A COMSOL-GEMS Interface for modeling coupled reactive-transport geochemical processes

Vahid Jafari Azad¹, Chang Li¹, Circe Verba², Jason H. Ideker¹, O. Burkan Isgor^{1*}

¹ School of Civil and Construction Engineering, Oregon State University, Corvallis, USA, 97331

² U.S. Department of Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory, Albany, USA, 97321

* Corresponding author: Email: burkan.isgor@oregonstate.edu; Tel: +1 541-737-8537; Fax: +1 541 737 3052.

Abstract: An interface was developed between COMSOL Multiphysics finite element analysis software and (geo)chemical modeling platform, GEMS, for the reactive-transport modeling of (geo)chemical processes in variably saturated porous media. The two standalone software packages are managed from the interface that uses a non-iterative operator splitting technique to couple the transport (COMSOL) and reaction (GEMS) processes. The interface allows modeling media with complex chemistry (e.g. cement) using GEMS thermodynamic database formats. Benchmark comparisons show that the developed interface can be used to predict a variety of reactive-transport processes accurately. The full functionality of the interface was demonstrated to model transport processes, governed by extended Nernst-Planck equation, in Class H Portland cement samples in high pressure and temperature autoclaves simulating systems that are used to store captured carbon dioxide (CO₂) in geological reservoirs.

Keywords: Reactive-transport modeling; (Geo)chemical modeling; Porous media; Finite element method; Multiphysics; GEMS.

1 Introduction

The modeling of coupled reactive-transport processes in porous media is important in several fields of geoscience, geoengineering, and materials science. These models are used to simulate and mitigate contaminate spills, design geothermal energy systems, model underground (bio)chemical processes, and predict service life of engineering materials (Bedient *et al.*, 1994; Glasser *et al.*, 2008; Voss, 1984). The sequestration of environmentally harmful gases in deep geological storage reservoirs has increased the importance of the application of these models to realistically predict the wellbore and cap-rock integrity in high temperature and pressure conditions. (Johnson *et al.*, 2004; Pruess *et al.*, 2001; Xu *et al.*, 2006)

The multi-phase and multi-component transport processes, coupled with complex chemical reactions, require integrated solution approaches that are computationally efficient and accurate. In general, there are two main approaches for such integrated models: (1) fully coupled models that incorporate chemical reactions within the solution algorithms of transport processes (Shen *et al.*, 2013; Steefel and Lasaga, 1994) and (2) models that uncouple the chemical reactions from the transport equations within small time intervals (Huet *et al.*, 2010; Kolditz *et al.*, 2012; Xu *et al.*, 2006). Both approaches can achieve accurate solutions with differences in computational expense. The latter method can be implemented using the operator splitting technique which simplifies the modeling of highly complex (geo)chemical systems and numerical scales. Operator splitting can also enhance the efficiency of the analyses and help prevent ill-conditioning problems (Nardi *et al.*, 2014; Xu *et al.*, 2006).

Operator splitting-based reactive-transport models typically rely on custom-designed reaction modules when simulating complex chemical behavior. These reaction modules are generally based on one of the two widely-used principles: the law of mass action (LMA) (Parkhurst and Appelo, 1999; Van der Lee, 1998; Westall *et al.*, 1976) and the Gibbs Energy Minimization (GEM) (Eriksson *et al.*, 1997; Kulik *et al.*, 2013). GEM methods can manage an arbitrary number of phases in a variety of solutions (e.g. aqueous and non-aqueous fluids, gases, or solid solutions) to realistically simulate multi-component multiphase (geo)chemical systems (Kosakowski and Watanabe, 2014). Recent developments in LMA techniques have enabled them to be used in the analysis of multiphase systems as well (Leal *et al.*, 2013). These approaches are able to model thermodynamic processes without assuming priori stable phases and are independent to mass balance constraints and defined redox states. (Kulik *et al.*, 2013). The transport modules are typically based on finite difference methods (Steefel, 2009; Xu *et al.*, 2006), finite volume methods (Shen *et al.*, 2013) or finite element methods (Kolditz *et al.*, 2012; Kulik *et al.*, 2013; Nardi *et al.*, 2014; Prevost, 1981; Wissmeier and Barry, 2009).

The coupling and parallelization of existing reaction and transport modeling codes for simulating multiphysics phenomena in porous media have received increased attention within the past decade (Kosakowski and Watanabe, 2014; Nardi *et al.*, 2014; Wissmeier and Barry, 2009). Recently, the general purpose finite element software, COMSOL Multiphysics (Comsol, 2012), was connected to the LMA-based (geo)chemical calculation software PhreeqC (Parkhurst and Appelo, 1999). For this purpose two interfaces were developed: (1) a Matlab-based interface for modeling vadose zone processes (Wissmeier and Barry, 2009) and (2) a custom-designed interface (referred as iCP) using a Java Native Access (JNA) (Nardi *et al.*, 2014). These

developed interfaces allow the use of generic features of the finite element method (provided by COMSOL Multiphysics software) and are efficient for large-scale three dimensional modeling.

In this paper, we present an interface that was developed to connect the GEM-based (geo)chemical modeling platform GEMS3K (Kulik *et al.*, 2013; Paul Scherrer Institute, 2013; Wagner *et al.*, 2012) to COMSOL API (Comsol, 2012) for the reactive-transport modeling of materials that are supported by the thermodynamic databases in GEMS. COMSOL (the transport module) is used to model multiphysics phenomena such as solute transport governed by Nernst-Planck equation for each aqueous chemical species (e.g. elemental or molecular ions, precipitated compounds), fluid flow, and heat transfer. (Geo)chemical calculations are performed by GEMS (the reaction module). These non-iterative operations are performed within a time-marching algorithm and using an operator splitting method. The developed interface is able to consider a wide range of materials, temperatures and pressures, and can use special thermodynamic databases that have been implemented in GEMS formats (Heide, 1986; Hummel *et al.*, 2002; Lothenbach *et al.*, 2008; Lothenbach and Winnefeld, 2006; Matschei *et al.*, 2007; Moschner *et al.*, 2008). As an example, an application of the developed interface is demonstrated for modeling cement-based materials using the CEMDATA database at high temperature (from 0°C to 100°C) and high pressure ranges.

2 Theory

2.1 Transport module

The transport module, COMSOL Multiphysics, uses the finite element method (FEM) to solve the coupled governing equations such as mass balance, fluid flow, and electro-neutrality. Critical components of some of these governing processes are briefly described in this section.

Mass balance

In this work, the mass balance equations are written for all chemical elements that exist in the analyzed system as:

$$\frac{\partial c_i}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial c_{s,i} + \varphi S_L c_{aq,i} + \varphi(1 - S_L) c_{G,i}}{\partial t} = - \sum_{n_{DC}} \nabla \cdot J_{flow} \quad (1)$$

where n_{DC} is the number of chemical species and c_i is the concentration of individual atomic species from different solid ($c_{s,i}$); aqueous ($c_{aq,i}$) and gaseous ($c_{G,i}$) phases (mol/m^3 of sample); S_L is the saturation degree of the sample, which is obtained through the solution of fluid flow equation; φ is the porosity which changes as a function of time and space based on the changes in the solid phases; and J_{flow} ($\text{mol}/(\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s})$) is the flux of chemical species that include element c_i . As an approximation in the current research, the gas flow has been neglected, and the gaseous concentrations are obtained through the reaction equilibriums at each step. However, the presence of the gaseous phases was considered in the transport modeling of the other elements in different phases.

The right hand side of Eq. 1 represents the summation of fluxes of all chemical species that include the specific chemical element with concentration c_i . The concentrations in different

phases can be automatically obtained from the mole amounts of all chemical species and stoichiometry data from the reaction module. Because the solid, gaseous and liquid parts are not generally consistent in terms of units, the desired unit changes from reaction to transport module are handled in the interface. It should be noted that the species transport are generally very sensitive to porosity values. Therefore, it is important to have an accurate estimation of this term. The porosity function in Eq. 1 is calculated at each node of the domain as:

$$\varphi - \varphi_0 = - \sum_j v_j \Delta n \quad (2)$$

where φ_0 is the initial porosity that is obtained from initial amounts of solid phases in the system; v_j (m^3/mol) is the molar volume for solid phase j ; and Δn is the molar changes of solid phase at each time step when the solid phase amounts and the porosity field are updated.

In Eq. 1, the J_{flow} term which includes diffusion, electrical migration, chemical activity and advection, is obtained from Nernst-Planck equation for each aqueous chemical species as per:

$$J_{\text{flow},i} = -D_i \nabla c_{\text{aq},i} - D_i c_{\text{aq},i} \frac{Fz_i}{RT} \nabla \phi - D_i c_{\text{aq},i} \nabla \ln(\gamma_i) + c_i v_L \quad (3)$$

where D_i is the effective diffusion coefficient of each chemical species in porous media (m^2/s), F is the Faraday's constant (96,485 sA/mol), z_i is the charge of the species, R is the universal gas constant (8.314 J/ $^\circ\text{K}\cdot\text{mol}$), T is the absolute temperature ($^\circ\text{K}$), ϕ is the electrical potential (V), γ_i is the chemical activity coefficient, and v_L is the liquid flow velocity (m/s). The reaction module, GEMS3K, is able to calculate the activities for high ionic strengths accurately from different activity models including Pitzer ion-interaction model (Pitzer, 1991) and Specific ion-interaction theory (SIT) (Ciavatta, 1980; Guggenheim and Turgeon, 1955).

To determine the effective diffusion coefficients of chemical species, the effects of porosity, tortuosity and temperature-dependent viscosity are considered. This coefficient can be approximated through empirical relations based on the diffusion coefficient in water (Mainguy *et al.*, 2000) that is corrected for the effects of tortuosity and the saturation (Bary and Sellier, 2004; Shen *et al.*, 2013) as follows:

$$D = 2.9 \times 10^{-4} e^{9.95\varphi} \frac{k_B \tau}{6 \pi \mu_L r} \times \frac{1}{1+625(1-S_L)^4} \quad (4)$$

where k_B is the Boltzmann content ($1.38 \times 10^{-23} \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg} / (\text{s}^2 \text{ K})$), μ_L is the temperature-dependent liquid water viscosity, r is the chemical species radius, and τ is the tortuosity (Bažant and Najjar, 1972).

Fluid Flow

The solute transport in porous media is highly sensitive to saturation. Richards's equation has been implemented in the transport module to account for the variability in saturation. Neglecting the mass transfer between fluid and solid phases, the fluid flow equations can be written as follows (Richards, 1931):

$$(\varphi - \varphi_r) \frac{\partial S_L}{\partial t} = \nabla v_L \quad (5)$$

where φ_r is the residual constant porosity; $(\varphi - \varphi_r)$ represents the available space for the transport of species; and v_L is the aqueous velocity that is obtained from Darcy's equation (Boettcher *et al.*, 2014):

$$v_L = \frac{k_{init}^L k_{rL}}{\mu_L} (\nabla P_L - \rho_L g \nabla h) \quad (6)$$

where k_{init}^L is the permeability of water, which is the characteristic parameter of the porous medium; P (Pa), ρ (kg/m^3) and g (m/s^2) are the phase pressure, phase density and the acceleration of gravity, respectively; μ_L is the temperature-based liquid dynamic viscosity (Pa-s), which is

determined from a dynamic viscosity at a reference temperature with empirical relations (Matthew, 1988). Moreover, the permeability factors are updated with porosity based on Kozeny-Carman equation (Vangenuchten, 1980):

$$k = k_0 \left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi_0} \right)^3 \left(\frac{1 - \varphi_0}{1 - \varphi} \right)^2 \quad (7)$$

where k and k_0 represent the current and initial permeability, respectively. In Eq. 6, k_{rL} is the relative permeability and approximated empirically through functions of saturation degree (Luckner *et al.*, 1989; Vangenuchten, 1980):

$$k_{rL} = \sqrt{S_L} \left(1 - (1 - S_L^{1/\beta})^\beta \right)^2 \quad (8)$$

where β is a material dependent coefficient that represents desorption or adsorption. For example, this parameter was obtained as 0.444 from a regression analysis for wellbore cement (Thiery, 2006). Finally, the flow pressure can also be simply approximated from the Van Genuchten model which is not detailed here for the sake of brevity (Van Genuchten, 1980).

Electro-neutrality

The principle of electro-neutrality forces the ionic species in the electrolyte solution to remain charge-balanced on a macroscopic scale. Because there is no external potential source, the charge balance equation should govern the system via:

$$\nabla i = \sum_j z_j \nabla \cdot J_{\text{flow},j} = 0 \quad (9)$$

where i is the ionic current (A). The electrical charges are obtained from stoichiometry data in reaction module (Shen *et al.*, 2013).

2.2 Reaction module

The chemical processes are handled through the reaction module, GEMS3K (Kulik *et al.*, 2013), which uses the GEM method (Kulik *et al.*, 2013; Wagner *et al.*, 2012) to solve for concentrations of chemical species, their activity coefficients, chemical potentials of chemical elements, and other thermodynamic quantities such as pH, fugacities and the redox state of the system (pe). GEMS3K can model heterogeneous aquatic (geo)chemical systems using numerous thermodynamic databases (Kulik, 2002; Kulik *et al.*, 2013). In addition to the built-in databases, such as the SUPCRT92 (Johnson *et al.*, 1992) and Nagra-PSI (Hummel *et al.*, 2002) databases, there is a possibility to add other additional databases in the software's format such as CEMDATA database for cementitious systems (Lothenbach and Winnefeld, 2006). GEMS3K is the standalone variant of the GEM method without graphical user interface. The shared libraries of GEMS3K were linked to the developed interface to enable calling GEMS methods directly from Java interface (Kulik *et al.*, 2004; Kulik *et al.*, 2013).

2.3 Kinetics of dissolution and precipitation

The kinetically-controlled minerals dissolve or precipitate because they are kept in disequilibrium with the rest of the equilibrated system. The existing empirical parameters for kinetic laws have many approximations and the dissolution rates are typically different from precipitation (Bethke, 1996). Because GEMS does not support kinetic calculations, dissolution and precipitation kinetics are handled through the interface itself and the dissolved (or precipitated) minerals are transferred to the reaction module. In the current version of developed interface, a kinetics module was designed to explicitly obtain the changes in solid phases. Because a non-iterative operator splitting method was used, the results are sensitive to time steps,

and generally, the analysis required small time marching steps to avoid instability issues. (Leal *et al.*, 2015). The general kinetics is briefly described here, but it is possible to define kinetics for other processes specific to cements (e.g. cement hydration), which is discussed further in numerical examples. A general form for multiple reaction mechanism, which is modified from Lasaga *et al.* (Lasaga, 1984; Palandri and Kharaka, 2004), is used in this work as:

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = -A \sum_i k_i (1 - \Omega^{p_i})^{q_i} \quad (10)$$

where dm/dt (kg/s) is rate of mineral dissolution; A (m^2) is surface area; k_i ($kg/m^2\cdot s$) is temperature-dependent rate constants following the Arrhenius relations; and Ω is mineral saturation index. Variability in values for constants, p_i and q_i , (assumed unity in this paper) is a source of uncertainty in the length of time to reach system equilibrium. The summation in Eq. 10 represents the inclusion of different mechanisms that may affect the rate law. In general, the most well-studied mechanisms are those in pure H_2O (neutral pH), and those catalyzed by H^+ (acid) and OH^- (base). For many minerals, the full equation includes a term for each of these three mechanism via:

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = -A [k_{acid}\gamma_{H^+}^{n_1}(1 - \Omega^{p_1})^{q_1} + k_{neutral}(1 - \Omega^{p_2})^{q_2} + k_{base}\gamma_{H^+}^{n_3}(1 - \Omega^{p_3})^{q_3}] \quad (11)$$

where k_{acid} , $k_{neutral}$ and k_{base} are the acid, neutral and base condition equilibrium constants and γ_{H^+} is the hydrogen ion activity coefficient. Additionally, the precipitation rate data do not exist for most minerals, because of complexities in experiments due to undesired metastable mineral precipitations especially far from equilibrium at high degrees of super-saturation (Lasaga, 1984; Palandri and Kharaka, 2004). For the sake of simplicity, the dissolution and precipitation laws are assumed to be identical for all minerals with if the precipitation parameters are not defined. The required parameters for Eq. 11 are taken from different references for the simplified rate equations (Lasaga, 1980, 1984; Steefel and Lasaga, 1994). After each step of transport modeling, performed in COMSOL, the

resulted amounts of solid phases, as well as other required inputs (e.g. temperature), are transferred to the kinetics module that was developed in the interface. The kinetics module updates the amounts of dissolved solids that are accessible to the reaction module, GEMS. It is also possible to include kinetics in the transport module as well; however, in the current development, the kinetics was implemented in the interface to make it independent from the transport module for kinetic formulations that are differently formulated from Eq. 10 (e.g. cement hydration).

The developed interface is intended to be used to model simultaneous hydration and deteriorative processes of cement-based materials. Therefore, a brief discussion on the kinetics of cement hydration is provided here. A typical hydration of cement phases produces portlandite (Ca(OH)_2), calcium silicate hydrates (C-S-H)¹, ettringite (AFt)² and monosulfate (AFm)³ phases. This process was simplified to take place via dissolution and precipitation processes (Lothenbach *et al.*, 2008; Lothenbach and Winnefeld, 2006; Parrot and Killoh, 1984). The dissolution rate of the four important cement phases (C_3S , C_2S , C_3A and C_4AF (see Table 1)) determines the amount of calcium, aluminum, iron, silicon and hydroxide released into the solution at each step of the analysis. This approach determines the rate of the precipitation of different cement hydrated phases. In this regard, quantitative X-ray measurements have been used to derive empirical relationships for rate of hydration for a single phase compound as the minimum rate among: (1) nucleation and growth ($R_{1,\text{phase}}$), (2) diffusion ($R_{2,\text{phase}}$), and (3) shell formation ($R_{3,\text{phase}}$). The details of each part are omitted in this paper for brevity and can be found in (Lothenbach *et al.*, 2008; Lothenbach and Winnefeld, 2006; Parrot and Killoh, 1984). The final rate of hydration degree is obtained based on the following relation:

¹ C-S-H: Stoichiometry varies; a typical composition is $0.8\text{-}1.5 \text{ CaO} \cdot \text{SiO}_2 \cdot 1.0\text{-}2.5 \text{ H}_2\text{O}$

² AFt: $3\text{CaO} \cdot \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 3\text{CaSO}_4 \cdot 32\text{H}_2\text{O}$

³ AFm: $3\text{CaO} \cdot \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot \text{CaSO}_4 \cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$

$$R_{\text{phase}} = \min\{R_{1,\text{phase}}, R_{2,\text{phase}}, R_{3,\text{phase}}\} f_{w/c} \beta_{RH} \frac{A}{A_0} \exp \left(\frac{E_i}{R} \left(\frac{1}{T_0} - \frac{1}{T} \right) \right) \quad (12)$$

where A (m^2/kg) is the actual and reference surface area, A is the reference surface area (m^2/kg), T is the absolute temperature ($^{\circ}\text{K}$), T_0 is the reference temperature (298.15°K), and E_i is the activation energy (J), β_{RH} is the empirical parameter for the relative humidity (assumed 1 in this paper), and $f_{w/c}$ is the parameter for effects of water to cement ratio on the hydration rate. Finally, the overall hydration degree can be found as a weighted average for all phases.

3 COMSOL-GEMS interface architecture

An interface is developed to connect the GEM (geo)chemical modeling platform GEMS3K (GEMS open source kernel in C++) (Kulik *et al.*, 2013; Paul Scherrer Institute, 2013; Wagner *et al.*, 2012) to COMSOL API (the COMSOL Multiphysics Java interface) (Comsol, 2012) for the reactive-transport modeling of materials that are supported by the thermodynamic databases in GEMS. The COMSOL-GEMS interface is developed in Java where all COMSOL algorithms and data structures can be defined and used through COMSOL API. All C++ methods of GEMS3K are introduced to the Java interface through Java Native Interface (JNI). The shared libraries are produced based on the wrappers written in C++. An operator-splitting technique is used in this research to connect two modules together to minimize numerical instability problems like ill-conditioning. Figure 1 illustrates the initial system setup algorithm in the developed interface. The interface initializes the GEMS3K model from the formatted GEMS input file and assigns the chemical speciation, temperature and pressure based on the defined conditions in the problem. The COMSOL Model object is also initiated in parallel, and the analysis domain and the partial differential equation (PDE) system are created within COMSOL directly through the developed interface. Due the large number of chemical components in complex (geo)chemical

systems, the interface gets the list of chemical elements and produces all transport equations automatically in a string format and passes them to COMSOL API. In addition, the general variables including the temperature based diffusion coefficients (see Eq. 4), electrical charges and temperature vectors are provided by the interface. Other chemical variables such as activities are obtained from the GEMS3K module in each step. The transport module, COMSOL, is responsible for all modeling steps, including geometry definition and automatic meshing of the domain.

Figure 1: Initialization of the system setup for modeling based on a defined problem definition in the interface.

Figure 2 illustrates schematically the operator splitting solution process within a time-marching algorithm. When all data structures are defined in COMSOL and GEMS3K, the chemical setup is given to GEMS3K to find the equilibrium state for the case. Next, the resulted data are added to the interface computations on dissolution kinetics. The dissolution mole amounts are treated as

source terms in transport equations in the transport module, COMSOL. However, for some cases where an incremental form is used for dissolution and precipitation kinetics (see example 3 for cement-based materials), it is preferred to handle the kinetics in the interface, as discussed earlier, and update the final values for the transport module, as initial conditions. Chemical speciation (including dissolved solid phases) is first transferred to GEMS and the equilibrated results are then given to COMSOL as initial conditions for the next step. This procedure always keeps the chemical species in equilibrium without the need for iterations.

After the initial conditions and equation parameters (e.g. temperature-based diffusion coefficients, permeability and dynamic viscosities) are updated in the interface, the transport equations are solved for the time step. For this, all data are transferred to the transport module and the fully coupled transport equations are solved: each step of transport modeling couples the transport and fluid flow equations; therefore, this step results in changes in the chemical element amounts, temperature and pressure vectors at each finite element node of the domain. Next, these data are transferred back to the interface. The process is repeated for the desired duration of the analysis.

Because a non-iterative operative-splitting method is used, the interface is used to manage GEMS3K for its convergence parameters when convergence issues arise during thermodynamic calculations. A GEMS Smart Initial Approximation (SIA) was setup in the interface that uses the previous equilibrated chemicals to obtain the current chemical speciation. However, in cases where convergence issues may arise (due to high dissolution rates, high pressure or high temperature gradients), the computation method switches to another GEMS algorithm,

288 Automatic Initial Approximation (AIA). Generally, SIA required about 10-20 iterations while
289 AIA might need more than 300 iterations to converge. Moreover, COMSOL uses the main
290 indicators for the oscillatory results (i.e., Peclet and Courant numbers) to check the effects of
291 high gradients where advection-based transport adds hyperbolic parts to partial differential
292 equations. The interface overcomes this problem using COMSOL's flux corrected transport
293 algorithms.

Figure 2: Time-marching algorithm managed by the developed COMSOL-GEMS interface.

4 Numerical examples

4.1 Benchmark example #1

The benchmark example presented here by Engesgaard and Kipp (1992) was used by a number of researchers for testing the reactive-transport modeling developments (Kulik *et al.*, 2013; Shao *et al.*, 2009). Figure 3 illustrates the domain geometry, where a continuous water flow with dissolved magnesium chloride (with a concentration of 1×10^{-3} M) is infiltrated into a one-dimensional 0.5 m column of calcite with a velocity of 9.375×10^{-6} m/s. The porosity is assumed 0.32 while the pore solution inside the column is also assumed as calcite saturated (with a concentration of 2×10^{-3} M). The dispersion length (α_L), is also considered as 0.0067 m.

For the current modeling, some features of the interface including the dissolution kinetics, parameter calculation (e.g. diffusion coefficients), fluid flow and porosity change are not applied. The results of the model are compared to the predictions of the existing coupled reactive-transport platform, OpenGeoSys-Gems interface (Kosakowski and Watanabe, 2014). While the main transport mechanism is advection, the diffusivity was also considered in this example. The 1-D domain was divided equally into 50 finite elements. The temporal discretization is managed automatically by the transport module (i.e. COMSOL) based on the convergence rates of each system. However, the output time intervals can be customized, and in the current example, the results are presented at every 100 seconds.

Figure 3: The 1D domain for the benchmark problem. Temperature and pressure are assumed as 25°C and 1 bar.

Figure 4 compares the concentration profiles after 21,000 seconds of simulation for chloride (Cl^-), magnesium ion (Mg^{2+}), calcium ion (Ca^{2+}), calcite, and dolomite predicted by the COMSOL-GEMS and OpenGeoSys-GEMS platforms. The dominant process in this problem is advection which causes the calcite temporary dissolution and dolomite precipitation in the column.

Figure 4: Benchmark comparisons with OpenGeoSys-Gems.

As shown in Fig. 4, the results from COMSOL-GEMS match to those of OpenGeoSys-GEMS predictions. These plots are also consistent with OpenGeoSys-PhreeqC and OpenGeoSys-ChemApp interfaces which use other methods for calculating equilibria (Kulik *et al.*, 2013; Shao *et al.*, 2009). For the clarity of Fig. 4, these results are not shown. Although the use of an open-source software as the transport module has its merits, there are also many advantages of using a generic finite element software (e.g. COMSOL) such as (1) the ease of including any additional coupled multiphysics phenomena to the problem (e.g. heat transfer); (2) the access to inherent mesh generation/refinement and nonlinear solution algorithms; and (3) the possibility to use the post-processing features.

4.2 Benchmark example #2

The goal of this example was the benchmarking of the developed COMSOL-GEMS interface with a chemically complex benchmark example that includes porosity change and complex chemical thermodynamic process. This example compares the results from the developed interface with a code benchmark by Marty *et al.* (Marty *et al.*, 2015a) for a nuclear waste reactive transport model. The example was recommended for benchmarking codes that model complex (geo)chemical systems. Marty *et al.* simulated the cement/clay interface with a complex chemistry using several codes; i.e., TOUGHREACT (Xu *et al.*, 2011; Xu *et al.*, 2006), PHREEQC (Parkhurst and Appelo, 1999), CRUNCH (Steefel, 2009; Steefel and Yabusaki, 1996), HYTEC (Van Der Lee *et al.*, 2002, 2003; Van der Lee and Lagneau, 2004), ORCHESTRA (Meeussen, 2003) and MIN3P-THCm (Mayer *et al.*, 2002)). The main purpose of their study was to increase the confidence of safety analysis in both waste management and CO₂ storage. The model included a concrete plug surrounded by clay stone with low

permeability (Callovian-Oxfordian claystone, COx) as shown in Fig. 5. The current example compares the element results of *CASE 3* of the benchmark with fast kinetics. For clarity of presentation, the results of the developed GEMS-COMSOL interface were compared the results of HYTEC simulations since simulation results from other codes (e.g. THOUGHREACT, CRUNCH, etc.) were similar to each other and those of HYTEC. Since our goal was to provide a benchmark comparison to demonstrate the GEMS-COMSOL interface, we used the assumptions stated in this specific benchmark example, which includes diffusion-driven transport with constant diffusion coefficients and without porosity coupling. Although our model is designed to solve more complex systems that are governed by the Nernst-Plank equation with variable transport properties and porosity coupling, it can also simulate Fickian processes by making the necessary assumptions on model parameters.

Figure 5: The modeled system for the benchmark example #2

Figure 5 shows the modeled geometry that included a 3-m radius concrete core surrounded by a 40-m radius of clay stone. The concrete was considered to be fully hydrated, and isothermal conditions ($T=25^{\circ}\text{C}$) were considered. The mineralogical and chemical characteristics of concrete and COx are described in detail by Marty et al (Marty *et al.*, 2015a). GEMS thermodynamic databases (CEMDATA and Nagra PS) were used as the base for the current model (Hummel *et al.*, 2002). The investigated phases in the benchmark example that were not included in the GEMS databases were added to GEMS input file; these phases included Chlorite (Cca-2), Illite (IMt-2), Microcline and Montmorillonite (HcCa). The effective diffusion coefficients were assumed constant as $2.6 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ for claystone and $9 \times 10^{-12} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ for concrete. Moreover, the porosities for claystone and concrete were taken as 18% and 13%, respectively. As shown on Fig. 5, the discretization was refined to 0.025 Lagrangian elements for 1.5 m across the cement/clay interface, to capture the large gradients. The kinetics of dissolution and precipitations were considered based on Marty et al. (Marty *et al.*, 2015b; Marty *et al.*, 2014) and are not mentioned here for the sake of brevity.

Comparisons between the developed interface and the benchmark results are provided in Fig. 6. In general, the mineralogical transformations resulted from the proposed interface demonstrated consistent results with those of the reference benchmark. Although they are not shown here for brevity, the analysis confirmed the predictions of the benchmark example that indicated the dissolution of the solid phases in concrete (C-S-H, portlandite, C_3FH_6 and monocarboaluminate ($\text{Ca}_4\text{Al}_2\text{CO}_9 \cdot 10.68\text{H}_2\text{O}$)) and clay (smectite, quartz and dolomite). Additionally, calcite, saponite and clinoptilolite (Ca) ($\text{Ca}_{0.55}(\text{Si}_{4.9}\text{Al}_{1.1})\text{O}_{12} \cdot 3.9\text{H}_2\text{O}$) were observed in clay. Finally, the amount of C-S-H (Tobermorite I and II) increased, and ettringite, saponite and ferrihydrate were

precipitated. Figure 6a and 6b provides the comparisons of four element concentrations (i.e. Fe, Ca, Al and K) along the central axis of the radial domain. The results for other elements are very close to those in the benchmark example; hence, they are not shown here for brevity. A similar trend for solid phases was also obtained with small discrepancies due to kinetics application in the code. Predictions for the pH were similar as shown in Fig. 6c. The differences from the proposed interface results and the benchmark example likely arise from (1) small differences in database, (2) difference in the kinetic implementations, and (3) differences in activity models. Additionally, the porosity was set to zero in the current development which lead to some differences in the negative porosity regions of the benchmark example (Fig. 6d).

(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

Figure 6: Comparison of the selected results from the proposed COMSOL-GEMS interface and results from the reactive transport modeling by HYTEC (Marty *et al.*, 2015a) after 10,000 years of interaction: (a) Al-K, (b) Fe-Ca, (c) pH and (d) porosity.

4.3 Reactive-transport modeling of CO₂ sequestration in wellbore cement

Storing captured carbon dioxide (CO₂) in geological reservoirs is a method to mitigate climate change. Studies show that the well cement in high pressure and temperature underground conditions is vulnerable to deterioration during carbon storage where resulting CO₂ leakage pathways could occur (Gasda *et al.*, 2004). Use of an accurate and efficient numerical model can

quantify the rate of degradation which could have implications in CO₂ sequestration process and its safety.

The developed COMSOL-GEMS interface was used to model such a scenario and to compare the results of an experimental study on Class H Portland cement samples in high pressure and temperature autoclaves simulating downhole conditions (Ideker *et al.*, 2014). Class H cement is typically used for constructing and/or filling oil wellbores (new or abandoned). The chemical analysis of the unhydrated cement, which was used in the experimental setup (Ideker *et al.*, 2014), is provided in Table 1. Cement prisms were prepared with a water-to-cement ratio (w/c) of 0.38 and cured for 28 days in a synthetic brine (Mt. Simon brine from the Illinois Basin) as shown in Table 2. The details of the experimental setup and procedures are presented by Ideker *et al.* (2014).

Table 1: Phase Analysis of Class H cement used as input in the model ^(*)

Phase	C ₃ S	C ₂ S	C ₃ A	C ₄ AF	Na ₂ O	K ₂ O	MgO	SO ₃	TiO ₂	BaO	SrO	P ₂ O ₅	Mn ₂ O ₃	LOI
Wt (%)	65.71	11.33	0.17	13.64	0.12	0.15	2.72	2.81	0.21	0.07	0.11	0.14	0.06	0.79

(*) The cement chemistry notation for the main phases tri-calcium silicate or alite (C₃S), di-calcium silicate or belite (C₂S), tri-calcium aluminate (C₃A) and (C₄AF), where C = CaO; S = Si₂O₃; A = Al₂O₃; F = Fe₂O₃). LOI is loss of ignition.

It was assumed that brine was fully saturated with CO₂, a state obtained through a trial and error method in the reaction module and changed with temperature and pressure. The gaseous phases in the brine were ignored for this example. The cement phase at the beginning of the hydration process was assumed to be fully saturated with water. The effects of both hydration (hardening of the initial cement powder with water) and carbonation (degradation of the calcium-bearing

phases in the cement by CO_2) were considered during the evolution of microstructure. To this end, the porosity was calculated through Eq. 2 which is based on solid dissolutions and precipitations. Based on the molar volumes of different cement phases and water-to-cement ratio, the initial porosity is obtained as 0.49. The dissolution kinetics included: (1) hydration (which were considered through specific empirical relationships that are discussed briefly in the following section) and (2) carbonation (which is a result of CO_2 dissolution in cement pore solution and reaction with calcium in the cement paste). The kinetics of hydration and carbonation were assumed to be independent from each other. The required dissolution and precipitation parameters for Eq. 11 were used based on Table 3.

Table 2: Chemical composition of synthetic Mt. Simon Brine which is used as the initial boundary condition in the model:

Phase	NaCl	CaCl ₂	MgCl ₂	Na ₂ SO ₄	NaHCO ₃
Weight (mol/m ³)	1015.74	16.981	60.00	20.00	10.00

Table 3: Kinetic constants for rate law at 25°C. The unfilled parameters in the table show that the dissolution is not sensitive to the process.

Mineral		k_i^{25C} mol/m ² -s	E_j kJ/mol	n	A m ² /g
portlandite	neutral acid	2.18x10 ⁻⁰⁸ 8.04 x10 ⁻⁰⁴	74.9	0.600	0.154
C-S-H (1.6)	neutral acid	1.60 x10 ⁻¹⁸ 5.94 x10 ⁻⁰⁸	-	0.275	2.000
katoite	neutral acid	1.60 x10 ⁻¹⁸ 5.94 x10 ⁻⁰⁸	-	0.275	0.057
hydrotalcite	neutral acid	1.60 x10 ⁻¹⁸ 5.94 x10 ⁻⁰⁸	-	0.275	0.100
ettringite	neutral acid	1.14 x10 ⁻¹² 8.04 x10 ⁻⁷	-	-	0.098
calcite	neutral	1.55 x10 ⁻⁰⁶	23.5	-	0.026
	acid	5.00 x10 ⁻⁰¹	14.4	1.000	
	base	6.55 x10 ⁻⁰³	56.1	1.982	
magnesite	neutral	4.47 x10 ⁻¹⁰	63.0	-	0.026
	acid	4.37 x10 ⁻⁰⁵	19.0	-	

A one-dimensional domain, as shown in Fig. 7, was used to simulate the reactive-transport processes within autoclaved prisms of the experimental part of this investigation with the following assumptions: (1) analysis domain represents a cross section away from the ends of the prism; (2) line of analysis is far from the edges of the prisms; and (3) line of analysis is normal to the sample surfaces. The total length of the domain was equal to half of the sample cross sectional length. The left and right nodes in the geometry show the sample center and exposed surface to the brine, respectively.

To capture the variabilities in the results with initially very low alteration depths, a trial and error approach was used for the element sizes for the domain. Typically 50-100 three-noded Lagrangian finite elements were used to discretize the domain.

Figure 7: Domain of the FEM model (nodes are for illustration purposes only)

The unhydrated cement and brine composition at 23°C and 0.1 MPa, as given in Table 2 and 3, were used as initial conditions for the domain. Variable boundary conditions were considered at the brine-cement interface depending on the brine composition, temperature and pressure. Moreover, the changes in brine composition during the analysis were modeled in GEMS3K at each time step. The boundary conditions for the example model include the following steps: (1) pure water exposure in the first 24 hours with 23°C and atmospheric pressure; and (2) Mt. Simon brine exposure in the next 27 days with increasing pressure and temperature; (3) CO₂ addition to the maximum solubility in the Mt. Simon brine achieving high pressure and high temperature conditions within six hours (i.e. 85 °C and 30 MPa); and (4) continued exposure to high pressure and high temperature conditions for 42 days.

Two analysis cases were considered: (a) high temperature (85°C) and atmospheric pressure (0.1 MPa) conditions and (b) high temperature (85°C) and high pressure (28.9 MPa) conditions. The first case was not studied experimentally, but it is included here to demonstrate the effect of pressure on the reactive-transport process. The second analysis case (high pressure and temperature scenario) is compared with available experimental data (Ideker *et al.*, 2014). In both cases the increase in temperature and pressure in the brine is assumed to be achieved within six

hours after the cement prisms were exposed to the simulated brine. Typically, the important parameters for degradation of cement include solid volumetric amounts, porosity, and pH profiles under scCO₂ conditions. The dissociation of CO₂ results in the dissolution of portlandite and its replacement with calcite, which reduces the porosity of the medium that causes reduction in CO₂ penetration rate. C-S-H reacts with free H⁺ resulting in amorphous silica and in-situ calcite precipitation. Increased concentrations of carbonic acid results in a decrease of pH (6-7), which causes calcite dissolution and, therefore porosity increases, leads to the increased penetration of dissolved CO₂ into the cement and increased alteration depth. The alteration depths in the results are measured from the portlandite dissolution zone to the fully altered region, where all solid phases are dissolved and just amorphous silica is left (brine exposed surface).

Figure 8 shows the hydrated solid amounts in cement in the beginning of CO₂ exposure at 85°C and 0.1 MPa pressure (shown as 0 days) and after 42 days of exposure in the same conditions. For consistency, all plots for solid mole amounts are shown scaled to initial phases according to Table 1. For all results, C-S-H shows the sum of a 4-pole model including jennite-D, jennite-H, tobermorite-D and tobermorite-H as obtained from the reaction module at each step of the analysis. Similarly, the monosulfate and ettringite are obtained as the sum of their different types (e.g. Fe-ettringite and Al-ettringite). Figure 6a shows small alteration depths (about 0.02x10⁻³ m) just before CO₂ exposure starts due to sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO₃) present in the Mt. Simon brine. After CO₂ exposure starts, the precipitation of calcite causes a slightly lower porosity near the surface because of a higher molar volume of calcite compared to portlandite. Furthermore, the calcium leaching rate is generally small because of low concentration gradients due to high

517 amounts of calcium in the synthesized brine. The thin calcite front moves into the sample as
518 shown in Fig 6b after 42 days.

(a)

(b)

Figure 8: Solid phases at different ages of CO₂ exposure ($T = 85^{\circ}\text{C}$, atmosphere pressure): (a) At the beginning of the exposure (0 days); (b) After 42 days of exposure. The approximate alteration depth is shown for each case. The domain sizes were assumed differently as 0.04 mm and 0.4 mm for the analyses in (a) and (b), respectively.

519

520 Figure 9 shows the hydrated solid amounts in cement at the beginning of (0 days) and after 42

521 days of exposure to CO₂-saturated Mt. Simon brine at 85°C and at 28.9 MPa pressure. The CO₂

522 solubility in high pressures is much higher leading to a higher concentration and pressure

523 gradient and consequently higher alteration depths. The alteration depths from this model were

524 compared to those observed from the scanning electron microscope (SEM) micrographs in the

525 parallel experiments.

(a)

(b)

Figure 9: Solid phases at different ages of CO₂ exposure (T = 85°C, P = 28.9 MPa): (a) At the beginning of the exposure (0 days); (b) After 14 days of exposure. The approximate alteration depth is shown for each case. The domain sizes were assumed differently as 0.08 mm and 1.5 mm for the analyses in (a) and (b), respectively.

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

A comparison between the experimental data and model show slightly greater alteration depths predicted by the COMSOL-GEMS interface model at 14 days (see Fig. 9b) than experimental results. On the other hand, the initial results from the model underestimate the experimental alteration depths. Although these small differences are within the acceptable range of modeling assumptions (e.g. porosity model and diffusion coefficients) and variability in the SEM micrographs, the difference at initial times is likely from the effects of supercritical CO₂ in high

534 pressures, which is ignored in the present model. In fact, the concentration gradient is initially
 535 high because of gaseous carbon dioxide in the brine, and it becomes smaller after alteration. The
 536 change in pH and porosity are indicative of the vulnerability of the cement in acidic media. The
 537 porosity and pH profiles in Fig. 10 shows a dense calcite front and low porosity where calcium
 538 has leached from the cement in contact with the bulk fluid. Adjacent to the calcite layer, the pH
 539 goes down to ~ 6.8 . A small peak in Fig. 10b demonstrate the zone where portlandite has started
 540 dissolution and calcite has not precipitated yet. The evolution of amorphous silica in Fig. 9 and
 541 change of porosity and pH in Fig. 10.

(a)

(b)

Figure 10: (a) pH and (b) porosity along cement domain at 42 days of CO₂ exposure (T = 85°C, P = 28.9 MPa). The average unaltered porosity value was obtained approximately as 0.45 after 42 days of exposure (see left axis).

5 Conclusions

A Java interface was developed for coupling COMSOL API and GEMS3K for the modeling of (geo)chemical coupled reactive-transport processes. The transport equations are fully coupled with potential and fluid flow equations in COMSOL API while they are weakly integrated with the reaction module, GEMS3K, using an operator-splitting technique. The use of a generic finite element software (i.e., COMSOL) allows the inclusion of additional coupled multiphysics

phenomena to the problem (e.g. multi-phase flow), and gives users access to inherent mesh generation/refinement, nonlinear solution algorithms and post-processing features. In addition to the advantages of GEM method for analyzing complex (geo)chemical systems, the developed interface can use special thermodynamic databases, developed for GEMS (e.g. CEMDATA) for a large temperature range (0-100 °C).

6 Acknowledgments

This work was completed as part of National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) research for the Department of Energy's Pacific Coast Carbon Storage Initiative. The study was supported by the DOE Office of Fossil Energy, under the Office of Oil and Natural Gas (Energy Policy Act of 2005, Section 999 Complementary Program Research).

Disclaimer: This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability of responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

7 References

- Bary, B., Sellier, A., 2004. Coupled moisture—carbon dioxide—calcium transfer model for carbonation of concrete. *Cement and Concrete Research* 34, 1859-1872.
- Bazant, Z., Najjar, L., 1972. Nonlinear water diffusion in nonsaturated concrete. *Matériaux et Construction* 5, 3-20.
- Bedient, P.B., Rifai, H.S., Newell, C.J., 1994. *Ground water contamination: transport and remediation*, 2nd Edition, Prentice Hall, New Jersey, USA.
- Bethke, C., 1996. *Geochemical reaction modeling: Concepts and applications*. Oxford University Press, UK.
- Boettcher, N., Wang, W., Kolditz, O., Goerke, U.-J., Zehner, B., 2014. *Parallel Numerical Modeling Of Two-Phase Flow Process In Porous Medium*, 11th international conference on Hydroinformatics, New York, USA.
- Ciavatta, L., 1980. The specific interaction theory in evaluating ionic equilibria. *Ann. Chim. (Rome)* 70: 551–562.
- Comsol, 2012. *Comsol Multiphysics, The Platform for Physics-Based Modeling and Simulation*, 4 ed. Comsol Inc.
- Engesgaard, P., Kipp, K.L., 1992. A geochemical transport model for redox - controlled movement of mineral fronts in groundwater flow systems: A case of nitrate removal by oxidation of pyrite. *Water Resources Research* 28, 2829-2843.

590 Eriksson, G., Hack, K., Petersen, S., 1997. ChemApp—A programmable thermodynamic
591 calculation interface. *Werkstoff Woche* 96, 47-51.

592 Gasda, S.E., Bachu, S., Celia, M.A., 2004. Spatial characterization of the location of potentially
593 leaky wells penetrating a deep saline aquifer in a mature sedimentary basin. *Environmental*
594 *Geology* 46, 707-720.

595 Glasser, F.P., Marchand, J., Samson, E., 2008. Durability of concrete—degradation phenomena
596 involving detrimental chemical reactions. *Cement and Concrete Research* 38, 226-246.

597 Guggenheim, E., Turgeon, J., 1955. Specific interaction of ions. *Transactions of the Faraday*
598 *Society* 51, 747-761.

599 Heide, K., 1986. VJ Babushkin, GM Matveyev, OP Mchedlov - Petrossyan. *Thermodynamics of*
600 *Silicates*. Springer - Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo 1985, 459 Selten, 93
601 Abbildungen, 135 Tabellen, 250,—DM ISBN 3 - 540 - 12750 - X. *Crystal Research and*
602 *Technology* 21, 8-8.

603 Huet, B.M., Prevost, J.H., Scherer, G.W., 2010. Quantitative reactive transport modeling of
604 Portland cement in CO₂-saturated water. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control* 4,
605 561-574.

606 Hummel, W., Berner, U., Curti, E., Pearson, F., Thoenen, T., 2002. Nagra/PSI chemical
607 thermodynamic data base 01/01. *Radiochimica Acta* 90, 805-813.

608 Ideker, J.H., Isgor, O.B., Li, C., Jafari, V., Verba, C., Rodriguez, D.E., 2014. Experimental and
609 Numerical Modeling Approach to Elucidating Damage Mechanisms in Cement-Well Casing-
610 Host Rock Settings for Underground Storage of CO₂, NETL-TRS-X-2014, NETL Technical

611 Report Series, U.S. Department of Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory, Albany,
612 OR, USA, 108 pp.

613 Johnson, J.W., Nitao, J.J., Knauss, K.G., 2004. Reactive transport modeling of CO₂ storage in
614 saline aquifers to elucidate fundamental processes, trapping mechanisms and sequestration
615 partitioning. *Geological storage of carbon dioxide* 233, 107-128.

616 Johnson, J.W., Oelkers, E.H., Helgeson, H.C., 1992. SUPCRT92: A software package for
617 calculating the standard molal thermodynamic properties of minerals, gases, aqueous species,
618 and reactions from 1 to 5000 bar and 0 to 1000 C. *Computers & Geosciences* 18, 899-947.

619 Kolditz, O., Bauer, S., Bilke, L., Bottcher, N., Delfs, J.O., Fischer, T., Gorke, U.J., Kalbacher,
620 T., Kosakowski, G., McDermott, C.I., Park, C.H., Radu, F., Rink, K., Shao, H., Shao, H.B., Sun,
621 F., Sun, Y.Y., Singh, A.K., Taron, J., Walther, M., Wang, W., Watanabe, N., Wu, Y., Xie, M.,
622 Xu, W., Zehner, B., 2012. OpenGeoSys: an open-source initiative for numerical simulation of
623 thermo-hydro-mechanical/chemical (THM/C) processes in porous media. *Environmental Earth*
624 *Sciences* 67, 589-599.

625 Kosakowski, G., Watanabe, N., 2014. OpenGeoSys-Gem: A numerical tool for calculating
626 geochemical and porosity changes in saturated and partially saturated media. *Physics and*
627 *Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C* 70, 138-149.

628 Kulik, D., Berner, U., Curti, E., 2004. Modelling chemical equilibrium partitioning with the
629 GEMS-PSI code. In: *PSI Scientific Report 2003 / Volume IV, Nuclear Energy and Safety* (edited
630 by B.Smith and B.Gschwend), Paul Scherrer Institute, Villigen, Switzerland, March 2004, p.109-
631 122.

632 Kulik, D.A., 2002. Gibbs energy minimization approach to modeling sorption equilibria at the
633 mineral-water interface: Thermodynamic relations for multi-site-surface complexation.
634 American Journal of Science 302, 227-279.

635 Kulik, D.A., Wagner, T., Dmytrieva, S.V., Kosakowski, G., Hingerl, F.F., Chudnenko, K.V.,
636 Berner, U.R., 2013. GEM-Selektor geochemical modeling package: revised algorithm and
637 GEMS3K numerical kernel for coupled simulation codes. Computational Geosciences 17, 1-24.

638 Lasaga, A.C., 1980. The Kinetic Treatment of Geochemical Cycles. Geochimica Et
639 Cosmochimica Acta 44, 815-828.

640 Lasaga, A.C., 1984. Chemical-Kinetics of Water-Rock Interactions. Journal of Geophysical
641 Research 89, 4009-4025.

642 Leal, A.M., Blunt, M.J., LaForce, T.C., 2013. A robust and efficient numerical method for
643 multiphase equilibrium calculations: Application to CO₂-brine-rock systems at high
644 temperatures, pressures and salinities. Advances in Water Resources 62, 409-430.

645 Leal, A.M., Blunt, M.J., LaForce, T.C., 2015. A chemical kinetics algorithm for geochemical
646 modelling. Applied Geochemistry 55, 46-61.

647 Lothenbach, B., Matschei, T., Moschner, G., Glasser, F.P., 2008. Thermodynamic modelling of
648 the effect of temperature on the hydration and porosity of Portland cement. Cement and Concrete
649 Research 38, 1-18.

650 Lothenbach, B., Winnefeld, F., 2006. Thermodynamic modelling of the hydration of Portland
651 cement. Cement and Concrete Research 36, 209-226.

652 Luckner, L., Van Genuchten, M.T., Nielsen, D., 1989. A consistent set of parametric models for
 653 the two - phase flow of immiscible fluids in the subsurface. *Water Resources Research* 25, 2187-
 654 2193.

655 Mainguy, M., Tognazzi, C., Torrenti, J.M., Adenot, F., 2000. Modelling of leaching in pure
 656 cement paste and mortar. *Cement and Concrete Research* 30, 83-90.

657 Marty, N.C., Bildstein, O., Blanc, P., Claret, F., Cochepin, B., Gaucher, E.C., Jacques, D.,
 658 Lartigue, J.-E., Liu, S., Mayer, K.U., 2015a. Benchmarks for multicomponent reactive transport
 659 across a cement/clay interface. *Computational Geosciences*, 1-19.

660 Marty, N.C., Claret, F., Lassin, A., Tremosa, J., Blanc, P., Madé, B., Giffaut, E., Cochepin, B.,
 661 Tournassat, C., 2015b. A database of dissolution and precipitation rates for clay-rocks minerals.
 662 *Applied Geochemistry* 55, 108-118.

663 Marty, N.C., Munier, I., Gaucher, E.C., Tournassat, C., Gaboreau, S., Vong, C.Q., Giffaut, E.,
 664 Cochepin, B., Claret, F., 2014. Simulation of Cement/clay interactions: feedback on the
 665 increasing complexity of modelling strategies. *Transport in porous media* 104, 385-405.

666 Matschei, T., Lothenbach, B., Glasser, F.P., 2007. Thermodynamic properties of Portland cement
 667 hydrates in the system CaO-Al₂O₃-SiO₂-CaSO₄-CaCO₃-H₂O. *Cement and Concrete Research*
 668 37, 1379-1410.

669 Matthew, J.A.D., 1988. *Crc Handbook of Chemistry and Physics* - Weast,Rc. Nature 331, 127-
 670 127.

671 Mayer, K.U., Frind, E.O., Blowes, D.W., 2002. Multicomponent reactive transport modeling in
672 variably saturated porous media using a generalized formulation for kinetically controlled
673 reactions. *Water Resources Research* 38, 13-11-13-21.

674 Meeussen, J.C., 2003. ORCHESTRA: An object-oriented framework for implementing chemical
675 equilibrium models. *Environmental Science & Technology* 37, 1175-1182.

676 Moschner, G., Lothenbach, B., Rose, J., Ulrich, A., Figi, R., Kretzschmar, R., 2008. Solubility of
677 Fe-ettringite ($\text{Ca}_6[\text{Fe}(\text{OH})(6)](2)(\text{SO}_4)(3).26\text{H}(2)\text{O}$). *Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta* 72, 1-
678 18.

679 Nardi, A., Idiart, A., Trinchero, P., de Vries, L.M., Molinero, J., 2014. Interface Comsol-
680 PHREEQC (iCP), an efficient numerical framework for the solution of coupled multiphysics and
681 geochemistry. *Computers & Geosciences* 69, 10-21.

682 Palandri, J.L., Kharaka, Y.K., 2004. A compilation of rate parameters of water-mineral
683 interaction kinetics for application to geochemical modeling. DTIC Document.

684 Parkhurst, D.L., Appelo, C., 1999. User's guide to PHREEQC (Version 2): A computer program
685 for speciation, batch-reaction, one-dimensional transport, and inverse geochemical calculations.

686 Parrot, L., Killoh, D., 1984. Prediction of cement hydration, *Proc. Br. Ceram. Soc.*, p. 41.

687 Paul Scherrer Institute, P., 2013. GEMS: Gibbs Energy Minimization Software for Geochemical
688 Modeling.

689 Pitzer, K.S., 1991. Activity coefficients in electrolyte solutions. CRC press.

690 Prevost, J.H., 1981. DYNA-FLOW: a nonlinear transient finite element analysis program.
691 Princeton University, Department of Civil Engineering, School of Engineering and Applied
692 Science.

693 Pruess, K., Xu, T., Apps, J., Garcia, J., 2001. Numerical modeling of aquifer disposal of CO₂,
694 SPE/EPA/DOE Exploration and Production Environmental Conference. Society of Petroleum
695 Engineers.

696 Richards, L.A., 1931. Capillary conduction of liquids through porous mediums. Journal of
697 Applied Physics 1, 318-333.

698 Shao, H., Dmytrieva, S.V., Kolditz, O., Kulik, D.A., Pfingsten, W., Kosakowski, G., 2009.
699 Modeling reactive transport in non-ideal aqueous–solid solution system. Applied Geochemistry
700 24, 1287-1300.

701 Shen, J.Y., Dangla, P., Thiery, M., 2013. Reactive transport modeling of CO₂ through
702 cementitious materials under CO₂ geological storage conditions. International Journal of
703 Greenhouse Gas Control 18, 75-87.

704 Steefel, C., 2009. CrunchFlow software for modeling multicomponent reactive flow and
705 transport. User's manual. Earth Sciences Division. Lawrence Berkeley, National Laboratory,
706 Berkeley, CA. October, 12-91.

707 Steefel, C., Yabusaki, S., 1996. OS3D/GIMRT, software for multicomponent-multidimensional
708 reactive transport, user manual and programmer's guide. PNL-11166. Pacific Northwest
709 National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

710 Steefel, C.I., Lasaga, A.C., 1994. A Coupled Model for Transport of Multiple Chemical-Species
 711 and Kinetic Precipitation Dissolution Reactions with Application to Reactive Flow in Single-
 712 Phase Hydrothermal Systems. *American Journal of Science* 294, 529-592.

713 Thiery, M., 2006. Modélisation de la carbonatation atmosphérique des matériaux
 714 cimentaires(prise en compte des effets cinétiques et des modifications microstructurales et
 715 hydriques). Etudes et recherches des Laboratoires des ponts et chaussées. Série Ouvrages d'art.

716 Van der Lee, J., 1998. Thermodynamic and mathematical concepts of CHESS. Technical Report
 717 LHM/RD/98/39, ENSMP, Paris, France, 103 pp.

718 Van Der Lee, J., De Windt, L., Lagneau, V., Goblet, P., 2002. Presentation and application of the
 719 reactive transport code HYTEC. *Developments in Water Science* 47, 599-606.

720 Van Der Lee, J., De Windt, L., Lagneau, V., Goblet, P., 2003. Module-oriented modeling of
 721 reactive transport with HYTEC. *Computers & Geosciences* 29, 265-275.

722 Van der Lee, J., Lagneau, V., 2004. Rigorous methods for reactive transport in unsaturated
 723 porous medium coupled with chemistry and variable porosity. *Developments in Water Science*
 724 55, 861-868.

725 Van Genuchten, M.T., 1980. A closed-form equation for predicting the hydraulic conductivity of
 726 unsaturated soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 44, 892-898.

727 Vangenuchten, M.T., 1980. A Closed-Form Equation for Predicting the Hydraulic Conductivity
 728 of Unsaturated Soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 44, 892-898.

729 Voss, C.I., 1984. A finite-element simulation model for saturated-unsaturated, fluid-density-
730 dependent ground-water flow with energy transport or chemically-reactive single-species solute
731 transport. US Geological Survey.

732 Wagner, T., Kulik, D.A., Hingerl, F.F., Dmytrieva, S.V., 2012. GEM-SELEKTOR geochemical
733 modeling package: TSolMod library and data interface for multicomponent phase models.
734 Canadian Mineralogist 50, 1173-1195.

735 Westall, J.C., Zachary, J.L., Morel, F.M., 1976. MINEQL: A computer program for the
736 calculation of chemical equilibrium composition of aqueous systems. Water Quality Laboratory,
737 Ralph M. Parsons Laboratory for Water Resources and Environmental Engineering [sic],
738 Department of Civil Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

739 Wissmeier, L., Barry, D., 2009. Simulation Tool for Variably Saturated Flow with
740 Comprehensive Geochemical Reactions in 2D and 3D Coupling COMSOL Multiphysics® and
741 PHREEQC, Eos Trans. AGU, 90 (52), Fall Meet. Suppl., Abstract H11B-0793.

742 Xu, T., Spycher, N., Sonnenthal, E., Zhang, G., Zheng, L., Pruess, K., 2011. TOUGHREACT
743 Version 2.0: A simulator for subsurface reactive transport under non-isothermal multiphase flow
744 conditions. Computers & Geosciences 37, 763-774.

745 Xu, T.F., Sonnenthal, E., Spycher, N., Pruess, K., 2006. TOUGHREACT - A simulation
746 program for non-isothermal multiphase reactive geochemical transport in variably saturated
747 geologic media: Applications to geothermal injectivity and CO₂ geological sequestration.
748 Computers & Geosciences 32, 145-165.