Dopant-free star-shaped hole-transport materials for efficient and stable perovskite solar cells

Fei Zhang,^{abc} Xiaoming Zhao,^{ac} Chenyi Yi,^b Dongqin Bi,^b Xiangdong Bi,^d Peng Wei,^e Xicheng Liu,^{ac} Shirong Wang,^{*ac} Xianggao Li,^{ac} Shaik Mohammed Zakeeruddin^{*b,f} and Michael Grätzel^{*b}

^[a] School of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Tianjin University, 300072 Tianjin, China

^[b] Laboratory of Photonics and Interfaces, Institute of Chemical Sciences and Engineering, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Station 6 CH-1015, Lausanne, Switzerland

^[c] Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemical Science and Engineering (Tianjin), 300072 Tianjin, China

^[d] Department of Physical Sciences, Charleston Southern University, 9200 University Blvd., Charleston, SC 29485, USA

^[e] Affinity Research Chemicals, Inc. 9 Germay Drive, Suite 300B Wilmington, DE 19804, USA

^[f] Center of Excellence for Advanced Materials Research (CEAMR), King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

Abstract: Two star-shaped TPA-based small-molecule materials (Z1012 and Z1013) were designed and synthesized in this paper. These molecules show high hole mobility and suitable energy levels for CH₃NH₃PbI₃-based perovskite solar cells. Photovoltaic cells based on the Z1013 without any dopants or additives achieve an excellent power conversion efficiency (PCE) of 15.4%, which is comparable to devices based on state-of-art p-doped *spiro*-OMeTAD. Moreover, the devices based on these two HTMs show much better stability than that of devices based on *spiro*-OMeTAD when aging in ambient air both at room temperature and 80 °C. These results demonstrate that star-shape TPAs could be excellent dopant-free HTMs for perovskite solar cells and hold promise to replace the p-doped *spiro*-OMeTAD, which is important for the fabrication of cost-effective and stable devices.

Keywords: triphenylamine; hole transport material; dopant-free; perovskite; solar cell

Corresponding author: Shirong Wang, Address: School of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Tianjin University, 300072 Tianjin, China, Email: wangshirong@tju.edu.cn

Shaik Mohammed Zakeeruddin, Michael Grätzel, Address: Laboratory of Photonics and Interfaces, Institute of Chemical Sciences and Engineering, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Station 6 CH-1015, Lausanne, Switzerland. Email: shaik.zakeer@epfl.ch; michael.graetzel@epfl.ch

Introduction

Organic–inorganic hybrid perovskite solar cells (e.g. $(\text{RNH}_3)\text{PbX}_3$ ($\text{R}=\text{alkyl}$, $\text{X}=\text{halogen}$)) have been recently receiving great attention owing to their outstanding features such as superb photovoltaic performance and low cost.^{1–4} Since hybrid perovskite solar cells were first demonstrated by Kojima et al, cells based on such materials have shown an unprecedented increase of power conversion efficiency (PCE) to 22.1% in subsequent years.^{5–}

7

The best performing device configuration of perovskite solar cell (PSC) is based on mesoporous TiO_2 scaffold, which is infiltrated with perovskite material and coated with the hole-transport materials (HTMs).⁸ Electrons and holes were produced in the perovskite absorber upon photo-excitation, the electrons were diffused to the TiO_2 , and the holes were transferred to the HTMs. These photo-generated charge carriers are subsequently collected as photocurrent at the front and back contacts of the solar cell.^{2,9} To date, a great number of HTMs have been developed and incorporated in PSCs, which are composed of organic and inorganic hole-conductors.⁹ Among the organic semiconductors, triphenylamine (TPA) containing compounds 2,2',7,7'-tetrakis(N,N'-di-p-methoxy-phenylamine)-9,9'-spirobifluorene (*spiro*-OMeTAD) along with poly-triarylamine (PTAA) have shown to be most effective. However, their relatively high cost of synthesis along with the need to use high levels of dopants present caveats for practical applications. The dopants and additives in the HTMs contribute to the low stability of the perovskite devices. Attempts have been made to develop more cost-effective and dopant-free HTMs for viable commercial applications of perovskite solar cell.^{10–23}

Star-shaped molecules feature a central core and multiple conjugated arms as functional units. They combine the advantages of both small molecules, i.e. well-defined structures and physical properties, and polymers like i.e. good thermal stabilities. They attracted much

attention in organic solar cells as hole transporting materials in recent years.²⁴⁻²⁷ Several star-shaped small molecule HTMs have been applied to PSCs.^{8,28-34} However, these star-shaped HTMs exhibit relatively low PCEs and need dopants and additives.

Herein, two dopant-free TPA-based star-shaped HTMs (Z1012 and Z1013) were applied in PSCs. A PCE of 15.4% was achieved by Z1013 without doping under AM 1.5G (100 mW cm⁻²) illumination, which matches to the PCE of p-doped *spiro*-OMeTAD (16.7%). This is among the highest efficiencies of the PSCs based on star-shaped HTMs. Importantly, these HTMs based devices shows a remarkable stability when aged in ambient air both at room temperature and 80 °C in the dark.

Results and Discussion

The chemical structures of the two HTMs are shown in **Figure 1a** and the synthetic route for the two HTMs is depicted in **Figure 1b** in line with our previous report.³⁵ Z1012 and Z1013 were synthesized through palladium-catalyzed amination of the branch molecule (E,E)-bis(4-(2-(4-N,N-dibutylaminophenyl)ethenyl)phenyl)amine with tris-(4-bromophenyl)amine and tris(4-((E)-4-bromostyryl)phenyl)amine, respectively. These two HTMs are having either triphenylamine or triphenylamine extending outward connected by phenylenevinylene units as the π -center. The triphenylamine displays good coplanarity of the central nitrogen and the three surrounding carbon atoms connecting to it, the triphenylamine unit can maintain uninterrupted conjugation between central nitrogen lone pair electrons and the arms, as well as function as a strong electron donor to the conjugation system.^{36,37} The peripherally substituted amino groups further modulate the solubility of the molecule and provide more electron density to the structure. Both of them show good solubility in common organic solvents, such as dichloromethane, chloroform, tetrahydrofuran and toluene, etc.

We present the normalized UV-Vis absorption and photoluminescence (PL) spectra of Z1012 and Z1013 in THF solution in **Figure 2a** and summarize relevant data in Table 1. The UV-vis absorption maximum due to a π - π^* transition occurred at 393, and 408 nm,

respectively, for molecule Z1012 and Z1013. This red shift in peak absorbance wavelength of Z1013 can be explained by a longer conjugation length, reducing the energy gap between HOMO and LUMO.³⁵ In addition, the PL spectra of the two HTMs show maximum emission at 473 and 474 nm respectively.

Density functional theory (DFT) method with the Gaussian 03 program at the B3LYP/6-31G* level was used to predict the electronic properties of the Z1012 and Z1013. The optimized molecular geometries, the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) are shown in **Table S1**. The LUMO is located mainly over the part of the peripheral units close to the triphenylamine core with some extending to the triphenylamine core. The HOMO spread mainly on the centered triphenylamine core and some extending to the adjacent aromatic rings of the peripheral units. The energy levels were also determined experimentally by cyclic voltammetry (CV). The CV result (**Figure S1a**) shows that the HOMO energy level of Z1012 is at -5.34 eV, slightly lower than that of *spiro*-OMeTAD (-5.15 eV).³⁸ Whereas the HOMO energy level of Z1013 is at -5.14 eV, similar to that of *spiro*-OMeTAD, as shown in **Figure 2b**. Both match well the energy level of CH₃NH₃PbI₃ (-5.43 eV).³⁹

The glass transition temperature (T_g) of Z1012 and Z1013 showed by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurements is around 154.2 °C and 171.8 °C, respectively, which are substantially higher than that of *spiro*-MeOTAD (T_g = 125 °C), suggesting good morphology stability of Z1012 and Z1013 (**Figure S1b**). We determined the hole transporting properties of Z1012 and Z1013 by performing time-of-flight (TOF) measurements (**Figure S 2a,b**). The hole mobilities of Z1012 and Z1013 are $9.74 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $6.67 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at an electric field of $2.0 \times 10^5 \text{ V cm}^{-1}$, respectively. The hole mobility of Z1013 is about 3.3 times higher than that of pristine *spiro*-OMeTAD ($2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at an electric field of $2.6 \times 10^5 \text{ V cm}^{-1}$).⁴⁰ The reorganization energy represents the relaxation of the molecule after

oxidation or reduction, typically modeled by withdrawing or adding one electron. As indicated in Table 2, Z1013 has the lowest calculated reorganization energy, which agrees with the result of TOF test. This accelerates hole-transport over the other two HTMs.⁴¹

A schematic diagram of the perovskite solar cell architecture applied in this study is shown in **Figure 3a**. To confirm the device architecture, cross sectional scanning electron microscopy (SEM) measurements were carried out (**Figure 3b**). Mesoporous titania can be clearly observed, with CH₃NH₃PbI₃ perovskite infiltrated and forming large crystals on top of the mesoporous photoanode.

The current-voltage (J - V) curves under standard AM 1.5G irradiation of 100 mW cm⁻² is presented in **Figure 4a**, S3a and photovoltaic performance metrics are summarized in Table 3 ,S2. The best cell of Z1013 affords an open-circuit voltage (V_{oc}) of 1.027 V, a short-circuit current density (J_{sc}) of 21.33 mA cm⁻² and a fill factor (FF) of 0.702, yielding a PCE of 15.4 % under AM 1.5G (100 mW cm⁻²) illumination. This result is comparable to the PCE of 16.7 % of *spiro*-OMeTAD doped with lithium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide (LiTFSI) and 4-*tert*-butylpyridine (TBP). The devices based on Z1012 give a higher V_{oc} than that of *spiro*-OMeTAD, which is commensurate with its deeper HOMO level. However, devices based on Z1012 exhibit lower photovoltaic performance compared to Z1013 and *spiro*-OMeTAD, especially in terms of FF and J_{sc} , due to the lower hole mobility that impairs charge transfer in solar cells.⁹ However, devices based on doped Z1012 and Z1013 exhibit lower photovoltaic performance compared to dopant-free Z1012 and Z1013. Apparently that means the dopants that work well with *spiro*-OMeTAD might not be suitable for these two HTMs.¹⁴ Moreover, The dopants might have negative impact on the HTM film coverage which also can be seen from the SEM image (Figure S4). We fabricated a batch of 10 cells each with Z1012, Z1013 and *spiro*-OMeTAD to examine the reproducibility of the cells' performance. The data is tabulated in **Figure S2c**, indicating good device reproducibility. In our study a small hysteresis was also observed in the J - V curves and the hysteresis index

calculated according to the equation ⁴² are 0.038, 0.034 and 0.035 for Z1012, Z1013 and *spiro*-OMeTAD based devices respectively. The stabilized power output were observed from devices based on *spiro*-OMeTAD, Z1012 and Z1013 is 16.4 %,12.3 % and 15.1 % respectively (**Figure S5**), which is consistent with the obtained PCE.

The incident photon-to-electron conversion efficiency (IPCE) spectrum of the cells based on these three HTMs were shown in **Figure 4b**. The integrated current densities estimated from the IPCE spectra is 19.09 mA cm⁻² for Z1012, 20.56 mA cm⁻² for Z1013 and 20.73 mA cm⁻² for *spiro*-OMeTAD, respectively, which are in excellent agreement with the J_{sc} values obtained from the *J-V* curves.

We also compared the stability of Z1012, Z1013 and *spiro*-OMeTAD -based perovskite solar cells by exposing them continuously at room temperature and 80 °C in ambient air of 30 % relative humidity in the dark without encapsulation. The current–voltage curves of typical cells were plotted in **Figure 5,S3b** and the data were summarised in Table S3 and S4. The devices based-on Z1013 shows a slight increase of the PCE, while that based-on *spiro*-OMeTAD and Z1012 decreased by 39.9 % and 21.5 %, respectively, of their initial values after 4 weeks storing at room temperature under ambient air condition. Previous report showed that when *spiro*-OMeTAD was doped with Li-TFSI and TBP, the pinholes form in the film during spin-coating,, which is considered to be the main reason for decomposition of CH₃NH₃PbI₃ layer in the mesoporous structured PSCs.⁴³ Moreover, Li-TFSI absorbs moisture in the air, which degrades the perovskite.⁴⁴ Also TBP can react with the perovskite by forming a [PbI₂·tBP] coordinated complex. Alternatively iodine may react with the tBP to form an iodopyridinate complex. ^{45,46} Thus, the decrease in device performance of the doped *spiro*-OMeTAD is mainly due to the presence of additives. Furthermore, the hydrophobicity of Z1012 and Z1013 layer can protect the perovskite film damaged by the humidity present in atmosphere.

Aging the PSCs at 80 °C for 3 weeks confirmed that the Z1012 and Z1013 based devices present a better stability than that based on *spiro*-OMeTAD (**Figure S3b**). The absence of deliquescent doping additives mainly contribute to this improvement.^{47,48} The higher Tg of Z1012 and Z1013 than *spiro*-OMeTAD can also contribute to the better stability of the Z1012 and Z1013 base devices.⁴⁹

Conclusions

In conclusion, We synthesized two star-shaped triphenylamine (TPA) based small-molecule hole-transporting materials Z1012 and Z1013 . These two materials presents suitable energy levels, good thermal stability and high hole mobility, indicating that they can be excellent HTMs for CH₃NH₃PbI₃-based perovskite solar cells. In the presence of Z1013 as HTM without dopants, a PCE of 15.4 % was achieved, which is comparable to that of devices based on the well-known doped HTM *spiro*-OMeTAD (16.7 %). Importantly, these two HTMs based devices presented better stability when aging in ambient air both at room temperature and 80 °C in the dark,

Acknowledgements:

We thanks Jingshan Luo (LPI,EPFL) for SEM test. FZ thanks the China Scholarship Council for funding. This work was supported by the Key Projects in Natural Science Foundation of Tianjin (16JCZDJC37100). MG thanks the King Abdulaziz City for Science and Technology (KACST) for financial support. Financial support from the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF), the NRP 70 "Energy Turnaround", CCEM-CH in the 9th call proposal 906: CONNECT PV as well as from SNF-NanoTera and Swiss Federal Office of Energy (SYNERGY) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1]J. Burschka, N. Pellet, S. Moon, R. Humphry, P. Gao,M. K. Nazeeruddin ,M. Gratzel, *Nature*, 2013, 499, 316–319.
- [2]F. Zhang, S.R. Wang, X.G. Li ,Y. Xiao, *Curr. Nanosci.* 2016, 12,137-156.
- [3]W. S. Yang, J. H. Noh, N. J. Jeon, Y. C. Kim, S. Ryu, J. Seo, S. I. Seok, *Science*, 2015, 348, 1234-1237.
- [4]H.P.Zhou, Q.Chen, G.Li, S.Luo, T.B.Song, H.S.Duan, Z.Hong,J.B. You, Y.S. Liu, Y.Yang, *Science* 2014, 345, 542-546.
- [5]A.Kojima,K.Teshim,Y.Shirai,T.Miyasaka,J.Am.Chem.Soc. 2009, 131, 6050- 6051.
- [6]D.Q.Bi,W.Tress,M.I.Dar,P.Gao,J.S.Luo,C.Renevier,K.Schenk,A.Abate,F.Giordano,J.C.Baena,J.Decoppet,S.M.Zakeeruddin,M.K.Nazeeruddin, M.Grätzel ,A.Hagfeldt, *Sci. Adv.* 2016,2,e1501170.
- [7]M.Saliba, T.Matsui, J-Y.Seo, K .Domanski, J-P. Correa-Baena, M.K.Nazeeruddin, S.M.Zakeeruddin, W .Tress, A.Abate, A.Hagfeldt ,M. Grätzel, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2016, 2016,9, 1989-1997 .
- [8]K. Rakstys, A. Abate, M. I. Dar, P. Gao, V.Jankauskas,G. Jacopin, E. Kamarauskas, S.Kazim,S. Ahmad,M.Gratzel ,M.K. Nazeeruddin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, 137, 16172–16178.
- [9]Z.Yu,L.C. Sun, *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2015,5, 1500213.
- [10] J.J.Guo, X.F.Meng, J. Niu, Y. Yin, M.M. Han, X.H. Ma, G.S.Song, F.Zhang, *Synthetic Metals*,2016, 220,462-468.
- [11]M.Franckevicius,A.Mishra,F.Kreuzer,J.S.Luo,S.M.Zakeeruddin ,M.Gratzel, *Mater. Horiz.*, 2015,2, 613-618.
- [12]S.Kazim, F. J. Ramos, P.Gao, M.K.Nazeeruddin,M.Gratzel ,S.Ahmad, *Energy Environ. Sci.*,2015, 8, 2946-2953.
- [13]P. Qin , S. Paek , M. I. Dar , N. Pellet , J. Ko , M. Grätzel ,M. K. Nazeeruddin , *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014, 136, 8516-8519.
- [14]F.Zhang, C.Y.Yi, P.Wei, X.D. Bi, J.S. Luo,G.Jacopin, S.R. Wang, X.G. Li , Y.Xiao , S. M. Zakeeruddin,M. Grätzel , *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2016, 6,1600401.
- [15]X.C.Liu, L.F.Zhu, F.Zhang, J. You, Y.Xiao, D.M. Li,S.R. Wang,Q.B. Meng, X.G. Li. *Energy Technol.* 2016, DOI: 10.1002/ente.201600303.
- [16]X.Y. Liu ,F.Zhang,X.C.Liu,M.N.Sun,S.R. Wang,D. M.Li,Q.B. Meng ,X.G. Li , *J.Energy.Chem*,2016, 25,702-708.
- [17]S.T. Lv , L.Y. Han , J.Y. Xiao , L.F. Zhu , J.J. Shi , H.Y. Wei , Y.Z. Xu , J. Dong , X. Xu , D. M.Li ,S.R. Wang , Y.H. Luo,Q.B. Meng ,X.G. Li , *Chem. Commun.* 2014, 50, 6931-6934.
- [18]L.F.Zhu, J.Y.Xiao, J.J.Shi, J.J.Wang, S.T. Lv, Y.Z.Xu, Y.H.g Luo, Y. Xiao, S.R.Wang, Q.B.Meng, X.G. Li,D.M.Li,*Nano Res.*, 2015, 8, 1116-1127.
- [19]Y.S.Liu,Q.Chen,H.S.Duan,H.PZhou,Y.Yang,H.J.Chen,S.Luo,T.B.Song,L.Dou, Z.R. Hong ,Y.Yang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, 3, 11940–11947.
- [20]Y.S. Liu , Z.R.Hong , Q.Chen , H.J.Chen , W.H.Chang,Y.Yang , T.B.Song ,Y.Yang, *Adv. Mater.* 2016,28,440-446.

- [21]G.F. Gong, N. Zhao, D.B. Ni, J.Y.Chen, Y.Shen, M.K. Wang ,G.L.Tu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, 4, 3661-3666.
- [22]Y.K.Wang,Z.C.Yuan,G.Z.Shi,Y.X.Li,Q.Li,F.Hui,B.Q.Sun,Z.Q.Jiang,L.S.Liao, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2016, 26, 1375–1381.
- [23]C.Y.Huang, W.F.Fu, C.Z. Li, Z.Q. Zhang, W.M.Qiu, M.M. Shi, P. Heremans, A.K.-Y. Jen, , H.Z. Chen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, 138, 2528–2531.
- [24]A. L. Kanibolotsky , I. F. Perepichka,P. J. Skabara , *Chem. Soc. Rev.*2010, 39, 2695-2728.
- [25]W. Y. Lai , R. Xia , Q. Y. He , P. A. Levermore , W. Huang ,D. D. C. Bradley , *Adv. Mater.* 2009, 21, 355-360 .
- [26]W. W. H. Wong , T. B. Singh , D. Vak , W. Pisula , C. Yan , X. Feng , E. L. Williams , K. L. Chan , Q. Mao , D. J. Jones , C.-Q. Ma , K. Müllen , P. Bäuerle ,A. B. Holmes , *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2010, 20, 927-938 .
- [27]L. Chen , P. Li , Y. Cheng , Z. Xie , L. Wang , X. Jing ,F. Wang , *Adv. Mater.* 2011, 23, 2986-2990 .
- [28]K. Do, H. Choi, K. Lim, H. Jo, J. W. Cho, M. K. Nazeeruddin, J. Ko, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, 50, 10971–10974.
- [29]Lim K, Kang M S, Myung Y, et al. *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, 4, 1186–1190.
- [30]H. Choi, H.M. Ko ,J. Ko,*Dyes and Pigments*,2016, 126, 179–185
- [31]H.Choi, S.Park, S. Paek, P. Ekanayake,M.K. Nazeeruddin ,J. Ko,*J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, 2,19136-19140,
- [32]M.S.Kang,S.D.Sung,I.T.Choi,H.Kim,M.Hong,J.Kim,W.I.Lee ,H.K. Kim, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*,2015, 7, 22213–22217
- [33]F. J.Ramos, K. Rakstys, S. Kazim, M.Gratzel, M.K. Nazeeruddinc , S. Ahmad, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 53426-53432.
- [34]H.Choi, J.W. Cho, M-S Kang ,J. Ko, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015,51, 9305-9308.
- [35]P.Wei, X.D. Bi,Z.Wu ,Z.Xu,*Org,Let*,2005,7, 3199-3202.
- [36]J. S.Yang, S. Y.Chiou, K. L.Liau, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*2002, 124, 2518-2527.
- [37]J.M.Lupton, I. D.Sameul, P. L.Burn, S. Mukamel, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2002, 106,7647-7653.
- [38]A. Krishna, D. Sabba, H. Li, J. Yin, P. P. Boix, C. Soci, S. G. Mhaisalkar ,A. C. Grimsdale, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, 5, 2702-2709.
- [39]T. Krishnamoorthy, F. Kunwu, P. P. Boix, H. Li, T. M. Koh,W. L. Leong, S. Powar, A. Grimsdale, M. Gratzel, N. Mathews,S. G. Mhaisalkar, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, 2, 6305-6309.
- [40]D. Poplavskyy ,J. Nelson, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2002, 93, 341-346.
- [41]B.Xu,E. Sheibani,P.Liu,J.B. Zhang,H.N. Tian,N.Vlachopoulos,G. Boschloo,L. Kloo,A. Hagfeldt ,L.C. Sun, *Adv Mater*, 2014, 26, 6629-6634.
- [42]H.S. Kim ,N.G. Park, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2014, 5, 2927–2934.
- [43]N. H. Tiep, Z. Ku , H. J. Fan, *Adv. Energy Mater*, 2016, 6, 1501420.
- [44]T. A. Berhe, W.N. Su, C.H. Chen, C.J. Pan, J.H. Cheng, H.M.Chen, M.C. Tsai, L.Y. Chen, A. A. Dubale , B.J. Hwang,*Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2016, 9, 323-356.

- [45]W. Li, H. Dong, L. Wang, N. Li, X. Guo, J. Li ,Y. Qiu, *J.Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, 2, 13587-13592.
- [46]H. Greijer, J. Lindgren ,A. Hagfeldt, *J. Phys. Chem. B.*, 2001,105, 6314-6320.
- [47]F.G.Zhang, X.C.Yang, Ming .Cheng,W.H. Wang ,L.C. Sun, *Nano Energy*, 2016, 20, 108–116.
- [48]J.Liu, Y.Z. Wu, C.J. Qin, X.DYang, T.Yasuda,A.Islam,K.Zhang, W.Q. Peng, W.Chen ,L.Y.Han, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, 7, 2963–2967.
- [49]T.Malinauskas,D. Tomkute-Luksiene, R. Sens,M. Daskeviciene, R. Send, H. Wonneberger, V. Jankauskas,I. Bruder , V. Getautis, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015,7, 11107–11116.

Figure. 1 (a) Molecular structures of Z1012 and Z1013; (b) Synthetic route for Z1012 and Z1013.

Figure 2 (a) UV-Vis absorption and photoluminescence spectra of Z1012 and Z1013 in THF solution($c = 1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$);(b) Energy level diagram of the corresponding materials used in perovskite solar cells.

Figure 3. (a) Diagram of photovoltaic device structure;(b) Cross-sectional SEM image of the device based on Z1013 (at the concentration of 25 mg/mL).

Figure 4 (a) Current-voltage hysteresis curves of perovskite solar cells comprising champion devices with dopant-free Z1012, Z1013 and doped *spiro*-OMeTAD measured starting with backward scan and continuing with forward scan;(b) IPCE spectra of the devices based on Z1012, Z1013 without dopants and *spiro*-OMeTAD with additives and dopants.

Figure 5 Initial stability test of perovskite solar cells devices with dopant-free Z1012, Z1013 and doped *spiro*-OMeTAD at room temperature stored for 4 weeks.

Figure. 1 (a) Molecular structures of Z1012 and Z1013; (b) Synthetic route for Z1012 and Z1013.

Figure 2 (a) UV-Vis absorption and photoluminescence spectra of Z1012 and Z1013 in THF solution ($c = 1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$); (b) Energy level diagram of the corresponding materials used in perovskite solar cells.

Figure 3. (a) Diagram of photovoltaic device structure;(b) Cross-sectional SEM image of the device based on Z1013 (at the concentration of 25 mg/mL).

Figure 4 (a) Current-voltage hysteresis curves of perovskite solar cells comprising champion devices with dopant-free Z1012, Z1013 and doped *spiro*-OMeTAD measured starting with backward scan and continuing with forward scan;(b) IPCE spectra of the devices based on Z1012, Z1013 without dopants and *spiro*-OMeTAD with additives and dopants.

Figure 5 Initial stability test of perovskite solar cells devices with dopant-free Z1012, Z1013 and doped *spiro*-OMeTAD at room temperature stored for 4 weeks.

Table 1 Photophysical, electrochemical data and thermal characteristics of Z1012 and Z1013

	abs (nm)	E_g (eV)	HOMO(eV)	LUMO(eV)	T_g (°C)
Z1012	393	2.70 ^a	-5.34 ^a	-2.64 ^a	154.2
		2.96 ^b	-4.58 ^b	-1.62 ^b	
Z1013	408	2.60 ^a	-5.14 ^a	-2.54 ^a	171.8
		2.87 ^b	-4.47 ^b	-1.60 ^b	

^a):experimental values(HOMO levels are measured by cyclic voltammetry); ^b):theoretical calculation values; $E_{LUMO} = E_{HOMO} + E_g$.

Table 2 Hole mobilities and reorganization energies of Z1012, Z1013 and *spiro*-OMeTAD

HTM	Hole mobility($\text{cm}^2 \text{V}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$) ^[a]	λ_1 (eV) ^[b]	λ_2 (eV) ^[c]	λ (eV) ^[d]
Z1012	9.74×10^{-5}	0.067	0.075	0.142
Z1013	6.67×10^{-4}	0.036	0.032	0.068
<i>spiro</i> -OMeTAD	2×10^{-4}	0.067	0.071	0.138

^a)Measured by Time-of-flight (TOF) method, details in ESI; ^b) $\lambda_1 = (E^+_{\text{relax}}) - (E^+)$: Relaxation energy computed from the cation potential energy surface. E^+_{relax} is the energy of the monomer cation at the neutral geometry, and E^+ is the energy of the neutral monomer at the cation geometry; ^c) $\lambda_2 = (E^*) - E$: Relaxation energy computed from the neutral potential energy surface. E^+ and E are the optimized energies of the cationic and neutral forms of a single monomer; ^d) λ (reorganization energy) = $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$.

Table 3 *J-V* curves of Z1012, Z1013 and *spiro*-OMeTAD based device under different scan directions with bias step of 5 mV

HTM	J_{sc} (mA cm^{-2})	V_{oc} (V)	FF	PCE(%)	Hysteresis index
<i>spiro</i> -OMeTAD (backward)	21.92	1.042	0.728	16.7	0.035
<i>spiro</i> -OMeTAD (forward)	22.05	1.035	0.701	16.1	
Z1012 (backward)	19.14	1.052	0.599	12.4	0.038
Z1012 (forward)	19.08	1.041	0.588	12.1	
Z1013 (backward)	21.33	1.027	0.702	15.4	0.034
Z1013 (forward)	21.45	1.022	0.671	14.7	