Figure 3. Therefore, overall, atrazine is the second most studied pesticide using AOPs. Although cybutryne is a triazine pesticide, which is also priority in the Directive 2013/39/EU, no reports for this pesticide implementing AOPs were found.

Figure 2. Relative frequency of reports of AOPs regarding pesticides listed as priority substances in the field of water policy, accordingly to the chemical class. Pyrethroid class is not represented since the relative frequency is lower than 1 %. The search was based in Scopus database using as keywords the name of each organic compound listed in the Directive 2013/39/EU and advanced oxidation process (or AOP); only publications since 2004 were considered.

Regarding the organochlorine pesticides of the Directive 2013/39/EU (21 % of reports on AOPs, Figure 2), the studies comprise aldrin, dieldrin, endrin, isodrin, endosulfan, dicofol, heptachlor and its epoxide, lindane (HCH) and DDT, each one representing less than 3.0 % of the reports here presented, as shown in Figure 3. Pentachlorophenol is the most represented pesticide of this class with 7.6 % of the total reports.

Concerning the organophosphorus class of pesticides (12 % of reports on AOPs), the decreasing order of frequency is: chlorfenvinphos (8.3 %), chlorpyrifos (3.2 %) and dichlorvos (0.6 %).

Alachlor (chloroacetanilide class, CA), trifluralin (dinitroaniline class, DA) and cypermethrin (pyrethroid class, P) represent 9.6 %, 2.6 % and 0.6 % of the studies, respectively. Alachlor is often used as a model compound in studies with AOPs due to its trend to form complexes with organic matter and its classification as an endocrine disrupting compound, EDC (Song et al. 2008).

Figure 3. Relative frequency of reports of AOPs regarding pesticides listed as priority substances in the field of water policy. CA, Chloroacetanilide; DA, Dinitroaniline; P, Pyrethroid.

Overall, the phenylurea pesticide diuron and the triazine pesticide atrazine are the most studied compounds using AOPs, accounting together for more than 36 % of the reports (Figure 3). Although atrazine has been banned in the European Union due to the widespread contamination of drinking water supplies, this pesticide considered an EDC, is still used in other regions of the world and for this reason is widely studied (Mackul'ak et al. 2011). Table S1 shows that atrazine was poorly degraded with UV/H₂O₂ using pre-treated water spiked with a set of 15 compounds at 10 µg L⁻¹ each, while the conversion of all other tested compounds was higher (Lekkerkerker-Teunissen et al. 2013). This work reinforces the idea that this pesticide is a good indicator for the overall performance of an AOP when the aim is to treat waters contaminated with pesticides. Atrazine is an herbicide known to induce the inhibition of the biological activity of the aerobic biomass normally found in the activated sludge of

WWTPs. Thus, it is also important to assess the feasibility of its degradation by AOPs to remove it before entering into biological treatment processes or even onto the environment (Benzaquen et al. 2013). Table S2 shows that atrazine is easily degraded by using Fenton-based processes, typically studied at concentrations of 0.1–100 mg L⁻¹, with H₂O₂ and iron concentrations of 5–50 mg L⁻¹ and 1–55 mg L⁻¹, respectively. Diuron is frequently treated at concentrations between 1 and 50 mg L⁻¹, Fenton-based processes presenting with high efficiency for its removal and mineralization (H₂O₂ and iron concentrations employed of 1.5–340 mg L⁻¹ and 0.25–56 mg L⁻¹, respectively).

Heterogeneous photocatalysis has shown to be more effective to treat diuron than atrazine (Table S3). Ozonation is poorly effective in the treatment of both compounds, although more research is needed regarding catalytic ozonation (Table S4). The phenylurea herbicide isoproturon is also a well-known and documented compound. Concentrations of 25–50 mg L⁻¹ of isoproturon, which belongs to the same chemical class of diuron, can be also efficiently removed by Fenton-based processes, mainly by photo-Fenton and electro-Fenton (Table S2). Isoproturon was more reactive towards ozone than the other pesticides treated.

After diuron, atrazine and isoproturon, the most studied compounds are the organophosphorus chlorfenvinphos and the organochlorine pentachlorophenol and the chloroacetanilide alachlor. Pentachlorophenol at 15–100 mg L⁻¹ is well removed by Fenton-based processes (Table S2) and also heterogeneous photocatalysis (Table S3) using TiO₂ concentrations between 0.5 and 20 mg L⁻¹. Alachlor can be completely removed by Fenton-based processes at concentrations between 50 and 180 mg L⁻¹. However, heterogeneous photocatalysis and ozonation did not present the same efficiency. Although the main chemical classes of pesticides are well represented by at least one pesticide per class, there are differences in the degradation process between compounds belonging to the same class of pesticides that justify more research in this area. On the other hand there are compounds included in the Directive like diphenyl ethers (aclonifen and bifenox), quinoline (quinoxifen) and organotin compounds (tributyltin) that were not yet studied as model pollutants for water treatment by AOPs.

3.2. Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), dioxins and dioxins-like compounds

Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) compounds comprise 209 congeners that were extensively used in industry due to their low flammability and electrical insulation characteristics until 1970s. They are a recognized class of aquatic pollutants with associated long-term risks both to humans and animals (Fang et al. 2012; Rastogi et al. 2009). Dioxins and dioxins-like compounds include three main classes of compounds, namely polychlorinated dibenzo-p-

dioxins (PCDDs), polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs) and dioxin-like polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB-DL). PCDDs and PCDFs are by-products from waste incinerators, chemical and metal industry and landfill leaching. These compounds are released into the environment, being present in all types of environmental media. Additionally they are recalcitrant to both abiotic and biotic degradation and can lead to long-term risks (Lee et al. 2009). There is a lack of publications concerning the effectiveness of AOPs in degrading dioxins and dioxins-like compounds as well as PCBs. Briefly, PCDDs and PCDFs were treated by Fenton-like oxidation (Lee et al. 2009; Vallejo et al. 2013) (Table S2) and electrochemical oxidation (Vallejo et al. 2013) was specifically tested for PCDDs (Table S5). PCDDs were efficiently degraded using a catalyst coupled to H₂O₂ (Lee et al. 2009). While electrochemical oxidation led to the reduction of concentration and toxicity, Fenton oxidation increased the toxicity (Vallejo et al. 2013). Sulphate radical-based AOPs were studied for the degradation of PCBs (Fang et al. 2012; Rastogi et al. 2009) (Table S5).

3.3. Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid and its derivatives (PFOS)

Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid and its derivatives (PFOS) are used in several industrial and household products, mainly as surfactants. In 2009, they were included in the Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) recommendation list of the Stockholm Convention (Thompson et al. 2011). Although PFOS have been banned in USA and Europe in the beginning of the 21st century, they are still used and consequently detected in the environment. The studies with this class of persistent compounds are still limited regarding AOPs (Tables S4 and S6), only a few studies addressing ozonation (Thompson et al. 2011) and ozonation compared with other AOPs (Schroder et al. 2010; Schröder and Meesters 2005). Two reports compared different AOPs to remove PFOS at different concentrations. PFOS at an initial concentration of 20 ppm was recalcitrant towards O₃, O₃/UV, O₃/H₂O₂ and Fenton processes (Schröder and Meesters 2005). In other study including these AOPs and also UV/H₂O₂, photo-Fenton, O₃/catalyst, H₂O₂/catalyst, O₃/catalyst/UV, and UV/catalyst/H₂O₂, PFOS at an initial concentration of 0.1 mg L⁻¹ was degraded between 10 % (UV/H₂O₂) and 50 % (O₃/UV) (Schroder et al. 2010).

3.4. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) refer to a great class of substances of environmental concern that are ubiquitous in the aquatic environment. They are derived from incomplete combustion of fossil fuels, entering into groundwater and surface waters through direct discharges into the environment from point sources, such as WWTPs or industrial effluents

(Dailianis et al. 2014; Sponza and Oztekin 2010). Besides their carcinogenicity, PAHs are toxic for aquatic ecosystems even at low concentrations, mainly due to their hydrophobicity that turns them easy to bioaccumulate (Dailianis et al. 2014). Reports comprising AOPs were recently well discussed in a review (Rubio-Clemente et al. 2014), where specific information regarding this topic can be consulted.

3.5. Solvents

Few studies have been performed to assess the effect of AOPs on the removal of solvents, but some of them addressed solvents included in Directive 2013/39/EU. These reports deal with dichloromethane, dichloroethane, trichloromethane and carbon tetrachloride, assessing their removal by UV, UV/H₂O₂, Fenton, photo-Fenton and heterogeneous photocatalysis (Tables S1, S2 and S6). Heterogeneous photocatalysis and photo-Fenton were efficient to treat a wastewater containing three chlorinated solvents (dichloroethane, dichloromethane and trichloromethane) at ppm levels (Rodriguez et al. 2005).

3.6. Nonylphenol and octylphenol

The alkylphenols nonylphenol and octylphenol are included in the priority list in the field of water policy (Directive 2013) due to their high frequency in the environment at concentrations up to µg L⁻¹ (Luo et al. 2014). Although there are few reports of AOPs concerning this kind of contaminants, AOPs applied to micropollutants including alkylphenols were compared recently in terms of performance (Jiang et al. 2013). AOPs applied to nonylphenol comprise ozonation (Table S4), electron beam irradiation (Table S5) and comparative studies of different AOPs such as Fenton-based, photocatalysis and ozonation (Table S6). Photo-Fenton and ozonation were compared regarding nonylphenol removal in two reports (Balabanic et al. 2012; Bertanza et al. 2010), one of them comparing also Fenton and heterogeneous photocatalysis. Using wastewater as matrix, photo-Fenton was the most efficient AOP (Balabanic et al. 2012). Some publications also deal with octylphenol, using photolysis and H₂O₂-assisted AOPs (Table S1), heterogeneous photocatalysis (Table S3) and electron beam irradiation (Table S5).

3.7. Pharmaceuticals proposed for the first watch list: diclofenac, 17-beta-estradiol and 17-alpha-ethinylestradiol

Information on occurrence and degradation of the anti-inflammatory diclofenac, the natural hormone 17-beta-estradiol and the synthetic hormone 17-alpha-ethinylestradiol is important to allow a Union level risk assessment of the aquatic environment, helping to define a strategic approach to control pollution of water by pharmaceuticals. Concerning these compounds,

there are several works reporting AOPs to treat diclofenac (Calza et al. (2006); Guyer and Ince (2011); Martínez et al. (2011); Michael et al. (2014); Naddeo et al. (2010); Naddeo et al. (2009); Pérez-Estrada et al. (2005); Rizzo et al. (2009); Zhang et al. (2011)) as well as both hormones (Dimitroula et al. (2012); Frontistis et al. (2012); Frontistis et al. (2011); Pereira et al. (2012)). Data regarding these particular compounds can be consulted in article reviews that have been published in the last few years and that already encompass a lot of information dealing with the removal of these compounds from water by using AOPs (Fatta-Kassinos et al. 2011; Kanakaraju et al. 2014; Klavarioti et al. 2009; Liu et al. 2009; Luo et al. 2014; Rivera-Utrilla et al. 2013; Silva et al. 2012).

AOPs are described as highly efficient processes for diclofenac and estradiol removal (Luo et al. 2014). A more extensive perspective on application of AOPs for treatment of pharmaceuticals is given by in a review that summarizes the performance of different water treatment systems in the removal of pharmaceuticals from water (Rivera-Utrilla et al. 2013). The water treatment technologies based on AOPs were also discussed in a review with pharmaceuticals and where diclofenac and 17-beta-estradiol were included in the top five most commonly treated by AOPs Klavarioti et al. (2009). A detailed discussion on heterogeneous photocatalysis of diclofenac and other pharmaceuticals was reported recently emphasizing the recent development of AOP hybrid techniques such as photocatalytic ozonation and sono-photocatalysis that are promising for elimination and enhanced mineralization of pharmaceuticals (Kanakaraju et al. 2014). This report strengthens the need for identification of by-products formed during reaction and possible degradation pathways that should be investigated. The importance of the formation, fate, and effects of transformation products of pharmaceutical compounds in AOPs, such as diclofenac, was also reviewed (Fatta-Kassinos et al. 2011). Electrochemical AOPs were already applied to treat pharmaceutical residues including 17-beta-estradiol and 17-alpha-ethinylestradiol in their composition (Sires and Brillas 2012). Also anti-inflammatories such as diclofenac were extensively reviewed in another work (Feng et al. 2013). That paper refers to the high frequency of removal of diclofenac by this kind of treatment technologies and highlights the advantages of using sunlight-driven systems to potentiate the economic benefits. Therefore, a significant amount of information is already available concerning pharmaceuticals, in particular for the three compounds proposed for the first watch list in the frame of the Directive 2013/39/EU, i.e., diclofenac, 17-beta-estradiol and 17-alpha-ethinylestradiol.

3.8. Other classes

Flame retardants listed as priority substances in the field of water policy include brominated diphenylethers and hexabromocyclododecanes. Their degradation through AOPs was not reported. Also the compound di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate, used as plasticizer, was not reported in AOPs studies yet.

4. Future challenges

There are still many compounds belonging to the priority list or to other pollutants defined in the Directive 2013/39/EU that were not treated by AOPs such as the pesticides acronifen, bifenox, cybutryne and quinoxifen, the organotin tributyltin, dioxins and dioxins-like compounds, brominated diphenylethers, hexabromocyclododecanes and di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate. It would be important to assess the synergistic, additive and antagonistic effects between mixtures of compounds in real water matrices that better mitigate the real conditions, beyond the removal of single micropollutants. This issue must be a priority of scientific researchers but also regulatory agencies, through the assessment of degradation of multi-class pollutants by different AOPs. Elucidation of chemical structure of by-products to evaluate the degradation pathways is an important demand. This subject is closely related to another topic of interest to be addressed that is the possible toxicity of the oxidation by-products. The studies are generally performed for individual compounds at concentrations higher than those found in the environment and there are only few studies addressing the degradation of mixtures of compounds in a common basis. Liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry have become a routine technique of analysis that allows the quantification with triple quadrupole (QqQ) mass detectors, as well as the metabolites identification using hybrids mass detectors as tandem quadrupole/time-of-flight (QqTOF). These techniques offer quite accurate identification of micropollutants and lower detection limits than those considered up to now in most of the worldwide research laboratories. Therefore, there are actual important challenges to be faced in this domain, assessing the fate of pollutants in environment-like conditions, allowing the evaluation of competition effects and the quantification of pollutants with low solubility. Lower costs of full scale AOPs for treating emerging micropollutants is also an important requirement. In particular, AOPs can be used to treat the concentrate resulting from membrane technologies, since in this case significantly lower volumes need to be treated by the AOPs and the combination of both processes is expected to reduce the overall treatment costs.

Acknowledgments

Financial support for this work was provided by project NORTE-07-0202-FEDER-038900 (NEPCAT), financed by FEDER through ON2 (Programa Operacional do Norte) and QREN. This work was partially supported by FCT and FEDER through project PEst-C/EQB/LA0020/2013 under Programe COMPETE, and by QREN, ON2 and FEDER through project NORTE-07-0124-FEDER-000015. AMTS acknowledges the FCT Investigator 2013 Programme (IF/01501/2013), with financing from the European Social Fund and the Human Potential Operational Programme.

References

- Acero, J.L.; Real, F.J.; Benitez, F.J.; Gonzalez, A. Oxidation of chlorfenvinphos in ultrapure and natural waters by ozonation and photochemical processes. *Water Res.* 42:3198-3206; 2008
- Affam, A.C.; Chaudhuri, M. Degradation of pesticides chlorpyrifos, cypermethrin and chlorothalonil in aqueous solution by TiO₂ photocatalysis. *J Environ Manage.* 130:160-165; 2013
- Agustina, T.E.; Ang, H.M.; Vareek, V.K. A review of synergistic effect of photocatalysis and ozonation on wastewater treatment. *J Photochem Photobiol C: Photochem Rev.* 6:264-273; 2005
- Aly Derbalah; Ahmed Ismail; Shaheen, S. Monitoring of organophosphorus pesticides and remediation technologies of the frequently detected compound (chlorpyrifos) in drinking water. *Pol J Chem Technol.* 15:25-34; 2013
- Andreozzi, R.; Caprio, V.; Insola, A.; Marotta, R. Advanced oxidation processes (AOP) for water purification and recovery. *Catal Today.* 53:51-59; 1999
- Apolinário, Â.C.; Silva, A.M.T.; Machado, B.F.; Gomes, H.T.; Araújo, P.P.; Figueiredo, J.L.; Faria, J.L. Wet air oxidation of nitro-aromatic compounds: Reactivity on single- and multi-component systems and surface chemistry studies with a carbon xerogel. *Appl Catal B.* 84:75-86; 2008
- Babuponnusami, A.; Muthukumar, K. A review on Fenton and improvements to the Fenton process for wastewater treatment. *J Environ Chem Eng.* 2:557-572; 2014
- Balabanic, D.; Hermosilla, D.; Merayo, N.; Klemencic, A.K.; Blanco, A. Comparison of different wastewater treatments for removal of selected endocrine-disruptors from paper mill wastewaters. *J Environ Sci Health A: Toxic/Hazard Subst Environ Eng.* 47:1350-1363; 2012
- Balci, B.; Oturan, N.; Cherrier, R.; Oturan, M.A. Degradation of atrazine in aqueous medium by electrocatalytically generated hydroxyl radicals. A kinetic and mechanistic study. *Water Res.* 43:1924-1934; 2009
- Bamba, D.; Atheba, P.; Robert, D.; Trokourey, A.; Dongui, B. Photocatalytic degradation of the diuron pesticide. *Environ Chem Lett.* 6:163-167; 2007
- Bandala, E.R.; Dominguez, Z.; Rivas, F.; Gelover, S. Degradation of atrazine using solar driven fenton-like advanced oxidation processes. *J Environ Sci Health B.* 42:21-26; 2007
- Benzaquen, T.B.; Benzzo, M.T.; Isla, M.A.; Alfano, O.M. Impact of some herbicides on the biomass activity in biological treatment plants and biodegradability enhancement by a photo-Fenton process. *Water Sci Technol.* 67:210-216; 2013
- Bertanza, G.; Pedrazzani, R.; Zambarda, V.; Grande, M.D.; Icarelli, F.; Baldassarre, L. Removal of endocrine disrupting compounds from wastewater treatment plant effluents by means of advanced oxidation. *Water Sci Technol.* 61:1663-1671; 2010
- Bhargava, S.K.; Tardio, J.; Prasad, J.; Föger, K.; Akolekar, D.B.; Grocott, S.C. Wet Oxidation and Catalytic Wet Oxidation. *Ind Eng Chem Res.* 45:1221-1258; 2006
- Błędzka, D.; Gmurek, M.; Grylik, M.; Olak, M.; Miller, J.S.; Ledakowicz, S. Photodegradation and advanced oxidation of endocrine disruptors in aqueous solutions. *Catal Today.* 151:125-130; 2010a
- Błędzka, D.; Grylik, D.; Olak, M.; Gębicki, J.L.; Miller, J.S. Degradation of n-butylparaben and 4-tert-octylphenol in H₂O₂/UV system. *Radiat Phys Chem.* 79:409-416; 2010b
- Bobu, M.-M.; Siminiceanu, I.; Lundanes, E. Monuron and Isoproturon Mineralization in Water by a Heterogeneous Photo-Fenton Process. *Rev Chim.* 58:988-991; 2007
- Bojanowska-Czajka, A.; Gałęzowska, A.; Marty, J.L.; Trojanowicz, M. Decomposition of pesticide chlorfenvinphos in aqueous solutions by gamma-irradiation. *J Radioanal Nucl Chem.* 285:215-221; 2010a

- Bojanowska-Czajka, A.; Trojanowicz, M.; Gałęzowska, A.; Nichipor, H.; Zimek, Z.; Marty, J.L.; Nałęcz-Jawecki, G. Radiolytic removal of selected pesticides from waters and waste using ionizing radiation. *Sep Sci Technol.* 45:1651-1657; 2010b
- Borrás, N.; Oliver, R.; Arias, C.; Brilla, E. Degradation of Atrazine by Electrochemical Advanced Oxidation Processes Using a Boron-Doped Diamond Anode. *J Phys Chem A.* 114:6613–6621; 2010
- Calza, P.; Sakkas, V.; Medana, C.; Baiocchi, C.; Dimou, A.; Pelizzetti, E.; Albanis, T. Photocatalytic degradation study of diclofenac over aqueous TiO₂ suspensions. *Appl Catal B.* 67:197-205; 2006
- Carrier, M.; Besson, M.; Guillard, C.; Gonze, E. Removal of herbicide diuron and thermal degradation products under Catalytic Wet Air Oxidation conditions. *Appl Catal B.* 91:275-283; 2009a
- Carrier, M.; Guillard, C.; Besson, M.I.; Bordes, C.; Chermette, H. Photocatalytic Degradation of Diuron: Experimental Analyses and Simulation of HO° Radical Attacks by Density Functional Theory Calculations. *J Phys Chem A.* 113:6365–6374; 2009b
- Catalkaya, E.C.; Kargi, F. Effects of operating parameters on advanced oxidation of diuron by the Fenton's reagent: a statistical design approach. *Chemosphere.* 69:485-492; 2007
- Catalkaya, E.C.; Kargi, F. Advanced Oxidation of Diuron by Photo-Fenton Treatment as a Function of Operating Parameters. *J Environ Eng.* 134:1006-1013; 2008
- Catalkaya, E.C.; Kargi, F. Degradation and Mineralization of Simazine in Aqueous Solution by Ozone/Hydrogen Peroxide Advanced Oxidation. *J Environ Eng.* 135:1357-1364; 2009
- Chang, X.; Huang, J.; Cheng, C.; Sha, W.; Li, X.; Ji, G.; Deng, S.; Yu, G. Photocatalytic decomposition of 4-t-octylphenol over NaBiO₃ driven by visible light: catalytic kinetics and corrosion products characterization. *J Hazard Mater.* 173:765-772; 2010
- Chelme-Ayala, P.; El-Din, M.G.; Smith, D.W. Degradation of bromoxynil and trifluralin in natural water by direct photolysis and UV plus H₂O₂ advanced oxidation process. *Water Res.* 44:2221-2228; 2010
- Chen, W.R.; Wu, C.; Elovitz, M.S.; Linden, K.G.; Mel Suffet, I.H. Reactions of thiocarbamate, triazine and urea herbicides, RDX and benzenes on EPA Contaminant Candidate List with ozone and with hydroxyl radicals. *Water Res.* 42:137-144; 2008
- Chu, W.; Chan, K.H.; Kwan, C.Y.; Choi, K.Y. Degradation of atrazine by modified stepwise-Fenton's processes. *Chemosphere.* 67:755-761; 2007
- Comninellis, C.; Kapalka, A.; Malato, S.; Parsons, S.A.; Poullos, I.; Mantzavinos, D. Advanced oxidation processes for water treatment: advances and trends for R&D. *J Chem Technol Biotechnol.* 83:769-776; 2008
- Cybulski, A. Catalytic Wet Air Oxidation: Are Monolithic Catalysts and Reactors Feasible? *Ind Eng Chem Res.* 46:4007-4033; 2007
- Dailianis, S.; Tsarpali, V.; Melas, K.; Karapanagioti, H.K.; Manariotis, I.D. Aqueous phenanthrene toxicity after high-frequency ultrasound degradation. *Aquat Toxicol.* 147:32-40; 2014
- De Luca, A.; Dantas, R.F.; Simões, A.S.M.; Toscano, I.A.S.; Lofrano, G.; Cruz, A.; Esplugas, S. Atrazine Removal in Municipal Secondary Effluents by Fenton and Photo-Fenton Treatments. *Chem Eng Technol.* 36:2155-2162; 2013
- De Oliveira, A.G.; Ribeiro, J.P.; De Oliveira, J.T.; De Keukeleire, D.; Duarte, M.S.; Do Nascimento, R.F. Degradation of the pesticide chlorpyrifos in aqueous solutions with UV/H₂O₂: Optimization and effect of interfering anions. *J Adv Oxid Technol.* 17:133-138; 2014
- Derrouiche, S.; Bourdin, D.; Roche, P.; Houssais, B.; Machinal, C.; Coste, M.; Restivo, J.; Orfao, J.J.M.; Pereira, M.F.R.; Marco, Y.; Garcia-Bordeje, E. Process design for wastewater treatment: catalytic ozonation of organic pollutants. *Water Sci Technol.* 68:1377-1383; 2013
- Dimitroula, H.; Daskalaki, V.M.; Frontistis, Z.; Kondarides, D.I.; Panagiotopoulou, P.; Xekoukoulotakis, N.P.; Mantzavinos, D. Solar photocatalysis for the abatement of

- emerging micro-contaminants in wastewater: Synthesis, characterization and testing of various TiO₂ samples. *Appl Catal B*. 117-118:283-291; 2012
- Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy. *Official Journal of the European Communities*. L327:1-72; 2000
- Directive 2008/105/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2008 on environmental quality standards in the field of water policy, amending and subsequently repealing Council Directives 82/176/EEC, 83/513/EEC, 84/156/EEC, 84/491/EEC, 86/280/EEC and amending Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council. *Official Journal of the European Union*. L348:84-97; 2008
- Directive 2013/39/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 August 2013 amending Directives 2000/60/EC and 2008/105/EC as regards priority substances in the field of water policy. *Official Journal of the European Union*. L226:1-17; 2013
- Djebbar, K.E.; Zertal, A.; Debbache, N.; Sehili, T. Comparison of Diuron degradation by direct UV photolysis and advanced oxidation processes. *J Environ Manage*. 88:1505-1512; 2008
- Domínguez, C.M.; Ocón, P.; Quintanilla, A.; Casas, J.A.; Rodríguez, J.J. Graphite and carbon black materials as catalysts for wet peroxide oxidation. *Appl Catal B*. 144:599-606; 2014
- Essam, T.; Amin, M.A.; el-Tayeb, O.; Mattiasson, B.; Guieysse, B. Sequential photochemical-biological degradation of chlorophenols. *Chemosphere*. 66:2201-2209; 2007
- Fan, X.; Restivo, J.; Órfão, J.J.M.; Pereira, M.F.R.; Lapkin, A.A. The role of multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) in the catalytic ozonation of atrazine. *Chem Eng J*. 241:66-76; 2014
- Fang, G.D.; Dionysiou, D.D.; Wang, Y.; Al-Abed, S.R.; Zhou, D.M. Sulfate radical-based degradation of polychlorinated biphenyls: effects of chloride ion and reaction kinetics. *J Hazard Mater*. 227-228:394-401; 2012
- Farré, M.J.; Franch, M.I.; Malato, S.; Ayllón, J.A.; Peral, J.; Doménech, X. Degradation of some biorecalcitrant pesticides by homogeneous and heterogeneous photocatalytic ozonation. *Chemosphere*. 58:1127-1133; 2005
- Fatta-Kassinos, D.; Vasquez, M.I.; Kummerer, K. Transformation products of pharmaceuticals in surface waters and wastewater formed during photolysis and advanced oxidation processes - degradation, elucidation of byproducts and assessment of their biological potency. *Chemosphere*. 85:693-709; 2011
- Feng, L.; van Hullebusch, E.D.; Rodrigo, M.A.; Esposito, G.; Oturan, M.A. Removal of residual anti-inflammatory and analgesic pharmaceuticals from aqueous systems by electrochemical advanced oxidation processes. A review. *Chem Eng J*. 228:944-964; 2013
- Fenoll, J.; Hellín, P.; Martínez, C.M.; Flores, P.; Navarro, S. Semiconductor-sensitized photodegradation of s-triazine and chloroacetanilide herbicides in leaching water using TiO₂ and ZnO as catalyst under natural sunlight. *J Photochem Photobiol A: Chem*. 238:81-87; 2012
- Fenoll, J.; Sabater, P.; Navarro, G.; Perez-Lucas, G.; Navarro, S. Photocatalytic transformation of sixteen substituted phenylurea herbicides in aqueous semiconductor suspensions: intermediates and degradation pathways. *J Hazard Mater*. 244-245:370-379; 2013
- Fenton, H.J.H. LXXIII.-Oxidation of tartaric acid in presence of iron. *J Chem Soc Trans*. 65:899-910; 1894
- Fourcade, F.; Yahiat, S.; Elandalousi, K.; Brosillon, S.; Amrane, A. Relevance of Photocatalysis prior to Biological Treatment of Organic Pollutants - Selection Criteria. *Chem Eng Technol*. 35:238-246; 2012

- Frank, S.N.; Bard, A.J. Heterogeneous photocatalytic oxidation of cyanide ion in aqueous solutions at titanium dioxide powder. *J Am Chem Soc.* 99:303-304; 1977
- Frontistis, Z.; Daskalaki, V.M.; Hapeshi, E.; Drosou, C.; Fatta-Kassinos, D.; Xekoukoulotakis, N.P.; Mantzavinos, D. Photocatalytic (UV-A/TiO₂) degradation of 17 α -ethynylestradiol in environmental matrices: Experimental studies and artificial neural network modeling. *J Photochem Photobiol A: Chem.* 240:33-41; 2012
- Frontistis, Z.; Xekoukoulotakis, N.P.; Hapeshi, E.; Venieri, D.; Fatta-Kassinos, D.; Mantzavinos, D. Fast degradation of estrogen hormones in environmental matrices by photo-Fenton oxidation under simulated solar radiation. *Chem Eng J.* 178:175-182; 2011
- Fujishima, A.; Honda, K. Electrochemical Photolysis of Water at a Semiconductor Electrode. *Nature.* 238:37-38; 1972
- Gernjak, W.; Fuerhacker, M.; Fernández-Ibañez, P.; Blanco, J.; Malato, S. Solar photo-Fenton treatment - Process parameters and process control. *Appl Catal B.* 64:121-130; 2006
- Gerrity, D.; Stanford, B.D.; Trenholm, R.A.; Snyder, S.A. An evaluation of a pilot-scale nonthermal plasma advanced oxidation process for trace organic compound degradation. *Water Res.* 44:493-504; 2010
- Gogate, P.R.; Pandit, A.B. A review of imperative technologies for wastewater treatment I: oxidation technologies at ambient conditions. *Adv Environ Res.* 8:501-551; 2004a
- Gogate, P.R.; Pandit, A.B. A review of imperative technologies for wastewater treatment II: hybrid methods. *Adv Environ Res.* 8:553-597; 2004b
- Gomes, H.T.; Machado, B.F.; Ribeiro, A.; Moreira, I.; Rosário, M.; Silva, A.M.T.; Figueiredo, J.L.; Faria, J.L. Catalytic properties of carbon materials for wet oxidation of aniline. *J Hazard Mater.* 159:420-426; 2008
- Gomis, J.; Arques, A.; Amat, A.M.; Marin, M.L.; Miranda, M.A. A mechanistic study on photocatalysis by thiapyrylium salts. Photodegradation of dimethoate, alachlor and pyrimethanil under simulated sunlight. *Appl Catal B.* 123-124:208-213; 2012
- Gonzalez, L.F.; Sarria, V.; Sanchez, O.F. Degradation of chlorophenols by sequential biological-advanced oxidative process using *Trametes pubescens* and TiO(2)/UV. *Bioresource Technol.* 101:3493-3499; 2010
- Grčić, I.; Mužić, M.; Vujević, D.; Koprivanac, N. Evaluation of atrazine degradation in UV/FeZSM-5/H₂O₂ system using factorial experimental design. *Chem Eng J.* 150:476-484; 2009
- Grcic, I.; Vujevic, D.; Sepcic, J.; Koprivanac, N. Minimization of organic content in simulated industrial wastewater by Fenton type processes: a case study. *J Hazard Mater.* 170:954-961; 2009
- Guyer, G.T.; Ince, N.H. Degradation of diclofenac in water by homogeneous and heterogeneous sonolysis. *Ultrason Sonochem.* 18:114-119; 2011
- Herney-Ramirez, J.; Vicente, M.A.; Madeira, L.M. Heterogeneous photo-Fenton oxidation with pillared clay-based catalysts for wastewater treatment: A review. *Appl Catal B.* 98:10-26; 2010
- Herrmann, J.-M. Heterogeneous photocatalysis: fundamentals and applications to the removal of various types of aqueous pollutants. *Catal Today.* 53:115-129; 1999
- Herrmann, J.M. Heterogeneous photocatalysis: state of the art and present applications In honor of Pr. R.L. Burwell Jr. (1912–2003), Former Head of Ipatieff Laboratories, Northwestern University, Evanston (Ill). *Top Catal.* 34:49-65; 2005
- Hoffmann, M.R.; Martin, S.T.; Choi, W.; Bahnemann, D.W. Environmental Applications of Semiconductor Photocatalysis. *Chem Rev.* 95:69-96; 1995
- Hoigné, J. Inter-calibration of OH radical sources and water quality parameters. *Water Sci Technol.* 35:1-8; 1997
- Hori, H.; Nagaoka, Y.; Murayama, M.; Kutsuna, S. Efficient decomposition of perfluorocarboxylic acids and alternative fluorochemical surfactants in hot water. *Environ Sci Technol.* 42:7438-7443; 2008

- Hori, H.; Yamamoto, A.; Hayakawa, E.; Taniyasu, S.; Yamashita, N.; Kutsuna, S.; Kiatagawa, H.; Arakawa, R. Efficient Decomposition of Environmentally Persistent Perfluorocarboxylic Acids by Use of Persulfate as a Photochemical Oxidant. *Environ Sci Technol.* 39:2383-2388; 2005
- Ikehata, K.; El-Din, M.G. Degradation of Recalcitrant Surfactants in Wastewater by Ozonation and Advanced Oxidation Processes: A Review. *Ozone Sci Eng.* 26:327-343; 2004
- Ikehata, K.; El-Din, M.G. Aqueous Pesticide Degradation by Ozonation and Ozone-Based Advanced Oxidation Processes: A Review (Part I). *Ozone Sci Eng.* 27:83-114; 2005
- Ismail, M.; Khan, H.M.; Sayed, M.; Cooper, W.J. Advanced oxidation for the treatment of chlorpyrifos in aqueous solution. *Chemosphere.* 93:645-651; 2013
- James, C.P.; Germain, E.; Judd, S. Micropollutant removal by advanced oxidation of microfiltered secondary effluent for water reuse. *Sep Purif Technol.* 127:77-83; 2014
- Jiang, J.-Q.; Zhou, Z.; Sharma, V.K. Occurrence, transportation, monitoring and treatment of emerging micro-pollutants in waste water — A review from global views. *Microchem J.* 110:292-300; 2013
- Jo, C.H.; Dietrich, A.M.; Tanko, J.M. Simultaneous degradation of disinfection byproducts and earthy-musty odorants by the UV/H₂O₂ advanced oxidation process. *Water Res.* 45:2507-2516; 2011
- Joseph, C.G.; Li Puma, G.; Bono, A.; Krishnaiah, D. Sonophotocatalysis in advanced oxidation process: A short review. *Ultrason Sonochem.* 16:583-589; 2009
- Jurado, A.; Vazquez-Sune, E.; Carrera, J.; Lopez de Alda, M.; Pujades, E.; Barcelo, D. Emerging organic contaminants in groundwater in Spain: a review of sources, recent occurrence and fate in a European context. *Sci Total Environ.* 440:82-94; 2012
- Kabra, K.; Chaudhary, R.; Sawhney, R.L. Treatment of Hazardous Organic and Inorganic Compounds through Aqueous-Phase Photocatalysis: A Review. *Ind Eng Chem Res.* 43:7683-7696; 2004
- Kanakaraju, D.; Glass, B.D.; Oelgemöller, M. Titanium dioxide photocatalysis for pharmaceutical wastewater treatment. *Environ Chem Lett.* 12:27-47; 2014
- Katsumata, H.; Sada, M.; Nakaoka, Y.; Kaneco, S.; Suzuki, T.; Ohta, K. Photocatalytic degradation of diuron in aqueous solution by platinized TiO₂. *J Hazard Mater.* 171:1081-1087; 2009
- Kenfack, S.; Sarria, V.; Wéthé, J.; Cissé, G.; Maïga, A.H.; Klutse, A.; Pulgarin, C. From Laboratory Studies to the Field Applications of Advanced Oxidation Processes: A Case Study of Technology Transfer from Switzerland to Burkina Faso on the Field of Photochemical Detoxification of Biorecalcitrant Chemical Pollutants in Water. *Int J Photoenergy.* 2009:1-8; 2009
- Khan, J.A.; He, X.; Shah, N.S.; Khan, H.M.; Hapeshi, E.; Fatta-Kassinos, D.; Dionysiou, D.D. Kinetic and mechanism investigation on the photochemical degradation of atrazine with activated H₂O₂, S₂O₈²⁻ and HSO₅⁻. *Chem Eng J.* 252:393-403; 2014
- Klamerth, N.; Malato, S.; Agüera, A.; Fernandez-Alba, A. Photo-Fenton and modified photo-Fenton at neutral pH for the treatment of emerging contaminants in wastewater treatment plant effluents: a comparison. *Water Res.* 47:833-840; 2013
- Klamerth, N.; Miranda, N.; Malato, S.; Agüera, A.; Fernández-Alba, A.R.; Maldonado, M.I.; Coronado, J.M. Degradation of emerging contaminants at low concentrations in MWTPs effluents with mild solar photo-Fenton and TiO₂. *Catal Today.* 144:124-130; 2009
- Klán, P.; Vavrik, M. Non-catalytic remediation of aqueous solutions by microwave-assisted photolysis in the presence of H₂O₂. *J Photochem Photobiol A: Chem.* 177:24-33; 2006
- Klavarioti, M.; Mantzavinos, D.; Kassinos, D. Removal of residual pharmaceuticals from aqueous systems by advanced oxidation processes. *Environ Int.* 35:402-417; 2009
- Klongdee, J.; Petchkroh, W.; Phuempoonsathaporn, K.; Praserttham, P.; Vangnai, A.S.; Pavarajarn, V. Activity of nanosized titania synthesized from thermal decomposition of

- titanium (IV)n-butoxide for the photocatalytic degradation of diuron. *Sci Technol Adv Mater.* 6:290-295; 2005
- Kusvuran, E.; Erbatur, O. Degradation of aldrin in adsorbed system using advanced oxidation processes: comparison of the treatment methods. *J Hazard Mater.* 106:115-125; 2004
- Lee, J.M.; Kim, J.H.; Chang, Y.Y.; Chang, Y.S. Steel dust catalysis for Fenton-like oxidation of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins. *J Hazard Mater.* 163:222-230; 2009
- Lee, Y.-C.; Lo, S.-L.; Chiueh, P.-T.; Liou, Y.-H.; Chen, M.-L. Microwave-hydrothermal decomposition of perfluorooctanoic acid in water by iron-activated persulfate oxidation. *Water Res.* 44:886-892; 2010
- Lekkerkerker-Teunissen, K.; Knol, A.H.; Derks, J.G.; Heringa, M.B.; Houtman, C.J.; Hofman-Caris, C.H.M.; Beerendonk, E.F.; Reus, A.; Verberk, J.Q.J.C.; van Dijk, J.C. Pilot Plant Results with Three Different Types of UV Lamps for Advanced Oxidation. *Ozone Sci Eng.* 35:38-48; 2013
- Lekkerkerker-Teunissen, K.; Knol, A.H.; van Altena, L.P.; Houtman, C.J.; Verberk, J.Q.J.C.; van Dijk, J.C. Serial ozone/peroxide/low pressure UV treatment for synergistic and effective organic micropollutant conversion. *Sep Purif Technol.* 100:22-29; 2012
- Levec, J.; Pintar, A. Catalytic wet-air oxidation processes: A review. *Catal Today.* 124:172-184; 2007
- Linsebigler, A.L.; Lu, G.; Yates, J.T. Photocatalysis on TiO₂ Surfaces: Principles, Mechanisms, and Selected Results. *Chem Rev.* 95:735-758; 1995
- Liotta, L.F.; Gruttadauria, M.; Di Carlo, G.; Perrini, G.; Librando, V. Heterogeneous catalytic degradation of phenolic substrates: Catalysts activity. *J Hazard Mater.* 162:588-606; 2009
- Liu, Z.H.; Kanjo, Y.; Mizutani, S. Removal mechanisms for endocrine disrupting compounds (EDCs) in wastewater treatment - physical means, biodegradation, and chemical advanced oxidation: a review. *Sci Total Environ.* 407:731-748; 2009
- Luis, P.; Saquib, M.; Vinckier, C.; Van der Bruggen, B. Effect of Membrane Filtration on Ozonation Efficiency for Removal of Atrazine from Surface Water. *Ind Eng Chem Res.* 50:8686-8692; 2011
- Luo, Y.; Guo, W.; Ngo, H.H.; Nghiem, L.D.; Hai, F.I.; Zhang, J.; Liang, S.; Wang, X.C. A review on the occurrence of micropollutants in the aquatic environment and their fate and removal during wastewater treatment. *Sci Total Environ.* 473-474:619-641; 2014
- Mackul'ak, T.; Prousek, J.; Švorc, L.u. Degradation of atrazine by Fenton and modified Fenton reactions. *Monatsh Chem.* 142:561-567; 2011
- Madani, M.E.; Guillard, C.; Pérol, N.; Chovelon, J.M.; Azzouzi, M.E.; Zrineh, A.; Herrmann, J.M. Photocatalytic degradation of diuron in aqueous solution in presence of two industrial titania catalysts, either as suspended powders or deposited on flexible industrial photoresistant papers. *Appl Catal B.* 65:70-76; 2006
- Mahmoodi, N.M.; Arami, M. Immobilized titania nanophotocatalysis: Degradation, modeling and toxicity reduction of agricultural pollutants. *J Alloys Compd.* 506:155-159; 2010
- Maldonado, M.I.; Malato, S.; Pérez-Estrada, L.A.; Gernjak, W.; Oller, I.; Doménech, X.; Peral, J. Partial degradation of five pesticides and an industrial pollutant by ozonation in a pilot-plant scale reactor. *J Hazard Mater.* 138:363-369; 2006
- Maldonado, M.I.; Passarinho, P.C.; Oller, I.; Gernjak, W.; Fernández, P.; Blanco, J.; Malato, S. Photocatalytic degradation of EU priority substances: A comparison between TiO₂ and Fenton plus photo-Fenton in a solar pilot plant. *J Photochem Photobiol A: Chem.* 185:354-363; 2007
- Mantzavinos, D.; Kalogerakis, N. Treatment of olive mill effluents Part I. Organic matter degradation by chemical and biological processes--an overview. *Environ Int.* 31:289-295; 2005

- Marin, M.L.; Lhiaubet-Vallet, V.; Santos-Juanes, L.; Soler, J.; Gomis, J.; Arques, A.; Amat, A.M.; Miranda, M.A. A photophysical approach to investigate the photooxidation mechanism of pesticides: Hydroxyl radical versus electron transfer. *Appl Catal B*. 103:48-53; 2011
- Martínez, C.; Canle L, M.; Fernández, M.I.; Santaballa, J.A.; Faria, J. Aqueous degradation of diclofenac by heterogeneous photocatalysis using nanostructured materials. *Appl Catal B*. 107:110-118; 2011
- McCullagh, C.; Robertson, J.M.C.; Bahnemann, D.W.; Robertson, P.K.J. The application of TiO₂ photocatalysis for disinfection of water contaminated with pathogenic micro-organisms: a review. *Res Chem Intermed*. 33:359-375; 2007
- Mededovic, S.; Locke, B.R. Side-Chain Degradation of Atrazine by Pulsed Electrical Discharge in Water. *Ind Eng Chem Res*. 46:2702-2709; 2007
- Mendoza-Marin, C.; Osorio, P.; Benitez, N. Decontamination of industrial wastewater from sugarcane crops by combining solar photo-Fenton and biological treatments. *J Hazard Mater*. 177:851-855; 2010
- Michael, I.; Achilleos, A.; Lambropoulou, D.; Torrens, V.O.; Pérez, S.; Petrović, M.; Barceló, D.; Fatta-Kassinos, D. Proposed transformation pathway and evolution profile of diclofenac and ibuprofen transformation products during (sono)photocatalysis. *Appl Catal B*. 147:1015-1027; 2014
- Mishra, V.S.; Mahajani, V.V.; Joshi, J.B. Wet Air Oxidation. *Ind Eng Chem Res*. 34:2-48; 1995
- Morales-Torres, S.; Pastrana-Martínez, L.M.; Figueiredo, J.L.; Faria, J.L.; Silva, A.M.T. Design of graphene-based TiO₂ photocatalysts—a review. *Environ Sci Pollut R*. 19:3676-3687; 2012
- Morales-Torres, S.; Silva, A.M.T.; Pérez-Cadenas, A.F.; Faria, J.L.; Maldonado-Hódar, F.J.; Figueiredo, J.L.; Carrasco-Marín, F. Wet air oxidation of trinitrophenol with activated carbon catalysts: Effect of textural properties on the mechanism of degradation. *Appl Catal B*. 100:310-317; 2010
- Moreira, F.C.; Vilar, V.J.P.; Ferreira, A.C.C.; dos Santos, F.R.A.; Dezotti, M.; Sousa, M.A.; Gonçalves, C.; Boaventura, R.A.R.; Alpendurada, M.F. Treatment of a pesticide-containing wastewater using combined biological and solar-driven AOPs at pilot scale. *Chem Eng J*. 209:429-441; 2012
- Munoz, M.; de Pedro, Z.M.; Casas, J.A.; Rodriguez, J.J. Assessment of the generation of chlorinated byproducts upon Fenton-like oxidation of chlorophenols at different conditions. *J Hazard Mater*. 190:993-1000; 2011
- Munter, R. Advanced oxidation processes – current status and prospects. *Proc Est Acad Sci (Chem)*; 2001
- Naddeo, V.; Belgiorno, V.; Kassinos, D.; Mantzavinos, D.; Meric, S. Ultrasonic degradation, mineralization and detoxification of diclofenac in water: optimization of operating parameters. *Ultrason Sonochem*. 17:179-185; 2010
- Naddeo, V.; Belgiorno, V.; Ricco, D.; Kassinos, D. Degradation of diclofenac during sonolysis, ozonation and their simultaneous application. *Ultrason Sonochem*. 16:790-794; 2009
- Nidheesh, P.V.; Gandhimathi, R. Trends in electro-Fenton process for water and wastewater treatment: An overview. *Desalination*. 299:1-15; 2012
- Nitoi, I.; Oncescu, T.; Oancea, P. Mechanism and kinetic study for the degradation of lindane by photo-Fenton process. *J Ind Eng Chem*. 19:305-309; 2013
- Oliveira, C.; Alves, A.; Madeira, L.M. Treatment of water networks (waters and deposits) contaminated with chlorfenvinphos by oxidation with Fenton's reagent. *Chem Eng J*. 241:190-199; 2014
- Oller, I.; Malato, S.; Sánchez-Pérez, J.A. Combination of Advanced Oxidation Processes and biological treatments for wastewater decontamination—A review. *Sci Total Environ*. 409:4141-4166; 2011
- Ormad, M.P.; Miguel, N.; Claver, A.; Matesanz, J.M.; Ovelleiro, J.L. Pesticides removal in the process of drinking water production. *Chemosphere*. 71:97-106; 2008

- Ormad, M.P.; Miguel, N.; Lanao, M.; Mosteo, R.; Ovelleiro, J.L. Effect of application of ozone and ozone combined with hydrogen peroxide and titanium dioxide in the removal of pesticides from water. *Ozone Sci Eng.* 32:25-32; 2010
- Oturan, M.A.; Oturan, N.; Edelahe, M.C.; Podvorica, F.I.; Kacemi, K.E. Oxidative degradation of herbicide diuron in aqueous medium by Fenton's reaction based advanced oxidation processes. *Chem Eng J.* 171:127-135; 2011a
- Oturan, N.; Brillas, E.; Oturan, M.A. Unprecedented total mineralization of atrazine and cyanuric acid by anodic oxidation and electro-Fenton with a boron-doped diamond anode. *Environ Chem Lett.* 10:165-170; 2011b
- Oturan, N.; Panizza, M.; Oturan, M.A. Cold Incineration of Chlorophenols in Aqueous Solution by Advanced Electrochemical Process Electro-Fenton. Effect of Number and Position of Chlorine Atoms on the Degradation Kinetics. *J Phys Chem A.* 113:10988-10993; 2009
- Oturan, N.; Trajkovska, S.; Oturan, M.A.; Couderchet, M.; Aaron, J.J. Study of the toxicity of diuron and its metabolites formed in aqueous medium during application of the electrochemical advanced oxidation process "electro-Fenton". *Chemosphere.* 73:1550-1556; 2008
- Paterlini, W.C.; Nogueira, R.F. Multivariate analysis of photo-Fenton degradation of the herbicides tebuthiuron, diuron and 2,4-D. *Chemosphere.* 58:1107-1116; 2005
- Pera-Titus, M.; García-Molina, V.; Baños, M.A.; Giménez, J.; Esplugas, S. Degradation of chlorophenols by means of advanced oxidation processes: a general review. *Appl Catal B.* 47:219-256; 2004
- Pereira, V.J.; Galinha, J.; Barreto Crespo, M.T.; Matos, C.T.; Crespo, J.G. Integration of nanofiltration, UV photolysis, and advanced oxidation processes for the removal of hormones from surface water sources. *Sep Purif Technol.* 95:89-96; 2012
- Pérez-Estrada, L.A.; Maldonado, M.I.; Gernjak, W.; Agüera, A.; Fernández-Alba, A.R.; Ballesteros, M.M.; Malato, S. Decomposition of diclofenac by solar driven photocatalysis at pilot plant scale. *Catal Today.* 101:219-226; 2005
- Pérez, M.H.; Peñuela, G.; Maldonado, M.I.; Malato, O.; Fernández-Ibáñez, P.; Oller, I.; Gernjak, W.; Malato, S. Degradation of pesticides in water using solar advanced oxidation processes. *Appl Catal B.* 64:272-281; 2006
- Petrovic, M.; Gehringer, P.; Eschweiler, H.; Barcelo, D. Radiolytic decomposition of multi-class surfactants and their biotransformation products in sewage treatment plant effluents. *Chemosphere.* 66:114-122; 2007
- Pignatello, J.J.; Oliveros, E.; MacKay, A. Advanced Oxidation Processes for Organic Contaminant Destruction Based on the Fenton Reaction and Related Chemistry. *Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol.* 36:1-84; 2006
- Pipi, A.R.F.; Sirés, I.; De Andrade, A.R.; Brillas, E. Application of electrochemical advanced oxidation processes to the mineralization of the herbicide diuron. *Chemosphere.* 109:49-55; 2014
- Poerschmann, J.; Trommler, U. Pathways of advanced oxidation of phenol by Fenton's reagent-identification of oxidative coupling intermediates by extractive acetylation. *J Chromatogr A.* 1216:5570-5579; 2009
- Prieto-Rodríguez, L.; Miralles-Cuevas, S.; Oller, I.; Agüera, A.; Li Puma, G.; Malato, S. Treatment of emerging contaminants in wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) effluents by solar photocatalysis using low TiO₂ concentrations. *J Hazard Mater.* 211-212:131-137; 2012
- Qiang, Z.; Liu, C.; Dong, B.; Zhang, Y. Degradation mechanism of alachlor during direct ozonation and O₃/H₂O₂ advanced oxidation process. *Chemosphere.* 78:517-526; 2010
- Rastogi, A.; Al-Abed, S.R.; Dionysiou, D.D. Sulfate radical-based ferrous-peroxymonosulfate oxidative system for PCBs degradation in aqueous and sediment systems. *Appl Catal B.* 85:171-179; 2009

- Reddy, P.M.K.; Mahammadunnisa, S.; Subrahmanyam, C. Catalytic non-thermal plasma reactor for mineralization of endosulfan in aqueous medium: A green approach for the treatment of pesticide contaminated water. *Chem Eng J.* 238:157-163; 2014
- Restivo, J.; Rocha, R.P.; Silva, A.M.T.; Órfão, J.J.M.; Pereira, M.F.R.; Figueiredo, J.L. Catalytic performance of heteroatom-modified carbon nanotubes in advanced oxidation processes. *Chinese J Catal.* 35:896-905; 2014
- Rincón, A.-G.; Pulgarin, C. Effect of pH, inorganic ions, organic matter and H₂O₂ on *E. coli* K12 photocatalytic inactivation by TiO₂: Implications in solar water disinfection. *Appl Catal B.* 51:283-302; 2004
- Rivera-Utrilla, J.; Sanchez-Polo, M.; Ferro-García, M.A.; Prados-Joya, G.; Ocampo-Perez, R. Pharmaceuticals as emerging contaminants and their removal from water. A review. *Chemosphere.* 93:1268-1287; 2013
- Rizzo, L.; Meric, S.; Guida, M.; Kassinos, D.; Belgiorno, V. Heterogenous photocatalytic degradation kinetics and detoxification of an urban wastewater treatment plant effluent contaminated with pharmaceuticals. *Water Res.* 43:4070-4078; 2009
- Rocha, R.P.; Silva, A.M.T.; Romero, S.M.M.; Pereira, M.F.R.; Figueiredo, J.L. The role of O- and S-containing surface groups on carbon nanotubes for the elimination of organic pollutants by catalytic wet air oxidation. *Appl Catal B.* 147:314-321; 2014
- Rocha, R.P.; Sousa, J.P.S.; Silva, A.M.T.; Pereira, M.F.R.; Figueiredo, J.L. Catalytic activity and stability of multiwalled carbon nanotubes in catalytic wet air oxidation of oxalic acid: The role of the basic nature induced by the surface chemistry. *Appl Catal B.* 104:330-336; 2011
- Rodriguez, S.M.; Galvez, J.B.; Rubio, M.I.; Ibanez, P.F.; Gernjak, W.; Alberola, I.O. Treatment of chlorinated solvents by TiO₂ photocatalysis and photo-Fenton: influence of operating conditions in a solar pilot plant. *Chemosphere.* 58:391-398; 2005
- Rubio-Clemente, A.; Torres-Palma, R.A.; Penuela, G.A. Removal of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in aqueous environment by chemical treatments: a review. *Sci Total Environ.* 478:201-225; 2014
- Rubio, M.I.M.; Gernjak, W.; Alberola, I.O.; Gálvez, J.B.; Fernández-Ibáñez, P.; Rodríguez, S.M. Photo-Fenton degradation of alachlor, atrazine, chlorfenvinphos, diuron, isoproturon and pentachlorophenol at solar pilot plant. *Int J Environ Pollut.* 27; 2006
- Sanches, S.; Barreto Crespo, M.T.; Pereira, V.J. Drinking water treatment of priority pesticides using low pressure UV photolysis and advanced oxidation processes. *Water Res.* 44:1809-1818; 2010
- Sanches, S.; Penetra, A.; Rodrigues, A.; Cardoso, V.V.; Ferreira, E.; Benoliel, M.J.; Barreto Crespo, M.T.; Crespo, J.G.; Pereira, V.J. Removal of pesticides from water combining low pressure UV photolysis with nanofiltration. *Sep Purif Technol.* 115:73-82; 2013
- Sanchis, S.; Polo, A.M.; Tobajas, M.; Rodriguez, J.J.; Mohedano, A.F. Coupling Fenton and biological oxidation for the removal of nitrochlorinated herbicides from water. *Water Res.* 49:197-206; 2014
- Santiago-Morales, J.; Gomez, M.J.; Herrera-Lopez, S.; Fernandez-Alba, A.R.; Garcia-Calvo, E.; Rosal, R. Energy efficiency for the removal of non-polar pollutants during ultraviolet irradiation, visible light photocatalysis and ozonation of a wastewater effluent. *Water Res.* 47:5546-5556; 2013
- Saquib, M.; Vinckier, C.; Van der Bruggen, B. The effect of UF on the efficiency of O₃/H₂O₂ for the removal of organics from surface water. *Desalination.* 260:39-42; 2010
- Schroder, H.F.; Jose, H.J.; Gebhardt, W.; Moreira, R.F.; Pinnekamp, J. Biological wastewater treatment followed by physicochemical treatment for the removal of fluorinated surfactants. *Water Sci Technol.* 61:3208-3215; 2010
- Schröder, H.F.; Meesters, R.J.W. Stability of fluorinated surfactants in advanced oxidation processes—A follow up of degradation products using flow injection—mass

- spectrometry, liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry and liquid chromatography–multiple stage mass spectrometry. *J Chromatogr A*. 1082:110-119; 2005
- Serpone, N.; Horikoshi, S.; Emeline, A.V. Microwaves in advanced oxidation processes for environmental applications. A brief review. *J Photochem Photobiol C: Photochem Rev*. 11:114-131; 2010
- Shah, N.S.; He, X.; Khan, H.M.; Khan, J.A.; O'Shea, K.E.; Boccelli, D.L.; Dionysiou, D.D. Efficient removal of endosulfan from aqueous solution by UV-C/peroxides: a comparative study. *J Hazard Mater*. 263 Pt 2:584-592; 2013
- Sharma, S.; Mukhopadhyay, M.; Murthy, Z.V.P. UV/Peroxyacetic Acid Mediated Chlorophenol Congener Degradation. *CLEAN - Soil, Air, Water*. 42:276-283; 2014
- Silva, C.P.; Otero, M.; Esteves, V. Processes for the elimination of estrogenic steroid hormones from water: a review. *Environ Pollut*. 165:38-58; 2012
- Sires, I.; Brillas, E. Remediation of water pollution caused by pharmaceutical residues based on electrochemical separation and degradation technologies: a review. *Environ Int*. 40:212-229; 2012
- Song-hu, Y.; Xiao-hua, L. Comparison treatment of various chlorophenols by electro-Fenton method: relationship between chlorine content and degradation. *J Hazard Mater*. 118:85-92; 2005
- Song, W.; Ravindran, V.; Pirbazari, M. Process optimization using a kinetic model for the ultraviolet radiation-hydrogen peroxide decomposition of natural and synthetic organic compounds in groundwater. *Chem Eng Sci*. 63:3249-3270; 2008
- Soon, A.N.; Hameed, B.H. Heterogeneous catalytic treatment of synthetic dyes in aqueous media using Fenton and photo-assisted Fenton process. *Desalination*. 269:1-16; 2011
- Sousa, J.P.S.; Silva, A.M.T.; Pereira, M.F.R.; Figueiredo, J.L. Wet Air Oxidation of Aniline Using Carbon Foams and Fibers Enriched with Nitrogen. *Sep Sci Technol*. 45:1546-1554; 2010
- Souza, B.S.; Dantas, R.F.; Cruz, A.; Sans, C.; Esplugas, S.; Dezotti, M. Photochemical oxidation of municipal secondary effluents at low H₂O₂ dosage: Study of hydroxyl radical scavenging and process performance. *Chem Eng J*. 237:268-276; 2014
- Sponza, D.T.; Oztekin, R. Effect of sonication assisted by titanium dioxide and ferrous ions on polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and toxicity removals from a petrochemical industry wastewater in Turkey. *J Chem Technol Biotechnol*. 85:913-925; 2010
- Stüber, F.; Font, J.; Fortuny, A.; Bengoa, C.; Eftaxias, A.; Fabregat, A. Carbon materials and catalytic wet air oxidation of organic pollutants in wastewater. *Top Catal*. 33:3-50; 2005
- Sun, W.; Gamez, V.M.; Otero-Gonzalez, L.; Cho, Y.; Ober, C.K.; Sierra-Alvarez, R. Biodegradability, cytotoxicity, and physicochemical treatability of two novel perfluorooctane sulfonate-free photoacid generators. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol*. 64:187-197; 2013
- Thompson, J.; Eaglesham, G.; Reungoat, J.; Poussade, Y.; Bartkow, M.; Lawrence, M.; Mueller, J.F. Removal of PFOS, PFOA and other perfluoroalkyl acids at water reclamation plants in South East Queensland Australia. *Chemosphere*. 82:9-17; 2011
- Umar, M.; Aziz, H.A.; Yusoff, M.S. Trends in the use of Fenton, electro-Fenton and photo-Fenton for the treatment of landfill leachate. *Waste manage*. 30:2113-2121; 2010
- Valcarcel, Y.; Martinez, F.; Gonzalez-Alonso, S.; Segura, Y.; Catala, M.; Molina, R.; Montero-Rubio, J.C.; Mastroianni, N.; Lopez de Alda, M.; Postigo, C.; Barcelo, D. Drugs of abuse in surface and tap waters of the Tagus River basin: heterogeneous photo-Fenton process is effective in their degradation. *Environ Int*. 41:35-43; 2012
- Vallejo, M.; San Roman, M.F.; Irabien, A.; Ortiz, I. Comparative study of the destruction of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans during Fenton and electrochemical oxidation of landfill leachates. *Chemosphere*. 90:132-138; 2013
- Vargas, R.; Díaz, S.; Viele, L.; Núñez, O.; Borrás, C.; Mostany, J.; Scharifker, B.R. Electrochemical oxidation of dichlorvos on SnO₂Sb₂O₅ electrodes. *Appl Catal B*. 144:107-111; 2014

- Vecitis, C.D.; Park, H.; Cheng, J.; Mader, B.T.; Hoffmann, M.R. Kinetics and mechanism of the sonolytic conversion of the aqueous perfluorinated surfactants, perfluorooctanoate (PFOA), and perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) into inorganic products. *J Phys Chem A*. 112:4261-4270; 2008
- Vilhunen, S.; Vilve, M.; Vepsäläinen, M.; Sillanpää, M. Removal of organic matter from a variety of water matrices by UV photolysis and UV/H₂O₂ method. *J Hazard Mater*. 179:776-782; 2010
- Wu, Y.; Yuan, H.; Jiang, X.; Wei, G.; Li, C.; Dong, W. Photocatalytic degradation of 4-tert-octylphenol in a spiral photoreactor system. *J Environ Sci*. 24:1679-1685; 2012
- Wu, Y.; Yuan, H.; Wei, G.; Zhang, S.; Li, H.; Dong, W. Photodegradation of 4-tert octylphenol in aqueous solution promoted by Fe(III). *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int*. 20:3-9; 2013
- Xu, L.J.; Chu, W.; Graham, N. Atrazine degradation using chemical-free process of USUV: analysis of the micro-heterogeneous environments and the degradation mechanisms. *J Hazard Mater*. 275:166-174; 2014
- Xue, X.; Hanna, K.; Despas, C.; Wu, F.; Deng, N. Effect of chelating agent on the oxidation rate of PCP in the magnetite/H₂O₂ system at neutral pH. *J Mol Catal A: Chem*. 311:29-35; 2009
- Yang, S.; Cheng, J.; Sun, J.; Hu, Y.; Liang, X. Defluorination of Aqueous Perfluorooctanesulfonate by Activated Persulfate Oxidation. *PLoS One*. 8:e74877; 2013
- Yang, X.; Huang, J.; Zhang, K.; Yu, G.; Deng, S.; Wang, B. Stability of 6:2 fluorotelomer sulfonate in advanced oxidation processes: degradation kinetics and pathway. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int*. 21:4634-4642; 2014
- Zazo, J.A.; Pliego, G.; Blasco, S.; Casas, J.A.; Rodriguez, J.J. Intensification of the Fenton Process by Increasing the Temperature. *Ind Eng Chem Res*. 50:866-870; 2010
- Zhang, N.; Liu, G.; Liu, H.; Wang, Y.; He, Z.; Wang, G. Diclofenac photodegradation under simulated sunlight: Effect of different forms of nitrogen and kinetics. *J Hazard Mater*. 192:411-418; 2011