Graphical Abstract

To create your abstract, type over the instructions in the template box below.
Fonts or abstract dimensions should not be changed or altered.

Concise, diastereoconvergent synthesis of endiandric-type tetracycles by iterative cross coupling

Leave this area blank for abstract info.

Eun Bin Go, Shannon P. Wetzler, Lee Joon Kim, Arthur Y. Chang, David A. Vosburg*

Department of Chemistry, Harvey Mudd College, 301 Platt Boulevard, Claremont, CA 91711-5901, USA

Concise, diastereoconvergent synthesis of endiandric-type tetracycles by iterative cross coupling

Eun Bin Go, Shannon P. Wetzler, Lee Joon Kim, Arthur Y. Chang, David A. Vosburg *

Department of Chemistry, Harvey Mudd College, 301 Platt Boulevard, Claremont, CA 91711-5901, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received
Received in revised form
Accepted
Available online

This manuscript is dedicated to Professor Neil Garg on the occasion of his receiving the Tetrahedron Young Investigator Award

Keywords:

MIDA boronates
Iterative cross-coupling
Polyene natural products
Endiandric acids
Synthesis

ABSTRACT

Both fused and bridged tetracyclic scaffolds characteristic of endiandric acid-type natural products have been prepared in just seven steps each (longest linear sequence) from Burke's commercial *cis*-2-bromovinylboronic acid MIDA ester. Three iterative Suzuki-Miyaura couplings using MIDA boronates, including the first such example of a *Z-Z* coupling, trigger an $8\pi/6\pi$ -electrocyclization cascade. The mixture of *endo* and *exo* bicycles thus formed are elaborated into tetracycles via Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons and Diels-Alder reactions. In the process, the *endo* and *exo* diastereomers interconvert to ultimately deliver the desired products.

©2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Endiandric acid derivatives are a family of natural products isolated from plants in the *Endiandra* and *Beilschmiedia* genera. Approximately half of these secondary metabolites exhibit antibiotic, anti-inflammatory, antimalarial, antitubercular, or cytotoxic activity.¹ The vast majority of endiandric acid derivatives possess characteristic fused (**1**,² Fig. 1) or bridged (**2** and **3**)³ tetracyclic cores, and most are optically inactive despite harboring multiple stereogenic centers. This observation led Black, Banfield, and coworkers to propose that endiandric acid tetracycles naturally arise from non-enzymatic cyclizations of achiral polyene precursors.⁴ Black and Banfield's pericyclic cascade hypothesis found support in Nicolaou's syntheses of endiandric acids A-G,⁵⁻⁸ which established (*E,Z,Z,E*)-tetraenes as viable biosynthetic precursors for this family of natural products. More recently, Sherburn and coworkers demonstrated that (*Z,Z,Z,Z*)-tetraenes can also undergo the pericyclic cascade to produce endiandric acids, though only at elevated temperatures.⁹⁻¹¹

The impressive biomimetic syntheses by Nicolaou and Sherburn relied upon *cis*-selective reductions of conjugated alkynes (diynes or tetraynes) to generate octatetraene intermediates and trigger $8\pi/6\pi$ electrocyclization cascades. We

sought to develop a concise and modular approach to endiandric acid derivatives with both fused and bridged tetracycles by exploring an alternate route to the $8\pi/6\pi$ electrocyclization cascade. We were particularly interested in prompting these electrocyclizations through a palladium-mediated *Z-Z* coupling of two (*Z,E*)-diene fragments^{12,13} rather than partial reduction of alkynes.

We anticipated that Burke's iterative cross-coupling (ICC) method would enable facile assembly of an (*E,Z,Z,E*)-tetraene from smaller alkenyl building blocks using Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reactions. Our overall retrosynthetic approach to exemplary fused (**4**) and bridged (**5**) tetracycles is shown in Scheme 1. We envisioned that both tetracycles could be synthesized from a common bicyclic intermediate **6** obtained from the $8\pi/6\pi$ electrocyclization cascade of tetraene **7**, which

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-909-607-9701; fax: +1-909-607-7577; e-mail: vosburg@hmc.edu

may be prepared from commercially-available alkenyl building blocks **8-10** using ICC.

Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic analysis for fused and bridged tetracycles.

An additional feature of our synthetic plan is its reliance on the thermal interconversion of diastereomeric *exo* and *endo* bicycles (Scheme 2). We anticipated that the electrocyclization cascade would result in roughly equal amounts of *exo* and *endo* products, yet the tetracycle-generating intramolecular Diels-Alder reactions are only possible with *endo* compounds (e.g., **12**). We therefore hoped that the *exo/endo* equilibration observed by Nicolaou and coworkers upon heating endiandric acids D-G^{8,14} would also enable conversion of *exo* diastereomer **11** to tetracycle **4** via *endo* dienophile **12**, rendering our synthesis diastereoconvergent.

2. Results and discussion

Burke recently described a general procedure for the Suzuki coupling of vinyl pinacol boronates and vinyl halides in the presence of MIDA boronates.¹⁵ However, our attempts to synthesize dienyl MIDA boronate **13** from *cis*-2-bromovinyl-boronic acid MIDA ester (**8**)¹⁶ and pinacol boronate **9** were unsuccessful (Scheme 3), even though **9** coupled smoothly with the corresponding *trans*-2-bromovinyl-boronic acid MIDA ester.

Indeed, **8** has not yet been reported to undergo Suzuki-Miyaura cross-couplings with pinacol boronates; only (less sterically hindered) boronic acids have been utilized as coupling partners.^{15,16} We therefore hydrolyzed pinacol boronate **9** to the boronic acid as reported by Mehta¹⁷ and were gratified to see that boronic acid **14** successfully underwent coupling with **8** to afford (*Z,E*)-dienyl MIDA boronate **13** (Scheme 4). Boronic acid **10** was coupled with **8** in a similar fashion to give dienyl MIDA boronate **15**. Purification of both MIDA boronate products was trivial using Burke's silica filtration method,¹⁸ washing the crude

product with 1.5% MeOH in Et₂O and eluting with acetone or THF.

Direct conversion of MIDA boronate **15** to iodide **16** was accomplished with complete retention of configuration in high yield by treatment with sodium methoxide followed by I₂.¹⁹ Once generated, the iodide was used immediately in the subsequent coupling step. We were delighted to observe the formation of bicycle **6** (as an inseparable mixture of *endo* and *exo* diastereomers) directly from MIDA boronate **13** and iodide **16** under Burke's *in situ* deprotection/coupling conditions.^{15,19} The yield of this reaction improved notably when performed in a subdued light environment, as **16** is light-sensitive.

From bicycle **6**, desilylation and Parikh-Doering oxidation yielded bicyclic aldehyde **18** (Scheme 5). Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reactions of **18** resulted in enoate **20** and dienophile **12**, still as *endo/exo* mixtures. In each case, as we predicted, heating converted both diastereomers of **20** and **12** to the desired Diels-Alder products: bridged tetracycle **5** and fused tetracycle **4** (cryptobellin acid D ethyl ester), respectively.

We note that in the conversion of tethered dienophile **12** to fused tetracycle **4**, a small amount of bridged product was detected in the crude ¹H NMR (*ca.* 12:1 fused/bridged), with a characteristic enoate signal at 6.77 ppm (dd, *J* = 15.7, 8.2 Hz) that closely matches those of endiandric acid L (**3**) and related structures.^{3,20,21} Thus the tethered diene may act as a dienophile to some extent, but the preferred mode of reactivity is as a diene to provide the fused tetracycle.²² Indeed, vastly more endiandric-type tetracycles have been isolated with fused skeletons (**49**) compared to their bridged isomers from this alternate Diels-Alder mode (**3**).¹ Further, all three of these bridged enoate metabolites are racemic in nature, and their fused analogs are known,

strongly suggesting that enzymes do not mediate the Diels-Alder regioselectivity. Further studies on competitive biomimetic Diels-Alder reactions to give fused or bridged tetracycles are ongoing and will be reported in due course.

3. Conclusions

In summary, we have described a concise route to both fused and bridged endiandric-type tetracycles using an iterative Suzuki-Miyaura strategy. In each case, the longest linear sequence is seven steps from commercial MIDA boronate **8**. This approach is likely applicable to both natural and unnatural compounds of this structural type.

4. Experimental section

Commercial reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, TCI America, or Spectrum and used without further purification. Anhydrous DMSO were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Reagent-grade acetone was purchased from Spectrum. Anhydrous CH_2Cl_2 , THF, and toluene were obtained from a SolvPure solvent purification system. Triethylamine was distilled over CaH_2 and stored over KOH pellets.

Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were performed in flame-dried glassware under positive argon pressure at room temperature. Reactions were monitored by TLC on 0.250 mm Sorbent Technologies glass-backed silica gel plates and visualized under UV light (254 nm) or by staining with KMnO_4 or an acidic solution of *p*-anisaldehyde followed by brief heating on a hot plate. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 400 MHz instrument at ambient temperature in CDCl_3 . Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in parts per million (ppm) and referenced to the residual solvent signals (^1H , 7.26; ^{13}C , 77.0).

4.1. ((1*Z*,3*E*)-6-((*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)hexa-1,3-dien-1-yl) MIDA boronate (**13**)

A mixture of crude boronic acid **14** (101.65 mg, 0.434 mmol), 17 *cis*-2-bromovinylboronic acid MIDA ester (114.8, 0.439 mmol), Cs_2CO_3 (426.7 mg, 1.31 mmol) and XPhos Pd G2 (34.1 mg, 0.043 mmol) was evacuated and then placed under a nitrogen atmosphere. THF (2.5 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred for 22 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted in acetone (20 mL) and Cs_2CO_3 was removed by vacuum filtration. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 , loaded onto a celite/silica plug and purified by washing with hexanes, then 1.5% MeOH/ Et_2O , then eluting with acetone. Concentration in vacuo afforded **13** as a white crystalline solid (124.1 mg, 77% yield). R_f (EtOAc) 0.39; IR (thin film) 2927, 1770 cm^{-1} ; ^1H -NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 6.75 (dd, J = 13, 12 Hz, 1H), 6.57 (dd, J = 15, 12 Hz, 1H), 5.81 (dt, J = 15, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 5.15 (d, J = 14 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (d, J = 16 Hz, 2H), 3.68 (d, J = 16 Hz, 2H), 3.65 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.86 (s, 3H), 2.34 (dt, J = 7.5, 6.6 Hz, 2H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.04 (s, 6H); ^{13}C -NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 168.3, 145.8, 136.1, 130.2, 62.7, 61.6, 46.9, 36.4, 25.9, 18.3, -5.2; HRMS (ESI): MH^+ found 368.2071, calculated 368.2059.

4.2. ((1*Z*,3*E*)-deca-1,3-dien-1-yl) MIDA boronate (**15**)

A mixture of boronic acid **10** (300 mg, 1.91 mmol), *cis*-2-bromovinylboronic acid MIDA ester (400 mg, 1.53 mmol), Cs_2CO_3 (1.52 g, 4.58 mmol) and XPhos Pd G2 (120 mg, 0.15 mmol) was evacuated and then placed under a nitrogen atmosphere. THF (5 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred for 24 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted in acetone (20 mL) and Cs_2CO_3 was removed by vacuum filtration. The filtrate

was concentrated in vacuo, dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 , loaded onto a celite/silica plug and purified by washing with hexanes, then 1.5% MeOH/ Et_2O , then eluting with acetone. Concentration in vacuo afforded **15** as a yellow wax (250 mg, 56% yield). R_f (EtOAc) 0.5; IR (thin film) 2924, 1761 cm^{-1} ; ^1H -NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 6.71 (dd, J = 13, 12 Hz, 1H), 6.48 (dd, J = 12, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 5.78 (dt, J = 15, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (d, J = 14 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (d, J = 16 Hz, 2H), 3.70 (d, J = 17 Hz, 2H), 2.85 (s, 3H), 2.09 (dt, J = 7.1, 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.35 (m, 2H), 1.28 (m, 6H), 0.87 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C -NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 167.8, 146.3, 140.3, 128.5, 61.6, 46.8, 32.8, 31.7, 29.2, 28.9, 28.9, 22.6, 14.1; HRMS (ESI): MH^+ found 294.1867, calculated 294.1871.

4.3. (1*Z*,3*E*)-1-iododeca-1,3-diene (**16**)

A mixture of MIDA boronate **15** (113 mg, 0.38 mmol), and NaOMe (0.5 M in MeOH, 2.3 mL, 1.2 mmol) in THF (2 mL) was stirred for 15 minutes, changing color from clear orange to cloudy yellow. The reaction mixture was placed in a subdued light environment and I_2 (1.0 M in THF, 760 μL , 0.76 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for ten minutes. The reaction mixture was diluted in saturated $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ (20 mL) and hexanes (20 mL) and transferred to a separatory funnel. The layers were separated, and the organic layer was washed with saturated $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ (2×10 mL). The organic layer was concentrated in vacuo to afford **16** as a red oil (99 mg, 97% yield), which was used immediately in the cross-coupling reaction. R_f (hexanes) 0.98; IR (thin film) 2926 cm^{-1} ; ^1H -NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 6.68 (dd, J = 9.7, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.21 (ddq, J = 15, 9.8, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 6.08 (dq, J = 7.6, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 6.00 (dt, J = 15, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.13 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 1.41 (m, 2H), 1.29 (m, 6H), 0.89 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C -NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 140.6, 138.5, 130.3, 79.2, 33.0, 31.7, 28.9, 28.9, 22.6, 14.1; HRMS (ESI): MH^+ found 264.0363, calculated 264.0370.

4.4. *tert*-butyl(2-(8-hexylbicyclo[4.2.0]octa-2,4-dien-7-yl)ethoxy)dimethylsilane (**6**, *endo/exo* mixture)

A mixture of MIDA boronate **14** (0.1776 g, 0.484 mmol), iododiene **16** (0.1121 g, 0.424 mmol) and 2nd generation XPhos Pd G2 (17.8 mg, 0.02 mmol) was evacuated and refilled with a nitrogen atmosphere three times in a light-reduced environment. THF (4 mL) and aq. NaOH (4 M, 0.3 mL, 1.2 mmol) were added. The solution was bubbled through with argon and stirred for 48 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted in hexanes (40 mL) and H_2O (20 mL) and transferred to a separatory funnel. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with hexanes (2×40 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with H_2O (20 mL), brine (20 mL) and dried over MgSO_4 . The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and purified via flash chromatography (hexanes/toluene 100:0 $\rightarrow$ 90:10) to afford the title compound **6** (87 mg, 59% yield) as a clear oil. R_f (hexanes/toluene 9:1) 0.24; IR (thin film) 2954, 2926 cm^{-1} ; ^1H -NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 5.84 (m, 1H), 5.60 (m, 3H), 3.54 (dt, J = 1.5, 2.7 Hz, 2H), 3.10 (m, 1H), 2.45 (m, 2H), 2.29 (m, 1H), 1.65-1.91 (m, 2H), 1.25 (m, 10H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 0.88 (s, 3H), 0.04 (s, 6H); ^{13}C -NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 127.7, 127.3, 127.1, 127.1, 124.0, 121.5, 121.3, 62.5, 62.3, 52.4, 51.5, 49.2, 48.2, 39.8, 37.4, 37.1, 36.6, 34.5, 34.2, 33.8, 32.0, 32.0, 31.1, 30.6, 29.8, 29.7, 29.6, 28.3, 28.2, 26.1, 22.8, 22.8, 18.5, 18.5, 14.3; HRMS (ESI): MH^+ found 349.2933, calculated 349.2927.

4.5. 2-(8-hexylbicyclo[4.2.0]octa-2,4-dien-7-yl)ethan-1-ol (**17**, *endo/exo* mixture)

A solution of bicyclic silyl ether **6** (38.4 mg, 0.110 mmol) and TBAF (1.0 M in THF, 250 μ L, 0.25 mmol) in THF (4 mL) was stirred for 2.5 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted in H₂O (10 mL) and Et₂O (10 mL) and transferred to a separatory funnel. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (2 x 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (20 mL) and dried over MgSO₄. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and purified by flash chromatography (hexanes/EtOAc 100:0 $\rightarrow$ 50:50) to afford **17** as a clear oil (23.3 mg, 90% yield). *R_f* (hexanes/EtOAc 3:1) 0.65; IR 3331, 2921 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.86 (m, 1H), 5.55-5.69 (m, 3H), 3.56-3.64 (m, 2H), 3.12 (m, 1H), 2.27-2.53 (m, 3H), 1.73-1.96 (m, 2H), 1.41-1.68 (m, 1H), 1.25 (m, 10H), 0.88 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 127.7, 127.3, 126.8, 126.6, 124.3, 124.1, 121.3, 62.1, 62.0, 52.4, 51.6, 48.8, 47.6, 39.3, 37.3, 37.1, 36.6, 34.7, 34.0, 33.9, 32.0, 32.0, 30.6, 29.8, 29.7, 29.6, 28.3, 28.3, 22.8, 22.8, 14.3; HRMS (ESI): MH⁺ found 235.2058, calculated 235.2056.

4.6. 2-(8-hexylbicyclo[4.2.0]octa-2,4-dien-7-yl)ethanal (**18**, endo/exo mixture)

A solution of bicyclic alcohol **17** (13.8 mg, 0.059 mmol) and Et₃N (46 μ L, 0.33 mmol) dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (0.8 mL) was cooled to 0 °C. In a separate vial, SO₃·pyr (31.4 mg, 0.197 mmol) was dissolved in DMSO (0.2 mL) and stirred for 3 minutes. The SO₃·pyr/DMSO solution was added dropwise to the reaction mixture and stirred for 70 minutes. EtOAc (10 mL) and H₂O (10 mL) were added to the reaction and transferred to a separatory funnel. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (2 x 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (30 mL) and dried over MgSO₄. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to afford crude **18** (14.7 mg) as a clear liquid, which was carried on to the next step without further purification. *R_f* (hexanes/EtOAc 3:1) 0.71; IR 2922, 1724 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.78 (t, *J* = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 9.69 (t, *J* = 6 Hz, 0.8H), 5.85-5.91 (m, 2.7H), 5.57-5.74 (m, 7.7H), 5.42 (dd, *J* = 24.8, 10.4 Hz, 1.2H), 3.12-3.26 (m, 2.8H), 2.78-2.87 (m, 2.7H), 2.45-2.71 (m, 9.4H), 2.24-2.35 (m, 1.7H), 1.38-1.65 (m, 8.2H), 1.26 (m, 39.3H), 0.86-0.89 (m, 16.5H); HRMS (ESI): MH⁺ found 233.1902, calculated 233.1900. *Reported as a mixture of endo and exo products, calibrated to the larger aldehydic peak.

4.7. bicyclic methyl enoate **20** (endo/exo mixture)

To a solution of trimethyl phosphonoacetate (160 μ L) in THF (0.5 mL) was added NaH (60% dispersion in mineral oil, 24.1 mg, 1 mmol), resulting in a milky white solution. In a separate vial, crude bicyclic aldehyde **18** (5.9 mg, 0.025 mmol) was dissolved in THF (0.5 mL), and a portion of the prepared sodium salt of the trimethyl phosphonoacetate solution (40 μ L, 0.079 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred for 19.5 hours and diluted with 50% NaHCO₃ (1 mL). The mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel containing hexanes (3 mL), and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with hexanes (2 x 3 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (10 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes/EtOAc 100:0 $\rightarrow$ 80:20) to afford **20** as a clear oil (4.7 mg, 69% two-step yield from **17**). *R_f* (hexanes/EtOAc 4:1) 0.53; IR 2922, 1726 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.85-6.97 (m, 1H), 5.78-5.87 (m, 3H), 5.48-5.67 (m, 5H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.14 (m, 1H), 2.50 (m, 2H), 1.24 (m, 10H), 0.87 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H).

4.8. bridged tetracyclic methyl ester **5**

A solution of bicyclic methyl enoate **20** (5.6 mg, 0.024 mmol) in CDCl₃ (0.6 mL) in a J. Young NMR tube was heated in an oil bath at 70 °C for 96 hours and 100 °C for 65 hours. Reaction progress was monitored by ¹H NMR. After the starting material had been consumed, the reaction was filtered through a silica column (hexanes/EtOAc 100:0 $\rightarrow$ 98:2) to yield **5** as a colorless film (4.2 mg, 75% yield). *R_f* (hexanes) 0.23; IR 2920, 1739 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR: (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.19 (m, 2H), 3.63 (m, 3H), 3.00 (m, 1H), 2.82 (m, 1H), 2.67 (m, 1H), 2.58 (m, 1H), 2.34 (m, 1H), 2.22 (m, 1H), 1.90 (m, 1H), 1.63 (m, 1H), 1.51-1.56 (m, 1H), 1.48 (m, 1H), 1.26 (m, 10H), 0.88 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H); ¹³C-NMR: (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 175.5, 131.9, 131.4, 51.8, 49.2, 42.1, 40.4, 40.3, 39.7, 39.6, 38.6, 36.5, 35.3, 32.1, 29.9, 29.5, 27.4, 22.8, 14.3; HRMS (ESI) MH⁺ found 289.2154, calculated 289.2162.

4.9. bicyclic ethyl dienoate **12** (endo/exo mixture)

To a suspension of NaH (60% dispersion in mineral oil, 14.4 mg, 0.597 mmol) in THF (1 mL) was added triethyl-3-phosphonocrotonate (150 μ L), resulting in a dark orange solution. In a separate vial, crude bicyclic aldehyde **18** (9.9 mg, 0.042 mmol) was dissolved in THF (1 mL), and the prepared sodium salt of the triethyl 3-phosphonocrotonate solution (150 μ L, 0.296 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred for 2.5 hours and stored in the freezer over the weekend. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and diluted with H₂O (5 mL) and sat. NaHCO₃ (5 mL). The mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (10 mL). The organic layer was washed with NaHCO₃ (1:1 water/sat. NaHCO₃, 10 mL), brine (10 mL), and dried over MgSO₄. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and purified by flash chromatography (hexanes/EtOAc 100:0 $\rightarrow$ 98:2) to afford **12** as a clear oil (6.1 mg, 47% two-step yield from **17**). *R_f* (hexanes/EtOAc 19:1) 0.39; IR 2917, 1717 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.00-6.21 (m, 2H), 5.76-5.90 (m, 2H), 5.50-5.67 (m, 4H), 4.17-4.22 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.14 (m, 1H), 2.31-2.53 (m, 5H), 1.29 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.25 (m, 10H), 0.87 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H).

4.10. cryptobeilic acid D ethyl ester (**4**)

A solution of bicyclic ethyl dienoate **12** (6.1 mg, 0.019 mmol) in CDCl₃ (0.5 mL) in a J. Young NMR tube was heated in an oil bath at 100 °C for 17 hours. Reaction progress was monitored by ¹H-NMR. After the starting material had been consumed, the reaction was filtered through a silica column (hexanes/EtOAc 100:0 $\rightarrow$ 98:2) to yield **4** as a colorless film (2.5 mg, 41% yield, 12:1 ratio of fused/bridged isomers). *R_f* (hexanes/EtOAc 19:1) 0.67; IR 2922, 1736 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.19 (m, 1H), 5.71 (m, 1H), 5.64 (m, 1H), 5.45 (m, 1H), 4.16 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.97 (m, 1H), 2.95 (m, 1H), 2.64 (m, 1H), 2.55 (m, 1H), 2.26 (m, 1H), 2.24 (m, 1H), 1.73 (m, 2H), 1.57 (m, 1H), 1.46 (m, 1H), 1.33 (m, 1H), 1.27 (m, 13H), 0.88 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 174.6, 134.1, 129.9, 129.3, 124.6, 60.8, 49.6, 46.0, 42.1, 41.2, 37.2, 36.9, 35.0, 34.8, 33.1, 32.8, 31.9, 29.7, 27.0, 22.6, 14.2, 14.1; HRMS (ESI) MH⁺ found 329.2474, calculated 329.2481.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (CHE-1058361), Harvey Mudd College, and a Henry Dreyfus

Teacher-Scholar Award (to D.A.V.). A.Y.C. thanks the Rose Hills Foundation. The authors thank Annisa Dea for contributions to this project and Marty Burke and Hannah Haley for helpful discussions and generous gifts of MIDA boronates.

References and notes

- Lenta, B. N.; Chouna, J. R.; Nkeng-Efouet, P. A.; Sewald, N. *Biomolecules* **2015**, *5*, 910–942.
- Talontsi, F. M.; Lamshöft, M.; Bauer, J. O.; Razakarivony, A. A.; Andriamihaja, B.; Strohmman, C.; Spiteller, M. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2013**, *76*, 97–102.
- Huang, Y.-T.; Chang, H.-S.; Wang, G.-J.; Cheng, M.-J.; Chen, C.-H.; Yang, Y.-J.; Chen, I.-S. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2011**, *74*, 1875–1880.
- Bandaranayake, W. M.; Banfield, J. E.; Black, D. S. C. **1980**, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* 902–903.
- Nicolaou, K. C.; Petasis, N. A.; Zipkin, R. E.; Uenishi, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 5555–5557.
- Nicolaou, K. C.; Petasis, N. A.; Uenishi, J.; Zipkin, R. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 5557–5558.
- Nicolaou, K. C.; Zipkin, R. E.; Petasis, N. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 5558–5560.
- Nicolaou, K. C.; Petasis, N. A.; Zipkin, R. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 5560–5562.
- Drew, S. L.; Lawrence, A. L.; Sherburn, M. S. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 4221–4224.
- Drew, S. L.; Lawrence, A. L.; Sherburn, M. S. *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 3886–3890.
- Huisgen, R.; Dahmen, A.; Huber, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1967**, *89*, 7130–7131.
- Lim, H. N.; Parker, K. A. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 398–401.
- Beaudry, C. M.; Trauner, D. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 4475–4477.
- Nicolaou, K. C.; Petasis, N. A. In *Strategies and Tactics in Organic Chemistry*; Lindberg, T., Ed.; Academic Press: San Diego, 1984; pp 155–173.
- Woerly, E. M.; Roy, J.; Burke, M. D. *Nat. Chem.* **2014**, *6*, 484–491.
- Woerly, E. M.; Struble, J. R.; Palyam, N.; O'Hara, S. P.; Burke, M. D. *Tetrahedron* **2011**, *67*, 4333–4343.
- Vasamsetty, L.; Khan, F. A.; Mehta, G. *Tetrahedron* **2015**, *71*, 3209–3215.
- Li, J.; Ballmer, S. G.; Gillis, E. P.; Fujii, S.; Schmidt, M. J.; Palazzolo, A. M. E.; Lehmann, J. W.; Morehouse, G. F.; Burke, M. D. *Science* **2015**, *347*, 1221–1226.
- Fujii, S.; Chang, S. Y.; Burke, M. D. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 7862–7864.
- Apel, C.; Gény, C.; Dumontet, V.; Birlirakis, N.; Roussi, F.; Pham, V. C.; Doan Thi Mai, H.; Nguyen, V. H.; Chau, V. M.; Litaudon, M. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2014**, *77*, 1430–1437.
- Azmi, M. N.; Gény, C.; Leverrier, A.; Litaudon, M.; Dumontet, V.; Birlirakis, N.; Guéritte, F.; Leong, K. H.; Halim, S. N. A.; Mohamad, K.; Awang, K. *Molecules* **2014**, *19*, 1732–1747.
- In Nicolaou's synthesis of endiandric acids A and B, the tethered phenyldiene reacted exclusively as a diene to give the fused product. No bridged product was observed (see reference 3).