Contrast Effect on Hydrolysis of Poly(trimethylene carbonate) Depending on Accelerated Species Due To the Hydrophilic Oligo(ethylene glycol) Units at Side Groups

Yoshiaki Haramiishi,¹ Nalinthip Chanthaset,¹ Kai Kan,^{1,2} Mitsuru Akashi,³
Hiroharu Ajiro^{1,2,4,*}

¹ Graduate School of Materials Science, Nara Institute of Science and Technology, 8916-5 Takayama-cho, Ikoma, Nara, 630-0192, Japan.

² Institute for Research Initiatives, Nara Institute of Science and Technology, 8916-5, Takayama-cho, Ikoma, Nara, 630-0192, Japan.

³ Graduate School of Frontier Biosciences, Osaka University, 1-3 Yamada-oka, Suita, 565-0871, Japan.

⁴ JST PRESTO, 4-1-8 Honcho, Kawaguchi, Saitama, 332-0012, Japan

KEYWORDS. Trimethylene carbonate, Degradation, Oligo ethylene glycol

Abstract

Poly(trimethylene carbonate) (PTMC) is a biodegradable polymer which doesn't generate any acidic organic compounds after hydrolysis, leading to good biocompatible properties and biomedical application. Poly(TMCM-MOE3OM) and poly(TMCE-MOE4OM), bearing oligo ethylene glycol (OEG) at the side chain of PTMC, were selected to investigate in vitro accelerating hydrolysis behaviors at pH = 7.4 in a phosphate-buffered solution (PBS) through the use of Lipase and NaOHaq. Their degradation behaviors were in contrast to that of PTMC, resulting in the fast degradation in NaOHaq. and the slow degradation by Lipase. The contrast in results of degradations are due to the side chain of hydrophilic OEG which should interact with degradation species.

1. Introduction

Trimethylene carbonate (TMC) is a cyclic carbonate composed of a six-membered ring, and poly(trimethylene carbonate) (PTMC)^[1-3] is obtained by ring-opening polymerization. PTMC has good biocompatibility and novel PTMC derivatives^[4] or applications of PTMC have been reported using the organic catalyst system.^[5] In particular, the thermosensitive copolymers with poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG)^[6-9] and PTMC derivatives modified by carboxylic acid ester^[10] and amide^[11] were reported as biocompatible applications. For example, the stimuli-responsive gel,^[12] the surface control,^[13] magnetic polymersome,^[14] enzymatically degradable microspheres,^[15] and detachable moiety introduction by sulfide^[16] have been reported. However, the strategy of copolymerization or chemical modification of the polymer side chain have a lack of physical uniformity, although a lot of researches create various functional PTMC derivatives.

We previously reported the synthesis and property of poly(TMCM-MOE3OM) introducing oligo ethylene glycol (OEG) which have thermosensitive property to the side chain.^[17-19] It is well known that OEG shows thermosensitive behavior in aqueous media^[20-21] so we introduced into the side chain of PTMC. The homopolymer showed a sharp phase transition at 33°C around body temperature. From this result, we think that the polymer can be applied to implant medical materials bearing contractile function due to its thermosensitive property.

On the other hand, TMC is known as a biodegradable polymer,^[22] and there are many reports regarding the related degradation behaviors, such as surface degradation of poly(D,L-lactide-*co*-1-methyl-1,3-trimethylene carbonate),^[23] copolymers of trimethylene carbonate with ϵ -caprolactone,^[24] copolymers of L-lactide with cyclic carbonate,^[25] and PTMC decomposition is reported to be accelerated by using lipase, while it is stable under the acid and base conditions.^[26]

It is well known that the degradation of PTMC is accelerated by lipase,^[18,26] in spite of the low effect of acceleration under acid and base conditions.^[6] It is also known that degradation of PLLA film is accelerated by proteinase K.^[27] The degradation behaviors of the copolymer of poly[(L-lactide)-*co*-trimethylene carbonate] (Poly(TMC-*block*-LLA)) have been reported and mentioned for their possible application as a stent coating material for long-term drug release.^[28] Since TMC moiety of poly(TMC-*block*-LLA) delayed degradation rate, we previously employed a strategy where TMC moieties were segregated on the film surface, by introducing a hydrophilic methoxyethoxyl group into only TMC moieties.^[18,19] This resulted in the further delaying effect of hydrolysis, revealed by the degradation experiments with proteinase K or lipase. In our previous studies, however, the degradation behaviors were controlled by the components of TMC and LLA, and it was not actually clear that there was an effect on the hydrophilic side chain.

In this study, we investigated *in vitro* the degradation behavior of poly(TMCM-MOE3OM) and poly(TMCE-MOE4OM), using lipase and NaOH_{aq} at 37 °C at 7.4 pH in a phosphate-buffered solution (PBS). The cloud points of homopolymers, poly(TMCM-MOE3OM) and poly(TMCE-MOE4OM), are 33 °C and 37 °C at around body temperature, which makes them suitable for biomedical applications.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Polymerization.

Polymerization of TMC derivatives were achieved by the literature.^[5, 17] Typical polymerization procedure was described for TMCE-MOE4OM. In the three-necked flask, 0.5 g of TMCE-MOE4OM (2.45 mmol) was dissolved in about 5 mL of anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ with CaH₂ to stir for overnight. Using a cannula with glass filter to remove CaH₂, the monomer solution was transferred to the other flask with three way cock and the solvent CH₂Cl₂ was evaporated under

reduced pressure. Then, the required amount of anhydrous CH_2Cl_2 under nitrogen atmosphere was introduced. Into the monomer solution, 0.6 mL of benzyl alcohol (0.049 mmol) solution in CH_2Cl_2 as initiator and 0.6 mL of DBU (0.049 mmol) solution in CH_2Cl_2 as catalyst was added to start the polymerization at room temperature for 8 hours. The reaction was stop by adding small portion of acetic acid, then the reaction mixture was poured into a large amount of hexane/2-propanol (9/1, v/v). The product was recovered by decantation and centrifugation and dried under vacuum (73 % yield).

2.2. Degradation experiments.

10 mg of the polymer and 50 mg lipase were dissolved into 10 mL phosphate buffered saline (PBS), in 20 mL vial, and the cap was sealed by parafilm to set at 37 °C. Each 1 mL was taken for analysis after 1, 3, 6, 7 days, and 2, 4, and 9 weeks. Each aliquot was extracted by CH_2Cl_2 /water and organic layer was evaporated to recover the degraded compound mixture. The three samples for each condition were repeated and SEC and ^1H NMR were then measured ($n=3$). Similarly, 0.01 M NaOHaq. was employed for alkali condition. 10 mg of the polymer was dissolved in 10 mL of 0.01 M NaOHaq. in 20 mL vial, and the cap was sealed by parafilm to set at 37 °C. The same analyses were achieved for alkali condition as condition with lipase.

2.3. Measurements.

^1H NMR spectra were measured by a JEOL JNM-ECX400 system. Attenuated total reflection (ATR) IR spectra were obtained with IR Affinity-1S (SHIMADZU CORPORATION, Japan). The interferograms were co-added 64 times and Fourier-transformed at a resolution of 4 cm^{-1} . The number average molecular weights and their distribution were measured by gel permeation chromatography. ChromNAV system (JASCO Corporation, Japan) using AS-2055 and RI-2031 was employed with PMMA standards at 40 °C. Two commercial columns (TSKgel SuperH3000 and TSKgel GMHXL) were connected in series and tetrahydrofuran was used as an eluent. The mass spectra were measured on a JEOL AccuTOF, JSM-T100LC mass

spectrometer for novel monomers. MALDI-TOF/MS was measured with BRUKER auto flex II.

3. Results and Discussion

TMCM-MOE3OM and TMCE-MOE4OM for the degradation were synthesized and polymerized according to the literature.^[17] The M_n values of poly(TMCM-MOE3OM) and poly(TMCE-MOE4OM) were determined as 11,000 and 4,800 by SEC, respectively.

The degradation behaviors of poly(TMCM-MOE3OM) and poly(TMCE-MOE4OM) by lipase were analyzed by the changes of molecular weights with SEC (**Figure 1**). The initial values of M_n at 0 day (white circles) were different from those after starting degradation, because of the difference of sample preparation with and without extraction by CH₂Cl₂/water as described in experimental section. The slight increase of M_n over degradation was observed, probably due to the small M_n moiety degradation as same as PTMC degradation under various pH.^[26] However, there were almost no changes in their molecular weights in 9 weeks in both cases, although PTMC would degrade as reported.^[26] The present results indicate that the side substituents of alkyl and OEG units influenced the degradable behaviors. It is probably because the steric bulkiness of the substituents don't allow lipase a close enough position to the hydrolysis reaction along the polymer main chain.

To add to this, the hydrophilic properties of OEG made it difficult to obtain polymer-lipase interaction, which enabled the dissolving in water.

The cloud point of poly(TMCM-MOE3OM) is 33 °C, so there is complete liquid-liquid phase separation of the polymer and water,^[17] which is the similar degradation condition as solid-liquid phases of PTMC degradation in the literature.^[26] On the other hand, polymer chains partially exist in solution in the case of poly(TMCE-MOE4OM) because its cloud point is 37 °C. The difference of cloud points appeared in the degradation behaviors for molecular weights of poly(TMCM-MOE3OM) (**Figure 1a**) and poly(TMCE-MOE4OM) (**Figure 1b**) after 9 weeks.

Figure 1. The M_n changes of poly(TMCM-MOE3OM) (a) and poly(TMCE-MOE4OM) (b) under the condition of degradation by lipase at 37 °C.

On the other hand, it was surprising that degradation of poly(TMCM-MOE3OM) and poly(TMCE-MOE4OM) proceeded very quickly under alkaline conditions using NaOHaq, while PTMC did not degrade under the alkaline conditions.^[26] In short, the SEC analyses of poly(TMCM-MOE3OM) and poly(TMCE-MOE4OM) showed no polymers accompanied with the corresponding diol, respectively (**Figure S4**). These results are probably due to the attraction of hydrophilic OEG units along the polymer main chains to sodium and hydroxyl ion groups which hydrolyzes carbonate moieties easily. In short, the totally opposite effects were observed between lipase and alkaline conditions, regarding the hydrolysis acceleration of poly(TMCE-MOE3OM) and poly(TMCE-MOE4OM) compared with PTMC.

Next, we moved to analyze the degraded compounds of poly(TMCM-MOE3OM) and poly(TMCE-MOE4OM) after the hydrolysis. At first, the CI-MASS was measured for their crude mixtures which were degraded under alkaline conditions after 4 weeks (**Figure 2**). The value of 267 corresponded to 2-[2-{2-(2-Methoxyethoxy)ethoxy}ethoxymethyl]-2-

methylpropane-diol (Diol-OEG3), which was the precursor of TMCM-MOE3OM in the case of the degradation of poly(TMCM-MOE3OM) (**Figure 2a**). Similarly, the value of 325 corresponded to 2-(2-[2-{2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy}ethoxy]ethoxymethyl)-2-ethylpropane-diol (Diol-E-OEG4), which is the precursor of TMCE-MOE4OM in the case of degradation of poly(TMCE-MOE4OM) (**Figure 2b**). These results showed the hydrolysis reaction had proceeded clearly together with the release of carbon dioxide.

Figure 2. CI-MASS spectra of the degraded mixture of poly(TMCM-MOE3OM) (a) and poly(TMCE-MOE4OM) (b) under alkaline condition.

The crude mixtures of hydrolysis were also analyzed by ^1H NMR (**Figure 3**). When poly(TMCM-MOE3OM) (**Figure 3a**) was degraded under alkaline condition, the rapid disappearance was observed after 4 weeks (**Figure 3b**). These observations were in good agreement with the results of SEC (**Figure S4**) and MS (**Figure 2**). It is probably because the easy interaction between hydroxyl ions and sodium ions due to the OEG units accelerated the hydrolysis reaction. The degradation percentages were estimated by the ratio of the peak ranged

from 4.1-4.0 ppm and the peak ranged from 3.7-3.5 ppm, which corresponded to 4 protons of polymers and 4 protons of the degraded diol, together with both ethylene glycol protons. In the case of poly(TMCM-MOE3OM) degradation by lipase, it was confirmed that the degradation proceeded slowly after 4 weeks (**Figure 3c**) and 9 weeks (**Figure 3d**), with 57% and 88 % respectively. The decreasing peak intensities were unusual, even when the degradation rate was slow, because their molecular weights were maintained under lipase condition by the SEC study (**Figure 1**). Combined with the results of SEC study (**Figure 1**) and ^1H NMR analysis (**Figure 3**), it was assumed that the start of degradation would be slow, but the degradation speed itself could be fast once it would begin the degradation on the polymer main chain. On the other hand, their peaks of OEG units ranging from 3.3 to 3.7 ppm didn't change, suggesting that the degradation proceeded at the polymer main chain.

Figure 3. ^1H NMR spectra of the degraded mixtures. Poly(TMCM-MOE3OM) (a) was degraded under alkaline condition after 4 weeks (b), and with lipase after 4 weeks (c) and 9 weeks (d). Poly(TMCE-MOE4OM) (e) was degraded under alkaline condition after 4 weeks (f), and with lipase after 4 weeks (g) and 9 weeks (h).

The same result was observed in the case of poly(TMCE-MOE4OM) (**Figure 3e**), showing the rapid disappearance at methylene peak under alkaline condition after 4 weeks (**Figure 3f**). It was also confirmed for poly(TMCE-MOE4OM), resulting in the slow peak disappearance after 4 weeks (**Figure 3g**) and 9 weeks (**Figure 3h**), with 45% and 75%, respectively. The slightly smaller values of degradation ratios than those of poly(TMCM-MOE3OM) probably due to the cloud points of their polymers. The more hydrophobic properties of poly(TMCM-MOE3OM) than that of poly(TMCE-MOE4OM) resulted in the concentrated liquid-liquid phase separation, which enables easy to degrade their polymers.

Finally, we measured FT-IR spectra of the degraded compounds (**Figure 4**). There were no peaks around the carbonyl stretching region observed after degradation of poly(TMCM-MOE3OM) under alkaline condition (**Figure 4a**), while the carbonyl stretching peak was observed at 1748 cm^{-1} (**Figure 4b**). Similarly, no peaks were observed for poly(TMCE-MOE4OM) degraded under alkaline condition (**Figure 4c**), but the peak at 1748 cm^{-1} was

Figure 4. FT-IR spectra of the degraded mixture of poly(TMCM-MOE3OM) under alkaline (a) and lipase (b) conditions, and poly(TMCE-MOE4OM) under alkali (c) and lipase (d) conditions.

observed for that degraded with lipase (**Figure 4d**). In other words, their polymers under alkaline conditions were perfectly degraded, while polymers remained under lipase degradation condition.

To summarize the results, the schematic illustration was depicted (**Figure 5**). It is difficult to accelerate hydrolysis for hydroxyl-ion (**Figure 5a**), although it is easy to interact with lipase (**Figure 5b**). Introduction of the hydrophilic moieties in both of poly(TMCM-MOE3OM) and poly(TMCE-MOE4OM) made possible to hydrolyze carbonate moieties (**Figure 5c**), however, the hydrophilic properties left lipase away from polymer main chain.

Figure 5. Schematic illustration of possible decomposition mechanism related with hydrophilicity. (a) PTMC under alkali condition. (b) PTMC with lipase. (c) Poly(TMCM-MOE3OM) and poly(TMCE-MOE4OM) under alkali condition. (d) Poly(TMCM-MOE3OM) and poly(TMCE-MOE4OM) with lipase.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, the *in vitro* degradation behaviors by NaOHaq of poly(TMCM-MOE3OM) and poly(TMCE-MOE4OM) were seen to be faster than those by lipase solutions, in contrast with the degradation behavior of PTMC.^[26] Based on this result, the use of *in vivo* PTMC bearing OEG is considered valuable in the treatment of disease over the long term for slow degradation by lipase in the future. On the other hand, the rapid degradation behaviors of PTMC bearing

OEG by NaOHaq. can be expected to lead to the development of possible recycling materials, because PTMC bearing OEG possesses good environmental compatibility and degradation characteristics. Their polymers are expected to be useful as recyclable materials as well as implantable medical materials.

Acknowledgements

This work was partly supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) (23225004) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology. The present study is also partly supported by JST PRESTO “Molecular Technology” with Prof. T.K.

REFERENCES

- (1) S. Tempelaar, L. Mespouille, O. Coulembier, P. Dubois, A.P. Dove, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2013**, *42*, 1312.
- (2) H. Ajiro, Y. Haramiishi, N. Chanthaset, M. Akashi, *Polym. J.* **2016**, in press.
- (3) K. Fukushima, *Biomater. Sci.* **2016**, *4*, 9.
- (4) K. Mikami, A.T. Lonneck, T.P. Gustafson, N.F. Zinnel, P.-J. Pai, D.H. Russell, K.L. Wooley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 6826.
- (5) F. Nederberg, B.G.G. Lohmeijer, F. Leibfarth, R.C. Pratt, J. Choi, A.P. Dove, R.M. Waymouth, J.L. Hedrick, *Biomacromolecules* **2007**, *8*, 153.
- (6) M.L. Hellay, N. Fortin, J. Guilloteau, A. Soum, S. Lecommandoux, S.M. Guillaume, *Biomacromolecules* **2008**, *9*, 1924.
- (7) X. Ding, C. Yang, T.P. Lim, L.Y. Hsu, A.C. Engler, J.L. Hedrick, *Biomaterials*, **2012**, *33*, 6593.
- (8) T.F. Al-Azemi, K.S. Bisht, *Macromolecules*, **1999**, *32*, 6536.
- (9) A.L.Z. Lee, S. Venkataraman, S.B.M. Sirat, S. Gao, J.L. Hedrick, Y.Y. Yang, *Biomaterials*, **2012**, *33*, 1921.
- (10) Y. Qiao, C. Yang, D.J. Coady, Z.Y. Ong, J.L. Hedrick, Y.-Y. Yang, *Biomaterials* **2012**, *33*, 1146.
- (11) X. Zhang, M. Cai, Z. Zhong, R. Zhuo, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2012**, *33*, 693.
- (12) G.A. Barcan, X. Zhang, R.M. Waymouth, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 5650.
- (13) J. Watanabe, H. Kotera, M. Akashi, *Macromolecules* **2007**, *40*, 8731.
- (14) C. Sanson, O. Diou, J. Thevenot, E. Ibarboure, A. Soum, A. Brulet, S. Miraux, E. Thiaudiere, S. Tan, A. Brisson, V. Dupuis, O. Sandre, S. Lecommandoux, *ACS Nano* **2011**, *5*, 1122.

- (15) W.J.E.M. Habraken, Z. Zhang, J.G.C. Woilke, D.W. Grijpma, A.G. Mikos, J. Feijen, J.A. Janson, *Biomaterials* **2008**, 29, 2464.
- (16) A.C. Engler, J.M.W. Chan, K. Fukushima, D.J. Coady, Y.-Y. Yang, J.L. Hedrick, *ACS Macro Lett.* **2013**, 2, 332.
- (17) H. Ajiro, Y. Takahashi, M. Akashi, *Macromolecules* **2012**, 45, 2668.
- (18) H. Ajiro, Y. Takahashi, M. Akashi, T. Fujiwara, *Macromol. Biosci.* **2012**, 12, 1315.
- (19) H. Ajiro, Y. Takahashi, M. Akashi, T. Fujiwara, *Polymer*, **2014**, 55, 3591.
- (20) S. Han, M. Hagiwara, T. Ishizawa, *Macromolecules* **2003**, 36, 8312.
- (21) S. Aoshima, S. Kanaoka, *Adv. Polym. Sci.* **2008**, 210, 169.
- (22) K.J. Zhu, R.W. Hendren, K. Jensen, C.G. Pitt, *Macromolecules* **1991**, 24, 1736.
- (23) J. Cai, K.J. Zhu, S.L. Yang, *Polymer* **1998**, 39, 4409.
- (24) H. Yasuda, M.S. Aludin, N. Kitamura, M. Tanabe, H. Shirahama, *Macromolecules* **1999**, 32, 6047.
- (25) C. Tsutsumi, K. Nakagawa, H. Shirahama, H. Yasuda, *Macromol. Biosci.* **2002**, 2, 223.
- (26) Z. Zhang, R. Kujier, S.K. Bulstra, D.W. Grijpma, J. Feijen, *Biomaterials* **2006**, 27, 1741.
- (27) K. Yamashita, Y. Kikkawa, K. Kurokawa, Y. Doi, *Biomacromolecules*, **2005**, 6, 850.
- (28) Y. Han, Z. Fan, Z. Lu, Y. Zhang, S. Li, *Macromol. Mater. Eng.* **2012**, 297, 128.