REMOTE SENSING OF FOLIAR NITROGEN IN CULTIVATED GRASSLANDS OF HUMAN DOMINATED LANDSCAPES

Paul A. Pellissier^{ab,*}, Scott V. Ollinger^{ab}, Lucie C. Lepine^a, Michael W. Palace^{abc}, William H. McDowell^b

^a *Earth Systems Research Center, University of New Hampshire, Morse Hall, 39 College Rd, Durham, NH 03824, United States*

^b *Department of Natural Resources & the Environment, University of New Hampshire, James Hall, 56 College Rd, Durham, NH 03824, United States*

^c *Department of Earth Science, University of New Hampshire, James Hall, 56 College Rd, Durham, NH 03824, United States*

* Corresponding Author. Email Address: pellissier.paul@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Foliar nitrogen (N) in plant canopies is central to a number of important ecosystem processes and continues to be an active subject in the field of remote sensing. Previous estimates of foliar N at the landscape scale have primarily focused on intact forests and grasslands using aircraft imaging spectrometry and various techniques of statistical calibration and modeling. The present study extends this work by examining the potential to estimate the foliar N concentration (%N) of residential, agricultural and other cultivated grassland areas within a suburbanizing watershed in southeastern New Hampshire. These grasslands occupy a relatively small fraction (17.5%) of total land area within the study watershed, but are important to regional biogeochemistry and are highly valued by humans. In conjunction with ground-based vegetation sampling (n=20 sites with 54 sample plots), we developed Partial Least Squares Regression (PLSR) models for predicting mass-based canopy %N across management types using input from airborne and field-based imaging spectrometers. Models yielded strong relationships for predicting canopy %N from both ground- and aircraft-based sensors ($r^2=0.76$ and 0.67 , respectively) across sites that included turf grass, grazed pasture, hayfields and fallow fields. Similarities in spectral resolution between the sensors used in this study and the proposed HypsIRI mission suggest promise for

29 detecting canopy %N across multiple forms of managed grasslands, with the possible exception
30 of areas containing lawns too small to be captured with HypsIRI's planned 60m spatial
31 resolution.

32

33 **KEYWORDS**

34 HypsIRI, imaging spectrometry, nitrogen, canopy spectroscopy, cultivated grasslands, Partial Least
35 Squares Regression, airborne, ground-based

1. INTRODUCTION

Through its association with proteins and plant pigments, foliar nitrogen (N) plays an important regulatory role in photosynthesis, leaf respiration and net primary production in terrestrial ecosystems (Field & Mooney, 1986; Ollinger & Smith, 2005; Reich et al., 2006). Because N is a common and widespread limiting resource to plants, spatial patterns of foliar N are also related to fluxes of carbon, water and energy, and are therefore central to understanding the role terrestrial ecosystems play within the larger Earth system (Ollinger et al., 2008; Ustin 2013). At the landscape scale these patterns are driven by environmental attributes including climate, species composition, soil condition, disturbance history and management practices. Given its importance, foliar N has been the focus of considerable attention in the field of remote sensing spanning several decades (e.g. Wessman et al., 1988; Martin et al., 2008; Ramoelo et al., 2012). Many investigations use high spectral resolution data from airborne and orbital platforms for their ability to distinguish subtle reflectance features that relate to plant biophysical status, including N (Chambers et al., 2007). While the foundational methods are rooted in sample-based spectroscopy in laboratory and agricultural settings (Marten et al., 1984; Park et al., 1998), more recent efforts at estimating foliar N using high spectral resolution data have primarily concentrated on intact forests and grasslands due to their spatial extent and documented importance to the Earth system (Smith et al., 2002; Townsend et al., 2003; He et al., 2006; McNeil et al., 2008; Ramoelo et al., 2012). Although these and other investigations have successfully classified N status in forests and grasslands, difficulties associated with the diversity of land ownership and land management objectives have been an impediment to applications in developed landscapes (Milesi et al., 2005). Several studies have successfully delineated lawns and other urban plant canopies using high spatial resolution imagery (Walton et al., 2008; Wu &

Bauer, 2012), but the use of remote sensing for estimating biochemistry and nutrient status in developed landscapes remains in its infancy (Davies et al., 2011).

The cultivation of grasses for animal forage or aesthetic purposes is a near ubiquitous practice in human-dominated landscapes and often represents important shifts in terms of ecosystem function and services from surrounding ecosystems (Foley et al., 2005). Turf grass surface area in the United States has been estimated at 163,812 km², an area larger than that of corn, the nation's largest irrigated crop (Milesi et al., 2005). When pastures and hay fields are included, cultivated grasses comprise 707,627 km², or 8.76%, of total land area in the conterminous United States (Fry et al., 2006). As with many intensively managed systems, these grasslands embody tradeoffs among various ecosystem services, with consequences affecting both human and environmental welfare (Kaye et al., 2006). They comprise an important base of our food system and help define the locations we inhabit, while often requiring inputs of chemical fertilizers, irrigation water, and energy to meet desired management goals (Falk, 1976; Cassman et al., 2002). Through these pathways, and by altering soil structure, ground water penetration, and surface water flow, the cultivation of grass has substantial influence on terrestrial and aquatic biogeochemical cycles (Pataki et al., 2011; Trowbridge et al., 2013; Kaushal et al., 2014).

Accurate estimates of grassland N status in developed landscapes would stand to advance our understanding of management decisions and their implications at a variety of scales—vis-à-vis estimation of nutrient use efficiency and yield estimation, as well as tradeoffs of nutrient additions versus runoff, to name a few examples. High fidelity, ground-based remote sensing provides a tool for characterizing canopy N at fine spatial and temporal scales (e.g. lawns and small pastures at frequent intervals throughout a growing season), while airborne remote sensing

platforms offer the potential for characterizing N across larger landscapes. The proposed HypsIRI sensor, with a repeat cycle of nineteen days, would provide an opportunity for mapping N at landscape to regional scales, holding particular promise for grass management and adaptive grazing practices aimed at maximizing rangeland and pasture resources.

From a remote sensing standpoint, the diversity of management objectives in developed systems poses challenges that are less prevalent in more natural ecosystems (Boegh et al., 2002; Booth & Tueller, 2003). Moreover, while remote sensing imagery has been used to detect water stress (Gao, 1996; Tilling et al., 2007), N status (Gamon et al., 1993; Boegh et al., 2002; Ramoelo et al., 2012) and plant biomass (Paruelo et al., 1997; Running et al., 2004) in grasslands, our understanding of the combined effect of these factors on whole canopy reflectance is incomplete (Ollinger, 2011). It remains unclear whether a generalizable approach for estimating foliar N via remote sensing can accommodate the range of management strategies encountered within a developed landscape. Resolving this is particularly pertinent in light of the proposed HypsIRI mission, which will provide global coverage of high-fidelity imaging spectrometer data from an orbital platform. To address this question, we sought to examine the use of high spectral resolution remote sensing from both airborne and ground-based platforms for detecting foliar N within cultivated grasslands. Calibrations of measured canopy N with reflectance were developed using spectral data from both platforms to explore questions regarding scaling and to address the utility of each towards developing a generalized approach of estimating N in grasslands. Our study focused on a mixed-use landscape in southeastern New Hampshire that included a wide range of grass management strategies including turf grass, hayfields, actively grazed pastures, and fallow fields. Results are presented with respect to the

utility of methods we tested and their potential application to environmental modeling, resource management and for future applications with HypSIRL.

2. METHODS

2.1. Study sites

The study was conducted within the Lamprey River Watershed (LRW) in southeastern New Hampshire (43.10°N, 71.11°W), a coastal area encompassing 479 km² and nine towns that drains into the Great Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve. The watershed has a diverse history with more than 300 years of land use change following European settlement (Hamilton, 1882). Today, rural to urban development gradients are present throughout the watershed with human population ranging from zero in state park lands to greater than 620 people per km⁻² within larger towns. Although the watershed is predominately forest, non-forested land accounts for 17.5% of the total area. Residential turf and agricultural grasslands are mixed throughout this fraction and represent important loci for human-environment interactions. The cultivation and maintenance of grasses has a strong influence on biogeochemistry within the watershed (Fissore et al., 2012) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has established that water quality in both the Lamprey River, and the Great Bay estuary, is impaired by excess N. Twenty-seven percent of the total non-point source N pollution coming into Great Bay is attributed to residential and agricultural fertilizer use (Trowbridge et al., 2013), highlighting the need for methods to better understand the N status of managed grasses.

In 2012, twenty field sites representing four management types (7 residential & commercial turf, 4 pasture, 3 hayfield, and 6 fallow) were selected for the purpose of vegetation sampling and image calibration (Figure 1). The sites were selected using a stratified random sampling design with access to private property influencing the final number included in each management type.

Figure 1. Aerial image of the Lamprey River Watershed, its location in New Hampshire, and distribution of field sites surveyed in this study. The grayscale image highlights the mixed landscape and landcover of the Lamprey River Watershed, where dark gray areas are forest, and light gray areas are dominated by residential and agricultural grasslands.

2.2. Vegetation sampling

In 2012, field sampling was conducted between late July and mid-August to ensure that samples were collected within fourteen days of the aircraft imaging spectrometer data collection (Section 2.4). Additional sampling in 2013 was conducted to expand the number of observations available for comparison with coincident ground-based spectra, but were not used in the calibration against 2012 aircraft image data. Sampling dates in 2013 were chosen to match 2012

in terms of position within the growing season and to capture similar conditions relative to time since mowing and other management practices. In total, 270 biomass samples were collected for foliar %N analysis (see Section 3.2 below).

At each of the twenty field sites, two to five plots were established for vegetation sampling such that a minimum of ten plots represented each management type (54 in total). Plots were 5m by 5m in size and were located within a larger homogeneous area (minimum of 15m by 15m) to best characterize each site's management practices while avoiding potential edge effects of adjacent parcels. The mixed nature of management on a given site allowed some sites to include plots of more than one management type.

Plots were sampled for aboveground biomass to facilitate measurement of canopy nitrogen concentration (%N). Plot-level values represent the mean of data collected at the five sample locations within the plot boundaries. Biomass sampling consisted of shearing all standing foliage to ground level within a randomly placed 10x50 cm sampling frame. Once collected, samples were dried at 70°C for 48 hours and ground using a Wiley mill with a 1mm mesh screen. Canopy %N ($\text{g N} \cdot 100\text{g biomass}^{-1}$) for all dried and ground samples was determined using a FOSS NIR 6500 bench-top spectrometer as described by Bolster et al. (1996). Because dried, ground samples included total biomass from grass species present within the sampling area; %N values represent multi-species means and were inherently weighted by the fractional abundance of each species present. Although existing calibration equations have proven accurate for grassland systems generally (Park et al., 1998; Park et al., 1997), we derived a calibration equation specific to this study in order to ensure that the full range of conditions would be captured. The calibration was based on a random subset of 103 samples collected

during 2012, for which canopy %N values were measured with a mass spectrometer and validated using leave-one-out repeat calibration (Shetty et al., 2011).

2.3. Ground-based spectroscopy

Ground-based reflectance was measured with an ASD FieldSpec 4 handheld spectroradiometer (www.asdi.com) under clear sky conditions at all sites, coincident with vegetation sampling. Data were collected within two hours of solar zenith in order to minimize the effect of shadows. The ASD has three detectors that measure radiance over the spectral range of 350-2500 nm. The visible and near-infrared (VNIR) sensor measures the region of the spectrum from 350-1000nm at 1.4nm intervals, with ~3nm spectral resolution; radiance in the short-wave infrared (SWIR) region is measured with two detectors—one for the wavelength range of 1000-1800nm, and another for the range of 1801-2500nm—both with 2nm sampling interval and 10nm spectral resolution. The ASD was equipped with an 18° fore optic and positioned one meter above the canopy, resulting in a target ground sampling resolution of 0.08 m². A Spectralon® reference panel calibrated to the U.S. NIST standards was used as a white standard, so that collected reflectance data were represented as absolute reflectance. Fifty spectral reflectance signatures were logged during a random walking survey of each 25 m² plot. Care was taken to avoid sampling areas where vegetation had been trampled by fieldworkers. Each of the 50 spectra represented the average of 10 sample measurements over a 1-second integration time, and interpolated to 1 nm intervals within the 350 to 2500nm spectral range (i.e. 2151 spectral channels). Canopy reflectance for each plot represented the average of these 50 spectral reflectance signatures. Spectral surveys conducted during the 2012 field season occurred within two weeks of the airborne remote sensing mission to minimize temporal variation between the

two datasets. During the 2013 field season, spectral surveys were conducted during the same time of year in conjunction with field sampling.

2.4. Airborne remote sensing data collection

Airborne imaging spectrometer data were acquired for the entire LRW in 33 flight lines on August 4th and 7th in 2012 to coincide with peak growing season conditions. Flight lines were oriented in the principal plane of the sun to minimize cross-track brightness gradients.

Data were obtained by the ProSpecTIR VNIR/SWIR (VS4) imager (SpecTIR LLC, Reno, Nevada). The ProSpecTIR VS4, a co-boresighted imaging system that integrates VNIR and SWIR sensors (400-2450nm) into a single system with real-time pixel co-registration (see Wong, 2014), was flown on a Cessna fixed wing aircraft at an average altitude of 4180m, yielding a spatial resolution of 5m and swath width of 1600m with 35% sidelap between flight lines. The VNIR sensor measures radiance in the 400-970nm range, with a 9.2nm sampling resolution and ~4nm spectral interval; the SWIR sensor measures radiance in the 970-2450nm range, with a 5.8nm sampling interval and ~9.5nm spectral resolution. With this configuration, the ProSpecTIR VS4 provided calibrated radiance data in 360 spectral channels over the range from 400-2450nm.

2.5. Data processing and analysis

2.5.1. Spectral preprocessing

Reflectance spectra from ground and aircraft instruments were processed using a combination of R version 2.15.1 (www.r-project.org) and ENVI 4.7 (Exelis Visual Information Solutions, www.exelisvis.com). Airborne data were converted by SpecTIR LLC from calibrated radiance to apparent surface reflectance using ATCOR4. Field plots were located within the aerial imagery using GPS coordinates collected during field surveys and were represented as single

5x5m pixels centered at these locations. To facilitate comparison between datasets for developing spectral calibrations (Section 2.5.2.), ground-based spectra from the ASD were saved as spectral libraries in the ENVI software, and convolved to the ProSpecTIR VS4 data with the spectral library resampling tool using sensor band centers and the FWHM of the band with an assumed Gaussian shape. Spectral channels measured by the ASD that fell outside the range of the airborne sensor spectral range, and those that fell within visibly noisy regions or where atmospheric absorption resulted in no usable data (i.e. <400 nm, 1350-1450 nm, and 1800-2000 nm) were removed from both datasets.

Ground-based spectral data were further processed to remove spectra that were dominated by non-vegetation surfaces or shadows. This typically resulted in the removal of 0-5 of the 50 spectra collected per plot. At the plot level, and across management types, simple linear regression was used to compare the overall shape and agreement of canopy reflectance from ground- and aircraft-based spectra.

2.5.2. Partial least squares regression model development

Relationships between canopy reflectance and vegetation variables (canopy %N, water content, height, and biomass) were assessed using Partial Least Squares Regression (PLSR) and validated using leave-one-out repeat calibration in JMP Pro 10 (JMP®, Version 11. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, 1989-2013). Leave-one-out cross validation techniques, such as those contained within the JMP PLS routine, estimate error by iteratively withholding each data point and using them to calculate the aggregated error of models developed in their absence. Although this approach is an established and robust method of estimating internal error in PLSR models, it should not be confused with validation using data that are fully independent of determining a models' structure as well as coefficients. PLSR is an eigen-based analysis designed to maximize

the covariance between two datasets. In practice, PLSR reduces the full spectrum into a smaller set of ordinated factors to optimize the covariance within prediction factors (i.e. spectra) and observed data simultaneously (Wold, 1994; Martin et al., 2008). PLSR excels over traditional regression techniques with data containing many more prediction variables relative to the number of observations, making it particularly well suited for high spectral resolution data. The final number of latent factors incorporated in each PLSR prediction model was determined by minimizing the root mean predicted residual sum of squares (PRESS), generated by leave-one-out cross validation (Tobias, 1995; Denham, 2000). Models incorporating data from all plots were generated using the ground-based and airborne spectral datasets discretely. Predictive models that used ground-based spectra incorporated data collected during both study years and therefore had larger sample sizes when compared with models based on airborne spectra, where data were only available for the 2012 season (see Table 2). The prediction accuracy and precision of the PLSR models were assessed by the coefficient of determination (r^2) and root mean square error (RMSE), respectively.

2.5.3. Image application for %N estimation

Developed, cultivated, and grassland pixels were extracted from the aerial imagery using the 2010 NOAA C-CAP land cover classification (DOC-NOAA, 2013). Pixels classified as developed were included in the initial extraction due to the finely mosaicked nature of commercial and residential grasslands in our region of study. Buildings and roads within these pixels were then masked using the 2010 Impervious Surfaces in Coastal New Hampshire and Southern York County, Maine dataset (CSRC, 2011). Both classification datasets are based on Landsat 5 imagery with a spatial resolution of 30m. Pixels classified as having less than 30% impervious surfaces were included in the final classification scheme in an effort to include

grassland parcels smaller than 30 x 30 m. Canopy %N was then estimated for the remaining image data by applying the airborne PLSR model (described in the previous section).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Summary of field measurements

Canopy %N, and aboveground biomass differed significantly ($p < 0.05$) by management type, with the exception of agricultural grasses (i.e. pasture and hay; Table 1). Pasture and hayfield canopy %N and biomass were not statistically significantly different from each other, but were significantly different from turf and fallow grasslands. Average nitrogen concentration was highest in turf grasses (2.82%) followed by agricultural grasses (2.71% combined) and lowest in fallow fields (1.73%).

Table 1. Summary statistics of canopy %N and biomass measurements by cover type. Canopy %N, and aboveground biomass differed significantly ($p < 0.05$) across all management types with the exception of pastures and hayfields, which were statistically similar.

Cover Type	<i>n (observations)</i>		<i>%N (gN×100g⁻¹)</i>			<i>Biomass (g×m⁻²)</i>		
	2012	2013	Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max	Mean
Turf	30	35	1.31	3.51	2.82	15.2	381	108
Pasture	25	25	1.03	3.09	1.96	105	387	262
Hay	20	50	1.06	5.55	2.76	101	596	264
Fallow	65	20	0.71	4.91	1.73	131	1060	500

3.2. Lab-based canopy %N calibration

Figure 2 shows foliar %N values measured by mass spectroscopy in relation to those predicted by the study-specific NIRS calibration. This calibration was based on a random subset of 103 samples (collected in 2012) and was used to determine the N concentration of the remaining samples ($n=163$). Of the total 270 biomass samples collected and analyzed for %N using laboratory NIRS (see section 2.2), six samples fell well below the range of %N values included

in the calibration dataset and were not included in the development of regression models used to estimate %N with airborne and ground-based spectra. These samples are believed to have included a large portion of dead biomass resulting in low N values relative to the rest of the sample set.

3.3. Calibration of

ground- and aircraft-

Figure 2. NIRS predicted %N values of 103 dried and ground grass foliage samples. Prediction and fit were based on partial least squares regression with 7 factors. RMSE=0.1810, $p < 0.0001$

based spectra

PLSR models incorporating data from all plots and management types produced strong predictive calibrations for canopy %N using both aircraft and ground-based datasets (Figure 3, Table 2). The calibration using ground-based spectra yielded a better fit ($r^2=0.76$, RMSE= 0.29) than that based on aircraft data ($r^2=0.67$, RMSE=0.36) although both were highly significant ($p < 0.0001$).

Table 2. Prediction statistics for canopy %N resulting from PLSR calibration. Significant ($p < 0.0001$) relationships are indicated in bold. %RMSE is normalized as a percentage of the mean.

Data Source	Prediction	n	r^2	Average Value	%RMSE	Min RM PRESS	Number of Factors
Ground Based	%N ($gN \cdot 100g^{-1}$)	54	0.7609	2.106	13.8	0.4842	9
Airborne	%N ($gN \cdot 100g^{-1}$)	39	0.6723	2.148	16.9	0.6683	6

Figure 3. Relationships between %N measured using NIRS vs. %N predicted with PLS models incorporating (A) airborne and (B) ground-based canopy reflectance ($p < 0.0001$)

3.4. Relative importance of spectral bands in calibrations

The contribution of individual spectral bands in each of the significant PLSR calibration models described above was assessed using standardized model coefficients and the Variable Importance of Projection (VIP) statistic of Wold (1994; Figure 4). The VIP score describes the importance of a given predictor in the projection of the latent variables that underlie a PLSR model (Chong & Jun, 2005). According to Wold (1996), predictors with a VIP score of one or higher are typically important in the resulting projection and those less than 0.8 tend to add little. VIP scores for both airborne and ground-based canopy %N calibrations indicate the importance of NIR bands located between 750-1300nm and, to a lesser extent, 1550-1750nm. These peaks are also important in the prediction of canopy height, biomass and water content.

Figure 4. Important wavelengths in PLSR prediction models of canopy %N indicated by (A) variable importance of projection (VIP) score and (B) standardized coefficients. Solid lines represent models based on airborne data (offset=0.4 in panel A) whereas dashed lines represent models using ground based data.

3.5. Sensor comparison

At the plot level and across management types, canopy reflectance derived from airborne and ground-based sensors showed agreement in the overall shape of spectral reflectance curves (Figure 5), with differences in brightness observed between sensors across some regions of the spectrum (Table 3). Generally, airborne spectra tended to be brighter in the visible region and dimmer throughout the NIR (750-1800nm) as compared to ground-based spectra, likely a result of atmospheric scattering of light and water vapor that were not fully accounted for during the processing of radiance to surface reflectance.

Table 3. Results of linear regression of ground-based and airborne spectra by management type. Coefficient of determination (r^2) represents agreement across spectral shape and differences in slope away from 1 indicate change in overall brightness.

<i>Management Type</i>	r^2	<i>Slope</i>
Turf	0.925	0.9109
Pasture	0.898	0.7476
Hay	0.925	0.6036
Fallow	0.906	0.8340

Figure 5. Comparison of mean spectral reflectance from ground-based (blue line) and airborne (orange line) spectrometers by management type: (A) Residential; (B) Fallow; (C) Hay field; (D) Pasture. Shading around lines represents +/- one standard deviation. The drop off in airborne reflectance past 2300nm, is likely an artifact of the atmospheric correction, however these wavelengths added little to the prediction of %N in both PLS models (Figure 4).

3.6. Predicted canopy %N for grasses within the study region

The distribution of cultivated grasslands in the LRW follows regional development trends concentrated in southern and eastern portions of the watershed (Figure 6). Predicted foliar %N values across the watershed exhibited a normal distribution with a mean of 2.24% and a range of 0.25% to 5.0%. These values fall within the range of foliar %N values of grasses compiled by Reich and Oleksyn in 2004 for their global study of plant N and phosphorous. While visual inspection of the watershed N prediction revealed a wide range of canopy %N values in all management groups, direct comparison of canopy %N across the four management types at the landscape level proved difficult due to the lack of an existing detailed classification that differentiates cultivated grasslands by management type. Existing classification schemes for the study area have a minimum spatial resolution of 30m. While this scale is adequate for discriminating a large number of pasture, hay and fallow fields, it is too coarse to delineate many of the residential turf and other small grasslands in the study area. For example, degrading the airborne %N map for the Durham, NH area to spatial resolutions comparable to Landsat (30m) and HypsIRI (60m) illustrates continued utility to detect canopy %N in moderate to large scale cultivated grasslands, but also suggests a weakened sensitivity to within-field estimates of %N, and a loss in the ability to detect small residential lawns (Figure 7).

Figure 6. Canopy %N of cultivated grasslands in the Lamprey River Watershed as derived from 5m airborne imagery. Areas in black represent non-grass vegetation. White areas are characterized as impervious and are included to provide context in residential and built areas. Inset image (outlined in red, top panel) is of the Durham, New Hampshire area and illustrates the ability of the airborne imagery to delineate small grassland parcels and discriminate within-field variation in canopy %N.

Figure 7. Canopy %N of cultivated grassland of the Durham, NH area degraded from 5m spatial resolution (Figure 7) to (A) 30m (e.g. comparable to Landsat) and to (B) 60m (e.g. comparable to HypsIRI). At these ground sampling resolutions, detail in residential areas is lost, but variability in %N for large grasslands is still largely captured.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. N detection in cultivated grasslands: Challenges and opportunities

Management actions and the plant responses they induce affect canopy light interactions in complex ways posing challenges in the interpretation of remotely sensed data of cultivated grasslands (e.g. Booth & Tueller, 2003). Mowing and grazing—the primary mechanisms used to maintain these grasslands—alter aboveground biomass, leaf area index (LAI), leaf water content, soil background and leaf angle distribution (LAD), all of which affect canopy reflectance in multiple ways (Wu et al., 2012; Lee & Lathrop, 2006). Reflectance features throughout the NIR plateau and short-wave infrared regions played important roles in the prediction of canopy %N within this study. The role of NIR reflectance with respect to canopy %N estimation, likely results from covariation of %N with a suite of plant traits that affect NIR reflectance (Bartlett et al., 2011; Ollinger, 2011; Wicklein et al., 2012; Sullivan et al., 2013). Although not measured explicitly in this study, factors such as soil background, LAI, and LAD affect canopy reflectance and therefore also have implications for mapping %N in cultivated grasslands.

Airborne and ground-based predictions of foliar %N were relatively similar both in terms of the contribution of spectral features and overall predictive ability. However several factors may contribute to disparities between models. First, ground-based calibrations benefited from additional field sampling conducted during the summer of 2013, which resulted in fifteen additional samples available for ground-based calibration (Table 2). Second, aerial data were atmospherically corrected from at-sensor-radiance to surface reflectance (see section 2.5.1). While the algorithm used for atmospheric correction is based on implementation of the MODTRAN4 radiative transfer code, a widely accepted industry standard, some atmospheric artifacts can remain (e.g. Burakowski et al., 2015). In contrast, ground-based spectra were collected one meter above the canopy and calibrated against a white reference panel during sampling and therefore are not subject to the same magnitude of atmospheric interference. Moreover, airborne data were not calibrated to ground reflectance (e.g. with the ASD). Differences in overall reflectance between airborne and ground-based sensors (Figure 5) therefore seem to point to the existence of residual artifacts from the atmospheric correction resulting in weaker calibrations with airborne data.

Methods of estimating error in PLSR calibration models include the leave-one-out cross validation approach used here, the split calibration/validation used by Serbin et al. (2014), and use of independent data that are withheld entirely from model development. These approaches represent tradeoffs between the amount of data available for model building and the degree to which validation data are independent. Here, we used the leave-one-out cross validation approach because it is a long-established method (e.g. Coops et al., 2003; Ollinger & Smith, 2005; Martin et al., 2008) of validation and offered an efficient way to maximize use of our relatively small data set. However, because the data used for validation are not completely

independent of those used for model development, this approach can exaggerate accuracy estimates over those obtained using withheld data. Permutation based calibration/validation approaches may permit a more optimal balance between model development and testing in the future (e.g. Serbin et al., 2014).

Despite the potential sources of error discussed above, results of our study suggest promise for the use of both ground- and aircraft-based high spectral resolution reflectance data and PLSR models to accommodate a range of grass conditions in predicting canopy %N. As with all PLSR-based approaches, the relationships we describe are based on empirical observations and their application should be restricted to the range of conditions under which they were derived. Determining functional linkages between individual plant properties and spectral reflectance features at the canopy scale would help extend the application of these relationships; however this is often challenging given the complexity of plant canopies and the interrelated nature of many plant traits (Ollinger, 2011). Defining these causal mechanisms has been an active field of research and often relies on the use of canopy light models (e.g. Zhang et al., 2006). Although such models have advanced our understanding of canopy light dynamics, they rarely include N because N itself has no distinct optical properties and because our understanding of relations between N and other optically important plant traits is incomplete (Kokaly et al., 2009; Ollinger, 2011). Grasses are potentially well suited to studying these relationships because, relative to other systems, they are straightforward to measure, quickly respond to nutrient and water treatments and are easy to manipulate, enabling isolation of physical traits such as LAI and LAD (Wilson, 1963; Huang and Fu, 2000; Baghzouz et al., 2006). In addition, their short stature allows collection of ground-based canopy reflectance data with relative ease. For this reason, future research to advance our understanding of causal

mechanisms behind linkages between plant properties and spectral reflectance would do well to focus on grasslands.

4.2. Implications for land management

Detailed maps of canopy %N in cultivated grasslands have several potential applications with respect to land management. Through its association with plant proteins, foliar N represents an important measure not only of plant productivity but also of pasture and forage quality (e.g. Waramit et al., 2012). In watersheds where agricultural grasslands are prevalent, the ability to detect canopy %N could aid managers in the application of fertilizers and management of livestock. The use of remote sensing to tailor management practices is not a novel idea (Knox et al., 2011; Thulin et al., 2012); however its adoption at the watershed to regional scale could provide interesting insights into agricultural systems and efficiencies. The spatial and temporal coverage of the proposed HypsIRI mission has potential for estimating canopy %N and forage quality for large swaths of agricultural grasses that could lead to improved and adaptive grazing management practices (Thulin et al., 2012).

In suburbanizing watersheds such as the LRW, the composition of grasslands often changes to include more residential and commercial turf over other cultivated grasslands (Wu and Bauer, 2012). This trend can have significant implications in terrestrial and aquatic biogeochemical cycles, as turf grass often requires additional inputs of energy, nitrogen fertilizers, and irrigation water over agricultural grasslands, without the benefit of providing forage for livestock (Falk, 1976). When paired with ecosystem production (e.g. Ollinger & Smith, 2005) and hydrologic flow models (e.g. Tague, 2009), data such as those presented here may prove useful in modeling productivity, helping to close watershed N budgets, and potentially identifying non-point sources of N pollution within river systems. Remotely sensed

imagery offers the only effective means to produce the spatially explicit coverage necessary to study landscapes in this way. While it lacks the spatial coverage necessary for broad-scale studies, ground-based spectroscopy enables rapid estimation of canopy %N at relatively low costs and allows for repeated sampling throughout the growing season, making it useful as a monitoring tool in cases where the temporal coverage of aircraft or satellite platforms is insufficient.

4.3. Relevance to HypsIRI

Remote sensing applications involving aircraft sensors come with the inherent limitation of the relatively small spatial coverage that can be achieved. Because the spectral data used in our analysis are spectrally similar to those that would be provided globally by HypsIRI, our results suggest promise for %N estimation in cultivated grasslands over much larger areas. However, several hurdles will need to be overcome before this can be achieved. As an example, the spatial resolution of data used in our study was 5 x 5 m, which is typically adequate for capturing residential lawns (Zhou et al., 2008) as well as larger areas of agricultural grassland. The suggested spatial resolution for HypsIRI is 60 m, which is likely to be adequate for pasture, hay and other agricultural grasslands, but could present a challenge in residential areas given the smaller size of many private lawns (Figure 8B). This challenge could potentially be addressed using spectral unmixing (Lee & Lathrop, 2006), or through a multi-sensor scaling approach whereby small training areas within a HypsIRI scene are captured with aircraft data in order to aid in resolving sources of subpixel variation (e.g. Hope et al., 2004). Moreover, understanding the specific plant traits and management activities responsible for observed reflectance patterns will become more important as the areal extent of N estimation activities expands. A more mechanistic understanding would complement the empirical approaches used to date and aid in

the effort to build leaf N concentrations and grassland management conditions into models that can be used to better interpret remote sensing signals where intensive field data are not available (Ollinger, 2011). Despite these challenges, results from this study suggest promise for applications of HypsIRI aimed at detecting patterns of vegetation condition in human-dominated landscapes as well as those containing native vegetation.

5. CONCLUSIONS

High spectral resolution remote sensing and PLSR calibration techniques produced effective prediction models for estimating canopy %N (airborne $r^2=0.67$, RMSE=0.36; ground-based $r^2=0.76$, RMSE=0.29) across a wide range of management and canopy conditions encountered within a suburbanizing watershed in southeastern New Hampshire. Although results from both ground and aircraft sensors were highly significant, differences between sensors and the likely presence of uncorrected atmospheric artifacts prevented development of predictive relationships that could be generalized across platforms. Nevertheless, similarities in spectral properties between the sensors used in this study and the proposed HypsIRI mission suggest promise for the detection of canopy %N across large swaths of managed grasslands, although the proposed 60m spatial resolution of HypsIRI data will pose additional challenges to their application in residential areas containing small lawns. Future studies are needed to better understand the mechanisms through which individual grass plant traits are related to canopy reflectance and to develop improved models that can be applied to cultivated grasses more generally.

Acknowledgments

This project is a contribution to the NH EPSCoR Ecosystem and Society project. Support for the NH EPSCoR Program is provided by the National Science Foundation's Research Infrastructure Improvement Award # EPS 1101245. This work was also supported by NASA's Terrestrial Ecology Program awards NNX11AB88G and NNX12AK56G S01 as well as the New Hampshire Agricultural Experiment Station and the Harvard Forest and Hubbard Brook Long-Term Ecological Research Programs. The authors would also like to acknowledge Mary E. Martin and Franklin B. Sullivan for their supportive role in data processing, L. Saviano for aiding in much of the field collection and to the numerous private land owners who allowed this study to take place. We thank Kevin Rock for coordinating the aircraft image data collection and data processing. We also thank the editors of this special issue and three anonymous reviewers for their comments and suggestions.

Literature Cited

- Baghzouz, M., Devitt, D. A., & Morris, R. L. (2006). Evaluating temporal variability in the spectral reflectance response of annual ryegrass to changes in nitrogen applications and leaching fractions. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 27(19), 4137–4157. <http://doi.org/10.1080/01431160600851843>
- Bartlett, M. K., Ollinger, S. V., Hollinger, D. Y., Wicklein, H. F., & Richardson, A. D. (2011). Canopy-scale relationships between foliar nitrogen and albedo are not observed in leaf reflectance and transmittance within temperate deciduous tree species. *Botany*, 89(7), 491–497. <http://doi.org/10.1139/b11-037>
- Boegh, E., Soegaard, H., Broge, N., Hasager, C. B., Jensen, N. O., Schelde, K., & Thomsen, A. (2002). Airborne multispectral data for quantifying leaf area index, nitrogen concentration, and photosynthetic efficiency in agriculture. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 81(2), 179–193.
- Bolster, K. L., Martin, M. E., & Aber, J. D. (1996). Determination of carbon fraction and nitrogen concentration in tree foliage by near infrared reflectances: A comparison of statistical methods. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, 26(4), 590–600.
- Booth, D.T., & Tueller, P.T. (2003). Rangeland monitoring using remote sensing. *Arid Land Research and Management*, 17(4), 455–467.
- Burakowski, E. A., Ollinger, S. V., Lepine, L., Schaaf, C. B., Wang, Z., Dibb, J. E., Martin, M. (2015). Spatial scaling of reflectance and surface albedo over a mixed-use, temperate forest landscape during snow-covered periods. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 158, 465–477. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2014.11.023>
- Cassman, K. G., Dobermann, A., & Walters, D. T. (2002). Agroecosystems, Nitrogen-use Efficiency, and Nitrogen Management. *AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environment*, 31(2), 132. [http://doi.org/10.1639/0044-7447\(2002\)031\[0132:ANUEAN\]2.0.CO;2](http://doi.org/10.1639/0044-7447(2002)031[0132:ANUEAN]2.0.CO;2)
- Chambers, J. Q., Asner, G. P., Morton, D. C., Anderson, L. O., Saatchi, S. S., Espírito-Santo, F. D. B., Souza, C. (2007). Regional ecosystem structure and function: ecological insights from remote sensing of tropical forests. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 22(8), 414–423. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2007.05.001>
- Chong, I.G., & Jun, C.-H. (2005). Performance of some variable selection methods when multicollinearity is present. *Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems*, 78(1–2), 103–112. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemolab.2004.12.011>
- Complex Systems Research Center, University of New Hampshire. 2011. Impervious Surfaces in Coastal New Hampshire and Southern York County, Maine – 2010. Durham, NH.
- Coops, N. C., Smith, M.-L., Martin, M. E., & Ollinger, S. V. (2003). Prediction of eucalypt foliage nitrogen content from satellite-derived hyperspectral data. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 41(6), 1338–1346. <http://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2003.813135>
- Davies, Z. G., Edmondson, J. L., Heinemeyer, A., Leake, J. R., & Gaston, K. J. (2011). Mapping an urban ecosystem service: quantifying above-ground carbon storage at a city-wide scale. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 48(5), 1125–1134. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2011.02021.x>
- Denham, M. C. (2000). Choosing the number of factors in partial least squares regression: estimating and minimizing the mean squared error- of prediction. *Journal of*

- Chemometrics*, 14(4), 351–361. [http://doi.org/10.1002/1099-128X\(200007/08\)14:4<351::AID-CEM598>3.0.CO;2-Q](http://doi.org/10.1002/1099-128X(200007/08)14:4<351::AID-CEM598>3.0.CO;2-Q)
- Department of Commerce (DOC), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), National Ocean Service (NOS), Coastal Services Center (CSC). 2013. C-CAP New England 2010-Era Land Cover. Charleston, SC.
- Falk, J. H. (1976). Energetics of a Suburban Lawn Ecosystem. *Ecology*, 57(1), 141–150. <http://doi.org/10.2307/1936405>
- Field, C., & Mooney, H. A. (1986). photosynthesis--nitrogen relationship in wild plants. *On the Economy of Plant Form and Function : Proceedings of the Sixth Maria Moors Cabot Symposium, "Evolutionary Constraints on Primary Productivity, Adaptive Patterns of Energy Capture in Plants"* Harvard Forest, August 1983. Retrieved from <http://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=US201301399269>
- Fissore, C., Hobbie, S. E., King, J. Y., McFadden, J. P., Nelson, K. C., & Baker, L. A. (2012). The residential landscape: fluxes of elements and the role of household decisions. *Urban Ecosystems*, 15(1), 1–18. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11252-011-0189-0>
- Foley, J. A., DeFries, R., Asner, G. P., Barford, C., Bonan, G., Carpenter, S. R., ... Snyder, P. K. (2005). Global Consequences of Land Use. *Science*, 309(5734), 570–574. <http://doi.org/10.1126/science.1111772>
- Fry, J., Xian, G., Jin, S., Dewitz, J., Homer, C., Yang, L., Barnes, C., Herold, N., and Wickham, J., 2011. Completion of the 2006 National Land Cover Database for the Conterminous United States, *PE&RS*, Vol. 77(9):858-864.
- Gamon, J. A., Field, C. B., Roberts, D. A., Ustin, S. L., & Valentini, R. (1993). Functional patterns in an annual grassland during an AVIRIS overflight. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 44: 1 – 15.1
- Gao, B. (1996). NDWI—A normalized difference water index for remote sensing of vegetation liquid water from space. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 58(3), 257–266. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257\(96\)00067-3](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(96)00067-3)
- Hamilton, D.H., (1882). *History of Rockingham and Strafford Counties, New Hampshire, with Biographical Sketches of many of its Pioneers and Prominent Men*. Philadelphia, PA: J.W. Lewis & Co.
- He, Y., Guo, X., & Wilmshurst, J. (2006). Studying mixed grassland ecosystems I: suitable hyperspectral vegetation indices. *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*, 32(2), 98–107.
- Hope, A.S., Pence, K.R., & Stow, D.A. (2004). NDVI from low altitude aircraft and composited NOAA AVHRR data for scaling Arctic ecosystem fluxes. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 25(20), 4237-4250.
- Huang, B. R., & Fu, J. M. (2000). Photosynthesis, respiration, and carbon allocation of two cool-season perennial grasses in response to surface soil drying. *Plant and Soil*, 227(1-2), 17–26. <http://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026512212113>
- Kaushal, S. S., McDowell, W. H., & Wollheim, W. M. (2014). Tracking evolution of urban biogeochemical cycles: past, present, and future. *Biogeochemistry*, 121(1), 1–21. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-014-0014-y>
- Kaye, J. P., Groffman, P. M., Grimm, N. B., Baker, L. A., & Pouyat, R. V. (2006). A distinct urban biogeochemistry? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 21(4), 192–199. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2005.12.006>
- Knox, N. M., Skidmore, A. K., Prins, H. H. T., Asner, G. P., van der Werff, H., de Boer, W. F., Slotow, R. (2011). Dry season mapping of savanna forage quality, using the

- hyperspectral Carnegie Airborne Observatory sensor. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 115(6), 1478–1488.
- Kokaly, R. F., Asner, G. P., Ollinger, S. V., Martin, M. E., & Wessman, C. A. (2009). Characterizing canopy biochemistry from imaging spectroscopy and its application to ecosystem studies. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 113, S78–S91. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2008.10.018>
- Lee, S., & Lathrop, R.G. (2006). Subpixel analysis of Landsat ETM+ using Self-Organizing Map (SOM) neural networks for urban land cover characterization. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 44(6), 1642–1654.
- Marten, G., Buxton, D., Brink, G., Halgerson, J., & Hornstein, J. (1984). Near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy analysis of forage quality in four legume species. *Crop Science*, 24(6), 1179–1182.
- Martin, M. E., Plourde, L. C., Ollinger, S. V., Smith, M.-L., & McNeil, B. E. (2008). A generalizable method for remote sensing of canopy nitrogen across a wide range of forest ecosystems. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 112(9), 3511–3519. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2008.04.008>
- McNeil, B. E., Read, J. M., Sullivan, T. J., McDonnell, T. C., Fernandez, I. J., & Driscoll, C. T. (2008). The spatial pattern of nitrogen cycling in the Adirondack Park, New York. *Ecological Applications*, 18(2), 438–452.
- Milesi, C., Running, S. W., Elvidge, C. D., Dietz, J. B., Tuttle, B. T., & Nemani, R. R. (2005). Mapping and Modeling the Biogeochemical Cycling of Turf Grasses in the United States. *Environmental Management*, 36(3), 426–438. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-004-0316-2>
- Ollinger, S. V. (2011). Sources of variability in canopy reflectance and the convergent properties of plants. *New Phytologist*, 189(2), 375–394. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03536.x>
- Ollinger, S. V., Richardson, A. D., Martin, M. E., Hollinger, D. Y., Frohling, S. E., Reich, P. B., Schmid, H. P. (2008). Canopy nitrogen, carbon assimilation, and albedo in temperate and boreal forests: Functional relations and potential climate feedbacks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105(49), 19336–19341. <http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0810021105>
- Ollinger, S. V., & Smith, M. L. (2005). Net primary production and canopy nitrogen in a temperate forest landscape: an analysis using imaging spectroscopy, modeling and field data. *Ecosystems*, 8(7), 760–778.
- Park, R.S., Agnew, R.E., Gordon, F.J., & Steen, R.W.J. (1998). The use of near infrared reflectance spectroscopy (NIRS) on undried samples of grass silage to predict chemical composition and digestibility parameters. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 72(1–2), 155–167. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-8401\(97\)00175-2](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-8401(97)00175-2)
- Park, R. S., Gordon, F. J., Agnew, R. E., Barnes, R. J., & Steen, R.W. J. (1997). The use of Near Infrared Reflectance Spectroscopy on dried samples to predict biological parameters of grass silage. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 68(3–4), 235–246. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-8401\(97\)00055-2](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-8401(97)00055-2)
- Paruelo, J. M., Epstein, H. E., Lauenroth, W. K., & Burke, I. C. (1997). ANPP Estimates from NDVI for the Central Grassland Region of the United States. *Ecology*, 78(3), 953–958. <http://doi.org/10.2307/2266073>
- Pataki, D. E., Carreiro, M. M., Cherrier, J., Grulke, N. E., Jennings, V., Pincetl, S., Zipperer, W. C. (2011). Coupling biogeochemical cycles in urban environments: ecosystem services,

- green solutions, and misconceptions. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 9(1), 27–36. <http://doi.org/10.1890/090220>
- Ramoelo, A., Skidmore, A. K., Cho, M. A., Schlerf, M., Mathieu, R., & Heitkönig, I. M. A. (2012). Regional estimation of savanna grass nitrogen using the red-edge band of the spaceborne RapidEye sensor. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 19, 151–162.
- Reich, P. B., Hobbie, S. E., Lee, T., Ellsworth, D. S., West, J. B., Tilman, D., Trost, J. (2006). Nitrogen limitation constrains sustainability of ecosystem response to CO₂. *Nature*, 440(7086), 922–925. <http://doi.org/10.1038/nature04486>
- Reich, P. B., & Oleksyn, J. (2004). Global patterns of plant leaf N and P in relation to temperature and latitude. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 101(30), 11001–11006. <http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0403588101>
- Running, S. W., Nemani, R. R., Heinsch, F. A., Zhao, M., Reeves, M., & Hashimoto, H. (2004). A continuous satellite-derived measure of global terrestrial primary production. *Bioscience*, 54(6), 547–560.
- Serbin, S. P., Singh, A., McNeil, B. E., Kingdon, C. C., & Townsend, P. A. (2014). Spectroscopic determination of leaf morphological and biochemical traits for northern temperate and boreal tree species. *Ecological Applications*, 24(7), 1651–1669. <http://doi.org/10.1890/13-2110.1>
- Shetty, N., Rinnan, A. A., & Gislum, R. (2011). Selection of representative calibration sample sets for near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy to predict nitrogen concentration in grasses. *Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems*. Retrieved from <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0169743911002413>
- Smith, M.-L., Ollinger, S. V., Martin, M. E., Aber, J. D., Hallett, R. A., & Goodale, C. L. (2002). Direct Estimation of Aboveground Forest Productivity through Hyperspectral Remote Sensing of Canopy Nitrogen. *Ecological Applications*, 12(5), 1286–1302. [http://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761\(2002\)012\[1286:DEOAFP\]2.0.CO;2](http://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761(2002)012[1286:DEOAFP]2.0.CO;2)
- Sullivan, F. B., Ollinger, S. V., Martin, M. E., Ducey, M. J., Lepine, L. C., & Wicklein, H. F. (2013). Foliar nitrogen in relation to plant traits and reflectance properties of New Hampshire forests. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, 43(1), 18–27. <http://doi.org/10.1139/cjfr-2012-0324>
- Tague, C. (2009). Modeling hydrologic controls on denitrification: Sensitivity to parameter uncertainty and landscape representation. *Biogeochemistry*, 93:79–90, DOI 10.1007/s10533-008-9276-6
- Thulin, S., Hill, M. J., Held, A., Jones, S., & Woodgate, P. (2012). Hyperspectral determination of feed quality constituents in temperate pastures: Effect of processing methods on predictive relationships from partial least squares regression. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 19, 322–334. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2012.06.006>
- Tilling, A. K., O’Leary, G. J., Ferwerda, J. G., Jones, S. D., Fitzgerald, G. J., Rodriguez, D., & Belford, R. (2007). Remote sensing of nitrogen and water stress in wheat. *Field Crops Research*, 104(1–3), 77–85. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2007.03.023>
- Tobias, R. (1995). An Introduction to Partial Least Squares Regression. in *Proceedings of the Twentieth Annual SAS Users Group International Conference*. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.

- Townsend, P. A., Foster, J. R., Chastain, R.A., J., & Currie, W. S. (2003). Application of imaging spectroscopy to mapping canopy nitrogen in the forests of the central Appalachian Mountains using Hyperion and AVIRIS. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 41(6), 1347 – 1354. <http://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2003.813205>
- Trowbridge, P., Wood, M. A., Underhill, J. T., & Ellsworth, D. S. (2013). Great bay nitrogen non-point source study. *New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services, Draft report for review*. Retrieved from <http://des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/coastal/documents/gbnpss-report.pdf>
- Ustin, S. L. (2013). Remote sensing of canopy chemistry. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(3), 804–805. <http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1219393110>
- Van der Voet, H. (1994). Comparing the predictive accuracy of models using a simple randomization test. *Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems*, 25(2), 313–323. [http://doi.org/10.1016/0169-7439\(94\)85050-X](http://doi.org/10.1016/0169-7439(94)85050-X)
- Walton, J. T., Nowak, D. J., Greenfield, E. J., & others. (2008). Assessing urban forest canopy cover using airborne or satellite imagery. *Arboriculture and Urban Forestry*, 34(6), 334–340.
- Waramit, N., Moore, K.J., Fales, S.L. (2012). Forage quality of native warm-season grasses in response to nitrogen fertilization and harvest date. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 174 (1-2), 46-59.
- Wessman, C. A., Aber, J. D., Peterson, D. L., & Melillo, J. M. (1988). Remote sensing of canopy chemistry and nitrogen cycling in temperate forest ecosystems. , *Published Online: 08 September 1988*; | doi:10.1038/335154a0, 335(6186), 154–156. <http://doi.org/10.1038/335154a0>
- Wicklein, H. F., Ollinger, S. V., Martin, M. E., Hollinger, D. Y., Lepine, L. C., Day, M. C., Norby, R. J. (2012). Variation in foliar nitrogen and albedo in response to nitrogen fertilization and elevated CO₂. *Oecologia*, 169(4), 915–925. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-012-2263-6>
- Wilson, J. W. (1963). Estimation of foliage denseness and foliage angle by inclined point quadrats. *Australian Journal of Botany*, 11(1), 95–105.
- Wold, S. (1994). PLS for Multivariate Linear Modeling. in H. van de Waterbeemd (Ed.), *QSAR: Chemometric Methods in Molecular Design. Methods and Principles in Medicinal Chemistry*.
- Wold, H. (1996). Estimation of Principal Components and Related Models by Iterative Least Squares, in P. R. Krishnaiah (ed.), *Multivariate Analysis*. New York: Academic Press
- Wong, K.-K. (2014). Development of co-boresighted Vis-NIR-SWIR hyperspectral imaging systems. In D. P. Bannan (Ed.), (p. 910405). <http://doi.org/10.1117/12.2055490>
- Wu, J., & Bauer, M. E. (2012). Estimating Net Primary Production of Turfgrass in an Urban-Suburban Landscape with QuickBird Imagery. *Remote Sensing*, 4(12), 849–866. <http://doi.org/10.3390/rs4040849>
- Zhang, Q, X. Xiao, B.H. Braswell, E. Linder, S.V. Ollinger, M-L. Smith, J.P. Jenkins, F. Baret, A.D. Richardson, B. Moore III, R. Minocha. (2006). Characterization of seasonal variation of forest canopy in a temperate deciduous broadleaf forest, using daily MODIS data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 105, 189-203.

Zhou, W.Q., Troy, A., Grove, M. (2008). Modeling residential lawn fertilization practices: Integrating high resolution remote sensing with socioeconomic data. *Environmental Management*, 41(5), 742-752.

List of Figure Captions

Figure 1. Aerial image of the Lamprey River Watershed, its location in New Hampshire, and distribution of field sites surveyed in this study. The grayscale image highlights the mixed landscape and landcover of the Lamprey River Watershed, where dark gray areas are forest, and light gray areas are dominated by residential and agricultural grasslands.

Figure 2. NIRS predicted %N values of 103 dried and ground grass foliage samples. Prediction and fit were based on partial least squares regression with 7 factors. RMSE=0.1810, $p < 0.0001$

Figure 3. Relationships between %N measured using NIRS vs. %N predicted with PLS models incorporating (A) airborne and (B) ground-based canopy reflectance ($p < 0.0001$)

Figure 4. Important wavelengths in PLSR prediction models of canopy %N indicated by (A) variable importance of projection (VIP) score and (B) standardized coefficients. Solid lines represent models based on airborne data (offset=0.4 in panel A) whereas dashed lines represent models using ground based data.

Figure 5. Comparison of mean spectral reflectance from ground-based (blue line) and airborne (orange line) spectrometers by management type: (A) Residential; (B) Fallow; (C) Hay field; (D) Pasture. Shading around lines represents $\pm$ one standard deviation. The drop off in airborne reflectance past 2300nm, is likely an artifact of the atmospheric correction, however these wavelengths added little to the prediction of %N in both PLS models (Figure 4).

Figure 6 Canopy %N of cultivated grasslands in the Lamprey River Watershed as derived from 5m airborne imagery. Areas in black represent non-grass vegetation. White areas are characterized as impervious and are included to provide context in residential and built areas. Inset image (outlined in red, top panel) is of the Durham, New Hampshire area and illustrates the ability of the airborne imagery to delineate small grassland parcels and discriminate within-field variation in canopy %N.

Figure 7. Canopy %N of cultivated grassland of the Durham, NH area degraded from 5m spatial resolution (Figure 7) to (A) 30m (e.g. comparable to Landsat) and to (B) 60m (e.g. comparable to HypsIRI). At these ground sampling resolutions, detail in residential areas is lost, but variability in %N for large grasslands is still largely captured.